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THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, everybody. Let's go ahead and call the case, please.

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Calling United States of
America versus Rogerio Chaves Scotton, case number 12-60049-CR-Rosenbaum/Matthewman, second superceding.

THE COURT: All right. And let's get appearances, please.

We have Mr. Scotton here, proceeding pro se.
Good afternoon, Mr. Scotton.
Who else do we have?
MR. KREISS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jason Kreiss, Standby Counsel for Mr. Scotton. This is Felipe Jaramillo, who's an attorney in my office.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. JARAMILLO: Good afternoon, Judge.
THE COURT: Mr. Jaramillo, how are you this afternoon?

MR. JARAMILLO: Fine, thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Great.
This matter is down today for an in camera ex parte hearing on the Defendant's motion to compel various procedural matters, Docket Entry 207, and I believe that's what it's set down for, and I know there were some other motions that were filed, we may start to address those.
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There are certain issues that need to be addressed today, so why don't we first start, I think, with the first issue here.

And just for the record, the hearing is sealed. It's being held ex parte, and the only people that are present are my staff, courtroom security officers, marshals, Mr. Scotton, and his Standby Counsel.

The Government is not present because this deals with Defense matters, such as service of subpoenas and potentially expert matters.

And also, before we get started, let me -- well, I'll get to that in a minute. Let's see if we can't go through one thing at a time, I'll get to that shortly.

As far as the subpoenas, I know one of the reasons we set the hearing down this afternoon was because the Defendant had made a request for Rule $17(\mathrm{~b})$ subpoenas, and obviously, under Rule 17(b), there has to be a good faith basis for the subpoenas, they have to be relevant to the matter, and the motion that had been filed did not specify any addresses or any justification for the Rule $17(b)$ subpoena request.

So I think it was set down today so that Mr. Scotton could have the time to go through and make a reasonable list of witnesses, with addresses and the reasons that he needs them subpoenaed.

So Mr. Scotton, I'll turn to you on that. Have you
made any headway on that?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. And where are we?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I got some other witnesses and I've also put together some address and some -- some of them --

THE COURT: You're going to have to speak into the microphone, and you're going to have to speak up clearly and slowly, so the court reporter can get down what you're having to say.

Now, you indicated that you had some witnesses --
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Some of them, I do have.
THE COURT: Hold on.
You've indicated that you had some witnesses that you've gotten addresses for?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. You want to first -- do you have a list that's been typed up or that's written?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, it is write by -- you know, I just write by pencil.

THE COURT: All right. Do you want to start then and tell me who's your first witness that you wish to have subpoenaed.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Robert Abreu.
THE COURT: Robert --

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Abreu.
THE COURT: How do you spell that.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Last name, A-B-R-E-U.
THE COURT: And Mr. Abreu, is this for the trial that you need him subpoenaed?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. And what's the basis for
Mr. Abreu, that you need to have him subpoenaed at the trial?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He has knowledge about the
Federal Express drug scam and it's for use of the best evidence rule as well, and some of the files was destroyed, some of my personal files. He's one of my second lawyers on the case.

THE COURT: Okay, let me get it straight. Mr. Abreu was one of your prior defense lawyers in this case?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: And he's an attorney in Miami; is that right?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: That's correct.
THE COURT: All right. So how would Mr. Abreu have any relevance to your trial defense?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He has all the paperwork that has been handed to him and he transferred the paperwork to other lawyers and that paperwork is gone.

THE COURT: He had your prior paperwork, he handed it
to another lawyer, and now the paperwork is gone.
What type of paperwork are you talking about?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Confession letter of the person
that did this fraud that you guys are accusing me for.
THE COURT: The what?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: The confession letter that
Rosenbaum already has a copy of.
THE COURT: Well, if you already have a copy of the -- is this the Duarte letter?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: If you already have a copy of the Duarte letter that you say that you provided to Judge Rosenbaum, then why do you need to have Mr. Abreu to have a copy of the Duarte letter?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: It's not just him, Your Honor. He has the knowledge about the drug scam, there are report many times, and that's the reason we believe that's why I'm in jail now.

THE COURT: Okay. He has knowledge of what type of a drug scheme?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: The Federal Express is investigated by California United States Attorney's Office right now for drug scam.

THE COURT: All right. And what type of knowledge does Mr. Abreu have of this alleged drug scam, firsthand
knowledge or just something he's read about?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, he's got some evidence that my family has given to him.

THE COURT: What evidence does he have?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Something we're going to go ahead and talk in trial.

THE COURT: All right. The request for a subpoena to Mr. Abreu is denied. Under Rule 17(b), the Defendant has not made a sufficient showing that Mr. Abreu's testimony is necessary, and let me just cite a case --

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Can I have a moment with the standby lawyer?

THE COURT: Let me just cite a case. You can talk to your lawyer in just a -- your standby lawyer in just a second.

The case is United States versus Schaller, S-C-H-A-L-L-E-R, which is 401 Fed.Appx.419. It's also 2010 Westlaw, 413-7369, it's Eleventh Circuit, 2010.

And what that case states is that, "A defendant making a Rule $17(\mathrm{~b})$ motion bears the burden of articulating specific facts that show that a requested witness's testimony is relevant and necessary.
"Once the defendant asserts facts which, if true, would be relevant to any issue, the motion for a subpoena must be granted, unless the assertions are facially incredible or unless the Government can show that they are untrue or that
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the request is frivolous."
And I don't see any relevance of Mr. Abreu at the trial of this case, I don't believe it's relevant testimony, I don't believe it's necessary testimony. It appears to be frivolous to the Court, so I'm going to deny the request for a subpoena to Mr. Abreu.

Did you want time to talk to Mr. Kreiss?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Put the background noise on, and you can go ahead and consult --

MR. KREISS: Could I have that cite one more time.
THE COURT: Yes, sir, Mr. Kreiss. It's U.S. versus
Schaller, S-C-H-A-L-L-E-R. It's 401 Fed.Appx.419, or 2010 Westlaw, 413-7369, Eleventh Circuit, 2010.

MR. KREISS: Thank you.
THE COURT: And we'll go ahead and give Mr. Scotton a chance to speak to you. Take just a moment to do that.
(Discussion off the record between Defendant and counsel.)

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Scotton. You've had a chance to speak with your Standby Counsel for the last several minutes. Did you have anything else you wanted to add about Mr. Abreu?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: The thing about Mr. Abreu, Your Honor, is I guess more -- the subpoena is more for the
evidentiary hearing than for the trial.
THE COURT: Okay. The evidentiary hearing is only on
some limited issues regarding the -- as we specified at the last hearing, one of the main issues is basically the issues regarding the affidavits that were filed by Mr. Colon and your mother, or the one affidavit that was signed by both of them filed by, I believe, Mr. Colon.

I don't see where Mr. Abreu talking about documents that may have been lost or misplaced, allegedly, would have any relevance to the evidentiary hearing.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He spoke to the private investigator a couple days ago and he confirmed that, you know, a lot of documents had disappeared. So this is coming from the prosecutor misconducted, and maybe some of the other lawyers there, the last two lawyers.

THE COURT: Well, does he have any firsthand knowledge that it was the prosecutor or the agent who made the documents disappear?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, the documentation has been -- he explained to the investigator that he -- the last one that has give, that he gave to Chantel. So every single lawyer now ping pong my documents to somebody else.

So the bottom line is that at one point, all the documents that clear my name has disappeared.

THE COURT: Well, what other documents clear your
name, other than the so-called Duarte letter that you've referenced?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: The letter comes together with the video, the video's gone.

THE COURT: What video is gone?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: From Duarte, confession. Duarte went in front of the court in Brazil. As a matter of fact -and I don't know if Rosenbaum, Judge Rosenbaum has showed the letter, the letter was signed in front of the court in Brazil. Duarte write a letter in front of the court in Brazil.

THE COURT: But you've indicated you already gave a copy of the letter to Judge Rosenbaum.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, it is the original, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't know, sir.
Okay. I'm going to deny the request for a subpoena to Mr. Abreu. I don't find his testimony relevant to either the trial or the upcoming evidentiary hearing, which is a very limited evidentiary hearing.

All right. Who would be your next witness, Mr. Scotton, that you wish to subpoena?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Mr. Lacey Miao. THE COURT: All right. Could you spell that, please. DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Last name, M-I-A-O. THE COURT: M-I --

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: First name, L-A-C-E-Y. THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Lacey, L-A-C-E-Y, Miao, M-I-A-O.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And can you tell the Court what relevance that Mr. Lacey Miao has to your trial in this case. DEFENDANT SCOTTON: This is related to my bank accounts.

THE COURT: All right. And can you tell me what about your bank accounts that you need to call Mr. Miao in order to either refute the Government's case or support a defense that you have.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, he testified that I have not done nothing wrong with my opened my accounts, as the Government claimed that I have too much open accounts, check accounts. So I want him to testify if there is something wrong opening more than three, four accounts.

THE COURT: Sorry. And where is Mr. Miao located? DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Deerfield Beach, Florida. THE COURT: I'm sorry?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Deerfield.
THE COURT: Al right. And do you have an address for Mr. Miao?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have the branch, it is down on Hillsborough and Military, and I have the phone number here
for him.
THE COURT: What bank is it?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: It's a Bank of America.
THE COURT: Bank of America, and where did you say it's located?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: It was Hillsborough Boulevard and Military, Military Trail.

THE COURT: And you say that's in Deerfield Beach, Florida.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir. I have his number here.

THE COURT: What's the phone number?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: (954)725-6854.
THE COURT: (954)725-6854.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And you're saying that Mr. Miao was -was he the account representative for your bank accounts?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: And you're saying that he will testify as to the matter in which you opened the accounts and the fact that they were all what, legitimate and legal?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: They're legitimate, there's nothing wrong.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to go ahead and authorize a subpoena to be served for trial on Mr. Lacey Miao

[^0]at the Bank of America, Hillsborough Boulevard and Military Trail, Deerfield Beach, Florida. And if the -- if either Standby Counsel or Defendant can get an address, then that would be helpful.

Of course, you'll have to put that in the subpoena anyway and that would be obviously a trial subpoena, for the trial that $I$ believe is set, at this point, in January.

MR. KREISS: Judge, my intention is after this hearing, I'm going to keep a list of all the witnesses that you approve, and I will produce subpoenas, hopefully by the end of the week.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Kreiss. MR. KREISS: And Judge, I have an address, I believe, at 1701 West Hillsborough Boulevard, Deerfield Beach.

THE COURT: 1701 West Hillsborough Boulevard, and that's --

MR. KREISS: Deerfield Beach.
THE COURT: Deerfield Beach, Florida.
MR. KREISS: Correct.
THE COURT: All right. Excellent.
All right. Who's your next witness that you'd like to have subpoenaed, Mr. Scotton.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Last name is spelled C-O-L-U-M-B-A, Columba, first name Julio, J-U-L-I-O.

THE COURT: Julio Columba?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: And is it $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{A}$ or O ?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{A}$.
THE COURT: B-A, Columba.
All right. And what would Mr. Columba testify to,
what would he be relevant to at your trial?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He's the vice-president of South America for DHL. Many times, I have a call him myself for the problem with the shipments they have arrived in Brazil, with some of them.

THE COURT: And where is he located?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He's in Plantation, from the corporate office.

THE COURT: All right. What's his address?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Sorry, I don't know the address for the corporate office of DHL.

THE COURT: All right. So you're saying that he's the vice-president for South America of DHL?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: And you contacted him personally in order to complain about delivery problems or something of that nature?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: That's correct, also.
THE COURT: And what else -- is there anything else he could testify to as to the alleged legitimacy of your use Friday, December 13, 2013
of DHL, or what?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, Your Honor, as a matter of fact, some of the -- on the times that I contact him, some problems with some packages be hold over there in Brazil, and I call him, I explain to him who I am.

He has knowledge who I am because all the race that I done, also partial with some sponsorship from the company. He resolved the problem. So if was some kind of problem of me fraudulently using accounts, I mean, he should have told me right there on the phone right there, so they release my package and my package was delivered to Brazil.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and authorize a subpoena, trial subpoena to be issued to Julio Columba. I'll need an address for him.

I don't know if you have that now, Mr. Kreiss.
MR. KREISS: We do, Your Honor. It's 1200 South Pine
Island Road, Plantation.
THE COURT: All right, thank you.
Now, keep in mind, Mr. Scotton, that when I authorize
a subpoena for a witness, that means I'm authorizing it under Rule $17(\mathrm{~b})$ because you've made a sufficient showing for the Court to authorize the expenditure of funds to serve the subpoena on an indigent basis.

However, the final ruling as to whether any of these witnesses are permitted to testify at trial is up to Judge
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Rosenbaum at the time of trial. I'm merely authorizing you either to be or not be allowed, under 17(b), to serve subpoenas on them.

All right. So what would be the next witness that you would seek to subpoena under 17(b)?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: First name is Linda, and last name is $O-S-B-E-R--O-S-B-E-R-J$. THE COURT: Linda $O-S-B-E-R-J ?$ DEFENDANT SCOTTON: G, yeah, Osberg. THE COURT: Linda Osberg, okay. And what is her position?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: She's immigration lawyer.
THE COURT: All right. And what is she going to testify to at the trial?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: As far as she explain to my family, to myself in jail, she said that pretty much immigration has committed a fraud against me.

THE COURT: That what?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Immigration has committed a fraud against me.

THE COURT: Immigration has committed a fraud against you in which way?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Denial of my paperwork, denial of saying my marriage is a sham. There's some other litigation that she mentioned in court -- I mean in jail to me about my

[^1]case with immigration.
She pretty much going to testify that I was not here illegally, I was not here doing any crime, I have not break any rule with immigration. Immigration has knowledge that I was here the whole time.

THE COURT: Okay. That would be a legal opinion on her behalf, right, which might be relevant to some immigration proceeding, but I don't see the relevance of that in this criminal proceeding.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I've been charged also with a sham marriage, Your Honor. I've been charged with giving false statement to immigration.

THE COURT: Right. But she can't testify as to whether or not it was a sham marriage or not. That's not for her to decide, that's for the jury to decide, so I'm struggling to find any relevance for Ms. Osberg to be subpoenaed to testify at your trial.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: The fact that she used to work many years to immigration. If she brought the paperworks, even the letter that $I$ keep saying is a fake letter, she stated the letter was fake. The letter never come up at immigration's office.

THE COURT: That letter was primarily used for your detention hearing, not necessarily for trial. So again, I don't see what the relevance is for the trial phase of this
case.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, I'm being accused of committing fraud, okay. This Government has accused me of shipping packages to Brazil and not paying the shipping companies for the shipping costs by using somebody else accounts.

I'm trying to understand, and I don't want to be rude to anybody or disrespect, but as far as I understand it, even a guilty person has the right to -- they got a right, right, to defend themselves, to do whatever is by the book.

So I'm asking the Court to not only have a patient, but give me my rights to defend myself. If the Prosecutor wave a fake letter in court, this is a crime, Your Honor. You know, we cannot just blind ourselves over this.

You know, I'm trying to show points to the Court here that a lot of paperwork that I have flash in my face. I have a whole folder here of paperwork that has been present to the grand jury that has nothing to do with me, I have no idea who this person is. But, of course, to try incriminate me, all this paperwork has been present to the grand jury.

So I'm trying to understand the law here, how far we're going to get it to stretch here because it does not make sense. I know that your court probably already tired of me here. Maybe, Your Honor, you think I don't respect, you know, you too much by seeing my motions. But understand, at this
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point, Your Honor, it's just, I got to try to get his life back. For whatever reason, someone's doing this to me, and I'm just trying to prove here that $I$ am innocent of the charges. It is right there on the wall right now.

So all these people that I've spoke to, even, you know, the immigration lawyer, she told me what they been doing to me is disgraceful, and I agree with her, and that's why I'm trying to fight this case. So I want to have the opportunity to do that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, you'll have the opportunity to do that, that's what a trial's all about.

But let me explain to you, just like any other defendant, a defendant in a case has an opportunity to fight the case, they have an opportunity to have a fair trial; however, they don't have an opportunity to call frivolous witnesses or witnesses that are irrelevant to the case.

You can't call a witness to give an opinion that you're innocent. That's just not the way things work.

She doesn't have any -- from what I can see and heard from your proffer, she doesn't have any firsthand testimony that would be admissible at a criminal trial, plus there may also be attorney-client issues that would be raised, but I don't really see where there's any relevance to why Ms. Osberg would be called to testify about the charges in this case, which are alleged fraudulent DHL shipping and false
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statements.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, she's not my lawyer, Your Honor, I never retain her.

THE COURT: If she's not your lawyer, why is she giving you legal opinion?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: She came over there because I -you know, someone introduced me to her, and I just want to ask her opinion, what's going on with my immigration case, why this nonsense is happen now.

And she explained to me, she went to immigration, yes, she spoke to people at immigration. She say that someone is doing something wrong to you, this is a personal matter, you know.

THE COURT: Okay, I'm going to deny your request for a subpoena to Ms. Osberg.

Who would be your next witness that you would propose to subpoena.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: My current immigration lawyer.
THE COURT: And who is that?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: First name is Grant, last name, K-A-P-L-A-N, Kaplan.

THE COURT: All right, so the first name is Grant, $\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{T}$ ?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Second name, Kaplan, K-A-P-L-A-N. He's
your current immigration lawyer.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: That's correct.
THE COURT: What would he testify to at trial? Is it
similar to Ms. Osberg?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, it's facts of my marriage not being a sham.

THE COURT: He has facts about your marriage not being a sham?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yeah.
THE COURT: Such as what?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Video pictures, interviews, many interviews that we had together in his office.

THE COURT: Oh, interviews with you?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, with me and my wife.
THE COURT: All right. So did Mr. Kaplan interview your wife?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: And are you planning on calling your wife as a witness?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. Then if you're calling your wife as a witness, why would you need to have Mr. Kaplan talk about interviews with your wife because that would be hearsay, of him talking about interviews with your wife.

And if you're calling your wife, she would be the one

[^2]that would testify about the information, so what would Mr. Kaplan in any way add to your defense of the case?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He know the case, also he did my appealing.

THE COURT: He did what?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He did my appealing, he filed my appealing of the denial.

THE COURT: Okay. So he appealed your -- so he's your immigration lawyer, who's tried to appeal and handle your immigration matter.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Right. I guess now I've been charged with giving false statement to immigration, so he's the person involved. He's got all the paperwork, so he need to, you know -- as much as the Government is going to go ahead and testify that I did false statements, he's going to testify that -- he's going to reject it because it's not real.

THE COURT: Okay. So you're saying he can testify that the statements that you made to immigration were not false.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, he can prove that with the evidence.

THE COURT: All right. What is his address?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: 700 West Camino Real, Suite
Number 100.
THE COURT: That's in Boca?
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DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. So it's 700 West Camino Real
Boulevard, Suite 100, in Boca Raton, Florida.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. I don't know if it will ultimately be relevant at trial, but I'm going to allow a Rule 17(b) subpoena to be served on Grant Kaplan.

Who's your next witness?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have a -- it used to be a detective, I think he's been promoted to sergeant, it's David Conklin from the Palm Beach Sheriff's Department.

THE COURT: David?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yeah, David, last name spelled $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}$--

THE COURT: K-L-I-N?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. And what would Detective Conklin have to say about your trial that would help you defend your case?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, in this case here, it's two things. He's going to be one of my character witness, but also he saw me, times I report this behavior of this company with package, you know, shipping and getting lost, and opium, you know, the drug scam from those companies.

THE COURT: All right. So he's your character
witness, first of all.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Mr. David used to live with me for two months when he had some marriage problem.

THE COURT: All right. So he's your character witness and also supposedly -- or you claim that you reported what to him; the DHL drug scam that you allege?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He used to follow some of my race events, so he knows my relationship with those companies before.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to allow you to subpoena Mr. Conklin. Do you have an address for him, is it Palm Beach Sheriff's Office?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, but I don't have the address for him.

THE COURT: All right. I'm sure Mr. Kreiss can locate that.

All right. Who would your next witness be that you propose to subpoena?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: His last name, and it's spelled, Tersakyan, $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{N}$, first name, Daron.

THE COURT: What's the first name?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: D-A-R-O-N.
THE COURT: Okay. So it's D-A-R-O-N, and let me see if $I$ have the last name right. T, as in Tom, $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{N}$.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: That's correct, sir.

THE COURT: All right. And what would you have him testify to at your trial?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He worked for a company called Invest Limit (sic), it's the company that -THE COURT: He worked for -- what's the name of the company?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Investment Limit.
THE COURT: Investment Limited?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right, go ahead.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: They are right off of Palmetto
Park and Federal Highway in Boca Raton. They the ones that was -- hold the contract of the store that I had, the Federal Express store that I had in Boca.

THE COURT: All right. So you're saying that
Mr. Tersakyan worked for Investments Limited and that
Investments Limited had the contract for your UPS store.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, my Federal Express store. THE COURT: Your Federal Express store. DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. And what relevance is that to your case, if, in fact international -- Investments Limited, you're saying that they were the landlord for your Federal Express store?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: That's correct, sir.
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THE COURT: What relevance does that have?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: One of the reports of the FBI has
a false statement from him, so he need to come and testify on that respect of the statement he give to the FBI.

THE COURT: You say that he gave a false statement to the FBI. Can you just elaborate on what the alleged false statement was.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I guess the FBI claimed that the contract that I have with his company, you know, something was not right, $I$ guess he suggested it's some modification on a contract.

THE COURT: All right. Do you have an address? DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, sir. I have the number here, the phone number. I know they're right there by Palmetto Park and Federal Highway. It's a huge company, they pretty much own Boca Raton.

THE COURT: Palmetto Park Road and Federal Highway, and what's the phone number?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: (561)392-8920.
THE COURT: (561)292-8920 (sic), okay. I'm going to authorize a subpoena for -- I'm going to authorize a subpoena for Mr. Tersakyan.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: We have the address, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right, go ahead.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: It is 215 North Federal Highway,
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Number 1.
THE COURT: Boca Raton.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. That's been authorized.
What's your next witness that you wish to subpoena for trial?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have Ivor, last name, L-E-V-Y. THE COURT: And what's the first name?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I-V-O-R.
THE COURT: Ivor, okay, Ivor Levy. What would Mr. Levy testify about that would be relevant to your defense at trial?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: The FBI claim in his report that, a photo lineup, he point me, that he used to come to my place and deliver package, and pick up package, and he claim some kind of nonsense reports or so. So I need him to come to testify in reference some of the statements that he has made to the FBI agent.

THE COURT: All right. So he made statements to the FBI and you wish to --

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Cross-examine him, yes.
THE COURT: At your trial, okay. What's his address?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, as I understand, the hub that he work for, it is in Deerfield Beach by Hillsborough Boulevard also and --

Friday, December 13, 2013

THE COURT: I'm not -- you have to slow down with your speaking, we're not getting you 100 percent.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Okay.
THE COURT: You say you believe he works in Deerfield
Beach.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Oh, no, I'm pretty much sure of the location.

THE COURT: Okay. What was Mr. Levy's role, was he a UPS employee?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yeah, he a UPS driver.
THE COURT: A UPS driver.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. So Mr Levy's a UPS driver and you believe he still works for UPS.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Do you know which UPS facility he works
at?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, it's the one at Deerfield Beach, Florida. It's -- hold on one second, Your Honor.

We got the address, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. What is it?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: It's 1332 Northwest -- what is that, Third Street?

MR. KREISS: Third Street.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Third Street.
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THE COURT: 1332 Northwest Third Street?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. And what city?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Deerfield Beach.
THE COURT: Is that a UPS facility?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, it is.
MR. KREISS: It's a customer service center.
THE COURT: All right. We got the -- it's a UPS customer service center.

All right. I'm going to go ahead and authorize a subpoena to that gentleman, Mr. Levy.

Who's your next proposed subpoena witness?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Last name is Scotton,
$\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}$.
THE COURT: First name?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Claudia, C-L-A-U-D-I-A.
THE COURT: All right. And who is -- is she related to you?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: She's married to my brother.
THE COURT: Sister-in-law.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. And what would Ms. Scotton testify to?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Seems to be some packages were shipping through her and the person claimed that she used to

[^3]work for me, and also is a check that was handed to her,
deposit into an account, so it's part of discovery.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to authorize that witness. Where is she located?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: She's in Coral Spring, I guess we can -- I don't know if you'd be able to go ahead and give the same address of my brother because they're, right now, separated. My brother's living at my mother's house.

THE COURT: Where is Claudia Scotton residing right now?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: She's somewhere in Coral Spring, Your Honor.

The only way that I can probably get ahold is through my brother, so if I --

THE COURT: Well, why don't you do this: Why don't you give me the address of -- do you think if you put down the address of your mother --

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: My brother can hand it to her, yeah.

THE COURT: Or the address of your brother, is your brother living with your mother now?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yeah, right now, he's staying with her.

THE COURT: All right. What address, then, would that be?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: 7797 --
THE COURT: 7797 --
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Golf Circle Drive.
THE COURT: Gulf or Golf?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, Golf, like the game golf.
THE COURT: Okay. Golf, G-O-L-F, Circle Drive.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Apartment Number 204.
THE COURT: Number 204, coral Springs?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, Margate.
THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry, Margate, Florida. All right.

And then if you obtain a better address for her, that can be listed on the subpoena. I'm going to go ahead and allow you and grant your request to serve a subpoena on Claudia Scotton.

Who would your next proposed witness be?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Then I have a Fred Books.
THE COURT: Fred, how do you spell the last name?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: B-O-O-K-S.
THE COURT: That's B, as in boy?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: B-O-O-K-S, all right. And where's he
located, is he in South Florida?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, 4451 Northwest 31st Avenue.
THE COURT: And what city is that?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Oakland Park, Florida. THE COURT: Is that a house?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, this is an immigration office building.

THE COURT: Fred Books, B-O-O-K-S.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: Is that at the immigration facility?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. And what would Mr. Books testify about?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: A lot of statement that I saw on the reports from the FBI that don't make any sense for Fred Books to be saying that on reports.

THE COURT: So he's a fact witness about some of the allegations against --

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yeah, a lot of allegations. As a matter of fact, you know, the letter that we talk about so much, the FBI claim that he brought the letter, you know, he the one that has made the letter.

THE COURT: All right. I'll go ahead and allow you to subpoena -- and you're sure it's Fred Books and not Fred Brooks?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, sir. Let me make sure, because I have a letter from him here.

THE COURT: Why don't you look at it because if you
send it to a Fred Books and it's really Fred Brooks, it's not going to be effective.

I thought I heard his name at a prior hearing and as I recall, it was Brooks, but --

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, I got it here B-R-O-O-K-S.
THE COURT: All right. So it's Fred Brooks, Immigration and Nationalization Service, and we have the address. All right, I'm going to go ahead and authorize that subpoena.

Who would be next?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Last name is $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{O}$.
THE COURT: B, as in boy?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: O --
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Double R, O-T-O.
THE COURT: Borroto, okay. And what's the first name?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: First name is Jose.
THE COURT: Jose, all right. And what would
Mr. Borroto have to testify about?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, FBI claim that he's the landlord of the place that my -- my wife lived there for many years.

THE COURT: I didn't understand.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Okay. On one of the reports of
the FBI, the FBI claim that he's the landlord --
THE COURT: The landlord.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yeah, for my wife, and that my wife live on his property for many years.

THE COURT: Okay.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: As I understand from my investigator, this man doesn't speak English and my wife doesn't live there for many years, so he need to come testify on what has been said on the FBI reports.

THE COURT: All right. He gave a statement to the FBI.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. What's his address?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: The address, I think I have that address, he live in Hialeah, Your Honor. The address might be on my other files in FDC, because on the FBI report, it has his address.

THE COURT: All right. So you'll need to get that specific address and give it to Mr. Kreiss.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Okay.
THE COURT: But I'm going to go ahead and authorize Mr. Borroto to be subpoenaed, subject to a proper address.

All right. Your next witness.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Also I have my second lawyer, immigration lawyer.

THE COURT: Who's that?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Her last name is $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{E}$. THE COURT: H-A-M-A-D-E, and the first name?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: R-I-H-A-B.
THE COURT: Rihab, all right. Rihab Hamade, he was your first immigration lawyer?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: He's no longer your attorney now?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No.
THE COURT: All right. And why would he be relevant;
same reasons as the prior immigration attorney?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: What specifically?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, she spent a lot of time with my wife, Your Honor. As a matter of fact, when $I$ was not driving my race, she has called me and some papers or whatever things that she need to speak to us, my wife was in the office, spoke to her, so she will be able to testify that, you know, we live together, we married, you know, we have a life together. The allegations that say my marriage is a sham is complete wrong.

THE COURT: All right. So she's discussed these matters with your wife?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: And your wife's going to be a witness,
you said, right?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. What's your wife's name, by the way.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Ailyn.
THE COURT: Last name?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Mollinedo.
THE COURT: How do you spell that?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Is that $\mathrm{M}-0$, double L, I --
Mollinedo -- D-O, Your Honor.
THE COURT: M-O-L-L-I --
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yeah. M-O, double L, I-N-E-D-O, Mollinedo.

THE COURT: All right. So you're saying that Ms. Hamade was your first immigration lawyer, she interviewed your wife, Ms. Mollinedo.

Anything else she would testify to or any other matters that she would testify to?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, she just going to testify on the matter of this marriage, Your Honor, it being a sham.

THE COURT: Again, I'll authorize a subpoena to her; however, I don't know if it will ultimately be admissible at trial, that will be up to Judge Rosenbaum and the way in which the trial develops.

But there is the potential that perhaps she might be
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Who else, who's your next one?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Do you need the address for her,
Your Honor?
THE COURT: I do, that's correct.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: She's at 300 North Federal
Highway.
THE COURT: Suite number?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Number 100, in Boca Raton as well.

THE COURT: Okay. Who's your next witness? DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have a Carla Felini. THE COURT: Spell the first name.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: C-A-R-L-A. THE COURT: And spell the last name.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: F-E-L-I-N-I.
THE COURT: Carla Felini, what would Ms. Felini testify to.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: She's the one that opened the store together, the Federal Express store in Boca Raton. THE COURT: Do you have an address for her? DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Can you switch?

I got the address here.
THE COURT: All right, go ahead.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: It is 3907 North Federal Highway. THE COURT: Any suite number?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No.
THE COURT: And that's Boca Raton?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, it is in Pompano Beach.
THE COURT: Pompano Beach. 3907 North Federal
Highway, Pompano Beach?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: That's correct, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. I'm going to go ahead and authorize the subpoena to Ms. Felini.

Who's your next witness?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I got her sister as well, Your
Honor.
THE COURT: Her sister?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: And what would her sister -- what is her sister's name?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Cristiane.
THE COURT: How do you spell that.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: C-R-I-S-T-I-A-N-E.
THE COURT: C-R-I-S-T-I-A-N-E, and her last name? DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Now she's married, I'm pretty much sure it's $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A}$, Fonseca.

THE COURT: Cristiane Fonseca, and what would she testify to?
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DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, she worked for me as well,
Your Honor, for a couple of weeks.
THE COURT: At the UPS store?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. You have an address?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: The same address, they pretty
much work together.
THE COURT: Same as Ms. Felini?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right, that's authorized. We'll
authorize a subpoena to Ms. Felini and to Ms. Fonseca.
Who's the next witness?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, I have another member of her family, her father.

THE COURT: Okay. The name?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: The first name spells $S-A-D-Y$.
THE COURT: $S$, as in Sam?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: A-D-Y, okay.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: And last name is Felini. $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{E}$.

THE COURT: Okay. F-E-L-I-N-E, or I?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I, Your Honor, I'm sorry.
THE COURT: I, okay. And similar --
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: The same address, yeah.

THE COURT: All right. And did Mr. Sady Felini work for you?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yeah, he worked in the store, also during the construction in our store. When $I$ was in jail, he was the one who closed the store.

THE COURT: All right. So he worked for you at your UPS store.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. That is authorized, same
address as Carla Felini, right?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. That's authorized.
Next witness that you have?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have a Daniel, D-A-N-E -- I'm sorry, Your Honor, D-A-N-I-E-L, last name, Fonseca, $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A}$.

THE COURT: All right. And what would Mr. Daniel Fonseca have to testify about, did he work for you, also? DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, he did a lot of work at the stores with the computers.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to authorize that one, and that's the same address as Carla Felini, Sady Felini? DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, who's your next? DEFENDANT SCOTTON: We got my wife already, right,

Your Honor?
THE COURT: You mentioned your wife's name, but you did not say you wanted her subpoenaed. Do you want her subpoenaed?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. And that's Ailyn, E-I-L --
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, no, it's A-I-L-Y-N.
THE COURT: A-I-L-Y-N?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: And that's M-O-L-L-I-N-E-D-O?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. A-I-L-Y-N, M-O-L-L-I-N-E-D-O.
And she's your wife and she'll testify, according to you, that there was no sham marriage.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, I don't know about that anymore, Your Honor, but she's going to have to come testify.

THE COURT: Okay. And an address for her?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have to be honest with the Court, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, I don't -- I have no idea.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, I got two reports. One report say that we're married, and now she's come up with a report saying we're not married, so --

THE COURT: All right. Well, that's what a trial's all about.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Exactly.
THE COURT: What's her address?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, I have the driver's license and I have phone number. As I understand, she's in Hialeah somewhere right now.

THE COURT: All right. What address do you have off the driver's license?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I just got the driver's license number, $I$ don't know if that help the marshals.

THE COURT: No, you need to have an address, so what you'll need to do is --

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Address, Your Honor, everything still -- because I just received a couple of evidence in here, from my family, I mean everything still come to my address, so she never even change the address.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: She never even changed the address, everything still come to our old address as a couple.

THE COURT: But she's not living there?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No.
THE COURT: Okay. What I will do is I'm going to grant a subpoena to Ms. Ailyn, A-I-L-Y-N, Mollinedo; however, you'll need to supply a correct address.

You have a private investigator --
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.

THE COURT: -- that person could find it.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Okay.
THE COURT: But I will grant a subpoena to her, to your wife, subject to a correct address being supplied.

All right. And do you have any other witnesses that you want to subpoena or have the Court consider ordering subpoenas to be issued to at this time?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: My employee, Renata.
THE COURT: R-E-N --
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: R-E-N-A-T-A.
THE COURT: And the last name?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Her name used to be -- the last name used to be Moura, I don't if it change.

THE COURT: All right. What is it?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: M-O-U-R-A.
THE COURT: M-O-U-R-A, and you said she's an employee. Is this another allegation that she was an employee of yours at the UPS store?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, she worked for me to the entire company.

THE COURT: What, I didn't get that?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: The company that is involved in the fraud, the sham fraud, whatever they call it.

[^4]THE COURT: What's the name of the company?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Sky Air.
THE COURT: Sky Air.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yeah, she worked for Sky Air, but
she used to work in the store as well.
THE COURT: And was Sky Air your company?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: And what would she testify to about Sky Air, being an employee of Sky Air?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, Your Honor, you know, basically seeing two different reports now from FBI, she said one thing, and now she change her story as well, and I'm pretty much sure -- I already got an idea why she has lied on her reports, and I guess she's going to have to come up and testify of those allegations now.

THE COURT: Okay. So she's given statements to the FBI --

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: That is not true.
THE COURT: -- about factual allegations underlying the indictment.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: And I believe that she doing this, because she's the one has committed sham marriage and they pretty much know now.

THE COURT: All right. What's her address?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have her number. I think the
investigator might be able to get the address as well, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to go ahead and grant that subpoena to be issued, again, subject to the Defendant providing a correct address or a current address. DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Right.

The next witness, Your Honor, if you are ready -THE COURT: Yes.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: -- is my brother, same last name

THE COURT: First name?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: -- Scotton, S-C-O-T-T-O-N.
THE COURT: All right.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: First name, Flavio, F-L-A-V-I-O. THE COURT: Where's he located?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: We're going to use my family's address, my mother's address, 7797 Golf Circle Drive, Suite Number 204 -- apartment number, not suite number.

THE COURT: Margate.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Margate, sir.
THE COURT: All right. And what would Mr. Flavio Scotton testify about?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Threats by the FBI and the allegations on some of the reports of the FBI that, I guess someone who's going to come testify claims that my brother
used to work for me, and I have no -- I have no relationship with my brother over four years, so this is false allegation here and he need to come testify on that matter.

THE COURT: When you say threats by the FBI, are you talking about that Mr. Flavio Scotton was threatened by the FBI?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: That's what I understand from him and his wife. The message that $I$ got is that he has threat that if I don't plea out, the whole family look for trouble. So in one way to another, the FBI agent told him that he's going to find a way to get me deported out of this country.

THE COURT: All right. And are you seeking to have him testify at the trial or the evidentiary hearing?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Both of them.
THE COURT: All right. And are you saying that he's a witness that will testify that the government agent or prosecutor threatened him directly in order to intimidate him or somehow prevent him from being a favorable witness for you?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, if the prosecutor wants to gather at the time, I don't know, but as far as we understand, FBI keeps popping the door over there, and intimidating the whole family and they say, you know, they need to present some files, some evidence to the prosecutor and testify on the prosecutor's behalf.

THE COURT: Was he threatened? You indicated he was
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DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Look for trouble, if no -- you
know, they say, If you don't testify on behalf of the prosecutor, they look to get a charge against him.

THE COURT: Okay. What's his address?
You're saying you already gave it --
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: The same one, Your Honor.
THE COURT: The one in Margate.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. Now, I'll authorize that witness for the evidentiary hearing, so you'll have to get that together very quickly.

Once we're done with this hearing, I'll have an order get out very quickly, authorizing whatever subpoenas are being authorized. But if you're going to want him for the evidentiary hearing that we scheduled for the 19th, he'll need to get subpoenaed right away. Or, if he's -- he's your brother, you said?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I want to get --
THE COURT: He may voluntarily show up.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, I don't know, Your Honor, because at this point, everybody is so concerned about this whole situation of like fabrication of charge and everything else.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I'm going to authorize
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to subpoena him for the evidentiary hearing, but what relevance would he have to the trial?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Impeachment.
THE COURT: Impeachment of what, what he said to the agents?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Not only what he said to the agent, Your Honor, the reports that the agent claimed that my brother used to work for me, that some guy claimed that my brother shipped some eight to ten packages through him to Brazil, and the claim that he worked for me and never even worked for me.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to authorize him for both evidentiary hearing and trial.

Who's your next witness?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I've got Junior Silva.
THE COURT: Junior?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yeah, Silva.
THE COURT: Silva, S-I-L-V-A?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: That's correct.
THE COURT: All right. Is he local?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor. I have the number here, the phone number that I have for him, but he live now in Deerfield Beach. There's going to be an address that the private investigator is going to have to provide us.

THE COURT: Well, what's his relevance to trial?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, I know this man, since 1992. He has a girl who is married to his wife -- or to his sister. We have a race together. The FBI has show up on his door not long ago.

Also, by look at the FBI reports, there's a lot of false statements made. As a matter of fact, Junior has used my company to ship packages to Brazil as well. So the fact that he know my business, that we been involved together as pretty much a family, and a lot of the things that has been said in the FBI report, it's outrageous and, you know, he need to come testify.

THE COURT: All right. So he's a trial witness for you. Do you have an address?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, the investigator going to have to get that for us, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. That's authorized, subject to
Defendant getting a current address.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, yes.
THE COURT: All right. Who's your next --
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, how many character witness is the Court going to allow me to bring in?

THE COURT: Well, again, that's going to be up to Judge Rosenbaum, and that's what I tried to explain to you earlier.

All I'm doing is trying to go through to see if you

[^5]meet the Rule 17(b) standard and the case law from the Eleventh Circuit to issue a subpoena.

It's up to Judge Rosenbaum, at the time of trial, if, first of all, any character witnesses are going to be admitted, and that all depends on the number of evidentiary findings; and secondly, if any character witnesses are going to be admitted, how many. And that goes to cumulative, duplicitous testimony.

It may or may not be, I don't know, but again, that's
going to be Judge Rosenbaum's decision. So are you saying that Mr. Silva will be a character witness or -- no, I'm sorry, and your next witness will be a character witness?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, he's going to be both of them, because also he know -- as a matter of fact, one time, $I$ was in a police department and I was report some of the behavior of DHL and --

THE COURT: Are you talking about Mr. Silva or someone else?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No. Let me give you the next name.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: The last name spelled $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{L}$, as in Larry, $C-A-N$, as in Nancy, $T$ as in Tom.

THE COURT: Wait, I didn't get you. C-A-V-A -DEFENDANT SCOTTON: L.

THE COURT: Okay.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{T}$.
THE COURT: C-A-V-A-L-C-A-N-T, Cavalcant?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yeah, N-T-E, Cavalcante.
THE COURT: Cavalcante, and the first name?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Francisco, that's
$\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$.
THE COURT: All right. So he would be a character witness?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Also, he has the knowledge about Mr. Junior Silva and --

THE COURT: What's his address?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: The investigator going to have to get it, he's in Revere, Massachusetts.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to go ahead and grant that, subject to you getting a current address.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Okay.
THE COURT: Who's your next witness, if anybody?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, I have one here that he's located in Brazil, he used to be a client of the company.

THE COURT: Well, you're going to have to determine how you get a Brazilian witness here, because the marshals aren't going to go to Brazil to serve a subpoena, number one.

Number two, subpoenas are valid in the United States, so you're going to have to determine if there's any legal way

[^6]that you can force the next witness from -- now, were you saying Mr. Cavalcante was in Brazil?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, no, no, the next one here. Well, the family already spoke to this next one, he say he willing to come.

THE COURT: What's the name?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: It's Ivan, I-V-A-N, last name, S, as in Sam, E-R-R-A-O.

THE COURT: S-E-R --
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: R-A-O, Serrao.
THE COURT: S-E-R-R-A-O?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. Now, he's in Brazil.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, yes.
THE COURT: All right. You said that the family spoke to him and he wants to voluntarily come?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: His concern is the time frame because he need to -- he's going to try to figure out how can he afford to come here, because I told him I can -- I can't do anything on that.

THE COURT: Right. Well, I can't authorize a subpoena to be served by the marshals under Rule 17(b) for someone in Brazil. You'll have to research the matter and see if there's any other way that you can try and get him here, if you think he's relevant.
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What would his testimony be, even if you were to try and call him?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, he has used my company for probably a year and a half.

THE COURT: Which company?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Sky Air.
THE COURT: Okay.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: And some of the products that he bought on my shop now line were shipped to him, and was a problem of getting to Brazil.
the one in specific I shipped to him was a Dell computer, and was delivered in Brazil and was confiscated by the Brazilian Government.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I can't authorize -- I cannot authorize a 17(b) subpoena for a person in Brazil, so I'm going to deny that request under $17(\mathrm{~b})$.

Who would be your next witness?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have Steven --
THE COURT: Is that with $\mathrm{a} V$ or PH ?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: S-T-E-V-E-N.
THE COURT: Last name?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}$.
THE COURT: Steven Christensen.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Where is he located?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He's down in Miami, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. What would his relevance be to your trial?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He has followed my race career for many years, he has a talk show on IM radio.

THE COURT: Character witness?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Not only that, also I have report to him all of this drug scam before.

THE COURT: All right. I'll go ahead and authorize him as a character witness. Again, I don't know if the drug scam aspect, how that's going to develop at trial, and I don't know how Judge Rosenbaum's going to rule on that. But if you're alleging he's a character witness, then under 17(b), I will go ahead and authorize that.

Do you have an address for him?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, the private investigator will have to get that as well.

THE COURT: All right. So the subpoena to Steven Christensen is granted, subject to the Defendant getting a current address.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, I don't know if you have an understanding what the drug scam is all about. If you want me to clarify that with you, I'll be glad to.

THE COURT: If you can do it in just a minute or two, because we have a lot of matters to handle this afternoon. I
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have an idea what I think you're arguing, but if you want to just very briefly explain it.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I think that's the motivation of this charges against me, Your Honor. For many times, I have to -- I have even reports here that I have a file with Boca Police Department of some of my package involved with some drugs, and I know some of the employees from those companies involvements on this. So I'm pretty much sure that it has to be the reason why I've been indicted with this.

THE COURT: So what you're trying to tell me is that UPS and Federal Express were involved in some drug scam; and therefore, they made false allegations against you.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: It has to be, Your Honor. UPS already paid $\$ 40,000,000$ this year to the Government to settle their case.

THE COURT: All right. Again, $I$ don't know if that's going to be relevant to a defense in this case, but I appreciate you telling me that, at least I understand your position.

Who would your next witness be?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Thank you.
I got a last name, Sacramento, S-A-C-R-A-M-E-N-T-O.
First name, Paulo.
THE COURT: P-A-U --
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: L-O.
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THE COURT: -- L-O.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. And where is he located?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He's in Coconut Creek.
THE COURT: And what would his testimony be?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He's going to be more like a character witness, Your Honor, he's my pastor.

Also, there's something else the FBI tried to bring in about some issue that I had before with some sponsorship. He has a way -- a good knowledge on that matter of this case that was in North Carolina with worthless check, so the member that was responsible at the time was one that passed off his church.

THE COURT: All right. What's his address?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: The investigator is going to have to, you know, get the address as well, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. That's granted, subject to the investigator getting a current address -- or the Defendant, rather, getting a current address.

All right. Who would your next witness be, if anybody?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: One second, Your Honor.
Your Honor, I'm going to subpoena my stepdad and my mother.

THE COURT: All right. What's your stepdad's name,
is that Mr. Colon?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Carlos his name.
THE COURT: C-O-L-O-N?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Does he speak English?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. So he doesn't need a Spanish
interpreter?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. What is Mr. Colon's address?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: 7797 Golf Circle Drive.
THE COURT: And that's in Margate, what unit was that again?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: 204.
THE COURT: All right. So you want him subpoenaed for the evidentiary hearing and the trial?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor. And I guess my mother, also, I need to --

THE COURT: All right. Let's deal with Mr. Colon, I'm going to go ahead and grant that.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Okay.
THE COURT: I'm going to authorize a subpoena for
Mr. Colon for both the evidentiary hearing and the trial.
And your mother's name is what?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Marina.
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THE COURT: $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{A}$ ?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And the last name?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Colon, C-O-L-O-N.
THE COURT: All right. Same address?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And you want her subpoenaed for both the evidentiary hearing and trial?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. That's granted.
You may also be able to have them voluntarily come in, but that's entirely up to you.

Who would your next witness be, if you have any for now?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I do have, Your Honor.
Your Honor, I want to make a quick correction here on my employee, Renata.

THE COURT: Let me go back, hold on a second. Renata Moura, $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{A}$.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Right. I think her last name is spelled $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{F}$.

THE COURT: All right. Hold on a second. Renata, instead of $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{A}$, spell me the name again.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: M as in Mary, E as in Edward, Z
as in zebra, $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{F}$.
THE COURT: Let me see if $I$ have it right.
$M-E-Z-E-N-T-S-E-F$.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: That's correct, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Same ruling on that, it is
granted, but I'll change the name from Moura to $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{F}$.

All right. Who would your next witness be?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have a Yvonne, I don't have the pronounce of his last name, Your Honor, because on the reports and the receipts, we have got just Yvonne K.

THE COURT: Well, I can't authorize a subpoena to Yvonne $K$. You're saying the letter $K$ ?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yeah.
THE COURT: That's not sufficiently descriptive.
What you'll have to do is try and find out the individual's name.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Okay.
THE COURT: So I'm going to deny Yvonne $K$, subject to you getting a name, proving relevancy and the $17(b)$ requirements and, if it's authorized, a current address.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have -- next one is, last name Moura, $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{A}$.

THE COURT: M-O-U-R-A, okay.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: First name Marco.
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THE COURT: All right. And what would Marco Moura

```
testify to?
```

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Marco used to work for me. As a matter of fact, he's the real husband to Renata, my employee. During the construction he did a lot of work on the building. THE COURT: And he worked for you for which company? DEFENDANT SCOTTON: The Merry Mailman. THE COURT: The what? DEFENDANT SCOTTON: The Merry Mailman. THE COURT: The Merry Mailman? DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Like Merry Christmas? DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes. THE COURT: And that was your company? DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And what would the relevance of his testimony be, just because he worked for Merry Mailman?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, Your Honor, my understanding is that the two different reports from his wife is not consistent, and it pretty much show the reason why that she's being intimidated and the fact that Government know that she engaged on a sham marriage.

THE COURT: Are you saying that Marco Moura is involved in a sham marriage with her?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yeah.

THE COURT: And that's the reason she's allegedly making false statements against you?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: She has no reason to lie, why she be saying those things?

THE COURT: All right. What's his address? Same as --

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Renata.
THE COURT: Same as Renata Mezentsef?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: But that was -- that witness was granted subject to you providing a current --

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Exactly.
THE COURT: -- address.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: The investigator will --
THE COURT: All right. Let me just make a note here.
Mr. Moura, his wife of Ms. Renata Mezentsef, sham marriage,
and impeachment of Ms. Mezentsef; is that right?
Is that right, Mr. Scotton?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. That's granted, subject to the Defendant getting the correct address.

All right. Who would be next, if anybody?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have a last name Torres, T-O-R-R-E-S.

THE COURT: First name?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Karina.
THE COURT: How do you spell that?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: K-A-R-I-N-A.
THE COURT: All right. And what would the relevance of Karina Torres be?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: She had spoke twice to my wife on beginning of this case, and my wife told her that she, my wife, has been intimidated by the FBI on deportation to Cuba if she get close to me or help me with this case.

THE COURT: Who is Karina Torres, is she a lawyer?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, she's a friend with my wife.
THE COURT: A friend of your wife, and she spoke with
your wife, and what would her testimony be?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: That my wife has been threat.
THE COURT: Was she present when your wife was
threatened, allegedly?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: They got a phone record.
THE COURT: What's that?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: They been talk on the phone. I
spoke to Karina from FDC and she called my wife.
THE COURT: All right. But how would that be relevant to any issue?

In other words, you're going to be calling your wife to testify that she was threatened, I assume.

Is that right, are you going to try to prove she was
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threatened?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: My proof here, that I have no
reason to have a sham marriage, living in this country 24
years, just now decide to do a sham marriage.
THE COURT: All right. Let me just get it straight for a legal reason. You're saying that your wife is going to be possibly testifying against you and she may be lying; is that right?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: And that Karina Torres would testify that
your wife told her she was threatened by the FBI?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. So it's impeachment, okay, so
that's granted. Do you have an address for her?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: The investigator is going to get the address as well, Your Honor. She's in Hialeah.

THE COURT: All right. Subject to the Defendant getting the address.

All right. Any other witnesses?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have a Nicole Nelson.
THE COURT: Nicole, N-I-C-O-L-E, Nelson, N-E-L-S-O-N?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No.
THE COURT: What's that? Nicole Nelson, N-E-L-S-O-N?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: N-E-L-S-O-N, yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. And what would Nicole Nelson
testify about?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Nicole, she's a DHL security, she work out of the Chicago office.

THE COURT: All right. And what would the relevancy of that be?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I having spoke to Nicole on several occasions when was some kind of problem with the -any packages getting hold up by those companies.

I have a fax to her, a lot of waybills and verification prove that those packages was mine and I never done anything wrong. She understand that I used to use a third-party shipping company.

THE COURT: You used to use a what?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: A third-party shipping company. THE COURT: A third-party shipping --

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yeah, third-party shipping company.

THE COURT: All right. But, I mean, how is that going to impact your defense? She's with DHS (sic) security in Chicago, you spoke to her.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, she's going to testify that my shipping problem did not arise any fraud.

THE COURT: That what?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: You know, she's going to testify that all my shipping problems here has not arise any fraud, I
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THE COURT: All right. Do you have her address? DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor, I do. THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: It's 929 --
THE COURT: 929.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: -- West, and I'm going to spell
the street address, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Road}$.
THE COURT: C-E-R-M-A-C --
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, Your Honor. C-E-R-M-A-C-K.
THE COURT: Road.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: City?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Chicago, Illinois.
THE COURT: Do we have a suite?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, that's the full address. I
have a number also, if the Court need a number.
THE COURT: Is that DHS, does she work in DHS?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor, DHL.
THE COURT: DHL, I mean.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: And do you have a phone number?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor, I do.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: (212)705-2112.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and authorize that.

And when you do these subpoenas, if you have current phone numbers for them, it might be a good idea to put them in the subpoenas so the marshals can give them a call, perhaps.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have a second number, also, if the Court need it, for Nicole Nelson.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: It is (773)541-0827.
THE COURT: 0827?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. So that's authorized.
Who's your next witness.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Susie Johnson.
THE COURT: How do you spell that?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: First name, S-U-S-I-E.
THE COURT: Johnson, J-O-H-N-S-O-N?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: That's correct, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. And what does she do, and why do you need her?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: She work for Fed Ex, the internet division of Federal Express.

THE COURT: All right. And --
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: She has a knowledge on this case, of several reports her name was mentioned in.
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THE COURT: The FBI report?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: What's her address?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have a phone number, I know she's at Mayfield, Tennessee. I guess we going to have to figure out a way to get the address as well.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to grant a subpoena to Susie Johnson, Fed Ex Internet Division, subject to the Defendant getting a current address.

All right. Who's your next witness?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Alan Mason.
THE COURT: I'm sorry?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Alan, first name $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Alan}$.
THE COURT: Okay.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Last name, $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}$.
THE COURT: All right. And what's Mr. Mason?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He's a reporter for PBS, Palm Beach station.

THE COURT: A reporter at where?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Of PBS, Palm Beach.
THE COURT: And why would you want to subpoena a reporter?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He's going to be a character witness. He has, you know, history of my relationship with the employees and corporate office people from Federal Express
and DHL.
THE COURT: Do you have an address?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: We're going to have to provide that as well, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. It's granted, subject to an address.

Go ahead, who's your next one?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have here Nicky Brown.
THE COURT: N-I-C-K-Y?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Brown, B-R-O-W-N. And what is Nicky Brown's position.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He work -- as a matter of fact, he's the one, he work for the Investment Limit company, and he's the one who was involved in that contract for the store.

THE COURT: Investments Limited?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: He's the one who you say his company -DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, he's the realtor, the realtor, yeah. He's the one that I signed with him.

THE COURT: The realtor for --
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Invest Limit.
THE COURT: And that's the -- your allegation that you had a UPS store contract?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. And do you have Nicky Brown's address?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I'm assuming it is the same office location, if he's still working there. The investigator might be able to --

THE COURT: I think the last -- what was the name of the other person at Investments Limited? That was Daron Tersakyan?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Daron Tersakyan.
THE COURT: All right. So the address for this person, Nicky Brown at Investments Limited, would be 215 North Federal Highway, Number 1, Boca Raton.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: All right.
Okay, I'm going to authorize Nicky Brown.
Any others?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I've got a Bob Emilian.
THE COURT: Bob --
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Emilian.
THE COURT: How do you spell it?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: E-M-I-L-I-A-N.
THE COURT: Okay. And what would Mr. Emilian testify about?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He also be a character witness, but also he have -- Bob Emilian used to be the vice-president Friday, December 13, 2013
of GNC, and GNC used to be --
THE COURT: Wait a minute. Vice-president of what? DEFENDANT SCOTTON: GNC stores. GNC, the vitamin store.

THE COURT: GNC, oh, okay.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Sorry, my accent, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Vice-president of GNC stores.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, for merchandise.
THE COURT: Aside from being a character witness, how
would that be relevant?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, he has the knowledge also of my relationship with a bunch of members of the Federal Express company. They used to be part of the -- one of the sponsors.

And just to make that clear, some of the people involved over there, it is some people that I'm pretty much sure they're involved in this drug scam. He did a report and that's why I guess I got those allegations on my back.

THE COURT: Plus he's a character witness, you say?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. I'll go ahead and authorize him. Do you have an address?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, he's in Philadelphia, so the investigator going to -- I have the phone number here, but the investigator going to have to go get it.

[^7]THE COURT: So it'll be granted, subject to a current address.

Anybody else?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I got a Pat, P-A-T; last name, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{S}$.

THE COURT: Pat Helmus.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: She's from the Broward Humane Society.

THE COURT: And what would that be about?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: She's going to be a character witness, was a event that $I$ done for them and I donated my race suit and my helmet. They auctioned, at this event, this gem.

THE COURT: Okay. That may or may not be a valid character witness. Does she know you personally?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: Can she testify as to your character and your reputation for truthfulness?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. What's her address?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: We going to have to supply that as well, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. That's granted, subject to an address.

Who else?
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DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Last name is Goldrich, G-O-L-D-R-I-C-H.

THE COURT: All right. First name?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Donald.
THE COURT: And what would this gentleman testify to?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, back in the days, he
used to be a civil lawyer for me. He be able to testify that
Mr. Rubens Amaral, one of the companies that I used --
THE COURT: Wait a minute. I didn't understand that. DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Okay. Is a company that I -- one of the companies that I used to use to ship the package. THE COURT: What is the name of it?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Lider, L-I-D-E-R.
THE COURT: That's the name of the company?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yeah, that I used to use.
THE COURT: Lider, is that all it is, Lider?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Lider Mudancas.
THE COURT: Oh, okay. M-O-D-A-L-S-E-S?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: M-U-D-A-N-C-A-S.
THE COURT: M-U-D-A --
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: M-U-D-A-N-C-A-S.
THE COURT: All right. And he was your prior civil attorney and he'll testify something about that prior company, Lider Mudancas, and what will he testify to?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: That company has committed all of

[^8]the allegations against DHL, all the shipping fraud accounts, Mr. Rubens was the one involved on it.

THE COURT: Now, this is a prior company you owned? DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, no, I never owned it. That's a company that I used to use, they used to handle all the shipping with respect to my company.

THE COURT: All right. And how would he be able to testify that this prior company committed fraud against UPS or Fed Ex?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Mr. Goldrich used to be Mr. Rubens Amaral's lawyer as well.

THE COURT: Mr. Goldrich used to be a lawyer? DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. But how would that in any way make him in any way competent to testify that Lider Mudancas committed fraud on UPS?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: It was all over the news, Your Honor. And Mr. Goldrich know because he used to represent me on some civil matters, but he used to represent Rubens Amaral, and that he'll be able to testify that, you know, he's the one that's been doing all this stuff, together with the other one, Osvaine Duarte.

THE COURT: Are you saying that Mr. Goldrich, himself, was involved in this behavior?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, no. The person involved was
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Rubens, his client.
THE COURT: How do you spell that.
$\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$, first name is Rubens, and last name, A-M-A-R-A-L.

THE COURT: All right. So what you're saying is that
Mr. Goldrich represented Rubens Amaral.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: From Lider Mudancas.
THE COURT: From Lider Mudancas, who was one of your
shipping companies that you used to ship.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: And that Mr. Goldrich will testify that
Rubens Amaral and Lider Mudancas were involved in fraud?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. I still don't see the relevance of it at trial, I'm going to deny that, at this time.

Who is your next witness, please, if you have any more?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I do have, Your Honor.
I have last name, $B-O-R-A-G-I-N-A$.
THE COURT: First name?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Ralf, R-A-L-F.
THE COURT: Are you sure it's not $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}$ ?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, because, I mean, I got all
his file here.
Friday, December 13, 2013

THE COURT: All right, R-A-L-F. Go ahead, what would he testify to?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, Your Honor, he's -- pretty much all of his files right here, license, check accounts. This man has a company down in Georgia, Marietta, Georgia, called Brazil Express USA, LLC. The Government brought this as a part of my discovery, I have no clue who this man is.

THE COURT: All right. But why would you want to call him if you have no idea who he is?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: It's part of the accusation, so I
need to face all my accusers. If this man accuse me for some reason or if something to do, that he use the name of the company that I used to have, I don't know, I need to get to the bottom of this whole thing.

THE COURT: But if the Government calls him as a witness at trial, you'll be able to cross-examine him. Why would you want to subpoena him?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: All this file has been presented to the grand jury, Your Honor. If the Government has presented files to the grand jury, it doesn't make any sense, is nothing to do with me, then we talk another misconduct right there by the Government, trying to incriminate me by presenting things that has nothing to do with the case, only to do with me.

THE COURT: Did Mr. Boragina give statements to the Friday, December 13, 2013

FBI?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have not seen the reports from
him.
THE COURT: So how is he involved, then? How do you know he's involved if you don't have any reports from him?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, I have a file here that has a check account with TD Banks, and a lot of money is going to this check account here, and he has a company, similar name with my company that I have before.

THE COURT: So are you saying he was somehow involved
in the fraud that's been charged against you?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I'm not trying to say that he's involved, but why the Government brought this guy in?

THE COURT: All right. Do you have an address for him?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor, I do. THE COURT: What is it?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: It is $111 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{D}$, Flatwood.

THE COURT: Flatwood.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Trace.
THE COURT: 111 Flatwood Trace. Go ahead.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Marietta, Georgia.
THE COURT: All right. I'll go ahead and authorize that.

Who's your next witness?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have a Scott, last name $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{T}$.

THE COURT: K-A-M --
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: L-E-T.
THE COURT: All right. And what would he testify about?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He's a manage from DHL, from the fraud department, he's out of Texas.

THE COURT: But what would he testify to?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have a report to him the package get hold up over there with customers in Brazil.

THE COURT: How is that going to help your defense, just because you reported to him that a package got hold up?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, Your Honor, just this way: If I'm calling the companies and I'm reporting -- I'm presenting like, you know, I'm Rogerio Scotton, I ship this package, this is my package, this is the truck number, if those company has no -- say anything to me about, Oh, you be shipping this over a fraudulent account, I mean, something here is not right.

I have no hide myself from anybody, seems to be everybody knows who Rogerio Scotton is. I'm the one calling everybody, report to everybody every single time there's a problem with any package getting hold up or some kind of type
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of fraud, you know. And I say, This is my merchandise, this is the receipt, nobody seems to claim any kind of fraud.

So this man has spoke to me many times, like -- and I told him, I own the company based in Atlanta, they can only handle all the shipping aspect from my company.

THE COURT: Right, but what would this man testify to, other than the fact that you called him and complained that some of your packages got lost?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Not only about that, Your Honor. He's going to have to testify that I have not committed fraud because if I had committed any fraud, then why has he not told me that?

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to find that that's not a sufficient basis for Mr. Kamlet, so I'm going to deny that.

Who would your next witness be?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Vicky Egan.
THE COURT: V-I-C-K-Y?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes. Last name, E-G-A-N.
THE COURT: Egan, E-G-A-N?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. And what would Ms. Egan testify about?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, some package through UPS, that were sent UPS, and I spoke to her about those packages

[^9]and I was trying to get information why those packages have not been delivered. She clarified those packages for me, those packages later on was delivered.

When I tried to collect information from her, she say, Well, seems to be some kind of fraud, has nothing to do with you. So she made a statement that I have nothing to do with any kind of fraud and she has been mentioned on the FBI reports, so $I$ want her to come testify.

THE COURT: So you're talking, you shipped packages through UPS and you contacted her about lost packages?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I ship package through Osvaine Duarte, his company, and those packages got hold up at one point. I contact UPS, UPS transferred the call to her, and I spoke to her, and she say that she's going to go ahead and release those packages for me.

THE COURT: And did she do that?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yeah, she did, she released those package.

THE COURT: All right. And did she do any type of investigation to determine if there was fraud, or what?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, as far if she did, I don't know. A couple days later, those packages were delivered to all my clients in Brazil.

She has my information, my number and everything else, and she never contact me back or anything, but I saw her
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name mentioned on one of the FBI reports.
THE COURT: And what did she say to the FBI, do you know?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: They been talking about, you know, Mr. Scotton doing fraud.

THE COURT: All right. Do you have an address for her?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have just a number and e-mail address, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'll go ahead and I'll grant that, subject to a current address.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Okay. You don't need the phone number?

THE COURT: Not at the moment. You'll need to get a current address, since you're saying that she spoke to the FBI and she stated that you were involved in fraud or you dispute that, and I will allow you to subpoena her again.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Okay. Your Honor, as a matter of fact, the one that you just denied, Scott from DHL --

THE COURT: Yeah.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: -- one of the reasons I want to bring him in is because also he's involved on a FBI report, some e-mails talking about potential I'm defrauding the company.

THE COURT: You're saying that he told the FBI that
you were defrauding the company?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: That the e-mails that I saw, his name is in, they be talking back, like some kind of investigation they run.

They say, you know, that everything -- those packages belong to Mr. Scotton. So at one point, he said I have not done fraud, but then the FBI things seems to -- you know, on the FBI report seems to change.

THE COURT: All right. Do you have an address for Kamlet?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: For Scott?
THE COURT: Scott Kamlet.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: What is it?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: It's 16416.
THE COURT: 16416.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I'm going to spell the street, Your Honor, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{E}$ Drive.

THE COURT: North Chase Drive.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: This is Houston, Texas.
THE COURT: And do you have a suite?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No suites, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. I'm going to reverse and I'll go ahead and authorize Scott Kamlet.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, I can provide phone
number because he stayed at my house.
THE COURT: All right, go ahead.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: (281)848-2248.
THE COURT: All right. And just keep in mind, it's going to be your obligation to produce and prepare all the subpoenas to submit to the U.S. Marshals. I'm sure you can discuss that with Mr. Kreiss, but the Court doesn't prepare subpoenas, the Court just authorizes them.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Okay, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Anybody else?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Who's that?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I've got a Natalie,
N-A-T-A-L-I-E. Last name, $S$ as in Sam, P-O-T, $Z$ as in zebra. THE COURT: Spotz, S-P-O-T-Z, all right. What would Ms. Spotz testify about.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: She work for UPS, has been mentioned several occasions, some e-mails with the FBI agents, some litigation about potential misconduct and fraud.

THE COURT: All right. Why would you want to call her if she's saying that you're committing fraud?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, Your Honor, I want to cross-examine her. I mean, if she accuse me, I need to face my accusers.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, if the Government doesn't
call her, why would you want to call her?
And I don't know whether the Government will or not, but if they were not, why would you want to call her, if she's saying you committed fraud?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, Your Honor, let's pass to the next one, then.

THE COURT: All right. So you're withdrawing Ms. Spotz?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Who would the next one be?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Last name spelled D-E-R-N-I-C-K.
THE COURT: Okay. D-E-R-N-I-C-K, first name?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Heshie, H-E-S-H-I-E.
THE COURT: H-E-S-H-I-E, all right. And what would
Mr. or Ms. Dernick, whatever it is --
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He work for Federal account management.

THE COURT: What's Federal account management?
MR. KREISS: Federal Express?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, federal Express.
THE COURT: Oh, Federal Express.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. And how would this
individual -- is it a man or woman?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, he's a man.

THE COURT: It's a man, what would Mr. Dernick
testify to?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He used to handle my account, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Where?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: From my store, the Merry Mailman.
THE COURT: Okay. He handled the Merry Mailman's
Federal Express account?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: And how would he help your defense?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, I saw the reports of the accusations here and as a matter of fact, one of the reports, Carla Felini has claimed that after the store was closed, Federal Express charge her for some kind of money, and this is not true because every single transaction that $I$ have with Federal Express, the money come automatic out of my checking account.

THE COURT: But what would you want Mr. Dernick to testify that would be favorable to you if he handled the Merry Mailman Federal Express account?

Are you saying that he's going to testify that you did not commit fraud?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Exactly.
THE COURT: That you did not commit fraud.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Do you have an address for him?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have a phone number, I know he's in -- somewhere here in the area, so we going to have to get the investigator to provide the address, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Granted, subject to an
address.
Anybody else?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, I have Samuel Cory.
THE COURT: Samuel, S-A-M-U-E-L?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Last name?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: C-O-R-Y.
THE COURT: All right. And what would Mr. Cory testify about?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, Your Honor, this might be the key of what I have on my back right now. I have spoke to Mr. Samuel several different occasions. As a matter of fact, a while back, 2009, we have a lunch together, and I provide him a box with some drugs, and he say he's going to take care of that.

THE COURT: You provided him with drugs?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes.
THE COURT: What kind of drugs?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I don't know what it is, Your

Honor, because, you know, it's one box that was shipped to Brazil and inside it has iPhones, and partial the box has some pills, and I don't know what those pills stand for and I say, you know, What is all this?

THE COURT: Well, but if the box was shipped to Brazil, how did you get it?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: They sent it back. Customs in Brazil send it back because every international shipment, it has to have commercial invoice and my commercial invoice has two iPhones, nothing to do with prescriptions, whatever the whole thing might be.

THE COURT: All right. So you're saying that -- who does Cory work for?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: He work for Federal Express.
THE COURT: And you're saying that you gave him a box containing drugs, which had been returned to you by a customer from Brazil?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes. I contact his company and at one point, we end up having lunch one day and we talk about this. I told him, Stay out of this, you know, I handle.

THE COURT: And what did he do?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I don't know. I look at the FBI reports, and he's accusing me of -- to commit fraud with this company.

THE COURT: All right. Again, $I$ don't know if this
whole drug -- alleged drug conspiracy is going to be admissible at trial, that's up to Judge Rosenbaum. But at this point, I'll go ahead and grant this subpoena to be issued to Mr. Corey.

What's an address you have for him?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, I'm going to have to get -- provide by the investigator as well. I got it probably in the FDC -- everything at FDC.

THE COURT: All right. Do you have anybody else?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor, I do.
THE COURT: Okay.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have a Denise, D-E-N-I-S-E, last name is $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{S}$.

THE COURT: Okay. Denise Holmes, what would she testify about?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: She's one of the person used to be in charge of my company, the Merry Mailman.

THE COURT: She was -- she worked for the Merry Mailman?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, she worked for Federal Express. She will be able to testify that I have not committed fraud against Federal Express.

THE COURT: But was she one of your account managers for Federal Express?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, and she is mentioned on some
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THE COURT: All right. And she'll testify that there was no fraud?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. So I'll grant that subpoena. Do you have an address?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: We got a phone number, no address.

THE COURT: All right. So I'll grant it, subject to an address.

Anybody else?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have a Barbara $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}$.
THE COURT: T-H-O-R-N-T-O-N, Barbara Thornton. Who does she work for?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Federal Express.
THE COURT: And what would she testify about?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: She has been mentioned on some of the reports, accusation against me committing fraud against Federal Express.

THE COURT: But again, going back to the same issue with Ms. Natalie Spotz, if she's going to be testifying, according to you, that you committed fraud, why would you want to subpoena her, as opposed to simply having the Government, if they call her, and you being, at that point, allowed to cross-examine her? I don't understand.
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DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, on cross-examination,
I pretty much sure the FBI --
THE COURT: I didn't hear what you had to say.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: On the FBI, during the trial, a probably going to cross-examine him, and I'm going to go over with him on his reports, and --

THE COURT: But you can't -- let me just explain to you, sir, you can't elicit hearsay. The Court is probably not going to go allow you to elicit hearsay from an agent about what other witnesses may have told him.

So I don't understand why you would be calling Ms. Thornton. If Miss Thornton has supposedly told the FBI that you committed fraud, I would think the Government would want to subpoena her to see whether she had any admissible testimony, and at that point you could cross-examine her. I don't understand why you want to call Ms. Thornton, if she's going to testify that you committed fraud.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Because all these people had spoke to me before, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But what did Ms. Thornton speak to you about?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: We have spoke before about our accounts, before packages getting, you know, lost, claims getting paid to me of the lost package.

So I need to understand from all these people, now
they're talking to FBI about some potentially fraud here, knowing that I've been calling for lost package.

Well, if they lost the package, they pay a claim. If the account was a fraudulent account, they still pay the claim? I mean, the whole thing doesn't make sense.

THE COURT: But if the Government doesn't call
Barbara Thornton, why would you call her? What would you ask her at trial, if the Government does not call her?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I'm going to cross-examine.
THE COURT: No, no, it wouldn't be cross-examination.
It's only cross-examination if the Government calls the witness and you cross-examine.

This would be direct examination, and what would your direct examination of Ms. Thornton be at trial?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Okay. I'm going to ask her about her testimony with the FBI reports, why she keeps saying things that she never even told me before.

THE COURT: All right. So tell me again, what would Barbara Thornton testify to; that you committed fraud and then you want to question her about that?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, Your Honor, we going to escape on that. I understand what Mr. Jason tried to explain to me here.

THE COURT: All right. So you're going to withdraw your request for a subpoena on Thornton.
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DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Is there anybody else?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor. Hold on a second, please.

THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, why don't you talk with Mr. Kreiss for a few minutes, if that's what you want to do, and we'll put the background noise on so we can't hear what you have to say.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
(Discussion off the record between Defendant and Counsel.)

THE COURT: All right, let's do this, let's go back on the record.

Mr. Scotton, I know you had a few minutes to speak to
Mr. Kreiss. How many more witnesses do you have that the Court needs to go through that you're going to be requesting subpoenas for?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I have three pages here that -you know, front and back.

THE COURT: Just give me an approximate number of how many more you have because there's other matters to address today, and it's already 4:20.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: At least like 40, 45.
MR. KREISS: Fifty-plus, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. I tell you what we're going to
do -- and all of those are for trial; is that right?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Some are going to be for evidentiary.

THE COURT: Well, which ones would you wish to call for the evidentiary hearing, because you've already given me three names?

Do you have anybody else you want to call for the evidentiary hearing?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Not yet.
THE COURT: No, you don't have any?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, no, I have not give that yet.
THE COURT: What I'm trying to ask you is, we can address additional trial witnesses at another hearing in a few days or a week, but as far as if you want anybody to be subpoenaed for the evidentiary hearing, which is set for December 19th at 2:15 p.m., as to the limited issues that are set at the evidentiary hearing, give me their names and explain why you need them at the evidentiary hearing.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, then I don't, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You don't? Okay.
All right. So here's what I'm going to do, I've gone through -- we've gone through, at this point, 44 names and I've ruled on each one. A few -- I have it noted down that some have been withdrawn.

What we're going to do is continue the issue on the
subpoenas, I'll get out an order on these witnesses right away, as soon as I can. And then we will set down another hearing, another ex parte sealed hearing later in the month to deal with this issue.

We can set it down for December 26th, at 1:30.
MR. KREISS: Your Honor, I'm supposed to be out of town --

THE COURT: What a surprise --
MR. KREISS: -- with family.
THE COURT: How long are you going to be out of town, Mr. Kreiss?

MR. KREISS: After the 23rd through the 1st.
THE COURT: So you'd be here the 23rd, and then you're gone until the 1st.

MR. KREISS: Correct.
THE COURT: All right. But you'd be okay on the 19th.

MR. KREISS: Correct. And I think, Judge --
THE COURT: But that's the evidentiary hearing.
MR. KREISS: I think we have the evidentiary hearing, unless we came earlier. I think we're in the afternoon on the 19th.

THE COURT: We are. What do we have --
THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: You've got a plea beforehand at 1:30.

THE COURT: A 1:30, plea, and then we have duty in the morning?

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yep.
THE COURT: We have no idea how long duty will be. THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Right.

MR. KREISS: Can you put the noise on for a second?
THE COURT: Sure.
(Discussion off the record between Defendant and Counsel.)

THE COURT: Let me ask you all, could you do it December 20th, a further ex parte hearing, at, say, 1:00 o'clock?

MR. KREISS: I have an obligation with my office, and

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, may I say something? THE COURT: Yes.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: I don't know if the investigator has put a motion for me yesterday, a second request.

THE COURT: We're going to get to the motion you filed, but I want to deal with the issue on subpoenas because what I want to do, is I want to be able to go through all the people you wish to subpoena and make a ruling on each one, and I want to do that as quickly as possible.

Now, perhaps it might be a good idea for you to go through those and see if you really want to call all of those

40 witnesses, based upon what we've discussed today.
What we could try to do -- why don't we do this: We have the next hearing on $12 / 19$, we'll check our schedules to see if there's any time before then or right after that, before you leave, Mr. Kreiss, on the $20 t h$, you said, right?

You leave on the 20th, Mr. Kreiss?
MR. KREISS: After the 23rd.
THE COURT: After the -- what dates are you going to be unavailable?

MR. KREISS: The 24th through the 1st, essentially.
THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: You could do it the 23rd?
THE COURT: Could you do it the 23rd at 1:30?
MR. KREISS: If the Court needs me here.
THE COURT: I mean, the problem is, you know, he wants these -- Mr. Scotton wants the subpoenas issued and if we're going to do it, I want to do it, but I don't want to keep everybody real late today.

MR. KREISS: Sure.
THE COURT: We've got the marshals here, they've got to get him back.

MR. KREISS: I don't want to put the cart before the horse, but I think the Government intends to file a request for a status conference before Judge Rosenbaum based upon Mr. Scotton's request for a continuance. I will be here -- if you need me here the 23rd, I'll be here.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I'm sure, as soon as the Government or you file anything on that, Judge Rosenbaum will address that very quickly, so you may want to get with the Government and see if they want to file something on that, if that's the understanding, so that Judge Rosenbaum could take a look at that and make a decision.

So here's what I'm going to do: I've announced the decisions on those, I'm going to reset the hearing on the subpoenas to December 23rd at 1:30.

And what I would suggest, Mr. Scotton, is that you go through the remaining people you wish subpoenaed, and make sure you really want to subpoena them, and why you want to subpoena them in light of the hearing that we've had today.

All right. Now, the other issues, let me go through them quickly while we're here.

Experts, first of all, I've already appointed an investigator in this case. And I believe the Defendant is using -- what's the name of the investigator?

MR. KREISS: Ms. Martinez, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Now, I appointed her and I authorized a $\$ 2,400$ cap. I don't know if $I$ set an hourly rate, but the hourly rate will be $\$ 60$ an hour.

MR. KREISS: Okay.
THE COURT: All right. So that's the hourly rate for Ms. Martinez, the investigator.
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Now, I had told the Defendant that he could have an audiotape/videotape expert; however, I've never gotten a CV or resume of the audiotape/videotape expert with a reasonable hourly rate.

Do you have that?
MR. KREISS: Judge, I spoke to Lucy Laura, and she -my understanding is she's going to research what the CJ standard is.

THE COURT: No, I've already done that. I just need to determine -- basically, it's an audiotape, I think you had with the client -- not the client, but Mr. Scotton, acting pro se, had given the name of Robert Wyman.

The problem is, $I$ don't have $a C V$ and $I$ don't have an hourly rate. The audiotape/videotape expert, Mr. Wyman, would be subject to a $\$ 2,400$ cap, but $I$ don't think the Court is necessarily going to approve that amount of money.

And the hourly rate I can set now, but I don't know what he's requesting, but the most $I$ would approve for such an expert, unless there was some substantial showing, would be $\$ 100$ an hour.

And what I will do is I'll ask the Defendant to go ahead and supplement the record with the CV of Mr. Wyman; however, I'm going to appoint Mr. Wyman, the videotape/audiotape expert at an hourly rate of $\$ 100$ per hour, with a cap of ten hours at this point.
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I don't think that examining the videotape should be all that time intensive, and I think that he can always -- the Defendant can always come back and if more time is needed, they can make a showing of that.

But for initially, in light of the fact that these are CJ funds, which are scarce, and in light of the fact that the Defendant needs to make an appropriate showing, I'm going to appoint Mr. Wyman at an hourly rate of $\$ 100$ per hour, with a cap of ten hours.

If Mr. Wyman needs more hours than that, then the Defendant will have to come in and make a showing as to why. If it's simply an examination of a videotape or audiotape, then it shouldn't take that long to review. And if for some reason, additional time is needed at trial or something else, then there can always be a motion for that.

Now, there was also a motion that was filed for a paralegal, Docket Entry 224. Again, a paralegal would be subject to, theoretically, the same $\$ 2,400$, without approval by the Eleventh Circuit.

But why do you need a paralegal, and who would you use, and what would that paralegal do?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: What Martinez has suggested for a paralegal is another investigator.

THE COURT: Well, you can't use a paralegal as another investigator, that's not authorized, but what you need Friday, December 13, 2013
to do is lay out sufficient facts as to why a paralegal would be necessary for your defense, what the -- who the paralegal would be, what the hourly rate is and if the person is qualified.

Do you have that prepared to go today?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, Your Honor. I have to talk to Martinez once again, then because what the problem is, with so much missed files that she's trying to retrieve now, and even a lot of them involve the Brazilian Government and some people in Brazil, and she's going all over the place, trying to get all those files and interview a bunch of people that she need to interview in a short time.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to deny the request for a paralegal at this point, you can't use a paralegal as a second investigator.

A paralegal has a specific task and the Defendant provided no name, no specifics, no CV and no hourly rate, and so I find that Docket Entry 224 should be denied. It's without foundation, and I'll deny it at this time.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, the name on the motion, right, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Let me check.
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Gianna something. I mean, he's not a paralegal, he's a private investigator as well.

THE COURT: Well, that's different than a paralegal.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yeah.
THE COURT: Let me read your motion here. It's
Docket Entry 224, motion for authorization to fund investigation, fund for paralegal.

Are you asking for a second investigator or are you asking --

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yes, Your Honor, investigator.
THE COURT: But you already have one investigator, why would you need a second investigator?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, she told me that, you know, in a short time, is not going to be able to do all the stuff that we need to do to prepare for trial. Besides that, she has to retrieve every single thing that's missing.

THE COURT: Let me take a look at the motion for a second again.

All right. At this point, I'm going to deny the request for a second investigator, that's without prejudice to a more specific filing being made.

I'm going to deny any request for a paralegal and in Docket Entry 224, I'm going to deny any request for a second investigator, if that's what's requested in 224.

I would need a much more specific showing from your current investigator as to what she's done, why she needs a second investigator and what specifically that second investigator would do, who that would be and what the hourly

[^11]rate would be in order to authorize that. But I'm going to deny that at this point, which would be Docket Entry 224.

Now, the date of the evidentiary hearing, we've already said is going to be December 19th at 2:15 p.m.

Do you understand that, Mr. Scotton?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Could you repeat that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The date of the evidentiary hearing is going to be December 19th at 2:15 p.m. Do you understand that?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yeah, that's one of the motion I filed, because I'm trying to change the day.

THE COURT: Well, why do you want to change the date of the evidentiary hearing?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, it's some witness I'm trying to bring in, and $I$ need to be able to communicate with my investigator, and those people need to come in.

THE COURT: Well, the only witnesses you've told me about so far are Mr. Colon, your mother, and one other witness that you mentioned earlier today.

Those witnesses, I've authorized subpoenas for, and you can also have them come in voluntarily. Who else do you need to testify at the evidentiary hearing?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: And based on some of the rulings that you made on a denial of, you know, the immigration issue,
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so I'm trying to appeal that.
In the meantime, because all the motions that I have filed for -- that I filed with the Court for evidentiary hearing, you know, I mean, I'm talking about here a complete evidentiary hearing, with the recurring of the letter and the video. So I mean, I just want to put out on the a open all the misconducts from the Government trying to incriminate me.

THE COURT: All right. Well, the evidentiary hearing that I've authorized is a very limited evidentiary hearing based upon specific allegations contained in the affidavit of Mr. Colon and your mother.

It's not an open-ended evidentiary hearing, where you're going to be allowed to get into any matter you wish to raise. So at this point, I'm going to deny any request to continue the evidentiary hearing. It's going to be going forward at December 19th, at 2:15 p.m., and you need to have any witnesses that you wish to have testify present at that hearing.

And again, the witnesses have to comply with the dictates of what the Court has said on the evidentiary hearing.

I'm going to hand you, or have handed to you a notice of hearing, at this point, for the December 19th hearing at 2:15 p.m.

I also entered an order today granting, in part, your

[^12]pro se motion to compel all hearing transcripts, and that's Docket Entry 228. I'm going to have a copy handed to you of that as well, so there's no question about you having received that.

Now, the last thing that $I$ was going to address today, you have also filed a motion to request Special Agent Van Brunt to turn over Defendant's property, that is Docket Entry 227.

I'm setting that down on the date of the evidentiary hearing for -- oh, that has not been referred yet? Well, if it is referred, it will be set down at the same date of the upcoming evidentiary hearing, and again, that's Docket Entry 227, motion to request Van Brunt to turn over Defendant's property.

If it's referred to me, then it will be set down for the upcoming evidentiary hearing on, what is it, March 19th?

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: December 19th.
THE COURT: December 19th?
THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. So that takes care of that issue, December 19th, the same time as the other evidentiary hearing.

We set down the continuation on the subpoenas.
Is there anything else that you need to bring up in this ex parte sealed hearing without the Government present,

[^13]Mr. Scotton?
DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, Your Honor. It's just that the motion that $I$ put in to the Judge, I don't know if she received, appealing all the other situation I just spoke about.

THE COURT: Sure. You have a right to appeal, and whatever appeal you file will be decided by Judge Rosenbaum, but that's not going to deter me from proceeding in this case. You've got a trial date set in January.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Right.
THE COURT: You file motions. When you file motions, the Court gives you a hearing. If you don't want a hearing, then don't file motions.

You filed motions, you asked for an evidentiary hearing, I give you a date, then you asked for a continuance. I'm going to proceed on this case as promptly as possible.

You're asking for -- you've continually asked, on the one hand, for a speedy trial; on the other hand, you've asked to continue all the hearings. I'm moving forward, I'm going to have the hearing on December 19th, and we're going to have any other hearings this month that we possibly can.

I noticed in one of your papers that you allege that it's outrageous that we set a hearing during the holidays. But, you know, here, we honor holidays, but we also work and when you file motions, you expect the court to address your

[^14]motions, to have a hearing, if necessary, and to proceed with that hearing expeditiously. So I'm not going to delay the evidentiary hearing at all. We're going to get this matter resolved as quickly as possible.

You continually state that you've been held in custody for a long time, it would seem to me you would want to get this matter resolved, and I'm going to certainly do everything I can to protect your due process rights and to make sure that this matter gets resolved, and all of the motions that are referred to me get resolved before the case goes to trial before Judge Rosenbaum.

So that's what we're going to do. We'll be back here later this month, December 19th, and the other date that we indicated.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: The other part of the motion that
I have filed about the video or the letter, so Rosenbaum can make a ruling on that also, if she feel like.

THE COURT: Sure. Anything you wish to appeal, you have the right to do that and it will go up to Judge Rosenbaum and Judge Rosenbaum will make a decision on that.

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Your Honor, I just got one question here, in reference to bond situation here. The order, the last one that I got --

THE COURT: You're saying in reference to the bond situation?

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Yeah, just one quick question. THE COURT: I'll tell you what --

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: No, no.
THE COURT: If you have any inquiry, any question about the bond issue, you'll have to do that when the Government's present, that's not appropriate for an ex parte hearing.

An ex parte hearing -- just so you understand, a sealed ex parte hearing is closed to the public and that's only appropriate when you may be disclosing things that would be detrimental to your defense; an attorney-client privilege, a work product privilege, or something else. That's the only reason we have sealed ex parte hearings without the Government present. It's only to protect your rights to a fair trial and to protect your attorney-client rights and your right to prepare a defense.

Anything else that goes to bond or anything like that is only suitable for a public hearing, where the public has a right to attend, and the Prosecutor has a right to be here, so if you want to bring that up on March 19th --

DEFENDANT SCOTTON: Well, no, it's not any request any bond. It's just to understand what the letter that I receive here, because based -- as far as what I understand from Judge William --

THE COURT: Right, you're going to have to -- you
have to raise that in a public forum at the next hearing. I can't address things ex parte that are not appropriate.

All right. I'll see you at the next hearing. Hope you all have a good afternoon.

Anything else, Mr. Kreiss?
MR. KREISS: I just wanted to put one other thing on the record, if I could, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sure.
MR. KREISS: I produced today a transcript for Mr. Scotton, as I had mentioned at the last hearing, that were in my possession from August 27th, and August 28 th that were extensive hearings, I produced 193 pages of transcripts.

THE COURT: All right. What year is that?
MR. KREISS: 2013.
THE COURT: 2013. All right. Thank you for that, Mr. Kreiss.

And Mr. Scotton, you have those transcripts now, and I just entered an order authorizing additional transcripts, and I'm sure that you can follow-up on that very quickly.

Thank you for your assistance, Mr. Kreiss.
You all have a good afternoon and we'll see you back at the next hearing.

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. Court is in recess. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 4:42 P.M.)
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| \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2: 15[1] \text { 102/24 } \\ & 2: 15 \text { p.m }[4] \quad 92 / 16101 / 4101 / 9102 / 16 \end{aligned}$ | 78/25 79/10 80/4 80/23 82/16 82/19 85/15 86/19 87/15 88/16 89/9 89/21 89/22 90/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$100 [3] 97/20 97/24 98/8 \$2,400[3] 96/21 97/15 98/18 | 3 | 90/15 90/20 101/19 102/4 103/3 104/5 |
| $\$ 40,000,000$ [1] 55/14 <br> \$60 [1] 96/22 |  | above [1] 108/4 |
| / |  | Abreu [15] 4/24 5/1 5/4 5/8 5/14 5/20 |
|  |  | 6/25 7/8 8/2 8/6 8/23 8/24 9/8 10/17 |
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| 0 |  | accent [1] 70/6 |
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| 019120 [1] 1/15 |  | account [14] 12/17 30/2 76/7 76/8 77/20 |
| 0827[2] 66/9 66/10 |  |  |
| 1 | 4 |  |
|  | 40 [2] 91/23 95/1 | 11/17 12/17 12/20 15/9 18/6 73/1 75/4 |
|  | 400 [2] 1/22 108/7 | 89/23 |
|  | 401 [2] 7/16 8/13 | accurate [1] 108/3 |
|  | 413-7369 [2] 7/17 8/14 | accusation [2] 75/10 88/18 |
|  | 44 [1] 92/22 | accusations [1] 84/12 |
|  | 4451 [1] 31/24 | accuse [2] 75/11 82/23 |
|  | 45 [1] 91/23 | accused [2] 18/2 18/3 |
|  | 4:20 [1] 91/22 | accusers [2] 75/11 82/24 |
|  | 4:42 [1] 107/24 | accusing [2] 6/4 86/23 |
|  | 4th [1] 1/18 | acting [1] 97/11 |
|  | 5 | add [2] 8/22 22/2 |
|  | 5152 [2] 1/23 108/8 | address [108] $2 / 25$ 4/5 11/22 13/3 13/13 |
|  | 5159 [1] 108/8 | 14/14 14/15 15/14 22/22 24/11 24/13 26/12 |
|  | 523-5152 [2] 1/23 108/8 | 26/23 27/22 28/20 30/7 30/16 30/17 30/20 |
|  | 541-0827 [1] 66/9 | 30/24 31/12 33/8 34/13 34/14 34/15 34/15 |
|  | 561 [2] 26/19 26/20 | 34/17 34/19 34/22 37/4 37/22 37/24 39/5 |
|  | 6 | 39/6 39/25 40/10 40/22 41/17 42/2 42/6 |
|  |  | 42/10 42/12 42/14 42/15 42/18 42/18 42/23 |
|  | 6854[2] 12/13 12/14 | 43/4 44/24 45/1 45/5 45/5 45/17 45/17 47/5 |
|  | 7 | 48/23 49/13 49/17 51/12 51/16 54/15 54/20 |
|  | $7 / 11 / 2014$ [1] $108 / 5$ <br> 700 [2] 22/23 23/2 <br> $705-2112[1] \quad 65 / 25$ <br> $725-6854[2] \quad 12 / 1312 / 14$ <br> $7369[2] \quad 7 / 17$ <br> $7 / 14$ <br> $773[1] \quad 66 / 9$ <br> $7797[4] 31 / 131 / 245 / 1757 / 11$ | 56/14 56/16 56/18 56/19 57/10 58/5 59/21 |
|  |  | 65/8 65/16 67/3 67/6 67/9 68/2 68/6 69/2 |
|  |  | 69/10 70/22 71/2 71/20 71/24 76/14 80/6 |
|  |  | 80/9 80/11 80/15 81/9 85/1 85/5 85/7 87/ |
|  |  | 88/6 88/8 88/10 91/21 92/13 96/3 103/5 |
|  |  | 104/25 107/2 addressed [1] $3 / 1$ |
| 2009 [1] 85/19 | 8 | addressed [1] 3/1 <br> addresses [3] 3/19 3/23 4/15 <br> ADJOURNED [1] 107/24 <br> admissible [4] 19/21 36/22 87/2 89/14 <br> admitted [2] 50/5 50/7 <br> affidavit [2] 9/6 102/10 <br> affidavits [1] 9/5 <br> afford [1] 52/19 <br> after [6] 13/8 84/13 93/12 95/4 95/7 95/8 <br> afternoon [10] 2/2 2/10 2/12 2/16 2/18 3/15 <br> 54/25 93/21 107/4 107/21 <br> again [18] 17/24 36/21 45/4 49/22 50/9 <br> 54/10 55/16 57/13 58/24 80/17 86/25 88/20 <br> 90/18 98/17 99/7 100/15 102/19 103/12 <br> against [15] 16/17 16/20 16/21 32/15 47/4 <br> 55/4 55/12 61/2 63/7 73/1 73/8 76/11 87/22 <br> 88/18 88/18 <br> agent [8] 9/17 27/18 46/10 46/16 48/7 48/7 89/9 103/6 <br> agents [2] 48/5 82/18 <br> ago [2] 9/12 49/4 <br> agree [1] 19/7 <br> ahead [40] 2/3 7/5 8/10 8/16 12/24 15/12 <br> 22/14 25/10 26/24 29/10 30/6 31/13 32/20 |
| 2013 [3] 1/4 107/14 107/15 | 848-2248 [1] |  |
| 2014 [1] 108/5 | 8920 [2] 26/19 26/20 |  |
| 204 [4] 31/7 31/8 45/18 57/14 | 9 |  |
| 207 [1] 2/23 |  |  |
| 20th [3] 94/11 95/5 95/6 $2112[1] 65 / 25$ |  |  |
| 212 [1] 65/25 | A |  |
| 215 [2] 26/25 69/11 |  |  |
| 224 [6] 98/17 99/18 100/3 100/20 100/21 101/2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A-B-R-E-U [1] 5/3 } \\ & \text { A-D-Y [1] 39/19 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 2248 [1] 82/3 | A-I-L-Y-N [4] 41/7 41/8 41/12 42/22 |  |
| 227 [2] 103/8 103/13 | A-L-A-N [1] 67/13 |  |
| 228 [1] 103/2 | A-M-A-R-A-L [1] 74/4 |  |
| 23rd [7] 93/12 93/13 95/7 95/11 95/12 95/25 96/9 | able [13] 30/6 35/18 45/1 58/11 69/5 72/7 $73 / 7$ 73/20 75/16 87/21 94/21 100/11 |  |
| 24 [1] 63/3 | 101/16 |  |
| 24th [1] 95/10 | about [64] 5/9 6/2 6/16 7/1 8/22 8/24 9/8 |  |
| 26th [1] 93/5 | 11/10 14/21 16/25 19/11 19/24 21/7 21/23 |  |
| 27th [1] 107/11 | 21/24 22/1 23/18 27/11 32/10 32/14 32/17 |  |
| 281 [1] 82/3 | 33/20 40/18 41/15 41/25 44/8 44/19 45/22 |  |
| 28th [1] 107/11 | 46/5 47/22 50/17 51/10 54/22 56/9 64/1 |  |
| 292-8920 [1] 26/20 | 69/23 71/9 72/23 77/7 77/19 78/9 78/23 |  |


| A | appreciate [1] 55/18 <br> appropriate [4] 98/7 | automatic [1] 84/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ahead... [27] 33/8 34/21 37/25 38/9 40/24 <br> 43/9 45/3 50/21 51/15 54/9 54/14 57/20 <br> 65/4 65/24 66/1 66/8 68/7 70/21 75/1 76/22 <br> $76 / 24$ 79/14 80/10 81/24 82/2 87/3 97/22 <br> ahold [1] 30/13 <br> Ailyn [3] 36/5 41/6 42/22 | approval [1] 98/18 | away [2] 47/17 93/2 |
|  | approve [3] 13/10 97/16 97/18 | B |
|  | are [44] 2/17 3/1 3/5 3/5 4/3 6/2 6/4 6/16 | B-A [1] 14/4 |
|  | 7/24 7/25 15/25 19/16 19/25 21/18 25/11 | B-O-O-K-S [3] 31/19 31/22 32/5 |
|  | 45/7 46/4 46/12 46/15 47/14 50/4 50/6 | B-O-R-A-G-I-N-A [1] 74/20 |
| Air [7] 44/2 44/3 44/4 44/6 44/9 44/9 53/6 | 50/10 50/17 51/24 60/23 62/25 73/23 74/23 | B-O-R-R-O-T-O [1] 33/11 |
| Al [1] 11/22 | 76/10 84/21 92/1 92/2 92/16 93/10 93/23 | B-R-O-O-K-S [1] 33/5 |
| Alan [3] 67/11 67/13 67/13 | 95/8 98/6 98/6 100/5 100/5 101/19 105/10 | B-R-O-W-N [1] 68/11 |
| all [251] | 107/2 | back [17] 19/2 58/18 70/18 72/6 79/25 81/3 |
| allegation [3] 43/19 46/2 68/23 | area [1] 85/4 | 85/17 85/19 86/7 86/8 88/20 91/12 91/19 |
| allegations [10] 32/15 32/16 35/20 44/15 | aren't [1] 51/23 | 95/20 98/3 105/12 107/21 |
| 44/19 45/24 55/12 70/18 73/1102/10 | arguing [1] 55/1 | background [2] 8/9 91/7 |
| allege [2] 24/6 104/22 | arise [2] 64/22 64/25 | bank [7] 11/7 11/10 12/2 12/3 12/4 12/17 |
| alleged [5] 6/25 14/25 19/25 26/6 87/1 | arrived [1] 14/9 | 13/1 |
| allegedly [3] 9/9 61/1 62/16 | articulating [1] 7/19 | Banks [1] 76/7 |
| alleging [1] 54/13 | as [102] 3/9 3/14 3/14 5/11 9/3 10/7 11/14 | Barbara [4] 88/12 88/13 90/7 90/19 |
| allow [7] 23/6 24/10 31/14 32/20 49/21 | 12/19 14/25 15/2 15/24 16/15 16/15 17/13 | based [6] 78/4 95/1 95/23 101/24 102/10 |
| 80/17 89/9 | 18/8 18/8 21/10 21/19 21/22 22/14 22/14 | 106/23 |
| allowed [3] 16/2 88/24 102/13 | 24/24 27/23 31/20 32/16 33/3 33/12 34/6 | basically [3] 9/4 44/11 97/10 |
| already [16] 6/7 6/8 6/11 10/11 18/23 40/25 | 35/11 35/15 37/10 38/12 39/1 39/8 39/17 | basis [4] 3/17 5/7 15/23 78/14 |
| 44/13 47/6 52/4 55/14 91/22 92/5 96/16 | 40/10 40/22 42/4 42/18 44/5 44/12 45/1 | be [168] |
| 97/9 100/8 101/4 | 46/20 46/20 49/6 49/7 49/8 50/14 50/23 | BEACH [19] 1/5 11/19 12/8 13/2 13/14 |
| also [34] 3/11 4/5 7/16 14/23 15/7 17/10 | 50/23 50/23 52/8 54/10 54/17 56/16 58/25 | 13/17 13/18 23/11 24/12 27/24 28/5 28/19 |
| 19/22 22/3 23/22 24/5 27/25 30/1 34/24 | 58/25 59/1 60/3 61/6 61/8 63/16 67/6 68/4 | 29/4 38/5 38/6 38/7 48/23 67/18 67/20 |
| 40/4 40/18 49/5 50/14 51/10 54/7 56/8 | 68/13 71/17 71/22 73/11 75/7 75/15 79/21 | bears [1] 7/19 |
| 57/18 58/11 65/17 66/6 69/24 69/25 70/11 | 80/18 82/14 82/14 84/12 85/18 87/7 88/23 | because [39] 3/8 3/15 15/6 15/21 18/22 20/6 |
| 80/22 98/16 101/22 102/25 103/6 104/24 | 92/14 92/14 92/16 93/2 93/2 94/23 94/23 | 21/23 22/16 30/7 32/24 32/25 34/16 42/13 |
| 105/17 | 96/1 96/1 98/11 98/24 99/1 99/14 99/24 | 44/22 47/22 50/14 51/22 52/18 52/19 54/25 |
| always [3] 98/2 98/3 98/15 | 100/23 103/3 103/21 104/16 104/16 105/4 | 59/10 60/17 73/18 74/24 77/14 78/11 80/22 |
| am [3] 15/5 15/6 19/3 | 105/4 106/23 106/23 107/10 | 82/1 84/15 86/1 86/8 89/18 91/21 92/5 |
| Amaral [4] 72/8 73/19 74/6 74/12 | Aside [1] 70/9 | 94/20 99/7 101/12 102/2 106/23 |
| Amaral's [1] 73/11 | ask [6] 20/7 90/7 90/15 92/12 94/10 97/21 | been [33] 3/19 4/18 5/23 9/9 9/20 17/10 |
| AMERICA [7] 1/3 2/5 12/3 12/4 13/1 14/8 | asked [4] 104/14 104/15 104/17 104/18 | 17/11 18/17 18/20 19/6 22/11 23/10 27/4 |
| 14/18 | asking [4] 18/11 100/5 100/6 104/17 | 34/9 49/8 49/9 55/9 62/8 62/14 62/19 73/21 |
| amount [1] 97/16 | aspect [2] 54/11 78/5 | 75/18 76/11 79/2 79/7 80/4 82/17 86/16 |
| announced [1] 96/7 | assertions [1] 7/24 | 88/17 90/2 92/24 103/10 105/5 |
| another [10] 6/1 39/13 43/19 46/10 75/21 | asserts [1] 7/22 | before [16] 1/9 3/11 24/9 54/8 56/9 76/9 |
| 92/13 93/2 93/3 98/23 98/25 | assistance [1] 107/20 | 89/19 89/22 89/23 90/17 95/4 95/5 95/21 |
| any [56] 3/19 3/20 4/1 5/21 7/23 8/2 9/10 | assume [1] 62/24 | 95/23 105/10 105/11 |
| 9/16 15/24 17/3 17/4 17/16 19/12 19/19 | assuming [1] 69/3 | beforehand [1] 93/24 |
| 19/20 19/23 22/2 32/12 36/17 38/2 43/5 | Atlanta [1] 78/4 | beginning [1] 62/7 |
| 50/4 50/6 51/25 52/24 58/13 62/22 63/19 | attend [1] 106/19 | behalf [3] 17/7 46/24 47/3 |
| 64/8 64/22 64/25 65/1 69/16 73/14 73/15 | attorney [8] 2/14 5/17 19/22 35/8 35/11 | behavior [3] 23/22 50/16 73/24 |
| 74/17 75/20 76/5 77/25 78/2 78/11 79/7 | 72/23 106/11 106/15 | being [13] 3/5 18/2 21/6 21/8 36/20 43/4 |
| 79/19 89/14 92/10 95/4 100/19 100/20 | Attorney's [1] 6/22 | 44/9 46/18 47/14 60/21 70/9 88/24 100/18 |
| 102/13 102/14 102/17 104/21 106/4 106/4 | attorney-client [3] 19/22 106/11 106/15 | believe [11] 2/23 6/17 8/3 8/4 9/7 13/7 |
| 106/21 106/22 | auctioned [1] 71/12 | 13/13 28/4 28/14 44/21 96/17 |
| anybody [13] 18/8 51/18 56/21 61/22 71/3 | audiotape [6] 97/2 97/3 97/10 97/14 97/24 | belong [1] 81/6 |
| 77/22 82/10 85/8 87/9 88/11 91/2 92/7 | 98/12 | Besides [1] 100/12 |
| 92/14 | audiotape/videotape [3] 97/2 97/3 97/14 | best [1] 5/10 |
| anymore [1] 41/16 | August [2] 107/11 107/11 | better [1] 31/12 |
| anything [13] 8/22 14/24 36/17 52/20 64/11 | August 27th [1] 107/11 | between [3] 8/18 91/10 94/8 |
| 77/19 79/25 96/2 103/24 105/18 106/17 | August 28th [1] 107/11 | blind [1] 18/14 |
| 106/17 107/5 | authorization [2] 37/1 100/3 | Bob [3] 69/17 69/18 69/25 |
| anyway [1] 13/6 | authorize [30] 12/25 15/13 15/19 15/22 | Boca [11] 22/25 23/3 25/12 25/14 26/16 |
| apartment [2] 31/7 45/18 | 26/21 26/21 29/10 30/3 33/8 34/21 36/21 | 27/2 37/10 37/21 38/4 55/5 69/12 |
| appeal [5] 22/9 102/1 104/6 104/7 105/18 | 38/10 39/11 40/21 47/10 47/25 48/12 52/21 | bond [5] 105/22 105/24 106/5 106/17 |
| appealed [1] 22/8 | 53/14 53/15 54/9 54/14 57/22 59/12 66/2 | 106/22 |
| appealing [4] 22/4 22/6 22/7 104/4 | 69/15 70/21 76/24 81/24 101/1 | book [1] 18/10 |
| appearances [2] 1/11 2/7 | authorized [12] 27/4 39/10 40/9 40/12 | Books [6] 31/17 32/5 32/9 32/13 32/21 33/1 |
| Appearing [1] 1/14 | 47/15 49/16 59/21 66/12 96/21 98/25 | Boragina [1] 75/25 |
| appears [1] 8/4 | 101/21 102/ | Borroto [3] 33/16 33/20 34/22 |
| appoint [2] 97/23 98/8 | authorizes [1] 82/8 | both [6] 9/6 46/14 48/13 50/13 57/23 58/7 |


| B | 98/15 101/22 104/21 105/8 105/16 107/19 can't [13] 3/12 17/13 19/17 52/19 52/21 | clue [1] 75/7 <br> Coconut [1] 56/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bought [1] 53/9 | 53/14 59/12 89/7 89/8 91/7 98/24 99/14 | collect [1] 79/4 |
| Boulevard [6] 12/6 13/1 13/14 13/15 23/3 $27 / 25$ | 107/2 | Colon [8] 9/5 9/7 57/1 57/19 57/23 58/4 101/19 102/11 |
| box [6] 1/15 85/20 86/1 86/2 86/5 86/15 | cannot [2] 18/14 53/15 | Colon's [1] 57/10 |
| boy [2] 31/20 33/12 | cap [4] 96/21 97/15 97/25 98/9 | Columba [5] 13/24 13/25 14/4 14/5 15/14 |
| branch [1] 11/24 | care [2] 85/20 103/20 | come [23] 17/21 26/3 27/14 27/16 34/8 |
| Brazil [25] 10/7 10/9 10/10 14/9 15/4 15/11 | career [1] 54/4 | 41/16 41/22 42/14 42/18 44/14 45/25 46 |
| 18/4 48/10 49/7 51/20 51/23 52/2 52/13 | Carla [5] 37/13 37/18 40/10 40/22 84/13 | 49/11 52/5 52/16 52/19 58/11 79/8 84/16 |
| 52/23 53/10 53/12 53/15 75/6 77/12 79/23 | Carlos [1] 57/2 | 98/3 98/11 101/17 101/22 |
| 86/2 86/6 86/8 86/17 99/10 | Carolina [1] 56/11 | comes [1] 10/3 |
| Brazilian [3] 51/22 53/13 99/9 | cart [1] 95/21 | coming [1] 9/13 |
| break [1] 17/3 | case[37] 1/2 2/3 2/5 5/13 5/15 7/10 7/13 | commercial [2] 86/9 86/9 |
| briefly [1] 55/2 | 7/15 7/18 8/3 11/6 11/11 17/1 18/1 19/8 | commit [4] 65/1 84/22 84/24 86/23 |
| bring [6] 49/21 56/8 80/22 101/16 103/24 | 19/13 19/14 19/16 19/24 20/8 22/2 22/3 | committed [15] 16/17 16/19 16/21 44/22 |
| 106/20 | 23/19 23/20 25/22 50/1 55/15 55/17 56/10 | 72/25 73/8 73/16 78/10 78/11 83/4 87/22 |
| Brooks [4] 32/22 33/1 33/4 33/6 | 62/7 62/9 66/24 75/23 96/17 104/8 104/16 | 88/22 89/13 89/17 90/19 |
| brother [12] 29/19 30/7 30/14 30/18 30/20 | 105/10 | committing [3] 18/3 82/21 88/18 |
| 30/21 45/9 45/25 46/2 47/18 48/8 48/9 | Cavalcant [1] 51/3 | communicate [1] 101/16 |
| brother's [1] 30/8 | Cavalcante [3] 51/4 51/5 52/2 | companies [9] 18/5 23/24 24/8 55/7 64/8 |
| brought [4] 17/19 32/18 75/6 76/13 | center [2] 29/7 29/9 | 72/8 72/11 74/9 77/16 |
| Broward [1] 71/7 | certain [1] 3/1 | company [44] 15/7 23/22 25/3 25/4 25/6 |
| Brown [4] 68/8 68/11 69/11 69/15 | certainly [1] 105/7 | 26/9 26/15 43/22 43/24 44/1 44/6 49/7 |
| Brown's [2] 68/12 69/1 | certify [1] 108/2 | 51/20 53/4 53/5 60/6 60/14 64/12 64/14 |
| Brunt [2] 103/7 103/13 | chance [2] 8/17 8/21 | 64/17 68/14 68/18 70/13 72/10 72/14 72/23 |
| building [2] 32/4 60/5 | change [7] 42/15 43/15 44/12 59/6 81/8 | 72/25 73/3 73/5 73/6 73/8 75/5 75/13 76/8 |
| bunch [2] 70/12 99/11 | 101/12 101/13 | 76/9 77/19 78/4 78/5 79/12 80/24 81/1 |
| burden [1] 7/19 | changed [1] 42/17 | 86/18 86/24 87/17 |
| business [1] 49/8 | Chantel [1] 9/21 | compel [2] 2/22 103/1 |
| C | character [20] 23/21 23/25 24/4 49/20 50/4 | competent [1] 73/15 |
| C-A-N [1] 50/23 | 56/7 67/23 69/24 70/9 70/19 71/10 71/15 | complained [1] 78/7 |
| C-A-N-T [1] 51/2 | 71/17 | complete [2] 35/21 10 |
| C-A-R-L-A [1] 37/15 | charge [4] 47/4 47/23 84/14 87/17 | comply [1] 102/19 |
| C-A-V-A [1] 50/24 | charged [4] 17/10 17/11 22/12 76/11 | computer [1] 53/12 |
| C-A-V-A-L [1] 50/22 | charges [3] 19/4 19/24 55/4 | computers [1] 40/20 |
| C-A-V-A-L-C-A-N-T [1] 51/3 | Chase [1] 81/19 | concern [1] 52/17 |
| C-E-R-M-A-C [1] 65/9 | CHAVES [3] 1/6 1/13 2/5 | concerned [1] 47/22 |
| C-E-R-M-A-C-K [2] 65/8 65/10 | check [8] 11/15 30/1 56/11 75/4 76/7 76/8 | conference [1] 95/23 |
| C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-E [1] 108/1 | 95/3 99/22 | confession [3] 6/3 6/6 10/6 |
| C-H-A-S-E [1] 81/18 | checking [1] 84/16 | confirmed [1] 9/12 |
| C-H-R-I-S-T-E-N-S-E-N [1] 53/22 | Chicago [3] 64/3 64/20 65/14 | confiscated [1] 53/12 |
| C-L-A-U-D-I-A [1] 29/16 | Christensen [2] 53/23 54/19 | Conklin [3] 23/11 23/18 24/11 |
| C-O-L-O-N [2] 57/3 58/4 | Christmas [1] 60/12 | consider [1] 43/6 |
| C-O-L-U-M-B-A [3] 13/24 14/2 14/3 | church [1] 56/13 | consistent [1] 60/20 |
| C-O-N [1] 23/14 | Circle [4] 31/3 31/6 45/17 57/11 | conspiracy [1] 87/1 |
| C-O-R-Y [1] 85/13 | Circuit [4] 7/17 8/14 50/2 98/19 | construction [2] 40/4 60/5 |
| C-R-I-S-T-I-A-N-E [2] 38/20 38/21 | cite [3] 7/10 7/13 8/11 | consult [1] 8/10 |
| California [1] 6/22 | city [3] 29/3 31/25 65/13 | contact [4] 15/3 79/13 79/25 86/18 |
| call [23] 2/3 11/10 14/8 15/5 19/15 19/17 | civil [3] 72/7 72/22 73/19 | contacted [2] 14/20 79/10 |
| 43/25 53/2 66/5 75/9 79/13 82/20 83/1 83/1 | CJ [2] 97/7 98/6 | contained [1] 102/10 |
| 83/3 88/24 89/16 90/6 90/7 90/8 92/4 92/7 | claim [10] 24/5 27/13 27/15 32/18 33/21 | containing [1] 86/16 |
|  | 34/1 48/10 78/2 90/3 90/5 | continually [2] 104/17 105/5 |
| called [7] 10/1 19/24 25/3 35/16 62/20 75/6 $78 / 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { claimed [6] } \\ & \text { 84/13 }\end{aligned} 11 / 15$ 26/8 29/25 48/7 48/8 | continuance [2] 95/24 104/15 continuation [1] 103/23 |
| calling [9] 2/4 21/18 21/21 21/25 62/23 | claims [2] 45/25 89/23 | continue [3] 92/25 102/15 104/19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 77/16 77/23 89/11 90/2 } \\ & \text { calls [2] 75/15 90/11 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { clarified [1] 79/2 } \\ & \text { clarify [1] } 54 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { contract }[6] & 25 / 13 & 25 / 17 & 26 / 9 \\ 68 / 24 & \text { 26/11 68/15 }\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { came [2] 20/6 93/21 } \\ & \text { camera [1] 2/21 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Claudia [3] 29/16 30/9 31/15 } \\ & \text { clear [3] } 9 / 249 / 25 ~ 70 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { copy }[6] \text { 6/7 6/8 6/11 6/13 10/12 103/2 } \\ & \text { coral [3] } 30 / 530 / 1131 / 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Camino [2] 22/23 23/2 } \\ & \text { can [42] 4/9 7/11 7/13 7/25 8/10 11/5 11/9 } \end{aligned}$ | clearly [1] 4/8 <br> client [7] 19/22 51/20 74/1 97/11 97/11 | Corey [1] 87/4 corporate [3] 14/13 14/16 67/25 |
| 13/3 19/19 22/17 22/20 24/15 26/6 30/6 | 106/11 106/15 | correct [17] 5/19 13/19 14/23 21/2 24/25 |
| 30/13 30/18 31/13 37/23 52/1 52/18 52/19 | clients [1] 79/23 | 25/25 37/6 38/8 42/23 43/4 45/5 48/19 59/4 |
| 52/24 54/24 66/5 71/17 78/4 81/25 82/6 | close [1] 62/9 | 61/21 66/18 93/15 93/18 |
| 92/12 93/2 93/5 94/6 97/17 98/2 98/3 98/4 | closed [3] 40/5 84/13 106/9 | correction [1] 58/16 |


| C | decide [3] 17/15 17/15 63/4 decided [1] 104/7 | division [2] 66/22 67/8 do [109] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cory [3] 85/9 85/14 86/13 | decision [3] 50/10 96/6 105/20 | Docket[9] 2/23 98/17 99/18 100/3 100/20 |
| costs [1] 18/5 | decisions [1] 96/8 | 101/2 103/2 103/7 103/12 |
| could [14] 3/22 8/11 10/23 14/25 43/1 89/15 | Deerfield [12] 11/19 11/21 12/8 13/2 13/14 | documentation [1] 9/19 |
| 94/10 95/2 95/11 95/12 96/5 97/1 101/6 | 13/17 13/18 27/24 28/4 28/18 29/4 48/23 | documents [6] 9/8 9/13 9/18 9/22 9/24 9/25 |
| 107/7 | defend [3] 18/10 18/12 23/19 | does [12] 6/25 7/4 9/16 18/22 26/1 57/5 |
| counsel [8] 1/18 2/13 3/7 8/19 8/21 13/3 | defendant [28] 1/7 1/13 3/15 4/24 7/8 7/18 | 65/18 66/19 71/15 86/13 88/14 90/8 |
| 91/11 94/9 | 7/22 8/18 13/3 19/13 19/13 37/1 45/5 49/17 | doesn't [10] 19/19 19/20 34/7 34/8 57/7 |
| country [2] 46/11 63/3 | 54/19 56/19 61/21 63/17 67/9 91/10 94/8 | 75/20 82/7 82/25 90/5 90/6 |
| couple [5] 9/12 39/2 42/13 42/18 79/22 | 96/17 97/1 97/21 98/3 98/7 98/11 99/16 | doing [8] 17/3 19/2 19/6 20/12 44/21 49/25 |
| course [2] 13/5 18/19 | Defendant's [3] 2/22 103/7 103/13 | 73/21 80/5 |
| court [31] 1/1 1/21 4/9 8/5 10/7 10/9 10/10 | defense [13] 3/9 5/15 5/21 11/12 22/2 27/11 | don't [68] 3/2 8/2 8/3 8/4 9/8 10/8 10/15 |
| 11/5 15/22 16/25 18/11 18/13 18/15 18/23 | 55/17 64/19 77/13 84/10 99/2 106/11 | 10/17 14/15 15/15 17/8 17/25 18/7 18/24 |
| 41/19 43/6 49/21 65/17 66/7 82/7 82/8 89/8 | 106/16 | 19/15 19/23 23/5 24/13 30/6 30/15 30/15 |
| 91/16 95/13 97/15 102/3 102/20 104/12 | defrauding [2] 80/23 81/1 | 32/12 32/25 36/22 41/15 41/20 42/9 43/15 |
| 104/25 107/23 108/6 | delay [1] 105/2 | 46/9 46/20 47/3 47/21 50/9 54/10 54/11 |
| Courthouse [1] 108/6 | deliver [1] 27/15 | 54/21 55/16 59/9 74/14 75/13 76/5 79/22 |
| courtroom [1] 3/6 | delivered [5] 15/11 53/12 79/2 79/3 79/23 | 80/12 83/2 85/25 86/3 86/22 86/25 88/25 |
| CR [2] 1/2 2/6 | delivery [1] 14/21 | 89/11 89/16 91/5 92/10 92/19 92/20 94/17 |
| Creek [1] 56/4 | Dell [1] 53/11 | 95/2 95/16 95/21 96/21 97/13 97/13 97/15 |
| crime [2] 17/3 18/13 | denial [4] 16/23 16/23 22/7 101/25 | 97/17 98/1 104/3 104/12 104/13 |
| criminal [2] 17/9 19/21 | denied [3] 7/8 80/19 99/18 | Donald [1] 72/4 |
| Cristiane [2] 38/18 38/24 | Denise [2] 87/12 87/14 | donated [1] 71/11 |
| cross [11] 27/21 75/16 82/23 88/25 89/1 | deny [14] 8/5 10/16 20/14 53/16 59/19 | done [8] 11/14 15/7 47/13 64/11 71/11 81/7 |
| 89/5 89/15 90/9 90/10 90/11 90/12 | 74/15 78/14 99/13 99/19 100/16 100/19 | 97/9 100/23 |
| cross-examination [3] 89/1 90/10 90/11 | 100/20 101/2 102/14 | door [2] 46/21 49/4 |
| cross-examine [8] 27/21 75/16 82/23 88/25 | department [4] 23/11 50/15 55/6 77/9 | double [3] 33/15 36/9 36/12 |
| 89/5 89/15 90/9 90/12 | depends [1] 50/5 | down [17] 2/21 2/24 3/15 3/21 4/9 11/24 |
| CRR [2] 1/21 108/5 | deportation [1] 62/8 | 28/1 30/16 54/1 75/5 92/23 93/2 93/5 103/9 |
| Cuba [1] 62/8 | deported [1] 46/11 | 103/11 103/15 103/23 |
| cumulative [1] 50/7 | deposit [1] 30/2 | Drive [6] 31/3 31/6 45/17 57/11 81/18 |
| current [16] 20/18 21/1 45/5 49/17 51/16 | Dernick [3] 83/15 84/1 84/1 | 81/19 |
| 54/20 56/18 56/19 59/21 61/11 66/3 67/9 | descriptive [1] 59/15 | driver [3] 28/10 28/11 28/13 |
| 71/1 80/11 80/15 100/23 | destroyed [1] 5/11 | driver's [3] 42/3 42/7 42/8 |
| custody [1] 105/6 | detective [2] 23/10 23/17 | driving [1] 35/16 |
| customer [3] 29/7 29/9 86/16 | detention [1] 17/24 | drug [14] 5/10 6/16 6/20 6/23 6/25 23/24 |
| customers [1] 77/12 | deter [1] 104/8 | 24/6 54/8 54/10 54/22 55/11 70/17 87/1 |
| Customs [1] 86/7 | determine [4] 51/21 51/25 79/20 97/10 | 87/1 |
| CV [4] 97/2 97/13 97/22 99/17 | detrimental [1] 106/11 | drugs [5] 55/7 85/20 85/22 85/24 86/16 |
| D | develop [1] 54/11 | Duarte [9] 6/9 6/11 6/13 10/1 10/6 10/6 |
| D-A-N-E [1] 40/14 | DHL [14] 14/8 14/16 14/18 15/1 19/25 24/6 | due [1] 105/8 |
| D-A-N-I-E-L [1] 40/15 | 50/16 64/2 65/19 65/20 68/1 73/1 77/8 | duplicitous [1] 50/8 |
| D-A-R-O-N [2] 24/22 24/23 | 80/19 | during [4] 40/4 60/5 89/4 104/23 |
| D-E-N-I-S-E [1] 87/12 | DHS [3] 64/19 65/18 65/18 | duty [2] 94/1 94/4 |
| D-E-R-N-I-C-K [2] 83/11 83/12 | diane [4] $1 / 211 / 23108 / 5108 / 5$ | E |
| Daniel [2] 40/14 40/17 | did [28] 3/19 6/4 8/7 8/22 12/4 21/15 22/3 | E-G-A-N [2] 78/19 78/20 |
| Daron [3] 24/20 69/7 69/9 | 22/5 22/6 22/15 40/1 40/18 40/19 41/3 60/5 | E-I-L [1] 41/6 |
| date [9] 101/3 101/8 101/13 103/9 103/11 | 64/22 70/17 75/25 79/16 79/17 79/19 79/21 | E-M-I-L-I-A-N [1] 69/21 |
| 104/9 104/15 105/13 108/5 | 80/2 84/22 84/24 86/6 86/21 89/20 | e-mail [1] 80/8 |
| dates [1] 95/8 | didn't [6] 33/24 43/23 50/24 65/1 72/9 89/3 | e-mails [3] 80/23 81/2 82/18 |
| David [4] 23/10 23/12 23/13 24/2 | different [4] 44/11 60/19 85/18 99/25 | E-N-T-S-E-F [1] 59/1 |
| day [2] 86/19 101/12 | direct [2] 90/13 90/14 | E-R-R-A-O [1] 52/8 |
| days [4] 9/12 72/6 79/22 92/14 | directly [1] 46/17 | E-R-S-A-K-Y-A-N [1] 24/24 |
| deal [3] 57/19 93/4 94/20 | disappear [1] 9/18 | each [2] 92/23 94/22 |
| deals [1] 3/8 | disappeared [2] 9/13 9/24 | earlier [3] 49/24 93/21 101/20 |
| DECEMBER [14] 1/4 92/16 93/5 94/11 | disclosing [1] 106/10 | Edward [1] 58/25 |
| 96/9 101/4 101/9 102/16 102/23 103/17 | discovery [2] 30/2 75/7 | effective [1] 33/2 |
| 103/18 103/21 104/20 105/13 | discuss [1] 82/7 | Egan [3] 78/17 78/20 78/22 |
| December 19th [10] 92/16 101/4 101/9 | discussed [2] 35/22 95/1 | eight [1] 48/9 |
| 102/16 102/23 103/17 103/18 103/21 | Discussion [3] 8/18 91/10 94/8 | either [4] 10/17 11/11 13/2 16/2 |
| 104/20 105/13 | disgraceful [1] 19/7 | elaborate [1] 26/6 |
| December 20th [1] 94/11 | dispute [1] 80/16 | Eleventh [4] 7/17 8/14 50/2 98/19 |
| December 23rd [1] 96/9 | disrespect [1] 18/8 | elicit [2] 89/8 89/9 |
| December 26th [1] 93/5 | DISTRICT [2] 1/1 1/1 | else [26] 2/11 8/22 9/22 14/24 14/24 18/5 |


| E | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F-L-A-T-W-O-O-D [1] 76/18 } \\ & \text { F-L-A-V-I-O [1] 45/14 } \end{aligned}$ | 20/20 20/22 24/1 24/20 24/21 27/8 29/15 33/16 33/18 35/3 35/6 36/15 37/14 39/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| else... [20] 36/17 37/3 47/24 50/18 56/8 71/3 | F-O-N-S-E-C-A [2] 38/23 40/16 | 45/11 45/14 50/4 51/5 55/23 59/25 61/25 |
| 71/25 79/25 82/10 85/8 87/9 88/11 91/2 | F-R-A-N-C-I-S-C-O [1] 51/7 | 66/16 67/13 72/3 74/3 74/21 83/12 96/16 |
| 92/7 98/14 101/22 103/24 106/12 106/17 | fabrication [1] 47/23 | firsthand [3] 6/25 9/16 19/20 |
| 107/5 | face [3] 18/16 75/11 82/23 | FL [2] 1/22 108/7 |
| Emilian [4] 69/17 69/19 69/22 69/25 | facially [1] 7/24 | flash [1] 18/16 |
| employee [7] 28/9 43/10 43/19 43/19 44/9 | facility [3] 28/16 29/5 32/7 | Flatwood [3] 76/19 76/20 76/22 |
| 58/17 60/4 | fact [20] 10/7 12/20 15/3 17/18 25/22 32/14 | Flavio [3] 45/14 45/21 46/5 |
| employees [2] 55/7 67/25 | 32/17 35/15 49/6 49/7 50/14 60/4 60/21 | FLORIDA [13] 1/1 1/5 1/16 1/19 11/19 |
| end [2] 13/11 86/19 | 68/13 78/7 80/19 84/12 85/18 98/5 98/6 | 12/9 13/2 13/18 23/3 28/19 31/10 31/23 |
| ended [1] 102/12 | facts [5] 7/20 7/22 21/5 21/7 99/1 | 32/1 |
| engaged [1] 60/22 | factual [1] 44/19 | flsd.uscourts.gov [1] 1/23 |
| English [2] 34/7 57/5 | fair [2] 19/14 106/14 | folder [1] 18/17 |
| entered [2] 102/25 107/18 | faith [1] 3/17 | follow [2] 24/7 107/19 |
| entire [1] 43/22 | fake [3] 17/20 17/21 18/13 | follow-up [1] 107/19 |
| entirely [1] 58/12 | false [12] 17/12 19/25 22/12 22/15 22/19 | followed [1] 54/4 |
| entitled [1] 108/4 | 26/3 26/5 26/6 46/2 49/6 55/12 61/2 | Fonseca [5] 38/23 38/24 39/11 40/15 40/18 |
| Entry [9] 2/23 98/17 99/18 100/3 100/20 | FAM [1] 1/2 | force [1] 52/1 |
| 101/2 103/2 103/8 103/12 | family [10] 7/3 16/16 39/14 42/14 46/9 | foregoing [1] 108/2 |
| escape [1] 90/22 | 46/22 49/9 52/4 52/15 93/9 | Fort [1] 1/19 |
| ESQ [2] 1/17 1/17 | family's [1] 45/16 | forum [1] 107/1 |
| essentially [1] 95/10 | far [9] 3/14 16/15 18/8 18/21 46/20 79/21 | forward [2] 102/16 104/19 |
| even [10] 17/20 18/8 19/5 42/15 42/17 | 92/14 101/19 106/23 | foundation [1] 99/19 |
| 48/10 53/1 55/5 90/17 99/9 | father [1] 39/14 | four [2] 11/17 46/2 |
| event [2] 71/11 71/12 | favorable [2] 46/18 84/19 | frame [1] 52/17 |
| events [1] 24/8 | fax [2] 64/9 108/8 | Francisco [1] 51/6 |
| every [5] 9/21 77/24 84/15 86/8 100/13 | FBI [47] 26/2 26/4 26/6 26/8 27/13 27/18 | fraud [40] 6/4 16/17 16/19 16/21 18/3 |
| everybody [6] 2/2 47/22 77/23 77/24 77/24 | 27/20 32/12 32/18 33/21 34/1 34/1 34/9 | 43/25 43/25 64/22 64/25 65/1 73/1 73/8 |
| 95/17 | 34/11 34/16 44/11 44/17 45/23 45/24 46/4 | 73/16 74/12 76/11 77/9 78/1 78/2 78/10 |
| everything [8] 42/12 42/14 42/18 47/23 | 46/6 46/10 46/21 49/3 49/5 49/10 56/8 62/8 | 78/11 79/5 79/7 79/20 80/5 80/16 81/7 |
| 79/24 81/5 87/8 105/8 | 63/11 67/1 76/1 79/7 80/1 80/2 80/15 80/22 | 82/19 82/21 83/4 84/22 84/24 86/23 87/22 |
| evidence [6] 5/11 7/2 7/4 22/21 42/13 46/23 | 80/25 81/7 81/8 82/18 86/22 88/1 89/2 89/4 | 88/3 88/18 88/22 89/13 89/17 90/1 90/19 |
| evidentiary [39] 9/1 9/2 9/10 10/18 10/19 | 89/12 90/1 90/16 | fraudulent [3] 19/25 77/20 90/4 |
| 46/13 47/11 47/16 48/1 48/13 50/5 57/16 | FDC [5] 1/14 34/16 62/20 87/8 87/8 | fraudulently [1] 15/9 |
| 57/23 58/8 92/3 92/5 92/8 92/15 92/17 | Fed [3] 66/21 67/8 73/9 | Fred [9] 31/17 31/18 32/5 32/12 32/21 |
| 92/18 93/19 93/20 101/3 101/8 101/14 | Fed.Appx. 419 [2] 7/16 8/13 | 32/21 33/1 33/1 33/6 |
| 101/23 102/3 102/5 102/8 102/9 102/12 | federal [34] 5/10 6/21 25/12 25/13 25/18 | friend [2] 62/11 62/12 |
| 102/15 102/20 103/9 103/12 103/16 103/21 | 25/19 25/23 26/15 26/17 26/25 37/7 37/21 | frivolous [3] 8/1 8/5 19/15 |
| 104/14 105/3 | 38/1 38/6 55/11 66/22 67/25 69/12 70/12 | front [4] 10/7 10/9 10/10 91/19 |
| ex [14] 1/9 2/21 3/5 66/21 67/8 73/9 93/3 | 83/16 83/18 83/19 83/20 83/21 84/8 84/14 | full [1] 65/16 |
| 94/11 103/25 106/6 106/8 106/9 106/13 | 84/16 84/20 86/14 87/20 87/22 87/24 88/15 | fund [2] 100/3 100/4 |
| 107/2 | 88/19 | funds [2] 15/22 98/6 |
| Exactly [3] 42/1 61/12 84/23 | feel [1] 105/17 | further [1] 94/11 |
| examination [6] 89/1 90/10 90/11 90/13 90/14 98/12 | Felini $[12] ~ 37 / 13 ~ 37 / 18 ~ 37 / 18 ~ 38 / 10 ~ 39 / 8 ~$ $39 / 11 ~ 39 / 20 ~ 40 / 1 ~ 40 / 10 ~ 40 / 22 ~ 40 / 22 ~ 84 / 13 ~$ | G |
| examine [8] 27/21 75/16 82/23 88/25 89/5 | FELIPE [2] 1/17 2/13 | G-O-L-D-R-I-C-H [1] 72/2 |
| 89/15 90/9 90/12 | Ferguson [1] 108/6 | G-O-L-F [1] 31/6 |
| examining [1] 98/1 | few [4] 91/6 91/14 92/13 92/23 | G-R-A-N-T [1] 20/23 |
| Excellent [1] 13/20 | Fifty [1] 91/24 | game [1] 31/5 |
| expect [1] 104/25 | Fifty-plus [1] 91/24 | gather [1] 46/20 |
| expeditiously [1] 105/2 | fight [2] 19/8 19/13 | gave[6] 9/21 10/11 26/5 34/10 47/6 86/15 |
| expenditure [1] 15/22 | figure [2] 52/18 67/6 | gem [1] 71/13 |
| expert [6] 3/10 97/2 97/3 97/14 97/19 97/24 | file [12] 55/5 74/25 75/18 76/6 95/22 96/2 | gentleman [2] 29/11 72/5 |
| Experts [1] 96/16 | 96/4 104/7 104/11 104/11 104/13 104/25 | Georgia [3] 75/5 75/5 76/23 |
| explain [8] 15/5 16/15 19/12 49/23 55/2 | filed [13] 2/25 3/19 9/5 9/7 22/6 94/20 | get [47] 2/7 3/11 3/12 3/13 4/9 5/14 13/3 |
| 89/7 90/22 92/18 | 98/16 101/12 102/3 102/3 103/6 104/14 | 18/22 19/1 30/13 34/18 43/23 45/1 46/11 |
| explained [2] 9/20 20/10 | 105/16 | 47/4 47/11 47/14 47/17 47/19 49/15 50/24 |
| Express [25] 5/10 6/21 25/14 25/18 25/19 | files [8] 5/11 5/12 34/16 46/23 75/4 75/20 | 51/14 51/22 52/24 54/17 56/16 62/9 63/5 |
| 25/24 37/21 55/11 66/22 67/25 70/13 75/6 | 99/8 99/11 | 63/15 67/6 70/25 75/13 77/12 79/1 80/14 |
| 83/19 83/20 83/21 84/8 84/14 84/16 84/20 | filing [1] 100/18 | 85/5 86/6 87/7 93/1 94/19 95/20 96/3 99/11 |
| 86/14 87/21 87/22 87/24 88/15 88/19 extensive [1] 107/12 | final [1] 15/24 <br> find [7] 10/17 17/16 43/1 46/11 59/16 78/13 | 102/13 105/3 105/7 105/10 gets [1] 105/9 |
| F | 99/18 | getting [16] 23/23 28/2 49/17 51/16 53/10 |
|  | findings [1] 50/6 | 54/19 56/18 56/19 59/20 61/21 63/18 64/8 |
| F-E-L-I-N-E [2] 39/21 39/22 F-E-L-I-N-I[1] 37/17 | Fine [1] 2/19 first [35] 3/2 3/2 4/17 4/22 11/1 13/24 16/6 | 67/9 77/25 89/23 89/24 |
|  | first [35] 3/2 3/2 4/17 4/22 11/1 13/24 16/6 | Gianna [1] 99/23 |


| G | Hamade [2] 35/5 36/15 <br> hand [4] 30/18 102/22 104/18 104/18 | 17/5 18/15 18/17 18/21 18/22 18/24 19/3 23/20 26/13 32/24 33/5 37/24 42/13 46/3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| girl [1] 49/2 | handed [5] 5/23 5/25 30/1 102/22 103/2 | 48/22 51/19 51/22 52/3 52/19 52/24 55/5 |
| give [16] 8/16 9/21 18/12 19/17 26/4 30/6 | handle [6] 22/9 54/25 73/5 78/5 84/3 86/20 | 58/16 61/15 63/2 64/25 68/8 70/24 74/25 |
| 30/16 34/19 37/1 50/19 66/5 75/25 91/20 | handled [2] 84/7 84/19 | 75/4 76/6 76/8 77/21 84/12 85/4 90/1 90/23 |
| 92/11 92/17 104/15 | happen [1] 20/9 | 91/18 93/13 95/13 95/19 95/24 95/25 95/25 |
| given [4] 7/3 44/16 92/5 97/12 | has [82] 3/17 5/9 5/22 5/22 6/7 6/16 6/19 | 96/15 100/2 102/4 104/24 105/12 105/22 |
| gives [1] 104/12 | 7/3 7/8 9/19 9/21 9/24 10/8 11/6 15/6 16/17 | 105/22 106/19 106/23 |
| giving [3] 17/11 20/5 22/12 | 16/19 16/21 17/4 18/3 18/9 18/17 18/18 | here's [2] 92/21 96/7 |
| glad [1] 54/23 | 18/20 19/13 21/7 26/2 27/17 32/19 34/9 | hereby [1] 108/2 |
| GNC [6] 70/1 70/1 70/3 70/3 70/5 70/7 | 34/16 35/16 44/13 44/22 46/8 49/2 49/3 | Heshie [1] 83/13 |
| go [56] 2/3 3/12 3/22 7/5 8/10 8/16 12/24 | 49/6 49/9 51/10 53/3 54/4 54/5 55/8 55/13 | Hialeah [3] 34/15 42/5 63/16 |
| 15/12 22/14 25/10 26/24 29/10 30/6 31/13 | 56/10 61/3 62/8 62/14 64/25 66/24 67/24 | hide [1] 77/22 |
| 32/20 33/8 34/21 37/25 38/9 40/24 43/9 | 70/11 72/25 75/5 75/18 75/19 75/23 76/7 | Highway [8] 25/12 26/15 26/17 26/25 37/8 |
| 45/3 49/25 50/21 51/15 51/23 54/9 54/14 | 76/8 77/19 78/3 78/11 79/5 79/7 79/24 | 38/1 38/7 69/12 |
| 57/20 58/18 65/4 65/24 66/1 66/8 68/7 | 82/17 84/13 86/2 86/2 86/9 86/9 88/17 | Hillsborough [6] 11/25 12/6 13/1 13/14 |
| 70/21 70/25 75/1 76/22 76/24 79/14 80/10 | 89/12 94/18 98/22 99/16 100/13 102/20 | 13/15 27/24 |
| 81/24 82/2 87/3 89/5 89/9 91/12 91/16 | 103/10 106/18 106/19 | him [69] 5/5 5/8 5/23 6/15 7/3 11/16 12/1 |
| 94/21 94/24 96/10 96/14 97/21 99/5 105/19 | have [236] | 14/8 14/20 15/3 15/5 15/5 15/14 21/24 24/6 |
| goes [3] 50/7 105/11 106/17 | having [5] 4/9 64/6 86/19 88/23 103/3 | 24/11 24/14 25/1 26/3 27/16 27/21 32/24 |
| going [149] | he [144] | 46/7 46/10 46/13 46/17 46/17 46/18 47/4 |
| Goldrich [7] 72/1 73/10 73/12 73/18 73/23 | he'll [3] 47/16 72/23 73/20 | 47/15 48/1 48/9 48/12 48/22 52/16 52/19 |
| 74/6 74/11 | he's [65] 5/12 5/17 7/1 7/2 14/7 14/12 14/17 | 52/24 53/2 53/9 53/11 54/8 54/10 54/15 |
| golf [7] 31/3 31/4 31/5 31/5 31/6 45/17 | 20/25 22/8 22/12 22/13 22/15 22/16 23/10 | 57/15 68/20 70/22 73/15 75/9 75/15 75/16 |
| 57/11 | 23/21 23/25 24/4 30/22 32/14 33/21 34/1 | 75/17 76/3 76/5 76/15 77/11 77/14 78/4 |
| gone [7] 5/24 6/1 10/4 10/5 92/21 92/22 | 35/8 46/10 46/15 47/17 47/17 49/12 50/13 | 78/7 80/22 85/2 85/20 85/22 86/15 86/20 |
| 93/14 | 51/14 51/20 52/13 52/18 52/25 54/1 54/13 | 87/5 89/5 89/6 89/10 95/20 |
| good [10] 2/2 2/10 2/12 2/16 3/17 56/10 | 56/4 56/6 56/7 60/4 67/17 67/23 68/14 | him in [1] 73/15 |
| 66/4 94/24 107/4 107/21 | 68/15 68/18 68/19 68/20 69/4 70/19 70/23 | himself [1] 73/24 |
| got [35] 4/4 7/2 18/9 19/1 22/13 28/20 29/8 | 73/20 75/3 76/5 76/12 77/8 77/9 78/10 | his [42] 3/7 10/17 12/10 14/14 19/1 21/12 |
| 33/5 37/24 38/12 40/25 41/21 42/8 44/13 | 80/22 83/25 84/21 85/4 85/20 86/23 97/18 | 22/22 24/19 26/9 27/13 27/22 33/3 34/4 |
| 46/8 48/15 55/22 59/11 62/17 69/17 70/18 | 99/23 99/24 | 34/13 34/17 46/8 47/5 48/25 49/2 49/3 49/4 |
| 71/4 74/24 77/14 78/8 79/12 82/13 87/7 | headway [1] 4/1 | 51/12 52/17 53/1 54/2 56/5 56/12 56/14 |
| 88/7 93/24 95/19 95/19 104/9 105/21 | hear [2] 89/3 91/7 | 57/2 59/10 60/16 60/19 61/5 61/16 68/18 |
| 105/23 | heard [2] 19/19 33/3 | 74/1 74/25 75/4 79/12 81/2 86/18 89/6 |
| gotten [2] 4/15 97/2 | hearing [72] 1/9 2/22 3/4 3/15 9/1 9/2 9/4 | history [1] 67/24 |
| government [28] 3/8 7/25 11/15 18/3 22/14 | 9/10 10/18 10/19 13/9 17/24 33/3 46/13 | hold [12] 4/13 15/4 25/13 28/19 58/18 |
| 46/16 53/13 55/14 60/21 75/6 75/15 75/19 | 47/11 47/13 47/16 48/1 48/13 57/16 57/23 | 58/22 64/8 77/12 77/14 77/25 79/12 91/3 |
| 75/22 76/13 82/25 83/2 88/23 89/13 90/6 | 58/8 92/5 92/8 92/13 92/15 92/17 92/18 | holidays [2] 104/23 104/24 |
| 90/8 90/11 95/22 96/2 96/4 99/9 102/7 | 93/3 93/3 93/19 93/20 94/11 95/3 96/8 | Holmes [1] 87/14 |
| 103/25 106/13 | 96/13 101/3 101/8 101/14 101/23 102/4 | honest [1] 41/18 |
| Government's [2] 11/11 106 | 102/5 102/8 102/9 102/12 102/15 102/18 | honor [148] |
| grand [4] 18/18 18/20 75/19 75/20 | 102/21 102/23 102/23 103/1 103/10 103/12 | HONORABLE [1] 1/9 |
| grant [14] 20/20 20/22 23/7 31/14 42/22 | 103/16 103/22 103/25 104/12 104/12 | Hope [1] 107/3 |
| 43/3 45/4 51/16 57/20 67/7 80/10 87/3 88/5 | 104/15 104/20 104/23 105/1 105/2 105/3 | hopefully [1] 13/10 |
| 88/9 | 106/7 106/8 106/9 106/18 107/1 107/3 | horse [1] 95/22 |
| granted [12] 7/24 54/19 56/17 58/10 59/6 | 107/10 107/22 | hour [4] 96/22 97/20 97/24 98/8 |
| 61/10 61/20 63/14 68/5 71/1 71/23 85/6 | hearing for [1] 103/10 | hourly [11] 96/21 96/22 96/24 97/4 97/14 |
| granting [1] 102/25 | hearings [4] 104/19 104/21 106/13 107/12 | 97/17 97/24 98/8 99/3 99/17 100/25 |
| Great [1] 2/20 | hearsay [3] 21/23 89/8 89/9 | hours [3] 97/25 98/9 98/10 |
| guess [10] 8/25 22/11 26/8 26/10 30/5 44/14 | held [2] 3/5 105/5 | house [3] 30/8 32/2 82/1 |
| 45/24 57/17 67/5 70/18 | helmet [1] 71/12 | Houston [1] 81/20 |
| guilty [1] 18/9 | Helmus [1] 71/6 | how [33] 2/17 5/2 5/20 18/21 31/18 36/8 |
| Gulf [1] 31/4 | help [5] 23/18 42/9 62/9 77/13 84/10 | 38/19 47/1 49/20 50/7 51/22 52/18 54/11 |
| guy [2] 48/8 76/13 | helpful [1] 13/4 | 54/12 62/2 62/21 64/18 66/15 69/20 70/9 |
| guys [1] 6/4 | her [75] 16/10 17/7 17/15 19/7 20/3 20/7 | 73/7 73/14 74/2 76/4 76/4 77/13 83/23 |
| H | 20/8 29/25 30/1 30/18 30/23 31/12 35/2 | 84/10 86/6 91/15 91/20 93 |
| H-A-M-A-D-E [2] 35/2 35/3 | 38/16 38/21 39/14 39/14 41/3 41/3 41/17 | 97/23 |
| H-E-L-M-U-S [1] 71/5 | 42/2 43/3 43/14 44/12 44/14 44/24 44/25 | hub [1] 27/24 |
| H-E-S-H-I-E [2] 83/13 83/14 | 58/7 58/20 60/24 62/7 62/13 63/11 63/14 | huge [1] 26/15 |
| H-O-L-M-E-S [1] 87/13 | 64/9 64/20 65/2 66/20 66/25 67/3 71/20 | Humane [1] 71/7 |
| had [26] 3/16 3/19 4/11 4/14 5/25 8/20 9/13 | 78/25 79/4 79/8 79/10 79/13 79/14 79/25 | husband [1] 60/4 |
| 21/12 24/3 25/13 25/14 25/17 56/9 62/6 | 80/7 80/17 82/21 82/23 83/1 83/1 83/3 | I |
| 96/13 97/1 97/10 97/12 107/10 <br> half [1] 53/4 | 90/8 90/8 90/15 90/16 90/20 96/20 here [58] 2/9 3/3 11/25 12/11 17/2 17/3 | I'll [26] 3/11 3/13 3/25 15/14 32/20 36/21 37/1 47/10 47/13 54/9 54/23 59/6 70/21 |


| I | introduced [1] 20/7 | 104/3 104/7 105/11 105/19 105/20 106/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I'll... [13] 76/24 80/10 80/10 81/23 87/3 | investigated [1] 6/22 | Junior [4] 48/15 48/16 49/6 51/11 |
| 88/5 88/9 93/1 95/25 97/21 99/19 106/2 | investigation [3] 79/20 81/4 100/4 | jury [5] 17/15 18/18 18/20 75/19 75/20 |
| 107/3 | investigator [36] 9/12 9/20 34/7 42/24 45/1 | just [45] 3/4 4/20 6/15 7/1 7/10 7/13 7/14 |
| I'm [102] 6/17 8/5 10/16 11/20 12/24 13/9 | 48/24 49/14 51/13 54/17 56/15 56/18 61/14 | 7/14 8/17 18/14 19/1 19/3 19/12 19/18 20/7 |
| 15/12 15/20 16/1 17/15 18/2 18/7 18/11 | 63/15 69/5 70/24 70/25 85/5 87/7 94/17 | 26/6 36/19 42/8 42/13 54/24 55/2 59/11 |
| 18/15 18/21 19/3 19/7 20/14 23/6 24/10 | 96/17 96/18 96/25 98/23 98/25 99/15 99/24 | 60/17 61/15 63/4 63/5 70/15 77/14 77/15 |
| 24/15 26/20 26/21 28/1 28/6 29/10 30/3 | 100/5 100/7 100/8 100/9 100/17 100/21 | 80/8 80/19 82/4 82/8 89/7 91/20 97/9 102/6 |
| 31/10 31/13 33/8 34/21 38/9 38/22 39/23 | 100/23 100/24 100/25 101/17 | 104/2 104/4 105/21 106/1 106/8 106/22 |
| 40/14 40/21 42/16 42/21 44/12 45/3 47/25 | Investment [3] 25/7 25/8 68/14 | 107/6 107/18 |
| 48/12 49/25 50/11 51/15 53/16 55/8 56/23 | Investments [6] 25/16 25/17 25/22 68/16 | justification [1] 3/20 |
| 57/20 57/22 59/19 65/7 66/1 67/7 67/12 | 69/7 69/11 | K |
| 77/16 77/17 77/23 78/13 78/14 80/23 81/17 | involve [1] 99/9 | K-A-M [1] 77/4 |
| 81/23 82/6 87/6 89/5 90/9 90/15 92/12 | involved [19] 22/13 43/24 49/8 55/6 55/11 | K-A-M-L-E-T [1] 77/3 |
| 92/21 93/6 96/1 96/7 96/8 97/23 98/7 99/13 | 60/24 68/15 70/16 70/17 73/2 73/24 73/25 | K-A-P-L-A-N [2] 20/21 20/25 |
| 100/16 100/19 100/20 101/1 101/12 101/15 | 74/12 76/4 76/5 76/10 76/13 80/16 80/22 | K-A-R-I-N-A [1] 62/3 |
| 102/1 102/4 102/14 102/22 103/2 103/9 | involvements [1] 55/8 | K-L-I-N [1] 23/15 |
| 104/16 104/19 104/19 105/2 105/7 107/19 | iPhones [2] 86/2 86/10 | Kamlet [4] 78/14 81/10 81/12 81/24 |
| I've [18] 4/5 17/10 17/11 19/5 22/11 48/15 | irrelevant [1] 19/16 | Kaplan [6] 20/21 20/25 21/15 21/22 22/2 |
| 55/9 69/17 82/13 90/2 92/21 92/23 96/7 | is [211] | 23/7 |
| 96/16 97/2 97/9 101/21 102/9 | Island [1] 15/17 | Karina [5] 62/1 62/5 62/10 62/20 63/10 |
| I-N-E-D-O [1] 36/12 | issue [12] 3/3 7/23 50/2 56/9 62/22 88/20 | keep [5] 13/9 15/19 17/20 82/4 95/17 |
| I-V-A-N [1] 52/7 | 92/25 93/4 94/20 101/25 103/21 106/5 | keeps [2] 46/21 90/16 |
| I-V-O-R [1] 27/9 | issued [5] 15/13 43/7 45/4 87/3 95/15 | key [1] 85/17 |
| idea [8] 18/18 41/20 44/13 55/1 66/4 75/9 | issues [7] 3/1 9/3 9/4 9/4 19/22 92/16 96/14 | kind [10] 15/8 27/16 64/7 77/25 78/2 79/5 |
| 94/4 94/24 | it [96] 3/21 4/19 5/14 5/25 8/4 9/17 10/13 | 79/7 81/3 84/14 85/24 |
| illegally [1] 17/3 | 11/24 12/2 12/6 14/2 15/20 17/14 18/8 | know [85] 2/24 3/14 4/19 9/13 10/8 10/15 |
| Illinois [1] 65/14 | 18/22 18/22 19/4 21/3 22/16 23/5 23/9 | 14/15 15/15 18/14 18/15 18/23 18/24 19/6 |
| IM [1] 54/5 | 24/11 26/25 27/24 28/21 29/6 30/18 32/25 | 20/7 20/13 22/3 22/14 23/5 23/23 23/24 |
| immigration [28] 16/12 16/17 16/19 16/21 | 33/1 33/4 33/5 34/16 34/19 36/20 36/22 | 26/9 26/14 28/16 30/6 32/17 32/18 35/19 |
| 17/1 17/4 17/4 17/7 17/12 17/19 19/6 20/8 | 38/1 38/5 43/1 43/15 43/16 43/25 47/6 50/9 | 35/19 36/22 41/15 42/9 44/10 44/23 46/20 |
| 20/10 20/11 20/18 21/1 22/9 22/10 22/12 | 51/14 54/24 55/2 55/8 55/13 59/2 59/5 | 46/22 47/3 47/21 49/1 49/8 49/10 50/9 |
| 22/18 32/3 32/7 33/7 34/25 35/6 35/11 | 60/20 63/5 66/4 66/7 66/9 69/3 69/20 70/16 | 50/14 54/10 54/12 54/21 55/7 55/16 56/16 |
| 36/15 101/25 | 70/25 72/12 72/16 73/2 73/4 73/17 74/15 | 60/21 64/24 67/4 67/24 71/15 73/18 73/20 |
| immigration's [1] 17/22 | 75/20 76/17 76/18 81/14 83/15 83/24 85/25 | 75/13 76/5 77/17 78/1 79/22 80/3 80/5 81/5 |
| impact [1] 64/19 | 86/2 86/6 86/7 86/8 86/8 87/7 88/9 90/10 | 81/7 83/2 85/3 85/25 86/1 86/3 86/4 86/20 |
| impeachment [4] 48/3 48/4 61/17 63/13 | 92/23 93/5 94/10 94/24 95/11 95/12 95/16 | 86/22 86/25 89/23 91/14 91/19 94/17 95/14 |
| incredible [1] 7/24 | 95/16 98/13 99/19 103/11 103/11 103/15 | 96/21 97/17 100/10 101/25 102/4 104/3 |
| incriminate [3] 18/19 75/22 102/7 | 103/16 105/6 105/19 | 104/24 |
| indicated [5] 4/11 4/14 10/11 46/25 105/14 | it'll [1] 71/1 | knowing [1] 90/2 |
| indicted [1] 55/9 | it's [64] 2/23 3/4 5/10 6/15 7/16 7/17 8/3 8/4 | knowledge [12] 5/9 6/16 6/19 6/24 7/1 9/17 |
| indictment [1] 44/20 | 8/12 8/13 12/3 12/5 15/16 19/1 21/5 22/16 | 15/6 17/4 51/10 56/10 66/24 70/11 |
| indigent [1] 15/23 | 23/2 23/10 23/20 24/19 24/23 25/4 26/10 | knows [2] 24/8 77/23 |
| individual [1] 83/24 | 26/15 28/18 28/19 28/22 29/7 29/8 30/2 | KREISS [17] 1/17 2/13 8/7 8/12 13/12 |
| individual's [1] 59/16 | 32/21 33/1 33/1 33/6 38/23 41/7 49/10 50/3 | 15/15 24/15 34/19 82/7 91/6 91/15 93/11 |
| information [4] 22/1 79/1 79/4 79/24 | 52/7 59/21 63/13 65/5 68/5 74/23 75/10 | $95 / 595 / 6107 / 5107 / 16107 / 20$ |
| initially [1] 98/5 | 81/15 82/4 84/1 86/1 90/11 91/22 97/10 | L |
| Inmate [1] 1/15 | 98/12 99/18 100/2 101/15 102/12 102/15 |  |
| innocent [2] 19/3 19/18 | 103/15 104/2 104/23 106/14 106/21 106/22 | L-A-C-E-Y [2] 11/1 11/2 |
| inquiry [1] 106/4 | Ivan [1] 52/7 | L-E-T [1] 77/5 |
| inside [1] 86/2 | Ivor [3] 27/7 27/10 27/10 | L-E-V-Y [1] 27/7 |
| instead [1] 58/23 | J | L-I-D-E-R [1] 72/13 |
| intends [1] 95/22 |  | L-O [2] 55/25 56/1 |
| intensive [1] 98/2 | J-O-H-N-S-O-N [1] 66/17 | Lacey [4] 10/22 11/2 11/6 12/25 |
| intention [1] 13/8 | J-U-L-I-O [1] 13/24 | landlord [4] 25/23 33/22 34/1 34/2 |
| international [2] 25/22 86/8 | jail [4] 6/18 16/16 16/25 40/5 | Larry [1] 50/23 |
| internet [2] 66/21 67/8 | January [2] 13/7 104/9 | last [49] 5/3 8/21 9/4 9/15 9/20 10/24 13/23 |
| interpreter [1] 57/8 | JARAMILLO [3] 1/17 2/14 2/17 | 16/6 20/20 23/13 24/19 24/24 27/7 29/13 |
| interview [3] 21/15 99/11 99/12 | JASON [3] 1/17 2/12 90/22 | 31/18 33/11 35/2 36/6 37/16 38/21 39/20 |
| interviewed [1] 36/15 | Johnson [3] 66/14 66/17 67/8 | 40/15 43/13 43/14 45/9 50/22 52/7 53/21 |
| interviews [5] 21/11 21/12 21/13 21/23 | Jose [2] 33/18 33/19 | 55/22 58/3 58/20 59/10 59/22 61/23 67/15 |
| 21/24 | Jr [1] 108/6 | 69/6 71/4 72/1 74/3 74/20 77/2 78/19 82/14 |
| intimidate [1] 46/17 | JUDGE [25] 1/10 2/16 6/12 10/8 10/12 | 83/11 85/12 87/13 103/5 105/23 107/10 |
| intimidated [2] 60/21 62/8 | 13/8 13/13 15/25 36/23 49/23 50/3 50/10 | late [1] 95/17 |
| intimidating [1] 46/22 | 54/12 87/2 93/18 95/23 96/2 96/5 97/6 | later [4] 79/3 79/22 93/3 105/13 |


| L | M-E-Z-E-N-T-S-E-F [3] 58/21 59/3 59/7 M-I [1] 10/25 | 20/7 20/10 21/14 23/22 24/3 24/23 27/14 30/1 30/16 32/23 35/16 39/1 43/21 46/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lauderdale [1] 1/19 | M-I-A-O [2] 10/24 11/3 | 46/11 48/8 48/10 48/11 49/21 50/19 54/23 |
| Laura [1] 97/6 | M-O [2] 36/9 36/12 | 55/4 55/10 55/18 58/18 58/23 59/2 60/3 |
| law [3] 18/21 29/20 50/1 | M-O-D-A-L-S-E-S [1] 72/18 | 61/15 62/9 62/9 63/5 72/7 73/18 75/11 |
| lawyer [20] 6/1 7/12 7/14 7/14 9/22 16/12 | M-O-L-L-I [1] 36/11 | 75/21 75/22 75/24 77/19 78/3 78/12 79/2 |
| 19/6 20/2 20/4 20/18 21/1 22/9 34/24 34/25 | M-O-L-L-I-N-E-D-O [2] 41/10 41/12 | 79/15 79/25 82/23 86/23 88/18 89/7 89/19 |
| 35/6 36/15 62/10 72/7 73/11 73/12 | M-O-U-R-A [6] 43/17 43/18 58/19 58/23 | 89/24 90/17 90/18 90/23 91/20 92/5 92/17 |
| lawyers [5] 5/12 5/15 5/24 9/15 9/15 | 59/23 59/24 | 94/10 94/18 95/13 95/25 96/14 99/22 100/2 |
| lay [1] 99/1 | M-U-D-A [1] 72/20 | 100/10 100/14 101/18 102/7 103/15 104/8 |
| least [2] 55/18 91/23 | M-U-D-A-N-C-A-S [2] 72/19 72/21 | 105/6 105/10 |
| leave [2] 95/5 95/6 | made [14] 3/16 4/1 7/9 9/17 15/21 22/18 | mean [14] 15/9 16/25 42/14 64/18 65/20 |
| legal [5] 12/21 17/6 20/5 51/25 63/6 | 27/17 27/19 32/19 49/6 55/12 79/6 100/18 | 74/24 77/20 82/23 82/25 90/5 95/14 99/23 |
| legitimacy [1] 14/25 | 101/25 | 102/4 102/6 |
| legitimate [2] 12/21 12/22 | MAGISTRATE [1] 1/10 | means [1] 15/20 |
| let [18] 3/11 5/14 7/10 7/13 19/12 24/23 | mail [2] 1/15 80/8 | meantime [1] 102/2 |
| 32/23 50/19 58/18 59/2 61/15 63/5 89/7 | Mail/Parcels [1] 1/15 | meet [1] 50/1 |
| 94/10 96/14 99/22 100/2 100/14 | Mailman [8] 60/7 60/9 60/10 60/17 84/6 | member [2] 39/13 56/11 |
| let's [7] 2/3 2/7 3/12 57/19 83/5 91/12 91/12 | 84/20 87/17 87/19 | members [1] 70/12 |
| letter [25] 6/3 6/6 6/9 6/12 6/14 10/1 10/3 | Mailman's [1] 84/7 | mentioned [10] 16/25 41/2 66/25 79/7 80/1 |
| 10/9 10/9 10/10 10/12 17/20 17/20 17/21 | mails [3] 80/23 81/2 82/18 | 82/18 87/25 88/17 101/20 107/10 |
| 17/21 17/23 18/13 32/17 32/18 32/19 32/24 | main [1] 9/4 | merchandise [2] 70/8 78/1 |
| 59/13 102/5 105/16 106/22 | make [19] 3/22 18/22 32/12 32/23 58/16 | merely [1] 16/1 |
| Levy [3] 27/10 27/11 29/11 | 61/15 70/15 73/14 75/20 90/5 94/22 96/6 | Merry [10] 60/7 60/9 60/10 60/12 60/17 |
| Levy's [2] 28/8 28/13 | 96/11 98/4 98/7 98/11 105/9 105/17 105/20 | 84/6 84/7 84/19 87/17 87/18 |
| license [4] 42/4 42/7 42/8 75/4 | making [2] 7/19 61/2 | message [1] 46/8 |
| Lider [9] 72/13 72/16 72/16 72/17 72/24 | man [10] 34/7 49/1 75/5 75/7 75/11 78/3 | Mezentsef [3] 61/8 61/16 61/17 |
| 73/15 74/7 74/8 74/12 | 78/6 83/24 83/25 84/1 | Miami [8] 1/14 1/16 1/22 1/22 5/17 54/1 |
| lie [1] 61/3 | manage [1] 77/8 | 108/7 108/7 |
| lied [1] 44/13 | management [2] 83/17 83/18 | Miao [8] 10/22 11/2 11/6 11/10 11/18 11/23 |
| life [2] 19/1 35/19 | managers [1] 87/23 | 12/16 12/25 |
| light [3] 96/13 98/5 98/6 | many [14] 6/17 14/8 17/19 21/11 33/22 | microphone [1] 4/8 |
| like [12] 13/21 19/12 31/5 47/23 56/6 60/12 | 34/4 34/8 49/20 50/7 54/5 55/4 78/3 91/15 | might [9] 17/7 34/15 36/25 45/1 66/4 69/5 |
| 77/17 78/3 81/3 91/23 105/17 106/17 | 91/21 | 85/16 86/11 94/24 |
| Limit [4] 25/4 25/7 68/14 68/22 | March [2] 103/16 106/20 | Military [4] 11/25 12/7 12/7 13/1 |
| limited [11] 9/3 10/19 25/8 25/16 25/17 | March 19th [2] 103/16 106/20 | miller [4] 1/21 1/23 108/5 108/5 |
| 25/22 68/16 69/7 69/11 92/16 102/9 | Marco [4] 59/25 60/1 60/3 60/23 | mind [2] 15/19 82/4 |
| Linda [3] 16/6 16/8 16/10 | Margate [6] 31/9 31/10 45/19 45/20 47/8 | mine [1] 64/10 |
| line [2] 9/23 53/9 | 57/12 | minute [4] 3/12 54/24 70/2 72/9 |
| lineup [1] 27/14 | Marietta [2] 75/5 76/23 | minutes [3] 8/22 91/6 91/14 |
| list [3] 3/22 4/18 13/9 | Marina [1] 57/25 | misconduct [2] 75/21 82/19 |
| listed [1] 31/13 | marriage [15] 16/24 17/11 17/14 21/5 21/7 | misconducted [1] 9/14 |
| litigation [2] 16/24 82/19 | 24/3 35/20 36/20 41/14 44/22 60/22 60/24 | misconducts [1] 102/7 |
| live [6] 24/2 34/4 34/8 34/15 35/19 48/22 | 61/16 63/3 63/4 | misplaced [1] 9/9 |
| lived [1] 33/22 | married [6] 29/19 35/19 38/22 41/22 41/23 | Miss [1] 89/12 |
| living [4] 30/8 30/21 42/19 63/3 | 49/2 | missed [1] 99/8 |
| LLC [1] 75/6 | marshals [7] 3/6 42/9 51/22 52/22 66/5 82/6 | missing [1] 100/13 |
| local [1] 48/20 | 95/19 | modification [1] 26/10 |
| locate [1] 24/16 | Martinez [4] 96/19 96/25 98/22 99/7 | Mollinedo [5] 36/7 36/10 36/13 36/16 42/22 |
| located [9] 11/18 12/5 14/11 30/4 31/23 | Mary [1] 58/25 | moment [3] 7/11 8/17 80/14 |
| 45/15 51/20 53/25 56/3 | Mason [2] 67/11 67/16 | money [4] 76/7 84/14 84/16 97/16 |
| location [2] 28/7 69/4 | Massachusetts [1] 51/14 | month [3] 93/3 104/21 105/13 |
| long [5] 49/4 93/10 94/4 98/13 105/6 | matter [25] 2/21 3/18 10/7 12/20 15/2 20/12 | months [1] 24/3 |
| longer [1] 35/8 | 22/10 32/17 35/15 36/20 46/3 49/6 50/14 | more [12] 8/11 8/25 8/25 11/17 56/6 74/18 |
| look [8] 32/25 46/9 47/2 47/4 49/5 86/22 96/6 100/14 | 52/23 56/10 60/4 68/13 80/18 84/12 85/18 102/13 105/3 105/7 105/9 108/4 | 91/15 91/21 98/3 98/10 100/18 100/22 <br> morning [1] 94/2 |
| lost [8] 9/9 23/23 78/8 79/10 89/23 89/24 90/2 90/3 | matters [8]    <br> $73 / 19$ 91/21 3/23 $3 / 9$ | most [1] 97/18 <br> mother [7] 9/6 30/17 30/21 56/24 57/18 |
| lot [13] 9/13 18/16 32/11 32/16 35/14 40/19 | MATTHEWMAN [2] 1/9 2/6 | 101/19 102/11 |
| 49/5 49/9 54/25 60/5 64/9 76/7 99/9 | may [14] 2/25 9/9 19/21 47/20 50/9 50/9 | mother's [3] 30/8 45/17 57/24 |
| Lucy [1] 97/6 | 58/11 63/7 71/14 71/14 89/10 94/15 96/3 | motion [18] 2/22 3/19 7/19 7/23 94/18 |
| lunch [2] 85/19 86/19 | 106/10 | 94/19 98/15 98/16 99/20 100/2 100/3 |
| lving [1] 63/7 | maybe [2] 9/14 18/24 | 100/14 101/11 103/1 103/6 103/13 104/3 |
| M | Mayfield [1] $67 / 5$ | 10 |
| M-A-R-I-N-A [1] 58/1 | 15/8 15/9 16/17 16/20 16/25 18/3 18/12 | 104/11 104/13 104/14 104/25 105/1 105/10 |
| M-A-S-O-N [1] 67/15 | 18/18 18/19 18/23 19/2 19/6 19/7 19/12 | motivation [1] 55/3 |


| M | Ms. Spotz [2] 82/16 83/8 <br> Ms. Thornton [2] 89/12 90/14 | 28/6 31/5 31/9 32/3 32/23 35/8 35/9 36/19 38/3 38/5 41/7 41/7 41/14 41/20 42/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moura [7] 43/15 58/19 59/6 59/23 60/1 | much [20] 11/15 16/16 17/2 18/25 22/14 | 42/20 46/1 46/1 47/2 50/11 50/19 52/3 52/3 |
| 0/23 61/16 | 26/15 28/6 32/18 38/23 39/7 44/13 44/23 | 52/3 57/9 61/3 62/11 63/2 63/22 65/10 |
| moving [1] 104/19 | 49/9 55/8 60/20 70/16 75/4 89/2 99/8 | 65/16 73/4 73/4 73/25 73/25 74/24 75/7 |
| Mr [8] 2/17 28/13 57/1 73/12 83/15 87/4 | 100/22 | 75/9 77/19 77/22 81/22 87/20 88/3 88/7 |
| 93/11 107/5 | Mudancas [6] 72/17 72/24 73/15 74/7 74/8 | 90/10 90/10 92/10 92/11 92/11 94/4 97/9 |
| Mr. [111] | 74/12 | 99/6 99/17 99/17 99/17 99/17 103/3 104/2 |
| Mr. Borroto [1] 33/20 | must [1] 7/23 | 106/3 106/3 106/21 |
| Mr. Tersakyan [1] 25/16 | my [112] | nobody [1] 78/2 |
| Mr. Abreu [13] 5/4 5/8 5/14 5/20 6/13 6/25 | myself [4] 14/8 16/16 18/12 77/22 | noise [3] 8/9 91/7 94/6 |
| //8 8/2 8/6 8/23 8/24 9/8 10/17 | N | nonsense [2] 20/9 27/16 |
| Mr. Books [1] 32/9 | N-A-T-A-L-I-E [1] | 81/19 108/7 |
| Mr. Boragina [1] 75/25 | N-E-L-S-O-N [3] 63/21 63/23 63/24 | Northwest [3] 28/22 29/1 31/24 |
| Mr. Borroto [1] 34/22 | N-I-C-K-Y [1] 68/9 | not [75] 3/8 3/19 6/15 7/8 11/14 16/2 17/2 |
| Mr. Cavalcante [1] 52/2 | N-I-C-O-L-E [1] 63/21 | 17/3 17/3 17/14 17/14 17/14 17/24 18/4 |
| Mr. Colon [6] 9/5 9/7 57/19 57/23 101/19 | N-O-R-T-H [1] 81/18 | 18/11 18/22 19/18 20/2 20/4 21/5 21/7 |
| 102/11 | N-T-E [1] 51/4 | 22/16 22/18 26/10 28/1 28/2 32/21 33/1 |
| Mr. Colon's [1] 57/10 | name [99] 5/3 9/24 10/1 10/24 11/1 13/23 | 35/15 41/3 41/23 42/19 44/18 45/18 48/6 |
| Mr. Columba [1] 14/5 | 13/24 16/6 16/7 20/20 20/20 20/22 20/25 | 49/4 50/9 54/7 59/15 60/20 64/22 64/25 |
| Mr. Conklin [1] 24/11 | 23/13 24/19 24/20 24/21 24/24 25/5 27/7 | 71/14 74/23 76/2 76/12 77/21 78/9 78/10 |
| Mr. Cory [1] 85/14 | 27/8 29/13 29/15 31/18 33/3 33/11 33/17 | 78/11 78/14 79/2 80/14 81/6 83/2 83/3 |
| Mr. Daniel [1] 40/17 | 33/18 35/2 35/3 36/3 36/6 37/14 37/16 | 84/15 84/22 84/24 87/21 89/8 90/8 92/9 |
| Mr. David [1] 24/2 | 38/17 38/21 39/15 39/16 39/20 40/15 41/2 | 92/11 97/11 98/25 99/24 100/11 102/12 |
| Mr. Dernick [2] 84/1 84/18 | 43/13 43/14 43/15 44/1 45/9 45/11 45/14 | 103/10 104/8 105/2 106/6 106/21 107/2 |
| Mr. Emilian [1] 69/22 | 50/20 50/22 51/5 52/6 52/7 53/21 55/22 | note [1] 61/15 |
| Mr. Flavio [2] 45/21 46/5 | 55/23 56/25 57/2 57/24 58/3 58/20 58/23 | noted [1] 92/23 |
| Mr. Goldrich [5] 73/10 73/18 73/23 74/6 | 59/6 59/10 59/17 59/20 59/22 59/25 61/23 | nothing [8] 11/14 12/23 18/18 75/21 75/23 |
| 74/11 | 61/25 66/16 66/25 67/13 67/15 69/6 71/4 | 79/5 79/6 86/10 |
| Mr. Jason [1] 90/22 | 72/1 72/3 72/12 72/14 74/3 74/3 74/20 | notice [1] 102/22 |
| Mr. Junior [1] 51/11 | 74/21 75/12 76/9 77/2 78/19 80/1 81/3 | noticed [1] 104/22 |
| Mr. Kamlet [1] 78/14 | 82/14 83/11 83/12 85/12 87/13 96/18 97/12 | now [42] 4/11 6/1 6/18 6/23 9/22 15/15 |
| Mr. Kaplan [3] 21/15 21/22 22/2 | 99/17 99/20 | 15/19 19/4 20/9 22/11 30/7 30/10 30/21 |
| Mr. Kreiss [13] 8/7 8/12 13/12 15/15 24/15 | names [3] 92/6 92 | 30/22 35/8 38/22 41/22 42/5 44/11 44/12 |
| 34/19 82/7 91/6 91/15 95/5 95/6 107/16 | Nancy [1] 50/23 | 44/15 44/23 47/10 48/23 52/1 52/13 53/9 |
| 107/20 | Natalie [2] 82/13 88/21 | 58/14 63/4 73/3 85/17 89/25 94/24 96/14 |
| Mr. Lacey [4] 10/22 11/2 11/6 12/25 | Nationalization [1] 33/7 | 96/20 97/1 97/17 98/16 99/8 101/3 103/5 |
| Mr. Levy [2] 27/11 29/11 | nature [1] 14/22 | 107/17 |
| Mr. Levy's [1] 28/8 | necessarily [2] 17/24 97/16 | number [40] 2/5 11/25 12/10 12/12 22/24 |
| Mr. Mason [1] 67/16 | necessary [5] 7/10 7/21 8/4 99/2 105/1 | 26/13 26/14 26/18 27/1 31/7 31/8 37/9 |
| Mr. Miao [4] 11/10 11/18 11/23 12/16 | need [47] 3/1 5/5 5/8 6/13 11/10 15/14 | 37/10 38/2 42/4 42/9 44/25 45/18 45/18 |
| Mr. Moura [1] 61/16 | 21/22 22/13 26/3 27/16 34/8 34/18 35/17 | 45/18 48/22 48/22 50/5 51/23 51/24 65/17 |
| Mr. Rubens [3] 72/8 73/2 73/11 | 37/4 42/10 42/11 42/23 46/3 46/23 47/16 | 65/17 65/22 66/6 67/4 69/12 70/24 77/18 |
| Mr. Sady [1] 40/1 | 49/10 52/18 57/7 57/18 65/17 66/7 66/20 | 79/24 80/8 80/13 82/1 85/3 88/7 91/20 |
| Mr. Samuel [1] 85/18 | 75/11 75/13 80/12 80/14 82/23 89/25 92/18 | Number 100 [1] 22/24 |
| Mr. Scotton [23] 2/9 2/10 2/13 3/6 3/21 | 95/25 97/9 98/20 98/25 99/12 100/9 100/12 | numbers [1] 66/4 |
| 3/25 8/16 8/20 10/21 13/22 15/19 61/18 | 100/22 101/16 101/17 101/23 102/16 | 0 |
| 80 | 10 |  |
| 101/5 104/1 107/10 107/17 | needed [2] 98/3 98/14 | o'clock [1] 94/12 |
| Mr. Scotton's [1] 95/24 | needs [6] 3/23 91/16 95/13 98/7 98/10 | O-S-B-E-R [1] 16/7 |
| Mr. Silva [2] 50/11 50/17 | 100/23 | O-S-B-E-R-J [2] 16/7 16/8 |
| Mr. Tersakyan [1] 26/22 | Nelson [5] 63/20 63/21 63/23 63/25 66/7 | O-T-O [1] 33/15 |
| Mr. Wyman [5] 97/14 97/22 97/23 98/8 | never [10] 17/21 20/3 42/15 42/17 48/10 | Oakland [1] 32/1 |
| 98/10 | 64/10 73/4 79/25 90/17 97/2 | obligation [2] 82/5 94/13 |
| Ms [12] 20/15 36/16 37/18 38/10 42/22 | news [1] 73/17 | obtain [1] 31/12 |
| 61/16 78/22 83/15 88/21 89/16 89/20 96/19 | next [45] 10/20 13/21 16/4 20/16 23/8 24/17 | obviously [2] 3/16 13/6 |
| Ms. [14] 17/16 19/23 21/4 29/22 36/15 39/8 | 27/5 29/12 31/16 33/10 34/23 37/3 37/12 | occasions [3] 64/7 82/18 85/18 |
| 39/11 39/11 61/17 82/16 83/8 89/12 90/14 | 38/11 39/12 40/13 40/24 45/7 48/14 49/19 | off [6] 8/18 25/11 42/6 56/12 91/10 94/8 |
| 96/25 | 50/12 50/19 51/18 52/1 52/3 52/4 53/17 | office [14] 1/15 2/14 6/22 14/13 14/16 17/22 |
| Ms. Felini [2] 39/8 39/11 | 55/20 56/20 58/13 59/8 59/22 61/22 66/13 | 21/12 24/12 32/3 35/18 64/3 67/25 69/4 |
| Ms. Fonseca [1] 39/11 | 67/10 68/7 74/17 77/1 78/16 83/6 83/10 | 94/13 |
| Ms. Hamade [1] 36/15 | 95/3 107/1 107/3 107/22 | officers [1] 3/6 |
| Ms. Martinez [1] 96/25 | Nicky [5] 68/8 68/11 69/1 69/11 69/15 | Official [2] 1/21 108/6 |
| Ms. Mezentsef [1] 61/17 | Nicole [7] 63/20 63/21 63/23 63/25 64/2 | oh [8] 21/13 28/6 31/10 70/5 72/18 77/19 |
| Ms. Osberg [3] 17/16 19/23 21/4 | 64/6 66/7 | 83/21 103/10 |
| Ms. Scotton [1] 29/22 | no [68] 1/2 4/19 7/2 18/18 21/5 21/14 26/13 | okay [66] 5/14 6/19 9/2 10/16 11/2 15/12 |


| 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 107/24 } \\ & \text { package [18] } 15 / 1115 / 11 ~ 23 / 23 ~ 27 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | 81/6 86/19 87/3 88/24 89/15 92/22 97/25 99/14 100/16 101/2 102/14 102/23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| okay... [60] 16/10 17/6 18/3 20/14 22/8 | 27/15 55/6 72/11 77/12 77/14 77/18 77/18 | points [1] 18/15 |
| 22/17 24/23 26/20 27/10 27/22 28/3 28/8 | 77/25 78/24 79/11 79/18 89/24 90/2 90/3 | police [2] 50/15 55/6 |
| 31/6 33/16 33/25 34/5 34/20 37/12 39/15 | packages [19] 15/4 18/4 29/24 48/9 49/7 | Pompano [3] 38/5 38/6 38/7 |
| 39/19 39/22 39/24 41/6 41/12 41/17 42/21 | 64/8 64/10 78/8 78/25 79/1 79/2 79/3 79/9 | pong [1] 9/22 |
| 43/2 44/16 47/5 48/12 51/1 51/17 53/7 | 79/10 79/12 79/15 79/22 81/5 89/23 | popping [1] 46/21 |
| 57/21 59/18 59/24 63/13 65/4 66/1 67/14 | pages [3] 1/7 91/18 107/12 | position [3] 16/11 55/19 68/12 |
| 69/15 69/22 70/5 71/14 72/10 72/18 73/14 | paid [2] 55/14 89/24 | possession [1] 107/11 |
| 80/12 80/18 82/9 83/12 84/7 87/11 87/14 | PALM[5] 1/5 23/11 24/12 67/17 67/20 | possible [3] 94/23 104/16 105/4 |
| 90/15 91/25 92/20 93/16 96/20 96/23 | Palmetto [3] 25/11 26/14 26/17 | possibly [2] 63/7 104/21 |
| old [1] 42/18 | papers [2] 35/16 104/22 | Post [1] 1/15 |
| once [3] 7/22 47/13 99/7 | paperwork [11] 5/22 5/23 5/24 5/25 6/1 6/2 | potential [3] 36/25 80/23 82/19 |
| one [72] 3/12 3/14 5/12 5/15 8/11 9/4 9/6 | 16/23 18/16 18/17 18/20 22/13 | potentially [2] 3/9 90/1 |
| 9/21 9/23 21/25 23/21 26/2 28/18 28/19 | paperworks [1] 17/19 | prejudice [1] 100/17 |
| 32/19 33/25 37/3 37/20 40/5 40/22 41/21 | paralegal [15] 98/17 98/17 98/20 98/21 | prepare [4] 82/5 82/7 100/12 106/16 |
| 44/12 44/22 46/10 47/7 47/8 50/14 51/19 | 98/23 98/24 99/1 99/2 99/14 99/14 99/16 | prepared [1] 99/5 |
| 51/23 52/3 52/4 53/11 56/12 56/22 59/22 | 99/24 99/25 100/4 100/19 | prescriptions [1] 86/10 |
| 68/7 68/14 68/15 68/18 68/20 70/13 72/8 | Parcels [1] 1/15 | present [10] 3/5 3/8 18/17 18/20 46/23 |
| 72/10 73/2 73/20 73/21 74/8 77/23 79/12 | Park [4] 25/12 26/14 26/17 32/1 | 62/15 102/17 103/25 106/6 106/14 |
| 80/1 80/19 80/21 81/6 83/6 83/10 84/12 | part [6] 30/2 70/13 75/7 75/10 102/25 | presented [2] 75/18 75/20 |
| 86/1 86/19 86/19 87/16 87/23 92/23 94/22 | 105/15 | presenting [2] 75/23 77/17 |
| 100/8 101/11 101/19 104/18 104/22 105/21 | parte [11] 1/9 2/21 3/5 93/3 94/11 103/25 | president [5] 14/7 14/18 69/25 70/2 70/7 |
| 105/23 106/1 107/6 | 106/6 106/8 106/9 106/13 107/2 | pretty [14] 16/16 17/2 26/15 28/6 38/22 |
| ones [2] 25/12 92/4 | partial [2] 15/7 86/2 | 39/6 44/13 44/23 49/9 55/8 60/20 70/16 |
| only [15] 3/5 9/2 18/11 30/13 48/6 54/7 | party [4] 64/12 64/14 64/15 64/16 | 75/3 89/2 |
| 75/23 78/4 78/9 90/11 101/18 106/10 | pass [1] 83/5 | prevent [1] 46/18 |
| 106/12 106/14 106/18 | passed [1] 56/12 | primarily [1] 17/23 |
| open [3] 11/15 102/6 102/12 | pastor [1] 56/7 | prior [8] 5/15 5/25 33/3 35/11 72/22 72/23 |
| open-ended [1] 102/12 | Pat [2] 71/4 71/6 | 73/3 73/8 |
| opened [3] 11/14 12/20 37/20 | patient [1] 18/11 | private[5] 9/11 42/24 48/24 54/16 99/24 |
| opening [1] 11/17 | Paulo [1] 55/23 | privilege [2] 106/11 106/12 |
| opinion [4] 17/6 19/17 20/5 20/8 | pay [2] 90/3 90/4 | pro [4] 1/14 2/9 97/12 103/1 |
| opium [1] 23/23 | paying [1] 18/4 | pro se [1] 97/12 |
| opportunity [5] 19/8 19/10 19/13 19/14 19/15 | PBS [2] 67/17 67/20 pencil [1] 4/20 | probably [6] 89/8 18/23 30/13 53/4 87/7 89/5 |
| opposed [1] 88/23 | people [13] 3/5 19/5 20/11 67/25 70/15 | problem [11] 14/9 15/8 15/8 24/3 53/10 |
| order [9] 11/11 14/20 46/17 47/13 93/1 | 70/16 89/18 89/25 94/22 96/11 99/10 99/11 | 64/7 64/22 77/25 95/14 97/13 99/7 |
| 101/1 102/25 105/23 107/18 | 101/17 | problems [3] 14/21 15/4 64/25 |
| ordering [1] 43/6 | per [2] 97/24 98/8 | procedural [1] 2/22 |
| original [1] 10/13 | percent [1] 28/2 | proceed [2] 104/16 105/1 |
| Osberg [6] 16/9 16/10 17/16 19/23 20/15 | perhaps [3] 36/25 66/5 94/24 | proceeding [4] 2/9 17/8 17/9 104/8 |
| $21 / 4$ | permitted [1] 15/25 | proceedings [2] 107/24 108/3 |
| Osvaine [2] 73/22 79/11 | person [12] 6/3 18/9 18/19 22/13 29/25 | process [1] 105/8 |
| other [28] 2/24 4/4 5/23 9/14 9/25 10/1 16/24 19/12 34/16 36/17 43/5 52/24 62/23 | 43/1 53/15 69/7 69/11 73/25 87/16 99/3 | produce [2] 13/10 82/5 |
| 63/19 69/7 73/21 78/7 89/10 91/21 96/14 | personally [2] 14/20 71/15 | product [1] 106/12 |
| 101/19 103/21 104/4 104/18 104/21 105/13 | PH [1] 53/19 | products [1] 53/8 |
| 105/15 107/6 | phase [1] 17/25 | proffer [1] 19/20 |
| others [1] 69/16 | Philadelphia [1] 70/23 | promoted [1] 23/10 |
| our [4] 40/4 42/18 89/22 95/3 | phone [17] 11/25 12/12 15/10 26/14 26/18 | promptly [1] 104/16 |
| ourselves [1] 18/14 | 42/4 48/22 62/17 62/19 65/22 66/4 67/4 | pronounce [1] 59/10 |
| out [15] 46/9 46/11 47/14 52/18 59/16 64/3 | 70/24 80/12 81/25 85/3 88/7 | proof [1] 63/2 |
| 67/6 77/9 84/16 86/20 93/1 93/6 93/10 99/1 | photo [1] 27/14 | proper [1] 34/22 |
| 102/6 | pick [1] 27/15 | property [3] 34/4 103/7 103/14 |
| outrageous [2] 49/10 104/23 | pictures [1] 21/11 | propose [2] 20/16 24/18 |
| over [13] 15/4 18/14 20/6 46/2 46/21 70/16 | pills [2] 86/3 86/3 | proposed [2] 29/12 31/16 |
| 73/17 77/12 77/20 89/5 99/10 103/7 103/13 | Pine [1] 15/16 | prosecutor [8] 9/14 9/17 18/12 46/17 46/19 |
| own [2] 26/16 78/4 | ping [1] 9/22 | 46/23 47/4 106/19 |
| owned [2] 73/3 73/4 | place [3] 27/14 33/22 99/10 | prosecutor's [1] 46/24 |
| P | Plaintiff [1] 1/4 | protect [3] 105/8 106/14 106/15 |
| P-A-T [1] 71/4 | Plantation [2] 14/12 15/17 | provide [6] 48/24 68/3 81/25 85/5 85/19 |
| P-A-U [1] 55/24 | plea [3] 46/9 93/24 94/1 | 87/7 |
| P-O-T [1] 82/14 | please [5] 2/3 2/8 10/23 74/17 91/4 | provided [3] 6/12 85/22 99/17 |
| P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S [1] 2/1 <br> p.m [6] 92/16 101/4 101/9 102/16 102/24 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll} \text { plus [3] } & 19 / 21 & 70 / 19 \\ \text { point }[18] & 9 / 23 & 13 / 7 \\ 19 / 1 & 27 / 14 & 47 / 22 \\ 79 / 13 \end{array}\right.$ | providing [2] 45/5 61/11 proving [1] 59/20 |



| S | 29/2 29/21 31/21 32/8 32/23 33/13 40/11 $41 / 9$ 44/7 45/20 47/9 52/12 53/24 60/11 | standby [7] 1/18 2/13 3/7 7/12 7/14 8/21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Serrao [1] 52/10 | 60/15 89/8 | start[3] 2/25 3/2 4/21 |
| serve [5] 15/22 16/2 31/14 37/1 51/23 | sister [5] 29/20 38/12 38/14 38/16 49/3 | started [1] 3/11 |
| served [3] 12/25 23/7 52/22 | sister's [1] 38/17 | state [1] 105/5 |
| service [4] 3/9 29/7 29/9 33/7 | Sister-in-law [1] 29/20 | stated [2] 17/21 80/16 |
| set [15] 2/23 3/15 3/21 13/7 92/15 92/17 | situation [4] 47/23 104/4 105/22 105/25 | statement [9] 17/12 22/12 26/3 26/4 26/5 |
| 93/2 93/5 96/21 97/17 103/11 103/15 | Sky [7] 44/2 44/3 44/4 44/6 44/8 44/9 53/6 | 26/7 32/11 34/10 79/6 |
| 103/23 104/9 104/23 | slow [1] 28/1 | statements [9] 20/1 22/15 22/18 27/17 |
| setting [1] 103/9 | slowly [1] 4/9 | 27/19 44/16 49/6 61/2 75/25 |
| settle [1] 55/14 | so [121] | states [9] 1/1 1/3 1/10 1/21 2/4 6/22 7/15 |
| several [5] 8/21 64/7 66/25 82/18 85/18 | so-called [1] 10/1 | 7/18 51/24 |
| sham [15] 16/24 17/11 17/14 21/6 21/8 | Society [1] 71/8 | station [1] 67/18 |
| 35/20 36/20 41/14 43/25 44/22 60/22 60/24 | some [68] 2/24 4/4 4/5 4/5 4/5 4/11 4/12 | status [1] 95/23 |
| 61/16 63/3 63/4 | 4/14 5/11 5/12 7/2 9/3 9/14 14/10 15/3 15/3 | Stay [1] 86/20 |
| she [103] 16/13 16/15 16/16 16/25 17/2 | 15/4 15/7 15/8 16/24 17/7 24/3 24/7 26/10 | stayed [1] 82/1 |
| 17/13 17/18 17/19 17/20 19/6 19/19 19/20 | 27/15 27/17 29/24 32/14 35/16 45/24 46/23 | staying [1] 30/22 |
| 20/4 20/6 20/10 20/10 20/11 20/11 21/25 | 46/23 48/8 48/9 50/15 53/8 55/6 55/6 55/7 | stepdad [1] 56/23 |
| 29/17 29/25 30/4 35/14 35/16 35/17 35/18 | 55/11 56/9 56/9 64/7 70/15 70/16 73/19 | stepdad's [1] 56/25 |
| 36/15 36/17 36/18 36/19 36/25 38/24 39/1 | 75/11 77/25 78/8 78/24 79/5 80/23 81/3 | Steven [3] 53/18 53/23 54/18 |
| 42/15 42/17 43/19 43/21 44/4 44/5 44/8 | 82/18 82/19 84/14 85/20 86/2 87/25 88/17 | still [7] 28/14 42/13 42/14 42/18 69/4 74/14 |
| 44/11 44/12 44/13 44/21 60/22 61/3 61/3 | 90/1 92/2 92/24 97/19 98/13 99/9 101/15 | 90/4 |
| 62/6 62/7 62/9 62/10 62/12 62/15 62/20 | 101/24 | store [20] 25/13 25/14 25/17 25/18 25/19 |
| 62/24 62/25 63/7 63/11 64/2 64/11 65/18 | somebody [2] 9/22 18/5 | 25/24 37/21 37/21 39/3 40/3 40/4 40/5 40/7 |
| 66/19 66/21 66/24 71/15 71/17 79/2 79/4 | somehow [2] 46/18 76/10 | 43/20 44/5 68/15 68/24 70/4 84/6 84/13 |
| 79/6 79/7 79/14 79/16 79/17 79/17 79/19 | someone [5] 20/7 20/11 45/25 50/18 52/23 | stores [3] 40/20 70/3 70/7 |
| 79/21 79/24 79/25 80/2 80/15 80/16 82/17 | someone's [1] 19/2 | story [1] 44/12 |
| 82/23 87/14 87/18 87/18 87/20 87/21 87/23 | something [15] 7/1 7/5 11/16 14/21 20/12 | straight [2] 5/14 63/5 |
| 87/25 88/14 88/16 88/17 89/14 90/16 90/17 | 26/9 56/8 72/23 75/12 77/20 94/15 96/4 | street [6] 28/23 28/24 28/25 29/1 65/8 81/17 |
| 97/6 99/12 100/10 100/12 100/23 104/3 | 98/14 99/23 106/12 | stretch [1] 18/22 |
| 105/17 | somewhere [3] 30/11 42/5 85/4 | struggling [1] 17/16 |
| she'll [2] 41/13 88/2 | soon [2] 93/2 96/1 | stuff [2] 73/21 100/11 |
| she's [40] 16/12 20/2 20/4 29/19 30/5 30/11 | sorry [10] 11/18 11/20 14/15 31/10 39/23 | subject [20] 34/22 43/4 45/4 49/16 51/16 |
| 35/22 37/7 37/20 38/22 41/13 41/16 41/22 | 40/15 42/16 50/12 67/12 70/6 | 54/19 56/17 59/19 61/11 61/20 63/17 67/8 |
| 42/4 42/19 43/18 44/14 44/16 44/22 60/21 | South [4] 14/7 14/18 15/16 31/23 | 68/5 71/1 71/23 80/11 85/6 88/9 97/15 |
| 61/1 62/11 63/16 64/2 64/19 64/21 64/24 | SOUTHERN [1] 1/1 | 98/18 |
| 67/5 71/7 71/10 79/14 82/21 83/3 87/16 | Spanish [1] 57/7 | submit [1] 82/6 |
| 88/21 89/16 97/7 99/8 99/10 100/23 | speak [9] 4/7 4/8 8/17 8/21 34/7 35/17 57/5 | subpoena [57] 3/20 7/7 7/23 8/6 8/25 10/16 |
| Sheriff's [2] 23/11 24/12 | 89/20 91/14 | 10/21 12/25 13/5 13/6 15/13 15/13 15/20 |
| ship [5] 49/7 72/11 74/9 77/17 79/11 | speaking [1] 28/2 | 15/23 16/5 20/15 20/17 23/7 24/11 24/18 |
| shipment [1] 86/8 | Special [1] 103/6 | 26/21 26/21 27/5 29/11 29/12 31/13 31/14 |
| shipments [1] 14/9 | specific [7] 7/20 34/19 53/11 99/16 100/18 | 32/21 33/9 36/21 38/10 39/11 42/22 43/3 |
| shipped [6] 48/9 53/9 53/11 79/9 86/1 86/5 | 100/22 102/10 | 43/6 45/4 48/1 50/2 51/23 52/22 53/15 |
| shipping [17] 18/4 18/4 18/5 19/25 23/23 | specifically [2] 35/13 100/24 | 54/18 56/23 57/22 59/12 67/7 67/21 75/17 |
| 29/25 64/12 64/14 64/15 64/16 64/22 64/25 | specifics [1] 99/17 | 80/17 87/3 88/5 88/23 89/14 90/25 94/22 |
| 73/1 73/6 74/9 77/20 78/5 | specified [1] 9/3 | 96/12 96/13 |
| shop [1] 53/9 | specify [1] 3/19 | subpoenaed [14] 3/24 4/23 5/5 5/8 13/22 |
| short [2] 99/12 100/11 | speedy [1] 104/18 | 17/17 34/22 41/3 41/4 47/17 57/15 58/7 |
| shortly [1] 3/13 | spell [14] 5/2 10/23 31/18 36/8 37/14 37/16 | 92/15 96/11 |
| should [3] 15/9 98/1 99/18 | 38/19 58/23 62/2 65/7 66/15 69/20 74/2 | subpoenas [20] 3/9 3/14 3/16 3/18 13/10 |
| shouldn't [1] 98/13 | 81/17 | 16/3 43/7 47/14 51/24 66/3 66/5 82/6 82/8 |
| show [7] 7/20 7/25 18/15 47/20 49/3 54/5 60/20 | spelled [6] 13/23 23/13 24/19 50/22 58/21 83/11 | 91/17 93/1 94/20 95/15 96/9 101/21 103/23 substantial [1] 97/19 |
| showed [1] 10/8 | spells [1] 39/16 | such [3] 3/9 21/10 97/18 |
| showing [7] 7/9 15/21 97/19 98/4 98/7 98/11 100/22 | spent [1] 35/14 spoke [20] 9/11 | sufficient [4] 7/9 15/21 78/14 99/1 sufficiently [1] 59/15 |
| sic [3] 25/4 26/20 64/19 | 52/16 62/6 62/12 62/20 64/6 64/20 78/3 | suggest [1] 96/10 |
| signed [3] 9/6 10/9 68/20 | 78/25 79/14 80/15 85/17 89/19 89/22 97/6 | suggested [2] 26/10 98/22 |
| Silva [6] 48/15 48/17 48/18 50/11 50/17 51/11 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { 104/4 } \\ & \text { sponsors [1] } \\ & 70 / 14 \end{aligned}\right.$ | suit [1] 71/12 <br> suitable [1] 106/18 |
| similar [3] 21/4 39/24 76/9 | sponsorship [2] 15/7 56/9 | suite [9] 22/23 23/3 37/9 38/2 45/17 45/18 |
| simply [2] 88/23 98/12 | Spotz[4] 82/15 82/16 83/8 88/21 | 65/15 81/21 108/7 |
| since [2] 49/2 80/15 | Spring [2] 30/5 30/11 | suites [1] 81/22 |
| single [4] 9/21 77/24 84/15 100/13 | Springs [1] 31/8 | superceding [1] 2/6 |
| sir [36] 4/16 5/16 6/10 8/12 10/15 11/4 | staff [1] 3/6 | supplement [1] 97/22 |
| 12/10 12/18 14/19 20/24 21/20 23/1 23/16 | stand [1] 86/3 | supplied [1] 43/4 |
| 24/25 25/9 25/25 26/13 27/3 28/12 28/15 | standard [2] 50/1 97/8 | supply [2] 42/23 71/21 |


| S | them [20] 3/24 4/6 4/12 9/6 14/10 16/3 | 97/1 100/10 101/18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| support [1] 11/11 | 82/8 92/18 96/12 96/13 96/15 99/9 101/22 | too [2] 11/15 18/25 |
| supposed [1] 93/6 | themselves [1] 18/10 | Torres [4] 61/23 62/5 62/10 63/10 |
| supposedly [2] 24/5 89/12 | then [26] 4/21 6/12 13/3 21/21 30/24 31/12 | town [2] 93/7 93/10 |
| sure [20] 24/15 28/6 32/21 32/23 38/23 | 31/17 54/13 75/21 76/4 78/11 81/7 83/6 | Trace [2] 76/21 76/22 |
| 44/13 55/8 70/17 74/23 82/6 89/2 94/7 | 90/19 92/19 93/2 93/13 94/1 95/4 98/10 | Trail [2] 12/7 13/2 |
| 95/18 96/1 96/12 104/6 105/9 105/18 107/8 | 98/13 98/15 99/7 103/15 104/13 104/15 | transaction [1] 84/15 |
| 107/19 | theoretically [1] 98/18 | transcript [2] 1/9 107/9 |
| surprise [1] 93/8 | there [31] 2/24 3/1 3/17 6/16 9/15 11/16 | transcription [1] 108/3 |
| Susie [2] 66/14 67/8 | 14/24 15/4 15/10 15/10 19/4 19/21 20/6 | transcripts [4] 103/1 107/12 107/17 107/18 |
| switch [1] 37/23 | 26/14 33/22 34/8 36/25 41/14 42/19 46/21 | transferred [2] 5/23 79/13 |
| T | 69/4 70/16 75/22 77/12 79/20 88/2 91/2 $97 / 19$ 98/15 98/16 103/24 | trial [55] 5/4 5/8 5/21 7/6 8/3 9/1 10/18 11/6 |
| T-E-R-S-A-K-Y-A-N [1] 24/20 | there's [12] 12/22 16/24 19/23 48/23 49/5 | 16/14 17/17 17/24 17/25 19/14 19/21 21/3 |
| T-H-O-R-N-T-O-N [2] 88/12 88/13 | 51/25 52/24 56/8 77/24 91/21 95/4 103/3 | 23/6 23/18 25/2 27/6 27/12 27/22 36/23 |
| T-O-R-R-E-S [1] 61/24 | therefore [1] 55/12 | 36/24 46/13 48/2 48/13 48/25 49/12 50/3 |
| take [5] 8/17 85/20 96/6 98/13 100/14 | these [9] 15/24 19/5 35/22 66/3 89/18 89/25 | 54/3 54/11 57/16 57/23 58/8 74/15 75/16 |
| takes [1] 103/20 | 93/1 95/15 98/5 | 87/2 89/4 90/8 90/14 92/1 92/13 98/14 |
| talk [11] 7/6 7/13 8/7 21/22 32/17 54/5 | they [39] 3/18 7/25 12/21 14/9 15/10 18/9 | 100/12 104/9 104/18 105/11 106/1 |
| 62/19 75/21 86/19 91/5 99/6 | 19/6 19/14 19/15 25/11 25/12 25/23 26/15 | trial's [2] 19/11 41/24 |
| talking [11] 6/2 9/8 21/24 46/5 50/17 79/9 | 39/6 43/25 44/23 46/22 46/22 47/3 47/4 | tried [5] 22/9 49/23 56/8 79/4 90/22 |
| 80/4 80/23 81/3 90/1 102/4 | 55/12 62/17 62/19 70/13 71/12 73/5 78/4 | trouble [2] 46/9 47/2 |
| task [1] 99/16 | 80/4 81/3 81/4 81/5 83/3 86/7 88/24 90/3 | truck [1] 77/18 |
| TD [1] 76/7 | 90/3 90/4 96/4 98/4 | true [3] 7/22 44/18 84/15 |
| tell [7] 4/22 11/5 11/9 55/10 90/18 91/25 | they're [5] 12/22 26/14 30/7 70/17 90/1 | truthfulness [1] 71/18 |
| 106/2 | they've [1] 95/19 | try [8] 18/19 19/1 52/18 52/24 53/1 59/16 |
| telling [1] 55/18 | thing [9] 3/13 8/24 44/12 75/14 86/11 90/5 | 62/25 95/2 |
| ten [3] 48/9 97/25 98/9 | 100/13 103/5 107/6 | trying [17] 18/7 18/15 18/21 19/3 19/8 |
| Tennessee [1] 67/5 | things [10] 19/18 23/21 35/17 49/9 61/4 | 49/25 55/10 75/22 76/12 79/1 92/12 99/8 |
| Tersakyan [5] 24/20 25/16 26/22 69/8 69/9 | 75/23 81/7 90/17 106/10 107/2 | 99/10 101/12 101/16 102/1 102/7 |
| testified [1] 11/13 | think [21] 3/2 3/21 18/24 23/10 30/16 34/14 | turn [3] 3/25 103/7 103/13 |
| testify [78] 11/16 12/19 14/5 14/25 15/25 | 44/25 52/25 55/1 55/3 58/20 69/6 89/13 | twice [1] 62/6 |
| 16/14 17/2 17/13 17/17 19/24 21/3 22/1 | 93/18 93/20 93/21 95/22 97/10 97/15 98/1 | two [9] 9/15 23/20 24/3 41/21 44/11 51/24 |
| 22/15 22/15 22/17 25/2 26/3 27/11 27/17 | 98/2 | 54/24 60/19 86/10 |
| 29/23 32/10 33/20 34/8 35/18 36/17 36/18 | third [8] 28/23 28/24 28/25 29/1 64/12 | type [5] 6/2 6/19 6/24 77/25 79/19 |
| 36/19 37/19 38/25 40/18 41/13 41/16 44/8 | 64/14 64/15 64/16 | typed [1] 4/18 |
| 44/15 45/22 45/25 46/3 46/13 46/16 46/24 | third-party [4] 64/12 64/14 64/15 64/16 | U |
| 64/24 69/22 71/17 72/5 72/7 72/23 | \|this [117] | U |
| 73/8 73/15 73/20 74/11 75/2 77/6 77/10 | 89/20 90/7 90/14 90/19 90/25 | ultimately [2] 23/6 36/22 |
| 78/6 78/10 78/23 79/8 82/16 84/2 84/19 | those [28] 2/25 23/24 24/8 44/15 55/7 61/4 | unavailable [1] 95/9 |
| 84/21 85/15 87/15 87/21 88/2 88/16 89/17 | 64/8 64/10 70/18 77/19 78/25 79/1 79/2 | under [8] 3/17 7/8 15/20 16/2 16/5 52/22 |
| 90/19 101/23 102/17 | 79/3 79/12 79/15 79/17 79/22 81/5 86/3 | 53/16 54/13 |
| testifying [2] 63/7 88/21 | 92/1 94/25 94/25 96/8 99/11 101/17 101/21 | underlying [1] 44/19 |
| testimony [13] 7/9 7/20 8/3 8/4 10/17 19/20 | 107/17 | understand [23] 18/7 18/8 18/21 18/25 |
| 50/8 53/1 56/5 60/17 62/13 89/15 90/16 | thought [1] 33/3 | 27/23 33/24 34/6 42/4 46/7 46/21 55/18 |
| Texas [2] 77/9 81/20 | threat [2] 46/8 62/14 | 64/11 72/9 88/25 89/11 89/16 89/25 90/2 |
| than [6] 9/1 10/1 11/17 78/7 98/10 99/25 | threatened [9] 46/5 46/17 46/25 47/1 47/1 | 101/5 101/9 106/8 106/22 106/23 |
| thank [8] 2/19 8/15 13/12 15/18 55/21 91/9 | 62/16 62/24 63/1 63/11 | understanding [4] 54/22 60/19 96/5 97/7 |
| 107/15 107/20 | threats [2] 45/23 46/4 | unit [1] 57/12 |
| that [452] | three [3] 11/17 91/18 92 | UNITED [8] 1/1 1/3 1/10 1/21 2/4 6/22 |
| that's [77] 2/23 4/18 4/18 5/19 6/17 6/17 | through [18] 3/12 3/22 29/25 30/13 48/9 | 7/15 51/24 |
| 12/8 13/16 14/23 17/14 17/15 19/7 19/11 | 49/25 78/24 79/10 79/11 91/16 92/22 92/22 | unless [4] 7/24 7/25 93/21 97/19 |
| 19/18 21/2 22/25 24/25 25/25 27/4 31/20 | 93/12 94/21 94/25 95/10 96/11 96/14 | until [1] 93/14 |
| 37/6 38/4 38/8 39/10 40/12 40/22 41/6 | time[24] 3/13 3/22 8/7 8/11 16/1 17/5 | untrue [1] $7 / 25$ |
| 41/10 41/24 46/7 48/19 49/16 49/22 49/23 | 35/14 43/7 46/20 50/3 50/14 52/17 56/12 | up [24] 4/8 4/18 15/25 17/21 27/15 36/23 |
| 50/9 51/6 54/11 55/3 55/16 56/17 57/12 | 74/16 77/24 95/4 98/2 98/3 98/14 99/12 | 41/22 44/14 47/20 49/3 49/22 50/3 58/12 |
| 58/10 58/12 59/4 59/15 61/1 61/20 63/14 | 99/19 100/11 103/21 105/6 | 64/8 77/12 77/14 77/25 79/12 86/19 87/2 |
| 65/16 66/12 66/18 68/23 70/18 71/23 72/14 | times [6] 6/17 14/8 15/3 23/22 55/4 78/3 | 103/24 105/19 106/20 107/19 |
| 73/4 73/21 76/11 78/13 87/2 91/6 93/19 | tired [1] 18/23 | upcoming [3] 10/18 103/12 103/16 |
| 96/5 96/24 98/25 99/25 100/13 100/17 | today [12] 2/21 3/2 3/21 91/22 95/1 95/17 | upon [3] 95/1 95/23 102/10 |
| 100/21 101/11 103/1 103/12 104/8 105/12 | 96/13 99/5 101/20 102/25 103/6 107/9 | UPS [23] 25/17 28/9 28/10 28/11 28/13 |
| 106/6 106/9 106/12 | together [12] 4/5 10/3 21/12 35/19 35/20 | 28/14 28/16 29/5 29/8 39/3 40/7 43/20 |
| the CJ [1] 97/7 | 37/21 39/7 47/12 49/3 49/8 73/21 85/19 | 55/11 55/13 68/24 73/8 73/16 78/24 78/25 |
| the last [1] 69/6 | told [16] 15/9 19/6 46/10 52/19 62/7 63/11 | 79/10 79/13 79/13 82/17 |
| their [2] 55/15 92/17 | 78/4 78/11 80/25 86/20 89/10 89/12 90/17 | us [3] 35/17 48/24 49/15 |


| U | 40/25 46/20 47/16 49/3 49/8 54/25 59/11 65/15 67/5 71/21 75/21 85/4 85/19 86/19 | 89/11 89/16 90/7 90/16 91/5 92/18 95/2 96/12 98/11 98/20 99/1 100/9 100/23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USA [1] 75/6 | 86/19 88/7 89/22 90/21 91/7 92/12 93/2 | 101/13 |
| use [12] 5/10 14/25 45/16 64/11 64/13 | 93/5 93/20 93/21 93/23 93/23 94/1 94/4 | wife [34] 21/14 21/16 21/18 21/22 21/23 |
| 72/11 72/15 73/5 75/12 98/21 98/24 99/14 | 95/2 95/2 95/2 100/12 103/23 104/21 | 21/24 21/25 33/22 34/3 34/4 34/7 35/15 |
| used [35] 17/18 17/23 23/9 24/2 24/7 27/14 | 104/23 104/24 104/24 105/13 106/13 | 35/17 35/23 36/16 40/25 41/13 43/4 46/8 |
| 29/25 43/14 43/15 44/5 46/1 48/8 49/6 | we'll [6] 8/16 39/10 91/7 95/3 105/12 | 49/2 60/19 61/16 62/6 62/7 62/8 62/11 |
| 51/20 53/3 60/3 64/11 64/13 69/25 70/1 | 107/21 | 62/12 62/13 62/14 62/15 62/20 62/23 63/6 |
| 70/13 72/7 72/8 72/11 72/15 73/5 73/5 | we're [17] 7/5 18/22 28/2 41/22 41/23 45/16 | 63/11 |
| 73/10 73/12 73/18 73/19 74/9 75/13 84/3 | 47/13 68/3 91/25 92/25 93/21 94/19 95/16 | wife's [3] 35/25 36/3 41/2 |
| 87/16 | 96/15 104/20 105/3 105/12 | Wilkie [1] 108/6 |
| using [3] 15/9 18/5 96/18 | we've [5] 92/22 95/1 95/19 96/13 101/3 | will [31] 12/19 13/10 23/5 35/18 36/22 |
| V | week [2] 13/11 92/14 | 36/23 42/21 43/3 46/16 50/11 50/12 54/14 |
| V-I-C-K-Y [1] 78/18 |  | 54/17 61/14 72/24 74/11 80/17 83/2 87 |
| valid [2] 51/24 71/14 | 19/10 20/2 22/20 23/20 30/15 33/5 33/21 | 103/11 103/15 104/7 105/19 105/21) |
| Van [2] 103/7 103/13 | 35/14 37/11 38/12 39/1 39/1 41/15 41/20 | WILLIAM [2] 1/9 106/24 |
| various [1] 2/22 | 41/21 41/24 43/21 44/5 44/10 44/12 45/1 | willing [1] 52/5 |
| verification [1] 64/10 | 47/21 47/25 48/25 49/7 49/14 49/22 50/13 | wish [10] 4/22 10/21 27/5 27/20 92/4 94/22 |
| versus [3] 2/5 7/15 8/12 | 51/21 52/4 52/21 53/14 54/17 56/16 59/12 | 96/11 102/13 102/17 105/18 |
| very [7] 10/18 47/12 47/14 55/2 96/3 102/9 | 60/18 63/16 64/21 67/6 68/4 70/11 71/22 | withdraw [1] 90/24 |
| 107/19 | 73/11 75/3 77/15 78/24 79/5 82/22 82/25 | withdrawing [1] 83/7 |
| vice [5] 14/7 14/18 69/25 70/2 70/7 | 83/5 84/11 85/16 86/5 87/7 90/3 90/21 92/4 | withdrawn [1] 92/24 |
| vice-president [5] 14/7 14/18 69/25 70/2 | 92/19 96/1 98/24 99/20 99/24 99/25 100/10 | without [5] 98/18 99/19 100/17 103/25 |
| 70/7 | 101/13 101/15 101/18 102/8 103/3 103/10 | 106/13 |
| Vicky [1] 78/17 | 106/2 | witness [65] 4/22 10/20 13/21 15/20 16/4 |
| video [5] 10/4 10/5 21/11 102/6 105/16 | went [2] 10/7 20/10 | 19/17 20/16 21/19 21/22 23/8 23/21 24/1 |
| video's [1] 10/4 | were [19] 2/24 2/24 9/5 12/21 22/18 25/23 | 24/5 24/17 27/5 29/12 30/4 31/16 32/14 |
| videotape [6] 97/2 97/3 97/14 97/24 98/1 | 29/24 52/1 53/1 53/9 55/11 74/12 78/25 | 34/23 35/25 37/2 37/12 38/11 39/12 40/13 |
| 98/12 | 79/22 80/16 81/1 83/3 107/10 107/11 | 45/7 46/16 46/18 47/11 48/14 49/12 49/21 |
| videotape/audiotape [1] 97/24 | WEST [6] 1/5 13/14 13/15 22/23 23/2 65/7 | 50/11 50/12 50/12 51/9 51/18 51/22 52/1 |
| vitamin [1] 70/3 | Westlaw [2] 7/17 8/14 | 53/17 54/6 54/10 54/13 55/20 56/7 56/20 |
| voluntarily [4] 47/20 52/16 58/11 101/22 | what [159] | 58/13 59/8 61/10 66/13 67/10 67/24 69/24 |
| W | what's [32] 5/7 12/12 14/14 20/8 24/21 25/5 | 70/9 70/19 71/11 71/15 74/17 75/16 77/1 |
| Wait [3] 50/24 70/2 72/9 | 42/2 44/1 44/24 47/5 48/25 51/12 52/6 | witness's [1] 7/20 |
| wall [1] 19/4 | 56/14 56/25 61/5 62/18 63/23 67/3 67/16 | witnesses [21] 3/23 4/4 4/11 4/14 13/9 |
| want [50] 4/17 4/21 8/7 11/16 18/7 19/8 | 71/20 83/18 87/5 96/18 100/21 | 15/25 19/16 19/16 43/5 50/4 50/6 63/19 |
| 20/7 41/3 43/6 47/15 47/19 54/23 55/1 | whatever [8] 18/10 19/2 35/16 43/25 47/14 | 89/10 91/15 92/13 93/1 95/1 101/18 101/21 |
| 57/15 58/7 58/16 67/21 75/8 75/17 79/8 | 83/15 86/10 104/7 | 102/17 102/19 |
| 80/21 82/20 82/22 83/1 83/3 84/18 88/22 | when [13] 15/19 24/3 35/15 40/4 46/4 62/15 | woman [1] 83/24 |
| 89/14 89/16 90/20 91/6 92/7 92/14 94/20 | 64/7 66/3 79/4 104/11 104/25 106/5 106/10 | words [1] 62/23 |
| 94/21 94/21 94/23 94/25 95/16 95/16 95/21 | where [14] 4/3 9/8 11/18 12/4 14/11 19/23 | work [25] 17/18 19/18 27/24 30/1 39/7 40/1 |
| 96/3 96/4 96/12 96/12 101/13 102/6 104/12 | 30/4 30/9 53/25 56/3 67/19 84/5 102/12 | 40/18 40/19 44/5 46/1 48/8 60/3 60/5 64/3 |
| 105/6 106/20 | 106/18 | 65/18 66/21 68/13 68/14 82/17 83/16 86/13 |
| wanted [3] 8/22 41/3 107/6 | where's [2] 31/22 45/15 | 86/14 88/14 104/24 106/12 |
| wants [4] 46/20 52/16 95/15 95/15 | whether [4] 15/24 17/14 83/2 89/14 | worked [14] 25/3 25/5 25/16 39/1 40/3 40/6 |
| was [85] 3/15 3/21 5/11 5/15 9/6 9/17 10/9 | which [16] 7/16 7/22 10/18 12/20 16/22 | 43/21 44/4 48/10 48/11 60/6 60/17 87/18 |
| 12/6 12/16 12/17 15/8 15/11 17/2 17/3 17/5 | 17/7 19/25 28/16 36/23 53/5 60/6 86/16 | 87/20 |
| 17/14 17/21 17/23 25/13 26/7 26/9 28/8 | 92/4 92/15 98/6 101/2 | working [1] 69/4 |
| 28/8 30/1 33/4 35/5 35/15 35/17 36/15 40/4 | while [2] 85/19 96/15 | works [3] 28/4 28/14 28/16 |
| 40/5 41/14 43/19 44/6 46/5 46/25 46/25 | who [38] 2/11 9/17 10/20 15/5 15/6 18/18 | worthless [1] 56/11 |
| 47/1 50/15 50/15 52/2 53/9 53/11 53/12 | 20/16 20/19 24/17 29/17 31/16 33/10 37/3 | would [120] |
| 53/12 56/11 56/12 56/12 57/12 60/14 61/10 | 40/5 49/2 53/17 55/20 56/20 58/13 59/8 | wouldn't [1] 90/10 |
| 61/10 62/15 62/15 62/24 62/25 63/11 64/7 | 61/22 62/10 68/15 68/18 71/25 74/8 74/17 | write [3] 4/19 4/20 10/10 |
| 64/10 66/25 68/15 69/6 69/7 71/11 72/22 | 75/7 75/9 77/23 78/16 83/10 86/12 88/13 | written [1] 4/18 |
| 73/2 73/17 73/24 73/25 74/8 76/10 79/1 | 98/20 99/2 100/25 101/22 | wrong [6] 11/14 11/17 12/23 20/12 35/2 |
| 79/3 79/20 84/13 86/1 86/5 87/18 87/23 | who's [21] 2/14 4/22 13/21 22/9 23/8 29/12 | 64/11 |
| 88/3 90/4 97/19 98/16 98/16 103/5 wave [1] 18/13 | 35/1 37/3 37/12 38/11 39/12 40/24 45/25 | Wyman [6] 97/12 97/14 97/22 97/23 98/8 $98 / 10$ |
|  |  |  |
| 46/10 46/11 51/25 52/24 56/1 |  | Y |
| 73/15 77/15 | 75/14 86/11 87/190/5 | yeah [24] 16/9 21/9 23/13 28/10 30/19 |
| waybills [1] 64/9 | why [42] 3/2 6/13 6/17 19/7 19/23 20/4 20/8 | 30/22 32/16 34/3 36/12 39/25 40/3 44/4 |
| we [61] 2/9 2/11 2/25 3/2 3/11 3/12 3/14 4/3 | 21/22 30/15 30/15 32/25 35/10 44/13 55/9 | 48/17 51/4 59/14 60/25 64/16 68/20 72/15 |
| 6/17 9/3 15/16 18/14 21/12 26/23 28/20 | 60/20 61/3 66/19 67/21 70/18 75/8 75/16 | 79/17 80/20 100/1 101/11 106/1 |
| 29/8 30/5 32/17 33/7 35/19 35/19 35/19 | 76/13 78/11 79/1 82/20 83/1 83/3 88/22 | year [3] 53/4 55/14 107/13 |
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