

The NV GOP Platform Undermines the US Constitution and the NV Oath of Office

Excerpt directly from the 2022 Nevada Republican Party Platform:

82 Constitution

83 We support the Constitution of the United States in its original
84 intent and context. We expect our elected officials, appointed
85 officials, and the courts at all levels to support, interpret, and
86 give effect to the Constitution, as prescribed in Article VI.

87

88 Without further clarification of the rules and process, we do not
89 support an Article V convention.

90

91 We demand that our representatives at all levels of
92 government uphold their Oath of Office.



Oath of Office

NRS 282.020 Form of official oath. Members of the Legislature and all officers, executive, judicial and ministerial, shall, before they enter upon the duties of their respective offices, take and subscribe to the following oath:

I,, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support, protect and defend the Constitution and Government of the United States, and the Constitution and government of the State of Nevada, against all enemies, whether domestic or foreign, and that I will bear true faith, allegiance and loyalty to the same, any ordinance, resolution or law of any state notwithstanding, and that I will well and faithfully perform all the duties of the office of, on which I am about to enter; (if an oath) **so help me God;** (if an affirmation) **under the pains and penalties of perjury.**



Questions:

- Is Article V a part of the US Constitution?
- Does the oath allow excluding the Article V convention provision of the Constitution?
- How can the NV GOP claim to support the Constitution while simultaneously declaring they don't support a provision of Article V of the Constitution?



NEVADANS WANT ANSWERS----LOOKING AT YOU NEVADA GOP

See other side & get this form to access all links at whyNVgop.COSfacts.com

The Curious Rationale of the NV GOP's "Article V Expert"

- The US Constitution authorizes Congress to declare war, yet we're not bombing anyone right now; therefore, just because the Constitution authorizes something doesn't constantly require it to be done.
- Although Article V of the US Constitution authorizes states to apply for a convention to propose amendments, this authorization doesn't mean it's required to be done either.

But this rationale is clearly erroneous...

- Congress should clearly only declare war when it deems necessary.
- The states should clearly only apply for an Article V convention to propose amendments, like term limits on Congress and bureaucrat staffers, when they deem it necessary.

However, the NV GOP party platform declares they do not support an Article V convention to propose amendments REGARDLESS of whether Nevadans want to trust, follow, and use this option given to Americans by our Framers allowing the states to go around Congress whenever Congress clearly needs to be reined in.

Instead, the NV GOP has decided to claim that what the Framers gave us in Article V is too insufficient to trust, follow and use, and they claim to need "further clarification of the rules and process."

- How can NV GOP members in good faith take the oath of office, requiring them to "support the US Constitution...under the pains and penalty of perjury," when their party platform outright declares that they don't support the Article V convention provision of the US Constitution?
- The NV GOP position on the Article V convention provision doesn't allow for consideration of possible reasons to apply for an amendment proposal convention when deemed necessary—it is a rejection of this constitutional provision out of hand based on the fearful speculation that the rules and process are not known, refuted by the resource links on page 1.

NV GOP, stop undermining the US Constitution and the NV Oath of Office in your party platform