A Pre-Governance Question for AI Regulatory Sandboxes

Purpose

This note raises a question that appears **prior to** current governance experimentation and policy design.

It does **not** propose regulatory mechanisms, institutional structures, technical systems, or implementation pathways.

Its sole purpose is to examine whether the object of governance has been sufficiently specified before experimentation, oversight, or regulation proceeds.

Context

Across multiple jurisdictions, governance initiatives increasingly rely on regulatory sandboxes, pilot programs, and experimental oversight mechanisms to address emerging AI capabilities.

These initiatives assume that the relevant boundaries of responsibility, authority, and accountability are already identifiable.

This assumption is rarely examined explicitly.

The Pre-Governance Question

Before governance mechanisms are tested or refined, a prior question must be addressed:

> **What, precisely, is the entity or capacity being governed — and which elements must remain subject to human judgment regardless of system capability?**

If this question cannot be answered with sufficient clarity, governance experimentation risks proceeding without knowing what its controls are intended to constrain.

Why This Question Precedes Experimentation

Governance sandboxes are designed to test regulatory approaches, not to define the underlying object of governance.

When the object itself remains ambiguous, experimental outcomes may reflect institutional assumptions rather than genuine control.

In such cases, iteration does not reduce uncertainty; it compounds it.

Scope Limitation

This note deliberately:

- Does **not** define new technical concepts
- Does **not** propose governance models

- Does **not** recommend regulatory actions

- Does **not** imply future implementation pathways

It is offered solely as a conceptual boundary check.

Closing

If this pre-governance question cannot be addressed, it remains unclear whether subsequent governance experimentation evaluates effectiveness — or merely institutional confidence.

Whether this question is relevant, and how it should be treated, is a matter for independent judgment.

End of note.

With sincere respect,
Shuqin Amberg
Germany
Independent Researcher
https://assia.world