

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

Thomas C. Fernandez,

Plaintiff,

vs.

**Meier Clinics of Illinois P.C., An Illinois Company)
Defendants.)**

Case No. 2022LA001051

Candice Adams
e-filed in the 18th Judicial Circuit Court
DuPage County
ENVELOPE: 23142993
2022LA001051
FILEDATE: 6/14/2023 1:34 PM
Date Submitted: 6/14/2023 1:34 PM
Date Accepted: 6/14/2023 4:29 PM

Additional Information on the word “Weaponizing”

Now comes Thomas Fernandez, Pro Se Plaintiff, and moves this Court as follows:

1. The word “weaponizing” is not actually a recognized word, now, or at the time it was spoken to my doctor in 2021.
2. I directed the “weaponizing” statement towards my doctor and it was said once, entirely unique to the situation, our relationship, and never spoken again to anyone else until long after the divorce.
3. The word “weaponizing” was spoken at the end of a conversation, between myself, and my doctor. The beginning of the conversation started with me, asking my doctor, to not contact my family.
4. My ex-wife has NEVER heard me say the word “weaponizing”, however, she has notes on the divorce, which reference the word “weaponizing”. This simple statement links my doctor directly to my divorce (again).
5. I have never, would never, and will never, call my wife, or ex-wife “psychotic”. Steve Lee essentially diagnosed my wife, who is not a patient of Meier, as being psychotic, and then made it look like I said it. She took it to mean that I said this, and went ballistic on me. I took the punishment for something I never said.

6. The statement “My wife is weaponizing my medication against me, and is psychotic” is a breach of privacy, a misstatement, fraud, misdirected, a flat out lie, a HUGE breach of doctor patient confidentiality, was a statement I never made, and was a primary (secondary) cause of my divorce, according to my ex-wife.
7. My wife, at the time, even having access to a word that I made up on the spot in a conversation between my doctor and I, says everything regarding my doctor’s disregard for my wishes, mental health, and doctor patient relationship.
8. This final kick, after he had already caused my divorce by determining a course of treatment (hospitalization) without ever consulting or speaking to me, contacting my wife, and making a statement, made to look like I said it, shows malicious intent.
9. My wife should NEVER have been aware of the word “Weaponizing”. I never called her “Psychotic”. I specifically asked that my doctor NOT contact my family.
10. He disregarded all of this, in an attempt, to make it look like I was the primary cause of my divorce. I was not. I wanted to stay married, deescalate the situation, and avoid hospitalization.
11. I was a surprised and innocent bystander, who got rocked, repeatedly, because of his doctor’s fuck ups.

10:58

LTE 20%

← Chris To Fer



Photos

Life events

Posts



Post a status update



Photo



Check In



Life Event



Chris To Fer

20m ·



"Weaponizing". Technically, not a word, making it unique to the situation at hand. Also, shows cause.

But she's never heard ME say "Weaponizing". She heard it from YOU, Steve. Which is a HUGE violation of doctor patient confidentiality, and just one small part of the divorce, YOU CAUSED.



Chris To Fer

24m ·



A mistake happens once. Whoops!

Not five (5) times.



Chris To Fer

1h ·



Wherefore, Plaintiff requests that this honorable Court accepts this explanation of the word “Weaponizing”, in relation to this case.

Thomas Fernandez

Thomas C. Fernandez

Thomas C. Fernandez
480 Roosevelt Rd., Suite 203
West Chicago, IL 60185
(630) 649-4467
Pro Se