What's New at CRA?

Louise R. Summerhill 416.865.3416 lsummerhill@airdberlis.com

Professional Accountants PD Network

AIRD BERLIS

Summary

- General Comments
 - Taxpayer Bill of Rights Ombudsman Report / AG Report
 - Trusts
 - Real property transactions (ITA and HST)
 - Penalty assessments
 - New Rules for Voluntary disclosures
 - " Q & A



Current Audit Initiatives

- Trusts
 - Substance of trust arrangements
 - properly dated?
 - settlement funds?
 - proper signing authority exercised?
 - Trust allocations (less important with new rules but still important because of c.g. exemption)
 - who are beneficiaries?
 - " receive funds?
 - owed the funds legally?
 - Residency
 - management and control



- Real property project still ongoing
 - Principal residence
 - Income vs. capital
 - Gross negligence
 - " HST issues



- Factors considering:
 - Timelines
 - Financing
 - Pattern of behavior
 - Income
 - " Related business
 - Business of parents



- Negligence/Gross Negligence
 - Under ss. 152(4) a taxpayer may be reassessed in respect of a statute-barred year where the taxpayer "has made any misrepresentation that is attributable to neglect, carelessness or willful default or has committed any fraud..."
 - In ss. 163(2) every person who, knowingly, or under circumstances amounting to gross negligence, has made or participated in the making of a false statement or omission may be liable for penalties



- In both cases the Minister has the burden to prove negligence/gross negligence; *Lacroix v. R.*, 2009 DTC 5625 (FCA)
- Generally the CRA's position is that any mistake on a tax return is a "misrepresentation" and thus can reopen a statute barred year
- Term "misrepresentation" is undefined in the ITA
- Courts have noted that the purpose of the limitation period is to provide certainty and encourage diligence on the part of the CRA; R. v. Markevich, 2003 DTC 5185 (SCC) and Produits Forestiers St-Armand Inc. v. R., 2004 DTC 2494 (TCC)



- Where a taxpayer has a reasonably considered position, allowing the CRA to reassess beyond the limitation period would render the time limit theoretical; *Chaumont v. R.*, 2009 TCC 493
- Proving negligence more than a mere formality but not a particularly heavy onus; Chaumont
- Mere fact of misrepresentation insufficient to prove neglect; MNR v. Bisson, 72 DTC 6374 (FCTD)
- Bona fide belief in the information and simple mistake do not support negligence finding



- Must involve failing to make a reasonable effort to comply; Regina Shoppers Mall v. R., 91 DTC 5101 (FCA)
- Honest but incorrect belief that amounts reported properly will not be negligence what would a wise or prudent person do? *McKellar v. R.*, 2007 DTC 1007 (TCC)
- If taxpayer determines later that a mistake was made at the time of filing a return, and the taxpayer reasonably believed, at the time of filing, that the return was correct, no positive obligation to revise the return



- Gross negligence is "tantamount to intentional acting"; Venne v. R.
- Gross negligence penalty requires a higher degree of reprehensibility than opening a statute-barred year
- Courts say that if there are two viable and reasonable hypotheses, one justifying the penalty and one not, the benefit of the doubt should be given to the taxpayer; Farm Business Consultants Inc. v. R.



New Rules for Voluntary Disclosures

- Proposed changes released June 9, 2017 *Information Circular IC00-1R6*
- New rules effective for voluntary disclosures made after February 28, 2018
- CRA received approximately 5000 requests between February 26 and February 28, 2018



- No-names disclosure option is eliminated all disclosures must be made on a named basis
 - CRA will offer "pre-disclosure" discussions
 - anonymous discussion with a CRA official to provide insight into the VDP process, risks of non-compliance and relief under VDP
 - appears to be informational only no mention of discussion being used to *advise* taxpayer on possible tax implications of VDP disclosure
 - does not constitute acceptance into VDP protection does not start until name is revealed



- New condition: pre-payment of tax
 - In addition to four existing criteria (voluntary, complete, penalty and one year overdue)
 - Taxpayers required to either pay (or post security for) the amount of <u>taxes</u> they estimate to be outstanding at time disclosure is made
 - No mention of pre-payment for estimated interest or penalties



- Two new streams of relief: the "Limited Program" and "General Program"
- Under the "Limited Program" disclosing taxpayer gets:
 - Relief from prosecution and gross negligence penalties only
 - No relief for other penalties
 - No relief of interest



- Under the "General Program" disclosing taxpayer gets:
 - Full penalty relief (as with old VDP), but
 - Interest relief is limited to only 50% of the interest owed for taxation years preceding the three most recent years of returns required
 - e.g. no interest relief on three most recent years (consistent with current program)
 - Restricted objection rights on tax assessed
 - Calculation errors and characterization issues (e.g. capital v. income) only
 - Appeals following VDP uncommon in any event



- The "Limited Program" will be applied if, in the CRA's opinion, one or more of the following conditions exist:
 - Efforts to avoid detection through the use of offshore vehicles or other means
 - Large dollar amounts at issue
 - Multiple years of non-compliance
 - Taxpayer is sophisticated
 - GST/HST: Disclosure involves failure to remit tax collected (unless saved as a Wash Transaction)



- Disclosure is made after an official CRA statement regarding its intended focus of compliance or following CRA correspondence or campaigns
- Any other circumstance in which a high degree of taxpayer culpability contributed to failure to comply
- Interpretation of these conditions and how they will be applied by CRA remains unclear
- If "Limited Program" is not applied, then disclosure will fall in to the more generous "General Program"



- Additional circumstances where VDP relief will not be granted include:
 - Reporting income from proceeds of a crime
 - Corporations with gross revenue in excess of \$250 million in at least two of its last five taxation years
 - Transfer pricing adjustments or penalties under section 247 of ITA
 - Discretionary agreements under a tax treaty



- New information required on initial disclosure
 - Proof of pre-payment of estimated tax
 - Identity of any tax advisor who assisted taxpayer in non-compliance
 - Whether taxpayer made a previous disclosure under the VDP
 - Whether the disclosure relates to foreign source income
 - May trigger classification for "Limited Program"



Contact:



Partner
Isummerhill@airdberlis.com
T 416.865.3416

Presented by:

AIRD BERLIS

Doc Id: 34942520