GOVERNMENT OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

HISTORIC PRESERVATION REVIEW BOARD

+ + + + +

MEETING

-----+

IN THE MATTER OF:

: HPA #06-463

3228 WALBRIDGE PLACE, N.W., : ALTERATIONS :

Thursday, October 26, 2006

The meeting of the District of Columbia Historic Preservation Review Board convened in Room 220 South of 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, pursuant to notice, at 3:45 p.m., Tersh Boasberg, Chairman, presiding.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION REVIEW BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

TERSH BOASBERG Chairman

DENISE JOHNSON Member

JAMES S. KANE Member

ANNE LEWIS Member

GAIL S. LOWE Member

JACQUE PATTERSON Member

RONNIE W. McGHEE Architect

JOHN MICHAEL VLACH Architectural

Historian

ROBERT SONDERMAN Archaeologist

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

2

AMY WEINSTEIN

Architect

NEAL R. GROSS

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(3:45 p.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: The next case
4	on the agenda is also Mount Pleasant Historic
5	District, 3228 Walbridge. We're a little
6	ahead of time. We're running a couple of
7	minutes ahead of time. So are you all ready
8	for us? Do you want a couple minutes?
9	PARTICIPANT: We'll take a couple
10	minutes.
11	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Okay. We'll
12	give you two minutes. All right?
13	PARTICIPANT: All right.
14	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: And it's 3:45.
15	We're accurate.
16	PARTICIPANT: I see you are.
17	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Yes. I know
18	you're surprised by that, but
19	(Laughter.)
20	(Whereupon, the proceedings in the forgoing
21	matter went off the record at 3:46

1	p.m. and went back on the record
2	at 3:49 p.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Okay. This
4	case is 3228 Walbridge Place, N.W., Mount
5	Pleasant Historic District.
6	Ms. Armstrong, are you here to
7	testify in this as well? Come on. You can
8	certainly sit up here. And we have Mr.
9	Sanders? Yes, Mr. Sanders. And Mr. and Mrs.
10	Lucas.
11	MS. EDWARDS: Actually, I'm
12	Clarissa Edwards. I'm the attorney for Mr.
13	Lucas.
14	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Okay. We
15	rarely see attorneys up here, Ms. Edwards. We
16	don't want to do them out of business, but
17	MS. EDWARDS: I understand.
18	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: we try to
19	make these things design rather than legal.
20	MS. EDWARDS: I know, Your Honor.
21	Actually

1	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: No problem.
2	MR. DENNEE: Folks need to talk on
3	the mike. Press the button down here. All
4	right.
5	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: You're always
6	welcome.
7	MS. EDWARDS: Okay. Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Okay. Now,
9	this is an uphill road in the front, because
10	of our guidelines, which are pretty strict,
11	and because of the uniform frontage of that
12	property. So it's an uphill battle.
13	And Mr. Dennee is one of our
14	prized staffers that we value very highly and
15	works very hard and diligently on this, and we
16	generally urge the homeowners to and the
17	architects to work closely, you know, with our
18	staff, because they are so familiar with the
19	cases that have come along before.
20	And, of course, we have to treat

everybody, you know, equally as they come up.

1 So what we do for one we have to do for the 2 other. And the converse is also true; what we 3 don't allow for one don't allow for we 4 another. 5 So, Mr. Dennee, have we some 6 issues here in the front, we have some issues 7 in the back, and I think you had no problems with the stuff in the back. 8 MR. DENNEE: No, no, no. 9 10 CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: If you want to comment on that. And why don't you kind of 11 12 help us out on the front, and this seems to 13 fit into a pretty defined pattern as --Sure. 14 MR. DENNEE: I mean, as --15 CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Go ahead. 16 MR. DENNEE: -- as the Board is certainly well aware, particularly with the 17 rise of real estate values in recent years, 18 19 folks have been trying to make use of every

NEAL R. GROSS

their property, you know, going up when

square inch of potential enclosed space

20

possible, rearward, sideways, downward, whatever.

And, among other things, it has proliferation resulted in a of basement apartments and such things, particularly -not limited to presidential zones that allow for basement apartments. But there certainly, consequently, been lot а applications for entrances, street entrances in front yards, sometimes on public space and sometimes simply in private front yards, to enter the basement from the front.

while it's certainly understandable, somebody likes to have -particularly if you have a separate unit, it's good to have your mailbox out there, and perhaps it's nice to have direct access to the street. If you don't have a basement unit, you might want to bring your hedge clippers or your lawnmower or whatever out the front rather than going around the block or through

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

a sideyard if it's available.

So certainly it's understandable. The problem comes that most of the rowhouses, certainly in Mount Pleasant, were not constructed with a basement in mind. In fact, I think Mount Pleasant is pretty remarkable for having been mostly developed within, you know, a couple of decades and had fairly similar housing types, particularly rowhouses with full front porches.

The problem with -- the problem, if you put it that way, or the challenge with a full front porch is that it is a full front porch. It occupies the entire frontage of the building, and it's difficult for sort of, as they say, two bodies to occupy the same space at the same time.

And traditionally porches were grounded. They sit on piers. They usually have an apron, and they sit right on the ground. Whereas the more -- the older, in-

town rowhouses frequently had tight staircases twisting around a stoop that occupied a half or a third or something of the frontage of the building.

These were, you know, more suburban houses that the -- the porch was an amenity. The porch was a way to deal with the lack of air conditioning. It was -- they were not intended to, you know, have holes through them. This project in particular is difficult to accept not only for creating the paved void beneath the porch, but actually proposing to tear out the decking for nearly half the porch.

In short, this is probably one of the most problematic cases for a front basement entrance proposal that I've seen. I mean, it has all of the elements of failure or problem or whatever, because it requires the demolition of a portion of an original stone retaining wall, because the -- the front yard,

NEAL R. GROSS

what there is of it, is immediately available, accessible, totally visible to the passerby.

One can, in fact, look right down into this excavation along this -- down onto this ramp and see the -- you know, the wall and the doorway that would be behind it. Ιt requires the removal of part of the porch, because this is such a -- has such a short And, ultimately, there is rear access to run. this basement, as I've seen, and Ι understand.

Finally, while a ramp may be of some functional uses, perhaps secondary egress, it's not -- a ramp of this slope is not very compelling as offering good egress, because it seems to offer impossible egress for somebody in a wheelchair unassisted to exit the property.

So I guess I'll leave it there.

I'm happy to answer questions or elaborate.

CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Okay. This is

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

not a case of necessity to make it wheelchair accessible. There is a rear entrance to the basement that's at a grade, correct?

MR. DENNEE: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Yes, okay.

Okay. Mr. Sanders, Mr. Lucas, I guess one of the things we try to encourage is the applicant both talking within the neighborhood to the historic association and the ANCs, and also to our staff, which is available, you know, to help them, because a lot of them are unfamiliar with what's been going on in the neighborhood. And so that's why our staff is available for that.

So I would urge you, you know, to be able to consult with them at an early stage, and you might be able to avoid, you know, something like this. But why don't -- I don't know who would like to present, whether the architect or the owner or --

MS. EDWARDS: Well, actually, Your

NEAL R. GROSS

Honor, the architect is going to explain the design --

CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Yes.

MS. EDWARDS: -- in the project, and with respect to any effect that it would have on the historical preservation. But with respect to the gentleman's earlier comment regarding a lot of people wanting to get front entrance/egress, because of, you know, the market the way it is, I just wanted to make it implicitly clear to this Board that that's not the basis for Mr. Lucas doing it. His parents, as you may well know, had to actually be moved to the basement level because they're both elderly and they're not able to get around.

While the back door exit would be sufficient if they were in the back part of the house, if there were a fire or anything of that nature, an emergency, there would be no way for them to get out the front. So that

NEAL R. GROSS

1	was the basis for the proposed changes to the
2	property.
3	And with that note, Your Honor,
4	I'd like for the architect to explain the
5	project in his model that he has brought for
6	you today.
7	One final thing. I also have some
8	a petition, I think an amended petition
9	that they the architect actually put
10	together for the Board, if the Court has no
11	I'm sorry, I'm just used to being in front of
12	the Court.
13	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: No, no.
14	MS. EDWARDS: If the Board has no
15	objection.
16	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: What is it
17	about?
18	MS. EDWARDS: I believe it's the
19	same petition that you already have. They
20	just cleaned it up grammatically.
21	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: I wouldn't

1	worry about grammar.
2	MS. EDWARDS: Okay. I know.
3	PARTICIPANT: They don't have
4	that.
5	MS. EDWARDS: You don't have that?
6	I'm sorry. I thought you had the petition.
7	Okay. I stand corrected, Board. Actually, he
8	tells me that this is the first time that you
9	guys have seen this. This isn't one that
10	they've submitted before.
11	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Okay. Well,
12	we you know, we haven't had a chance to
13	MS. EDWARDS: I understand.
14	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: look at
15	this.
16	MS. EDWARDS: I understand.
17	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Go ahead.
18	MS. EDWARDS: So at that point,
19	you can bring it up again.
20	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: The purpose of
21	this was to try to provide some kind of
I	l contract and the state of the state of

1 emergency access to people who might be in the 2 front of the house. 3 MS. EDWARDS: Well, actually, for the -- his parents, who -- they used to live 4 5 in the -- you know, use the whole entire 6 house. 7 CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Right. 8 MS. EDWARDS: But since they've become ill, they've been unable to -- they've 9 10 been moved to the basement, and they -- my client has a caregiver there 12 hours a day 11 with both of them. 12 13 CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Okay. MS. EDWARDS: 14 But our concern is 15 if the caregiver isn't there, and a fire or 16 something of that nature breaks out, there is no front entrance for them to get out of, 17 because they're living in the basement. 18 19 CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: But they could 20 get out the back end. MS. EDWARDS: Well, they could get 21

1 out the back, but they're not that mobile. 2 When I say -- it would be difficult, but they 3 could, yes. 4 CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Okay. 5 MR. SANDERS: It also --6 CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Yes, sir. 7 MR. SANDERS: It also depends on 8 where an emergency would occur. If a fire started -- the situation is that if 9 10 emergency occurred, in case of a fire, for 11 example, if the Lucases were in the back -- in 12 the front of the house, they wouldn't be able to get out if the fire occurred in the 13 kitchen, which is the most likely place for a 14 15 fire to occur. 16 And the back side of the house, as Mr. Dennee mentioned earlier -- do you have a 17 volume control on here? 18 19 CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: No. We're 20 lucky to have a mike at all. Go ahead.

NEAL R. GROSS

(Laughter.)

This is the District. Go ahead.

MR. SANDERS: Get a megaphone. The purpose of this project was to make the living quarters, the current living quarters for the Lucases, more wholesome and more conducive to a healthy lifestyle. So they are living in the basement now, because as Ms. Edwards mentioned, they can't navigate the steps.

The project we did rearranged the space, and because the mechanical equipment that was there -- that's there now actually is old, it's close to 50, 60 years old. It takes up a lot of space. We put more efficient space in in terms of heating and cooling capacity. And also, more efficient in terms of it being smaller. That freed up a lot of space that enabled the basement to be more useful.

Again, the critical element is to put an interest from the front. Mr. Dennee

NEAL R. GROSS

mentioned something about the ramp being difficult to maneuver. It's a little steeper than the ordinary ramps, but the wheelchair that they use could climb that hill, that incline, that grade, ramp, without assistance.

A motorized wheelchair -- I'm not sure if you're familiar with it, but it's -- the one we have in mind is a Hoverround. It has a small turning radius, and so forth. The design -- in order to get an entrance in the front, basically, that -- the egress at the front is also an entrance.

In case rescuers had to come to tend to the Lucases, they could come in. As the house is constructed now, there's only a small window at the front, a very small window, and from the outside it's down at ground level. From the inside of the house it's at the ceiling. So if a rescuer did get in, he would be hard-pressed by make a rescue by taking somebody out through the front.

NEAL R. GROSS

Our proposal deals with those particular problems. And what we've done is create, at the entrance that we talked about before, that would be sensitive to and mindful of the architectural and historic characteristics of the area now.

By cutting a hole in the floor -and I speak to all of this in the document
that was passed out to you -- by cutting a
hole in the floor, what we would propose to do
is maintain a spandrel beam across the front
with a full railing across the top of the
spandrel.

So from a person's sake, the front of the house would look very much like it looks now in terms of the ribbon, the architectural character, and so forth, of the Walbridge row of townhouses. The materials that would be used in the alteration and construction would also be commensurate with, and similar to, the materials used in the

NEAL R. GROSS

original construction.

The landscaping, as you can see on the model by the green, the landscaping would also be -- should I hold this up?

MS. EDWARDS: Would it be easier -- better if we held it up? Yes. Have you put it up here. Yes. Would that help?

MR. SANDERS: The ramp was designed with some features that take into account the historic preservation issues — for example, maintaining the green space of Walbridge row. The ramp has a very limited paved area. The ramp has a — what I call a step — yard steps in the front — in the middle of the ramp, rather.

East side is fitted with -- I'm sorry -- reinforced lawn. It's a system whereby the substructure -- substrata of the yard is enforced so that it can be trafficbearing, with openings big enough to allow grass to grow between the traffic-bearing

1	material. There are different types. The one
2	we would propose using is reconstituted
3	plastic, recycled plastic.
4	And that's pretty much the
5	proposal that we are presenting.
6	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Okay. Thank
7	you. Thanks very much, Mr. Sanders.
8	Let me ask you
9	MR. SANDERS: Oh, may I make
10	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Oh, I'm sorry.
11	MR. SANDERS: one last comment.
12	I would urge all of you to please read the
13	document, because in the short time we've had
14	to make this presentation today I couldn't
15	deal with all the issues that I wanted to
16	address. But the petition speaks to most of
17	the categories.
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Okay. Thanks,
20	Mr. Sanders.
21	MS. EDWARDS: Your Honor, if I

1	may, just one final note.
2	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Yes, Ms.
3	Edwards.
4	MS. EDWARDS: I wanted to make
5	certain that this Board had received a copy of
6	the documentation from Providence Hospital
7	I believe it's in your package pertaining
8	to the medical condition of Mrs. Lucas.
9	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Yes. We
10	certainly understand the medical condition.
11	MS. EDWARDS: Okay.
12	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Ms. Armstrong.
13	MS. ARMSTRONG: I regret to say
14	that this is the first time we are hearing of
15	the you know, the full implications of the
16	design. We are familiar with this case only
17	derivatively from receiving the staff report
18	and the things from Tim. We have not had any
19	direct contact with the Lucases or with Mr.
20	Sanders. We support the staff report based on

what we know at this time.

1 Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Okay. Let's 3 open it up for Board comment, unless there is anything else that you wanted to add. 4 5 MS. EDWARDS: I think there's one 6 other thing that Mr. Lucas wanted to add. Не 7 said he'll defer, Your Honor. 8 CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Okay. Okay. We'll start with Ms. Johnson, and then we'll 9 10 go to the others. And thank you again for We understand the situation. 11 Ms. 12 Johnson. 13 MS. JOHNSON: I'm going to start out with a question to Mr. Dennee. As far as 14 15 you know, do we have any other instances in 16 the Mount Pleasant Historic District where there is a handicapped ramp, where someone has 17 installed a handicapped ramp on the front? 18 19 MR. DENNEE: I can't think of one. 20 I certainly can't think of any that go into 21 the ground. There may be --

1 MR. McGHEE: Any lifts or --2 DENNEE: There may be a ramp MR. 3 above grade, a wood ramp, but it's nothing that you would normally see in Mount Pleasant. 4 5 MS. JOHNSON: And were you able to 6 explore any other kinds of handicapped 7 solutions with the owner besides a ramp? 8 there any other suggestions that you might be able to make to accommodate this particular 9 10 problem? Well, theoretically, 11 MR. DENNEE: 12 one might, if the Board were to entertain the idea of removing a portion of the porch, which 13 we think to be a character-defining feature 14 15 and probably not subject to removal, but if 16 the Board were to entertain it it's possible consider a lift that comes from 17 t.o t.he basement level through the porch deck. 18 19 Ιt would require probably 20 additional railings and mechanisms that might be visible above the porch deck. But it's --21

1	the only thing I could think of in the front.
2	I mean, you could do a lift, frankly
3	theoretically, again from the front yard
4	grade up to the porch and through the door
5	entrance, although as is typical I think the
6	door threshold is a bit above the porch, so it
7	requires modification not only of the porch
8	itself but also the entrance.
9	MS. JOHNSON: Okay. And I'm
10	sorry, did you have something you wanted to
11	add about Mount Pleasant?
12	MS. ARMSTRONG: Yes. An
13	additional to your question the halfway
14	house, 1700 block of Park Road, directly
15	across the alley from me, put in a wheelchair
16	lift on the front of the house to the
17	horrification of most of the neighbors and us.
18	But it was done.
19	It was done by removing they
20	had a wooden I'm not sure if they had a
21	wooden porch on the front, but they actually

raised the level of the whole front porch, so that you could -- you would have a straight in over the front threshold, and then put a wheelchair lift -- they have a sideyard there. And where they could have put the wheelchair lift, I argued to the director of the house the other day, he could have put it in the backyard where it wasn't marring the front.

They put it out front I'm sure without permits. This is -- but this was done 10 years ago or something. But this is on the front of a rowhouse.

MS. JOHNSON: Okay.

MS. ARMSTRONG: Semi-detached.

MS. JOHNSON: Thank you. My comment is this. I think it's a very difficult situation. While I understand the need, I think we need to reach a better level of compromise than where we are at present. And what I'd like you to do, at least from my perspective, is to go back and try to explore

other options.

We know we had one handicapped entrance and exit on the rear of the property.

I know you're trying to get another one in on the front for safety reasons. But if safety is truly the concern, my question would be: are there other ways you can address some of that safety issue?

Again, none of these are necessarily inexpensive, but neither is your proposed solution. So, for example, whether sprinklering the basement gives you an added degree of safety and protection, so that you have the time to get out of that rear entrance, looking at other options of trying to create that handicapped entrance on the front that does not destroy the historic aspects.

So I'd like to see if you can go back and do a little bit more research, and try to work with Mr. Dennee and see if you can

NEAL R. GROSS

come up with a better compromise.

CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Or maybe there is even a way inside the basement to make the exit in the rear easier, if there is a wall that these could come down or if there is some — enlarging the entrance in the rear. It would certainly allow much more flexibility in the rear of the building. But I think the combination of sprinklers and making that exit easier might be helpful, too.

Let's talk to Ms. Lewis. She's one of our architects on the -- any suggestions?

MS. LEWIS: Well, I just had a question, and that is looking -- you have a first floor plan, and it looks as though, if I'm reading it right, there is an existing stair to the basement from the first floor, but that you're taking it out.

I'm wondering, if the issue is having a caregiver -- and I have two 80-year

NEAL R. GROSS

1 old parents, too, so believe me I can relate to your situation. 2 But if the issue is the 3 caregiver getting down, wouldn't another option be to leave that stair in place, so at 4 5 least the caregiver could get down? And then, 6 have the one handicapped rear access. 7 CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Okay. 8 a suggestion. EDWARDS: I'm sorry. 9 MS. Could 10 you say that again? I don't think he was -at least I didn't -- did you hear everything 11 she said? 12 13 MS. LEWIS: There is -- it looks as though in the house as it is now there is a 14 15 stairway that goes from the main level down to 16 the basement, which would be an access for a caregiver to get down there. 17 But it looks as though that stair 18 19 is being removed, so I'm just asking, what if 20 you kept that stair and at least that would be 21 a way down for the caregiver?

1	MS. EDWARDS: Would that make it
2	easier?
3	MR. SANDERS: No.
4	MS. EDWARDS: Okay. Respond.
5	MR. SANDERS: That, unfortunately,
6	would not solve the problems we're trying to
7	deal with. The house now is a single-family
8	residence. The upstairs is unoccupied because
9	the Lucases are unable to navigate the steps.
LO	The proposal is to convert it to a
11	duplex, which is allowed by zoning. It's
12	zoned to accommodate flats, which is a two-
13	unit apartment. Consequently, we've taken a
L 4	stair route, which, again, frees up the space
15	in the basement. The
L6	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: So there's no
L7	caregiver the caregiver is downstairs. In
L 8	other words, you want to create, in effect, a
L 9	unit two units in this house.
20	MR. SANDERS: That's correct.
21	That's correct.

1	MR. KANE: And the Lucases would
2	live in the basement.
3	MR. SANDERS: Yes.
4	MR. KANE: That's the goal is that
5	you would move them from upstairs downstairs,
6	and then you would have a rental unit on the
7	second and third first and second floor.
8	MR. SANDERS: That's correct.
9	MR. KANE: Okay.
10	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Are they on
11	the first floor now?
12	MS. EDWARDS: They're in the
13	basement now.
14	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: All the time?
15	MS. EDWARDS: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Nobody is on
17	the second floor?
18	MS. EDWARDS: No. The basement is
19	in the bottom level.
20	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: So nobody is
21	on the first or second floor.

1	MS. EDWARDS: No, no one is in
2	their upstairs.
3	MR. SANDERS: The basement is
4	completely above grade on the rear. The front
5	is completely basement, completely
6	underground.
7	MR. KANE: So right now they're
8	using they're living in the basement, and
9	they're coming in and out of the back door.
10	MR. SANDERS: That's correct.
11	MR. KANE: So this is to create a
12	rental unit on the first and second floor.
13	MR. SANDERS: That's not the
14	primary purpose.
15	MR. KANE: And to and to
16	provide access in the front.
17	MS. EDWARDS: Well, primarily to
18	provide access to the front, because the
19	upstairs no one is there. It's just empty
20	right now. There's furniture there, but they
21	are actually physically in the basement, and

1 they have been for some time. 2 MS. WEINSTEIN: We didn't get in 3 our packages a basement floor plan. Is it essentially one room in front and one room in 4 5 back and a kitchen/bathroom in between? 6 MS. EDWARDS: I think he has it 7 here. 8 MR. SANDERS: Yes. MS. WEINSTEIN: The reason I ask 9 10 is that I, too, have an elderly, late eighties father, who lives in assisted living. And I'm 11 12 pretty familiar with the housing type, and any 13 kind of one-bedroom, you know, unit assisted living for people like this doesn't 14 have a means of egress from the bedroom and 15 16 the living room. Almost everyone from -- if you're 17 in the bedroom, you have to pass through the 18 19 living room, pass through the kitchenette, to 20 get out to the egress. So I guess I'll -- I'm

curious to look at your basement plan, but I'm

not quite understanding why in your case you feel you need two emergency egresses.

MR. McGHEE: Well, from looking at your plan here, I mean, I think you have to look at assisted living a little differently. I mean, it looks like the plan here is set up to be more akin to an ambulatory person's, you know, layout. But I think that if you are really worried about the kitchen preventing egress, you could always move the kitchen to the front of the house and have living and dining, bathroom and bedroom, in the back. And then, they'd be not obstructed by the kitchen.

So there's lots of ways to look at reorganizing things, so they can go out the back without having to change the front. Ι mean, I guess the biggest problem I have is that any sort of liaison with Mr. Dennee or the neighborhood historic folks would have this shown you that is not а winning

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

proposition.

So there's a lot of effort and time that has been spent, and time where they might be in jeopardy, when you could have solved it or -- by looking at the overall plan and how they get out the back and things like that, and protecting them in that way rather than trying to do a ramp, because, I mean, you know, if there's an emergency and, you know, getting to the scooter and getting on a scooter, that's not a real player, I mean, if there's really an emergency.

So, I mean, I think it's -- or getting help to them. I mean, the best thing is to make sure that people know how to access them from the rear, and so on and so forth.

I guess I'm a little concerned that if you make it a rental on the top floor, with no access to the basement, you just have to make sure that caregivers and people who might be coming to take care of your parents

1	know that you get in from the rear. I mean,
2	this I'm just not that ramp is not going
3	to be easy to get up and down for them alone.
4	I mean, that's sort of the problem there. So
5	
6	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Do you have
7	the zoning permit to you know, you need
8	zoning permission.
9	MR. SANDERS: Well, the first step
10	in the process to get a building permit is
11	Historic Preservation.
12	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: What reason
13	are you going to give for the Zoning
14	Commission?
15	MR. SANDERS: Reason for what?
16	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: For an
17	additional unit.
18	MR. SANDERS: It's already zoned.
19	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Huh?
20	MR. SANDERS: It's already zoned.
21	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Okay. Yes,

1 Ms. Lewis. 2 MS. LEWIS: Well, to clarify, I 3 think what's happening here is that when you make the basement a rental unit, then it 4 5 requires two means of egress, right? 6 MR. SANDERS: Upper level. 7 PARTICIPANT: No, it's the upper 8 level. 9 SANDERS: The upper level is MR. 10 the rental unit. MS. doesn't 11 LEWIS: But the 12 basement unit require -- it's also a unit, 13 right? 14 MR. SANDERS: Yes. 15 MS. LEWIS: And doesn't it require 16 two means of egress? I'm pretty sure it does. 17 And that's what -- that's what is happening, that in order to get two means of egress you 18 19 need the second egress directly outside from 20 the basement unit. But I'm suggesting that

parents' purposes

for

your

21

one

that

handicapped means of egress is pretty much standard. That's what most people have, and I believe that's all that's required in a handicapped unit.

And I think if you keep the main stair, you solve your immediate problem. And I -- my concern is that we don't want to approve things that we would not ordinarily approve for historic reasons in order to enable rental units. That's where I'm coming from.

So I would suggest that we support the staff report. If you need a second means of egress, maybe look to some other way to find it. But you probably need to think of your goals, you know, whether your goals are really for the elderly or for the rental unit. But it's hard for me to see a way to approve what has been proposed, and so I would support the staff report.

CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: I think that's

NEAL R. GROSS

1	what you're going to find is it's impossible
2	to make that kind of correction in the front
3	of the house. It has never been done. We
4	just can't allow it, especially where there is
5	already an at-grade means of ingress and
6	egress.
7	So, but I think Mr. Dennee can be
8	helpful to you on alternatives, and I would
9	encourage you to explore those. I think some
10	of them have been mentioned sprinkling,
11	moving the kitchen from one part to the other
12	part, you know, maybe making the exit, you
13	know, easier, and so forth.
14	So is there a motion, then, to
15	approve the staff report?
16	PARTICIPANT: So moved.
17	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: And is there a
18	second?
19	PARTICIPANT: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Yes. Further
21	discussion?

1	(No response.)
2	All in favor, signify by saying
3	aye.
4	(Chorus of ayes.)
5	Opposed?
6	(No response.)
7	It's unanimous.
8	I'm sorry we couldn't do more for
9	you. We're sympathetic to this sort of thing,
10	but I just don't think it's going to work in
11	the front of the house.
12	MR. SANDERS: May I add another
13	comment?
14	CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Yes, sir.
15	MR. SANDERS: We're concerned also
16	about the quality of life, the quality of the
17	habitable space that the Lucases have. They
18	have lived in that neighborhood in that
19	same house for about 50 or 60 years. And in
20	their twilight years, as we said before, they

have been forced to move to the basement. And

the conditions in the basement are not pleasant.

Consequently, the things that we're seeking to do would make it a more wholesome, a more healthful place, habitable place, yes, by bringing not only another means of egress and exit -- and entry, rather, but also bringing natural light and ventilation into the house.

If we move all of the parts of the building -- the parts of the house that don't necessarily need windows, like the kitchen and the bathrooms, to the back of the house, then you have a space that is enormously long that doesn't work well.

In essence, you've created a long shoebox-shaped one-bedroom -- one-room apartment. So it's a difficult issue to deal with. In the situation with regard to the historic preservation regulations --

MR. McGHEE: My take on that would

NEAL R. GROSS

be that, again, what Ms. Lewis said about, what's your primary goal, you could make the first and second floor their unit, and ramp down from the front, potentially get a ramp down from the rear -- ramp down from the front as a temporary measure by getting a lift inside the house or an elevator inside the house. There's lots of ways to get that to work, if renting upstairs is not the primary goal.

MR. SANDERS: It's not the primary goal.

MR. McGHEE: Even if you made them have the first floor as their unit, and the basement or perhaps -- then there's ways to get in and out. But by putting them in the basement, you created this -- you still have access from the rear, but you created that problem. I think to me the first thing -- them up on the first floor, and maybe for the short term, hopefully they live 100 more

years, but for the short term that the basement is not really used at this point.

There's ways to come in on the front and put a stair down to the basement. There's lots of ways, you know, to reconfigure this house to make them have one or two floors, depending on how you want to do it. I mean, they have all kinds of residential elevators now. They have chairlifts. They have other things that go up and down to give them two floors, to open up the floor.

But, again, that means reconfiguring the house a little bit more and putting it into their service. And it means necessarily reducing -- maybe a stair comes off the front lobby and goes up to -- maybe the rental part is just the second floor unit. You know, there's lot of ways to make it work, and we can discuss that offline.

But, you know, by putting them in the basement, the first decision putting them

1 in the basement has created this problem, 2 really, so --3 CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Okay. Well, 4 thank you. 5 MR. McGHEE: -- solve it, though. 6 MR. DENNEE: Ιf I may, Mr. 7 Chairman? 8 CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Yes. If I may just throw 9 MR. DENNEE: 10 out this idea. CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Yes. 11 MR. DENNEE: I mean, I did advise 12 13 applicants that the it was, you essentially nearly impossible to get approval 14 15 of this. But mindful of the idea 16 satisfying technically egress requirements, and getting more light and air, I suggested 17 that we could look at the possibility of a 18 19 window whose opening would satisfy secondary 20 requirements in the front under the egress

NEAL R. GROSS

porch, recessed a bit from the edge of the

slab.

And, therefore, while -- to a person who is not able-bodied, it would, you know, probably be of little use as egress. Certainly, if the apartment remained in service, you know, in later years, it -- that would work, and it would certainly introduce light and air.

CHAIRMAN BOASBERG: Okay. Well, I think there are lots of ideas that we can discuss. I'm just encouraging your clients to kind of talk with our people and with Fay. Okay?

Thanks very much.

(Whereupon, at 4:24 p.m., the proceedings in the foregoing matter were concluded.)