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(Call to Order of the Court.)
THE COURT: Good afternoon, everybody.
THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Calling United States of

America versus Rogerio Chavez Scotton, Case Number 12-60049-CR-Rosenbaum/Matthewman, second superseding.

THE COURT: All right. Let's get appearances from counsel starting with the government, please.

MS. MITRANI: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Bertha Mitrani on behalf of the United States. With me at counsel table is Special Agent Roy VanBrunt with the FBI.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, both of you.
And for the defendant? We have Mr. Scotton. Good
afternoon, Mr. Scotton.
THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon, sir.
THE COURT: We also have Mr. Kreiss, standby counsel. Good afternoon.

MR. KREISS: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And we have also investigator
Ms. Martinez. Good afternoon.
MS. MARTINEZ: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. So this matter was set down today for a hearing, an evidentiary hearing, and on the defendant's motions at Docket Entries 218 and 220. And it was also set down for a hearing on the defendant's motion for Agent VanBrunt to turn over defendant's property at Docket

Entry 227.

Now, at the last minute $I$ received from the defense -Mr. Scotton filed a motion, and it says sealed motion, motion to continue of evidentiary hearing over family loss.

Has the government -- Ms. Mitrani, have you seen a copy of that motion?

MS. MITRANI: Yes, Your Honor. Mr. Kreiss was kind enough to provide us with a copy.

THE COURT: Thank you.

All right. So, Mr. Scotton, I've read the motion, and my first question is: You have it that you seek to file it under seal. Why would this need to be a sealed document? In federal court everything is open to the public and nothing is sealed unless it would violate an attorney-client privilege of a defendant or a work product privilege or some other defense confidential matter.

I read this. I don't see that it's appropriate to be sealed. Is there some reason you feel it has to be sealed?

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I thought it was because of the some of the personal. I thought it is something I don't have to share with everybody.

THE COURT: I understand, but it still is -- I think this is more appropriately filed publicly. So $I$ will not order that it be sealed and it will be filed as a public motion.

Now, you indicate in this motion that you are seeking
to continue this evidentiary hearing; is that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. And that's because you say that your grandmother passed away and therefore your mother may not be able to be an adequate witness today. Is that the basis for it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I'm not going to have -- you know - -
(Defendant and standby counsel conferring sotto voce.) THE COURT: I'm sorry? MR. KREISS: May I just grab a tissue, Your Honor? THE COURT: Of course.

All right. Mr. Scotton, was that the basis for the motion, that your mother may not be able to testify at this hearing because of the fact that her mother passed away? THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, Your Honor. I don't think we -you know, either myself or so, I don't think $I$ can put my mother through this today.

THE COURT: Right. When did your grandmother pass
away?

THE DEFENDANT: This week sometime.

THE COURT: What day was that?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm not sure of the date. Either

Monday or Tuesday.
THE COURT: Where did she live?

THE DEFENDANT: She live in Brazil.

THE COURT: And she passed away in Brazil. Is your mother going to the funeral?

THE DEFENDANT: No. Financially it is so hard. She can't afford to go there.

THE COURT: I see. When's the last time that you
visited your grandmother?

THE DEFENDANT: 2008.

THE COURT: 2008?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I tell you what, let me hear from the government.

MS. MITRANI: Your Honor, under normal circumstances the government wouldn't oppose a continuance of an evidentiary hearing of this nature, however these are not normal circumstance, Your Honor.

First, this hearing -- it took a lot of effort for all of the parties to be here. The government is here with witnesses. I would note that the defendant's mother and stepfather are here. We have a trial setting at the end of January. Mr. Kreiss is going to be unavailable the last two weeks of the year basically. I'm unavailable basically the first week of the year. So it's a very difficult circumstance.

Again, $I$ don't think this will mean much to the defendant, but on behalf of the government, as a human being,
we are sorry for his loss as a human being. On the other hand, we're all here. The government would oppose any continuance of a hearing.

THE COURT: All right. I tell you what I'm going to do, Mr. Scotton. First of all, the Court also extends its condolences to you and your family for the loss of your grandmother. The problem we have here is this case is set for trial in January, and you have filed numerous speedy trial demands in this case and numerous motions, which you have a right to do, and we need to get them heard.

What I'm going to do is $I$ am going to deny the motion to continue today's evidentiary hearing, but why don't we do this. When we get to the evidentiary hearing portion, why don't you call your stepfather and you can go through the testimony with your stepfather, and then at that point we can see if your mother is able to testify. Or perhaps the government might be willing to stipulate to what her testimony would be if she were going to testify here today based on the affidavit that she signed and that was filed previously.

So there may be ways to go ahead with the evidentiary hearing, it will be entirely up to you, which might obviate the need for your mother having to tesitfy today and yet $I$ hear all of the evidence in this the case. I think we should get the evidentiary hearing started and proceed, and then we'll see where we go from there because it has been difficult to get
everybody together. We have a Portuguese interpreter, which is not easy to get, that we have arranged at your request for your mother, subpoenas have been issued for some witnesses, and everybody is here to proceed today.

So I understand your loss and I offer my condolences for your loss, but we do need to proceed with this hearing and soldier on in this case and work to get this case resolved because you are entitled to get these matters heard, you're entitled to a trial, and the more these motions would get delayed it could have a potential of causing a delay in your trial. And with all of the requests for speedy trial you made, I think that's probably the last thing you want. So I'm going to deny the motion and see how the hearing goes this afternoon.

Now, I also just received a motion to quash certain subpoenas that apparently Mr. Scotton had issued and --

Do you have an extra copy of that motion there, Ken?

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I don't.

THE COURT: I know $I$ have it somewhere.

MS. MITRANI: I have an extra copy, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Would you mind passing it up? I read it earlier, but I'm having a little trouble locating it here.

MR. KREISS: For the record, Your Honor, I provided Mr. Scotton with a copy.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kreiss.
MS. MITRANI: And, for the record, I gave Mr. Kreiss a
copy to give to Mr. Scotton just because in the certificate of service $I$ stated $I$ would effectuate hand-delivery.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
So it is Docket Entry 241, an emergency motion to quash subpoenas and protective order, and it deals with witnesses CF and RM. Is there any reason we cannot mention them in court at the hearing by name?

MS. MITRANI: No, Your Honor. There's no reason. Obviously, the government likes to protect its witnesses, particularly under the circumstances of this case.

THE COURT: All right. We certainly can refer to them as $C F$ and RM. I have no problem with that.

Can you tell me if in the government's position -- I know you moved to quash it. Do they have any significance or relevance in the government's position to the limited issues of the evidentiary hearing that's set for today?

MS. MITRANI: No, they do not, Your Honor. That's set forth prominently at whichever paragraph it is set forth, Paragraph 6 -- Paragraph 6 and thereon. No, they have nothing to do.

As the Court knows, I'm not going to be repetitive because we all know why we are here today. It is for these alleged intimidation and harassment of the defendant's mother and stepfather, it is for the allegation that the government is spying on the defendant 24 hours a day and restricting his
e-mail and phone privileges at BOP. And that was part of the Court's December 1 order, and then -- excuse me, December 12 order. And then subsequent to that the Court added on a hearing on whether there was a Rolex watch or an expensive watch in the defendant's car which the government possessed and which the defendant wants back. These two witnesses have absolutely nothing to do with those matters.

THE COURT: All right. And these two witnesses were subpoenaed not pursuant to Rule 17 as we had had a hearing on before. They must have been subpoenaed privately by the defendant.

Let me ask you Mr. Scotton, what relevance does CF or RM have to the limited issues we have set down for today?

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, first of all, $I$ want to bring up to the Court that the prosecutor is not their lawyer. So if they're going to need a lawyer, they going to have to hire a lawyer on their own.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?
THE DEFENDANT: You know, I don't know why the prosecutor now try to become their lawyer. I mean, the prosecutor is not the lawyer for them.

THE COURT: No.
THE DEFENDANT: She acts as if she's their lawyer.

THE COURT: I understand that, but the prosecutor has a right and an obligation to file any appropriate motion in the
case, and $I$ find that it is an appropriate motion. The prosecutor representing the United states and is bringing forward an issue regarding two witnesses. So $I$ don't find that that objection is worthy. I'll deny that.

Why would you need $C F$ and RM at this limited hearing, evidentiary hearing set for this afternoon?

THE DEFENDANT: Those two witnesses have been threatened also by this government, Your Honor. It's a bunch of $F B I$ reports that has been complete outrage. One thing, you know, in the beginning of this case the report say one thing and now everybody seems to change their mind out of the blue because what the government has -- understand that both of them, of those people on this motion here, they have done some illegal activities.

This is -- Renata has engaged in a sham marriage. And Carla Filini's family has engaged in several real estate, you know, frauds. So because the scenario now seems to be everybody that used to be so close to me and they change their stories and they fabricating stories now that is not even true, so those people need to come and testify, Your Honor.

THE COURT: In other words, your argument is since they are testifying -- since they are offering evidence against you, you believe that they must therefore have been threatened by the government. Is that pretty much it?

THE DEFENDANT: They have no evidence against me, Your

Honor. But, you know, in the reports at the beginning of the case now they have reports made out up July of this year. One thing -- at the beginning of the case they say one thing. March 29 Carla Filini saying one thing. Now she's completely change the whole scenario thing. And false report has been made out to the sheriff's department that $I$ stole her identity. THE COURT: Has CF or RM told you that the government or their agents threatened them?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: They told that you?

THE DEFENDANT: They told my family.
THE COURT: No. No, sir. I asked you a simple question. Did $C F$ or $R M$ specifically tell you that they were threatened?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. I'm in jail. I don't have any access to those people.

THE COURT: Well, you have access to a telephone. So I'm just asking. Did you hear from $C F$ and RM directly that the government or their agents threatened them?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. And it is not contained in the
affidavit that was filed by Mr. Colon and your mother, is it?
THE DEFENDANT: I never seen the affidavit, Your

Honor.

THE COURT: The affidavit was attached to the motion
that you filed.

THE DEFENDANT: No, it wasn't. Well, I never saw it because -- I mean, I filed the motion, but I never saw the affidavit.

THE COURT: How could you not see the affidavit if it was attached to a motion you filed?

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, $I$ hand the affidavit to my investigator to mail for me. How can $I$ have the affidavit when I'm sitting in jail? $I$ have no contact with my family outside.

THE COURT: It's your testimony that before this hearing you have never read the affidavit that was filed by and signed -- was filed by you and it was signed by Mr. Colo and your mother? You haven't ever read that affidavit?

THE DEFENDANT: No. They mentioned to me what it was about, but $I$ never saw it.

THE COURT: You don't have a copy of it with you?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. I don't.
THE COURT: Okay. Tell me why it is that $C F$ and RM would be witnesses as to the limited issues beyond anything that you've said already. Anything else you wish to add?

THE DEFENDANT: Renata used to work for me, Your
Honor. She's one of my employees.
THE COURT: I'm sorry?

THE DEFENDANT: Renata used to work for me. She's my employee. For her to start making some reports, that doesn't
make any sense. Something is not right. She's change everything. At the beginning everybody seems to go into the house, helping to close the store, and now everybody change their stories and say things that is not even true.

THE COURT: So because of that you're assuming that she's been threatened?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm not assuming, sir. Nobody is going to change -- you know, same thing for Filini.

THE COURT: You're guessing then?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm not guessing, sir.
THE COURT: So what do you base it on that she has been threatened, that either CF or RM have been threatened? You haven't told me one thing yet to tell me directly --

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, Renata has broke the law. She married a guy to get a citizenship in this country. Not only she married this guy, she end up having a baby not long ago. She put the baby's name on the name of this guy, the same father that she has now. She engage in so much illegal things that now the impression had to help in this case. They knowing that she's --

I don't understand. Like people engage and do so much wrong things, and I'm the one here this jail. And everybody seems to change their stories now. She's definitely been pressured by the government. And they know. They have the knowledge that she committed a fraud.

THE COURT: Well, that's an easy statement to make, but you have no evidence to back that up, sir.

So I'm going to go ahead and grant the government's motion to quash the subpoenas. I find that they are not relevant to today's hearing. They may be relevant to your trial, $I$ don't know. But if those witnesses are called by the government to testify, you can cross-examine them at trial and ask them whatever relevant questions you have. But $I$ find that they are not relevant at all to the limited issue that is the purpose of today's hearing.

Now, I've read your motions in this case that you filed and we set down today as an evidentiary hearing. Do you wish to go ahead and call your first witness?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. I'm not in a position to do this as I mentioned in my motion today.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm not in a position to do that today. I can't.

THE COURT: Well, sir, I'm telling you today is the day for your evidentiary hearing. You made a lot of allegations, you made a lot of complaints, you filed motions. And if you want to have an evidentiary hearing as you've requested on your motions, today is the day for it. So I'm asking you if you want to go ahead and call your first witness.
(Defendant and standby counsel conferring sotto voce.)
THE DEFENDANT: I'm going to go ahead and call the Agent VanBrunt.

THE COURT: You want to call Agent VanBrunt?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Well, are you going to call Mr. Colon or your mother?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm going to call Mr. Colon only. My mother is on medication. I don't think it is appropriate for her to be on the stand.

THE COURT: That's up to you. Are you going to call Mr. Colon?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. Why would you be calling Agent VanBrunt before Mr. Colon? Because at this point I'm trying to hold an evidentiary hearing, but all I have seen so far are allegations. What is your intent here; to call Agent VanBrunt and then Mr. Colon?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: All right.
MS. MITRANI: Judge, we would object to that. The so-called affidavit talks about alleged intimidation to Mr. Colon and Mrs. Colon. If there is no evidence of Mr . or Mrs. Colon being intimated, there's is no basis to call Agent VanBrunt.

THE COURT: Okay. You know, I tend to agree with that, Mr. Scotton. I think you have at least some burden here to come forward with some evidence to establish your claims, and $I$ would like to hear from -- you know, the purpose of this evidentiary hearing was because you filed an affidavit which you say you now have never seen or read, which $I$ find astonishing, but, nonetheless, the purpose of the hearing was to have the person who signed the affidavit, Mr. Colon, and/or your mother, come in here and testify so that the court could consider some of the evidence that you wish to advance. Because if, in fact, your claim is accurate and you're making a valid claim, the Court wants to know about that.

So my question is: Do you want to call Mr. Colon?
(Defendant and standby counsel conferring sotto voce.)
THE COURT: I'm not saying you can't call

Agent VanBrunt. All I'm saying is that $I$ would like to hear something from you -- from your witnesses that would establish a colorable claim as to why you believe that witnesses have been threatened. Then beyond that you may very well be permitted to call Agent VanBrunt.

THE DEFENDANT: I call Mr. Colon then.
THE COURT: Do me a favor, if you would. In addition to the issues that are addressed in my order, and $I$ hope you have a copy of that with you because I want to keep it limited to that, you can also inquire into the issue regarding your
allegation that the government somehow stole or misappropriated your Rolex watch. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: That's the VanBrunt motion.

All right. You wish to call Mr. Colon at this time?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: If Mr. Colon would please come up. Do we need an interpreter for him?

THE DEFENDANT: At this point, Your Honor, any other witness that's going to testify $I$ request the court they can leave the courtroom at this point.

THE COURT: All right. First of all, let me ask you a question. Does Mr. Colon need a Spanish interpreter?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Ms. Mitrani, is Agent VanBrunt the case agent?

MS. MITRANI: Yes, he is, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Aside from Agent VanBrunt is there anybody else that you would be call regarding any of these issues?

MS. MITRANI: There may be. So I'll excuse the special agent. But also I think Ms. Colon needs to be excused as well.

THE COURT: Right. Ms. Colon would have to go outside and any other agent that would testify. However, I'll make the exception for the case agent. The case agent is allowed to
stay in the courtroom to assist the prosecution. That's normally how it is done, Mr. Scotton.

MS. MITRANI: And I assume, Your Honor -- forget it. THE COURT: All right. Let's go ahead and swear in the witness.

MS. MITRANI: Can I just have a moment?
THE COURT: Yes.

MS. MITRANI: If the interpreter can tell Ms. Marina Colon to step out.

THE COURT: Oh, right. The Portuguese interpreter.

Yes. That's fine. Thank you.
CARLOS MANUEL COLON, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please state your full name and spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Carlos Manuel Colon, C-O-L-O-N.
THE COURT: Mr. Colon, have a seat. Make yourself comfortable. And if you would just pull that microphone up to you so we can all hear you here in the courtroom and the court reporter can take down everything that you have to say.

All right. And, Mr. Scotton, do you wish to go ahead and proceed with your questioning of Mr. Colon?

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. How are you doing, Carlos?
A. How are you?
Q. Let me ask you the first question. Have you taken any medication this morning?
A. Like everyday. I do.
Q. Okay. Are you feeling okay? You can go over this?
A. Yes, I believe so. I forgot something, I don't know, that's what I'm going to tell you, $I$ don't know, $I$ can't remember.
Q. Okay.

MS. MITRANI: Your Honor, Mr. Colon -- can you ask Mr. Colon to speak a little louder? I'm having some trouble hearing you. I apologize.

THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, if you could please speak a little louder into the microphone. And also, Mr. Colon, everybody in here needs to hear you, most importantly the court reporter, so that we can get a record of this hearing.

THE WITNESS: Can you hear now?
THE COURT: That's better.

THE WITNESS: Can you hear now?
THE COURT: That's fine.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Carlos, the day that $I$ was arrest, you went to the location and you took possession of the car, correct?
A. Uhm, yes.
Q. The government explained here in the motion that they have returned to you the car with Citizen watch, 1,258 in cash, Hugo

Boss belt, Hugo Boss baseball cap, sunglasses, briefcase, and my laptop. That's correct? And two cell phones?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. You remember everything?
A. I don't remember everything, but it's written on a piece of paper. It say so.
Q. I need you to tell me them that you got all those things.
A. Yes, I did.
Q. The same day also around 7 o'clock in the nighttime he show up at the house?
A. Yes.
Q. And he -- as he's arriving, he show up in the house, he has more items to return to you?
A. I believe it was a belt. It was a belt that $I$ had. I can't remember which one was it.

THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, when you say "he," who is
"he"?

THE DEFENDANT: VanBrunt.
THE COURT: Who?
THE DEFENDANT: The agent.
THE COURT: But would you just clarify with Mr. Colon who the agent is.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. The Agent VanBrunt?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

THE COURT: That was Agent VanBrunt?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Did he return to you also my wallet and a cell phone?
A. Uhm, yes. I think it was.
Q. Okay. From that day he ask you to get out of the house and go downstairs with him to talk?
A. We meet in the parking lot. Yes.
Q. Okay. And I understand from you that you don't like the conversation that you had in the parking lot. What exact that you felt intimidated by VanBrunt?

MS. MITRANI: Your Honor, object to the form of the question. Just ask him what happened or what have you, but his narrative $I$ object to.

THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, your question is what, if any, intimidation was lodged against him by Agent VanBrunt? THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Colon, if you could answer that question.

THE WITNESS: The way I feel intimidated was the way he keep repeating and repeating the same thing over and over. And one of the things that he told me was why don't you tell Rogerio to confess. You know he's guilty. I don't know he's
guilty. I don't know nothing about his life. But he was repeating and repeating those words. He tell me go talk to Rogerio and tell him that he's guilty, to confess. To confess what? To a crime that he hasn't commit? I don't know what he's been doing. But he keep repeating that. And it was about maybe half an hour that we was talking.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scotton.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. So, Mr. Colon, you mention -- I understand you mention to my mother in -- I guess Carla has made a statement to you guys that if $I$ don't plead guilty, it would be a bad thing for everybody else. Can you confirm that statement from VanBrunt? A. I might hear it. I cannot say that $I$ can remember exactly the words, but he was saying something like tell him to say that he's guilty or something will be wrong to his family or the whole family.
Q. So basically he's intimidated the family and found me guilty?
A. If that's the way people intimated, those are the words. Q. Right. In one of those visitations VanBrunt went to the house. After he left the house my mother has call you. When you get to the house, what kind of situation did you find your wife in the home?
A. She was -- she wasn't happy. She was nervous like I was too. I never been in this situation before. I was concerned.

I was nervous and angry for myself because I don't know what -if $I$ say something right or wrong or not. I didn't know. I didn't know how to act. I didn't know who to contact.
Q. How many times did Agent VanBrunt show up at your job?
A. Once after that.
Q. How many messages did your boss call you to let you know the $F B I$ is after you?
A. Not my boss. Not my boss.
Q. Who is the person that told you that what's going on, why the FBI keeping coming here?
A. Not my boss.
Q. You mention to my mom one time that you're afraid of losing your job because they keeping come to your job.
A. The only came once over there.
Q. They come once?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you felt threat by them?
A. And he took -- he took him more than half an hour to say over and over and over and then handed me a subpoena.
Q. Okay. Several weeks ago three FBI guys show up at the house. I understand a couple days later another two FBI show up at the house.
A. Yes, that's what my wife told me.
Q. Right. Did you speak to those two agents that show up at the house?
A. The second time, yes.
Q. Right. Did you felt threat by them?
A. In a way, yes.
Q. Can you explain "in a way"?
A. My wife want to go with us, with me, and he said, no, this is personal, only concern him. And $I$ was concerned. She's my wife. She should know everything what's happen between us. And he say it only concern you. And we just walk to the parking lot. We sit in the car. And it's one seat in the back seat, the other one in the front. As soon as we sit down in the car, he pull out a tape recorder and start recording whatever we was going to say.

THE COURT: Do you know the names of those two agents?
THE WITNESS: I believe -- do you mind if $I$ pull his
name out of -- I got a paper here that's got his name.
THE COURT: If you need to refresh your memory, you
can look at your papers.
THE WITNESS: Yes, I remember only one, the one who
left the card with my wife. Agent Kaelin.

THE COURT: How do you spell that?
THE WITNESS: I believe it's K-A-E-L-I-N.

THE COURT: Kaelin, K-A-E-L-I-N.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. That was one of the two agents?

THE WITNESS: Yes. The other one didn't identify himself.

THE COURT: You're saying the interview was recorded? THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scotton, go ahead.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Did they accuse you of any crime?
A. They -- not really accuse. Well, they probably did accuse me of a crime, but $I$ stop them right there. Because he was looking in his briefcase and he pull a paper saying, do you recognize this e-mail? It was a paper saying that $I$ sent an e-mail to somebody name Pamela and has his -- Mr. VanBrunt, Roy's name, and his wife name, information that $I$ get out of the internet. So she ask me for an e-mail. I sent it to her. And I tell the person, yes, I did send to her information out of the internet.

THE COURT: Let me make sure $I$ have it straight. Your testimony is that they showed you an e-mail sent to some woman that was dealing with Agent VanBrunt and his wife?

THE WITNESS: That's what the -- that's what the
e-mail said, his name and his wife name. And then this guy accuse me -- ask me if $I$ know anything about a plot of killing them.

THE COURT: Of killing who?

THE WITNESS: Of these two persons mentioned in this
e-mail.
THE COURT: VanBrunt and his wife?
THE WITNESS: VanBrunt and his wife.
THE COURT: Who was this e-mail sent to?

THE WITNESS: To Ms. Pamela -- I can't remember her
last name.
THE COURT: First name is Pamela?
THE WITNESS: Pamela. And I replied to him, no, I have no knowledge about this. And with the same token I just tell him I have been informed by a lawyer that he told me not to make any statement, not to talk, not to sign anything. And the lawyer that $I$ spoke was Glantz Law, which I'm a member of this particular club called Legal Shield and they are -- the lawyers represent Legal Shield.

THE COURT: You said Glantz Law?
THE WITNESS: Glantz Law.
THE COURT: G-L-A-N-T-Z?
THE WITNESS: I thought I spelled it here, but I didn't.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Scotton.
THE WITNESS: Out of Fort Lauderdale.
THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, go ahead with your next question. BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. So basically accuse you that you try to conspire with me to
kill the $F B I$ agent that arrest me?
A. That's correct.
Q. Right. Any knowledge why they threat you with that? MS. MITRANI: Excuse me. I didn't hear the question. THE COURT: I didn't hear the question either. If you could speak a little slower and enunciate clearly. BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Any reason why they accuse of that?
A. Any reason? I don't know. They didn't show me anything else. The only thing they show me was this e-mail mentioning their two names. And he just asked me, do you have any knowledge of a plot of killing these two persons? I say, no, I have no knowledge.
Q. Can you explain to this court why you can send the e-mail with name of VanBrunt and his wife?
A. Pamela was telling me she go to a place where she fix her hair and she thinks this lady is his wife. she wanted to know her name. And $I$ just tell her, well, her name might be this one. And $I$ just send her an e-mail with her name.
Q. Where did you meet Pamela?
A. Pamela?
Q. Where did you meet Pamela?
A. Pamela, $I$ met here in -- visiting you.
Q. At FDC?
A. FDC, yes.
Q. And what Pamela doing at FDC?
A. Visiting her brother.
Q. Her brother is an inmate in FDC?
A. Yes.
Q. Right. Did you felt threat by those FBIs threatening you,

I mean accusing you of killing the agent?
A. Of course I did. I have no knowledge of this. And then they just come and tell me something like this. I feel threat.
Q. The reason they send this e-mail to Pamela is to try to hurt someone, in any way hurt the $F B I$ agent or his wife?
A. No. I just give her this lady's name and that's it. That was the only information $I$ give her. And $I$ took it out of the computer.

THE COURT: Mr. Colon, do you know how to spell

Emilia?

THE WITNESS: Pardon?

THE COURT: The e-mail that you sent to Emilia, do you know how to spell Emilia?

THE WITNESS: Pamela.

THE COURT: Emilia? Is it E-M-I-L-I-A?

THE WITNESS: It's not Emilia. It's Pamela.

THE COURT: It's Pamela?

THE WITNESS: Pamela.

MS. MITRANI: Pamela, Your Honor.
THE WITNESS: Pamela.

THE COURT: Pamela. All right. So you were referring
to Pamela? That's the person that you sent the e-mail to? THE WITNESS: Pamela.

THE COURT: Okay.
BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Mr. Carlos, you remember the second lawyer that $I$ have in this case Rob Abreu?
A. Out of Miami I think.
Q. Okay. I'm trying to see if I can help refresh your mind here. Abreu made a comment that possible my brother was involved back in the days with the FBI's wife and that's the reason why we start doing this investigation; is this correct? A. He might did it. I can't recall when he did it, if he did it.
Q. Right. Out of the investigation Abreu did it in conversation with my brother. It's an assumption that my brother used to date the FBI's wife before they get married?
A. The way he put it, yes.
Q. And that's the reason you send e-mail to Pamela, Emilia, whatever her name is?
A. Pamela.
Q. Pamela.

But in no circumstance you try to hurt anybody, have you?
A. No. I have no reasons why.
Q. The day you show up in the house when your wife call you, she was recovering from surgery. What is the scenario that you find your wife in the house? Do you remember that day?
A. Wow. Trying to tell me -- trying to make me remember a surgery that she had. She had a couple this year. In January she have one what they put a -- what they calling a bursa colitis, and they cut part of her intestine. She have a bag outside.
Q. Colosto bag?
A. Yes, one of those bags now. And she was so sad and in pain that she got out of the bed and being legally blind is not easy to walk around with a bag like that to go to the bathroom or go do her stuff and that bag burst and she called me at work. I believe that's the situation you're trying to tell me. She called me at work and I had to get out of there right away and come and take care of her because we don't have a nurse to take care of her. It was a situation that it wasn't pretty. It wasn't good for nobody.
Q. And she end up in the hospital that day?
A. Yes, I had to take her back to the hospital.
Q. Because she felt afraid?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Intimidated by this Agent VanBrunt?
A. I believe so.
Q. You believe so?
A. Yes, I believe, $I$ believe it was that the way she felt. Q. Couple months ago you went to a place in Pompano Beach. It is a print store. Do you recall that?
A. Yes, she went to that. She went there.
Q. Right. And you guys tried to go over there to get a copy of one receipt from Brazil Express USA Import/Export. Do you recall that?

MS. MITRANI: Your Honor, now I'm going to object. I'm going to object now. He's completely leading. But beyond that -- I realize he's pro se, but what is the relevance?

THE COURT: Right. And, Mr. Colon, were you present at this meeting where you went to some store or was it just your wife?

THE WITNESS: Just my wife.

THE COURT: All right. Then $I$ would sustain the objection. If it was just him who went -- number one, he has no firsthand knowledge. And, number two, what is the relevance of getting a receipt from the store to what the limited issues are in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: Intimidation, Your Honor. And
Mr. Colon was there because my mother, she's illegally blind, she can't drive. So if she went to the store, she only go with him.

THE COURT: Well, he just said he wasn't there. What is the relevance to the intimidation issues?

THE DEFENDANT: The people from the store told him they been intimidated from this agent, and they told they cannot help them any more.

THE COURT: Mr. Colon, do you recall being at the store that day with your wife or was it just your wife?

THE WITNESS: She went inside. I stay outside.
THE COURT: You don't have any personal knowledge of
what was discussed inside?

THE WITNESS: Not really. No.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained. Go to your next question.

THE WITNESS: It was just her.
BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. This last month when the agent show up at the house, my mother was by herself in the house. What exact she told you how that she felt intimidated for her life? What happened that day?

MS. MITRANI: Same objection, Your Honor. The mother
is here. She can clearly testify to her own feelings.
THE COURT: I'll give him a little bit of leeway in light of the motion the defendant made earlier.

Do you understand what your wife told you about whether or not she felt intimidated and if so why?

THE WITNESS: What she was explaining to me was that she jump out of bed when they were banging the windows and
banging the door, making all this noise. I don't know why. Because there was knocking on the window in the kitchen and then banging on the door, on the front door. It's only one entrance and only one exit. And she just get excited and anxious, try to look through the peephole and try to find out who was it.

When she opened the door, she tell me there was three guys and they asked for me. And I believe she told them -they ask when I'm going to be home, and she said that I'm going to be home at 6 o'clock. And one of the agent, $I$ believe it was Mr. Kaelin, give her a card, tell him to call me. Which I did. I called him afterwards. And that's when we meet the second time.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Scotton.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Does the door have a bell -- do you have a doorbell?
A. Yes, there's a doorbell.
Q. It is working?
A. It's working.
Q. So there was no need for anybody to kick in the door, kick in a window?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So --

THE COURT: Wait a minute. Mr. Colon, did anybody kick the door or window?

THE WITNESS: No, they just bang on it.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Scotton.
BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. So basically the affidavit that's put it in, you guys been feeling intimidated, you guys afraid of your lives, of losing your job. Can you describe the Court what is your feeling by filing the affidavit?
A. Well, when I talked to the lawyers and I explain what was going, what went into that meeting with the agent in his car, he told me they still can arrest you. I said, for what? I haven't done anything. But he continue telling me they still can arrest you and they can put you through all of this system, all this process of taking you to detention and arrest you. And even if they don't charge you, if they charge you with whatever, then that's when we come in. I said, well, do you have, do you have to wait until $I$ get arrested so you can prevent this? He say yes. So they cannot -- they not arrest you, we cannot do anything.

THE COURT: And that's a legal plan.
THE WITNESS: That's the legal plan.
THE COURT: So your attorney was telling you or the attorney from the Legal Plan was telling you they can't get involved unless you were to get arrested.

THE WITNESS: Correct.
THE COURT: Under the terms of the plan?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. I understand.
Mr. Scotton, go ahead.
BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. So you been threat to be arrest?
A. I was, I was concerned. I was looking over my shoulder every other day trying to figure out if somebody was watching me, yes, to be -- because there were cars all over the place in the parking lot that $I$ didn't know who they were. I was concerned if they was looking for me. They might be somebody pulling me over and arrest me the same way they did to you. I don't know.
Q. Okay. At this point can you describe to the Court, to the judge how has been your life?
A. With the threats?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Hold on a second. I'm going to sustain the objection. Could you narrow the question? I'm not sure I understand.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. How this has been affect your life, Mr. Colon.

THE COURT: Wait a minute. What was the question?
THE DEFENDANT: How this has been affect Mr. Colon, how the situation has affected his life.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: If affected my life, it affected the way

I been dealing with the issue in the last few months. Well, being $A$ and $B$ student for the last couple of years, all of a sudden this semester all my grades were down to $C$. I haven't been able to concentrate to study. I haven't been able to concentrate and do my projects. I haven't been able to be a person that $I$ used to be. And this is something that $I$ believe. I don' know. If I'm wrong, I might be wrong, but this is something that is hurting my mental health, my condition, my health, and I'm not, I'm not as free as I used to be before. I can't say, well, I take off and $I$ be free to do whatever $I$ usually do, go traveling. And keep watching on my side who's next to me or who's going to be next to me the next time. Just afraid to be arrested. That's -BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. During that meeting with the agents in the house did you feel uncomfortable when they told you to get inside of the car? A. They -- in a way, yes. But they don't want to -- we don't want to meet inside of the house because the way he explained to me was confidential, it wasn't for nobody else to know. And he recommend to go into -- I recommend to go into the parking lot. He recommend to go inside of the car.
Q. You made a statement to one of my attorneys that the prosecutor has -- Agent VanBrunt has told you that if no help to the prosecutor in testify on their behalf, you're going to
have some problems.
A. I cannot say that $I$ remember exactly what $I$ said, but it was something like that.
Q. Okay. And in your words can you explain what the threats was?
A. It's been kind of confusing the way -- because the way he was talking to me was repeating and repeating the stuff over and over, and $I$ can't even remember exactly the words.
Q. You make a statement and the statement say that they want you guys to convince me to plead guilty otherwise you guys going to have charges brought up against you guys.

THE COURT: Hold on one second. I'm not sure that's what he said. Let's just ask a question.

You indicated there was a conversation in the car outside of the house; is that right?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it was.
THE COURT: All right. You've already described what that conversation was. Is there anything else that was allegedly of a threatening or intimidating nature that the agent said to you?

THE WITNESS: No. Because as soon as I mention that I have information, that $I$ been talking to Glantz Law, they advise me not to say anything, not to make any statement, and not to sign anything, he just stopped right there and he didn't ask me anything else. And I said, that's it? I said -- and I
walk out, and $I$ walk out of the car, and they take off. BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Did you feel threat for your life right now?
A. Well, right now, no.
Q. Your freedom.
A. Of course, yes. The way the lawyer was putting the words that he was telling me, maybe they arrest me any time.
Q. Do you think those couple of times they approach you and your wife it is appropriate the way they been talking to you guys?
A. It's not right way. It could be a different way to do it.
Q. So you feel threat by them?
A. Yes.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I don't have no more questions.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Scotton.
Ms. Mitrani, does the government have any questions?

MS. MITRANI: Yes, Your Honor.
THE WITNESS: ExCuse me, Your Honor. Can I get some water?

THE COURT: Of course you can.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Hold on a second. He needs to get some water. Could we have somebody go get him a cup of water? Let's not start until we get him some water.

THE DEFENDANT: Is there any way $I$ can ask one of those ladies here to see how my mother feeling as to take the stand?

THE COURT: Sure. If the Portuguese interpreter wouldn't mind going out and making sure his mother is okay. THE INTERPRETER: Sure.

THE COURT: And also -- hold on just one second. Do you want to ask her if she wants to take the stand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You will have to ask her that yourself. Once we finish with Mr. Colon we can bring her in and you can speak to her and ask her if she wants to take the stand. Do you want her to check to see if she is okay?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you don't mind, check to see if she is okay.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Let's wait until he gets some water and then you can start.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I don't know after this is there going to be anything else that we're going to be hearing, but I remember the last hearing we have we mention about the other investigator on this case.

THE COURT: Right. That's another -- that's a separate issue.

THE DEFENDANT: All right. Because I want to bring up
to the Court he is present in the Court. His name is Marcel. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

All right. Ms. Mitrani, whenever you're ready. Are you okay to go ahead, Mr. Colon?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right.
CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. MITRANI:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Colon. How are you?
A. Good afternoon. I'm here.
Q. You would rather not be here. Is that a fair statement?
A. Definitely.
Q. Okay. Well, I appreciate you being here. I know you were served a subpoena actually by your son or is he your son or stepson?
A. Stepson.
Q. He's like a son to you.
A. Yes.
Q. Is that a fair statement?
A. Yes.
Q. Pretty close with him?
A. Yes.
Q. And have been for a very long time?
A. Since $I$ met him, yes.
Q. Many, many years ago, right?
A. 1999.
Q. That's a long time.

You love Roger very much?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And you love your wife Marina very much?
A. More than him.
Q. What?
A. More than him.
Q. No, you're not supposed to say that.

THE COURT: Hold on one second.

THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, she said she is okay so
far but that her blood pressure is not really -- she took the medication this morning, but she's feeling that something is not right, but she's hanging in there.

THE COURT: All right. If she needs any medical
attention at all, tell her to contact one of the security officials or CSO.

THE INTERPRETER: I did.

THE COURT: Thank you. You may go ahead, Ms. Mitrani. MS. MITRANI: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MS. MITRANI:
Q. Your name is Carlos Manuel Colon Baez?
A. That's what it says on my birth certificate. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Okay. But you go by Mr. Colon because you were born in

South America, correct?
A. No, I was born in San Juan, Puerto Rico.
Q. I apologize.
A. Thank you.
Q. But it is the Latin custom to put the mother's name as the fourth name, but you go by Colon?
A. No, that's my father's name.
Q. Father's name.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now we know who you are.

You never met me before, correct? This is the first
time you met me?
A. It's the first time we spoke.
Q. Right. You've seen me --
A. I seen you.
Q. -- because you've been to other court proceedings?
A. Yes.
Q. But we never had a conversation?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. And behind me that's special Agent Roy

VanBrunt?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Do you recognize him?
A. Yes.
Q. You've seen him a couple of times?
A. Of course.
Q. You've always -- when you've spoken to him, you call him
"Roy," right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And he calls you "Carlos"?
A. Yes.
Q. It's always been a friendly interaction?
A. As -- I just consider him as a coworker because he is a federal employee like me.
Q. Okay. And when $I$ say everything has been friendly, needless to say you would prefer to not be contacted by the FBI? That would be your first choice, correct?
A. Definitely, yes.
Q. Probably your second and third, right?
A. Definitely.
Q. Okay. But to the extent that the FBI has had -- and let's just talk about Roy for right now, Roy VanBrunt. He's always been respectful to you?
A. Yes.
Q. He's always been dressed either in a suit and a tie or in plain clothing, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. He never had a like a SWAT vest on?
A. No, I haven't seen him with that type of dressing.
Q. Combat?
A. No.
Q. He's never brandished a weapon which is a fancy way of saying he's never taken out his gun, correct?
A. Not yet. No.
Q. Mr. Colon, you have no reason to think Agent VanBrunt would ever do that, do you?
A. No, I hope not.
Q. Well, he never has?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Now, the first time you ever heard of Agent VanBrunt was on March 15, 2012, correct? And I'll just tell you that is the day that Roger got arrested.
A. Maybe not. Maybe $I$ got his name in some other incidents and situation that didn't related to this particular case. Q. Well, tell me about that. When did you interact with Agent VanBrunt prior to March 15, 2012?
A. The application that $I$ put for the $F B I$ department.
Q. We'll talk about that. You did apply to become an $F B I$ agent?
A. Not really.
Q. Actually, no, you're right. You applied to work with the FBI?
A. Yes.
Q. But did you interact with Agent VanBrunt during your application process?
A. No, he just contact me to find some information which $I$ never did contact him back and -- but $I$ know his name.
Q. Fair enough.

And, in fact, when you applied to the $F B I$, it was a lengthy application, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And in that, in fact, they asked you where you lived, right, all your addresses?
A. Yes.
Q. And they asked you personal information like your date of birth, Social Security, et cetera?
A. Yes.
Q. They asked you about your employment, correct?
A. Some, yes.
Q. And you told them about your employment?
A. Some.
Q. And you told them that, in fact, you worked for Brazil Express, correct?
A. That's what $I$ did, but it wasn't exactly correct because I really wasn't working for them. I was just as assessor. I never really was on payroll. I was doing like a (unintelligible), and that was it.
Q. You told the FBI when you applied that you worked for Brazil Express?
A. That's the reason why he called me which $I$ tell him, no, I
didn't work for them as a --

THE DEFENDANT: I object to that, Your Honor. It has
nothing do with the threats that we be talking about here.

THE WITNESS: But then --

THE COURT: Hold on just a second.

The objection is overruled. It goes to credibility and it's relevant to this proceeding. You may go ahead. I don't know if he finished his answer or not.

BY MS. MITRANI:
Q. Mr. Colon, I don't want to get into a big discussion about that now. There will be time for that. If I'm saying something that's not right, you correct me. But you did tell the FBI you worked for Brazil Express, right?
A. Yes.
Q. They didn't make that up?
A. No.
Q. You told them?
A. Yes.
Q. And you said that you work at Brazil Express at 7797 Golf Circle Drive?
A. Yes.
Q. And that is your address, sir, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. In Margate, Florida?
A. Yes.
Q. You told them that you worked from home contacting clients in response of inquiring to send packages out of the country by DHL making commercial invoices, airway bills, and making boxes and packages suitable for travel to different countries like South America and the Caribbean. You also said you contacted DHL to arrange for pickups and for all of the packages. Did you tell the FBI that?
A. I did. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. I -- yes, I did. And I regret saying all of that because part was completely true, part wasn't. Now, like you said, you want to talk about that later. That's not -- it's -- you know, I really don't want to discuss.
Q. Well, you have to answer my questions. What you're saying, so we're clear, is that --
A. You know --

MS. MITRANI: Can I finish asking my question, Your Honor?

THE DEFENDANT: Beyond the scope, Your Honor. Beyond the scope of examination, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It's really not beyond the cross-examination, Mr. Scotton, because it goes to credibility.

THE COURT: Let me just tell you, sir, that if you believe that any answer to any question may incriminate you, you have the right under the constitution to refuse to answer
on the grounds that any response that you give may tend to incriminate you. Do you understand that?

THE WITNESS: Now I do.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, you've taken the stand voluntarily. You have been subpoenaed by your stepson and you've taken the stand voluntarily. But if there is a response to a question that you believe would tend to incriminate you, you could raise the objection that any response that you give might tend to incriminate you and violate your Fifth Amendment rights. Do you understand that?

THE WITNESS: Yes, now I do.

THE COURT: And the Court would rule on that.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: You may go ahead.

MS. MITRANI: Thank you.

BY MS. MITRANI:
Q. So I'm going to move on. Like you had said before $I$ was interrupted, you agree that you put that down on the application for employment and now you're saying some of it was true and some was not true; is that fair?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, March 15, 2012, you received a phone call from Agent VanBrunt, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. He told that you Roger, Roger Scotton, Rogerio -- I don't
know what you call him -- he had been arrested?
A. Yes.
Q. And Agent VanBrunt told you that Roger scotton had been arrested?
A. Yes.
Q. This was shocking to you, correct?
A. Definitely, yes.
Q. You didn't know he was going to be arrested?
A. No.
Q. You were upset?
A. Yes.
Q. And Agent VanBrunt explained to you that he was driving a car, an Infinity, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, that Infinity was leased to you, right?
A. Yes.
Q. It was under your name?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know why it was leased to your name but Roger was driving it?
A. Uhm, I believe because his credit wasn't that great and he couldn't get the car put on his name and because my credit was better, $I$ just sign for him and $I$ put it on my name and he pay for it.
Q. And Agent VanBrunt told you that if you wanted, that agents
of the FBI would wait with that car and return it to you with certain items if you wanted to come and get it, correct? They gave you that option?
A. That's correct.
Q. And Agent VanBrunt explained to you the alternative was the FBI would have to tow it to the FBI headquarters in North Miami Beach? Did he explain that to you?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Okay.
A. That wasn't.
Q. That wasn't explained to you?
A. No.
Q. But if that was explained to Roger, you would have no reason to dispute that?
A. No, because he was responsible for the car.
Q. And so you told Agent VanBrunt that, yes, you wanted to
pick up the car, to give you a little bit of time because you were at work, you had to leave work, you had to make arrangements, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. You couldn't drop everything?
A. No.
Q. And the agent said, that's fine. They didn't make you come right that second. In fact, they waited for you to arrive to pick up the vehicle?
A. That's correct.
Q. And by the time you picked up the vehicle Agent VanBrunt was no longer there, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And Roger Scotton was no longer there, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. But the agent gave you back some property and gave you back the car?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. Was it at that time that they gave you back a Citizen's watch? Did they give it to you at that time or later that night?
A. No, the watch -- I believe the watch was in the package.
Q. That you got when you got the car?
A. The money and -- there was a whole list. I can't remember.
Q. Right. In fact, $I$ have in it in my motion. They also gave you money, approximately -- I don't have the exact amount in front of me, but approximately 1,000 to $\$ 1,500$, somewhere in that ballpark --
A. Yes.
Q. -- in cash?
A. Yes.
Q. And they returned it to you?
A. Yes.
Q. Later that day you had contact in person with Agent

VanBrunt, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Again, he was dressed in regular clothing?
A. Yes.
Q. He never raised his voice at you?
A. No.
Q. In fact, he wanted to return property to you?
A. Yes.
Q. And he did return property to you?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And that interaction was pretty brief?
A. Well, if you call brief about half an hour, maybe. Yes.
Q. He explained to you a little bit about what Roger was being charged with?
A. Yes.
Q. This is all the same day of the arrest?
A. Yes.
Q. You're still in shock?
A. Yes.
Q. And you're still very upset?
A. Of course.
Q. And you're wife even more so?
A. More.
Q. Then you don't have any more interactions, face-to-face interactions with Agent VanBrunt until it was either May, June
or -- either June or July of that same year when he spoke to you at your place of employment, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You may have seen him around in court, but you never had conversation with him between March 15, the day of the arrest, and the day he came to interview you at the VA, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And he never came to your house in that intervening period of time either --
A. No.
Q. -- as far as you know to speak to you?
A. Not when $I$ was there.
Q. Not when you were there. Right.

So just so you know, everything that I'm asking is based upon what you know based on what you have seen yourself or heard yourself, not on others. Okay?
A. Yes.
Q. And when he spoke to you at the VA, again he was dressed in plain clothing?
A. Yes.
Q. He didn't brandished a weapon? He didn't pull out a firearm?
A. No.
Q. He called you "Carlos"?
A. Yes.
Q. You called him "Roy"?
A. Yes.
Q. He never raised his voice at you?
A. No.
Q. And he was asking you a lot of questions about the business, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. The business being he was asking you a lot of questions about Brazil Express, right?
A. Which I don't know. I keep telling him I don't know, I don't know, $I$ don't know, $I$ don't know.
Q. You told him -- but that's what he was asking you about?
A. More or less, yes.
Q. Okay.
A. And also -- yes, business, the Rogerio business which I don't know.
Q. But, of course, as far as Roy knew you had applied to the FBI and said you did work there?
A. Well, yes. He --
Q. Yes, you did?
A. Yes.
Q. It wasn't out of the blue that he's asking you questions about Brazil Express, because he had evidence that you worked for Brazil Express, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And he's asking you about business records, right?
A. He did.
Q. And you answered however you answered the questions?
A. Which I can't remember.
Q. All right. He also asked you about a lease, whether you had leased your apartment, whether you had ever leased your apartment to Roger?
A. A lease to my apartment?
Q. Agent VanBrunt asked you about that. I'm just covering very briefly the topics.
A. I can't recall about that.
Q. Okay. But if --
A. If he did, I don't know.
Q. You don't remember. You're not saying it didn't happen.
A. He might ask me, but $I$ can't remember.
Q. But you can't remember. I have to slow down.

And he may have also asked you some personal questions about Roger Scotton, right?
A. He might.
Q. He might have asked you about other wives and wives that he had?
A. He might mention some names which $I$ don't know.

THE DEFENDANT: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?
THE DEFENDANT: This is beyond the scope.

THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule that.
BY MS. MITRANI:
Q. And then the interview ended. Before the interview ended Agent VanBrunt handed you a subpoena?
A. Yes.
Q. He told you there was a trial scheduled August 2012?
A. Yes.
Q. And that subpoena asked for records?
A. Yes.
Q. A whole bunch of records?
A. A bunch of records, yes.
Q. And then the interview ended?
A. But before that he keep telling me why don't you tell Roger to confess, to say whatever is supposed to be -- to confess to a crime, which I don't know what crime he was trying to tell me. When he tell me to -- now $I$ find out that he's been charged with mail fraud which I just read it, and I said, why? I don't know. I don't know if he is doing this or not. And then it ended.
Q. Now, are you sure that Agent VanBrunt told you to tell -what are you saying? Are you saying -- strike that.

Are you saying that Agent VanBrunt directed you, told you to tell Roger Scotton to confess?
A. He just ask me to tell him -- he just -- why don't you tell Roger to confess, to tell to tell the truth and say that he did
it.
Q. Is it possible that Agent VanBrunt told you I would advise you, I suggest that you tell Roger to tell the truth?
A. He didn't.
Q. Does that sound right?
A. He didn't mention --
Q. Did he tell you Roger should tell the truth?
A. He might tell me that. But he didn't say --
Q. Right. But he didn't say -THE DEFENDANT: I object, Your Honor.

BY MS. MITRANI:
Q. He just said that Roger should tell the truth, correct? THE COURT: Hold on. What's the objection? THE DEFENDANT: She tried to put words in Mr. Colon's mouth. She tried to change his mind.

THE COURT: It's a leading question that is
appropriate on cross-examination. Would you restate the question?

BY MS. MITRANI:
Q. Now, your memory, as you indicated earlier, is not a hundred percent, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. It's not like a little tape recorder where you can say every single word -- you've got to let me finish -- every single word that Agent VanBrunt said and every single word that
you said?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. Do you remember Agent VanBrunt telling you that he would advise or he suggests that your stepson just tell the truth, correct? That's what he said?
A. That he might say that, but I don't remember he saying that.
Q. He never told you to tell Roger to confess. What he told you was to tell Roger to be truthful. And then you took that to mean he should confess because you think that's what the FBI wants, right?
A. I guess so.
Q. You think that the FBI likes confessions, right?
A. Definitely.
Q. You think that's something important to the FBI?
A. If he mention it that's what he want.
Q. But maybe he didn't mention the word "confess." Maybe he mentioned the words "be truthful" and in your mind you thought, oh, I want him to confess. Is that possible?
A. It could be. Yes.
Q. So that's back in the summer, $I$ think in June, and it may have been June or July, $I$ don't -- that date doesn't matter. In the summer of 2012 you had that meeting and got served with the subpoena, correct, to testify in Miami before Judge Williams in August of 2012 , correct?
A. I believe it was correct. Yes.
Q. And then you found out that the case had been transferred from Miami to Fort Lauderdale to Judge Rosenbaum?
A. It was suspended.

THE DEFENDANT: Objection, Your Honor.

BY MS. MITRANI:
Q. You found out it was transferred?

THE COURT: Hold on a second. What was the objection?
THE DEFENDANT: Beyond the scope, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I think she's just asking questions which are within the purview of the scope. so go ahead. You may ask the question and get an answer.

BY MS. MITRANI:
Q. You found that the case was continued, it was not going to be in Fort Lauderdale?
A. That it was canceled, yes.
Q. The trial was canceled and then it was moved to Fort Lauderdale?
A. Yes.

THE DEFENDANT: It's irrelevant, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule that. I believe she is leading up to her next question.

BY MS. MITRANI:
Q. And then you don't have any other interactions with Special Agent VanBrunt until August of 2013 when he served you with
another trial subpoena, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. So about a year or maybe even more he didn't knock on your door - -
A. No.
Q. -- that you saw, correct?
A. Not that I saw.
Q. He didn't call you?
A. No.
Q. He didn't come to your work?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Then in August of 2013 he served you with a subpoena for trial August 26 , correct?
A. I believe that's correct.
Q. And he told you that he -- if you had the documents that were responsive, you were required to bring them to court on Monday morning, August 26, correct?
A. Either that or call him and give it to him before, before the trial, and which I don't have any records. I show up in court and he meet me at the door, and he said it has been canceled.
Q. Okay.
A. And he wrote on a piece of paper, on the same subpoena, for my supervisor, the judge will call again for this case, whatever. I can't remember the words, but he wrote on the same
subpoena to show it to my supervisor because I might be called again, which never happened.
Q. Okay. So he serves a subpoena, he gave you the option of calling him and not appearing on the 26 th or appearing on the 26th, right? He said you can call him also?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you did come as you were required by your subpoena? You did come Monday, August 26 , correct?
A. I saw him in the -- I went to the court.
Q. Right. You went to the courthouse and Agent VanBrunt met you there?
A. In the front door. In the lobby. Just before entrance to the building. And he said the trial has been canceled. And he wrote in the same subpoena for my supervisor because it was canceled that $I$ might be called again for that trial.
Q. Because of your concern for your job about missing time coming to court hearings?
A. Because -- yes, and I'm not in the freedom of saying, hey, I got to go. It's not my job. I'm responsible to some other people. It's not just me.
Q. I understand. And that's part of your concern, and you're testifying about before that you're concerned about your job because it seems that you're getting subpoenas, you got two subpoenas from Agent VanBrunt, and you got another subpoena from the United States marshal, correct?
A. Now I did.
Q. Right.
A. Which I did -- which my wife also receive.
Q. And when Agent VanBrunt met you at the courthouse to tell the trial had been canceled, he was respectful, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. He was courteous?
A. Yes.
Q. And he didn't engage you in a conversation? He didn't try to interview you or anything of that nature?
A. No.
Q. It was a brief interaction?
A. As soon as -- yeah, it was a matter of $I$ saw him and $I$ give him the subpoena and he just wrote on the piece of paper and I walk away.
Q. And he hasn't served you with any papers since then, since August of 2013?
A. No.
Q. And you haven't had any conversations with him since

August 2013?
A. No.
Q. He hasn't come to your house when you've been there since August of 2013?
A. No.
Q. Now, in October, October 18, 2013, your wife told you that
three FBI agents had come to the house, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. She told you that they had come there at a time when you were at work?
A. That's correct.
Q. And your wife told you that that $F B I$ agent, special Agent Kaelin wanted to speak with you, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you called him back that very evening, correct?
A. Maybe the next day.
Q. Okay. Either that evening, Friday evening, or the next day Saturday; is that right?
A. I think it was Thursday that he went. Was it Thursday? I think $I$ call him -- $I$ call him Monday.
Q. You met with him on a Monday, correct?
A. Monday, yes.
Q. You didn't meet with him the same day he came to your house?
A. No.
Q. So if $I$ represent to you that the first interaction was on
a Friday, October 18, and then you met with him in the car on Monday, October 21, you have no reason to doubt that, correct, sir?
A. That is correct.
Q. All right. And then Agent Special Agent Kaelin told you
on -- when you spoke to him that Friday evening or that Saturday, he wanted to speak with you and then he would return to your house on Monday after you got home from work, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So you were expecting him?
A. Yes.
Q. It wasn't a surprise when he returned on Monday?
A. Yes.
Q. And when he returned on Monday, he was dressed in plain clothing, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. He didn't have like a bulletproof vest or like army gear or SWAT gear or anything like that?
A. His partner was.
Q. I'm talking about Special Agent Kaelin.
A. No.
Q. His partner had a shirt on but didn't have a vest, bulletproof vest on?
A. It was camouflage.
Q. Nobody showed you a gun?
A. No. They did have them, but --
Q. You saw the gun because they're the FBI?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. But you didn't see a gun?
A. They didn't point it at me, no.
Q. You didn't see a gun?
A. No.
Q. Special Agent Kaelin, he never yelled at you?
A. No.
Q. He said he wanted to speak to you when he came to the door?
A. Yes.
Q. He said he -- it was a private matter so he asked you to go to the car, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you agreed to go to the car and speak with him?
A. Yes.
Q. And then the two of you went to his car which was parked in front of your --

THE DEFENDANT: Objection, Your Honor.
BY MS. MITRANI:
Q. -- where you lived, your residence?
A. Yes.

THE COURT: Hold on. Mr. Scotton, what's your objection?

THE DEFENDANT: Beyond the scope, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I'll overrule that. This is precisely the cross-examination of what you brought up in direct. I will overrule that.

BY MS. MITRANI:
Q. And you voluntarily went with him?
A. Yes.
Q. And you sat in his car, right?
A. Yes.
Q. He didn't drive it anywhere?
A. No.
Q. So you weren't going in circles? He wasn't trying to confuse you or anything like that?
A. No.
Q. He said he wanted to speak to you about an investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And you sat with him in the front seat?
A. Yes.
Q. And the other agent sat in the back seat?
A. Yes.
Q. And then he started asking you some questions?
A. Yes.
Q. And I assume you felt pretty nervous, right?
A. Yes.
Q. You never want to interact with the $F B I$ and now it seems that you've had a couple different ones, right?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Special Agent Kaelin acted professionally, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. He spoke in a calm voice?
A. Yes.
Q. And one of the things he asked you about, he asked you about an e-mail that you had sent on May 14, 2013, to a Pamela, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. The e-mail that you were discussing when Roger was asking you questions is the e-mail we're talking about, the May 14 --
A. Yes.
Q. -- e-mail from you, Carlos Manuel Colon Baez, to Pamela at a Gmail address, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And in that e-mail to Pamela you mentioned Agent VanBrunt's wife by name, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And how did you find out Agent VanBrunt's wife's name?
A. On the internet.
Q. On the internet? Why would you find out her name?
A. I just went into the internet and started looking for names, and $I$ find his name and his wife name.
Q. That's how you found it. But why? Why did you have to find out the agent's wife's name?
A. Oh, she just asked me for.
Q. Who is "she"?
A. Pamela.
Q. Pamela, the stranger you met at FDC?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know why she couldn't access the internet and find it herself?
A. I have no idea.
Q. You had only known her a very shored period of time?
A. Yes.
Q. You just on your own decided to find Agent VanBrunt's wife's name on the internet for this stranger, correct?
A. She just told me she may be the person who do her hair on a beauty parlor that she visit in Miami and she wanted to know if it was the same person.
Q. So if Pamela wanted to know if this was the same person, why couldn't Pamela ask the hairdresser herself?
A. Ask her.
Q. All right. Then Special Agent Kaelin then discussed some other matters with you concerning this e-mail and threats, right?
A. Whatever investigation that was happening.
Q. He mentioned something about threats because you said something about threats, right?
A. Yes.
Q. When you asked for an attorney or said you wanted to speak to an attorney at the end of the conversation, you didn't ask for an attorney?
A. No.
Q. All right. But this whole conversation ended -- it lasted approximately a half an hour, correct?
A. More or less.
Q. And when you wanted it to end, it ended?
A. When I tell him that $I$ did spoke to an attorney already concerning this conversation, the attorney suggests or recommend me not to do sign any statement and not to sign any papers, not to sign any papers, and as soon as I tell him know that, he shut the tape recorder off, because he was recording the conversation, and $I$ just walk away.
Q. He didn't lock you in the car or force you to speak or anything like that?
A. No.
Q. And did Special Agent Kaelin tell you that you had exposure in this matter? Do you understand what I mean by that?
A. No.
Q. Strike the question.

Did Special Agent Kaelin tell you that maybe you might be in trouble in terms of what he was investigating?
A. No.
Q. He never told you that?
A. No, but the lawyer did.
Q. The lawyer did. And so is that a reason why you're scared that the FBI might arrest you?
A. Yes.
Q. And you mentioned in your direct that you're looking over your shoulders because you're scared that the FBI might arrest you.
A. Yes.
Q. The FBI never told you it was going to arrest you, correct?
A. No.
Q. The FBI never told you it was going to arrest you if you didn't cooperate with the government, correct?
A. No.
Q. You're concerned that the $F B I$ might arrest you because you had this interaction in October with Special Agent Kaelin, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And because he showed you this e-mail that has your name on it, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. That has nothing to do with -- strike that.

The FBI has never told you you can't travel?
A. No.
Q. The FBI never told you you can't go anywhere?
A. No.
Q. The FBI never told you you can't go about living your life as you always have?
A. No.
Q. Maybe you feel like you can't because Roger is in jail,
correct?
A. In a way, yes.
Q. And maybe you feel like you can't because now special Agent Kaelin has come -- has spoken to you, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But nobody has ever threatened you and told you if you don't do something, then you're going to get arrested?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you've never been handcuffed, correct?
A. No.
Q. All right. Special Agent VanBrunt never told you you had to testify in trial, correct?
A. No. Not yet. No.
Q. All right.

MS. MITRANI: Your Honor, can $I$ have just a few minutes?

THE COURT: Sure. I just want to ask one question.
The e-mail sent to Pamela, did that contain an address of the agent?

MS. MITRANI: It contained the agent's name and his wife's name.

THE COURT: But not an address?

MS. MITRANI: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Sure. Take a minute.
Yes, Ms. Mitrani.

BY MS. MITRANI:
Q. Mr. Colon, thank you for your responses.

MS. MITRANI: No further questions.
THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Mitrani.
Mr. Scotton, you have a right to redirect examination of Mr. Colon if you would like limited to the areas that were covered by the prosecutor on cross-examination. Do you wish to ask any questions on redirect?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. So the prosecutor asked you if you ever been intimidated, and the document that you sent to the court pretty much you say that you've been intimidated. But are you confused because of medications or you felt you are intimidated or not intimidated?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor. He's leading the witness in a sensitive area. The witness has given his responses.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, let me go ahead and submit this to the Court. This is all of the medication that Mr. Colon take on a daily basis. So $I$ want to submit that for the Court.

THE COURT: To what purpose, Mr. Scotton?
THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, you can see he's having
problems remembering things.

THE COURT: Let me ask you, Mr. Colon, you've been answering questions very well today, are you clearheaded and able to proceed?

THE WITNESS: If I don't remember, I will tell you I don't remember.

THE COURT: Right. If any witness doesn't remember,
they can tell you they don't remember. But are you clearheaded and ready to finish up your testimony?

THE WITNESS: I been taking medication all, all day long, but it is like so far if you ask me something that $I$ remember, I will tell you.

THE COURT: All right. And the medication that you're
taking, it doesn't affect your mental faculties, does it?

THE WITNESS: It will -- sometimes it does.
THE COURT: All right. How about today; is it
affecting your mental faculties at all?
THE WITNESS: It might. I don't know.

THE COURT: If you don't mind, if you wish to disclose it you can, what type of medication do you take?

THE WITNESS: Oh. I don't even remember the name, but they're different names. But I'm taking medication for my bipolar disorder and $I$ get anxious sometimes. I get depressed. And it could be either way.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scotton, yes, sir.

THE DEFENDANT: I have the paper here with all of his medication if the Court would like to see it.

THE COURT: All right. Have you shown that to the prosecutor?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Pardon? Show it to the prosecutor.
MS. MITRANI: Thanks.

THE COURT: And do you wish to introduce that as an exhibit?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: After the government reviews it they can give me their position on whether they object or not to Mr. Scotton introducing the list of medications Mr. Colon is on as an exhibit.

Any objection?

MS. MITRANI: No, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Colon, do you have any objection to your personal medicines being introduced in this case?

THE WITNESS: I show it to him not to present to the Court. But now that it's being presented to the Court, it's to your knowledge, which some of the medications are -- it could be interacting with my psychiatry medication. They might be doing a different affect.

THE COURT: You said you take medications for a
bipolar problem?

THE WITNESS: Yes, the last two on the list.

THE COURT: I'll tell you what I'll do. I'll go ahead and admit this as Defense Exhibit 1 at this hearing, but what I'll do is order that it be filed under seal to protect the privacy rights of Mr. Colon.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: You're welcome sir.
(Received in evidence Defendant's Exhibit(s) 1.)

THE COURT: I don't know if there was a question pending or what your next question is, but whatever questions you have you can proceed.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. You mentioned several times, and also $I$ can see you mentioned $F B I$ come to the house flashing badge on your face, have a handcuffs, having guns. Prosecutor asked the question you say they don't have any guns or any handcuffs, they never flash any badges. I mean, I'm trying to understand here because it seems to be you give completely different answer to the prosecutor. Which one is it?
A. Not when I'm home. They might do it when your mother was home, but not when I'm home. Don't forget $I$ work all day, then I go to school.
Q. I'm just going to go through what you wrote to the court.
A. Yes.
Q. That's what I'm trying to understand here. But you feeling threat. That's what $I$ keep hearing over and over again. THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, isn't that affidavit you're referring to signed by both Mr. Colon and your mother as well? Mr. Scotton, isn't that affidavit you're referring to that you filed previously that you said you didn't have a copy of earlier to me?

THE DEFENDANT: I just got it from Jason just now.
THE COURT: I see. Let me ask you a question. Isn't
that affidavit signed by both Mr. Colon and -- what's your mother's name?

THE DEFENDANT: Marina.

THE COURT: Maria what?

THE DEFENDANT: Marina Colon.

THE COURT: Isn't it signed by your mother Marina and
also Mr. Colon?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Colon has answered and has stated that at no time was he threatened. Now, it is possible that maybe your mother felt that way or maybe your mother wrote that. However, Mr. Colon has clearly stated here that he hasn't felt threatened, that nobody put guns to him or flashed badges with him. If you have any follow-up questions, you can. But you have to understand that's an affidavit signed by two people. You only have one person on the stand here.

## BY THE DEFENDANT:

Q. Mr. Colon, you want to take a look at the affidavit? That might bring some recollection what you wrote.

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor. He's answered the question. There hasn't been any memory failure with respect to his interactions with the $F B I$.

THE COURT: Mr. Colon, do you have any reason to believe that reviewing the affidavit would in any way refresh your memory or is your memory as good as it is going to be? THE WITNESS: I got the affidavit right here in front of me, and the way $I$ feel threatened is when they go to my house and threaten my wife's safety or health the way unfortunately it happened the day the three agents went and knocked at the door. She was concerned. That's why she calling me more. Anxious and concerned about the way they did it. She is a sick person. It's not feeling -- is not well and with all of the health conditions that she have she was telling me maybe over expressing herself.

THE COURT: But you weren't present for that?

THE WITNESS: I wasn't present for that.

THE COURT: This is what she told you?

THE WITNESS: This is what she told me. And I feel threatened when this person, when this agent was telling me about this plot. Because when I asked my lawyer, like I said, before, the FBI could still arrest me for whatever reason they
have.

THE COURT: Right. That's what your lawyer told you. THE WITNESS: That's what my lawyer told me. THE COURT: I understand.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, but this is what is happening.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scotton, next question. BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. And the only point or so you made today is you been followed. I mean, wherever you guys going, you guys being followed by the FBI agents?
A. That's what my wife said.
Q. I'm asking you, Mr. Colon. I'm not asking about your wife.
A. I been feeling followed all the time. I don't know if it is the $F B I$ or who is it or who is it, but $I$ been being followed all the time. I keep my -- looking over my shoulder and speeding sometimes and go routes, different routes all of the time to wherever $I$ go because that's the way $I$ feel.
Q. Did you believe that the Agent VanBrunt obsessed with this case because $I$ used to date his wife?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor.
THE WITNESS: I don't know.

THE COURT: He answered he doesn't know.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. The bottom line here, confirm for me if you feel threat or if you're feeling threat by this Agent VanBrunt. Are you feeling threat by Agent VanBrunt? Are you intimidated by Agent VanBrunt?
A. No.
Q. You're don't feel -- so you just file affidavit for no reason when you -- after you say you feeling intimidated by Agent VanBrunt, but now you say that you're not feeling threat by him?
A. Not by him because he didn't accuse me have doing nothing. It was the other FBI agent --
Q. Mr. Colon --
A. -- who was accusing me of doing something wrong.
Q. You mentioned that you feel threat by the agent when he told you if $I$ don't plead guilty, you're going to have a problem. What is the reason to make that statement? Did you fell threat when he told you if $I$ don't plead guilty, the whole family going to have a problem?

MS. MITRANI: Objection. Asked and answered, and he's recharacterizing and recasting the witness' testimony. THE COURT: I will sustain the objection. I think that's an improper question. I think that was what his testimony was.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Just confirm here the day that he went to the house, 7 o'clock in the nighttime, right?
A. Who?
Q. After my arrest.
A. The day you was arrested?
Q. Yes.
A. It was about $6: 30,7,7$ o'clock.
Q. Prosecutor mentioned he has a suit on, that he was dressed with a suit?
A. I can't remember. It might was.
Q. And he delivered to you my wallet and cell phone?
A. On that day, no. It was -- it wasn't the wallet and cell phone. It was a belt $I$ think it was. Was it?
Q. I don't know. You tell me. Because prosecutor say that the belt and other items has been give to you at 10 o'clock in the morning they return to you my car.
A. Now I got confused. I know I got a list of the stuff that I got when $I$ get the car, then he came back and at nighttime and give me something else. I can't remember if it was a belt or a hat or -- I don't think it was a phone.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, can $I$ show this two IDs to Mr. Colon to make him remember things?

THE COURT: Well, you can't make him remember anything, but what is it that you want to show him?

THE DEFENDANT: I just wanted to refresh his recollection. These are two IDs that was brought out together, my cell phone and my wallet, to the house that day.

THE COURT: Ms. Mitrani?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor. He's not indicating that he doesn't remember. Relevance as to the scope of the hearing. Now we're talking about what property he got when.

THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, you can have those shown to Mr. Colon. If the CSO or marshal will hand them up to Mr. Colon. And those are, you said, your identifications, Mr. Scotton?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, two different IDs. My army ID.
THE COURT: All right. What's your question?

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Do you remember that now, that was delivered to you by Agent VanBrunt that day?
A. It was?
Q. Right.
A. I can't remember if $I$ can say that -- $I$ can say they were. I might -- he might give it to me. I can't remember.
Q. The same day $I$ ask you if you went to the car if you remember getting my watch out of the glove compartment.
A. Which one? The Citizen or the Rolex?
Q. The Rolex.
A. No, I didn't find no Rolex.
Q. There was no Rolex in the glove compartment?
A. There was no Rolex. I got a Citizen's watch.
Q. My passport was not in the glove compartment either?
A. No, it was not.
Q. And we understand the FBI took the passport out of the glove compartment?
A. I guess.
Q. One more last question.

Do you feeling threat losing your job by all this situation?
A. Not really. I feel more threat with the stuff that the Agent Kaelin was telling me, than what Roy been telling me, about losing my job. Now, if they continue going to the job like the way he did that day, maybe my supervisor start getting to me and tell me, you know, take it outside or take it someplace else, but not at this time.
Q. Did you think it is, it is -- okay. Let me try to make you understand this question here.

The prosecutor mention that we shouldn't be bothering Carla Filini and Renata, but --

THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't get the question at
all. What was the question?

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. The prosecutor just mentioned that we should not be, you
know, intimidating Carla Filini and Renata, my ex-girlfriend and my ex-wife.

THE COURT: But that dealt with a motion that has nothing to do with this witness' testimony. So I'm going to -Does the government have an objection?

MS. MITRANI: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I will sustain the objection. That has nothing to do with the testimony in this matter and has nothing to do with the cross-examination that Ms. Mitrani made of Mr. Colon. So it's outside of the scope, and I'll sustain that objection.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I have no more questions for Carlos Colon.

THE COURT: I have one question.

Did you ever see any FBI agent holding this alleged Rolex of Mr. Scotton?

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: Did you ever see any government agent, FBI agent take an alleged Rolex out of the glove compartment of the car?

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: All right. Any follow-up questions on
that, Ms. Mitrani or Mr. Scotton?

MS. MITRANI: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: None from Mr. Scotton?

Okay. Mr. Colon, you can have a seat. Thank you very much for your testimony, sir.

THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Thank you.
(Witness was excused.)

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scotton, do you have
another witness that you would like to call?
THE DEFENDANT: I would like to call VanBrunt.

THE COURT: Agent VanBrunt?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Would you please take the stand.

ROY VANBRUNT, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please state your full name and
spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Roy VanBrunt,
$V-A-N-B-R-U-N-T$.

THE COURT: Agent, where are you employed?
THE WITNESS: I'm a special agent with the Federal

Bureau of Investigation assigned to the Miami Field Office.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

Mr. Scotton, you may proceed.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Agent VanBrunt, the day on arrest when they returned the car, prosecution mention they return everything back to carlos and you returned some items personal to my family.
A. Correct.
Q. Do you have a list of those items?
A. I do not.
Q. You don't have that? You don't recall what is the items was?
A. I don't have the list with me. My recollection is that everything was returned to Mr. Colon either at the time that he received the Infinity SUV or when $I$ drove all the way back up to Margate to meet him in the evening and $I$ returned everything in $F B I$ custody but for your passport, a police identification, and $I$ believe you were still wearing a pair of shoes and a belt that $I$ subsequently returned to his, at the time, attorney Mr. John Howe at his initial appearance the following day. THE COURT: Mr. John Howe? THE WITNESS: Yes. $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{E}$. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. MS. MITRANI: That was the first attorney in this case.

THE COURT: The first attorney in this case? Okay. BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. So you don't remember what the items that were returned back to the house that night?
A. I remember that I returned I believe your wallet which contained several credit cards. I believe you had some type of immigration identification in there. There were $I$ believe
either cell phones or cell phone batteries, and there may have also been what $I$ would describe as a flash drive or an external hard drive that you would plug into a computer. That's my recollection, but $I$ don't have the property receipts here.
Q. You remember those IDs also being delivered together with the wallet?
A. They could have very well been, yes.
Q. This was inside the so-called police badge, right?
A. I don't know if they were in the police badge or not.
Q. Is any particular reason why you didn't left the property on the Broward Jail? I ask you to leave my property over there in the county jail. They say you need to take with yourself.
A. Which property are you referring to?
Q. My wallet, my so-called police badge.
A. My recollection is that $I$ asked you if you wanted me to release or provide all of your credit cards and your wallet and whatever other property you had to the Broward Jail in light of the fact that the next morning myself and another $F B I$ agent would have to return, take custody of Mr. Scotton, transport him to the marshals in Fort Lauderdale for his initial appearance. My recollection is that $I$ told you if you wanted, I could take your personal property, drive it up to Margate to your stepfather's house, and return it to him and avoid the possibility of providing your valuables to the Broward County Jail and then have to reacquire them in the morning for you to
be processed. My recollection is that you requested that $I$ drive them up to Carlos.
Q. So I requested you to drive them to Carlos?
A. Correct.
Q. Right. And then Carlos come later on back to Broward County Jail to come pick up my clothing?
A. I have no idea if Carlos returned to Broward County Jail. I know that I drove up to Margate the evening of your arrest and --
Q. This is --

THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, let the witness answer the question.

THE DEFENDANT: I thought he finished.
THE COURT: Let me talk before you interrupt me. And let the witness answer the question before you interrupt the witness. Okay?

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
THE COURT: Were you answering the question?
THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I drove up to Margate and returned all of property except for the belt that you were wearing and the shoes that you were wearing. The next morning when myself and another agent returned to the Broward Jail to take custody of you, you had been $I$ believe given a pair of flip-flops and were
no longer wearing your belt, and those were the two pieces of property that we returned, that $I$ returned to Mr. John Howe, Mr. Scotton's temporary attorney at the initial appearance. I don't know if Mr. Colon ever traveled.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead with your next question.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Let me get this straight. When you came to Broward to pick me up, I'm already in the blue so you ask for the Broward people to get my property and return to the lawyer?
A. My recollection is that when I presented myself with another agent and told them I needed to transport you to the US Marshals for your initial appearance, they brought you down and I believe you had a brown paper bag which contained your shoes and $I$ believe a belt.
Q. So they release to you without my authorization my property to you?
A. I don't know if it was with your authorization or not or if that's part of the standard procedures that when a federal prisoner is picked up in the morning for their initial appearance, all of their property is released to federal officers taking custody of them.
Q. Is it normal proceed of the $F B I$ to go delivery property of, you know, of the arrest people they house?
A. No, I did it as a courtesy to keep Mr. Colon from having to
travel all the way from Margate, Florida, to North Miami Beach. Q. You don't recall a Rolex inside of the glove compartment when you did illegal search my car, do you?

THE COURT: I didn't hear what the question was.
THE DEFENDANT: If they recall any Rolex in the glove compartment when they did the illegal search of my car.

THE COURT: Okay. Whether or not the search was legal or illegal is for a Court to decide. If you would like to ask the question in a different manner, you can ask the question. BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Did you find any Rolex in the car?
A. No.
Q. You don't have any recollection of any watch sitting in the glove compartment, any broken watch?
A. No.
Q. So you delivered the cell phone to Carlos on that night with my wallet and some IDs?
A. Yes.
Q. Right. Prosecutor mentioned here that you guys delivered two cell phones to Carlos. 10 o'clock in the morning when you guys delivered. So it was two cell phones? So how come you deliver two cell phones 10 o'clock in the morning and 7 o'clock in the nighttime you come back to the house with another cell phone?
A. My recollection is that $I$ asked Mr. Scotton if he thought
it might be helpful to have the cell phones that he normally uses in case he needed to contact someone of his family or contact someone else. I asked Mr. Scotton if he would like, we can make arrangements to bring the one or two phones that he thought most of his contact numbers would be in to the FBI office. In the course of his processing he asked that he be allowed to bring them. In the course of my processing of Mr. Scotton your phone rang several times. I allowed you to make phone calls from that, including a call to Renata requesting that she check on the condition of your mother. I also had you -- gave you permission to accept the phone call from someone who ultimately ended up being from Mr. John Howe's office.

So again, $I$ in my discretion brought the phones with me as a courtesy and also to facilitate the processing as far as we ask what's the contact number for your next of kin, who is this person's address, things like that. So I asked you if you wanted to bring those as a courtesy.
Q. That's not the question I ask. The question I'm asking you is that prosecutor say that you guys return my car to my step-dad with all these items and two cellphones; that's correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And then we have another phone somewhere. So there are three phones altogether?
A. My recollection is there may have been a fourth phone. Q. Fourth phone. Do you have any picture of those four phones? Can you prove there was a fourth phone?
A. I have no pictures of your phones.
Q. You don't? So you go back to the house with one phone, prosecutor returned two phones. I mean, I have three phones altogether.

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor. Now we're well beyond the scope of any matter before this court now.

THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, you can ask questions of the witness, but no arguing with the witness. So whatever his testimony is, it is. And you can proceed with your next question.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm trying to understand the follow-up of all of the reports, Your Honor, because he went to the house. I want to understand the reason he went to the house to return some property that should be on Broward County Jail property. If the phone has been delivered to carlos colon at 10 o'clock, so the agent has no business returning another phone that doesn't even exist. Yes, he has the phone during the interview with me, but now I got three phones. I never have three phones. I have two phones. So I'm trying to understand this whole visitation on 7 o'clock in the nighttime to bringing a phone to the house and they claim they already delivered two phones to Carlos at 10 o'clock in the morning.

THE COURT: All right. I think he's answered it. But if you would like to ask a question directly to try and clarify, you can. I think he answered that there may have been up to four phones. You may disagree with that, but that's the witness' testimony at this point. BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Has the -- have you been monitoring my e-mails and phone from jail?
A. I have not listened to any phone calls or e-mail communications from you during your incarceration.
Q. Okay. My mother went to a graphic store to get something print out. Later on you show up in the same place asking people questions. You recollect that?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance, beyond the scope of the limited issues. He is asking about the agent's conversation with third parties, not with his mother.

THE COURT: I'll give him a little bit of leeway if you can answer the question. I'll overrule the objection.

THE WITNESS: Could you please repeat the question? BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. You follow my mother into the store. So is there any particular reason why she's being followed wherever she going?
A. I've never followed your mother.
Q. Then how you know that she went to the store?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor. It's
investigative privilege.

THE COURT: I will sustain that. Your testimony is you never followed the mother.

THE WITNESS: Correct. I've never either followed Carlos or Marina or requested a surveillance unit follow them.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Scotton. I
think that clears up that issue.
BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Isn't a tracking device put in my mother's car by the FBI?
A. Not to my knowledge. I have never requested such a device be placed on Carlos Colon's vehicle or any property of your parents.
Q. It is. All my family members being intimidated by you. Any reason why? Friends, family?
A. I don't understand the question.
Q. Whatever my mother go, whatever people try to help my mother with the situation, people seems to come to the house to help. The next time, no, they call them, say that you be trying to intimidate them. Have you been intimidating other people besides my family?
A. I don't believe $I$ have intimidated anyone that I've interacted with in this case.
Q. Do you think it's fun to go ahead and intimidate my mom at my house? You got any pleasure have my mom on the situation that you put her through a month ago?
A. A month ago?
Q. A month ago after arrest. You went to the house. You scared my mom. The bag has blowout. She is covered in -- Your Honor, I don't know even know how to put this -- po-po, whatever it is you want to call. Did you find yourself enjoying that kind of thing? That's the kind of behave the FBI trained you for?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I will overrule it. I think you can answer the question, agent.

THE WITNESS: The only time that I've been -- the only interactions I've had with your mother were when $I$ served her with a subpoena, and both times our interactions were short, direct, and what $I$ considered to be professional in light of the anxiety that $I$ anticipated she would have by my appearance. BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. How -- is there any other agents follow my mom? How do you guys know where they're going if you don't listen to my conversation on the phone?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor. There's no basis for this allegation.

THE DEFENDANT: Intimidation, Your Honor. I'm trying to get to the point here.

MS. MITRANI: Pure speculation.
THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. At this point
there is no evidence, Mr. Scotton, that anybody is following your family or listening to your phone calls.

MS. MITRANI: With respect to this investigation. THE COURT: With respect to this investigation.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Any other investigation, any reason why she is being followed?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor. Agent VanBrunt testified he is not following his mother.

THE COURT: If there was another investigation, Mr. Scotton, there would be other agents involved and Agent VanBrunt could not testify as to that. Sustained. BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. So you come to the house, you drop some items, you don't recollect what the items is. So with all of the technology today, no picture has been take. You didn't make any other reports say what was the items delivered to my mother's house? MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor. That's not what the witness stated.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: I completed a property receipt which documents the items that were returned to Carlos Colon later on the evening of the day that you were arrested, and $I$ don't have that property receipt in front of me so $I$ don't recall with specificity what it was that was returned from the evening when

I met Carlos.

THE COURT: Ms. Mitrani, was the property receipt produced in discovery to Mr. Scotton?

MS. MITRANI: I'm sure it was, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Agent, that property receipt would list that property that was returned?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor, and the Bates prefix on that document would be FBI.

MS. MITRANI: Your Honor, as I recall, there were at least three, there may have been more, at least three property receipts dealing with the events of March 15 as there was an interaction between the agent and the defendant, the agent and the father, and another set of agents and the father.

THE COURT: All right. Just for purposes of this hearing, is there any $F B I$ property receipt form dealing with a alleged Rolex watch?

THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Scotton, if you have any more questions.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. So if you're not following my mother, what is the reason for you to go to the Brazilian store?
A. The reason why I went to Brazilian store is I was contacted by a witness who provided me information regarding efforts to
generate invoices in the name of a company named Brazil, Brazil Express, or Brazil Imports USA Express. I don't remember the name. But $I$ was advised that your mother went to a Brazilian print shop up near Deerfield or Pompano in order to acquire these invoices. So $I$ took it upon myself to contact multiple print shops in the area, identify myself, and try to gather information on whether any invoices in the name of Osvani Duarte or the company, whose name $I$ don't recall, were actually generated. After contacting numerous print shops in the area $I$ located the shop where your mother went into and ordered the invoices.
Q. Who is this person?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Sustained.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. If she is not being followed by you, someone is contacting out of the blue to say that my mother is going to Publix grocery store, to anyplace. So what's the reason --

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. -- for following my mother --

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Sustained.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. -- everything, my wife?

THE COURT: Is that a question, Mr. Scotton?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it's a question.

THE COURT: What is the question?

THE DEFENDANT: If he has intimidate my wife?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor. Vague and
misleading.

MR. KREISS: By name.

THE DEFENDANT: By name?

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Eileen Molliendo.

THE COURT: How do you spell that?

THE DEFENDANT: Eileen Molliendo, M-O-L-L-I-N-E-D-O.

THE COURT: All right. Agent, did you intimidate that
person?
THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead with your next question, Mr. Scotton.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. But you haven't seen her on July of this year?

MS. MITRANI: I didn't understand.
BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. You haven't seen Eileen this year?

THE COURT: I still don't understand the question.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Agent, did you went to see my wife this year?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor. It's beyond the scope of this hearing.

THE COURT: That's sustained.
THE DEFENDANT: This goes to intimidation, Your Honor.
THE COURT: It doesn't fall within the scope of the evidentiary hearing that I've set.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I need one minute.
THE COURT: Sure.
(Defendant and standby counsel conferring sotto voce.) THE COURT: All right. Ready to proceed, Mr. Scotton? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. The prosecutor mention about this e-mail potential sent to Mrs. Pamela, whatever her name is. Who sent the e-mail?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor. That e-mail has nothing to do with this agent.

THE COURT: What was the question?
MS. MITRANI: He's talking about an e-mail that the other agent inquired of Mr . Colon that the defendant raised in his direct. The government did not raise it.

THE DEFENDANT: It goes about -- shows about they
spying. They been spying on Ms. Colon's e-mail.
THE COURT: What was the question?

## BY THE DEFENDANT:

Q. How they know that Ms. Colon sent the e-mail to Pamela? THE COURT: Was that part of your investigation, agent?

THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor. I saw the e-mail for
the first time about 20 minutes before court today.
THE COURT: All right. The objection is sustained.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Is there another agent involved in this case besides you?
A. Are you referring to the mail fraud case, false statement case?
Q. Yes.
A. No.
Q. Is anybody else besides from your office listening to my conversation or look at my e-mails from FDC?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor. He can only
speak to what Special Agent VanBrunt is doing in this investigation.

THE COURT: That's sustained. He can only testify about what he knows and what he's doing, sir. He can't testify about what anybody else in an organization the size of the FBI is doing.

MS. MITRANI: And if anybody in the FBI is doing anything else, I don't know. But that would be covered by investigative privilege.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Do you know any other agents listening to my calls?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor, with respect to this case that Agent VanBrunt's already testified that he is not listening to the defendant's phone calls.

THE DEFENDANT: That's not the question, Your Honor.
I asked if other agents listen to the calls.

THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, I don't know if there's another investigation going on perhaps into the allegation that -- about the colon e-mail. If there is, that's a separate investigation.

But as to the mail fraud, wire fraud, or the false statement, mail fraud case, are you, agent, listening to Mr. Scotton's phone calls or spying on his e-mail?

THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you directing anybody else to do so?
THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scotton, that answers the question.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay, Your Honor. Then I asked the question, but then the question is not answered then. When I specifically recall my mother from FDC and told her $I$ had to try to get a copy of this receipt on this graph shop, someone out of the blue just follow my mom for no reason and advised
the FBI to go over there.

THE COURT: There's no evidence of that. So if you want to - -

THE DEFENDANT: He just made the statement, Your
Honor, that someone call him to let him know my mother went to the graph shop.

THE COURT: That doesn't mean he followed her and spied on her, sir. You need to ask a direct question, and the agent will give you a direct answer. If he doesn't, I'll make him do so.

THE DEFENDANT: He is not explaining if somebody else is spying related to this case.

THE COURT: I've heard no evidence of any spying in this case at all. If you want to ask any further questions, you can go ahead.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm trying to understand before $I$ ask the question because $I$ asked him the question already. So I'm trying to understand here my, you know, my mother go to a place, she is an old lady, out of the blue then the agent show up over there because someone called that my mother went to the store. So it doesn't make any sense, the answer. Every single time I try to ask the question, the prosecutor object me here.

But common sense here, she go to a store and out of the blue the agent show up over there and start asking people question. And the next day my mother go back to the store and
the people say like, listen, you know, we all afraid here because $F B I$ was asking all bunch of questions here about you and your son and husband and everything. So to me it doesn't make any sense. So someone somehow is spying on my e-mails. THE COURT: I understand that's your belief,

Mr. Scotton, but the agent has testified that he's not spying on your e-mails, he's not listening into your phone conversations. This is the witness you have on the stand. So you need to move onto your next question.

THE DEFENDANT: It doesn't make any sense, Your Honor. I'm trying to understand the whole court proceeding here. If he's not spying -- I understand what he's saying, he's not spying. Then we got some other case on my mother now or have somebody else spying on my mom? I mean, what is the intentions? Someone call him to tell them my mom go to the grocery store.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Have you told somebody else to follow my mom?
A. I have never made a request that any surveillance teams or other agents on my squad conduct any surveillance on either of your parents.
Q. Then why you went to -- out of the blue to print shop then?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor. This is beyond the scope of the issues in this case.

THE COURT: And it's also been asked and answered.

I'll sustain the objection, Mr. Scotton. He testified clearly why he went to the shop.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I'm going to have call my mom. I'm done with the agent. I'm going to have to talk to my mom. THE COURT: You have no further questions? THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Do you have any questions for Agent VanBrunt?

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. MITRANI:
Q. Special Agent VanBrunt, on the day of the arrest, March 15, 2012, the arrest began as a vehicle stop, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you had other members of the $F B I$ and maybe other law enforcement with you as part of an arrest team?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And did you request that your fellow agents conduct an inventory search on the Infinity?
A. Yes.
Q. And have you spoken with those agents since that time?
A. I spoke with one of those agents last week, yes.
Q. In preparation for today's hearing as well?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And did the agents who searched the vehicle find any

Rolex watch in the glove compartment or anywhere in the vehicle?
A. No Rolex was found.
Q. Okay. The only watch that you were aware of is the watch that the defendant had on his person which was returned to the defendant?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, there are at least three, maybe more, but at least three property receipts documenting the evidence that was taken from the defendant on the day of the arrest?
A. Correct.
Q. And you don't have a photographic memory so you don't know whether there were two, three, or four cell phones involved, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. However many cell phones were involved are documented in the property receipts which have been turned over in discovery, correct?
A. Correct.

MS. MITRANI: No further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Scotton, did you have any further questions based on the cross-examination?

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Let's go back to the car situation.

MS. MITRANI: The what situation?
THE DEFENDANT: The car situation.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Is there any reason why you guys did a search of my car on that day?
A. We did an inventory search to document the property that we were returning to Carlos Colon or whoever your designee was who came and took custody.
Q. In the pursuit of doing -- searching the car without me present, is this the normal proceed?
A. After you initially exited the car and you were handcuffed, I put you in my vehicle and I explained to you the options regarding your car; whether it could be towed, whether we could make arrangements to let someone come pick it up since were in Pompano which $I$ knew was close to where your family and most of your friends lived. I asked you for consent to search the car during the inventory to speed up the process. You gave me the verbal consent. I told you during our processing of you at our office there would be a consent form that $I$ would ask you to execute, and you said no problem. Based on that the inventory search was done.
Q. I give you consent to search my car?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any proof that $I$ give that consent?
A. Yes.
Q. What? The form that they make me sign down in Miami?

MS. MITRANI: Objection to the form of the question. THE COURT: Agent, was there a consent form that

Mr. Scotton signed?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: A consent to search form?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. So hours later after the arrest, somewhere around 1 o'clock 2 o'clock in the afternoon, you present the form and you told me the form there was a confirmation that my step-dad has received the car and told me to sign, correct?
A. No. My recollection is I provided you the consent form earlier than 1 or 2 o'clock, but $I$ don't recall the specific time.
Q. All right. This is the procedure. So you have to do inventory on the car. I'm also not present when you did the inventory on the car. So how you going to do inventory on the car without the owner of car present to prove what is inside of car, what is not inside of the car?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer that.

THE WITNESS: Well, you were driving the car. I believe that you had apparent authority to provide consent to search the car. I asked you for consent at the time of the arrest.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. That's not the question.

THE COURT: Don't interrupt the agent. Let him answer the question.

THE WITNESS: It's not our policy to remove handcuffs from someone who's been arrested just so they can then be unhandcuffed and either participate or be involved in the inventory search. I explained to you the process that was going to go forward and the options that existed as far as arranging for your vehicle and most of your personal property to be returned. I asked you if agreed to that. You said you did. And based on your consent the inventory search occurred. BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. And based on that it just searched the car and the stuff they found in the car without me present?
A. Correct. You were not present at the vehicle at the time the inventory search was done. That's correct.
Q. That's the normal procedure of the FBI? So you do a list and whatever you sign at the bottom; that's the way it is?
A. We do the property receipt to document property that we're either taking into our possession, releasing, or returning.

Yes.
Q. Without me being present? I mean, the defendant should be present to at least see exactly and agree what the property inside of the car, don't you think so?

MS. MITRANI: Asked and answered.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained. It has been asked and answered. You can't argue with the witness, Mr. Scotton. He has given his answer. If you don't like it, that's up to you but you can't argue with the witness. BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. You got an e-mail from Mr. John Blosten?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor. Beyond the scope of my cross which is solely limited to the --

THE DEFENDANT: I can rephrase the question.
MS. MITRANI: -- Rolex watch --
THE DEFENDANT: I'm trying to get to the point somehow.

MS. MITRANI: -- and the search.

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
THE DEFENDANT: A police officer in Boca Raton remember and he has a recollection seeing my watch during the accident that $I$ have on August 30 -- August -- August 28 -- I think it was August 29. I don't remember exactly. It was August 29. I had a car accident. During the car accident this watch was broke. This police officer saw me pick up the pieces
of the watch on the floor. He made a report. Martinez, my investigator, went and speak to this police officer about the watch, and he remembered everything about this watch.

THE COURT: That was the same day as your arrest? THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: How far before your arrest was that?
THE DEFENDANT: Six-and-a-half months ago.
THE COURT: Six-and-a-half months before your
arrest --

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: -- he saw a broken Rolex watch in your car?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. The objection is sustained.

That's not relevant to this proceeding.
THE DEFENDANT: I have a receipt here, Your Honor, an
e-mail from a company that has estimate. The company is
J. Dunn, what they call. The reason that --

THE COURT: JR Dunn Jeweler?

THE DEFENDANT: Exactly, Your Honor. The reason I'm trying to explain here to the Court, Your Honor, is this.

MS. MITRANI: I'm sorry, Your Honor. May the witness be excused?

THE COURT: Right. Before we go to argument do you have any more questions for Agent VanBrunt?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Agent, you can step down.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
(Witness was excused.)

THE COURT: Now, you were trying to make some sort of argument about JR Dunn Jewelers.

THE DEFENDANT: This is a watch, Your Honor, that $I$ won during a race. I spoke to this lady. They, they authorized dealer for Rolex. And I explained the situation. I told them that $I$ been involved in a car accident and I need to know how I'm going to present this to insurance company, who is going to pay, how am I going to recover that. She contact the Rolex makers. Rolex told me they cannot fix the Rolex, the watch, because the control quality has to be replaced.

So that day that $I$ went and she took a look at the watch, she give me a bag. It was a crystal little bag, and watch was inside of that bag. I put the watch inside of the glove compartment because $I$ was waiting for GEICO to pay for the watch.

So I have a picture here of the watch. I have pictures here of the e-mail of the company that -- and $I$ have the e-mail from the police officer confirming that the watch exist.

THE COURT: Okay. I understand you have pictures that a Rolex watch exists, but this accident occurred six-and-a-half
months before your arrest, right?
THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, it doesn't matter how many
time was. The watch was inside of the glove compartment because $I$ waiting for the claim to be paid.

THE COURT: That's your argument. Are you taking the stand on that?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. You're not being fair.

THE COURT: I'm trying to be very fair with you.
You're acting as your own attorney. As your own attorney you can make argument, but you can't present evidence as your own attorney. If you want to present evidence by testimony as to the watch and where it was and when it was there, you need to take the stand under oath, testify, and be cross-examined by the government.

If you wish to try to introduce a photograph of what you say is the watch, I doubt the government will even object to that, for the purpose of you establishing that at some point in time you owned a Rolex watch, but you can't act as an attorney and turn your argument as an attorney into testimony before the Court. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, the only thing $I$ don't understand is my life has been turned upside down. Things seems to disappear, fabrication of things keep coming up, and I have no way to argue with this Court. I have no weight in this

Court. I'm not making up a story here. I have a picture here, shows that $I$ won the race.

THE COURT: All right. Do you wish to introduce your picture of the Rolex watch or not, Mr. Scotton?

THE DEFENDANT: Say again?

THE COURT: Do you wish to introduce photographs of the watch?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Does the government have any objection?

MS. MITRANI: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: How many photographs?
THE DEFENDANT: Three.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. KREISS: Two photographs and an e-mail, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any objection to the e-mail, Ms. Mitrani?

MS. MITRANI: Not for purposes of this hearing.

THE COURT: For purposes of this hearing.
All right. What $I$ have here is a photo of a Rolex watch, another photograph of two cups which $I$ imagine was the 2003 Rolex 24 at Daytona. Is that right, Mr. Scotton?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And then finally we have an e-mail dated September 8, 2011, to Roger Scotton re: an appraisal. And
that's back in 2011 on september 8. And that's regarding an appraisal of the Rolex watch. Is that right, Mr. Scotton?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scotton, you've given me two photographs and one more e-mail. I understand you have another e-mail you may want to introduce.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. I have an e-mail
here from the police officer that was involved in the accident and he has spoke to the investigator a couple of days ago and he confirm.

THE COURT: The date of the accident was what?

THE DEFENDANT: Was - hold on a second because $I$ have the police report here. It was August 30 or August 28. August 30, 2011.

THE COURT: August 30,2011 . And you have an e-mail from a police officer who says that he saw your Rolex in your car on August 30, 2011?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Which is about six-and-a-half months before your arrest in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Any objection for this e-mail coming in?
MS. MITRANI: Just for purposes of this hearing.

THE COURT: All right. I will admit this and you can pass it up.

All right. So Defendant's Composite Exhibit 2 is going to be two photographs and two e-mails. The first e-mail being September 8, 2011, at 11:19 a.m. from Paige, P-A-I-G-E, looks like, Otte, O-T-T-E, to Mr. Scotton, Roger Scotton. The second e-mail is -- it looks like an e-mail from John Boylston, B-O-Y-L-S-T-O-N, who you say, Mr. Scotton, is a police officer of the Boca Raton PD?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor, it is.
THE COURT: All right. These will be introduced as Defendant's Composite Exhibit 2.
(Received in evidence Defendant's Composite Exhibit(s) 2.)

THE COURT: All right. Now, do you wish to present any other evidence or call any other witnesses?

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, can $I$ have a minute and check, see how my mother doing outside, maybe see if she able to testify?

THE COURT: All right. Why don't we have her come in. If you can have -- maybe the Portuguese interpreter can go get her and ask her to come in.

THE INTERPRETER: Yes. Sure.

THE COURT: If the marshals would let Mr. Scotton's mother just sit behind him for a minute so he can have a conversation with her to see if she wishes to testify.

Yes, Mr. Scotton.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I'm going to call my
number to the stand. She really want to talk.
THE COURT: All right. Let's bring her up.

MARINA COLON, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN

THE COURT: Would you state your name, please, ma'am.

THE WITNESS: Marina Colon.

THE COURT: All right. You're being assisted by the
Portuguese interpreter. Are you understanding everything
that's going on right here now?

THE WITNESS: I am.

THE COURT: And could the Portuguese interpreters
please state their names for the record.

THE INTERPRETER: Patricia Marco, Your Honor.

THE INTERPRETER: Maria Carol Parmente, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you both for being here.

All right. Mr. Scotton, you may proceed.
THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, before we proceed, I feel

I have to call my mom by her last name. So if the Court has any problem if $I$ call her "mom"?

THE COURT: You can call her "mom." You can call her
whatever name you normally refer to her.
THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Do I have to ask the question in English or ask in Portuguese?

THE COURT: No. Ask it in English and then the Portuguese interpreter will translate from English to Portuguese and then she answers in Portuguese and it's
translated back to English.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. (Portuguese spoken.)

MS. MITRANI: Objection.
THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry. My bad.

THE COURT: You'll need to speak in English.
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, exactly.

Let me take a moment, Your Honor, because this is going to be hard on me.

MS. MITRANI: Your Honor, I would just offer that the government's willing to enter into a stipulation to spare Ms. Colon of the necessity for this.

THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, what the government is proposing is that your mother has signed an affidavit that was filed with the Court and the government is prepared to stipulate that that would be her testimony if she testified here today and the court can rely upon that. And that would obviate the need for you to have to put her on the stand and have her testify. If you would like to enter into that stipulation, the government indicated that they're willing to do that.
(Defendant and standby counsel conferring sotto voce.) MS. MITRANI: Actually, Your Honor, it would be a more
limited stipulation. To the extent she's saying that -THE COURT: Hold on. What would be the stipulation be?

MS. MITRANI: I would stipulate that on October 18, three agents came to her house.

THE COURT: Hold on one second.
(Defendant and standby counsel conferring sotto voce.) THE COURT: I think Mr. Kreiss and Mr. Scotton are talking. I want to make sure he hears this.

Apparently it's a limited stipulation. So I want you to listen, Mr. Scotton, to the stipulation the government is proposing.

MS. MITRANI: The government would stipulate to the following which would obviate direct and cross as well. We would stipulate that on October 18, 2013, three law enforcement officials went to Ms. Colon's residence. We will stipulate that they went to the residence in the morning. We would stipulate that they knocked on her door. We would stipulate that her testimony might be that they banged on the door. We would stipulate that they tried to talk to her to find out about a Carlos Colon. We would stipulate that that made her feel very nervous. And we would stipulate that then they left after a very brief 30 -second or two-minute interaction.

We would stipulate that Special Agent VanBrunt has served one subpoena on her at her house. We would stipulate
that he went to her house, knocked on the door and told her he had a subpoena for her. We would stipulate that that made her feel very nervous and maybe made her feel intimated. We would stipulate that that interaction, however, was very brief, lasting maybe 30 seconds, maybe two minutes.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scotton, do you want to go ahead and accept that stipulation?

THE DEFENDANT: No, I'm going to ask my mother the questions.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. A couple days ago you had been subpoenaed by the marshals;
is this correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you felt threat by the marshals?
A. The three that came to my house?
Q. Mom, you can look at me here when I'm asking you a question. Mom, I'm trying to make a point.

MS. MITRANI: Objection to him making a point. He should be asking the witness questions and the witness should be able to look where the witness wants to look so the defendant doesn't signal to her in any way. I don't mean to be difficult about this, Your Honor, but it's a sensitive area.

THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, just ask your question.
Don't add any narrative to it.

THE WITNESS: May I speak?

THE COURT: No. Hold on just one second, ma'am. You will be able to speak in response to a question. There is no question pending at this time. So I'm going to ask Mr. Scotton to lodge a proper question.

THE DEFENDANT: All right, Your Honor.
BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. The marshal went to the house a couple of days ago?
A. About two days ago they came to serve me.
Q. Can you describe what happened the day when you opened the door?
A. When the three people came to my house?
Q. No. I'm talking about first the marshal.
A. You know that it's hard for me to tell the difference because I'm legally blind. So I can't see the face of the person who $I$ actually talked to.
Q. Okay. The way the marshal was in the house was different from the three FBI that went to your house?
A. Yes, this last one, he was very different. He was very polite and he wasn't at all aggressive in any way. He just knocked on the door like this two times on the door.
Q. Can you describe to the court the way the three FBI did it to your house when they went to the house?
A. First of all, they came to the house and they started knocking; knock, knock, knock. And because, you know, my
health problems -- I have been having health problems. My back problems, neck problems. I can't see very well. So I was not very able to just jump out of bed very quickly and go to the door. And they kept on banging on the door. And because they were not satisfied, they started banging even more firmly and strongly on the kitchen window. And $I$ became terrified because in the development in which $I$ live there have been many burglaries. It's a development for people older than 50 years old. And I became terrified because I'm 78 years old and I live by myself.
Q. Go on.

MS. MITRANI: Your Honor, there's no question. THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, you'll need to ask the next question.

THE DEFENDANT: I thought she made a pause, Your
Honor. I thought she made a pause for the interpreter.
THE COURT: No, I interpreted that she had finished her answer to your question. So you can follow up with another question if you would like.

THE WITNESS: I have answered already.
BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Is any reason why you feel intimidated by the Agent Roy VanBrunt?
A. Yes. Yes, because when he came over, yeah, there was, there was a reason because first he came two times over. Okay?

Because the second time he came over he also banged on the door as if I was deaf. I'm not deaf. I'm blind. There is a huge difference.
Q. Would you describe to the Court this banging on the door?
A. (Indicating).
Q. Do you have a doorbell in your house?
A. Yes, there is a bell. And also there is a handle that he could have used as well.
Q. Have the agent made any statement to you to make you feel intimidated?
A. No, because, first of all, I don't speak his language and also, you know, $I$ just -- the only thing I did was to take the subpoena. Then $I$ went back in.
Q. Did you mention that you have been followed --
A. Yes.
Q. -- by the agent?
A. Well, $I$ don't know because $I$ can't really see well. But wherever $I$ go, you know, I'm being asked where am I going. You know, and even friends who are trying to help me set up my Facebook account, they ask me questions because it's very hard for me. I don't know how to do a computer at my age. I'm 78 years old. So people would offer to help me, you know. And then it just started like they started going away, they wouldn't, you know, call me any more, they wouldn't leave me message any more. So $I$ started wondering and asking myself the
question why, why would they not even, you know, return my phone calls or try to offer me a service that before they were offering me.

I went to a print shop because I needed to put an ad on the Brazilian paper asking the Brazilian citizen who live in the community if they ever had been in business with a sir by the name of Osvani.

MS. MITRANI: Your Honor, can I interrupt? Can you advise this witness also of her Fifth Amendment rights against self-incrimination and also of perjury? And $I$ have a good-faith basis for saying that.

THE COURT: All right. I will.
Ma'am, Ms. Colon --

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: -- good afternoon.

THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.

THE COURT: What $I$ wanted to advise you at the request of the prosecutor, but $I$ do want to advise you that -- first of all, $I$ know your son has subpoenaed you for this hearing. However, you have the right to remain silent and you do not have to make any statement if you don't want to do so; that is, if you feel that any statement that you make or any answer that you give may tend to incriminate you, under your Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution you have a right to refuse to answer. And if you say that you refuse to answer
because an answer may tend to incriminate you, the Court will consider that and rule on that.

So my point to you is, if you feel that anything that you say might incriminate you in any criminal action, then you can refuse to answer that question under the grounds of the Fifth Amendment.

Secondly, you are under oath at this proceeding and, therefore, your testimony must be truthful. And if you make a false statement or a perjurious statement -- I'm not saying that you have. But if you do, you could be subject to felony prosecution. I just want to let you know that.

Do you understand that, ma'am?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I understand. I just want to tell
the truth.

THE COURT: All right. Do you have any questions for me about what $I$ just told you?

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: You understand everything?

THE WITNESS: I did.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

You may go ahead, Mr. Scotton.

MS. MITRANI: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. (Portuguese spoken.)

MS. MITRANI: Objection.

THE COURT: In English, Mr. Scotton.

THE DEFENDANT: Sorry, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It's natural, but you just need to speak in English.

THE DEFENDANT: I know. Sorry about that.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. On the date that $I$ was arrest, the agent went 7 o'clock at nighttime in the house. The next day you have another visitation from Carla Filini as well. Carla Filini ever mention that she been threat?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, you really -- I'm going to sustain that. You're giving a statement based on what you believe happened. You just need to ask this witness if she's been threatened or if she observed or heard the agents make threats to anybody else.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Have Carla Filini tell you that she been threat?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor. This is not about threats to Carla Filini. This is about threats to Mr. and Mrs. Colon.

THE COURT: I'll sustain that. That's outside of the scope of this hearing.

## BY THE DEFENDANT:

Q. Just to correct here on the record, here a minute ago you say they have not feel intimidated. But you didn't feel intimidated by the marshals, correct?

Okay. Just to correct, if you say on the record - - I ask you a question and you say on the record that you have not feel --

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor.
BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. -- you have not feel intimidated. It was by the FBI or the other marshal?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor. Asked and answered, and he is leading and he is mischaracterizing the witness' testimony.

THE COURT: That's sustained. I believe it has been asked and answered.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Mom, have you been intimidated by the FBI agents?
A. Well, when they showed up at the house and $I$ wanted to accompany Carlos, $I$ remember that when the three of them came, one of them said to me, no. You? No, you can't come.
Q. Why? Why you feel intimidated by that?
A. I only feel intimidated because everywhere I go I'm being followed. I feel like I'm a terrorist or that I committed some crime. And then you turn around and you look behind you and
there is a car like following you and you don't know why, you don't know why people are following you, you have no idea who is following you.
Q. Why you trying to put an ad in the newspaper, mom?
A. Well, the ad $I$ was actually going to put in the paper to ask the Brazilians who live here in the community if they have ever gone into business with Mr. Osvani, if they have ever sent any packages through the use of Mr. Osvani.

Yeah, but the problem is that this place that $I$ went to, they would not print just one ad. It was a requirement that they print 300 ads. And $I$ just needed to print one ad that was going to be published in the Brazilian newspaper to see whether any local Brazilian had ever used the services of sending packages through Osvani because -- and then because I already know and $I$ had a feeling that $I$ was being followed when I went to this print shop, $I$ told them if anybody comes here later to ask what $I$ was doing here, are you going tell them. And they said that they were not supposed to provide this type of information to anyone. And then $I$ kept on asking them. And I said, but how about -- you know, because I knew that $I$ was being followed by the FBI. How about if the police show up, shows up here, what are you going to do? And they said that they will never provide this type of information. What if the FBI shows up? They said, still said that they could not provide this type of information.
Q. Is there any reason why you end up not putting the ad in the newspaper?
A. Yes, because this was almost like, you know, the proof that I needed, a witness there. Because if the FBI actually went there to check on me, why would I need to do anything else? Q. Did you feel intimidated by this action from the FBI going to the printer shop?
A. Yes. That wasn't the only place. There was another place as well.
Q. What is the other place?
A. Well, I had just been operated on and I had stitches on my stomach because $I$ had just removed part of my intestines and I had a bag on the outside for my feces and --
Q. That's not the question. The question is: Why do you think that they went to other places intimidating you?
A. They went to other places because the person, actually, he confessed himself.
Q. Who is the person?
A. Renata.

MS. MITRANI: Your Honor, I'm going to object. We're far afield from the government's direct interactions with this witness which we're allegedly intimidating, and $I$ would move to strike the last answer.

THE COURT: Mr. Scotton -- I'll deny the motion to
strike. Why don't we keep this focused on the issues here
today which is threats she received, that she's overheard any government agent make to the family members or specific information that she knows that she's being followed or spied upon by the FBI.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, several months ago --
MS. MITRANI: Well, Your Honor, this sounds like it's going to be a speech. Can the witness (sic) finish with his witness, let the government cross-examine?

THE DEFENDANT: I need a moment to explain something.

MS. MITRANI: Your Honor, I object.

THE COURT: Mr. Scotton --

THE DEFENDANT: Several months ago $I$ have filed a motion. And this motion is, once again, some of the witnesses, people that $I$ know being intimidated by the FBI.

THE COURT: Right. I understand that, Mr. Scotton.
THE DEFENDANT: The motion never made it to the Court.

I don't know why.
THE COURT: I don't understand why either. I can't tell you that. But all $I$ can tell you is that the issue for today is whether Mr. Colon and Mrs. Colon were allegedly threatened or intimidated. There's limited issues dealing -that we're dealing with today. This isn't a trial. You can bring out other issues if it's relevant at a trial. But for this purpose now you've already put on Mr. Colon. Now it's just whether a question of Mrs. Colon can give you testimony,
provide testimony as to concrete examples when she was either threatened, intimidated, or anything of that nature within the scope of today's hearing.

So I've heard what she's had to say. I'm listening to her carefully. She's already talked about the print shop. And she's talked about the agent's coming out to her house and knocking loudly on the house.

Are there any other areas you wish to inquire about those specific alleged threats or intimidation?

THE DEFENDANT: I guess this question was about they been intimidated to a point that even their friends walk away from them over the intimidation.

THE COURT: I understand. She testified to that and that may be her perception, but when you go beyond that and you ask if other people have told her that they have been somehow intimidated, that gets into the hearsay realm.

THE DEFENDANT: Right.
THE COURT: And that's really not appropriate for her to testify to.

THE DEFENDANT: Let's proceed, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I'm sorry?
THE DEFENDANT: I'm going to proceed then.
THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. On the day that VanBrunt had show up to the house is the
day you end up have the accident of your bag explode and then you have to lay down for several hours for your husband to show up in the house. What exactly did VanBrunt done to you that day that cause you to end up going to the hospital?
A. He knocked on my door with a lot of force. So he knocked not only once. He knocked again and again. So $I$ can't say here what $I$ said to him, but $I$ will say that it's equivalent to aren't you polite, don't you have any education?
Q. Can you describe why you felt intimidated by him?
A. Yes. The only thing that $I$ don't have is peace and the only thing that I'm asking is give me peace. I want -- you know, $I$ want them to stop following me, stop following my husband. Our life has become a hell. You know, I can't even come out of the house. I can't really see well. I've become paranoid with the situation. So please stop. You know, I am an American Citizen. I have the right to ask for peace.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Scotton. Do you have any more questions?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Did you see if the agent has any guns on you? You guys mentioned something about guns at one point, that he show you IDs, badges? I mean, how they approach you when you open the door?
A. I'm sorry. I couldn't hear the translation.

THE COURT: All right. I think the question was from Mr. Scotton, did you see the agents show you any badges, guns, or anything of that nature?

THE WITNESS: Well, they did not show the gun. They did show the identification. But they had their hands on the waist. And for somebody who understands, it was like, you know, showing the gun off.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. After that day you and Mr. Colon has mentioned that you guys fear for your life, you guys been intimidated, that the agents say that if you don't go ahead and help the prosecutor of this case, you guys going to go ahead and get charged. That's why you're feeling intimidated by them?
A. What happened is the first agent who went to take the things over said to my husband, tell Rogerio to confess because if not, it's going to be difficult for him and for his family.

MS. MITRANI: Objection.
THE COURT: Ma'am, were you present to hear that conversation?

THE WITNESS: If I was present?
THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: No, I was not present, but we did sign an affidavit and nothing is hidden between the two of us.

THE COURT: All right. But let me just clarify. This statement that was allegedly made by Agent VanBrunt to

Mr. Colon, you were not present yourself to hear that; is that true?

THE WITNESS: This -- that was said. It was said when they were downstairs, when the Agent VanBrunt was with my husband. They stayed a long time downstairs. And he said it repeatedly to my husband, the same thing to my husband. And there's nothing hidden between my husband and I.

THE COURT: All right. Ma'am, here is what I'm tying to clarify. Are you basing that statement on what Mr. Colon told you or what you heard the agent say with your own ears? THE WITNESS: No, I did not hear. I was upstairs in my home. What I did -- I did not hear. I was not present. But I know that he was there with my husband for a very long time. And isn't it strange, though, that they took my son's stuff to my home. I find it strange. Because as you see with comments that are made and even in movies, the families go and pick up the belongings when somebody goes to jail.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you for clarifying that, Mrs. Colon.

Mr. Scotton, if you have any more questions, you can proceed.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. The fact that you're not going to the church any more have anything to do with the FBI agent?
A. It does.
Q. Can you describe that to the Court?
A. Because I was followed even there.
Q. Is there any reason why you can say that to the Court?
A. Yes. You know, I prefer to stay home than being followed all over. And then the people are going to ask, what's going on, what is wrong? Because my life is my life and I don't have to be saying anything.
Q. Who told you they be followed?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. How you know they're being followed?
A. Well, the person said to us -- a person said to us when you came with your car, there was a car following your car very slowly and the lights were off.
Q. Anybody in the church say anything about agents, FBI
looking for you at the church?
MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor.
BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Or ask question about you at church?

MS. MITRANI: Objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Sustained.
THE WITNESS: May I ask you a question?
THE COURT: No, ma'am, you may not. It's not the witness's place to ask a question. It's only a witness' place
to answer a question.

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. If the agents asked any question about you at the church?
A. No, they didn't ask. But, you know, the people know, they follow us. The people know that they're following us. My son's picture came out in all of the newspapers.
Q. Because I'm good-looking, mom.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Scotton. Is that about all of your questions?

THE DEFENDANT: Just one more question because I was trying to clarify whether they intimidated. BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. Many times you have complained to me, complained to other people being intimidated by the agents and your life has been destroyed. I'm just trying to understand why you guys feel so intimated by those agents.

MS. MITRANI: Your Honor, asked and answered.

THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. I'll allow the one last question which is, do you feel intimidated by the agents and if so why?

MS. MITRANI: And which one?

THE WITNESS: I don't know who they are. I repeat again, $I$ repeat again, $I$ don't know who they are. These are people who follow me.

THE COURT: So you don't know if they're FBI agents or
not?

THE WITNESS: Of course they're from the FBI. Who else is going to follow me? I have no money. I didn't kill anybody. Who's following me? I have asked here. I'm asking. I'm going to ask again. Please leave me alone. My life has become hell and my life has been destroyed already. The little that $I$ have left, let me live in peace.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
Any more questions, Mr. Scotton?

BY THE DEFENDANT:
Q. The final question, mom.
A. I have not finished.

THE COURT: Hold on a second. What else did you have to do to finish the answer to the question, ma'am?

THE WITNESS: My marriage is already over. I used to live very well. My husband has become a monster. He also has problems. When somebody knocks on the door at home, he does not answer. I have to go. He has tachycardia. He has medications. In other words --

THE COURT: All right. One minute, ma'am. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: You know, he says something to me in the morning and at night it's something totally different. You know, so this has become harassment for us.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
Anything else, Mr. Scotton?

## BY THE DEFENDANT:

Q. Harassed from who? From Agent VanBrunt? From the FBI?

From the agent that went to the house? Who?

MS. MITRANI: Your Honor, asked and answered.

THE COURT: Overruled.

Let me just ask the last question. Do you know, ma'am, from your own knowledge whether it is Agent VanBrunt who is following you?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I don't know what his name is. I don't know if it's a Maria, if it's a John, if it's a Peter. I have no idea. But if my son is so undesirable in this country, then let him go, let him go back to his country, and I'll go with him because $I$ can't stand it any more. I'd rather have death than be in this situation. Give me peace.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Is that it, Mr. Scotton?

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, you know, she's already stressing out so I'm not going to push it.

THE COURT: All right. No more questions?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

Mom, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Mitrani, do you have any questions?

MS. MITRANI: I do, Your Honor. I'll try to keep it real brief. I understand the hour is late.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. MITRANI:
Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Colon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. First and foremost, I really want to extend my personal sympathies for the passing of your mother. It's just horrible, and I'm sorry you have to be here today.

Do you understand?
A. I understand.
Q. And the government did not subpoena you to be here today. You understand that?
A. But $I$ prefer to be here in order to end this, have it over with.
Q. Okay. Very well.

Now, you're legally blind, Ms. Colon?
A. Yes. Yes, I am. Yes, I am legally blind. I have the certificate from Bascom Palmer in my purse. My right eye, I cannot see. My left eye, I see minimum. You know, I can hardly see.
Q. And I'm sorry for that.

Were you legally blind in March of 2012?
A. Yes, I am blind since 2002. I had a thrombosis and I'm hypertense. My two retinas hemorrhaged, and I didn't know I was hypertense.
Q. The affidavit that you have signed together with Mr. Carlos

Colon, who wrote that?
A. My husband.
Q. Did he translate it for you before you signed it?
A. Yes, because $I$ would -- I would say what $I$ wanted him to write and he was writing it down.
Q. You don't read or speak English, correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor.

THE WITNESS: Correct, but $I$ do speak Spanish.

BY MS. MITRANI:
Q. But not English, correct?
A. Whenever it has to be translated to English, he is the one who does it.

THE COURT: One second. Yes, Mr. Scotton.

THE DEFENDANT: Can you please remember mom her rights, that she have the rights not to answer the question if she don't want to answer. She don't understand the proceeding.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?
THE DEFENDANT: Can you inform my mom has rights to answer question or not answer question because she don't know the proceedings.

THE COURT: I've explained it to her before, and I'll explain to you again. Ma'am, you have the right to remain silent. And if any question you believe is going to implicate your Fifth Amendment right against self-incrimination, in that case you can refuse to answer.

But $I$ don't take that a question about her eyesight would implicate her self-incrimination rights, Mr. Scotton, unless I'm missing something.

If you believe any of your answers to any of the questions would implicate you under the Fifth Amendment, would incriminate yourself, then you can refuse to answer under the grounds of the Fifth Amendment, self-incrimination clause.

Do you understand that?

THE WITNESS: I understood.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Mitrani, you can go ahead.

BY MS. MITRANI:
Q. You don't speak English either, right?
A. No.
Q. You have never had a conversation with Special Agent VanBrunt, correct?
A. No.
Q. Special Agent VanBrunt has never attempted to interview you with a translator either, correct?
A. No.
Q. You have seen Agent VanBrunt at your house a total of two times, correct? No. Three times?
A. No, twice because the one time he actually -- that he asked my husband to go downstairs in the parking lot, he didn't come up. I didn't see him.
Q. All right. Let me break this down. The first time you saw

Agent VanBrunt was the day your son was arrested, March 15, 2012, when he came to your house in the evening to return some property, correct?
A. No.
Q. You did not see him when he came to return the property? You remained upstairs at all times?
A. No. Only when he went to bring the first subpoena.
Q. And he served you with a subpoena sometime in the summer of 2012, correct?
A. I don't know if it was summer.
Q. He served you with a total of two subpoenas in this case, correct?
A. That's right.
Q. And when he served you with those subpoenas, he was dressed in either plain clothing, casually, or in a suit and tie, correct?
A. I don't know.
Q. All right. He wasn't wearing like a bullet --
A. I can't see.
Q. I'm sorry. I apologize.

Okay. But when he served you with the subpoenas, you had no conversation with him, correct?
A. No.
Q. Then a couple months ago, sometime in October, three people came to your house on a Friday morning, correct?
A. If it was Friday, I don't know but it was in the morning. Q. There came a time when three people knocked on your door and you testified rather brusquely in the last couple of months, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Nobody identified themselves to you as being Special Agent VanBrunt, correct?
A. One of them showed the badge, but none of them said their names.
Q. So you can't say whether Agent VanBrunt was there or not there? You don't know one way or the other?
A. I don't know because $I$ can't figure out the face of the agent. I don't know. I'm telling you I'm blind. What is missing to believe that I'm blind? Do you think that I'm fooling around here, that I'm lying?
Q. Then one of the agents spoke to you in Portuguese, correct, or in Spanish?
A. Not in Portuguese.
Q. In Spanish.
A. They did not give their names. They came in and the Spanish was really a broken Spanish. And they came and they asked for Carlos Colon. And one of them did show the badge. Q. And, ma'am, I don't mean to be disrespectful, but if you can just listen to my question and answer yes or no if you can. If you can't, that's okay. This might be a little -- it might
go a little quicker and a little bit easier.

After the agent with the broken Spanish told you that they wanted to speak to Carlos Colon, they left, correct?
A. They did.
Q. And your interaction with them lasted a few minutes at most, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Then a few days later two agents came to your house, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And they spoke with Carlos Colon not in the house, outside of the house and outside of your presence, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Nobody ever handcuffed you, correct?
A. No. Why would they do that?
Q. Nobody ever broke your windows or battered in your doors or anything of that nature, correct?
A. Not this time.

THE COURT: Are you okay, ma'am?

THE WITNESS: No, no.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, Your Honor, give her a minute.

THE COURT: Are you okay, ma'am?

THE DEFENDANT: Mom.

MS. MITRANI: I can use a minute anyway.

THE DEFENDANT: Mom.

Your Honor, she is not okay. Your Honor, please. Mom.

THE COURT: All right. Do you have any more questions?

MS. MITRANI: No.

THE COURT: You don't have any questions, right, Mr. Scotton?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: No more questions.
All right, ma'am. She is done testifying. Whenever you're ready, ma'am, we can help you down. Don't get up until you feel ready to go.

THE INTERPRETER: Is she done, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes. Are you okay to get up, ma'am? I know you were coughing there a little bit. Do you need some water?

THE INTERPRETER: She would like her husband to come and help her.

THE COURT: That's fine. Have Mr. Colon come in.

THE WITNESS: May I kiss at least? May I kiss my son once?

THE COURT: That's up to the discretion of the marshal.

THE WITNESS: May I say good-bye to my son?

THE COURT: Yes, you can do it as you're going out,
ma'am.
(Witness was excused.)

THE COURT: Mr. Colon, you may leave and so may your wife. You're excused.

All right. Mr. Scotton, do you have any more
witnesses you wish to call?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And what $I$ will do then is I'll take the matter under advisement. I heard the argument, I read the pleadings in the case, and the evidence portion of the hearing is closed.

Do we have another hearing scheduled on some ex parte matters?

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Monday.

THE COURT: Monday at what time?

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: 1:30.

THE COURT: Our next hearing is Monday at 1:30. The government does not need to be present at that hearing. That's a hearing just dealing with defense issues. It's an ex parte sealed hearing.

MS. MITRANI: Thank you. Thank you for the Court's indulgence.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Mitrani, Mr. Scotton, Mr. Kreiss. Thank you all for being here. The hearing is concluded, and $I$ will take the matter under advisement and get
out a decision as soon as possible. Thank you.
MR. KREISS: Thank you, Judge.
(Proceedings concluded at 5:39 p.m.)
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81/20 86/11 88/22 89/1
89/15
BERTHA [2] $1 / 163 / 8$
better [2] 20/17 50/23
beyond [15] 13/19
17/19 32/9 48/19 48/19
48/21 56/25 60/9 66/20
92/9 93/15 100/1
104/23 110/12 131/14
big [1] 47/10
bills [1] 48/3
bipolar [2] 74/23 76/1
birth [2] 42/24 46/11
bit [6] 33/20 51/17
53/13 93/17 144/1
145/15
blind [10] 31/11 32/21
121/15 123/2 139/15
139/16 139/21 139/22
143/13 143/14
blood [1] 42/13
Blosten [1] 110/11
blowout [1] 95/3
blue [8] 11/11 55/22
89/9 98/17 102/25
103/19 103/24 104/22
Boca [2] 110/20 116/7
BOP [1] 10/1
born [2] $42 / 25 \quad 43 / 2$
boss [6] 21/1 21/1
24/6 24/8 24/8 24/11
bothering [1] 83/20
bottom [2] 80/2 109/23
Boulevard [1] 1/17
boxes [1] 48/3
Boylston [1] 116/5
brandished [2] 45/2
54/21
Brazil [13] 6/1 6/2 32/6 46/17 46/24 47/13 47/19 55/9 55/23 55/24 98/1 98/1 98/2
Brazilian [7] 97/23
97/24 98/3 124/5 124/5 128/12 128/13
Brazilians [1] 128/6
break [1] 141/25
brief [6] 53/11 53/12
63/12 119/23 120/4
138/25
briefcase [2] 21/1
26/10
briefly [1] 56/10
bring [11] 10/15 40/11
都

$$
14
$$

14

$\qquad$


[^0]/1




$17 / 19$ 32/9 $48 / 19 \quad 48 / 19$
19
20
/ 14



/21



\author{

}
$\qquad$

2


.
23

$\qquad$

/ 13 $4 / 5$

\author{
$\qquad$ <br> [^1]}



[^2]






$+$


D

| B | $37 / 17 \quad 37 / 22 \quad 38 / 14 \quad 39 / 1$ | charge [2] 35/14 35/14 | 133/9 134/1 134/9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bring... [9] 41/1 | 51/17 52/8 52/14 64/21 | 57/17 133/12 | 140/1 143/22 144/3 |
| 61/16 78/3 91/4 91/7 | 66/8 66/10 66/12 67/2 | charges [1] 38/11 | 144/10 145/19 146/3 |
| 91/18 117/2 130/23 | 70/11 81/17 81/19 | Chaves [1] 1/7 | Colon's [4] 58/14 |
| 142/7 | 82/22 84/20 85/24 90/3 | Chavez [1] 3/4 | 94/11 100/23 119/16 |
| bringing [2] 11/2 | 90/6 90/11 91/20 94/9 | check [5] 40/13 40/15 | colorable [1] 17/18 |
| 92/24 | 107/3 107/5 107/7 | 91/10 116/15 129/5 | Colosto [1] 31/9 |
| broke [3] 14/14 110/25 | 107/12 107/14 107/16 | choice [1] 44/12 | Combat [1] 44/25 |
| 144/15 | 107/19 107/25 108/15 | church [5] 134/23 | come [33] 11/20 17/3 |
| broken [4] 90/14 | 108/20 108/21 108/22 | 135/16 135/17 135/20 | 17/9 18/7 $24 / 13$ 24/15 |
| 111/11 143/21 144/2 | 108/22 108/23 108/23 | 136/3 | 29/8 31/16 35/15 51/2 |
| brother [5] 29/2 29/3 | 109/1 109/3 109/18 | Circle [1] 47/20 | 51/23 61/10 62/7 62/8 |
| 30/10 30/16 30/17 | 109/19 110/4 110/24 | circles [1] 67/6 | 63/22 64/1 64/3 72/4 |
| brought [5] 38/11 | 110/24 111/12 112/10 | circumstance [3] 6/16 | 76/15 88/5 88/6 90/21 |
| 66/22 82/2 89/13 91/14 | 115/17 128/1 135/14 | 6/23 30/23 | 90/23 94/17 96/14 |
| Broward [10] 1/17 | 135/14 135/14 | circumstances [2] 6/13 | 107/17 116/17 116/19 |
| 87/11 87/17 87/24 88/5 | card [2] 25/19 34/11 | 9/10 | 127/21 132/14 141/23 |
| 88/7 88/24 89/8 89/9 | cards [2] 86/24 87/16 | citizen [4] 20/25 | 145/17 145/19 |
| 92/17 | care [2] 31/16 31/17 | 82/24 124/5 132/16 | comes [1] 128/16 |
| brown [1] 89/14 | carefully [1] 131/5 | Citizen's [2] 52/11 | comfortable [1] 19/ |
| brusquely [1] 143/3 | Caribbean [1] 48/5 | 83/3 | coming [5] 24/10 62/17 |
| building [1] 62/13 | Carla [9] 11/16 12/4 | citizenship [1] 14/15 | 113/24 115/22 131/6 |
| bullet [1] 142/18 | 23/10 83/21 84/1 126/9 | claim [5] 17/11 17/12 | comment [1] 30/10 |
| bulletproof [2] 65/12 | 126/9 126/19 126/21 | 17/18 92/24 113/4 | comments [1] 134/16 |
| 65/18 | CARLOS [30] 19/12 | claims [1] 17/3 | commercial [1] 48/3 |
| bunch [4] 11/8 57/10 | 19/15 19/24 20/21 30/6 | clarify [5] 21/21 93/3 | commit [1] 23/4 |
| 57/11 104/2 | 42/23 44/5 54/24 68/9 | 133/24 134/9 136/11 | committed [2] 14/25 |
| burden [1] 17/2 | 84/13 85/24 88/2 88/3 | clarifying [1] 134/18 | 127/24 |
| Bureau [1] 85/18 | 88/5 88/7 90/16 90/20 | clause [1] 141/7 | common [1] 103/23 |
| burglaries [1] 122/8 | 92/18 92/25 94/5 94/11 | clear [1] 48/15 | communications [1] |
| bursa [1] 31/6 | 96/22 97/1 107/10 | clearheaded [2] 74/3 | 0 |
| burst [1] 31/13 | 119/21 127/20 139/25 | 74/8 | community [2] 124/6 |
| business [8] 55/6 55/8 | 143/22 144/3 144/10 | clearly [4] 28/6 33/19 | 128/6 |
| 55/15 55/15 56/1 92/19 | Carol [1] 117/13 | 77/21 105/1 | company [6] 98/1 98/8 |
| 124/6 128/7 | cars [1] 36/8 | clears [1] 94/7 | 111/17 111/17 112/11 |
| bye [1] 145/24 | case [44] $1 / 2 \mathrm{ll} 3 / 4 \mathrm{7/7}$ | Clematis [1] 1/24 | 112/21 |
| C | 7/9 7/23 8/7 8/7 9/10 | client [1] 4/14 | compartment [11] 82/23 |
|  | $\begin{array}{llll}11 / 1 & 11 / 10 & 12 / 2 & 12 / 3\end{array}$ | clients [1] 48/1 | 83/2 83/4 83/7 84/19 |
| C-O-L-O-N [1] 19/15 | $\begin{array}{llll}14 / 19 & 15 / 11 & 18 / 16\end{array}$ | close [4] 11/18 14/3 | 90/2 90/6 90/14 106/1 |
| call [49] 3/1 7/14 | 18/25 18/25 $30 / 7 \quad 32 / 19$ | 41/22 107/18 | 112/18 113/3 |
| 15/13 15/24 16/2 16/4 | 40/23 45/14 60/2 60/14 | closed [1] 146/11 | complained [2] 136/13 |
| 16/6 16/8 16/11 16/17 | 61/24 75/19 79/20 | clothing [6] 44/21 | 136/13 |
| 16/24 17/13 17/15 | 86/18 86/19 91/2 94/22 | 53/3 54/19 65/10 88/6 | complaints [1] 15/21 |
| 17/20 17/21 18/5 18/19 | 101/9 101/10 101/11 | 142/15 | complete [1] 11/9 |
| 23/21 $24 / 6$ 31/1 $134 / 11$ | 102/5 102/14 103/12 | club [1] 27/13 | completed [1] 96/21 |
| 44/2 49/22 50/1 53/12 | 103/14 104/13 104/24 | colitis [1] 31/7 | completely [4] 12/4 |
| 61/8 61/18 61/24 62/5 | 115/20 133/12 140/25 | Colo [1] 13/12 | 32/9 48/11 76/19 |
| 64/14 64/14 85/6 85/7 | 142/11 146/10 | Colon [98] 12/22 16/6 | Composite [4] 2/13 |
| 91/9 91/11 94/18 95/5 | cash [2] 20/25 52/21 | $\begin{array}{llllll}16 / 8 & 16 / 12 & 16 / 15 & 16 / 18\end{array}$ | 116/1 116/10 116/11 |
| 103/5 104/15 105/3 | casually [1] 142/15 | 16/23 16/23 16/24 17/8 | computer [3] 29/13 |
| 111/18 116/13 116/25 | cause [1] 132/4 | $\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 13 & 17 / 21 & 18 / 5 & 18 / 7\end{array}$ | 87/3 123/21 |
| 117/17 117/18 117/19 | causing [1] 8/10 | $\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 13 & 18 / 21 & 18 / 23 & 19 / 9\end{array}$ | concentrate [2] 37/5 |
| 117/19 123/24 146/6 | cell [15] 21/2 22/6 | 19/12 19/15 19/16 | 37/6 |
| called [15] 15/6 16/22 | 81/12 81/13 82/3 87/1 | 19/21 20/9 20/10 20/13 | concern [4] 25/6 25/8 |
| 27/13 31/13 31/15 | 87/1 90/16 90/20 90/21 | 21/21 $22 / 20$ 23/9 29/14 | 62/16 62/21 |
| 34/12 46/25 54/24 55/1 | 90/22 90/23 91/1 | $\begin{array}{lllll}32 / 11 & 32 / 21 & 33 / 4 & 34 / 24\end{array}$ | concerned [8] 23/25 |
| 62/1 62/15 64/9 87/8 | 106/13 106/16 | 36/21 36/23 40/11 41/5 | 25/6 36/6 36/10 62/22 |
| 87/14 103/20 | cellphones [1] 91/21 | 41/10 42/23 42/25 43/6 | 71/10 78/14 78/15 |
| calling [5] 3/3 16/14 | certain [2] 8/14 51/2 | 45/5 47/10 68/9 73/2 | concerning [2] 69/16 |
| 31/6 62/4 78/15 | certainly [1] 9/11 | 73/6 73/22 $74 / 2 \quad 75 / 13$ | $70 / 6$ |
| calls [9] 44/5 91/9 | certificate [3] 9/1 | 75/17 76/6 77/4 77/10 | concluded [2] 146/25 |
| 93/9 96/2 102/3 102/6 | 42/24 139/17 | 77/14 77/16 77/18 | 147/3 |
| 102/8 102/15 124/2 | Certified [1] 147/7 | 77/21 78/2 78/7 79/13 | concrete [1] 131/1 |
| calm [1] 67/25 | certify [1] 147/7 | 80/13 81/23 82/10 | condition [2] 37/10 |
| camouflage [1] 65/19 | cetera [1] 46/11 | 82/11 84/10 84/13 85/1 | 91/10 |
| canceled [6] 60/16 | CF [9] 9/6 9/12 $10 / 12$ | 86/7 89/4 89/25 92/18 | conditions [1] 78/17 |
| 60/17 61/21 62/13 | 11/5 12/7 12/13 12/18 | 96/22 100/20 101/2 | condolences [2] 7/6 |
| 62/15 63/5 | 13/18 14/12 | 102/11 107/10 117/3 | 8/5 |
| cap [1] 21/1 | change [8] 11/11 11/18 | 117/5 118/14 119/21 | conduct [2] 104/20 |
| car [62] 10/5 20/22 | $\begin{array}{llllll}12 / 5 & 14 / 1 & 14 / 3 & 14 / 8\end{array}$ | 124/13 126/22 130/20 | 105/18 |
| 20/25 25/9 25/11 35/9 | 14/23 58/15 | 130/20 130/24 130/25 | conferring [6] 5/9 |


| C | $\begin{array}{lllll}52 / 1 & 52 / 3 & 52 / 4 & 52 / 5\end{array}$ | coworker [1] 44/8 | December 12 [1] 10/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| conferring... [5] 16/1 | $\begin{array}{lllll}52 / 6 & 52 / 9 & 53 / 1 & 53 / 2 \\ 54 / 2 & 54 / 6 & 54 / 7 & 55 / 6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { CR [2] } & 1 / 2 & 3 / 5 \\ \text { credibility } & \text { [2] } & 47 / 6\end{array}$ | decide [1] $90 / 8$ <br> decided [1] $69 / 7$ |
| 17/14 100/9 118/24 | 58/12 58/21 58/22 59/2 | 48/22 | decision [1] 147/1 |
| 119/7 | 59/5 59/24 59/25 60/1 | credit [4] 50/21 50/22 | Deerfield [1] 98/4 |
| confess [12] 22/25 | 61/1 61/2 61/6 61/13 | 86/24 87/16 | defendant [27] 1/8 |
| 23/3 23/3 57/14 57/14 | 61/14 61/17 62/8 62/25 | Creole [1] 1/22 | 1/19 2/3 $2 / 4$ 2/6 $2 / 7$ |
| 57/23 57/25 59/8 59/10 | 63/5 64/1 64/2 64/5 | crime [6] 23/4 26/7 | 2/8 3/12 4/15 5/9 6/25 |
| 59/17 59/19 133/15 | 64/7 64/8 64/9 64/15 | 26/9 57/15 57/15 | 9/25 10/6 10/11 16/1 |
| confessed [1] 129/17 | 64/22 64/24 65/3 65/10 | 127/25 | 17/14 33/21 97/12 |
| confessions [1] 59/13 | 66/8 67/23 68/5 68/10 | criminal [1] 125/4 | 100/9 100/20 106/5 |
| confidential [2] 4/16 | 69/8 70/2 71/5 71/8 | cross [17] 2/4 2/6 2/9 | 106/6 106/10 110/2 |
| 37/20 | 71/12 71/15 $72 / 1$ 72/4 | 15/7 41/8 48/22 58/17 | 118/24 119/7 120/22 |
| confirm [4] 23/12 80/2 | $72 / 8$ 72/9 72/12 86/1 | 66/22 73/7 84/9 105/10 | defendant's [16] 2/13 |
| 81/2 115/10 | 88/4 91/22 91/23 94/4 | 106/23 110/13 113/14 | 2/13 $3 / 23$ 3/24 $3 / 25$ |
| confirmation [1] | 105/13 105/14 105/17 | 119/14 130/8 139/1 | $\begin{array}{lllll}6 / 19 & 9 / 23 & 10 / 5 & 19 / 12\end{array}$ |
| 108/14 | 106/7 106/11 106/14 | cross-examination [12] | 76/9 85/11 102/6 116/1 |
| confirming [1] 112/22 | 106/15 106/18 106/19 | 2/4 2/6 2/9 41/8 48/22 | 116/10 116/11 117/3 |
| confuse [1] 67/7 | 108/15 109/20 109/21 | 58/17 66/22 73/7 84/9 | defense [4] 4/2 4/15 |
| confused [2] 73/15 | 120/13 127/2 127/4 | 105/10 106/23 139/1 | 76/4 146/19 |
| 81/18 | 127/5 140/6 140/8 | cross-examine [2] 15/7 | definitely [6] 14/23 |
| confusing [1] 38/6 | 140/10 141/15 141/18 | 130/8 | 41/13 44/13 44/15 50/7 |
| consent [11] 107/19 | 141/21 142/3 142/9 | cross-examined [1] | 59/1 |
| 107/21 107/22 107/25 | 142/12 142/16 142/22 | 113/14 | delay [1] 8/10 |
| 108/2 108/6 108/9 | 142/25 143/4 143/7 | crystal [1] 112/16 | delayed [1] 8/10 |
| 108/16 109/2 109/3 | 143/16 144/3 144/6 | CSO [2] 42/18 82/10 | deliver [1] 90/22 |
| 109/16 | 144/11 144/13 144/16 | cup [1] 39/24 | delivered [9] 81/12 |
| consider [3] 17/10 | 147/8 | cups [1] 114/21 | 82/16 87/5 90/16 90/19 |
| 44/8 125/2 | coughing [1] 145/15 | custody [5] 86/10 | 90/21 92/18 92/25 |
| considered [1] 95/14 | counsel [10] 1/20 3/7 | 87/19 88/24 89/22 | 96/17 |
| conspire [1] 27/25 | 3/9 3/15 5/9 16/1 | 107/11 | delivery [2] 9/2 89/23 |
| constitution [2] 48/25 | 17/14 100/9 118/ | custom [1] 43 | demands [1] |
| 124/24 | 119/7 | cut [1] 31/7 | deny [4] 7/11 8/13 |
| contact [12] 13/9 24/3 | countries [1] 48/4 | D | 11/4 129/24 |
| 42/17 46/1 46/2 52/25 | country [4] 14/15 48/ |  | department [2] 12/6 |
| 91/2 91/3 91/5 91/16 | 138/11 138/12 | dad [2] 91/21 108 | 45/17 |
| 98/5 112/12 | county [5] 87/12 87/24 | daily [1] 73/22 | depressed [1] 74/23 |
| contacted [3] 44/11 | 88/6 88/7 92/17 | date [7] 5/23 30/17 | describe [8] 35/6 |
| 48/5 97/24 | couple [12] 24/21 31/5 | 46/10 59/22 79/20 | 36/13 87/2 121/10 |
| contacting [3] 48/1 | 32/2 37/3 39/8 43/25 | 115/11 126/7 | 121/22 123/4 132/9 |
| 98/9 98/16 | 67/20 115/9 120/12 | dated [2] 114/24 | 135/1 |
| contain [1] 72/18 | 121/8 142/24 143/3 | 147/10 | described [1] 38/17 |
| contained [4] 12/2 | course [10] 5/12 29/7 | day [48] 5/22 9/25 | designee [1] 107/10 |
| 72/20 86/24 89/14 | 39/6 39/21 44/1 53/21 | 15/20 15/23 20/21 21/9 | destroyed [2] 136/15 |
| continuance [2] 6/14 | 55/17 91/6 91/7 137/2 | 22/8 31/1 31/3 31/19 | 137/6 |
| 7/2 | court [50] 1/1 1/24 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}33 / 5 & 33 / 17 & 36 / 7 & 45 / 12\end{array}$ | detention [1] 35/13 |
| continue [5] 4/4 5/1 | 3/1 4/13 7/5 9/7 9/21 | 52/25 53/16 54/5 54/6 | development [2] 122/7 |
| 7/12 35/11 83/14 | 10/3 10/15 17/9 17/12 | 64/10 64/11 64/17 | 122/8 |
| continued [1] 60/14 | 18/10 19/18 20/14 | 74/10 76/22 78/13 81/2 | device [2] 94/9 94/10 |
| control [1] 112/14 | 28/14 $35 / 6$ 36/13 $41 / 2$ | 81/6 81/13 82/3 82/17 | DHL [2] 48/3 48/6 |
| conversation [18] | 41/2 43/16 49/12 54/4 | 82/22 83/15 85/23 | didn't [52] 24/2 24/3 |
| 22/12 30/16 38/14 | 61/16 61/20 62/9 62/17 | 86/13 96/23 103/25 | 24/3 26/1 $27 / 19$ 28/4 |
| 38/18 43/18 54/5 63/9 | 73/14 73/21 73/23 75/2 | 105/12 106/10 107/8 | 28/5 28/9 36/9 38/24 |
| 69/23 70/1 70/6 70/10 | 75/21 75/21 76/24 90/8 | 111/4 112/15 121/10 | 45/14 47/1 $47 / 15$ 50/8 |
| 93/16 95/19 101/15 | 92/9 101/6 104/11 | 126/8 131/25 132/1 | 51/23 54/21 54/21 |
| 116/23 133/19 141/14 | 111/21 113/21 113/25 | 132/4 133/9 142/1 | 56/14 58/4 58/6 58/8 |
| 142/22 | 114/1 117/17 118/17 | 147/10 | 58/9 59/17 61/3 61/8 |
| conversations [2] | 118/19 121/22 123/4 | days [7] 24/21 30/11 | 61/10 63/9 63/9 64/17 |
| 63/19 104/8 | 125/1 130/16 135/1 | 115/9 120/12 121/8 | 65/12 65/17 65/24 |
| convince [1] 38/10 | 135/3 | 121/9 144/8 | 65/25 66/1 67/4 69/23 |
| cooperate [1] 71/8 | Court's [2] 10/2 | Daytona [1] 114/22 | 70/11 71/8 77/6 80/11 |
| copy [11] 4/6 4/8 8/16 | 146/21 | deaf [2] 123/2 123/2 | 83/1 83/22 87/10 90/4 |
| 8/19 8/23 9/1 13/16 | courteous [1] 63/7 | dealer [1] 112/9 | 96/16 99/20 127/3 |
| 17/24 32/5 77/6 102/24 | courtesy [3] 89/25 | dealing [7] 26/19 37/2 | 136/4 137/3 139/23 |
| correct [125] 20/22 | 91/15 91/18 | 97/11 97/15 130/21 | 141/23 141/24 |
| 21/2 21/3 28/2 30/12 | courthouse [2] 62/10 | 130/22 146/19 | difference [2] 121/14 |
| 35/24 43/1 43/11 43/19 | 63/4 | deals [1] 9/5 | 123/3 |
| 44/4 44/12 $44 / 2145 / 3$ | courtroom [3] 18/11 | dealt [1] 84/3 | different [12] 39/11 |
| 45/11 46/5 46/13 46/18 | 19/1 19/18 | death [1] 138/14 | 48/4 67/20 74/22 75/24 |
| 46/19 47/12 47/22 | covered [3] 73/7 95/3 | December [3] 1/8 10/2 | 76/19 79/17 82/13 90/9 |
| 49/23 49/24 50/6 50/13 | 101/24 | 10/2 | 121/17 121/19 137/22 |
| 51/2 51/4 51/19 51/20 | covering [1] 56/9 | December 1 [1] 10/2 | difficult [4] 6/23 |


| D | Duarte [1] 98/8 <br> Dunn [3] 111/18 111/19 | entitled [3] 8/8 8/9 | exists [1] 112/25 exit [1] 34/4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| difficult... [3] 7/25 | 112/6 | entrance [2] 34/4 | exited [1] 107/1 |
| 120/23 133/16 | E | 62/12 | expecting [1] 65/5 |
| direct [14] 2/3 2/6 | $\pm$ | Entries [1] | expensive [1] 10/4 |
| 2/8 19/22 66/22 71/1 | E-M-I-L-I-A [1] 29/20 | Entry [2] 4/1 9/4 | explain [8] 25/4 28/14 |
| 85/21 95/14 100/21 | e-mail [48] 10/1 26/11 | enunciate [1] 28/6 | 35/8 38/4 51/7 111/21 |
| 103/8 103/9 118/3 | 26/12 26/14 26/18 | equivalent [1] 132/7 | 130/9 140/22 |
| 119/14 129/21 | 26/21 27/1 27/4 28/10 | ESQ [1] 1/20 | explained [11] 20/24 |
| directed [1] 57/22 | 28/14 28/19 29/9 29/17 | establish [2] 17/3 | 37/19 50/12 51/5 51/11 |
| directing [1] 102/17 | 30/2 30/19 68/3 68/6 | 17/17 | 51/13 53/13 107/15 |
| directly [3] 12/18 | 68/7 68/9 68/12 69/16 | establishing [1] | 109/12 112/9 140/21 |
| 14/13 93/2 | 71/14 72/18 93/9 | 113/18 | explaining [2] 33/24 |
| disagree [1] 93/4 | 100/14 100/15 100/16 | estate [1] 11/16 | 103/11 |
| disappear [1] 113/24 | 100/19 100/23 101/2 | estimate [1] 111/17 | explode [1] 132/1 |
| disclose [1] 74/19 | 101/5 102/11 102/15 | et [1] 46/11 | Export [1] 32/6 |
| discovery [2] 97/3 | 110/11 111/17 112/21 | evening [9] 64/9 64/11 | exposure [1] 70/14 |
| 106/17 | 112/22 114/14 114/17 | 64/11 65/1 86/9 88/8 | Express [10] 32/6 |
| discretion [2] 91/14 | 114/24 115/5 115/6 | 96/23 96/25 142/2 | 46/18 46/24 47/13 |
| 145/22 | 115/7 115/15 115/22 | events [1] 97/11 | 47/19 55/9 55/23 55/24 |
| discuss [1] 48/13 | 116/2 116/5 116/5 | everybody [11] 3/2 | 98/2 98/2 |
| discussed [2] 33/8 | e-mails [5] 93/7 | 4/21 8/1 8/4 11/11 | expressing [1] 78/18 |
| 69/15 | 101/15 104/4 104/7 | 11/18 14/2 14/3 14/22 | extend [1] 139/5 |
| discussing [1] 68/6 | 116/2 | 20/14 23/12 | extends [1] 7/5 |
| discussion [1] 47/10 | earlier [5] 8/21 33/21 | everyday [1] 20/3 | extent [2] 44/16 119/1 |
| disorder [1] 74/23 | 58/20 77/7 108/17 | evidence [18] 7/23 | external [1] 87/2 |
| dispute [1] 51/14 | ears [1] 134/10 | 11/22 11/25 15/2 16/23 | extra [2] 8/16 8/19 |
| disrespectful [1] | easier [1] 144/1 | 17/3 17/10 55/23 76/9 | eye [2] 139/17 139/18 |
| 143/23 | East [1] 1/17 | 96/1 103/2 103/13 | eyesight [1] 141/1 |
| DISTRICT [2] 1/1 1/1 | easy [3] 8/2 15/1 | 106/9 113/11 113/12 | F |
| Docket [3] 3/23 3/25 | 31/11 | 116/11 116/13 146/10 | F |
| 9/4 | education [1] 132/8 | evidentiary [17] 1/12 | fabricating [1] 11/19 |
| document [5] 4/12 | effectuate [1] 9/2 | 3/22 4/4 5/1 6/14 7/12 | fabrication [1] 113/24 |
| 73/14 97/8 107/9 | effort [1] 6/17 | 7/13 7/20 7/24 9/16 | face [5] 53/24 53/24 |
| 109/24 | efforts [1] 97/25 | 11/6 15/12 15/20 15/22 | 76/15 121/15 143/12 |
| documented [1] 106/16 | Eileen [3] 99/10 99/12 | 16/16 17/5 100/6 | face-to-face [1] 53/24 |
| documenting [1] 106/9 | 99/22 | ex [4] 84/1 84/2 | Facebook [1] 123/20 |
| documents [2] 61/15 | either [23] 5/17 5/23 | 146/12 146/19 | facilitate [1] 91/15 |
| 96/22 | 14/12 28/5 44/20 53/25 | ex-girlfriend [1] 84/1 | fact [11] 5/15 17/11 |
| doing [16] 19/24 23/5 | 54/1 54/9 61/18 64/11 | ex-wife [1] 84/2 | 46/4 46/7 46/17 50/15 |
| 29/1 30/12 46/21 57/18 | 74/24 83/4 86/7 87/1 | exact [3] 22/12 33/15 | 51/24 52/16 53/7 87/18 |
| 75/24 80/11 80/14 | 94/4 104/20 109/11 | 52/17 | 134/23 |
| 101/17 101/20 101/22 | 109/25 130/18 131/1 | exactly [9] $23 / 13$ 38/2 | faculties [2] 74/14 |
| 101/23 107/12 116/15 | 141/12 141/18 142/15 | 38/8 46/19 110/3 | 74/17 |
| 128/17 | emergency [1] 9/4 | 110/23 111/20 118/9 | failure [1] 78/5 |
| don' [1] 37/8 | Emilia [6] 29/15 29/17 | 132/3 | fair [6] 41/12 41/20 |
| door [26] 34/1 34/3 | 29/18 29/20 29/21 | examination [24] 2/3 | 46/3 49/20 113/8 113/9 |
| 34/3 34/7 34/16 34/20 | 30/19 | $\begin{array}{llllll}2 / 4 & 2 / 4 & 2 / 6 & 2 / 6 & 2 / 7\end{array}$ | faith [1] 124/11 |
| 34/25 61/4 61/20 62/12 | employed [1] 85/16 | 2/8 2/9 19/22 41/8 | fall [1] 100/5 |
| 66/5 78/14 119/18 | employee [2] 13/25 | 48/20 48/22 58/17 | false [4] 12/5 101/10 |
| 119/19 120/1 121/11 | 44/9 | 66/22 73/5 73/7 73/11 | 102/13 125/9 |
| 121/21 121/21 122/4 | employees [1] 13/22 | 84/9 85/21 105/10 | families [1] 134/16 |
| 122/4 123/1 123/4 | employment [4] 46/13 | 106/23 107/1 118/3 | family [18] 4/4 7/6 |
| 132/5 132/24 137/17 | 46/15 49/19 54/2 | 139/1 | 11/16 12/11 13/9 23/15 |
| 143/2 | ended [7] 57/3 57/3 | examine [2] 15/7 130/8 | 23/16 23/17 80/19 |
| doorbell [3] 34/16 | 57/12 57/19 70/1 70/4 | examined [1] 113/14 | 85/25 91/2 94/13 94/14 |
| 34/17 123/6 | 91/12 | examples [1] 131/1 | 94/20 96/2 107/18 |
| doors [1] 144/15 | enforcement [2] 105/16 | exception [1] 18/25 | 130/2 133/16 |
| doubt [2] 64/22 113/17 | 119/15 | excited [1] 34/4 | fancy [1] 45/2 |
| downstairs [4] 22/9 | engage [3] 14/18 14/21 | excuse [4] 10/2 18/20 | far [9] 16/16 42/13 |
| 134/4 134/5 141/23 | 63/9 | 28/4 39/19 | 54/11 55/17 74/11 |
| dressed [6] 44/20 53/3 | engaged [2] 11/15 | excused [6] 18/21 85/4 | 91/15 109/13 111/6 |
| 54/18 65/9 81/9 142/14 | 11/16 | 111/23 112/4 146/2 | 129/21 |
| dressing [1] 44/24 | English [11] 117/22 | 146/4 | father [3] 14/18 97/13 |
| drive [8] 32/22 47/20 | 117/23 117/24 118/1 | execute [1] 107/23 | 97/13 |
| 67/4 87/2 87/3 87/22 | 118/8 126/1 126/4 | exhibit [9] 2/13 2/13 | father's [2] 43/7 43/8 |
| 88/2 88/3 | 140/6 140/10 140/11 | 75/9 75/14 76/4 76/9 | favor [1] 17/22 |
| driving [3] 50/12 | 141/12 | 116/1 116/10 116/11 | FBI [74] 3/10 11/9 |
| 50/20 109/1 | enjoying [1] 95/6 | Exhibit 2 [1] 116/1 | 24/7 24/10 24/20 24/21 |
| drop [2] 51/21 96/14 | enter [2] 118/13 | ExhibITS [1] 2/12 | 28/1 29/10 44/12 44/16 |
| drove [3] 86/8 88/8 | 118/21 | exist [2] 92/20 112/23 | 45/17 45/18 45/22 46/4 |
| 88/21 | entirely [1] 7/21 | existed [1] 109/13 | 46/23 47/13 48/7 51/1 |


| F | Filini's [1] 11/16 | Fort [7] 1/18 1/21 | $31 / 12$ 31/12 $32 / 5$ 32/22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | final [1] 137/11 | 27/21 60/3 60/15 60/18 | $\begin{array}{lllll}33 / 10 & 34 / 14 & 35 / 2 & 36 / 3\end{array}$ |
| FBI... [56] 51/6 51/6 | finally [1] 114/24 | 87/20 | $\begin{array}{llll}36 / 25 & 37 / 12 & 37 / 21\end{array}$ |
| 55/18 59/10 59/13 | Financially [1] 6/4 | Fort Lauderdale [5] | 37/21 37/22 39/24 41/5 |
| 59/15 64/1 64/6 65/22 | find [22] 11/1 11/3 | 27/21 60/3 60/15 60/18 | 42/20 42/25 43/6 47/7 |
| 67/19 70/24 71/2 71/5 | 15/4 15/8 17/6 23/22 | 87/20 | 49/14 60/11 62/19 66/7 |
| 71/7 71/10 71/18 71/20 | 31/3 34/5 46/1 57/16 | forth [2] 9/18 9/18 | 66/10 71/20 71/22 |
| 71/22 76/15 78/6 78/25 | 68/15 68/17 68/19 | forward [3] 11/3 17/3 | 73/10 73/20 76/3 76/23 |
| 79/11 79/15 80/12 83/6 | 68/21 69/2 69/7 83/1 | 109/13 | 76/24 78/11 79/17 |
| 84/15 84/18 86/10 | 90/11 95/5 105/25 | found [7] 23/17 60/2 | 79/18 88/20 89/5 89/23 |
| 87/18 89/23 91/5 94/9 | 119/20 134/15 | 60/7 60/14 68/20 106/3 | 92/5 94/6 94/16 94/23 |
| 95/6 97/8 97/15 101/21 | fine [4] 19/11 20/19 | 109/19 | 96/20 97/18 97/23 |
| 101/23 103/1 104/2 | 51/23 145/19 | four [3] 92/2 93/4 | 99/16 100/12 103/1 |
| 105/15 109/22 121/18 | finish [6] 40/11 48/17 | 106/13 | 103/15 103/18 103/23 |
| 121/22 127/10 127/18 | 58/24 74/9 130/7 | fourth [4] 43/6 92/1 | 103/25 104/15 107/3 |
| 128/21 128/24 129/4 | 137/14 | 92/2 92/3 | 109/13 111/24 116/18 |
| 129/6 130/4 130/14 | finished [4] 47/8 | fraud [6] 14/25 57/17 | 120/6 120/10 122/3 |
| 134/24 135/16 136/25 | 88/13 122/17 137/12 | 101/10 102/13 102/13 | 122/11 123/18 125/21 |
| 137/2 138/2 | firearm [1] 54/22 | 102/14 | 127/23 131/14 131/23 |
| FBI's [2] 30/11 30/17 | firmly [1] 122/5 | frauds [1] 11/17 | 132/17 $133 / 11$ 133/12 |
| FBIs [1] 29/5 | first [28] 4/11 6/17 | free [2] 37/10 37/11 | 134/16 137/18 137/20 |
| FCRR [2] 1/23 147/12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}6 / 23 & 7 / 5 & 10 / 14 & 15 / 13\end{array}$ | freedom [2] 39/5 62/18 | 138/12 138/12 138/12 |
| FDC [7] 28/24 28/25 | $\begin{array}{llllll}15 / 24 & 18 / 12 & 20 / 1 & 27 / 7\end{array}$ | Friday [5] 64/11 64/21 | 141/10 141/23 144/1 |
| 29/1 29/3 68/25 101/15 |  | 65/1 142/25 143/1 | 145/12 |
| 102/23 | 45/10 64/20 86/17 | friendly [2] 44/7 | goes [6] 8/13 47/6 |
| fear [1] 133/10 | 86/19 101/6 116/2 | 44/10 | 48/22 100/4 100/22 |
| feces [1] 129/13 | 121/13 121/24 122/25 | friends [4] 94/14 | 134/17 |
| federal [6] 4/13 44/9 | 123/11 124/18 133/14 | 107/19 123/19 131/11 | going [86] 5/7 6/3 |
| 85/17 89/19 89/21 | 139/5 141/25 142/7 | front [8] 25/10 34/3 | 6/21 7/4 7/11 7/11 |
| 147/7 | firsthand [1] 32/17 | 52/18 62/12 66/13 | 7/18 8/12 9/21 10/16 |
| feel [34] 4/18 22/22 | fix [2] 28/16 112/13 | 67/11 78/10 96/24 | 10/16 14/8 15/3 16/2 |
| 29/8 $37 / 17$ 39/3 $39 / 12$ | flash [2] 76/18 87/2 | full [2] 19/13 85/12 | 16/6 16/8 16/11 18/10 |
| 71/25 72/3 78/11 78/22 | flashed [1] 77/22 | fun [1] 94/23 | 20/6 24/9 25/12 32/8 |
| 79/18 80/2 80/7 80/15 | flashing [1] 76/15 | funeral [1] 6/3 | 32/9 34/9 34/9 35/9 |
| 83/12 117/16 119/22 | flip [1] 88/25 | further [5] 73/3 | $36 / 17$ 37/13 37/25 |
| 120/3 120/3 122/22 | flip-flops [1] 88/25 | 103/14 105/6 106/20 | 38/11 40/5 40/21 40/21 |
| 123/9 124/22 125/3 | floor [1] 111/1 | 106/22 | 49/17 50/8 60/14 67/6 |
| 127/3 127/3 127/7 | flops [1] 88/25 | G | 71/5 71/7 72/7 76/24 |
| 127/10 127/22 127/23 | FLORIDA [7] 1/1 1/8 | G | 78/9 79/10 80/16 80/19 |
| 127/24 129/6 136/15 | 1/18 1/21 1/25 47/24 | G-L-A-N-T-Z [1] 27/17 | 83/14 84/4 93/22 95/18 |
| 136/19 145/12 | 90/1 | gather [1] 98/6 | 98/17 102/10 105/3 |
| feeling [15] 20/4 35/5 | focused [1] 129/25 | gear [2] 65/12 65/13 | 105/4 108/21 109/13 |
| 35/6 40/2 42/14 77/1 | follow [12] 77/23 | GEICO [1] 112/18 | 112/11 112/12 112/12 |
| 78/16 79/14 80/3 80/4 | 84/22 92/14 93/21 94/5 | generate [1] 98/1 | 116/2 116/25 117/8 |
| 80/8 80/9 83/10 128/15 | 95/17 102/25 104/18 | generated [1] 98/9 | 118/11 120/8 121/4 |
| 133/13 | 122/18 136/5 136/24 | getting [4] 32/18 | 123/18 123/23 126/12 |
| feelings [1] 33/19 | 137/3 | 62/23 82/23 83/15 | 128/5 128/12 128/17 |
| fell [1] 80/18 | follow-up [3] 77/23 | girlfriend [1] 84/1 | 128/22 129/6 129/20 |
| fellow [1] 105/18 | 84/22 92/14 | give [27] 9/1 29/11 | 130/7 131/22 132/4 |
| felony [1] 125/10 | followed [20] 79/10 | 29/12 33/20 34/11 49/1 | 133/12 133/16 134/23 |
| felt [14] 22/13 24/17 | 79/11 79/14 79/15 | 49/8 51/17 52/11 61/18 | 135/5 135/5 137/3 |
| 25/2 29/5 31/21 32/1 | $\begin{array}{lllll}93 / 22 & 93 / 23 & 94 / 3 & 94 / 4\end{array}$ | 63/13 75/12 76/19 | 137/5 138/18 140/23 |
| 33/16 33/23 67/17 | 96/7 98/16 103/7 | 81/16 81/20 82/21 | 145/25 |
| 73/16 77/20 77/21 | 123/14 127/24 128/15 | 93/17 103/9 107/25 | Golf [1] 47/19 |
| 120/15 132/9 | 128/21 130/3 135/2 | 108/2 112/16 124/23 | good [20] 3/2 3/8 3/11 |
| Field [1] 85/18 | 135/4 135/8 135/12 | 130/25 132/11 138/14 | $\begin{array}{lllll}3 / 12 & 3 / 14 & 3 / 16 & 3 / 17\end{array}$ |
| Fifth [7] 49/9 124/9 | following [15] 86/13 | 143/20 144/20 | 3/19 3/20 31/18 41/10 |
| 124/23 125/6 140/24 | 96/1 96/9 97/22 98/21 | given [4] 73/18 88/25 | 41/11 78/9 124/11 |
| 141/5 141/7 | 119/14 128/1 128/2 | 110/8 115/4 | 124/15 124/16 136/7 |
| figure [2] 36/7 143/12 | 128/3 132/12 132/12 | giving [1] 126/13 | 139/3 139/4 145/24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { file [3] } \\ & 80 / 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}135 / 14 & 136 / 5 & 137 / 4 \\ 138 / 8 & & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cllll}\text { Glantz } & \text { [4] } & 27 / 12 & 27 / 15 \\ \text { 27/16 } & 38 / 22\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|ll} \text { good-bye [1] } & \text { 145/24 } \\ \text { good-faith [1] } & 124 / 11 \end{array}$ |
| filed [18] 4/3 4/23 | fooling [1] 143/15 | glove [11] 82/23 83/2 | good-looking [1] 136/7 |
| 4/24 7/8 7/19 12/22 | force [2] 70/11 132/5 | 83/4 83/7 84/19 90/2 | government [39] 1/16 |
|  | foregoing [1] 147/7 | 90/5 90/14 106/1 | 3/7 4/5 6/12 6/14 6/18 |
| 13/12 15/12 15/21 17/5 | foremost [1] 139/5 | 112/18 113/3 | $6 / 25$ $7 / 2$ $7 / 17$ $9 / 9$ <br> $/ 24$    |
| 76/5 77/6 118/17 | forget [2] 19/3 76/22 | Gmail [1] 68/10 | 10/5 11/8 11/12 11/24 |
| 130/12 | forgot [1] 20/5 | go [97] 6/5 7/14 7/20 | $\begin{array}{lllllllll}12 / 7 & 12 / 19 & 14 / 24 & 15 / 7\end{array}$ |
| filing [1] 35/7 | form [10] 22/14 97/15 | 7/25 14/2 $15 / 315 / 13$ | 18/1 20/24 39/17 71/8 |
| Filini [8] 12/4 14/8 | 107/22 108/4 108/5 | $\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 24 & 16 / 2 & 18 / 23 & 19 / 4\end{array}$ | 75/11 84/5 84/18 |
| 83/21 84/1 126/9 126/9 | 108/6 108/9 108/13 | 19/20 20/4 22/9 23/2 | 100/21 113/15 113/17 |
| 126/19 126/21 | 108/14 108/16 | 25/5 26/5 27/22 28/16 | 114/9 118/15 118/17 |


| G | [137/23 $\begin{array}{ccc}\text { hard [5] } & 6 / 4 & 87 / 3\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 48 / 18 & 48 / 19 & 48 / 20 \\ 56 / 23 & 58 / 10 & 60 / 5 \\ 60 / 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { Hugo [2] } & 20 / 25 & 21 / 1 \\ \text { huh [1] } & 31 / 22 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| government... [7] | 118/11 121/14 123/20 | 60/20 66/14 66/20 | human [2] 6/25 7/1 |
| 118/22 119/11 119/13 | hat [1] 81/21 | 72/15 73/9 73/17 73/20 | hundred [1] 58/21 |
| 130/2 130/8 139/10 | have not [1] 127/6 | 73/25 75/10 78/4 79/21 | hurt [3] 29/10 29/10 |
| 146/18 | headquarters [1] 51/6 | 81/22 82/5 84/6 84/12 | 30/23 |
| government's [5] 9/13 | health [6] 37/9 37/10 | 84/24 88/19 92/8 92/15 | hurting [1] 37/9 |
| 9/15 15/3 118/13 | 78/12 78/17 122/1 | 93/14 93/25 95/4 95/8 | husband [13] 104/3 |
| 129/21 | 122/1 | 95/20 95/22 96/8 96/18 | 132/2 132/13 133/15 |
| grab [1] 5/11 | hear [18] 6/12 7/22 | 97/4 97/7 97/9 97/17 | 134/5 134/6 134/6 |
| grades [1] 37/4 | 12/18 17/4 17/16 19/18 | 97/20 98/13 98/19 | 134/7 134/13 137/16 |
| grandmother [4] 5/4 | 20/14 20/16 20/18 | 98/22 99/5 99/15 100/1 | 140/2 141/23 145/17 |
| 5/19 6/7 7/7 | 23/13 28/4 $28 / 5$ 90/4 | 100/4 100/7 100/11 | hypertense [2] 139/23 |
| grant [1] 15/3 | 132/25 133/18 $134 / 1$ | 100/16 101/5 101/16 | 139/24 |
| graph [2] 102/24 103/6 | 134/11 134/12 | 102/4 102/7 102/16 | I |
| graphic [1] 93/11 | heard [9] 7/10 8/8 | 102/18 102/21 103/5 | 1 |
| great [1] 50/21 | 45/10 54/16 103/13 | 104/10 104/23 105/3 | I'd [1] 138/13 |
| grocery [2] 98/18 | 126/15 131/4 134/10 | 105/7 106/20 108/8 | I'll [27] 11/4 18/20 |
| 104/16 | 146/9 | 108/10 108/24 110/12 | 18/24 33/20 45/11 57/1 |
| grounds [3] 49/1 125/5 | hearing [55] 1/12 3/22 | 111/16 111/20 111/21 | 60/21 66/21 76/3 76/3 |
| 141/7 |  | 111/22 112/1 112/3 | 76/3 76/5 84/10 93/17 |
| guess [4] 23/10 59/12 | $\begin{array}{lllll}6 / 15 & 6 / 17 & 7 / 3 & 7 / 12\end{array}$ | 112/7 113/2 113/7 | 93/18 95/25 103/9 |
| 83/8 131/10 | 7/13 7/21 7/24 8/6 | 113/22 114/10 114/15 | 105/1 126/23 129/24 |
| guessing [2] 14/9 | 8/13 9/7 9/16 10/4 | 114/23 115/3 115/7 | 135/10 136/18 136/18 |
| 14/10 | 10/9 11/5 11/6 13/11 | 115/18 116/8 116/14 | 138/12 138/24 140/21 |
| guilty [9] 22/25 23/1 | 15/5 15/10 15/12 15/20 | 116/25 117/12 117/13 | 146/9 |
| 23/3 23/11 23/15 23/18 | 15/22 16/16 17/5 17/7 | 117/16 118/10 118/12 | I'm [123] 5/7 5/10 |
| 38/10 80/16 80/18 | 20/11 20/15 40/21 | 118/25 120/23 121/6 | 5/23 6/22 7/4 7/11 |
| gun [7] 45/3 65/20 | 40/22 76/4 77/2 82/7 | 122/12 122/16 124/8 | 8/12 8/21 9/21 10/18 |
| 65/22 65/24 66/1 133/4 | 97/15 100/2 100/6 | 125/22 126/2 126/11 | 12/15 12/18 13/9 13/23 |
| 133/7 | 105/23 114/18 114/19 | 126/17 126/20 127/8 | 14/7 14/10 14/22 15/3 |
| guns [6] 76/16 76/17 | 115/23 124/19 126/24 | 127/12 129/20 130/5 | 15/14 15/16 15/17 |
| 77/22 132/21 132/22 | 131/3 146/11 146/12 | 130/6 130/10 131/20 | 15/19 15/23 16/2 16/8 |
| 133/2 | 146/17 146/18 146/19 | 132/19 135/9 135/18 | 16/15 17/15 17/16 20/6 |
| guy [4] 14/15 14/16 | 146/20 146/24 | 135/21 136/17 $138 / 4$ | 20/10 27/12 30/9 32/8 |
| 14/17 26/21 | hearings [1] 62/ | 138/17 138/24 140/7 | $32 / 9$ 34/9 34/9 36/17 |
| guys [22] 23/10 24/20 | hears [1] 119/9 | 144/20 144/20 145/1 | 36/18 37/8 37/10 37/10 |
| $32 / 5$ 34/8 35/4 35/5 | hearsay [1] 131/16 | 145/1 145/8 145/13 | 38/12 41/11 47/11 |
| 38/10 38/10 38/11 | hell [2] 132/13 137/6 | 146/7 | 49/17 54/14 56/9 56/24 |
| 39/10 79/10 79/10 | help [11] 14/19 30/9 | HONORABLE [1] 1/13 | 62/18 62/19 65/15 |
| 90/19 90/21 91/20 | 33/3 37/24 94/16 94/18 | hope [2] 17/23 45/7 | 74/22 76/18 $76 / 21$ |
| 95/18 107/7 132/21 | 123/19 123/22 133/11 | horrible [1] 139/6 | 76/22 76/24 77/1 79/5 |
| 133/10 133/10 133/12 | 145/11 145/18 | hospital [3] 31/19 | 79/13 79/13 83/22 84/4 |
| 136/15 | helpful [1] 91/1 | 31/20 132/4 | 85/17 89/9 91/19 92/14 |
| H | helping [1] 14/3 | hour [5] 23/6 24/18 | 92/22 95/22 97/4 |
|  | hemorrhaged [1] 139/23 | 53/12 70/2 138/25 | 103/16 103/17 104/11 |
| H-O-W-E [1] 86/15 | hey [1] 62/18 | hours [3] 9/25 108/12 | 105/3 105/4 105/4 |
| hair [2] 28/17 69/9 | hidden [2] 133/23 | 132/2 | 108/20 110/16 111/20 |
| hairdresser [1] 69/13 | 134/7 | house [65] 14/3 21/10 | 111/22 112/11 113/9 |
| half [8] 23/6 24/18 | hire [1] 10/17 | 21/12 22/8 23/21 23/21 | 114/1 116/25 118/7 |
| 53/12 70/2 111/7 111/8 | hold [15] 16/16 36/17 | 23/22 24/21 24/22 | 120/8 120/17 120/18 |
| 112/25 115/19 | 38/12 39/23 40/7 42/11 | $\begin{array}{lllll}24 / 25 & 31 / 1 & 31 / 3 & 33 / 14\end{array}$ | 121/4 121/13 121/15 |
| hand [4] 7/1 9/2 $13 / 7$ | 47/5 58/13 60/8 66/18 | 33/15 37/16 37/19 | 122/9 123/2 123/2 |
| 82/10 | 115/12 119/2 119/6 | 38/15 54/8 63/22 64/1 | 123/18 123/21 125/9 |
| hand-delivery [1] 9/2 | 121/2 137/13 | 64/18 65/3 76/15 78/12 | 126/12 127/23 127/24 |
| handcuffed [3] 72/9 | holding [1] 84/15 | 81/2 82/3 86/22 87/23 | 129/20 131/4 131/21 |
| 107/14 144/13 | home [12] 23/23 34/9 | 89/24 90/23 92/5 92/16 | 131/22 132/11 132/25 |
| handcuffs [3] 76/16 | 34/10 48/1 65/3 76/21 | 92/16 92/24 94/17 | 134/8 136/7 136/15 |
| 76/17 109/9 | 76/22 76/22 134/12 | 94/24 95/2 96/14 96/17 | 137/4 137/5 138/18 |
| handed [2] 24/19 57/4 | 134/15 135/4 137/17 | 119/5 119/25 120/1 | 138/21 139/7 139/20 |
| handle [1] 123/7 | Honor [168] 3/8 3/17 | $120 / 16$ 121/8 121/12 | 139/22 140/17 141/3 |
| hands [1] 133/5 | 3/20 4/7 4/19 5/11 | 121/17 121/18 121/23 | 142/20 143/13 143/13 |
| hanging [1] 42/15 | 5/16 6/13 6/16 8/19 | 121/23 121/24 123/6 | 143/14 143/14 143/15 |
| happen [2] 25/7 56/14 | 8/22 9/8 9/17 10/14 | 126/8 127/19 131/6 | I've [12] 4/10 15/11 |
| happened [7] 22/15 | 11/8 11/20 12/1 12/15 | 131/7 131/25 132/3 | 93/23 94/4 94/21 95/11 |
| 33/16 62/2 78/13 | $\begin{array}{llllll}12 / 20 & 12 / 24 & 13 / 7 & 13 / 17\end{array}$ | 132/14 138/3 141/20 | 95/12 100/6 103/13 |
| 121/10 126/14 133/14 | $\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 22 & 14 / 14 & 15 / 14 & 18 / 9\end{array}$ | 142/2 142/25 144/8 | 131/4 132/14 140/21 |
| happening [2] 69/18 | $\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 17 & 19 / 3 & 20 / 9 & 22 / 14\end{array}$ | 144/10 144/11 | ID [1] 82/13 |
| 79/6 | 29/24 32/8 32/20 33/18 | Howe [3] 86/13 86/14 | idea [4] 69/4 88/7 |
| happy [1] 23/24 | $\begin{array}{llll}36 / 16 & 39 / 14 & 39 / 18\end{array}$ | 89/2 | 128/2 138/11 |
| Harassed [1] 138/2 | 39/19 39/22 $40 / 17$ | Howe's [1] 91/12 | identification [3] |
| harassment [2] 9/23 | 40/20 42/12 42/21 47/2 | huge [1] 123/2 | 86/10 86/25 133/5 |


| I |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { identifications } \\ 82 / 11 \\ \text { identified [1] } \\ \end{array}\right]$ |  |
| 14 |  |

identify [2] 26/1
identity [1] 12/6
IDs [6] 81/22 82/2 82/13 87/5 90/17 132/23
illegal [5] 11/14 14/18 90/3 90/6 90/8
illegally [1] 32/21
imagine [1] 114/21
immigration [1] 86/25
implicate [3] 140/23 141/2 141/5
Import [1] 32/6
Import/Export [1] 32/6 important [1] 59/15 importantly [1] 20/14
Imports [1] 98/2
impression [1] 14/19
improper [1] 80/23
incarceration [1] 93/10
incidents [1] 45/13
including [1] 91/9 incriminate [8] 48/24 49/2 49/7 49/9 124/23 125/1 125/4 141/6
incrimination [4] 124/10 140/24 141/2 141/7
indicate [1] 4/25
indicated [3] 38/14 58/20 118/22
indicating [2] 82/6 123/5
indulgence [1] 146/22
Infinity [4] 50/13 50/15 86/8 105/19
inform [1] 140/18
information [12] 26/13
26/15 29/12 38/22 46/1 46/10 97/25 98/7 128/19 128/23 128/25 130/3
informed [1] 27/10
initial [5] 86/13 87/20 89/3 89/13 89/20
initially [1] 107/14
inmate [1] 29/3
inquire [2] 17/25 131/8
inquired [1] 100/20
inquiring [1] 48/2
inside [13] $33 / 6$ 33/8 37/17 37/19 37/22 87/8 90/2 108/22 108/23 110/4 112/17 112/17 113/3
insurance [1] 112/11 intent [1] 16/17 intentions [1] 104/15 interact [3] 45/15 45/24 67/19
interacted [1] 94/22 interacting [1] 75/23
interaction [9] 44/7 53/11 63/12 64/20 71/11 97/12 119/23

120/4 144/5
interactions [7] 53/24 53/25 60/24 78/6 95/12 95/13 129/21
internet [7] 26/14 26/16 68/16 68/17 68/18 69/2 69/8
interpreted [1] 122/17
interpreter [10] 8/1
$\begin{array}{llll}18 / 8 & 18 / 13 & 19 / 8 & 19 / 10\end{array}$
40/4 116/18 117/7
117/24 122/16
interpreters [2] 1/22 117/10
interrupt [4] 88/14
88/15 109/7 124/8
interrupted [1] 49/18
intervening [1] 54/8
interview [8] 26/3
54/6 57/3 57/3 57/12
63/10 92/21 141/17
intestine [1] 31/7
intestines [1] 129/12
intimated [4] 16/24 23/19 120/3 136/16
intimidate [4] 94/19
94/23 99/4 99/13
intimidated [36] 22/13 $\begin{array}{llll}22 / 22 & 23 / 17 & 31 / 23 & 33 / 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}33 / 16 & 33 / 23 & 35 / 5 & 73 / 13\end{array}$ $73 / 15$ 73/16 73/16 80/4
80/8 94/13 94/21
122/22 123/10 127/3
127/4 127/10 127/18
127/22 127/23 129/6
130/14 130/21 131/2
131/11 131/16 132/9
133/10 133/13 136/11
136/14 136/19
intimidating [5] 38/19
84/1 94/19 129/15
129/22
intimidation [9] 9/23
16/22 22/18 32/20
32/25 95/22 100/4
131/9 131/12
introduce [5] 75/8
113/16 114/3 114/6 115/6
introduced [2] 75/18 116/9
introducing [1] 75/13
inventory [10] 105/19
107/9 107/20 107/23
108/20 108/21 108/21
109/12 109/16 109/21
investigating [1]
70/19
investigation [13]
30/12 30/15 67/9 69/18
85/18 96/3 96/4 96/6
96/10 101/3 101/18
102/10 102/12
investigative [2] 94/1 101/25
investigator [5] 3/18 13/8 40/23 111/2 115/9 invoices [5] 48/3 98/1 98/5 98/7 98/11
involved [9] 30/11
35/23 96/11 101/9
106/13 106/16 109/11

112/10 115/8
irrelevant [1] 60/20 is his [1] 28/17
issue [7] 11/3 15/9
17/25 37/2 40/25 94/7
130/19
issued [2] 8/3 8/15
issues [13] 9/15 10/13
13/19 17/23 18/19
32/18 32/25 93/15
104/24 129/25 130/21
130/23 146/19
it's [55] 4/17 6/23
11/8 13/10 21/5 25/9
25/21 29/21 29/21
29/22 30/16 $34 / 3 \quad 34 / 19$
$\begin{array}{lllll}38 / 6 & 39 / 11 & 43 / 13 & 44 / 7\end{array}$
47/7 48/12 48/21 58/16
58/23 60/20 62/19
62/20 75/21 75/21
78/16 84/10 93/25
94/23 99/2 100/1
104/25 109/9 117/25
119/10 120/23 121/14
122/8 123/20 126/3
130/6 130/23 130/24
132/7 133/16 135/24
135/25 137/22 138/10
138/10 138/10 139/6
146/19
items [12] 21/13 51/2 81/16 85/25 86/2 86/4
86/21 91/21 96/14
96/15 96/17 96/22
J
J. [1] 111/18
J. Dunn [1] 111/18
jail [14] 12/15 13/9
14/22 71/25 87/11
87/12 87/17 87/25 88/6
88/7 88/24 92/17 93/8
134/17
January [4] 6/21 7/8
31/5 147/10
JASON [2] 1/20 77/8
Jeweler [1] 111/19
Jewelers [1] 112/6
job [10] 24/4 24/13
24/13 35/6 62/16 62/19
62/22 83/10 83/14
83/14
John [7] 86/13 86/14
89/2 91/12 110/11
116/5 138/10
JR [2] 111/19 112/6
Juan [1] 43/2
judge [7] 1/14 16/21
36/14 59/24 60/3 61/24
147/2
July [4] $12 / 2 \quad 54 / 1$
59/22 99/19
jump [2] $33 / 25$ 122/3
June [4] 53/25 54/1
59/21 59/22

## K

K-A-E-L-I-N [2] 25/21

## 25/22

Kaelin [14] 25/19
25/22 34/11 64/7 64/25
65/15 66/3 67/22 69/15
$\begin{array}{llll}70 / 14 & 70 / 18 & 71 / 11 & 72 / 4\end{array}$ 83/13
keep [12] 17/24 22/23 23/5 37/12 55/10 57/13 77/2 79/16 89/25
113/24 129/25 138/24
keeping [2] 24/10
24/13
Ken [1] 8/16
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { kept [2] } & 122 / 4 & 128 / 19\end{array}$
kick [3] 34/20 34/20
34/25
kill [2] 28/1 137/3
killing [4] 26/22
26/24 28/12 29/6
kin [1] 91/16
kind [5] $4 / 7$ 23/22
38/6 95/6 95/6
kiss [2] 145/20 145/20
kitchen [2] 34/2 122/6
knew [3] 55/17 107/18 128/20
knock [4] 61/3 121/25 121/25 121/25
knocked [8] 78/14
119/18 120/1 121/21 132/5 132/5 132/6 143/2
knocking [3] 34/2 121/25 131/7
knocks [1] 137/17
know [144] 5/8 5/17
8/18 9/14 9/22 10/19 10/19 11/10 11/17 12/1 $\begin{array}{llll}14 / 8 & 14 / 24 & 15 / 6 & 17 / 1\end{array}$ 17/4 17/12 20/5 20/6 $\begin{array}{llll}22 / 25 & 22 / 25 & 23 / 1 & 23 / 4\end{array}$ 24/1 $24 / 2$ 24/3 $24 / 3$ 24/6 25/7 25/13 26/22 28/9 28/17 29/14 29/18 34/1 36/9 36/12 37/8 $37 / 20$ 40/20 41/14 43/10 $46 / 2$ 47/8 48/12 48/16 50/1 50/8 50/19
54/11 $54 / 14 \quad 54 / 15$ 55/10 55/10 55/11
55/11 55/11 55/16
56/13 56/22 57/15
57/18 57/18 69/2 69/10 69/12 70/8 74/18 76/10 79/14 79/22 79/23
79/24 81/15 81/18 83/16 84/1 87/9 88/8
89/4 89/18 89/24 93/24
95/4 95/4 95/18 101/2
101/24 102/3 102/9
103/5 103/18 104/1
106/12 112/11 121/14 121/25 123/12 123/17 123/18 123/19 123/21 123/22 123/24 124/1 124/19 125/11 126/5 128/1 128/2 128/15 128/20 129/3 130/14 130/17 132/12 132/13 132/15 133/7 134/13 135/4 135/12 $136 / 4$ 136/4 136/5 136/22 136/23 136/25 137/21 137/23 138/6 138/9 138/10 138/17 139/18 139/23 140/19 142/10

| K | 17/24 32/18 73/6 93/15 | $\begin{array}{lllll}26 / 18 & 26 / 21 & 27 / 1 & 27 / 4\end{array}$ | 75/13 75/22 75/25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| know... [6] 142/17 | $110 / 13 ~ 119 / 1 ~ 119 / 10 ~$ $130 / 21$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}28 / 10 & 28 / 14 & 28 / 19 & 29 / 9 \\ 29 / 17 & 30 / 2 & 30 / 19 & 57 / 17\end{array}$ | 137/19 |
| 143/1 143/11 143/12 | line [1] 80/2 | 68/3 68/6 68/7 68/9 | meet [8] 22/10 28/20 |
| 143/13 145/15 | list [8] 52/15 75/13 | 68/12 69/16 71/14 | 28/22 34/12 37/19 |
| knowing [1] 14/19 | 76/2 81/18 86/2 86/6 | 72/18 93/9 100/14 | 61/20 64/17 86/9 |
| knowledge [11] 14/25 | 97/6 109/22 | 100/15 100/16 100/19 | meeting [4] 32/12 35/9 |
| 27/9 28/3 28/12 28/13 | listen [5] 95/18 102/8 | 100/23 101/2 101/5 | 37/16 59/23 |
| 29/7 32/17 33/7 75/22 | 104/1 119/11 143/24 | 101/10 102/11 102/13 | member [1] 27/12 |
| 94/10 138/7 | listened [1] 93/9 | 102/14 102/15 110/11 | members [3] 94/13 |
| known [1] 69/5 | listening [7] 96/2 | 111/17 112/21 112/22 | 105/15 130/2 |
| knows [3] 9/21 101/20 | 101/14 102/3 102/6 | 114/14 114/17 114/24 | memory [6] 25/16 58/20 |
| 130/3 | 102/14 104/7 131/4 | 115/5 115/6 115/7 | 78/5 78/9 78/9 106/12 |
| KREISS [8] 1/20 3/15 | little [15] 8/21 20/10 | 115/15 115/22 116/2 | mental [3] 37/9 74/14 |
| 4/7 6/21 8/24 8/25 | 20/13 28/6 33/20 51/17 | 116/5 116/5 | 74/17 |
| 119/8 146/24 | 53/13 58/23 93/17 | mails [5] 93/7 101/15 | mention [15] 9/6 23/9 |
| L | 112/16 137/6 143/25 | 104/4 104/7 116/2 | 23/9 24/12 38/21 40/22 |
|  | 144/1 144/1 145/15 | makers [1] 112/13 | 56/22 58/6 59/16 59/17 |
| ladies [1] 40/2 | live [8] 5/25 6/1 | making [8] 13/25 17/11 | 83/20 85/24 100/14 |
| $\begin{array}{llll} \text { lady [3] } \\ 112 / 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll} 122 / 7 & 122 / 10 & 124 / 5 \\ 128 / 6 & 137 / 7 & 137 / 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll} 34 / 1 & 40 / 5 & 48 / 3 & 48 / 3 \\ 114 / 1 & 120 / 19 & \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { 123/14 126/10 } \\ \text { mentioned [15] } \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| lady's [1] 29/11 | lived [3] 46/7 66/16 | manner [1] 90/9 | 15/15 26/25 59/18 |
| language [1] 123/11 | 107/19 | MANUEL [4] 19/12 19/15 | 68/12 69/19 71/1 76/14 |
| laptop [1] 21/2 | lives [1] 35/5 | 42/23 68/9 | 76/15 80/15 81/9 83/25 |
| lasted [2] 70/1 144/5 | living [1] 71/22 | Marcel [1] 41/2 | 90/19 132/22 133/9 |
| lasting [1] 120/5 | lobby [1] 62/12 | March [9] 12/4 45/11 | mentioning [1] 28/10 |
| late [1] 138/25 | local [1] 128/13 | 45/16 49/22 54/5 97/11 | message [1] 123/25 |
| Latin [1] 43/5 | located [1] 98/10 | 105/12 139/21 142/1 | messages [1] 24/6 |
| Lauderdale [7] 1/18 | locating [1] 8/21 | March 15 [7] 45/11 | met [10] 28/23 41/25 |
| 1/21 27/21 60/3 60/15 | location [1] 20/21 | 45/16 49/22 54/5 97/11 | 43/11 43/12 62/10 63/4 |
| 60/18 87/20 | lock [1] 70/11 | 105/12 142/1 | 64/15 64/21 68/25 97/1 |
| law [7] 14/14 27/12 | lodge [1] 121/5 | March 29 [1] 12/4 | Miami [8] 30/8 51/6 |
| 27/15 27/16 38/22 | lodged [1] 22/18 | Marco [1] 117/12 | 59/24 60/3 69/10 85/18 |
| 105/15 119/15 | long [6] 14/16 41/24 | Margate [6] 47/24 86/9 | 90/1 108/4 |
| lawyer [16] 10/15 | 42/3 74/11 134/5 | 87/22 88/8 88/21 90/1 | microphone [2] 19/17 |
| 10/16 10/17 10/20 | 134/13 | Maria [3] 77/13 117/13 | 20/13 |
| 10/21 10/23 27/10 | longer [3] 52/3 52/5 | 138/10 | mind [9] 8/20 11/11 |
| 27/12 $30 / 6$ 39/6 $70 / 22$ | 89/1 | Marina [8] 19/8 42/6 | 25/14 30/9 40/5 40/15 |
| 70/23 78/24 79/2 79/3 | look [9] 25/17 34/5 | 77/12 77/14 77/15 94/5 | 58/15 59/18 74/19 |
| 89/10 | 78/2 101/15 112/15 | 117/3 117/5 | minimum [1] 139/18 |
| lawyers [2] 27/14 35/8 | 120/17 120/21 120/21 | marriage [2] 11/15 | minute [12] 4/2 34/24 |
| lay [1] 132/2 | 127/25 | 137/15 | 36/22 72/24 100/7 |
| leading [5] 32/9 58/16 | looking [8] 26/10 36/6 | married [3] 14/15 | 116/14 116/22 119/23 |
| 60/22 73/17 127/13 | 36/10 68/18 71/1 79/16 | 14/16 30/17 | 127/2 137/20 144/21 |
| lease [2] 56/5 56/8 | 135/17 136/7 | marshal [7] 62/25 | 144/24 |
| leased [4] 50/15 50/19 | looks [2] 116/4 116/5 | 82/10 121/8 121/13 | minutes [4] 72/16 |
| 56/6 56/6 | losing [4] 24/12 35/5 | 121/17 127/11 145/23 | 101/6 120/5 144/5 |
| leave [6] 18/11 51/18 | 83/10 83/14 | marshals [6] 87/20 | misappropriated [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & 87 / 11 ~ 123 / 24 \quad 137 / 5 \\ & 146 / 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rrrrr}\text { loss } & \text { [5] } & 4 / 4 & 7 / 1 & 7 / 6 \\ 8 / 5 & 8 / 6 & & & \end{array}$ | 89/13 $120 / 116 / 21$ $127 / 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18/1 } \\ & \text { mischaracterizing [1] } \end{aligned}$ |
| leeway [2] 33/20 93/17 | lot [12] 6/17 15/20 | Martinez [2] 3/19 | 127/13 |
| left [7] 23/21 25/19 | 15/21 22/10 22/12 25/9 | 111/1 | misleading [1] 99/6 |
| 87/10 119/22 137/7 | 36/9 37/22 55/5 55/8 | matter [12] 3/21 4/16 | missing [3] 62/16 |
| 139/18 144/3 | 132/5 141/23 | 59/22 63/13 66/7 70/15 | 141/3 143/14 |
| legal [6] 27/13 27/14 | louder [2] 20/10 20/13 | 84/8 92/9 113/2 146/9 | MITRANI [20] 1/16 2/4 |
| 35/19 35/20 35/22 90/7 | loudly [1] 131/7 | 146/25 147/9 | 2/6 2/9 3/9 4/5 18/16 |
| legally [5] 31/11 | love [2] $42 / 4$ 42/6 | matters [4] 8/8 10/7 | 39/17 41/4 42/20 72/25 |
| 121/15 139/15 139/16 | lying [1] 143/15 | 69/16 146/13 | 73/4 82/4 84/9 84/23 |
| 139/21 | M | MATTHEWMAN [2] 1/13 | 97/2 114/17 138/22 |
| lengthy [1] 46/5 | M | 3/5 | 141/10 146/23 |
| life [16] 23/1 33/16 | M-O-L-L-I-N-E-D-O [1] | May 14 [2] 68/3 68/7 | Molliendo [2] 99/10 |
| 36/14 36/21 36/24 37/1 | 99/12 | mean [15] 6/24 10/20 | 99/12 |
| 39/3 71/22 113/23 | ma'am [20] 42/24 51/8 | 13/3 29/6 59/10 70/15 | mom [26] 24/12 94/23 |
| 132/13 133/10 135/6 | 117/4 121/2 124/13 | 76/18 79/10 92/6 103/7 | 94/24 95/3 95/17 |
| 135/6 136/14 137/5 | 125/12 133/18 134/8 | 104/14 110/2 120/22 | 102/25 104/14 104/15 |
| 137/6 | 135/24 137/14 137/20 | 132/23 143/23 | 104/18 105/4 105/5 |
| light [3] 33/21 87/17 | 138/7 140/22 143/23 | medical [1] 42/16 | 117/17 117/18 117/19 |
| 95/14 | 144/18 144/22 145/10 | medication [10] 16/9 | 120/17 120/18 127/18 |
| lights [1] 135/15 | 145/11 145/14 146/1 | 20/2 42/14 73/21 74/10 | 128/4 136/7 137/11 |
| likes [2] 9/9 59/13 | MAGISTRATE [1] 1/14 | 74/13 74/20 74/22 75/2 | 138/21 140/14 140/18 |
| limited [13] 9/15 | mail [53] 10/1 13/8 | 75/23 | 144/23 144/25 145/2 |
| 10/13 11/5 13/19 15/9 | 26/11 26/12 26/14 | medications [5] 73/16 | moment [3] 19/6 118/10 |


| M | 23/9 26/5 26/12 27/20 | 36/3 48/22 66/18 73/5 | 124/7 138/9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | 27/22 29/14 30/6 32/11 | 73/24 74/25 75/13 77/3 | named [1] |
| moment... [1] 130/9 | $\begin{array}{lllll}32 / 21 & 33 / 4 & 34 / 11 & 34 / 14\end{array}$ | 79/7 82/9 82/12 84/16 | names [8] 25/13 28/11 |
| Monday [13] 5/24 61/17 | $34 / 2435 / 2 \quad 36 / 3 \quad 36 / 21$ | 84/23 85/5 85/20 87/19 | 56/22 68/19 74/22 |
| 62/8 64/14 64/15 64/16 | 36/23 40/11 41/5 41/10 | 88/11 90/25 91/3 91/8 | 117/11 143/9 143/20 |
| 64/22 65/3 65/7 65/9 | 42/25 $45 / 5$ 48/22 58/14 | 92/10 94/6 96/1 96/11 | narrative [2] 22/16 |
| 146/14 146/15 146/17 | 66/18 73/2 $73 / 5$ 73/6 | 97/3 99/1 99/17 100/10 | 120/25 |
| money [3] 52/15 52/17 | 73/22 $73 / 24 \quad 74 / 2 \quad 74 / 25$ | 102/9 102/19 104/6 | narrow [1] 36/18 |
| 137/3 | 75/13 75/13 75/17 76/6 | 105/1 108/7 110/8 | natural [1] 126/3 |
| monitoring [1] 93/7 | 77/3 77/4 77/10 77/16 | 114/4 114/22 115/2 | nature [6] 6/15 38/19 |
| monster [1] 137/16 | 77/18 77/21 78/2 78/7 | 115/4 116/4 116/6 | 63/10 131/2 133/3 |
| month [4] 33/14 94/25 | 79/7 80/13 81/23 82/9 | 116/24 117/15 118/15 | 144/16 |
| 95/1 95/2 | 82/10 82/11 82/12 | 119/8 120/6 120/24 | near [1] 98/4 |
| months [10] 32/2 37/2 | 84/10 84/16 84/23 85/1 | 121/4 122/13 125/21 | necessity [1] 118/14 |
| 111/7 111/8 113/1 | 85/5 85/20 86/7 86/13 | 126/1 126/12 129/24 | neck [1] 122/2 |
| 115/19 130/5 130/12 | 86/14 87/19 88/11 89/2 | 130/11 130/15 132/17 | need [27] 4/12 7/10 |
| 142/24 143/4 | 89/3 89/4 89/25 90/25 | 133/2 134/20 137/9 | 7/22 8/6 10/16 11/5 |
| morning [15] 20/2 | 91/3 91/8 91/12 $92 / 10$ | 137/25 138/16 140/13 | 11/20 18/8 18/13 21/7 |
| 42/14 61/17 81/17 | 94/6 96/1 96/11 97/3 | 141/2 145/7 146/5 | 25/16 34/20 87/12 |
| 87/18 87/25 88/23 | 99/1 99/17 100/10 | 146/23 | 100/7 103/8 104/9 |
| 89/20 90/20 90/22 | 100/20 102/9 102/15 | Mr. Scotton's [3] 89/3 | 112/10 113/13 118/8 |
| 92/25 119/17 137/22 | 102/19 104/6 105/1 | 102/15 116/21 | 118/20 122/13 126/3 |
| 142/25 143/1 | 108/7 110/8 114/4 | Mr. VanBrunt [1] 26/12 | 126/14 129/5 130/9 |
| mother [53] 5/4 5/14 | 114/22 115/2 115/4 | Mrs [1] 16/24 | 145/15 146/18 |
| 5/15 5/18 6/3 6/19 | 116/4 116/6 116/21 | Mrs. [6] 16/23 100/15 | needed [5] 89/12 91/2 |
| 7/16 7/22 8/3 9/23 | 116/24 117/15 118/15 | 126/22 130/20 130/25 | 124/4 128/11 129/4 |
| 12/22 13/13 16/7 16/9 | 119/8 119/8 120/6 | 134/19 | needless [1] 44/11 |
| 17/9 23/10 23/21 32/21 | 120/24 121/4 122/13 | Mrs. Colon [5] 16/23 | needs [4] 18/21 20/14 |
| $33 / 15$ 33/18 40/2 40/5 | 125/21 126/1 126/12 | 126/22 130/20 130/25 | 39/23 42/16 |
| 76/21 77/4 77/15 77/20 | 128/7 128/8 129/24 | 134/19 | nervous [5] 23/24 24/1 |
| 77/20 91/10 93/11 | 130/11 130/15 130/24 | Mrs. Pamela [1] 100/15 | 67/17 119/22 120/3 |
| 93/16 93/21 93/23 94/3 | 132/17 133/2 133/9 | MS [7] 2/4 2/6 2/9 | never [42] 12/23 13/2 |
| 94/16 94/17 95/12 96/9 | 134/1 134/9 134/20 | 42/20 84/9 97/2 141/10 | 13/3 13/11 13/15 17/6 |
| 97/22 98/3 98/10 98/17 | 137/9 137/25 138/16 | Ms. [23] 3/19 4/5 | 23/25 43/11 $43 / 18$ |
| 98/21 102/23 103/5 | 139/25 140/13 141/2 | 18/16 18/21 18/23 19/8 | 44/23 45/2 $45 / 3$ 45/8 |
| 103/18 103/20 103/25 | 145/7 145/19 146/3 | 27/5 39/17 $41 / 4 \quad 72 / 25$ | $\begin{array}{llllllll}46 / 2 & 46 / 21 & 53 / 5 & 54 / 4\end{array}$ |
| 104/13 116/15 116/22 | 146/5 146/23 146/24 | 73/4 82/4 84/23 100/23 | 54/8 55/3 59/8 62/2 |
| 118/16 120/8 139/6 | Mr. Carlos [2] 30/6 | 101/2 114/17 118/14 | 66/3 67/19 70/21 71/5 |
| mother's [4] 43/5 | 139/25 | 119/16 124/13 138/22 | 71/7 71/18 71/20 71/22 |
| 77/11 94/9 96/17 | r. Colo [1] 13/12 | 139/3 139/15 146/23 | 72/9 72/11 76/17 92/21 |
| motion [31] 3/24 4/3 | Mr . Colon [62] 12/22 | Ms. Colon [7] 18/21 | 93/23 94/3 94/4 94/10 |
| 4/3 4/3 4/6 4/10 4/24 | 16/6 16/8 16/12 16/15 | 18/23 101/2 118/14 | 104/19 128/23 130/16 |
| 4/25 5/14 7/11 8/13 | 16/18 16/23 17/8 17/13 | 124/13 139/3 139/15 | 141/14 141/17 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}8 / 14 & 8 / 16 & 9 / 4 & 10 / 25\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}17 / 21 & 18 / 5 & 18 / 7 & 18 / 13\end{array}$ | Ms. Colon's [2] 100/23 | newspaper [3] 128/4 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}11 / 1 & 11 / 13 & 12 / 25 & 13 / 3\end{array}$ | 19/16 19/21 20/9 20/10 | 119/16 | 128/12 129/2 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}13 / 6 & 15 / 4 & 15 / 15 & 18 / 4\end{array}$ | 21/21 22/20 23/9 29/14 | Ms. Marina [1] 19/8 | newspapers [1] 136/6 |
| 20/24 33/21 52/16 84/3 | $\begin{array}{lllll}32 / 11 & 32 / 21 & 33 / 4 & 34 / 24\end{array}$ | Ms. Martinez [1] 3/19 | night [4] 52/12 86/22 |
| 129/24 130/13 130/13 | 36/21 36/23 40/11 41/5 | Ms. Mitrani [11] 4/5 | 90/16 137/22 |
| 130/16 | 41/10 42/25 45/5 73/2 | 18/16 39/17 41/4 72/25 | nighttime [6] 21/9 |
| motions [6] 3/23 7/9 | 73/6 73/22 $74 / 2 \quad 75 / 13$ | 73/4 82/4 84/23 114/17 | 81/3 81/19 90/23 92/23 |
| 8/9 15/11 15/21 15/23 | 75/17 76/6 77/4 77/10 | 138/22 146/23 | 126/8 |
| mouth [1] 58/15 | 77/16 77/18 77/21 78/2 | Ms. Pamela [1] 27/5 | noise [1] 34/1 |
| move [3] 49/17 104/9 | 78/7 80/13 81/23 82/10 | multiple [1] 98/5 | normal [5] 6/13 6/15 |
| 129/22 | 82/11 84/10 85/1 86/7 | N | 89/23 107/13 109/22 |
| moved [2] 9/14 60/17 | 89/4 89/25 100/20 | N | normally [3] 19/2 91/1 |
| movies [1] 134/16 | 130/24 133/9 134/1 | name [59] 9/7 14/17 | 117/20 |
| Mr [14] 16/23 20/13 | 134/9 145/19 146/3 | $\begin{array}{llll}14 / 17 & 19 / 13 & 19 / 14\end{array}$ | North [2] 51/6 90/1 |
| 39/16 47/10 77/5 79/13 | Mr. Colon's [1] 58/14 | $\begin{array}{llll}25 / 15 & 25 / 15 & 26 / 12\end{array}$ | not the [1] 135/24 |
| 84/25 97/18 106/22 | Mr. John [4] 86/13 | 26/13 26/13 26/21 | note [1] 6/19 |
| 110/11 119/11 126/21 | 86/14 89/2 91/12 | 26/21 27/6 27/7 28/15 | number [5] 3/4 32/16 |
| 130/20 136/8 | Mr. Kaelin [1] 34/11 | 28/18 28/18 28/19 | 32/17 91/16 117/1 |
| Mr. [171] 3/12 3/13 | Mr. Kreiss [7] 3/15 | 29/11 30/20 41/2 42/23 | numbers [1] 91/5 |
| 3/15 4/3 $4 / 74 / 10$ 5/13 | 4/7 6/21 8/24 8/25 | 43/5 43/6 43/7 43/8 | numerous [3] 7/8 7/9 |
| 6/21 7/5 8/15 8/23 | 119/8 146/24 | 45/13 $46 / 2$ 50/17 50/19 | 98/9 |
| 8/24 8/25 9/1 10/12 | Mr. Osvani [2] 128/7 | 50/22 50/23 68/13 | nurse [1] 31/16 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 22 & 13 / 12 & 16 / 6 & 16 / 8\end{array}$ | 128/8 | 68/15 68/17 68/19 | 0 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}16 / 12 & 16 / 15 & 16 / 18\end{array}$ | Mr. Scotton [87] 3/12 | 68/19 68/21 69/8 71/15 | 0 |
| 16/23 17/2 $17 / 8$ 17/13 | 3/13 $4 / 3$ 4/10 5/13 7/5 | 72/20 72/21 74/21 | -'clock [15] 21/9 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}17 / 21 & 18 / 5 & 18 / 7 & 18 / 13\end{array}$ | 8/15 8/23 9/1 10/12 | 77/11 85/12 85/13 | 34/10 81/3 81/8 81/16 |
| 19/2 19/16 19/20 19/21 | 17/2 19/2 19/20 20/12 | 85/14 98/1 98/3 98/7 | 90/20 90/22 90/22 |
| 20/9 20/10 20/12 21/16 | $\begin{array}{lllll}21 / 16 & 22 / 17 & 23 / 7 & 26 / 5\end{array}$ | 98/8 99/7 99/8 100/15 | 92/19 92/23 92/25 |
| 21/21 22/17 22/20 23/7 | 27/20 27/22 34/14 35/2 | 117/4 117/17 117/20 | 108/12 108/13 108/17 |


| 0 | 83/18 85/1 86/19 88/16 | 63/14 75/1 89/14 124/5 | 129/16 129/18 135/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -'clock... [1] 126/7 | $88 / 17$ 90/7 93/11 $102 / 21 ~ 105 / 18 ~ 105 / 25 ~$ | $128 / 5$ papers [4] | 135/13 ${ }^{\text {person's [1] } 91 / 17}$ |
| O-T-T-E [1] 116/4 | 106/4 112/24 114/16 | 70/8 70/8 | personal [10] 4/20 |
| oath [2] 113/14 125/7 | 117/21 118/2 121/17 | paragraph [3] 9/18 | 25/6 33/7 46/10 56/17 |
| object [12] 16/21 | 122/25 127/5 137/24 | 9/19 9/19 | 75/18 85/25 87/22 |
| 22/14 $22 / 16$ 32/8 $32 / 9$ | 139/14 142/21 143/25 | Paragraph 6 [2] 9/19 | 109/14 139/5 |
| 47/2 58/10 75/12 | 144/18 144/22 145/1 | 9/19 | persons [2] 26/25 |
| 103/22 113/17 129/20 | 145/14 | paranoid [1] 132/15 | 28/12 |
| 130/10 | old [4] 103/19 122/9 | Pardon [2] 29/16 75/6 | Peter [1] 138/10 |
| objection [68] 11/4 | 122/9 123/22 | parents [2] 94/12 | phone [30] 10/1 22/6 |
| 32/16 33/10 33/18 | older [1] 122/8 | 104/21 | 49/22 81/12 81/14 |
| 36/16 36/18 47/6 49/8 | once [7] 24/5 24/14 | parked [1] 66/12 | 81/21 82/3 87/1 90/16 |
| 56/23 58/13 60/5 60/8 | 24/15 40/11 130/13 | parking [6] 22/10 | 90/24 91/8 91/9 91/11 |
| 66/14 66/19 73/17 | 132/6 145/21 | 22/12 25/9 36/9 37/21 | 91/24 92/1 92/2 92/3 |
| 75/15 75/18 78/4 79/21 | ones [1] 67/20 | 141/23 | 92/5 92/18 92/20 92/20 |
| 80/20 80/22 82/5 84/5 | open [2] 4/13 132/23 | parlor [1] 69/10 | 92/24 93/7 93/9 95/19 |
| 84/7 84/11 92/8 93/14 | Opened [2] 34/7 121/10 | Parmente [1] 117/13 | 96/2 102/6 102/15 |
| 93/18 93/25 95/8 95/20 | operated [1] 129/11 | part [9] 10/1 31/7 | 104/7 124/2 |
| 95/25 96/8 96/18 98/13 | Oppose [2] 6/14 7/2 | 48/11 48/11 62/21 | phones [20] 21/2 87/1 |
| 98/19 98/22 99/5 100/1 | Option [2] 51/3 62/3 | 89/19 101/3 105/16 | 90/20 90/21 90/22 91/1 |
| 100/16 101/7 101/16 | options [2] 107/15 | 129/12 | 91/4 91/14 91/25 92/3 |
| 102/1 102/4 104/23 | 109/13 | parte [2] 146/12 | 92/4 92/6 92/6 92/21 |
| 105/1 108/5 108/24 | order [9] 3/1 4/23 9/5 | 146/19 | 92/22 92/22 92/25 93/4 |
| 110/6 110/12 110/19 | 10/2 10/3 17/23 76/5 | participate [1] 109/11 | 106/13 106/16 |
| 111/14 114/9 114/16 | 98/4 139/12 | particular [4] 27/13 | photo [1] 114/20 |
| 115/22 118/6 120/19 | ordered [1] 98/10 | 45/14 87/10 93/22 | photograph [2] 113/16 |
| 125/25 126/11 126/20 | organization [1] | particularly [1] 9/10 | 114/21 |
| 127/8 127/12 133/17 | 101/21 | parties [2] 6/18 93/16 | photographic [1] |
| 135/9 135/10 135/18 | Osvani [5] 98/7 124/7 | partner [2] 65/14 | 106/12 |
| 135/21 136/18 | 128/7 128/8 128/14 | 65/17 | photographs [5] 114/6 |
| obligation [1] 10/25 | Otte [1] 116/4 | pass [2] 5/19 115/25 | 114/11 114/14 115/5 |
| observed [1] 126/15 | outrage [1] 11/9 | passed [3] 5/4 5/15 | 116/2 |
| obsessed [1] 79/19 | outside [12] 13/9 | 6/2 | pick [7] 51/17 51/25 |
| obviate [3] 7/21 | 18/23 31/8 $33 / 6$ 38/15 | passing [2] 8/20 139/6 | 88/6 89/8 107/17 |
| 118/20 119/14 | 83/16 84/10 116/15 | passport [3] 83/4 83/6 | 110/25 134/17 |
| Obviously [1] 9/9 | 126/23 129/13 144/10 | 86/10 | picked [2] 52/2 89/20 |
| occurred [2] 109/16 | 144/ | Patricia [1] 117/12 | pickups [1] 48/6 |
| 112/25 | overheard [1] 130/1 | pause [2] 122/15 | picture [6] 92/2 96/16 |
| October [8] 63/25 | overrule [6] 57/1 | 122/16 | 112/20 114/1 114/4 |
| 63/25 64/21 64/22 | 60/21 66/21 66/23 | pay [3] 50/23 112/12 | 136/6 |
| 71/11 119/4 119/15 | 93/18 95/9 | 112/18 | pictures [3] 92/4 |
| 142/24 | Overruled [3] 47/6 | payroll [1] 46/21 | 112/21 112/24 |
| October 18 [4] 63/25 | 108/25 138/5 | PD [1] 116/7 | piece [3] 21/5 61/23 |
| 64/21 119/4 119/15 | Owned [1] 113/19 | peace [5] 132/10 | 63/14 |
| October 21 [1] 64/22 | Owner [1] 108/22 | 132/11 132/16 137/7 | pieces [2] 89/1 110/25 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Offer [4] 8/5 } 118 / 12 \\ & 123 / 22 \quad 124 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ | 138/14 eephole [1] $34 / 5$ | $\begin{array}{\|cccc} \text { place } & {[12]} & 28 / 16 & 32 / 2 \\ 36 / 8 & 54 / 2 & 93 / 12 & 103 / 19 \end{array}$ |
| offering [2] 11/22 | P-A-I-G-E [1] 116/3 | pending [2] 76/11 | 128/9 129/8 129/8 |
| 124/3 | p.m [2] 1/9 147/3 | 121/4 | 129/10 135/25 135/25 |
| Office [5] 85/18 91/6 | package [1] 52/13 | people [29] 11/13 | placed [1] 94/11 |
| 91/13 101/14 107/22 | packages [5] 48/2 48/4 | 11/20 12/16 14/21 | places [2] 129/15 |
| officer [7] 110/20 | 48/6 128/8 128/14 | 23/19 33/1 62/20 77/24 | 129/16 |
| 110/25 111/2 112/22 | PAGE [1] $2 / 2$ | 89/10 89/24 93/13 | plain [4] 44/21 54/19 |
| 115/8 115/16 116/6 | paid [1] 113/4 | 94/16 94/17 94/20 | 65/9 142/15 |
| officers [1] 89/22 | Paige [1] 116/3 | 103/24 104/1 121/12 | Plaintiff [1] 1/5 |
| Official [1] 1/24 | pain [1] 31/10 | 122/8 123/22 128/2 | plan [4] 35/19 35/20 |
| Officials [2] 42/18 | pair [2] 86/11 88/25 | 130/14 131/15 135/5 | 35/22 35/25 |
| 119/16 | Palm [2] 1/8 1/25 | 136/4 136/5 136/14 | plead [4] 23/11 38/10 |
| oh [4] 19/10 59/19 68/22 74/21 | $\begin{array}{llll} \text { Palmer } & {[1]} & 139 / 17 \\ \text { Pamela } & {[33]} & 26 / 12 & 27 / 5 \end{array}$ | 136/24 142/24 143/2 | 80/16 80/18 |
| okay [67] 6/11 13/18 | 27/7 27/8 28/16 28/20 | perception [1] 131/14 | please [13] $3 / 7$ 18/7 |
| 17/1 18/15 20/4 20/4 | 28/21 28/22 28/23 29/1 | period [2] 54/8 69/5 | 19/13 20/12 85/10 |
| 20/8 22/1 22/8 22/11 | 29/9 29/19 29/21 29/22 | perjurious [1] 125/9 | 85/12 93/19 117/4 |
| 24/20 $30 / 4 \quad 30 / 9 \quad 34 / 23$ | 29/23 29/24 29/25 30/1 | perjury [1] 124/10 | 117/11 132/15 137/5 |
|  | $30 / 2$ 30/3 30/19 30/21 | permission [1] 91/11 | 140/14 145/1 |
| 40/16 41/3 41/5 41/14 | 30/22 68/3 68/9 68/12 | permitted [1] 17/20 | pleasure [1] 94/24 |
| 42/12 42/25 43/10 | 68/24 68/25 69/12 | person [20] 17/8 24/9 | plot [3] 26/22 28/12 |
| 44/10 44/16 45/10 48/9 | 69/13 72/18 100/15 | 26/15 30/2 37/7 52/25 | 78/24 |
| 49/4 51/9 54/16 55/14 | 101/2 | 69/9 69/11 69/12 77/25 | plug [1] 87/3 |
| 56/1 56/12 61/12 61/22 | paper [10] 21/6 25/15 | 78/16 78/23 98/12 | po [2] 95/4 95/4 |
| 62/3 64/11 65/24 72/24 | 26/10 26/11 61/23 | 99/14 106/5 121/16 | po-po [1] 95/4 |


| P | $\begin{array}{\|cccc} \hline \text { privilege } & {[4]} & 4 / 14 \\ 4 / 15 & 94 / 1 & 101 / 25 \end{array}$ | providing [1] 87/24 <br> psychiatry [1] 75/23 | 60/11 67/15 68/7 73/3 73/8 74/3 76/11 77/23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| point [17] 7/15 16/15 | privileges [1] 10/1 | public [2] 4/13 4/24 | 84/12 84/22 92/10 |
| 18/9 18/11 36/13 65/25 | pro [2] 1/19 32/10 | publicly [1] 4/23 | 93/13 97/19 103/14 |
| 79/9 93/5 95/23 95/25 | probably [3] 8/12 26/8 | published [1] 128/12 | 104/2 105/6 105/8 |
| 110/16 113/18 120/18 | 44/14 | Publix [1] 98/17 | 106/20 106/22 111/25 |
| 120/19 125/3 131/11 | problem [8] 7/7 9/12 | Puerto [1] 43/2 | 120/9 120/20 123/20 |
| 132/22 | 76/1 80/17 80/19 | pull [5] 19/17 25/11 | 125/15 132/18 134/20 |
| police [13] 86/10 87/8 | 107/23 117/18 128/9 | 25/14 26/10 54/21 | 136/9 137/9 138/19 |
| 87/9 87/14 110/20 | problems [7] 38/1 74/1 | pulling [1] 36/11 | 138/23 141/5 145/4 |
| 110/25 111/2 112/22 | 122/1 122/1 122/2 | Pure [1] 95/24 | 145/6 145/9 |
| 115/8 115/13 115/16 | 122/2 137/17 | purpose [6] 15/10 17/4 | quicker [1] 144/1 |
| 116/6 128/21 | procedure [2] 108/19 | 17/7 73/24 113/18 | quickly [1] 122/3 |
| policy [1] 109/9 | 109/22 | 130/24 | R |
| polite [2] 121/20 | procedures [1] 89/19 | purposes [4] 97/14 | R |
| 132/8 | proceed [16] 7/24 8/4 | 114/18 114/19 115/23 | race [2] 112/8 114/2 |
| Pompano [3] 32/2 98/4 | 8/6 19/21 74/4 76/12 | purse [1] 139/17 | raise [2] 49/8 100/21 |
| 107/18 | 85/20 89/23 92/12 | pursuant [1] 10/9 | raised [3] 53/5 55/3 |
| portion [2] 7/13 | 100/10 107/13 117/15 | pursuit [1] 107/12 | 100/20 |
| 146/10 | 117/16 131/20 131/22 | purview [1] 60/11 | rang [1] 91/8 |
| Portuguese [14] 8/1 | 134/21 | push [1] 138/18 | Raton [2] 110/20 116/7 |
| 19/10 40/4 116/18 | proceeding [5] 47/7 | put [23] 5/17 14/17 | reacquire [1] 87/25 |
| 117/7 117/10 117/22 | 104/11 111/15 125/7 | $30 / 18$ 31/6 $35 / 4$ 35/12 | read [10] 4/10 4/17 |
| 117/24 117/25 117/25 | 140/16 | 43/5 45/17 49/18 50/22 | 8/20 13/11 13/13 15/11 |
| 118/5 125/24 143/16 | proceedings [4] 43/16 | 50/23 58/14 77/22 94/9 | 17/6 57/17 140/6 |
| 143/18 | 140/20 147/3 147/8 | 94/25 95/4 107/15 | 146/10 |
| position [5] 9/13 9/15 | process [4] 35/13 | 112/17 118/20 124/4 | ready [5] 41/4 74/9 |
| 15/14 15/17 75/12 | 45/25 107/20 109/12 | 128/4 128/5 130/24 | 100/10 145/11 145/12 |
| possessed [1] 10/5 | processed [1] 88/1 | putting [2] 39/6 129/1 | real [2] 11/16 138/25 |
| possession [2] 20/22 | processing [4] 91/6 | Q | realize [1] 32/10 |
| 109/25 | 91/7 91/15 107/21 |  | really [16] 26/8 33/9 |
| possibility [1] 87/24 | produced [1] 97/3 | quality [1] 112/14 | 42/13 45/20 46/20 |
| possible [5] 30/10 | product [1] 4/15 | quash [4] 8/14 9/5 | 46/21 48/13 48/21 |
| 58/2 59/19 77/19 147/1 | professional [2] 95/14 | 9/14 15/4 | 83/12 117/1 123/17 |
| potential [2] 8/10 | 147/6 | question [107] 4/11 | 126/12 131/18 132/14 |
| 100/14 | professionally | 12/13 17/13 18/13 20/1 | 139/5 143/21 |
| precisely [1] 66/21 | 67/22 | 22/15 22/17 22/21 | realm [1] 131/16 |
| prefer [3] 44/11 135/4 | projects [1] 37/6 | 27/23 28/4 $28 / 5$ 33/11 | Realtime [1] 147/7 |
| 139/12 | prominently [1] 9/18 | $\begin{array}{llll}36 / 18 & 36 / 22 & 38 / 13\end{array}$ | reason [33] 4/18 9/6 |
| prefix [1] 97/7 | proof [2] 108/2 129/3 | 48/17 48/24 49/7 58/16 | 9/8 28/8 28/9 29/9 |
| preparation [1] 105/23 | proper [1] 121/5 | 58/18 60/12 60/22 | 30/12 30/19 45/5 46/25 |
| prepared [1] 118/17 | property [36] 3/25 | 70/17 $72 / 17$ 76/10 | 51/14 64/22 70/23 78/7 |
| presence [1] 144/11 | 52/7 53/7 53/9 82/7 | 76/11 76/16 77/9 78/5 | 78/25 80/8 80/17 87/10 |
| present [24] 1/22 | 87/4 87/10 87/11 87/13 | 79/7 80/23 82/14 83/9 | 92/16 93/22 94/14 96/6 |
| 32/11 41/2 75/20 78/19 | 87/17 87/22 88/22 89/2 | 83/19 83/22 83/23 | 97/22 97/24 98/18 |
| 78/20 107/13 108/13 | 89/10 89/16 89/21 | 84/14 88/12 88/15 | 102/25 107/7 111/18 |
| 108/20 108/22 109/19 | 89/23 92/17 92/18 | 88/18 89/6 90/4 90/9 | 111/20 122/22 122/25 |
| 109/20 110/2 110/3 | 94/11 96/21 96/24 97/2 | 90/9 91/19 91/19 92/13 | 129/1 135/3 |
| 112/11 113/11 113/12 | 97/5 97/6 97/10 97/15 | 93/2 93/18 93/19 94/15 | reasons [1] 30/25 |
| 116/12 133/18 133/20 | 106/9 106/17 107/9 | 95/10 99/1 99/2 99/3 | recall [13] 30/13 32/3 |
| 133/22 134/1 134/12 | 109/14 109/24 109/24 | 99/17 99/23 100/18 | $32 / 7$ 33/4 56/11 $86 / 4$ |
| 146/18 | 110/3 142/3 142/5 | 100/24 102/7 102/20 | 90/2 90/5 96/24 97/9 |
| presented [2] 75/21 | proposing [2] 118/16 | 102/22 102/22 103/8 | 98/8 102/23 108/17 |
| 89/11 | 119/12 | 103/17 103/17 103/22 | recall about [1] 56/11 |
| pressure [1] 42/13 | prosecution [3] 19/1 | 103/25 104/9 108/5 | recasting [1] 80/21 |
| pressured [1] 14/24 | 85/24 125/11 | 109/6 109/8 110/14 | receipt [10] 32/6 |
| pretty [6] 11/24 31/17 | prosecutor [24] 10/15 | 117/21 120/18 120/24 | 32/18 96/21 96/24 97/2 |
| 41/22 53/11 67/17 | 10/20 10/21 10/24 11/2 | 121/3 121/4 121/5 | 97/5 97/15 102/24 |
| 73/14 | 37/24 37/25 73/7 73/13 | 122/12 122/14 122/18 | 109/24 111/16 |
| prevent [1] 35/17 | $\begin{array}{lllll}75 / 4 & 75 / 6 & 76 / 16 & 76 / 20\end{array}$ | 122/19 124/1 125/5 | receipts [4] 87/ |
| previously [2] 7/19 | 81/9 81/15 83/20 83/25 | 127/6 129/14 129/14 | 97/11 106/9 106/17 |
| 77/6 | 90/19 91/20 92/6 | 130/25 131/10 133/1 | receive [1] 63/3 |
| print [12] 32/3 93/12 | 100/14 103/22 124/18 | 135/20 135/23 135/25 | received [9] 2/12 4/2 |
| 98/4 98/6 98/9 104/22 | 133/11 | 136/1 136/3 136/10 | 8/14 49/22 76/9 86/8 |
| 124/4 128/10 128/11 | protect [2] 9/9 76/5 | 136/19 137/11 137/14 | 108/15 116/11 130/1 |
| 128/11 128/16 131/5 | protective [1] 9/5 | 138/6 140/15 140/19 | recharacterizing [1] |
| printer [1] 129/7 | prove [2] 92/3 108/22 | 140/19 140/23 141/1 | 80/21 |
| prior [1] 45/16 | provide [7] 4/8 87/16 | 143/24 | recognize [2] 26/11 |
| prisoner [1] 89/20 | 109/2 128/18 128/23 | questioning [1] 19/2 | 43/23 |
| privacy [1] 76/6 | 128/25 131/1 | questions [43] 15/8 | recollect [2] 93/13 |
| private [1] 66/7 | provided [3] 8/22 | 39/15 39/17 48/14 55/5 | 96/15 |
| privately [1] 10/10 | 97/25 108/16 | 55/8 55/22 56/3 56/17 | recollection [13] 78/3 |


| R | $\begin{array}{lllll} \hline 13 / 24 & 14 / 14 & 83 / 21 & 84 / 1 \\ 91 / 9 & 129 / 19 & & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll} \hline 22 / 4 & 23 / 7 & 23 / 20 & 24 / 2 \\ 24 / 24 & 25 / 2 & 25 / 24 & 26 / 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \hline 114 / 4 & 114 / 20 & 114 / 22 \\ 115 / 2 & 115 / 16 & \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| recollection... [12] | repeat [3] 93/19 | 26/9 27/20 28/3 29/5 | ROSENBAUM [3] 1/2 3/5 |
| 82/2 86/6 87/4 87/15 | 136/22 136/23 |  | 60/3 |
| 87/21 88/1 89/11 90/13 | repeatedly [1] 134/6 | $32 / 1132 / 15$ 36/2 $38 / 15$ | routes [2] 79/17 79/17 |
| 90/25 92/1 108/16 | repeating [7] 22/23 | $\begin{array}{lllll}38 / 17 & 38 / 24 & 39 / 3 & 39 / 4\end{array}$ | Roy [11] 3/10 43/20 |
| 110/21 | 22/23 23/2 23/2 23/5 | 39/11 40/24 41/1 41/4 | 44/3 44/17 $44 / 17$ 55/1 |
| recommend [4] 37/21 | 38/7 38/7 | 41/7 42/1 $42 / 15$ 42/16 | 55/17 83/13 85/11 |
| 37/21 37/22 70/7 | repetitive [1] 9/21 | 43/14 43/20 44/3 44/14 | 85/14 122/22 |
| record [10] 8/22 8/25 | rephrase [1] 110/14 | 44/17 45/21 46/8 47/12 | Roy's [1] 26/13 |
| 19/14 20/15 85/13 | replaced [1] 112/14 | 47/13 $48 / 25 \quad 50 / 15$ | RPR [2] 1/23 147/12 |
| 117/11 127/2 127/5 | replied [1] 27/8 | 51/24 52/10 52/16 | rule [3] 10/9 49/12 |
| 127/6 147/8 | report [4] 11/10 12/5 | 53/11 54/13 55/9 55/24 | 125/2 |
| recorded [1] 26/3 | 111/1 115/13 | 56/1 56/5 56/18 57/1 | S |
| recorder [3] 25/11 | reporter [6] 1/23 1/24 | 58/5 58/9 59/3 59/11 |  |
| 58/23 70/9 | 19/19 20/15 147/6 | 59/13 60/10 60/21 62/5 | sad [1] 31/10 |
| recording [2] 25/11 | 147/7 | 62/10 63/2 64/12 64/25 | safety [1] 78/12 |
| 70/9 | reports [6] 11/9 12/1 | 67/2 67/11 67/17 67/20 | San [1] 43/2 |
| records [5] 56/1 57/8 | 12/2 13/25 92/15 96/17 | 67/22 68/4 68/13 69/15 | sat [3] 67/2 67/11 |
| 57/10 57/11 61/19 | represent [2] 27/14 | 69/17 69/20 70/1 71/14 | 67/13 |
| recover [1] 112/12 | 64/20 | 72/11 72/14 73/5 73/10 | satisfied [1] 122/5 |
| recovering [1] 31/2 | representing [1] 11/2 | 74/7 74/13 74/16 74/25 | Saturday [2] 64/12 |
| redirect [6] 2/4 2/7 | request [5] 8/2 18/10 | 75/3 75/17 78/10 79/2 | 65/2 |
| 73/5 73/8 73/11 107/1 | 104/19 105/18 124/17 | 79/7 81/3 82/14 82/19 | saw [13] 13/2 13/3 |
| refer [2] 9/11 117/20 | requested [5] 15/23 | 84/22 85/5 85/19 86/16 | 13/15 61/6 61/7 62/9 |
| referring [5] 30/1 | 88/1 88/3 94/5 94/10 | 87/8 88/5 89/5 90/19 | 63/13 65/22 101/5 |
| 77/4 77/5 87/13 101/10 | requesting [1] 91/10 | 93/1 94/6 97/5 97/14 | 110/25 111/11 115/16 |
| refresh [4] 25/16 30/9 | requests [1] 8/11 | 97/18 99/13 99/16 | 141/25 |
| 78/8 82/1 | required [2] 61/16 | 100/10 101/7 102/19 | saying [23] $12 / 4$ 17/15 |
| refuse [6] 48/25 | 62/7 | 105/8 108/19 111/14 | 17/16 23/14 26/3 26/10 |
| 124/25 124/25 125/5 | requirement [1] 128/10 | 111/24 112/2 113/1 | 26/11 45/3 47/11 48/10 |
| 140/25 141/6 | residence [3] 66/16 | 114/3 114/13 114/20 | 48/14 $49 / 19$ 56/14 |
| regarding [6] 11/3 | 119/16 119/17 | 114/22 115/2 115/4 | 57/21 57/21 57/22 59/6 |
| 17/25 18/19 97/25 | resolved [1] 8/7 | 115/24 116/1 116/9 | 62/18 104/12 119/1 |
| 107/16 115/1 | respect [4] 78/6 96/3 | 116/12 116/17 117/2 | 124/11 125/9 135/7 |
| Registered [1] 147/6 | 96/4 102/4 | 117/6 117/8 117/15 | says [4] 4/3 42/24 |
| regret [1] 48/10 | respectful [2] 44/18 | 120/6 120/10 121/6 | 115/16 137/ |
| regular [1] 53/3 | 63/5 | 124/12 124/20 124/24 | scared [3] 70/23 71/2 |
| related [2] 45/14 | response [5] 48/2 49/1 | 125/15 125/20 130/15 | 95/3 |
| 103/12 | 49/6 49/8 121/3 | 131/17 131/23 132/16 | scenario [3] 11/17 |
| release [2] 87/16 | responses [2] 73/2 | 133/1 133/24 134/8 | 12/5 31/2 |
| 89/16 | 73/19 | 134/18 136/8 137/8 | scheduled [2] 57/6 |
| released [1] 89/21 | responsible [2] 51/15 | 137/20 138/15 138/19 | 146/12 |
| releasing [1] 109/25 | 62/19 | 138/22 139/17 140/22 | school [1] 76/23 |
| relevance [7] 9/15 | responsive [1] 61/16 | 140/24 141/10 141/12 | scope [16] 48/19 48/20 |
| 10/12 32/10 32/17 | restate [1] 58/17 | 141/25 142/13 142/18 | 56/25 60/9 60/11 66/20 |
| 32/25 82/6 93/14 | restricting [1] 9/25 | 144/8 145/3 145/6 | 82/6 84/10 92/9 93/15 |
| relevant [7] 15/5 15/5 | retinas [1] 139/23 | 145/10 146/5 146/8 | 100/2 100/5 104/24 |
| 15/8 15/9 47/7 111/15 | return [16] 21/13 22/6 | rights [7] 49/10 76/6 | 110/12 126/24 131/3 |
| 130/23 | 51/1 53/7 53/9 65/2 | 124/9 140/15 140/15 | SCOTTON [103] 1/7 3/4 |
| rely [1] 118/19 | 81/17 85/24 87/19 | 140/18 141/2 | 3/12 3/13 4/3 4/10 |
| remain [2] 124/20 | 87/23 89/10 91/20 | RM [9] 9/6 9/12 10/13 | 5/13 7/5 8/15 8/23 9/1 |
| 140/22 | 92/17 124/1 142/2 | 11/5 12/7 12/13 12/18 | 10/12 17/2 19/2 19/20 |
| remained [1] 142/6 | 142/5 | 13/18 14/12 | 20/12 21/16 22/17 23/7 |
| remember [44] 20/7 | returned [22] 20/25 | Rob [1] 30/7 | 26/5 27/20 27/22 34/14 |
| 21/4 21/5 21/15 23/13 | 52/23 65/7 65/9 85/23 | Roger [23] 42/4 45/12 | 35/2 36/3 39/16 48/22 |
| 25/18 27/5 30/6 31/3 | 85/25 86/7 86/9 86/12 | 49/25 49/25 50/3 50/19 | 49/25 50/3 52/5 56/18 |
| 31/4 38/2 38/8 40/22 | 86/21 86/23 88/7 88/21 | 51/13 $52 / 5$ 53/13 56/7 | 57/23 66/18 $73 / 5$ 73/24 |
| 52/15 56/4 56/14 56/15 | 88/24 89/2 89/2 92/6 | 56/18 57/13 57/23 | 74/25 75/13 77/3 77/5 |
| 56/16 59/3 59/6 61/25 | 96/22 96/25 97/6 106/5 | 57/25 58/3 58/7 58/12 | 79/7 82/9 82/12 84/16 |
| 74/5 74/6 74/7 74/8 | 109/15 | 59/8 59/9 68/6 71/25 | 84/23 84/25 85/5 85/20 |
| 74/12 74/21 81/11 | returning [3] 92/19 | 114/25 116/4 | 87/19 88/11 90/25 91/3 |
| 81/20 81/23 81/24 82/6 | 107/10 109/25 | ROGERIO [7] 1/7 3/4 | 91/8 92/10 94/6 96/1 |
| 82/16 82/20 82/21 | reviewing [1] 78/8 | 22/25 23/3 49/25 55/15 | 96/11 97/3 $97 / 18$ 99/1 |
| 82/23 86/21 86/23 87/5 | reviews [1] 75/11 | 133/15 | 99/17 100/10 102/9 |
| 98/2 110/21 110/23 | Rico [1] 43/2 | Rolex [28] 10/4 18/2 | 102/19 104/6 105/1 |
| 127/20 140/14 | right [193] 3/6 3/21 | 82/24 82/25 83/1 83/2 | 106/22 108/7 110/8 |
| remembered [1] 111/3 | 4/10 $5 / 115 / 3$ 5/13 $5 / 19$ | 83/3 84/16 84/19 90/2 | 114/4 114/22 114/25 |
| remembering [1] 74/1 | 6/11 7/4 7/10 9/3 9/11 | 90/5 90/11 97/16 106/1 | 115/2 115/4 116/4 |
| remove [1] 109/9 | $\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 8 & 10 / 25 & 12 / 21 & 14 / 1\end{array}$ | 106/3 110/15 111/11 | 116/4 116/6 116/24 |
| removed [1] 129/12 | $\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 14 & 16 / 20 & 18 / 5 & 18 / 12\end{array}$ | 112/9 112/13 112/13 | 117/15 118/15 119/8 |
| Renata [8] 11/15 13/21 | 18/23 19/4 19/10 19/20 | 112/13 112/25 113/19 | 119/11 120/6 120/24 |


| S | 68/3 72/18 73/14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 140/23 } \\ & \text { imple [1] } 12 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 141/12 } 144 / 3 \\ & \text { pecial [22] } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCOTTON. . [20] 121/4 | 128/7 | single [4] 58/24 58/25 | 18/21 43/20 60/24 64/6 |
| 122/13 125/21 126/1 | separate [2] 40/25 | 58/25 103/21 | 64/25 65/15 66/3 67/22 |
| 126/12 129/24 130/11 | 102/11 | sir [24] 3/14 5/2 | 69/15 70/14 70/18 |
| 130/15 132/17 133/2 | September [3] 114/25 | $\begin{array}{lllll}12 / 12 & 14 / 7 & 14 / 10 & 15 / 2\end{array}$ | 71/11 72/3 72/11 85/17 |
| 134/20 136/8 137/9 | 115/1 116/3 | $\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 19 & 16 / 5 & 16 / 13 & 18 / 3\end{array}$ | 101/17 105/12 119/24 |
| 137/25 138/16 140/13 | September 8 [2] 115/1 | 18/6 18/14 22/3 41/6 | 141/14 141/17 143/6 |
| 141/2 145/7 146/5 | 116/3 | 47/22 48/23 64/23 | specific [3] 108/17 |
| 146/23 | serve [1] 121/9 | 74/25 75/16 76/8 85/2 | 130/2 131/9 |
| Scotton's [3] 89/3 | served [11] 41/15 | 101/20 103/8 124/6 | specifically [2] 12/13 |
| 102/15 116/21 | 59/23 60/25 61/12 | sit [3] 25/9 25/10 | 102/23 |
| se [3] 1/19 1/21 32/10 | 63/16 95/12 119/25 | 116/22 | specificity [1] 96/25 |
| seal [2] 4/12 76/5 | 142/8 142/11 142/14 | sitting [2] 13/9 90/13 | speculation [1] 95/24 |
| sealed [7] 4/3 4/12 | 142/21 | situation [15] 23/22 | speech [1] 130/7 |
| 4/14 4/18 4/18 4/24 | serves [1] 62/3 | 23/25 31/14 31/17 | speed [1] 107/20 |
| 146/20 | service [2] 9/2 124/2 | 36/24 45/14 83/11 | speeding [1] 79/17 |
| search [15] 90/3 90/6 | services [1] 128/13 | 94/17 94/24 107/3 | speedy [2] 7/8 8/11 |
| 90/7 105/19 107/7 | set [12] 3/21 3/24 7/7 | 107/4 107/5 112/9 | spell [6] 19/14 25/20 |
| 107/9 107/19 107/24 | 9/16 9/17 9/18 10/13 | 132/15 138/14 | 29/14 29/18 85/13 |
| 107/25 108/9 109/3 | 11/6 15/12 97/13 100/6 | six [4] 111/7 111/8 | 99/11 |
| 109/12 109/16 109/21 | 123/19 | 112/25 115/19 | spelled [1] 27/18 |
| 110/18 | setting [1] 6/20 | six-and-a-half [4] | spied [2] 103/8 130/3 |
| searched [2] 105/25 | sham [1] 11/15 | 111/7 111/8 112/25 | spoke [12] 27/12 43/13 |
| 109/18 | share [1] 4/21 | 115/19 | 54/1 54/18 65/1 67/25 |
| searching [1] 107/12 | sheriff's [1] 12/6 | size [1] 101/21 | 70/5 105/22 112/8 |
| seat [6] 19/16 25/9 | Shield [2] 27/13 27/14 | slow [1] 56/16 | 115/9 143/16 144/10 |
| 25/10 67/11 67/13 85/1 | shirt [1] 65/17 | slower [1] 28/6 | spoken [5] 44/2 72/4 |
| second [21] 3/5 25/1 | shock [1] 53/18 | slowly [1] 135/15 | 105/21 118/5 125/24 |
| 30/6 34/13 36/17 38/12 | shocking [1] 50/6 | so-called [3] 16/22 | spying [11] 9/25 |
| 39/23 40/7 42/11 44/14 | shoes [3] 86/11 88/23 | 87/8 87/14 | 100/23 100/23 102/15 |
| 47/5 51/24 60/8 115/12 | 89/14 | Social [1] 46/11 | 103/12 103/13 104/4 |
| 116/5 119/6 119/23 | shop [10] 98/4 98/10 | soldier [1] 8/7 | 104/6 104/12 104/13 |
| 121/2 123/1 137/13 | 102/24 103/6 104/22 | solely [1] 110/13 | 104/14 |
| 140/13 | 105/2 124/4 128/16 | somebody [10] 26/12 | squad [1] 104/20 |
| Secondly [1] 125/7 | 129/7 131/5 | 36/7 36/10 39/24 | stand [14] 16/10 40/3 |
| seconds [1] 120/5 | shops [2] 98/6 98/9 | 103/11 104/14 104/18 | 40/8 40/12 49/4 49/6 |
| security [2] 42/17 | shored [1] 69/5 | 133/6 134/17 137/17 | 77/25 85/10 104/8 |
| 46/11 | short [1] 95/13 | someplace [1] 83/17 | 113/6 113/14 117/1 |
| see [37] 4/17 6/6 7/16 | shoulder [2] 36/6 | son [9] 41/15 41/15 | 118/20 138/13 |
| $7 / 24$ 8/13 13/5 30/9 | 79/16 | 41/18 104/3 124/19 | standard [1] 89/19 |
| 40/2 40/13 40/15 65/24 | shoulders [1] 71/2 | 138/11 142/1 145/20 | standby [8] 1/20 3/15 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}66 / 1 & 73 / 25 & 75 / 2 & 76 / 14\end{array}$ | show [27] 21/9 21/12 | 145/24 | 5/9 16/1 17/14 100/9 |
| 77/9 84/15 84/18 99/25 | 24/4 24/20 24/21 24/24 | son's [2] 134/14 136/6 | 118/24 119/7 |
| 110/3 116/15 116/15 | 28/9 28/10 $31 / 1 \quad 33 / 14$ | soon [5] 25/10 38/21 | start [7] 13/25 25/11 |
| 116/23 121/15 122/2 | 61/19 62/1 75/6 75/20 | 63/13 70/8 147/1 | 30/12 39/25 40/19 |
| 123/17 128/13 132/14 | 81/22 81/25 93/12 | sorry [19] 5/10 7/1 | 83/15 103/24 |
| 132/21 133/2 134/15 | 103/19 103/24 128/21 | $\begin{array}{llll}10 / 18 & 13 / 23 & 15 / 16\end{array}$ | started [8] 7/24 67/15 |
| 139/18 139/18 139/19 | 131/25 132/2 132/22 | 56/24 79/5 83/22 | 68/18 121/24 122/5 |
| 141/24 142/5 142/19 | 133/2 133/4 133/5 | 111/22 118/7 126/2 | 123/23 123/23 123/25 |
| seeing [1] 110/21 | 143/22 | 126/5 131/21 132/25 | starting [1] 3/7 |
| seek [1] 4/11 | showed [5] 26/18 65/20 | 138/21 139/7 139/20 | state [4] 19/13 85/12 |
| seeking [1] 4/25 | 71/14 127/19 143/8 | 140/17 142/20 | 117/4 117/11 |
| seen [13] 4/5 12/23 | showing [1] 133/ | sort [1] 112/5 | stated [4] 9/2 77/18 |
| 16/16 17/6 43/14 43/15 | shown [2] 75/3 82/9 | sotto [6] 5/9 16/1 | 77/21 96/19 |
| 43/25 44/24 54/4 54/15 | shows [4] 100/22 114/2 | 17/14 100/9 118/24 | statement [23] 15/1 |
| 99/19 99/22 141/20 | 128/22 128/24 | 119/7 | 23/10 23/12 27/11 |
| self [4] 124/10 140/24 | shut [1] 70/9 | sound [1] 58/5 | 37/23 38/9 38/9 38/23 |
| 141/2 141/7 | sic [1] 130/7 | sounds [1] 130/6 | 41/12 41/20 70/7 80/17 |
| self-incrimination [4] | sick [1] 78/16 | South [2] 43/1 48/5 | 101/10 102/14 103/4 |
| 124/10 140/24 141/2 | side [1] 37/13 | SOUTHERN [1] 1/1 | 123/9 124/21 124/22 |
| 141/7 | sign [10] 27/11 38/24 | Spanish [7] 18/13 | 125/9 125/9 126/13 |
| semester [1] 37/4 | 50/23 70/7 70/7 70/8 | 140/8 143/17 143/19 | 133/25 134/9 |
| send [6] 26/15 28/14 | 108/4 108/15 109/23 | 143/21 143/21 144/2 | States [8] 1/1 1/4 |
| 28/19 29/9 30/19 48/2 | 133/22 | spare [1] 118/13 | $\begin{array}{lllll}1 / 17 & 3 / 3 & 3 / 9 & 11 / 2\end{array}$ |
| sending [1] 128/14 | signal [1] 120/22 | speak [24] 20/10 20/12 | 62/25 124/24 |
| sense [5] 14/1 103/21 | signed [12] 7/19 13/12 | 24/24 28/6 40/12 54/11 | stay [3] 19/1 33/6 |
| 103/23 104/4 104/10 | $\begin{array}{llllll}13 / 12 & 17 / 8 & 77 / 4 & 77 / 10\end{array}$ | 64/7 65/2 66/5 66/10 | 135/4 |
| sensitive [2] 73/18 | 77/15 77/24 108/7 | 67/9 69/22 70/11 | stayed [1] 134/5 |
| 120/23 | 118/16 139/25 140/3 | 101/17 111/2 118/8 | step [4] 19/9 91/2 |
| sent [13] 26/11 26/14 | significance [1] 9/14 | 121/1 121/3 123/11 | 108/14 112/2 |
| 26/18 27/4 29/17 30/2 | silent [2] 124/20 | 126/3 140/6 140/8 | step-dad [2] 91/21 |


| S | [ [4] 59/21 59/23 | 27/10 28/18 29/8 31/4 | 16/9 17/2 18/21 22/7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| step-dad... [1] 108/14 | sunglasses [1] 21/1 | $\begin{array}{llll} \\ 45 / 11 & 45 / 15 & 46 / 25\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { 59/10 } \\ & 9 / 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| stepfather [4] 6/20 | superseding [1] 3/5 | 47/12 $48 / 7$ 48/23 57/13 | 60/10 $64 / 13$ 64/14 |
| 7/14 7/15 9/24 | supervisor [4] 61/24 | 57/15 57/16 57/20 | 80/22 80/23 81/14 |
| stepfather's [1] 87/23 | 62/1 62/14 83/15 | 57/23 57/24 57/24 | 81/21 83/18 93/1 93/3 |
| stepson [4] 41/16 | supposed [3] 42/10 | 57/25 57/25 58/3 58/3 | 94/7 94/23 95/9 110/4 |
| 41/17 49/5 59/4 | 57/14 128/18 | 58/7 58/7 58/8 58/12 | 110/23 119/8 129/15 |
| stipulate [15] 7/17 | sure [14] 5/23 26/17 | 59/4 59/8 $59 / 963 / 4$ | 133/1 143/14 |
| 118/18 119/4 119/13 |  | $\begin{array}{lllll}70 / 5 & 70 / 8 & 70 / 14 & 70 / 18\end{array}$ | thinks [1] 28/17 |
| 119/15 119/16 119/18 | 40/6 57/20 72/17 72/24 | 74/5 74/8 74/12 76/3 | third [2] 44/14 93/16 |
| 119/18 119/20 119/21 | 97/4 100/8 116/20 | 81/15 83/16 104/15 | thought [9] 4/19 4/20 |
| 119/22 119/24 119/25 | 119/9 | 121/14 125/13 126/19 | 27/18 59/18 88/13 |
| 120/2 120/4 | surgery [2] 31/2 | 128/17 130/19 130/19 | 90/25 91/5 122/15 |
| stipulation [7] 118/13 | surprise [1] 65/7 | 133/15 | 122/16 |
| 118/22 119/1 119/2 | surveillance [3] 94/5 | telling [14] 15/19 | threat [20] 24/17 25/2 |
| 119/10 119/11 120/7 | 104/19 104/20 | 28/16 35/11 35/21 | 28/3 29/5 29/8 36/5 |
| stitches [1] 129/11 | suspended [1] 60 | 35/22 39/7 55/10 57/13 | 39/3 39/12 77/2 80/2 |
| stole [2] 12/6 18/1 | sustain [12] 32/15 | 59/3 78/17 78/23 83/13 | 80/3 80/4 80/9 80/15 |
| stomach [1] 129/12 | 36/17 80/22 84/7 84/10 | 83/13 143/13 | 80/18 83/10 83/12 |
| stop [5] 26/9 105/13 | 94/2 95/25 105/1 | temporary [1] 89/3 | 120/15 126/10 126/19 |
| 132/12 132/12 132/15 | 126/13 126/23 135/10 | tend [6] 17/1 49/1 | threaten [1] 78/12 |
| stopped [1] 38/24 | 136/18 | 49/7 49/9 124/23 125/1 | threatened [17] 11/8 |
| store [17] 14/3 32/3 | sustained [13] 33/ | terms [2] 35/25 70/19 | 11/23 12/8 $12 / 1412 / 19$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}32 / 12 & 32 / 18 & 32 / 22 & 33 / 1\end{array}$ | 96/12 98/14 98/23 | terrified [2] 122/6 | $\begin{array}{lllll}14 / 6 & 14 / 12 & 14 / 12 & 17 / 19\end{array}$ |
| 33/5 93/11 93/21 93/24 | 100/3 101/7 101/19 | 122/9 | 72/6 77/19 77/22 78/11 |
| 97/23 97/24 98/18 | 102/1 110/6 110/19 | terrorist [1] 127/24 | 78/23 126/15 130/21 |
| 103/21 103/23 103/25 | 111/14 127/15 135/22 | tesitfy [1] 7/22 | 131/2 |
| 104/16 | SUV [1] 86/8 | testified [7] 96/9 | threatening [2] 29/5 |
| stories [4] 11/19 | SWAT [2] 44/23 65/13 | 102/5 104/6 105/1 | 38/19 |
| 11/19 14/4 14/23 | swear [1] 19/4 | 118/18 131/13 143/3 | threats [11] 36/15 |
| story [1] 114/1 | SWORN [3] 19/12 85/11 | testify [20] 5/14 7/16 | 38/4 47/3 69/16 69/19 |
| straight [2] 26/17 | 117/3 | 7/18 11/20 15/7 17/9 | 69/20 126/16 126/21 |
| 89/8 | sympathies [1] 13 | 18/10 18/24 33/19 | 126/21 130/1 131/9 |
| strange [2] 134 | system [1] 35/12 | 37/25 59/24 72/12 | three [24] 24/20 34/7 |
| 134/15 | T | 96/12 101/19 101/20 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}64 / 1 & 78 / 13 & 91 / 25 & 92 / 6\end{array}$ |
| stranger [2] 68/ |  | 113/14 116/16 116/23 | 92/21 92/22 97/10 |
| 69/8 | table [1] 3/10 | 118/21 131/19 | 97/10 106/8 106/9 |
| Street [1] 1/24 | tachycardia [1] 137/18 | testifying [3] 11/22 | 106/13 114/12 119/5 |
| stressing [1] 138/18 | take [30] 19/19 31/16 | 62/22 145/10 | 119/15 120/16 121/12 |
| strike [5] 57/21 70/17 | 31/16 31/20 37/11 39/1 | testimony [21] 7/15 | 121/18 121/22 127/20 |
| 71/17 129/23 129/25 | 40/2 40/8 40/12 72/24 | 7/17 13/10 $26 / 18 \quad 74 / 9$ | 141/21 142/24 143/2 |
| strongly [1] 122/6 | 73/22 74/20 75/25 78/2 | 80/21 80/24 84/4 84/8 | thrombosis [1] 139/22 |
| student [1] 37/3 | 83/16 83/16 84/19 | 85/2 92/12 93/5 94/2 | Thursday [2] 64/13 |
| study [1] 37/5 | 85/10 87/12 87/19 | 113/12 113/20 118/18 | 64/13 |
| stuff [6] 31/13 38/7 | 87/22 88/24 96/16 | 119/19 125/8 127/14 | tie [2] 44/20 142/15 |
| 81/18 83/12 109/18 | 113/14 118/10 123/12 | 130/25 131/1 | time [47] 6/6 18/5 |
| 134/15 | 133/14 141/1 146/9 | thank [37] 4/9 8/24 | 24/12 $25 / 1 \begin{array}{llll} & 34 / 13 & 37 / 14\end{array}$ |
| subject [1] 125/10 | 146/25 | 9/3 18/15 19/11 27/20 | 39/7 41/24 42/3 43/12 |
| submit [2] 73/20 73/22 | taken [5] 20/1 45/3 | 39/16 40/17 41/3 42/20 | 43/13 $45 / 10$ 47/11 |
| subpoena [21] 24/19 | 49/4 49/6 106/9 | 42/21 $43 / 4 \begin{array}{llll}\text { 49/13 } & 49 / 15\end{array}$ | 51/17 52/2 52/10 52/11 |
| 41/15 57/4 57/8 59/24 | talk [10] 22/9 23/2 | $\begin{array}{lllll}72 / 24 & 73 / 2 & 73 / 4 & 76 / 7\end{array}$ | 54/9 62/16 64/3 69/5 |
| 61/1 61/12 61/23 62/1 | 27/11 44/17 45/18 | 85/1 85/3 85/19 86/16 | 77/19 79/14 79/16 |
| 62/3 62/8 62/14 62/24 | 48/12 88/14 $105 / 4$ | 106/21 112/3 117/14 | 79/18 83/17 86/7 86/12 |
| 63/14 95/13 119/25 | 117/1 119/20 | 125/20 125/22 134/18 | 94/18 95/11 101/6 |
| 120/2 123/13 139/10 | talked [4] 35/8 121/16 | 137/8 137/24 138/15 | 103/22 105/21 108/18 |
| 142/7 142/8 | 131/5 131/6 | 146/21 146/21 146/23 | 109/3 109/20 113/3 |
| subpoenaed [5] 10/9 | talking [11] 23/6 38/7 | 146/24 147/1 147/2 | 113/19 121/4 123/1 |
| 10/10 49/5 120/12 | 38/22 39/9 47/3 65/15 | Thanks [1] 75/7 | 134/5 134/14 141/22 |
| 124/19 | 68/7 82/7 100/19 119/9 | thereon [1] 9/19 | 141/25 143/2 144/17 |
| subpoenas [9] 8/3 8/15 | 121/13 | thing [18] 8/12 11/9 | 146/15 |
| 9/5 15/4 62/23 62/24 | talks [1] 16/22 | $\begin{array}{llllll}11 / 10 & 12 / 3 & 12 / 3 & 12 / 4\end{array}$ | times [12] 24/4 39/8 |
| 142/11 142/14 142/21 | tape [3] 25/11 58/23 | 12/5 14/8 14/13 22/23 | 43/25 76/14 91/8 95/13 |
| subsequent [1] 10/3 | 70/9 | 23/11 28/10 95/6 | 121/21 122/25 136/13 |
| subsequently [1] 86/12 | team [1] 105/ | 113/22 123/12 132/10 | 141/21 141/21 142/6 |
| sudden [1] 37/4 | teams [1] 104/19 | 132/11 134/6 | tissue [1] 5/11 |
| suggest [1] 58/3 | technology [1] 96/15 | things [12] 14/4 14/18 | to look [1] 34/5 |
| suggests [2] 59/4 70/6 | telephone [1] 12/17 | 14/22 21/7 $22 / 24$ 68/2 | today [25] 3/22 5/5 |
| suit [4] 44/20 81/9 | tell [65] 6/11 7/4 | 74/1 81/23 91/17 | 5/18 7/18 $7 / 22$ 8/4 |
| 81/10 142/15 | 9/13 12/13 13/18 14/13 | 113/23 113/24 133/15 | 9/16 9/22 10/13 15/12 |
| suitable [1] 48/4 | $\begin{array}{llllll}19 / 8 & 20 / 6 & 21 / 7 & 22 / 24\end{array}$ | think [36] 4/22 5/16 | 15/15 15/18 15/19 |
| Suite [1] 1/24 | 23/2 $23 / 3 \quad 23 / 14 \quad 26 / 15$ | 5/17 6/24 7/23 8/12 | 15/23 74/3 74/16 79/9 |


| T | 98/6 | dcuffed [1] | 49/6 66/25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| today... [8] 96/16 | $\left\|\begin{array}{ccc} 113 / 16 & 124 / 2 & 138 / 24 \\ \text { trying } & {[26]} & 16 / 15 \end{array} 30 / 9\right\|$ | ble [1] | W |
| 101/6 118/19 130/1 | $\begin{array}{llllll}31 / 4 & 31 / 4 & 31 / 14 & 36 / 7\end{array}$ | 46/22 | waist [1] 133/6 |
| 130/20 130/22 139/7 | 57/15 67/6 76/18 77/1 | unit [1] 94/5 | wait [5] 34/24 35/16 |
| 139/10 | 92/14 92/22 94/19 | UNITED [8] $1 / 111 / 4$ | 36/22 40/18 51/1 |
| today's [5] 7/12 15/5 | 95/22 103/16 103/18 | 1/17 3/3 3/9 11/2 | waited [1] 51/24 |
| 15/10 105/23 131/3 | 104/11 110/16 111/21 | 62/25 124/24 | waiting [2] 112/18 |
| token [1] 27/9 | 112/5 113/9 120/18 | upset [2] 50/10 53/20 | 113/4 |
| told [68] 12/7 12/10 | 123/19 128/4 136/11 | upside [1] 113/23 | walk [7] 25/8 31/12 |
| 12/11 14/13 22/24 24/9 | 136/15 | upstairs [2] 134/11 | 39/1 39/1 63/15 70/10 |
| 24/23 27/10 $33 / 1 \quad 33 / 2$ | Tuesday [1] 5/24 | 142/6 | 131/11 |
| $33 / 15$ 33/22 $34 / 8 \quad 35 / 10$ | turn [3] 3/25 113/20 | USA [2] 32/6 98/2 | wallet [9] 22/6 81/12 |
| 37/17 37/24 46/15 | 127/25 | use [2] 128/8 144/24 | 81/13 82/3 86/23 87/6 |
| 46/17 $46 / 23$ 47/17 48/1 | turned [2] 106/17 | uses [1] 91/2 | 87/14 87/16 90/17 |
| 49/25 50/3 50/25 51/16 | 113/23 | usually [1] 37/12 | want [42] 8/12 10/14 |
| 55/12 57/6 57/20 57/22 | twice [1] 141/22 | V | $\begin{array}{llll}15 / 22 & 15 / 24 & 16 / 4 & 17 / 13\end{array}$ |
| 58/2 59/8 59/8 61/15 | two [46] 6/21 10/6 | $V$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}17 / 24 & 25 / 5 & 37 / 18 & 37 / 19\end{array}$ |
| 63/25 64/3 64/6 64/25 | 10/8 11/3 11/7 21/2 | V-A-N-B-R-U-N-T [1] | 38/9 40/8 40/13 41/1 |
| 69/9 70/21 71/5 71/7 | 24/21 24/24 25/13 | 85/15 | 47/10 48/12 48/13 |
| 71/18 71/20 71/22 72/6 | $\begin{array}{llll}25 / 24 & 26 / 25 & 28 / 11\end{array}$ | VA [2] 54/6 54/18 | 59/16 59/19 67/19 |
| 72/11 78/21 78/22 79/2 | 28/12 32/17 62/23 | Vague [1] 99/5 | 72/17 73/22 78/2 81/25 |
| 79/3 80/16 80/18 87/21 | 66/12 76/2 77/24 81/22 | valid [1] 17/12 | 92/16 95/5 103/3 |
| 89/12 102/23 104/18 | 82/2 82/13 89/1 90/20 | valuables [1] 87/24 | 103/14 113/12 115/6 |
| 107/21 108/13 108/15 | 90/21 90/22 91/4 91/21 | VanBrunt [85] 3/10 | 117/1 119/9 119/10 |
| 112/10 112/13 120/1 | 92/6 92/22 92/25 | $\begin{array}{llllll}3 / 25 & 16 / 3 & 16 / 4 & 16 / 15\end{array}$ | 120/6 124/18 124/21 |
| 125/16 128/16 131/15 | 106/13 114/14 114/21 | 16/17 16/25 17/16 | 125/11 125/13 132/11 |
| 134/10 135/8 144/2 | 115/5 116/2 116/2 | $\begin{array}{llllll}17 / 20 & 18 / 4 & 18 / 16 & 18 / 18\end{array}$ | 132/12 139/5 140/16 |
| topics [1] 56/10 | 119/23 120/5 121/9 | 21/18 $21 / 24$ 22/2 $22 / 13$ | wanted [21] 28/17 |
| total [2] 141/20 | 121/21 122/25 133/23 | 22/18 23/12 23/20 24/4 | 50/25 51/2 51/16 53/7 |
| 142/11 | 139/23 141/20 142/11 | 26/12 $26 / 19$ 27/2 $27 / 3$ | 64/7 65/2 66/5 67/9 |
| totally [1] 137/2 | 144/8 | 28/15 31/23 37/24 | 69/10 69/12 69/22 70/4 |
| tow [1] 51/6 | two-minute [1] 119/23 | 43/21 44/17 45/5 45/10 | 82/1 87/15 87/21 91/18 |
| towed [1] 107/16 | tying [1] 134/8 | 45/16 45/24 49/23 50/3 | 124/17 127/19 140/4 |
| tracking [1] 94/9 | type [6] 44/24 74/20 | 50/12 50/25 51/5 51/16 | 144/3 |
| trained [1] 95/7 <br> transcript [2] | $\begin{aligned} & 86 / 24 \quad 128 / 18 \quad 128 / 23 \\ & 128 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | 52/2 53/1 53/25 56/9 57/4 57/20 57/22 58/2 | $\begin{array}{\|clll} \text { wants } & {[6]} & 10 / 6 & 17 / 12 \\ 40 / 8 & 40 / 12 & 59 / 11 \end{array}$ |
| 147/8 |  | 58/25 59/3 60/25 62/10 | 120/21 |
| transferred [2] 60/2 | U | 62/24 63/4 72/11 79/19 | watch [39] 10/4 10/5 |
| 60/7 | U.S [1] 1/14 | 80/3 80/4 80/5 80/9 | 18/2 20/25 52/11 52/13 |
| translate [2] 117/24 | Uh [1] 31/22 | 82/17 85/7 85/8 85/11 | 52/13 82/23 83/3 90/13 |
| 140/3 | Uh-huh [1] 31/22 | 85/14 85/23 96/8 96/12 | 90/14 97/16 106/1 |
| translated [2] 118/1 | Uhm [3] 20/23 22/7 | 101/17 105/9 105/12 | 106/4 106/4 110/15 |
| 140/11 | 50/21 | 111/25 119/24 122/23 | 110/21 110/25 111/1 |
| translation [1] 132/25 | ultimately [1] 91/12 | 131/25 132/3 133/25 | 111/3 111/3 111/11 |
| translator [1] 141/18 | unavailable [2] 6/21 | 134/4 138/2 138/7 | 112/7 112/14 112/16 |
| transport [2] 87/19 | 6/22 | 141/15 141/17 141/20 | 112/17 112/17 112/19 |
| 89/12 | uncomfortable [1] | 142/1 143/7 143/10 | 112/20 112/22 112/25 |
| travel [3] 48/4 71/18 | 37/17 | VanBrunt's [4] 68/12 | $\begin{array}{llll}113 / 3 & 113 / 13 & 113 / 17\end{array}$ |
| 90/1 | understand [50] 4/22 | 68/15 69/7 102/5 | 113/19 114/4 114/7 |
| traveled [1] 89/4 | 8/5 10/24 11/12 14/21 | vehicle [9] 51/25 52/2 | 114/21 115/2 |
| traveling [1] 37/12 | 18/2 22/11 $23 / 9$ 24/21 | 94/11 105/13 105/25 | watching [2] 36/7 |
| trial [19] 6/20 7/8 | 33/22 36/2 36/19 49/2 | 106/2 107/15 109/14 | 37/12 |
| 7/8 8/9 8/11 8/11 15/6 | 49/10 62/21 70/15 | 109/20 | water [6] 39/20 39/24 |
| 15/7 57/6 60/17 61/1 | 76/18 77/1 $77 / 24$ 79/4 | verbal [1] 107/21 | 39/24 39/25 40/18 |
| 61/13 61/19 62/13 | 83/6 83/19 92/14 92/16 | versus [1] 3/4 | 145/16 |
| 62/15 63/5 72/12 | 92/23 94/15 99/20 | vest [4] 44/23 65/12 | way [38] $22 / 22$ 22/22 |
| 130/22 130/23 | 99/23 103/16 103/18 | 65/17 65/18 | 23/19 25/3 $25 / 4 \quad 29 / 10$ |
| tried [4] 32/5 58/14 | 104/5 104/11 104/12 | violate [2] 4/14 49/9 | $\begin{array}{llllll}30 / 18 & 32 / 1 & 36 / 11 & 37 / 1\end{array}$ |
| 58/15 119/20 | 112/24 113/21 113/23 | visit [1] 69/10 | 37/18 37/19 38/6 38/6 |
| trouble [3] 8/21 20/10 | 115/5 125/12 125/13 | visitation [2] 92/23 | 39/6 39/9 39/11 39/11 |
| 70/19 | 125/18 130/15 130/18 | 126/9 | 40/1 45/2 72/2 74/24 |
| true [6] 11/19 14/4 | 131/13 136/15 138/25 | visitations [1] 23/20 | 77/20 78/8 78/11 78/12 |
| 48/11 49/20 49/20 | 139/8 139/9 139/11 | visited [1] 6/7 | 78/15 79/18 83/15 86/8 |
| 134/2 | 140/16 141/8 | visiting [2] 28/23 | 90/1 109/23 113/25 |
| truth [6] 57/25 58/3 | understanding [1] | 29/2 | 120/22 121/17 121/20 |
| 58/7 58/12 59/5 125/14 | 117/7 | voce [6] 5/9 16/1 | 121/22 143/11 |
| $\begin{array}{\|cc\|} \text { truthful } & {[3]} \\ 59 / 18 & 125 / 8 \end{array}$ | understands [1] 133/6 <br> understood [1] 141/9 | 17/14 $119 / 7$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} \begin{array}{llll} \text { ways [1] } & 7 / 20 \\ \text { weapon [2] } & 45 / 2 & 54 / 21 \end{array} \end{array}$ |
| try [16] 10/20 27/25 | undesirable [1] 138/11 | voice [3] 53/5 55/3 | wearing [5] 86/11 |
| 29/9 30/23 $34 / 5$ 34/5 | unfortunately [1] | 67/25 | 88/22 88/23 89/1 |
| 63/9 83/18 93/2 94/16 | 78/13 | voluntarily [3] 49/5 | 142/18 |
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