i		
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FT. LAUDERDALE DIVISION	
3 4	Case 12-60049-CR-ROSENBAUM	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
5	Plaintiff,	
6	VS.	FT. LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA
7	ROGERIO CHAVES SCOTTON,	August 27, 2013
8	aka, "Roger Scotton,"	
9	Defendant.	
10		
11	TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBIN S. ROSENBAUM, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12		
13	APPEARANCES:	
14	FOR THE GOVERNMENT:	BERTHA MITRANI, A.U.S.A.
15	TOK THE GOVERNMENT.	500 East Broward Blvd., 7th Floor Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33301 954.356.7255
16	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	ROGERIO CHAVES SCOTTON, Pro Se
17		
18	REPORTED BY:	PAULINE A. STIPES, RPR-CM Official United States Court Reporter
19		Federal Courthouse 299 E. Broward Boulevard
20		Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33301 954-769-5496
21		934-709-3490
2223		
24		
25		

THE COURT: All right. This is case number 12 dash 1 2 60049. 3 United States versus Rogerio Chaves Scotton. 4 MS. MITRANI: Bertha Mitrani with me Special Agent Roy 5 Van Brunt with the FBI and Nathan Frank with the FBI. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. 7 Mr. Scotton. THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon. 8 9 THE COURT: I need you to speak into the microphone. 10 Would the Court interpreters state your name. 11 THE INTERPRETER: Maria Carolina Paraventi. 12 THE INTERPRETER: Patricia Markow. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, you understand the proceedings through the interpreter? 14 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, I believe, if it is not 17 provided yet, Mr. Berry will provide a copy of the order I 18 issued on your motion to dismiss that you filed on August 26th, 19 yesterday. That leaves pending some other motions which I want to 20 21 address before I dismiss the Government to address the subpoena 22 issue with you. 23 All right. First we have the motion in limine that the Government filed which is at docket entry number 144, and in 24 25 this motion in limine, first of all, Mr. Scotton, have you had a

chance to review the motion in limine that I am talking about? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: I don't remember which one that is now. 3 THE COURT: This is the one where the Government seeks 4 to prevent you from introducing any kind of evidence or asking 5 any questions concerning any kind of drug scam and drug 6 conspiracy allegedly carried out by FedEx and/or the Department 7 of Justice and prevent you from making any type of statement 8 about your lack of representation. 9 Have you reviewed this motion? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I also have reviewed the 12 motion, and I want to give you a chance to explain why I should 13 allow you to do both of these things that the Government has 14 asked me not to allow you to do. 15 So, whenever -- If you want to address that, now would 16 be the time to do so. 17 THE DEFENDANT: First of all, the reason for this 18 motion, you know, is to take into account together with the 19 evidences that were gathered by my family, this was handed over 20 to the lawyers first, Roberto --21 THE COURT: Let me stop you for just a minute. 22 Maybe we are not talking about the same thing. 23 In docket entry 144, that is the Government's motion. 24 They are asking the Court not to allow you to present any 25 evidence or ask any questions about any kind of a drug scam or

1 drug conspiracy carried out by FedEx or the Department of 2 Justice. 3

Do you understand that?

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. It is all related to the same situation. That is why we are here talking about this.

THE COURT: What I am asking you, though, is why is anything regarding an alleged drug scam or drug conspiracy allegedly carried out by FedEx and/or the Department of Justice relevant to this case?

Why should I allow any evidence or questioning about that at your trial?

I need you to explain that to me.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, because it all is related to what we are here for. As a matter of fact, the reason that I want to include FedEx is because they were doing things behind my back, and that is why I am here. I was accused because of that.

MS. MITRANI: Hold on, I'm sorry.

Your Honor -- Madam Translator, if you would speak into the mike. I am having difficulty hearing you. If that is okay with the Court.

THE COURT: Sure, that is fine.

Mr. Scotton, I understand you are saying it is related. What I don't understand is why? I need you to explain how it is related and why it is related because it is not immediately

```
1
   obvious to me that it is.
2
            I am not saying that it is not but I don't have the
3
   evidence that you say you have.
4
            So I have no way of knowing without your explaining it
5
   to me. Do you understand?
6
            THE DEFENDANT: I understood.
7
            THE COURT: So, can you please explain to me why you
   think this is relevant?
8
9
            THE DEFENDANT: My relationship with the company
10
   started way back in 2004, and FedEx was the main carrier of
11
   my --
12
            THE COURT: Let me stop you for a minute. Your
13
   relationship with what company?
14
            THE DEFENDANT: With Federal Express.
15
            THE COURT: Okay. Started in 2004?
16
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Your Honor, we had a witness here
17
   from Federal Express. I want to indicate he has been here.
                                                                 He
18
   just left the courtroom.
19
            THE COURT: Okay, thank you, go ahead, Mr. Scotton.
20
            THE DEFENDANT: During the run, I met a lot of people
21
   from Federal Express and the individuals knew about Rubens
22
   Amaral, and my situation and dealing with the experts with the
23
   auto parts; and, during this period, I also met with a
   pharmaceutical people Jadao Jiminez (Phonetic) and his wife from
24
```

Canada, so, the implications regarding my accusation are related

to all the promises that they made to me and the sponsorship that they had with my company.

THE COURT: I'm so sorry, I am not trying to be difficult, but I need you to fill me in. I don't know any of the background. I don't know what you are talking about, and it is not obvious to me how this is related to the charges of mail fraud.

THE DEFENDANT: To me it is very difficult to present anything to this Court because I do not have a lawyer.

I don't know the rules of this Court. I am going into a trial and I don't know what else to explain.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scotton, I don't want to hear anything else about your not having a lawyer. It is your fault you don't have a lawyer. It is as simple as that. You have had six lawyers. You fired three of them. You sued two of them. You have been verbally abusive to multiple attorneys. You fired more than three of them. It is your fault.

I explained to you, Judge Matthewman explained to you.

I explained to you on at least two occasions, one, when you fired Ms. Doakes, and another time when you fired Mr. Armstrong that your continued failure to cooperate with counsel and assist in your defense would result, eventually, if you did not change your ways in your representing yourself.

The Court has spent a lot of money bringing multiple attorneys up to speed in your case. All of them had to repeat

the work of the ones that came before. You could not get along with any of them.

The Court does not have that kind of money to keep throwing money at attorneys so multiple attorneys can learn the same thing as already multiple attorneys have done in your case. I am not going to do it.

Your conduct has been abusive to the attorneys the Court hired for you, and it is not going to go tolerated.

I am not spending any more time on this issue. You are representing yourself. That is the way it is. If you want to do so, I am here to listen to what your position is.

I want to give you a fair trial. I want to give you a chance to explain yourself. I don't know the facts that you seem to think you know. I am asking you what they are. I will not hear any more about it not being fair you don't have counsel.

If you want to address the Court, I will hear it. If not, I will grant the Government's motion because I have no way of knowing how this is relevant in absence of an explanation from you.

THE DEFENDANT: Just for the records, first of all, the only lawyer that is being sued is Stuart.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scotton, you threatened to sue Ms. Doakes for \$10,000,000 if she did not immediately admit to her supervisor her corrupt representation during the case.

I will not hear any more of this.

I am happy to sit here and listen to the reasons why, but I am not going to listen any more to your complaints about not having counsel, something that you caused to happen to yourself after repeated warnings from this Court that that is precisely what would happen if you could not get along with counsel.

Now, let's return to the motion in limine and let me hear from you why this information is relevant.

THE DEFENDANT: Because the company ordered services from me through Osvani -- the company offered me services.

THE COURT: Meaning FedEx, are you talking about?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is FedEx, because this is the company that relates to what we will be talking to, and with the people that also have been involved in this with FedEx. I have videos to show they went into my house, and also they offered opium and boxes of opium were also involved in this.

THE COURT: I am not challenging your facts. I want to the make sure I understand them.

When you say things about the company, I don't know if you are talking about your company, FedEx, or some other company. I am not challenging you. I want to make sure I understand what you are saying.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. So you want to know what company it is?

1 THE COURT: When you are talking about a company, 2 instead of calling it "the company," if you could identify it by 3 its name so I don't have any confusion, that would be helpful. 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, this is what I mean, all the 5 companies involved. Lead moving, FedEx, DHL, UPS, all these 6 companies. 7 As a matter of fact, didn't UPS pay the Government \$40,000,000, didn't they go into a settlement with the 8 9 Government? What I am saying this is the truth. 10 I am so sorry, I still don't understand. THE COURT: 11 I think you think I know things that I don't know. 12 I need you to be very precise and explain. All I know 13 about this case is what has been filed on the Court's docket. I 14 don't get to see the evidence that the Government has produced 15 to you in discovery, nor have I seen any documents your family 16 has provided to you. 17 So, I don't know anything about what you are talking about unless you explain it to me. 18 19 One more time, if you would, please go ahead and 20 explain to me why this is relevant. I need you to explain the 21 background because I don't have that information. 22 THE DEFENDANT: This is what is going on. This 23 investigation is going on for four years, as the FBI says, you 24 know the companies involved, the companies have their own

25

departments of investigation.

```
THE COURT: I'm so sorry. I still don't know what you
 1
2
    are talking about.
 3
            THE DEFENDANT: I am going to get there. You are going
   to understand.
4
5
            THE COURT: All right. Great.
6
            THE DEFENDANT: So, four years of investigation,
7
    correct?
8
             So, you know they all have a fraud department and I
9
    have been working on this, you know, picking up boxes,
10
    delivering boxes, and nobody tells me what's going on. So, in
11
    about middle of 2010, 2011, Felix from FedEx asked me if I
12
   wanted to open up a store to help them out.
13
             So, simply they offered to me because they knew what I
14
   was doing -- that I had found out what they were doing.
    based on that, I am being accused -- they were the ones who
15
16
    offered me the service to do the service for them.
17
             So, this is kind of a cover up, you know, covering up
18
    so I won't tell the Government what they were doing.
19
            THE COURT: What was it that you say they were doing?
20
            I don't have any of this information.
21
            THE DEFENDANT: Illegal drug trafficking,
22
    pharmaceutical.
23
            THE COURT: Who was doing it?
24
            THE DEFENDANT: The trafficking of drugs were being
25
    done with these companies, Rubens Amaral, Lider Mudancas, FedEx,
```

UPS, it is a long time since these companies are involved in this.

THE COURT: How do you say you found this out?

THE DEFENDANT: You know, I was kind of -- I suspected something because the shipments that we would send were lost and sent through other routes.

Many times I was contacted by M Visa. M Visa in Brazil would be equivalent to the Department of Health. They wanted my medical license because all of the content that I was sending had medications in the transports of it. And I never sent medications to Brazil.

And when this happened, I would contact, you know, Lead, Osvani, and also Felix in Brazil to deal with this situation.

One time I had gotten a box at home by mistake -- no, it was returned to me. We sent it and it was returned to me and, in this box, there was a car vacuum cleaner full of what your guys call blue pill. That is what we had in the box.

A lot of my evidence I am going to be showing during the trial to show a lot of my own shipments were used by them to use for drug purposes.

One time I remember a shipment of a Subzero refrigerator going to Brazil from Belgium, from Belgium to Brazil. When you check where the refrigerator was, it was in transit. Actually, the refrigerator never got there, it

1 disappeared. 2 And they had to pay for that claim. 3 I never had the intention of opening any FedEx store. 4 They were the ones doing that to appease me, because, actually, 5 to sponsor, the sponsorship stopped. 6 And, during the time I have been incarcerated, my 7 family has been threatened. 8 THE COURT: All right. Let me stop you for a second. 9 I am not aware that you opened a FedEx store. 10 The charges in the indictment --11 Maybe I misunderstood them. Is there an allegation that Mr. Scotton opened a FedEx 12 13 store? 14 MS. MITRANI: No, no allegation that Mr. Scotton opened 15 a FedEx store. 16 Towards the end of the period in the indictment the Defendant was opening a store front, a private store front 17 18 called the Merry Mailman. The Merry Mailman is analogous to a 19 Pak Mail, where people can drop off packages for delivery and 20 rent Post Office boxes. 21 My Special Agent Van Brunt went to the store and spoke 22 to Mr. Scotton as he was building it out. There is no FedEx 23 store, and the store itself doesn't factor into the charges, if that helps the Court. 24

THE COURT: Okay. So, now, let me ask you, you are

```
1
   saying that every single one of the three --
2
            Let me ask the Government.
3
            There are three alleged victims in this case, UPS, DHL,
4
   and FedEx, right?
5
            MS. MITRANI: Correct.
6
            THE COURT: Did I miss anyone?
7
            MS. MITRANI: You did not.
            THE COURT: Okay. So, every one of the three alleged
8
9
   victims were involved in a giant drug trafficking conspiracy, am
10
    I understanding you correctly?
11
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes. By the way, yeah, this has been
12
   going on this whole time, then, after I started being
13
   investigated and I opened up my store, everyone disappeared.
                                                                  Ιt
14
   seemed very strange.
15
            Actually, within FedEx itself, they have a policy that,
16
   when you open a FedEx store, they have a policy that says that
17
   you can have -- it is like a distance policy, you can open
18
   stores very close to the store that you are opening, and it is
   very strange that there were three stores -- there were three
19
20
   FedEx stores surrounding my own store, and that was a conflict
21
   of interest. But, even though that was against their own
22
    policy, they approved that.
23
            And, actually, there was another box that came from
24
   China to me and the box came damaged. There was some kind of
```

chemical fluid in there and Paulo and Roberto Moreira, they came

1 and picked up the box in my store. 2 THE COURT: Who picked up the box? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Paulo Araujo Moreira. 4 Middle name Araujo, A-r-a-u-j-o. 5 THE COURT: Who is Mr. Moreira associated with? 6 THE DEFENDANT: According to his credentials he used to 7 work for DHL in Brazil and he was working with Osvani. 8 THE COURT: How does the Department of Justice somehow 9 get involved in this. 10 THE DEFENDANT: Well, many times I reported when there 11 were things happening with my boxes, many times I called the corporations to make an official complaint. 12 13 THE COURT: What kind of complaints did you make? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Well, I would complain about some of 15 the box being redirected to different routes or I remember that 16 I would go to the local FedEx close to me to make the 17 complaints. 18 And then when I would go to that local FedEx store, 19 they would say that I could not pick up those boxes because it 20 had a different name, even though the address was mine there. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Now, let me ask you, did you make 22 any other complaints to FedEx or any of the other companies? 23 THE DEFENDANT: I believe that I have filed some police 24 reports with the Department of Police in Boca Raton.

that I talked to the president of Crime Stop Association of

1 Miami about the subject. 2 And there was a deputy that actually lived with me from 3 the Sheriff's Department and he actually witnessed a box coming to my home that was full of syringes. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. And who was this? 6 THE DEFENDANT: David Conklin (phonetic). 7 THE COURT: Can you spell it, please? 8 THE DEFENDANT: I have no idea how to spell it. 9 THE COURT: What Sheriff's Department was he with? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Palm Beach. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Now, let me return --12 I understand you say you made these complaints to other Were there any other complaints other than those you 13 people. 14 described to me that you made to FedEx or any other companies, 15 not law enforcement? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, many, many times I made those 17 complaints because I rarely stayed at my office, I was always 18 traveling and putting together events for the community. 19 THE COURT: I am not asking how many times you made the 20 complaints. I am asking what were the complaints about? 21 I want you to tell me what all of the things the 22 complaints were about and don't leave anything out. 23 THE DEFENDANT: Missing boxes, boxes that instead of

different country; boxes that were shipped with certain type of

being shipped to Brazil would be shipped to Mexico or a

24

```
1
   material and then would be -- would arrive at the destination
 2
   with a different type of material.
 3
             THE COURT: What kind of materials would they arrive
   with instead?
 4
 5
             THE DEFENDANT: Medical material.
 6
             THE COURT: Okay. Any other kinds of complaints that
 7
   you made to the companies other than those that you just
   described?
 8
 9
             THE DEFENDANT: We made a series of complaints also
10
   with the Brazilian Government, with Visa, with the Federal
   Police in Brazil.
11
12
             THE COURT: Okay. Did you say -- I think you said you
13
   have evidence of all of these complaints that you have been
   talking about. Did I understand you correctly?
14
15
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16
            THE COURT: Okay.
             I need to take a look at the evidence so I could
17
18
   determine whether there is a good faith basis for putting
19
   forward this defense.
20
             Can you show it to me, please?
21
             THE DEFENDANT: I am going to need the help of a
22
    paralegal --
23
            THE COURT: You are not going to have the help of a
24
   paralegal. You are going to have the help of yourself. I
25
   already explained.
```

1 THE DEFENDANT: How am I going to be able to have 2 access to things inside of the jail? 3 THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, you have brought this upon yourself. It is not a punishment but the Court cannot afford to 4 5 keep hiring attorneys and keep firing them and have the 6 attorneys keep relearning everything the other attorneys have 7 been paid to learn. I am sorry. You are going to have to figure it out for 8 9 yourself. You had however many months. You had six attorneys you 10 11 could have brought this through and done this with. 12 This is the first I am hearing of it, 17 or 18 months 13 in. 14 You either have the evidence here or you are going to 15 figure out a way to get it but that is what the situation is. 16 THE DEFENDANT: With all due respect, Your Honor, this 17 is the first time ever that I have had the opportunity to 18 actually take a look at all of the evidence. 19 After all the lawyers I have been through, this is the 20 first time I really had access of all of the evidence presented 21 to me. 22 THE COURT: I am sorry, Mr. Scotton --23 Excuse me, I am sorry, I don't believe you. We had this discussion the other day, as well, and we had two 24 attorneys, two attorneys who are officers of the Court and this 25

Court had in numerous other cases, represent to the Court that they reviewed the materials with you and/or you represented to them that you had reviewed the materials, and they provided you with the materials.

In addition, there was an investigator employed by the Federal Public Defender's Office and she was put under oath, and she swore she, too, reviewed the materials with you. Some of them you wished not to review but she made them available to you.

I'm sorry, I don't find those complaints to be credible.

Mr. Scotton, if you have the evidence, I want to see it so I can assure there is a good faith basis for this.

If you don't have the evidence, you need to make arrangements to get it. That is something you have to deal with.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I used to have a video and a letter from Osvani Duarte where he is confessing to all of this and, actually, this letter had been delivered to the Public Defender Office, and the letter had been translated into English before.

How come I don't see this letter any more?

And, actually, this confession was made in front of the Federal Police in Brazil.

THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, I have been advised that I

1 have had Ms. Doakes, I had Ms. Perez, Mr. Adelstein, all come in here and say they provided you with everything they had. 2 3 they had it, you should have it. I have arranged for you to be able to review the 4 5 discovery in the cell, in the jail, which is something I never 6 do. 7 You asked me for another week. I have given you what 8 you asked me for to review it. 9 You either have it or you don't. 10 THE DEFENDANT: This is the letter, this is the letter, 11 the copy has a stamp from Brazil. How come I don't see the 12 original? How come I don't see the ones handed to the lawyers? 13 THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, I am not here to answer your 14 questions about discovery. 15 If you want to provide it to me to take a look at it, I 16 am happy to do that. 17 We are not going into another extended gripe session 18 you had with the attorneys in this case. 19 If you wish me to review it, I will be happy to do so. 20 THE DEFENDANT: Well, Your Honor, you are asking me 21 where the evidence is, and I mean the evidence was handed over 22 to the lawyers. If the evidence disappeared with the lawyers, I

THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, I am not discussing this any further. Give me the letter if you want me to review it. If

23

24

25

need to have it.

not, we are moving on to another subject.

THE DEFENDANT: This is my own evidence. I am not going to give this to the Court.

THE COURT: Okay. If I can't review it, I don't know how I could determine this is a good faith basis. I could have Mr. Berry give you a copy of it and give you back what you gave me.

If you do not wish me to review it, I don't have a choice. I will have to grant the Government's motion in limine. I don't have the evidence about the conspiracy you allege multiple companies working together to have a drug trafficking operatoin; and, with the aid of the Department of Justice, culminating in the Department of Justice's indication to hide this.

I need a good faith basis to allow you to proceed with this defense. Taking up a jury's time to listen to this, I am happy to do it if there is a good faith basis, but I am not going to do it based on your say so.

THE DEFENDANT: I have contacted the lawyers. Months ago I wanted to sit down with the FBI agent and prosecutor to talk about this.

And my only option was to send a letter, that I did, to the prosecutor because I haven't had a chance to sit down and talk to her.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scotton, do you want to

```
1
    provide me with any evidence whatsoever in court that I will
2
    return to you while you sit here, I will not take it out of the
3
    courtroom, so I can make a determination whether there is a good
    faith basis for your position?
4
 5
            Do you want to do that or not? Yes or no?
6
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I can hand you this copy, Your
7
   Honor, but I need it back.
8
            MS. MITRANI: Will the Government be permitted to see
9
   whatever this thing is?
10
            THE COURT: No, not now.
11
            Mr. Scotton, is it okay if I have Mr. Berry make a copy
12
    and I will return it to you immediately so I can look at it.
13
            THE DEFENDANT: May I say something?
14
            THE COURT: I am just waiting. I don't want you to
15
    have any concern you are not getting back what you gave us.
16
             I want to make sure it is scanned in and copied and you
   get it back.
17
18
             I don't want you to have your attention distracted.
19
            THE DEFENDANT: I would like to say something to you,
20
   Your Honor, if I could.
21
            THE COURT: All right. Let's wait until I give you
22
    back your document so there is no question that I have given it
23
    back to you. Okay?
24
            Just one minute.
25
            All right. Mr. Berry is returning the document to you.
```

```
Do you have the full document? Take a look and make
 1
2
    sure it is all there.
 3
             Is it there? You are satisfied?
             THE DEFENDANT: Right.
 4
 5
             THE COURT: Now Mr. Berry will print out a copy for me
6
    so I could take a look at it.
7
             Is there something you wanted to say while I am waiting
    for it?
8
9
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10
             Your Honor, I am tired of all of this already.
11
             Many lawyers that I interviewed here in court they said
12
    to me, if I want justice, they ask me how much money do I have
13
    to pay for justice?
14
             My family is sick. I am not here to fight. I am here
15
    to really seek justice being done and to go after the people who
16
    put me in this situation.
17
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scotton, we are all here to
18
    seek justice being done.
19
             That is certainly why I took this job.
20
             But this has gone way beyond what you complain of.
21
             There were three different attorneys that this Court
22
    paid for to represent you.
23
             All three attorneys paid for by the Court to represent
24
    you have appeared in this Court before me and I have always been
25
    impressed with their representation.
```

1 I told you at the time, Ms. Doakes has a number of 2 acquittals under her belt in this very courthouse. 3 Mr. Adelstein is one of the best in the district. represents defendants in cases that include the death penalty. 4 5 That is how well established he is. He practiced in this area for 35 years. 6 7 And, Mr. Armstrong, who recently, when I appointed him in your case and I appointed him, had appeared before me and 8 obtained the only acquittal in the case. Although his defendant 10 was convicted of one of the charges, he was acquitted of three 11 of the charges. 12 You sued them. 13 We are done with it. That is it. No more. 14 THE DEFENDANT: I understand, Your Honor. I don't want 15 to talk about lawyers. I understand that you do not want to 16 talk about lawyers any more. I want to solve this situation. 17 My mom, she is sick, and I am killing her little by 18 little. 19 THE COURT: All right. Excuse me, Mr. Scotton, I am 20 sorry for your mother being sick. I really and truly hope she 21 recovers quickly. 22 That is not what this case is about. 23 We are to address this case.

It appears to be in a different language. Is this in --

All right. I am looking at the letter you provided to

24

25

me.

```
THE DEFENDANT: Portugese.
 1
2
             THE COURT: Who wrote this letter?
 3
             THE DEFENDANT: Osvani Duarte.
 4
             THE COURT: Okay.
 5
             Can the interpreter come up here and let me know what
6
    this says, please?
7
             Thank you.
8
             (Sidebar out of the hearing of the Government.)
9
            (Thereupon, the Government returned to the Courtroom.)
10
             THE COURT: We are going to take 10 minutes for the
11
    Court reporter. We will come back five after three.
12
             (Thereupon, a short recess was taken.).
13
             THE COURT: All right. So, the motion in limine, there
14
    are two parts to it, one involves the alleged drug conspiracy.
15
             I am going to defer ruling on that part of it.
16
             The other involves the lack of representation and the
17
   Government's request that Mr. Scotton not be permitted to remark
18
    in any way about the fact that he is not represented, and it
19
    does not seem at all relevant to me, so I am going to grant the
20
   motion in limine as it pertains to remarks regarding the fact
21
    that Mr. Scotton is not represented.
22
             So, Mr. Scotton, you are not to complain about the fact
23
    that you are not represented by counsel, you are not to remark
24
    about it in any way. You are not to in any way refer to the
25
    fact that you are not represented by counsel.
```

```
1
             The Court will discuss the issue with the jurors during
2
    voir dire in jury selection, but will advise the jurors that you
 3
    are to be treated the same as if you had counsel.
             You understand?
 4
 5
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
6
             THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
7
             All right. We also have the Government's motion to
    resolve any recording related issues.
8
9
             Mr. Scotton, have you received a copy of this.
10
             Docket entry 145.
             THE DEFENDANT: I believe so.
11
12
             THE COURT: You believe so?
13
             Okay, good.
14
             In this motion, the Government indicates that it
15
    intends to offer five different categories of evidence.
16
             Do you have any objections to such evidence being
17
    offered?
18
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             I do.
19
             THE COURT: All right. I will hear those objections.
20
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, one is I heard one of the evidence
    is video from a FedEx store. It has no audio. They said there
21
22
    is a transcript but how do they get the transcript if there was
23
    no audio?
             THE COURT:
24
                         Government, are you talking about paragraph
25
    five here, maybe, three clips with no audio tape in November 17,
```

1 2008, November 28, 2008, and December 2, 2008? 2 MS. MITRANI: Yes, and there is no transcript. 3 THE COURT: The Government is saying there is no audio that it received? 4 MS. MITRANI: Yes. 5 6 THE COURT: That is why there is no transcript, because 7 there is nothing to transcribed. 8 Do you understand, Mr. Scotton? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Were the videos authenticated regarding 10 the Rule 901? 11 THE COURT: Well, obviously, they would have to be 12 authenticated before they would be entered into evidence, and the Government would be required to demonstrate their 13 authentication. 14 15 This is alerting you that the Government intends to try 16 to put these into evidence and will authenticate it, I imagine, 17 at that time providing an appropriate foundation. 18 Assuming they do so, is there any objection you have to 19 the evidence? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 THE COURT: All right. What is that objection, please? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. My objection is that I would like 23 to have the person who collected the material, I would like to 24 be able to ask questions to this person because now-a-days, you 25 know, any type of material can be changed with different

software and I want to know how this was collected and I want to have the chance of cross-examining the witness.

THE COURT: It is your right to cross-examine the witness.

Let me make sure I understand.

Is the Government intending to put the foundation on, relevancy, etc., before we bring in the jury or is the Government simply asking -- or putting the Defendant on notice what it intends to introduce?

MS. MITRANI: Sort of two-fold, Your Honor.

I guess with respect to number one and number two,
which are the undercover meeting between Special Agent Van
Brunt, and number two is the Defendant's interview before United
States Immigration Citizens Services.

We provided the Defendant with the recordings, with the transcript of the recordings and with excerpts. The most fundamental thinking is, whether the Defendant objects to the excerpts, that should be outside the presence of the jury.

Matters of authentication, we will authenticate the evidence, and we will have appropriate witnesses to do that.

I am not asking for a preliminary ruling, assuming we get to through the authentication process, do we need to have other -- do we have objections to that evidence, and that deals with all five categories?

THE COURT: Let's start with the audio visual regarding

```
1
    an accompanying transcript of the undercover meeting with FBI
2
   Agent Van Brunt and Mr. Scotton, and audio and visual recording
 3
   with Immigration and Citizen Services Officer Fred Brooks
4
    occurring on October 31, 2011.
 5
            MS. MITRANI: That is August 31st.
6
            THE COURT: August, sorry, a long day.
7
             In any case, my question with respect to those two
8
    things is, the Government says that instead of playing the
9
    entirety of each of those recordings for the jury, that it
10
    intends to put on excerpts from those recordings so as not to
11
    unnecessarily take the jury's time up with things the Government
12
    thinks may not be relevant and material.
13
             So, the first question is -- Well, the first question,
14
    have you had a chance to review the transcripts of these
15
    particular recordings?
16
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
17
            THE COURT: Okay. Do you challenge the transcripts or
18
    do you agree with the transcripts?
            THE DEFENDANT: I challenge.
19
20
            THE COURT: Okay. Then you need to provide your own
21
    version of the transcripts so the Court can make a determination
22
   where the -- which transcripts should govern. Do you
23
    understand? What is the basis?
24
            THE DEFENDANT: Seems to me the video is corrupt.
                                                                The
25
   video has much stops. The video has been corrupt.
```

THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, if you want to use the 1 2 interpreters, that is fine. You need to use the interpreters, 3 you understand? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 5 THE COURT: All right. 6 THE DEFENDANT: Okay, the video was changed. There are 7 stops in the video, and while I was sitting in the meeting with 8 the agent, I asked him if I was being accused and this is not in the video. 10 I also want to know what system was used, how it was 11 collected and the time stamps. 12 THE COURT: All right. First of all, the issue with 13 respect to the authentication is an issue that will be resolved 14 at trial. We will not go through that right now. 15 The Government either can or cannot present a 16 foundation that authenticates the video. 17 So that is, you know, that is a matter for the 18 Government to address. 19 But, assuming, because I need to in order to be 20 prepared to deal with the issue at trial, assuming just for 21 purposes of this hearing without making a decision, that the 22 Government is able to present an appropriate authentication of 23 the video, then the question is whether the transcript accurately reflects what is in the video. 24 25 Do you understand what I am saying?

I know you disagree that the video is complete, but 1 2 based on what is in the video, is it your position the 3 transcript accurately represents what is actually in there? 4 THE DEFENDANT: In general terms, I am not in agreement 5 with this video. 6 THE COURT: Okay, I understand that. 7 If you have an objection what the transcript is, though, you need to provide your own version of the transcript. 8 9 By doing so, you are not conceding that the video is 10 authentic or admissible, all you are doing is, if it turns out 11 that the Court finds that it is authenticated and admissible, 12 you are insuring that the Court has an opportunity to consider 13 your version of the transcript. 14 But if you don't want to submit a transcript, that is 15 fine, we will go with the Government. 16 Do you understand what I am saying? 17 THE DEFENDANT: So, what I am hearing or understanding 18 is if the video was forged, it was changed, it will be 19 admissible in court. So, it has more than six stops. 20 THE COURT: All right. That is not what I said. 21 What I said was, I am not deciding now. I have to look 22 at the video. I have to hear what the testimony is. I don't 23 know if the Government is going to be able to put on an 24 appropriate foundation; but, if the Government is able to put on

an appropriate foundation, then I need to be able at that time

to give the jury a transcript. I can't stop and make the jury 1 2 wait while we figure out what an appropriate transcript is. 3 I am not ruling the video is admissible. I have no way of knowing. 4 5 I am trying to anticipate an issue that comes up during 6 the trial so I don't waste the jury's time. 7 Do you understand? THE DEFENDANT: I understand. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. Once again, assuming the video is 10 admissible, but not deciding it is admissible, I don't know, 11 okay, my question to you is, the transcript of what is on that 12 video, do you have any objection to the transcript of what is on 13 that video? 14 THE DEFENDANT: No. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. 16 I have the same question for you with regard to the 17 video recording and transcript of your interview with Immigration and Citizen Services Officer Fred Brooks. 18 19 Once again, I am not deciding whether the video is 20 admissible. I haven't seen it or heard the testimony to be able 21 to determine whether it is admissible, do you understand? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: But, if it is admissible, if it turns out that it is admissible, I need to know whether you have any 24 25 objections to the transcript that the Government has produced of

1 what the Government says is on that video. 2 Do you have any objections to that transcript? 3 THE DEFENDANT: No. THE COURT: Okay, thank you. 4 5 Now, I am thinking that maybe I should, although 6 normally I wouldn't, maybe in this circumstance I should go 7 ahead and have the Government, if not today, because the Government may not be prepared for this since I hadn't advised the Government to do so, put on the foundation and let me hear 10 it because Mr. Scotton has indicated he believes he has reason 11 to believe the video has been altered in some way. 12 That being the case, he has asked for an expert on I probably need to make a determination about whether 13 14 there is a reasonable basis for the Court to pay the fees associated with hiring an expert on whether the video has been 15 16 corrupted in some way. 17 So, I think I need to probably hear this sometime soon. 18 What is the Government's position? 19 MS. MITRANI: How would the Government like to proceed? 20 I could proceed by proffer right now or I can put on a witness 21 tomorrow. 22 I assume we are not starting tomorrow. 23 THE COURT: No. We are not starting tomorrow. 24 Mr. Scotton advised us he needs another week to review the 25 documents.

I want to make sure he has the time to be prepared.

Let me hear the proffer. I will want to hear the evidence, but just so we all know what we are talking about, I think it might be helpful to the Court and Mr. Scotton if we heard the proffer.

MS. MITRANI: Yes, Your Honor, and I may consult with Special Agent Van Brunt, but I can tell you Special Agent Van Brunt was wired up with equipment, with FBI recording equipment, I think it was an Eagle -- I am not positive -- some standard recording FBI equipment and he had this meeting with Mr. Scotton.

I could tell the Court that what Mr. Scotton is referring to in terms of the breaks because of the way the recording device was set up, the recording couldn't be done in one fell swoop, so it is four recordings, four starts and stops, but there is continuity. There is no break in the meeting.

In other words, Special Agent Van Brunt didn't turn off the Eagle. It was continuously running. It was recorded in four sessions.

THE COURT: Let me make sure I understand you.

You are not saying the Eagle was voice activated, and, when there wasn't any, it was turned off and then voice activated again.

There was a certain amount of data that had to be saved in four different packages?

MS. MITRANI: Yes. 1 2 THE COURT: I understand. 3 MS. MITRANI: Sometimes I am not so eloquent. I wanted to be sure I was on the 4 THE COURT: No. No. 5 same page. 6 MS. MITRANI: If you see the recording, and the Court, 7 I expect, will have an opportunity to see it, you will see it is one meeting, a fluid session. The recording was always on from the beginning to the end when Special Agent Van Brunt gave his formal ending, "this concludes the meeting." 10 11 The equipment was in good working order. 12 The only glitch which I think is confusing the 13 Defendant is that the date that is time stamped on the recording 14 is not August 31st, 2011. It is another date. 15 I am advised the reason that happened is this is not 16 the most modern equipment; so, if the battery ran out, which it 17 did, when it gets recharged or when a new battery is put in it 18 will pick up from that date. 19 The recording does not have the accurate date, but 20 Special Agent Van Brunt of the FBI will testify this is the date 21 of the recording; and, in fact, he says so in the beginning of 22 the recording. It is on the date, the date of the recording. 23 The digital imprint is correct. 24 THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

Mr. Berry, when do we have available to have a hearing

```
on this issue.
1
2
            THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Tomorrow, 1:30.
3
            THE COURT: Is 1:30 okay to have the evidence on this
4
   and Mr. Scotton will have the opportunity to cross-examine the
5
   agent?
6
            MS. MITRANI: Yes, Your Honor.
7
            THE COURT: You understand, Mr. Scotton?
8
            THE DEFENDANT:
                            Yes.
9
            THE COURT: You will have a chance to cross-examine the
10
   agent what he testifies about, but only that as relates to the
11
   issue of the authentication of the recording.
12
            MS. MITRANI:
                          In respect to the second recording, I
13
   didn't interpret Mr. Scotton to be objecting about that. There
14
   are no breaks, you turn it on, and that is what occurred.
                                                               Ι
15
   don't think there is a time stamp. There is no time stamp
16
   issue. He is concerned about the FBI recording, not the
17
    Immigration Citizenship recording.
18
            THE COURT: Is that right?
19
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20
            THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. The hearing tomorrow
21
   1:30 will be about the FBI recording.
22
            That brings us to the third item which is five separate
23
   audio recordings and accompanying transcripts of five separate
24
   FedEx calls where the individual on the telephone, the
```

Government will prove, is Mr. Scotton opened a new account with

FedEx. 1 2 Okay, Mr. Scotton, do you have any objections to the 3 audio recordings? I understand you want the Government to 4 authenticate them first, but do you have any other objections to 5 them? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I was not the one who made the call. 7 8 THE COURT: All right. And let me ask the Government, 9 how is the Government going to prove that it was the Defendant? 10 MS. MITRANI: In various different ways, Your Honor. 11 Perhaps most directly, witnesses who are familiar with the 12 Defendant's voice will testify that it is the Defendant. That 13 is, we have at least two witnesses -- three, we have three 14 witnesses that will say that is the Defendant's voice. 15 We also have circumstantial evidence indicating that it 16 is the Defendant and very strong circumstantial evidence. 17 THE DEFENDANT: Does the Government -- the witnesses 18 that you are bringing in, are they experts in voice? THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, you need to talk to me and not 19 20 the Government. 21 The Government under the Federal Rules of Evidence can 22 authenticate a recording by an individual who is appropriately 23 familiar with your voice identifying it as your voice and need 24 not be an expert.

The Government, however, has to establish for those

1 witnesses that the witness, each witness would be appropriately 2 familiar with your voice. 3 All right. So, that is going to be a matter I will have to hear the evidence to know, and I am not making any 4 5 judgments at this time because I haven't heard the evidence. 6 But, if it turns out that the recordings are 7 authenticated -- You would have the opportunity, Mr. Scotton, I 8 should say, to cross-examine each of the witnesses to show the witnesses are mistaken or lying. 10 Okay? You understand? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 THE COURT: All right. And it might turn out it is a 13 question for the jury to resolve. I don't know. 14 I may or may not admit the recordings. 15 I will have to hear them and hear the evidence. 16 There is no way I could know that without having heard 17 any of the evidence regarding them. 18 Again, as with the first two issues, in case I do 19 decide that it is admissible or that the decision for the jury, 20 it will be admissible for the jury to decide whose voice it was, 21 I guess, then, the next issue involves the transcripts. 22 So, my question for you is, if I find that the 23 recordings are admissible, do you have any objection to the 24 Government's version of the transcripts for these recordings? 25 THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

Now, the next one is a May 15, 2009 recorded telephone conversation and accompanying transcripts between DHL employee Fred Pennicut and Pablo Surrente and the Government is going to prove is Mr. Scotton.

Once again, the same authentication issues as the others and, until I hear the evidence, I will not know whether I will be admitting these things, but, once again, in case I do admit them, I need to know if you have any objection to the Government's version of the transcript of this telephone conversation.

THE DEFENDANT: As to these recordings, I need to be sure of the time stamps, the caller ID, and that they have to be synchronized with what the company provided and also who collected the information.

THE COURT: All right. I understand you are objecting to them and you will have a chance to do cross-examination of the witnesses the Government presents.

My question doesn't concern whether you are objecting to the recordings.

My question is this: If -- and I haven't ruled yet, I haven't heard the evidence, so I can't rule yet; but, if I rule that this particular recording for May 15, 2009 is admissible, my question for you is whether you have any objection to the Government's version of the transcript of that recording?

```
1
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             No.
2
             THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
 3
             Finally, there are three video clips with no audio
    taken November 17, 2008, November 28, 2008, and December 2,
4
5
    2008, of you at DHL Boca Raton station.
6
             We briefly discussed those earlier and, once again, we
7
   will -- admissibility will be contingent upon the Government's
   demonstrating authentication and if there is any other objection
8
    besides authentication, then I will hear that.
9
10
             MS. MITRANI: Your Honor, I suppose we probably are
11
    going to need to address the issue of the excerpts.
12
             THE COURT: I am getting to that.
13
             MS. MITRANI:
                           Sorry.
14
             THE COURT: I don't hear any objection to the video
    clips, any other ones other than those pertaining to
15
16
    authentication, is that right, Mr. Scotton?
17
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             That is right.
18
             THE COURT: All right.
19
             Let me return to where we started, that is, the
20
   Government does not intend to present the entirety of the
    recordings, but, rather, excerpts -- which recordings are we
21
22
    doing the excerpts on.
23
             MS. MITRANI:
                           Both --
             THE COURT: The first and second.
24
25
             MS. MITRANI: The first and second, three and four are
```

1 very brief. They will be played in their entirety. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 Now, I think you said you provided Mr. Scotton with a copy of the excerpts, that is right? 4 5 MS. MITRANI: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Have you had a chance to review the 7 excerpts, Mr. Scotton? 8 THE DEFENDANT: What are these excerpts? 9 THE COURT: The FBI undercover tape we discussed 10 earlier, I don't know whether it is admissible or not yet but we will have a hearing about it tomorrow. And the interview you 11 12 had with Immigration and Customs. 13 Those are two lengthy recordings. Okay. 14 So, rather than play all of each of those recordings 15 for the jury because the Government doesn't think that all of 16 each of those recordings is material to this case, although the 17 Government would seek to admit all of each of them in case the 18 jury wants to watch it back in the jury room, the Government's 19 intention is to play only short pieces of each of those two 20 recordings for the jury and to provide transcripts of the pieces 21 that they collect. 22 Do you understand? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Are you familiar with the excerpts or 25 shorter pieces that the Government intends to play for the jury?

1	THE DEFENDANT: No.		
2	THE COURT: You need to watch them today and figure out		
3	if you have an objection to them.		
4	If you can't watch them today, you need to do it		
5	tomorrow morning.		
6	MS. MITRANI: We provided the text. He received the		
7	text this past Saturday.		
8	THE COURT: Have you reviewed the transcripts of the		
9	transcripts of the excerpts?		
10	THE DEFENDANT: No.		
11	THE COURT: You need to do that between today and		
12	tomorrow, 1:30, so I know if you have any objections, if I		
13	decide that either of these recordings is admissible, to have		
14	the Government play only the excerpts instead of the entirety of		
15	each of the recordings.		
16	Do you understand?		
17	THE DEFENDANT: I understood.		
18	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.		
19	Was there anything else?		
20	MS. MITRANI: No.		
21	THE COURT: All right. So tomorrow we are addressing		
22	number one and the excerpts, right?		
23	MS. MITRANI: Yes, Your Honor.		
24	THE COURT: All right. That is 1:30 tomorrow.		
25	Okay, now that brings us to the Government's notice to		

introduce evidence inextricably intertwined, Rule 404(b).

This is docket entry 132.

In this motion, the Government is advising Mr. Scotton that it is going to seek to introduce evidence that when Mr. Scotton traveled to Brazil in 2008, he applied for a Visa to return to the United States and that Visa was denied. Although it was denied, Mr. Scotton still returned to the United States by traveling to the Bahamas from which he was transported to the United States in a private water craft.

This is the evidence the Government seeks to admit.

The Government seeks to admit it under two different bases. One, it is inextricably intertwined means it is intrinsic evidence that are charged in Count 28 and 29 of the second superseding indictment because Counts 28 and 29 relate to alleged false statements that Mr. Scotton made concerning his alleged residing with a Cuban national and the fact that he did not return to the United States after 1992.

And this evidence the Government has asserted in its motion is inextricably intertwined because it shows, first of all, he did return to the United States after 1992, that he was actually in Brazil in 2008 because his photograph was taken there and he filled out the Visa there or request for the Visa, and it was denied and that, after that time, he was in the United States. I am sorry, did not re-enter the United States since 1992.

This shows if he was in Brazil in 2008 and in United States after 2008, the statement that he even did not enter the United States since 1992 is false.

It is intrinsic to prove the Government inferences.

In the alternative, the Government is saying it should be admitted as extrinsic evidence to show Mr. Scotton's motive in allegedly lying because the statement that he had not entered the United States since 1992 according to the motion provided a motive for the misstatement to hide his unlawful entry and gain permanent residency and citizenship.

So, I want to hear from you, Mr. Scotton, about whether you have any opposition to the Government seeking to admit the evidence that I've talked about; that is, specifically that you traveled to Brazil in 2008, you applied for a Visa to return to the United States and Visa was denied, and you returned to the United States by traveling to the Bahamas from where you were transported to the United States in a private watercraft.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am going to fight that because I have evidence that I did not re-enter the country illegally, and I was inspected actually by the Coast Guard. I have photos and audio to prove that, as well.

THE COURT: Let me stop you for just a moment.

The question isn't whether you legally re-entered the country. You are not charged with illegal re-entry. You are charged with lying to customs officials. Okay? Do you

understand?

So, the question -- so, the Government wants to put this evidence on to show that you lied, okay? You lied when you said you hadn't re-entered the country since 1992, not that you illegally re-entered the country in 1992, although that is, in effect, what is going to wind up being shown because that is the disqualifying factor that the Government alleges preventing you from getting citizenship in the United States.

Am I getting this right?

MS. MITRANI: Yes, more or less.

THE COURT: Do you understand, Mr. Scotton?

THE DEFENDANT: Actually, I never made false statements to Immigration. Actually, Immigration was aware I had gone back to Brazil, so much so, when they approved the 101 Visa, I had another lawyer who actually applied to get law 1140 for me and he knew I had already obtained the 101 Visa, and he knew about that.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scotton, I am not trying you on an illegal re-entry or illegally obtaining a Visa.

I am not trying you on that.

Right now the only issue is whether the evidence the Government is seeking to admit should be allowed to be presented.

THE DEFENDANT: No, because it is false.

THE COURT: All right.

1 THE DEFENDANT: And, if their evidence is admissible, I 2 should also be able to present my defense. 3 THE COURT: All right. Having heard Mr. Scotton's 4 basis for objecting which is the evidence is allegedly false, I 5 will find that the evidence is inextricably intertwined and, 6 therefore, admissible but Mr. Scotton has a right to 7 cross-examine all the witnesses who testified to any of these things, to inspect the documents and to present his defense 9 regarding his issue, and the jury will decide whether it 10 believes the evidence the Government puts on or the evidence 11 Mr. Scotton puts on. 12 All right. Any other outstanding matters I need to address today? 13 14 MS. MITRANI: No, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: In that case, I will dismiss the Government 16 and we will see the 1:30, and we will address the audio and the 17 excerpts. 18 MS. MITRANI: Can we address -- we weren't here 19 yesterday, the first notice the Government had that the case may 20 not be proceeding tomorrow was the suggestion that Mr. Scotton 21 had asked for more time. 22 THE COURT: I apologize. 23 MS. MITRANI: Can we address the start of the trial? 24 THE COURT: Yes, I apologize, I thought you were aware 25 of it.

1 MS. MITRANI: No. 2 THE COURT: All right. We are not going to start the 3 trial. Mr. Scotton asked for a week. That brings us to next 4 Wednesday, right, Mr. Scotton? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Well, I am analyzing the process, and 6 preparing myself for my opening statements, and also I am 7 preparing for the subpoenas, and I would like the Court to take into consideration the fact because I am incarcerated I have limited access to doing certain things. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Scotton, here is what I am 11 asking you, I want to give you the time that you want. 12 But I also know you filed about 17 motions to dismiss 13 the case for speedy trial violations. 14 I also want to make sure that I give you a trial as soon as you want it. You understand what I am saying? 15 16 So, what I am trying to do is schedule the trial as 17 soon as possible while giving you the time that you want and

need to prepare for trial.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, I am relying on you to tell me how much time you need to prepare for trial.

I am happy to give you the time you need but I need to know what that is, because I need to try other cases in the mean time. If it is going to be awhile, I don't mind, but I need to know what is going on.

How much time do you want? I will give you the time

you want and we will set it as soon as possible after that time. 1 2 If I am in the middle of trial, we will have to wait 3 until I complete the trial, but we will set it as soon as 4 possible after you are ready, but I need to know how much time 5 you want. 6 THE DEFENDANT: I will be able to give you an answer to 7 that Your Honor after I come to the number of people I have to 8 subpoena. After I have that number, after the Government leaves 9 the room, I will be able to give you an answer. 10 THE COURT: All right. I will tell you what. 11 We are not going to trial at least before Wednesday of 12 next week. Tomorrow Mr. Scotton will advise everybody here when 13 he wants to go to trial. 14 All right, Mr. Scotton? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 16 MS. MITRANI: We literally are picking somebody up from 17 the airport we flew in from Arizona. We flew in somebody from 18 Tennessee yesterday. 19 I know the Court is in a difficult situation. As best 20 we can, I would like to get the best date certain that we can 21 for obvious reasons. 22 THE COURT: I completely understand. It is a 23

THE COURT: I completely understand. It is a reasonable request. I should have let you know yesterday. I apologize for not doing so. We will do better on that.

MS. MITRANI: Not at all.

24

25

Two things, real quick, I apologize, I know it is late. 1 2 We are going to take this up tomorrow? We will take up 3 the start-up date tomorrow. I want the Court to know and 4 Mr. Scotton to know the Government is unavailable September 25 5 through the 27. I want to put that out now. 6 THE COURT: Okay. You understand that, Mr. Scotton? 7 THE DEFENDANT: The agent is not going to be available? 8 THE COURT: We will not try the case September 25, 26, 9 and 27. 10 If you are able to go before then, we can start the 11 trial before that time, and we will finish the trial after the 12 27, but there will be a break in the trial. 13 Do you understand? 14 MS. MITRANI: The other thing --15 THE COURT: Do you understand? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Okay, go ahead. 18 MS. MITRANI: Two other real quick things, maybe we 19 could take it up tomorrow. I want the Court to know we made 20 demand for reciprocal discovery from the Defendant. It was made 21 in 2012. We hand delivered a letter to the Defendant, in July, 22 I think. We did hand deliver a letter to the Defendant the end 23 of July requesting reciprocal discovery. We did not receive 24 any. The Defendant made a lot of remarks concerning the alleged

25

evidence that he has.

1 I would like to have the Court order the Defendant to 2 produce that to the Government if he is intending to use that at 3 trial. THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, the evidence that you are 4 5 intending to use at trial must be provided to the Government, do 6 you understand? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: It should be provided to the Government 9 now. In fact, it should have already been provided. 10 understand? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 MS. MITRANI: We don't need to return with our evidence 13 tomorrow, do we? 14 THE COURT: No. Tomorrow we are going to be addressing 15 the evidence about the recording. 16 You understand? 17 MS. MITRANI: Yes, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Are you asking whether you need to return 19 with the trial evidence or whether you need to return with the 20 evidence for Mr. Scotton to review? 21 MS. MITRANI: The Court ordered us to produce all the 22 discovery, which we did. We brought in the physical boxes, all 23 the documentary evidence. My understanding Mr. Scotton didn't even look at it. Are we to bring this back tomorrow? 24 25 THE COURT: Oh, I misunderstood.

```
THE COURT: Mr. Scotton, it is true, this evidence has
 1
2
    been here all day. You have been here since 9:30.
 3
    understanding is you have not looked at any of the evidence, is
    that right?
4
 5
            THE DEFENDANT: I don't need to look at those evidence.
6
            THE COURT: All right. Then, I am not going to have
7
    the Government bring it back because it is a lot of work to
8
    carry that back and forth between the two buildings.
9
            Do you understand?
10
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
11
            THE COURT: The record should reflect that the evidence
12
   was made available to you, and you are choosing not to look at
13
    it.
14
            Do you understand that, Mr. Scotton?
15
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16
            THE COURT: That also includes the DVD's. Do you
17
    understand that, Mr. Scotton?
18
            The Government brought a computer for you to look at
19
    the DVD's, and they are not bringing them back tomorrow, because
20
    you didn't want to look at them, right?
21
            THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
22
            THE COURT: That is right?
23
            THE DEFENDANT: No, it is not right; but, as I said
24
    before, I wanted a chance to talk to the agent about the
25
   evidence. There are a lot of things about the evidence I don't
```

1 agree with, but I guess we are going to have to try this case in 2 front of the jury. 3 THE COURT: Once again, Mr. Scotton, you have been given a chance to review the CD's and you have been given a 4 5 computer to review them on, have you not, today? 6 THE DEFENDANT: No. 7 THE COURT: All right. In that case, I will need to 8 ask the courtroom deputy, Mr. Berry, if he would please advise 9 the Court on the record of what was provided to Mr. Scotton and 10 what happened today? 11 THE DEFENDANT: What are you trying to say? Are you 12 trying to say all the evidence is here today? 13 Yeah, I know the evidence is here. I am trying to 14 define the situation. I am trying to talk about some things and 15 it hasn't been done. 16 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scotton, as you know, today was designated for one of the days for you to look at the 17 18 evidence including the CD's. The Government brought all the 19 evidence and a computer and brought the CD's. 20 For whatever reason, you chose not to look at them. 21 Are you saying that is not an accurate statement? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Well, yeah, I did not look at the 23 evidence. I was there in the cell, you know, reviewing some 24 documents, some papers. I have no way of writing down anything, 25 doing anything.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Berry, I am sorry to ask you this but we need to do it for the record.

Would you please for the record state the arrangements that were made for Mr. Scotton to review the documents today including any kinds of writing implements and other items made available to Mr. Scotton.

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: This morning at 9:00 a.m. federal agents brought over boxes of discovery, a box of exhibits they intended to or possibly introduce at trial. They brought in several boxes, two cartfulls of physical evidence.

The boxes were marked with counts that related to the indictment. They brought in a clean laptop computer often used for jurors that does not have internet access and a folder full of CD's or DVD's.

They instructed before me were various audio, video and spread sheets.

I provided Mr. Scotton with a set of headphones where he could review the audio clips without the Government agents knowing which videos or audio clips he was listening to so he could do so in private.

The Marshals had provided him in the past with writing utensils and paper.

I was here. He did not ask for anything.

We were here for a matter of five to seven minutes and he informed everyone that he did not wish to review the

1 materials before he spoke to AUSA Bertha Mitrani and he intended 2 to go back to the cellblock so the Marshals escorted him back to 3 the cellblock. 4 I do not recall if he had pen or pencil and paper, but 5 we were here very accommodating and he did not request anything. 6 THE COURT: That was approximately 9:30? 7 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: 9:30, and he was in the 8 cellblock by 9:37. 9 THE COURT: He understood and the Court previously 10 advised that we would have the hearing 1:30 and Ms. Mitrani need 11 not be here until that time. 12 Is that correct, Mr. Scotton? 13 THE DEFENDANT: That is correct. 14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 15 All right. Thank you. Under those circumstances and 16 where the Government has brought the evidence over and 17 Mr. Scotton has said he is not going to look at it anyway. I am 18 not going to require the Government to bring it over again. 19 All right. Anything else we need to address before I 20 dismiss the Government today? 21 MS. MITRANI: Not for today. Tomorrow may be another 22 day. 23 THE COURT: All right. Have a great night, see you 24 tomorrow. 25 MS. MITRANI: Thank you so much.

1	THE COURT: I am going to need to keep Mr. Scotton here				
2	for a little while and seal the proceedings.				
3	MS. MITRANI: When we finish the sealed proceeding, yo				
4	want us to come back in?				
5	THE COURT: It could be a little while on the sealed				
6	proceeding.				
7	THE DEFENDANT: Do you mind if we take a 10 minute				
8	break?				
9	THE COURT: All ri	ight. We will take 10 minutes. We			
10	will be back 10 after four, and I will hear the sealed				
11	proceeding with Mr. Scotton.				
12	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, sorry for the inconvenience.				
13	THE COURT: That is okay.				
14	(Thereupon, a recess was taken.).				
15	(Following proceedings sealed.).				
16	CERT	IFICATE			
17	I hereby certify t	that the foregoing is an accurate			
18	transcription of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.				
19	October 5, 2013	s) Daulino A Stinos			
20		\s\ Pauline A. Stipes			
21		PAULINE A. STIPES Official United States Court Reporter			
22		299 E. Broward Boulevard Ft. Lauderdale, Fl 33301			
	954.769.5496	ic. Lauderdaie, ii 33301			
23					
24					
25					

A	asking 3:4,24 4:7 7:14 15:19,20	caller 38:13	contingent 39:7
able 17:1 19:4 26:24 29:22 30:23	19:20 27:8,21 46:11 49:18	calling 9:2	continued 6:21
30:24,25 31:20 45:2 47:6,9 48:10	asserted 42:18 assist 6:21	calls 35:24 Canada 5:25	continuity 33:16 continuously 33:18
above-entitled 54:18	associated 14:5 32:15	can't 20:4 31:1 38:22 41:4	conversation 38:3,11
absence 7:19	Association 14:25	car 11:17	convicted 23:10
abusive 6:16 7:7	assume 32:22	Carolina 2:11	cooperate 6:21
access 17:2,20 46:9 52:13 accomdating 53:5	assuming 26:18 27:21 29:19,20	carried 3:6 4:1,9	copied 21:16
accompanying 28:1 35:23 38:3	31:9	carrier 5:10	copy 2:17 19:11 20:6 21:6,11 22:5
account 3:18 35:25	assure 18:13	carry 50:8	25:9 40:4
accurate 34:19 51:21 54:17	attention 21:18	cartfulls 52:10	corporations 14:12
accurately 29:24 30:3	attorneys 6:16,25 7:4,4,5,7 17:5,6	case 1:3 2:1 4:10 6:25 7:5,25 9:13	correct 10:7 13:5 34:23 53:12,13
accusation 5:25	17:6,10,25,25 19:18 22:21,23 audio 25:21,23,25 26:3 27:25 28:2	13:3 19:18 23:8,9,22,23 28:7 32:12 37:18 38:8 40:16,17 45:15	correctly 13:10 16:14 corrupt 7:25 28:24,25
accused 4:17 10:15 29:8	35:23 36:3 39:3 43:21 45:16	45:19 46:13 48:8 51:1,7	corrupted 32:16
acquittal 23:9 acquittals 23:2	52:15,18,19	cases 18:1 23:4 46:22	couldn't 33:14
acquitted 23:10	August 1:7 2:18 28:5,6 34:14	categories 25:15 27:24	counsel 6:21 7:16 8:4,7 24:23,25
activated 33:21,23	AUSA 53:1	caused 8:4	25:3
addition 18:5	authentic 30:10	CD's 51:4,18,19 52:14	Count 42:13
address 2:21,21 3:15 7:17 14:20	authenticate 26:16 27:19 36:4,22 authenticated 26:9,12 30:11 37:7	cell 19:5 51:23 cellblock 53:2,3,8	country 15:25 43:19,24 44:4,5 counts 42:14 52:11
23:23 29:18 39:11 45:13,16,18	authenticates 29:16	certain 15:25 33:24 46:9 47:20	courthouse 1:19 23:2
45:23 53:19	authentication 26:14 27:19,22	certainly 22:19	courtroom 5:18 21:3 24:9 35:2
addressing 41:21 49:14 Adelstein 19:1 23:3	29:13,22 35:11 38:6 39:8,9,16	certify 54:17	51:8 52:7 53:7
admissibility 39:7	auto 5:23	challenge 28:17,19	Court's 9:13
admissible 30:10,11,19 31:3,10,10	available 18:8 34:25 48:7 50:12	challenging 8:18,22	cover 10:17
31:20,21,23,24 37:19,20,23	52:6	chance 3:1,12 7:13 20:23 27:2	covering 10:17
38:23 40:10 41:13 45:1,6	aware 12:9 44:13 45:24 awhile 46:23	28:14 35:9 38:17 40:6 50:24 51:4 change 6:22	craft 42:9 credentials 14:6
admit 7:24 37:14 38:9 40:17 42:10	A-r-a-u-j-o 14:4	change 0.22 changed 26:25 29:6 30:18	credible 18:11
42:11 43:12 44:22	a.m 52:7	charged 42:13 43:24,25	Crime 14:25
admitted 43:6 admitting 38:8	A.U.S.A 1:14	charges 6:6 12:10,23 23:10,11	cross-examination 38:17
advise 25:2 47:12 51:8		Chaves 1:7,16 2:3	cross-examine 27:3 35:4,9 37:8
advised 18:25 32:8,24 34:15 53:10	В	check 11:24	45:7
advising 42:3	back 4:16 5:10 20:6 21:7,15,17,22	chemical 13:25 China 13:24	cross-examining 27:2 Cuban 42:16
afford 17:4	21:23 24:11 40:18 44:13 49:24	choice 20:9	culminating 20:13
afternoon 2:8 agent 2:4 12:21 20:20 27:12 28:2	50:7,8,19 53:2,2 54:4,10 background 6:5 9:21	choosing 50:12	customs 40:12 43:25
29:8 33:7,7,17 34:9,20 35:5,10	Bahamas 42:8 43:16	chose 51:20	
48:7 50:24	based 10:15 20:18 30:2	circumstance 32:6 circumstances 53:15	D
agents 52:8,18	bases 42:12	circumstantial 36:15,16	damaged 13:24
ago 20:20 agree 28:18 51:1	basis 16:18 18:13 20:5,15,17 21:4 28:23 32:14 45:4	Citizen 28:3 31:18	dash 2:1 data 33:24
agreement 30:4	battery 34:16,17	Citizens 27:14	date 34:13,14,18,19,20,22,22 47:20
ahead 5:19 9:19 32:7 48:17	Beach 15:10	citizenship 35:17 43:10 44:8	48:3 54:20
aid 20:12	beginning 34:9,21	claim 12:2 clean 52:12	David 15:6
airport 47:17	Belgium 11:23,23	cleaner 11:17	day 17:24 28:6 50:2 53:22
aka 1:8 alerting 26:15	believe 2:16 14:23,24 17:23 25:11 25:12 32:11	clips 25:25 39:3,15 52:18,19	days 51:17 deal 11:13 18:15 29:20
allegation 12:12,14	believes 32:10 45:10	close 13:18 14:16	dealing 5:22
allege 20:10	belt 23:2	Coast 43:20	deals 27:23
alleged 4:8 13:3,8 24:14 42:15,16	Berry 2:17 20:6 21:11,25 22:5	collect 40:21 collected 26:23 27:1 29:11 38:15	death 23:4
48:24	34:25 51:8 52:1	come 18:22 19:1,11,12 24:5,11	December 26:1 39:4
allegedly 3:6 4:9 43:7 45:4 alleges 44:7	Bertha 1:14 2:4 53:1 best 23:3 47:19,20	47:7 54:4	decide 37:19,20 41:13 45:9 deciding 30:21 31:10,19
allow 3:13,14,24 4:11 20:15	better 47:24	comes 31:5	decision 29:21 37:19
allowed 44:22	beyond 22:20	coming 15:3	defendants 23:4
altered 32:11	blue 11:18	community 15:18 companies 9:5,6,24,24 10:25 11:1	Defendant's 27:13 36:12,14
alternative 43:5	Blvd 1:15	14:22 15:14 16:7 20:11	Defender 18:20
Amaral 5:22 10:25	Boca 14:24 39:5	company 5:9,13 6:2 8:10,11,14,20	Defender's 18:6 defense 6:22 16:19 20:16 45:2,8
AMERICA 1:4 amount 33:24	Boulevard 1:19 54:21 box 11:15,17,18 13:23,24 14:1,2,15	8:21,22,24 9:1,2 38:14	defer 24:15
analogous 12:18	15:3 52:8	complain 14:14 22:20 24:22	define 51:14
analyzing 46:5	boxes 8:17 10:9,10 12:20 14:11,19	complaint 14:12 complaints 8:3 14:13,17,22 15:12	deliver 48:22
and/or 3:6 4:9 18:2	15:23,23,25 49:22 52:8,10,11	15:13,17,20,20,22 16:6,9,13	delivered 18:19 48:21
answer 19:13 47:6,9 anticipate 31:5	Brazil 11:7,11,13,23,24 14:7 15:24 16:11 18:24 19:11 42:5,21 43:1	18:10	delivering 10:10 delivery 12:19
anyway 53:17			
		complete 30:1 47:3	l demand 48:20
apologize 45:22,24 47:24 48:1	43:14 44:14 Brazilian 16:10	completely 47:22	demand 48:20 demonstrate 26:13
APPEARANCES 1:13	43:14 44:14 Brazilian 16:10 break 33:16 48:12 54:8	completely 47:22 computer 50:18 51:5,19 52:12	demonstrate 26:13 demonstrating 39:8
APPEARANCES 1:13 appeared 22:24 23:8	43:14 44:14 Brazilian 16:10 break 33:16 48:12 54:8 breaks 33:13 35:14	completely 47:22 computer 50:18 51:5,19 52:12 conceding 30:9	demonstrate 26:13 demonstrating 39:8 denied 42:6,7,23 43:15
APPEARANCES 1:13 appeared 22:24 23:8 appears 23:25	43:14 44:14 Brazilian 16:10 break 33:16 48:12 54:8 breaks 33:13 35:14 brief 40:1	completely 47:22 computer 50:18 51:5,19 52:12	demonstrate 26:13 demonstrating 39:8 denied 42:6,7,23 43:15 department 3:6 4:1,9 10:8 11:8
APPEARANCES 1:13 appeared 22:24 23:8 appears 23:25 appease 12:4	43:14 44:14 Brazilian 16:10 break 33:16 48:12 54:8 breaks 33:13 35:14 brief 40:1 briefly 39:6	completely 47:22 computer 50:18 51:5,19 52:12 conceding 30:9 concern 21:15 38:19 concerned 35:16 concerning 3:5 42:15 48:24	demonstrate 26:13 demonstrating 39:8 denied 42:6,7,23 43:15 department 3:6 4:1,9 10:8 11:8 14:8,24 15:3,9 20:12,13
APPEARANCES 1:13 appeared 22:24 23:8 appears 23:25	43:14 44:14 Brazilian 16:10 break 33:16 48:12 54:8 breaks 33:13 35:14 brief 40:1	completely 47:22 computer 50:18 51:5,19 52:12 conceding 30:9 concern 21:15 38:19 concerned 35:16 concerning 3:5 42:15 48:24 concludes 34:10	demonstrate 26:13 demonstrating 39:8 denied 42:6,7,23 43:15 department 3:6 4:1,9 10:8 11:8
APPEARANCES 1:13 appeared 22:24 23:8 appears 23:25 appease 12:4 applied 42:5 43:14 44:15 appointed 23:7,8 appropriate 26:17 27:20 29:22	43:14 44:14 Brazilian 16:10 break 33:16 48:12 54:8 breaks 33:13 35:14 brief 40:1 briefly 39:6 bring 27:7 49:24 50:7 53:18 bringing 6:24 36:18 50:19 brings 35:22 41:25 46:3	completely 47:22 computer 50:18 51:5,19 52:12 conceding 30:9 concern 21:15 38:19 concerned 35:16 concerning 3:5 42:15 48:24 concludes 34:10 conduct 7:7	demonstrate 26:13 demonstrating 39:8 denied 42:6,7,23 43:15 department 3:6 4:1,9 10:8 11:8 14:8,24 15:3,9 20:12,13 departments 9:25 deputy 15:2 35:2 51:8 52:7 53:7 described 15:14 16:8
APPEARANCES 1:13 appeared 22:24 23:8 appears 23:25 appease 12:4 applied 42:5 43:14 44:15 appointed 23:7,8 appropriate 26:17 27:20 29:22 30:24,25 31:2	43:14 44:14 Brazilian 16:10 break 33:16 48:12 54:8 breaks 33:13 35:14 brief 40:1 briefly 39:6 bring 27:7 49:24 50:7 53:18 bringing 6:24 36:18 50:19 brings 35:22 41:25 46:3 Brooks 28:3 31:18	completely 47:22 computer 50:18 51:5,19 52:12 conceding 30:9 concern 21:15 38:19 concerned 35:16 concerning 3:5 42:15 48:24 concludes 34:10	demonstrate 26:13 demonstrating 39:8 denied 42:6,7,23 43:15 department 3:6 4:1,9 10:8 11:8 14:8,24 15:3,9 20:12,13 departments 9:25 deputy 15:2 35:2 51:8 52:7 53:7 described 15:14 16:8 designated 51:17
APPEARANCES 1:13 appeared 22:24 23:8 appears 23:25 appease 12:4 applied 42:5 43:14 44:15 appointed 23:7,8 appropriate 26:17 27:20 29:22 30:24,25 31:2 appropriately 36:22 37:1	43:14 44:14 Brazilian 16:10 break 33:16 48:12 54:8 breaks 33:13 35:14 brief 40:1 briefly 39:6 bring 27:7 49:24 50:7 53:18 bringing 6:24 36:18 50:19 brings 35:22 41:25 46:3 Brooks 28:3 31:18 brought 17:3,11 49:22 50:18 51:18	completely 47:22 computer 50:18 51:5,19 52:12 conceding 30:9 concern 21:15 38:19 concerned 35:16 concerning 3:5 42:15 48:24 concludes 34:10 conduct 7:7 confessing 18:18 confession 18:23 conflict 13:20	demonstrate 26:13 demonstrating 39:8 denied 42:6,7,23 43:15 department 3:6 4:1,9 10:8 11:8 14:8,24 15:3,9 20:12,13 departments 9:25 deputy 15:2 35:2 51:8 52:7 53:7 described 15:14 16:8 designated 51:17 destination 16:1
APPEARANCES 1:13 appeared 22:24 23:8 appears 23:25 appease 12:4 applied 42:5 43:14 44:15 appointed 23:7,8 appropriate 26:17 27:20 29:22 30:24,25 31:2	43:14 44:14 Brazilian 16:10 break 33:16 48:12 54:8 breaks 33:13 35:14 brief 40:1 briefly 39:6 bring 27:7 49:24 50:7 53:18 bringing 6:24 36:18 50:19 brings 35:22 41:25 46:3 Brooks 28:3 31:18	completely 47:22 computer 50:18 51:5,19 52:12 conceding 30:9 concern 21:15 38:19 concerned 35:16 concerning 3:5 42:15 48:24 concludes 34:10 conduct 7:7 confessing 18:18 confession 18:23 conflict 13:20 confusing 34:12	demonstrate 26:13 demonstrating 39:8 denied 42:6,7,23 43:15 department 3:6 4:1,9 10:8 11:8 14:8,24 15:3,9 20:12,13 departments 9:25 deputy 15:2 35:2 51:8 52:7 53:7 described 15:14 16:8 designated 51:17
APPEARANCES 1:13 appeared 22:24 23:8 appears 23:25 appease 12:4 applied 42:5 43:14 44:15 appointed 23:7,8 appropriate 26:17 27:20 29:22 30:24,25 31:2 appropriately 36:22 37:1 approved 13:22 44:14 approximately 53:6 Araujo 14:3,4	43:14 44:14 Brazilian 16:10 break 33:16 48:12 54:8 breaks 33:13 35:14 brief 40:1 briefly 39:6 bring 27:7 49:24 50:7 53:18 bringing 6:24 36:18 50:19 brings 35:22 41:25 46:3 Brooks 28:3 31:18 brought 17:3,11 49:22 50:18 51:18 51:19 52:8,10,12 53:16 Broward 1:15,19 54:21 Brunt 2:5 12:21 27:13 28:2 33:7,8	completely 47:22 computer 50:18 51:5,19 52:12 conceding 30:9 concern 21:15 38:19 concerned 35:16 concerning 3:5 42:15 48:24 concludes 34:10 conduct 7:7 confessing 18:18 confession 18:23 conflict 13:20 conflusing 34:12 confusion 9:3	demonstrate 26:13 demonstrating 39:8 denied 42:6,7,23 43:15 department 3:6 4:1,9 10:8 11:8 14:8,24 15:3,9 20:12,13 departments 9:25 deputy 15:2 35:2 51:8 52:7 53:7 described 15:14 16:8 designated 51:17 destination 16:1 determination 21:3 28:21 32:13 determine 16:18 20:5 31:21 device 33:14
APPEARANCES 1:13 appeared 22:24 23:8 appeares 23:25 appease 12:4 applied 42:5 43:14 44:15 appointed 23:7.8 appropriate 26:17 27:20 29:22 30:24,25 31:2 appropriately 36:22 37:1 approved 13:22 44:14 approximately 53:6 Araujo 14:3,4 area 23:5	43:14 44:14 Brazilian 16:10 break 33:16 48:12 54:8 breaks 33:13 35:14 brief 40:1 briefly 39:6 bring 27:7 49:24 50:7 53:18 bringing 6:24 36:18 50:19 brings 35:22 41:25 46:3 Brooks 28:3 31:18 brought 17:3,11 49:22 50:18 51:18 51:19 52:8,10,12 53:16 Broward 1:15,19 54:21 Brunt 2:5 12:21 27:13 28:2 33:7,8 33:17 34:9,20	completely 47:22 computer 50:18 51:5,19 52:12 conceding 30:9 concern 21:15 38:19 concerned 35:16 concerning 3:5 42:15 48:24 concludes 34:10 conduct 7:7 confessing 18:18 confession 18:23 conflict 13:20 confusing 34:12	demonstrate 26:13 demonstrating 39:8 denied 42:6,7,23 43:15 department 3:6 4:1,9 10:8 11:8 14:8,24 15:3,9 20:12,13 departments 9:25 deputy 15:2 35:2 51:8 52:7 53:7 described 15:14 16:8 designated 51:17 destination 16:1 determination 21:3 28:21 32:13 determine 16:18 20:5 31:21 device 33:14 DHL 9:5 13:3 14:7 38:3 39:5
APPEARANCES 1:13 appeared 22:24 23:8 appears 23:25 appease 12:4 applied 42:5 43:14 44:15 appointed 23:7,8 appropriate 26:17 27:20 29:22 30:24,25 31:2 appropriately 36:22 37:1 approved 13:22 44:14 approximately 53:6 Araujo 14:3,4 area 23:5 Arizona 47:17	43:14 44:14 Brazilian 16:10 break 33:16 48:12 54:8 breaks 33:13 35:14 brief 40:1 briefly 39:6 bring 27:7 49:24 50:7 53:18 bringing 6:24 36:18 50:19 brings 35:22 41:25 46:3 Brooks 28:3 31:18 brought 17:3,11 49:22 50:18 51:18 51:19 52:8,10,12 53:16 Broward 1:15,19 54:21 Brunt 2:5 12:21 27:13 28:2 33:7,8 33:17 34:9,20 building 12:22	completely 47:22 computer 50:18 51:5,19 52:12 conceding 30:9 concern 21:15 38:19 concerned 35:16 concerning 3:5 42:15 48:24 concludes 34:10 conduct 7:7 confessing 18:18 confession 18:23 conflict 13:20 confusing 34:12 confusion 9:3 Conklin 15:6 consider 30:12 consideration 46:8	demonstrate 26:13 demonstrating 39:8 denied 42:6,7,23 43:15 department 3:6 4:1,9 10:8 11:8 14:8,24 15:3,9 20:12,13 departments 9:25 deputy 15:2 35:2 51:8 52:7 53:7 described 15:14 16:8 designated 51:17 destination 16:1 determination 21:3 28:21 32:13 determine 16:18 20:5 31:21 device 33:14 DHL 9:5 13:3 14:7 38:3 39:5 didn't 9:7,8 33:17 35:13 49:23
APPEARANCES 1:13 appeared 22:24 23:8 appears 23:25 appease 12:4 applied 42:5 43:14 44:15 appointed 23:7,8 appropriate 26:17 27:20 29:22 30:24,25 31:2 appropriately 36:22 37:1 approved 13:22 44:14 approximately 53:6 Araujo 14:3,4 area 23:5 Arizona 47:17 Armstrong 6:20 23:7	43:14 44:14 Brazilian 16:10 break 33:16 48:12 54:8 breaks 33:13 35:14 brief 40:1 briefly 39:6 bring 27:7 49:24 50:7 53:18 bringing 6:24 36:18 50:19 brings 35:22 41:25 46:3 Brooks 28:3 31:18 brought 17:3,11 49:22 50:18 51:18 51:19 52:8,10,12 53:16 Broward 1:15,19 54:21 Brunt 2:5 12:21 27:13 28:2 33:7,8 33:17 34:9,20	completely 47:22 computer 50:18 51:5,19 52:12 conceding 30:9 concern 21:15 38:19 concerned 35:16 concerning 3:5 42:15 48:24 concludes 34:10 conduct 7:7 confessing 18:18 confession 18:23 conflict 13:20 conflict 13:20 confusing 34:12 confusion 9:3 Conklin 15:6 consider 30:12 consideration 46:8 conspiracy 3:6 4:1,8 13:9 20:10	demonstrate 26:13 demonstrating 39:8 denied 42:6,7,23 43:15 department 3:6 4:1,9 10:8 11:8 14:8,24 15:3,9 20:12,13 departments 9:25 deputy 15:2 35:2 51:8 52:7 53:7 described 15:14 16:8 designated 51:17 destination 16:1 determination 21:3 28:21 32:13 determine 16:18 20:5 31:21 device 33:14 DHL 9:5 13:3 14:7 38:3 39:5
APPEARANCES 1:13 appeared 22:24 23:8 appears 23:25 appease 12:4 applied 42:5 43:14 44:15 appointed 23:7,8 appropriate 26:17 27:20 29:22 30:24,25 31:2 appropriately 36:22 37:1 approved 13:22 44:14 approximately 53:6 Araujo 14:3,4 area 23:5 Arizona 47:17 Armstrong 6:20 23:7 arranged 19:4 arrangements 18:15 52:3	43:14 44:14 Brazilian 16:10 break 33:16 48:12 54:8 breaks 33:13 35:14 brief 40:1 briefly 39:6 bring 27:7 49:24 50:7 53:18 bringing 6:24 36:18 50:19 brings 35:22 41:25 46:3 Brooks 28:3 31:18 brought 17:3,11 49:22 50:18 51:18 51:19 52:8,10,12 53:16 Broward 1:15,19 54:21 Brunt 2:5 12:21 27:13 28:2 33:7,8 33:17 34:9,20 building 12:22	completely 47:22 computer 50:18 51:5,19 52:12 conceding 30:9 concern 21:15 38:19 concerned 35:16 concerning 3:5 42:15 48:24 concludes 34:10 conduct 7:7 confessing 18:18 confession 18:23 conflict 13:20 conflusion 9:3 Conklin 15:6 consider 30:12 consideration 46:8 conspiracy 3:6 4:1,8 13:9 20:10 24:14	demonstrate 26:13 demonstrating 39:8 denied 42:6,7,23 43:15 department 3:6 4:1,9 10:8 11:8 14:8,24 15:3,9 20:12,13 departments 9:25 deputy 15:2 35:2 51:8 52:7 53:7 described 15:14 16:8 designated 51:17 destination 16:1 determination 21:3 28:21 32:13 determine 16:18 20:5 31:21 device 33:14 DHL 9:5 13:3 14:7 38:3 39:5 didn't 9:7,8 33:17 35:13 49:23 50:20
APPEARANCES 1:13 appeared 22:24 23:8 appears 23:25 appease 12:4 applied 42:5 43:14 44:15 appointed 23:7,8 appropriate 26:17 27:20 29:22 30:24,25 31:2 appropriately 36:22 37:1 approved 13:22 44:14 approximately 53:6 Araujo 14:3,4 area 23:5 Arizona 47:17 Armstrong 6:20 23:7 arranged 19:4 arrangements 18:15 52:3 arrive 16:1,3	43:14 44:14 Brazilian 16:10 break 33:16 48:12 54:8 breaks 33:13 35:14 brief 40:1 briefly 39:6 bring 27:7 49:24 50:7 53:18 bringing 6:24 36:18 50:19 brings 35:22 41:25 46:3 Brooks 28:3 31:18 brought 17:3,11 49:22 50:18 51:18 51:19 52:8,10,12 53:16 Broward 1:15,19 54:21 Brunt 2:5 12:21 27:13 28:2 33:7,8 33:17 34:9,20 building 12:22 buildings 50:8	completely 47:22 computer 50:18 51:5,19 52:12 conceding 30:9 concern 21:15 38:19 concerned 35:16 concerning 3:5 42:15 48:24 concludes 34:10 conduct 7:7 confessing 18:18 confession 18:23 conflict 13:20 confusion 9:3 Conklin 15:6 consider 30:12 consideration 46:8 conspiracy 3:6 4:1,8 13:9 20:10 24:14 consult 33:6	demonstrate 26:13 demonstrating 39:8 denied 42:6,7,23 43:15 department 3:6 4:1,9 10:8 11:8 14:8,24 15:3,9 20:12,13 departments 9:25 deputy 15:2 35:2 51:8 52:7 53:7 described 15:14 16:8 designated 51:17 destination 16:1 determination 21:3 28:21 32:13 determine 16:18 20:5 31:21 device 33:14 DHL 9:5 13:3 14:7 38:3 39:5 didn't 9:7,8 33:17 35:13 49:23 50:20 different 14:15,20 15:25 16:2 22:21 23:25 25:15 26:25 33:25 36:10 42:11
APPEARANCES 1:13 appeared 22:24 23:8 appears 23:25 appease 12:4 applied 42:5 43:14 44:15 appointed 23:7,8 appropriate 26:17 27:20 29:22 30:24,25 31:2 appropriately 36:22 37:1 approved 13:22 44:14 approximately 53:6 Araujo 14:3,4 area 23:5 Arizona 47:17 Armstrong 6:20 23:7 arranged 19:4 arrangements 18:15 52:3	43:14 44:14 Brazilian 16:10 break 33:16 48:12 54:8 breaks 33:13 35:14 brief 40:1 briefly 39:6 bring 27:7 49:24 50:7 53:18 bringing 6:24 36:18 50:19 brings 35:22 41:25 46:3 Brooks 28:3 31:18 brought 17:3,11 49:22 50:18 51:18 51:19 52:8,10,12 53:16 Broward 1:15,19 54:21 Brunt 2:5 12:21 27:13 28:2 33:7,8 33:17 34:9,20 building 12:22 buildings 50:8	completely 47:22 computer 50:18 51:5,19 52:12 conceding 30:9 concern 21:15 38:19 concerned 35:16 concerning 3:5 42:15 48:24 concludes 34:10 conduct 7:7 confessing 18:18 confession 18:23 conflict 13:20 conflusion 9:3 Conklin 15:6 consider 30:12 consideration 46:8 conspiracy 3:6 4:1,8 13:9 20:10 24:14	demonstrate 26:13 demonstrating 39:8 denied 42:6,7,23 43:15 department 3:6 4:1,9 10:8 11:8 14:8,24 15:3,9 20:12,13 departments 9:25 deputy 15:2 35:2 51:8 52:7 53:7 described 15:14 16:8 designated 51:17 destination 16:1 determination 21:3 28:21 32:13 determine 16:18 20:5 31:21 device 33:14 DHL 9:5 13:3 14:7 38:3 39:5 didn't 9:7,8 33:17 35:13 49:23 50:20 different 14:15,20 15:25 16:2 22:21 23:25 25:15 26:25 33:25 36:10

digital 34:23 Express 5:14,17,21 39:11 42:4 43:18 44:6 46:2,23,24 individuals 5:21 dire 25:2 extended 19:17 47:11 48:2,7 49:14 50:6 51:1 inextricably 42:1,12,19 45:5 directly 36:11 extrinsic 43:6 53:17,18 54:1 inferences 43:4 disagree 30:1 good 2:8 16:18 18:13 20:5,15,17 information 8:9 9:21 10:20 38:15 21:3 25:13 34:11 disappeared 12:1 13:13 19:22 informed 52:25 F discovery 9:15 19:5,14 48:20,23 gotten 11:15 inside 17:2 **F** 54·16 49:22 52:8 govern 28:22 inspect 45:8 fact 4:15 9:7 24:18,20,22,25 34:21 discuss 25:1 Government 1:14 2:21,24 3:3,13 inspected 43:20 42:16 46:8 49:9 discussed 39:6 40:9 9:7,9,14 10:18 13:2 16:10 21:8 instructed 52:15 factor 12:23 44:7 discussing 19:24 24:8,9 25:14,24 26:3,13,15 27:6 insuring 30:12 facts 7:13 8:18 discussion 17:24 27:8 28:8,11 29:15,18,22 30:15 intend 39:20 failure 6:21 dismiss 2:18,21 45:15 46:12 53:20 30:23,24 31:25 32:1,7,8,9,19 intended 52:9 53:1 fair 7:12 15 disqualifying 44:7 35:25 36:3,8,9,17,20,21,25 38:4 intending 27:6 49:2,5 faith 16:18 18:13 20:5,15,17 21:4 distance 13:17 38:18 39:20 40:15,17,25 41:14 intends 25:15 26:15 27:9 28:10 false 42:15 43:3 44:12,24 45:4 distracted 21:18 42:3,10,11,18 43:4,5,12 44:2,7 40:25 familiar 36:11,23 37:2 40:24 district 1:1,1,12 23:3 44:22 45:10,15,19 47:8 48:4 49:2 intention 12:3 40:19 family 3:19 9:15 12:7 22:14 **DIVISION** 1:2 49:5,8 50:7,18 51:18 52:18 53:16 interest 13:21 fault 6:14 17 Doakes 6:20 7:24 19:1 23:1 53:18,20 internet 52:13 FBI 2:5,5 9:23 20:20 28:1 33:8,10 docket 2:24 3:23 9:13 25:10 42:2 Government's 3:23 7:18 20:9 interpret 35:13 34:20 35:16,21 40:9 document 21:22,25 22:1 24:17 25:7 32:18 37:24 38:10,25 interpreter 2:11,12,14 24:5 federal 1:19 5:14,17,21 16:10 18:6 documentary 49:23 39:7 40:18 41:25 interpreters 2:10 29:2,2 18:24 36:21 52:8 documents 9:15 32:25 45:8 51:24 grant 7:18 20:9 24:19 intertwined 42:1,12,19 45:5 FedEx 3:6 4:1,9,16 5:10 8:12,13,15 great 10:5 53:23 interview 27:13 31:17 40:11 52.4 8:21 9:5 10:11,25 12:3,9,12,15 doesn't 12:23 38:19 40:15 gripe 19:17 interviewed 22:11 12:22 13:4.15.16.20 14:16.18.22 doing 4:16 10:14,14,18,19,23 12:4 intrinsic 42:13 43:4 Guard 43:20 15:14 25:21 35:24 36:1 30:9,10 39:22 46:9 47:24 51:25 guess 27:11 37:21 51:1 introduce 27:9 42:1,4 52:9 fees 32:14 don't 3:2 4:24 5:2 6:4,5,10,11,12,14 guys 11:18 introducing 3:4 Felix 10:11 11:13 7:13,15 8:20 9:3,10,11,14,17,21 investigated 13:13 fell 33:15 10:1,20 15:22 17:23 18:10,14,22 investigation 9:23,25 10:6 н fiaht 22:14 43:18 19:9,11,12 20:4,8,10 21:14,18 investigator 18:5 figure 17:8.15 31:2 41:2 hadn't 32:8 44:4 23:14 30:14,22 31:6,10 35:15 involved 8:15,17 9:5,24 11:1 13:9 filed 2:18,24 9:13 14:23 46:12 hand 21:6 48:21.22 37:13 39:14 40:10 46:23 49:12 14.9 fill 6:4 handed 3:19 19:12.21 50:5,25 involves 24:14,16 37:21 filled 42:22 happen 8:4.6 drop 12:19 isn't 43:23 Finally 39:3 happened 11:12 34:15 51:10 issue 2:22 7:9 25:1 29:12,13,20 drug 3:5,5,25 4:1,8,8 10:21 11:21 happening 14:11 happy 8:2 19:16,19 20:17 46:21 find 18:10 37:22 45:5 31:5 35:1,11,16 37:21 39:11 13:9 20:11 24:14 finds 30:11 drugs 10:24 44:21 45:9 fine 4:22 29:2 30:15 hasn't 51:15 **Duarte** 18:18 24:3 issued 2:18 finish 48:11 54:3 haven't 20:23 31:20 37:5 38:21,22 due 17:16 issues 25:8 37:18 38:6 fired 6:15.17.20.20 headphones 52:17 DVD's 50:16,19 52:14 item 35:22 firing 17:5 Health 11:8 items 52:5 hear 6:13 7:15,17 8:1,9 25:19 30:22 first 2:23,25 3:17,20 7:21 17:12,17 I'm 4:18 6:3 10:1 18:10 Ε 32:9,17 33:2,2 37:4,15,15 38:7 17:20 28:13,13 29:12 36:4 37:18 I've 43:13 39:9,14 43:11 54:10 E 1:19 54:16.16.21 39:24.25 42:19 45:19 Eagle 33:9,18,21 five 24:11 25:15,25 27:24 35:22,23 heard 25:20 31:20 33:5 37:5,16 earlier 39:6 40:10 52:24 38:22 45:3 FI 1:15,20 54:22 hearing 1:11 4:20 17:12 24:8 29:21 Fast 1:15 Jadao 5:24 30:17 34:25 35:20 40:11 53:10 effect 44.6 flew 47:17.17 jail 17:2 19:5 either 17:14 19:9 29:15 41:13 help 10:12 16:21,23,24 Jiminez 5:24 Floor 1:15 eloquent 34:3 FLORIDA 1:1.6 job 22:19 helpful 9:3 33:4 employed 18:5 Judge 1:12 6:18 fluid 13:25 34:8 helps 12:24 hide 20:13 43:9 judgments 37:5 employee 38:3 folder 52:13 July 48:21,23 enforcement 15:15 Following 54:15 hired 7:8 hiring 17:5 32:15 jurors 25:1,2 52:13 English 18:20 foregoing 54:17 jury 25:2 27:7,18 28:9 31:1,1 37:13 forged 30:18 enter 43:2 Hold 4:18 entered 26:12 43:7 formal 34:10 home 11:15 15:4 37:19,20 40:15,18,18,20,25 45:9 entirety 28:9 39:20 40:1 41:14 forth 50:8 Honor 4:19 5:16 17:16 18:17 19:20 51.2 entry 2:24 3:23 25:10 42:2 43:9 forward 16:19 jury's 20:16 28:11 31:6 21:7.20 22:10 23:14 27:10 33:6 equipment 33:8,8,10 34:11,16 found 10:14 11:3 35:6 36:10 39:10 41:23 45:14 justice 3:7 4:2,9 14:8 20:12 22:12 equivalent 11:8 foundation 26:17 27:6 29:16 30:24 47:7 49:17 22:13.15.18 **HONORABLE** 1:12 escorted 53:2 Justice's 20:13 30:25 32:9 establish 36:25 four 9:23 10:6 33:15,15,19,25 hope 23:20 established 23:5 39:25 54:10 house 8:16 Frank 2:5 events 15:18 keep 7:3 17:5,5,6 54:1 eventually 6:22 fraud 6:7 10:8 killing 23:17 Fred 28:3 31:18 38:4 front 12:17,17 18:23 51:2 everybody 47:12 idea 15:8 kind 3:4,5,25 7:3 10:17 11:4 13:24 evidence 3:4,25 4:11 5:3 9:14 identify 9:2 14:13 16:3 11:19 16:13,17 17:14,18,20 Ft 1:2 6 15 20 54:22 identifying 36:23 kinds 16:6 52:5 18:12,14 19:21,21,22 20:2,10 full 11:17 15:4 22:1 52:13 illegal 10:21 43:24 44:19 knew 5:21 10:13 44:16,16 21:1 25:15.16.20 26:12.16.19 fundamental 27:17 illegally 43:19 44:5,19 know 3:18 6:4,5,10,11 7:13,14 8:20 27:20,23 33:3 35:3 36:15,16,21 further 19:25 imagine 26:16 8:24 9:11,11,12,17,24 10:1,8,9 37:4,5,15,17 38:7,22 42:1,4,10 immediately 4:25 7:24 21:12 10:17 11:4,12 20:4 24:5 26:25 42:13.18 43:6.13.19 44:3.21 45:1 G Immigration 27:14 28:3 31:18 27:1 29:10,17 30:1,23 31:10,24 45:4.5.10.10 48:25 49:4.12.15.19 gain 43:9 35:17 40:12 44:13,13 33:3 37:4,13,16 38:7,9 40:10 49:20,23 50:1,3,5,11,25,25 51:12 gathered 3:19 implements 52:5 41:12 46:12,22,24 47:4,19,23 51:13,18,19,23 52:10 53:16 general 30:4 implications 5:25 48:1,3,4,19 51:13,16,23 evidences 3:19 getting 21:15 39:12 44:8,9 impressed 22:25 knowing 5:4 7:19 31:4 52:19 excerpts 27:16,18 28:10 39:11,21 giant 13:9 imprint 34:23 39:22 40:4,7,8,24 41:9,14,22 incarcerated 12:6 46:8 give 3:12 7:12,12 19:25 20:3,6,6 45:17 21:21 31:1 46:11,14,21,25 47:6,9 include 4:16 23:4 lack 3:8 24:16 Excuse 17:23 23:19 given 19:7 21:22 51:4,4 includes 50:16 language 23:25 exhibits 52:9 giving 46:17 including 51:18 52:5 laptop 52:12 expect 34:7 glitch 34:12 inconvenience 54:12 expert 32:12,15 36:24 late 48:1 go 5:19 7:8 9:8,19 14:16,18 22:15 indicate 5:17 Lauderdale 1:2,6,15,20 54:22 experts 5:22 36:18 29:14 30:15 32:6 47:13 48:10,17 indicated 32:10 explain 3:12 4:13,24 5:7 6:11 7:13 law 15:15 44:15 53:2 indicates 25:14 lawyer 6:9,13,14 7:22 44:15 9:12,18,20,20 going 6:10 7:6,8 8:3 9:22,23 10:3,3 indicating 36:15 lawyers 3:20 6:15 17:19 19:12,22 explained 6:18.18.19 16:25 10:10 11:19,23 13:12 16:21,23 indication 20:13 19:22 20:19 22:11 23:15,16 explaining 5:4 16:24 17:1,8,14 19:17 20:3,18 indictment 12:10,16 42:14 52:12 explanation 7:19 Lead 9:5 11:13 24:10,15,19 30:23 36:9 37:3 38:4 individual 35:24 36:22

learn 7:4 17:7 leave 15:22 leaves 2:20 47:8 left 5:18 legally 43:23 lengthy 40:13 letter 18:18,19,20,22 19:10,10,25 20:22 23:24 24:2 48:21,22 let's 8:8 21:21 27:25 license 11:9 Lider 10:25 lied 44:3,3 limine 2:23,25 3:1 8:8 20:9 24:13 24:20 limited 46:9 listen 7:11 8:2,3 20:16 listening 52:19 literally 47:16 little 23:17,18 54:2,5 lived 15:2 local 14:16,18 long 11:1 28:6 look 16:17 17:18 19:15 21:12 22:1 22:6 30:21 49:24 50:5,12,18,20 51:17,20,22 53:17 looked 50:3 looking 23:24 lost 11:5 lot 5:20 6:24 11:19,20 48:24 50:7 50:25 lying 37:9 43:7,25

М

M 11:7.7 Madam 4:19 mail 6:6 12:19 Mailman 12:18,18 main 5:10 making 3:7 29:21 37:4 Maria 2.11 marked 52:11 Markow 2:12 Marshals 52:21 53:2 material 16:1,2,5 26:23,25 28:12 40.16 materials 16:3 18:2,3,4,7 53:1 matter 4:15 9:7 29:17 37:3 52:24 54:18 matters 27:19 45:12 Matthewman 6:18 mean 9:4 19:21 46:22 Meaning 8:12 means 42:12 medical 11:9 16:5 medications 11:10,11 meeting 27:12 28:1 29:7 33:10,16 34:8,10 Merry 12:18,18 met 5:20.23 Mexico 15:24 Miami 15:1 microphone 2:9 middle 10:11 14:4 47:2 mike 4:20 mind 46:23 54:7 mine 14:20 minute 3:21 5:12 21:24 54:7 minutes 24:10 52:24 54:9 Missing 15:23 misstatement 43:9 mistake 11:15 mistaken 37:9 misunderstood 12:11 49:25 Mitrani 1:14 2:4,4 4:18 12:14 13:5 13:7 21:8 26:2,5 27:10 28:5 32:19 33:6 34:1,3,6 35:6,12 36:10 39:10.13.23.25 40:5 41:6 41.20 23 44.10 45.14 18 23 46.1 47:16,25 48:14,18 49:12,17,21 53:1.10.21.25 54:3 modern 34:16 mom 23:17 moment 43:22 money 6:24 7:3.4 22:12 months 17:10,12 20:19 Moreira 13:25 14:3,5 morning 41:5 52:7 mother 23:20

motion 1:11 2:18,23,25 3:1,9,12,18

3:23 7:18 8:8 20:9 24:13,20 25:7 25:14 42:3,19 43:8 motions 2:20 46:12 motive 43:6,9 moving 9:5 20:1 Mudancas 10:25 multiple 6:16,24 7:4,5 20:11

Ν

name 2:10 9:3 14:4,20 Nathan 2:5 national 42:16 need 2:9 4:13,24 6:4 9:12.20 16:17 16:21 18:14 19:23 20:15 21:7 27:22 28:20 29:2,19 30:8,25 31:24 32:13.17 36:19.23 38:9.12 39:11 41:2,4,11 45:12 46:18,20 46:21,21,22,23 47:4 49:12,18,19 50:5 51:7 52:2 53:10,19 54:1 needs 32:24 **never** 11:10,25 12:3 19:5 44:12 **new** 34:17 35:25 niaht 53:23 normally 32:6 notice 27:8 41:25 45:19 November 25:25 26:1 39:4,4 now-a-days 26:24 number 2:1,24 23:1 27:11,11,13

oath 18:6 objecting 35:13 38:16,19 45:4 objection 26:18,21,22 30:7 31:12 37:23 38:9.24 39:8.14 41:3 objections 25:16,19 27:23 31:25 32:2 36:2,4 41:12

41:22 47:7.8

numerous 18:1

objects 27:17 obtained 23:9 44:16 obtaining 44:19 obvious 5:1 6:6 47:21 obviously 26:11 occasions 6:19 occurred 35:14 occurring 28:4 October 28:4 54:19 offer 25:15 offered 8:11,16 10:13,16 25:17 office 12:20 15:17 18:6,20 Officer 28:3 31:18 officers 17:25 official 1:18 14:12 54:21

officials 43:25 Oh 49:25

okay 3:11 4:20 5:15,19 8:24 12:25 13:8 14:21 15:5,11 16:6,12,16 20:4 21:11,23 24:4 25:6,13 28:17 28:20 29:4,6 30:6 31:9,11 32:4 34:24 35:3,20 36:2 37:10 38:1 39:2 40:2.13 41:25 43:25 44:3 46:10 47:15 48:6,17 50:21 54:13

once 31:9,19 38:6,8 39:6 51:3 ones 7:1 10:15 12:4 19:12 39:15 open 10:12 13:16,17 opened 12:9,12,14 13:13 35:25

opening 12:3,17 13:18 46:6 operatoin 20:12 opium 8:17,17

opportunity 17:17 30:12 34:7 35:4

opposition 43:12 option 20:22 order 2:17 29:19 34:11 49:1 ordered 8:10 49:21 original 19:12 Osvani 8:11 11:13 14:7 18:18 24:3

outside 27:18 outstanding 45:12

Pablo 38:4 packages 12:19 33:25 page 34:5 paid 17:7 22:22,23 Pak 12:19 Palm 15:10 paper 52:22 53:4

papers 51:24 paragraph 25:24 paralegal 16:22,24 Paraventi 2:11 part 24:15 particular 28:15 38:23 parts 5:23 24:14 Patricia 2:12 Pauline 1:18 54:19,20 Paulo 13:25 14:3 pay 9:7 12:2 22:13 32:14 pen 53:4 penalty 23:4 pencil 53:4 pending 2:20 Pennicut 38:4 people 5:20,24 8:15 12:19 15:13 22:15 47:7 Perez 19:1 period 5:23 12:16 permanent 43:10

permitted 21:8 24:17 person 26:23,24 pertaining 39:15 pertains 24:20 pharmaceutical 5:24 10:22 phonetic 5:24 15:6 photograph 42:21 photos 43:20 physical 49:22 52:10 pick 14:19 34:18 picked 14:1,2 picking 10:9 47:16 pieces 40:19,20,25 **pill** 11:18 . Plaintiff 1:5 play 40:14,19,25 41:14 played 40:1 playing 28:8

please 5:7 9:19 15:7 16:20 24:6 26:21 51:8 52:3 police 14:23,24 16:11 18:24 policy 13:15,16,17,22 Portugese 24:1 position 7:11 21:4 30:2 32:18 positive 33:9 possible 46:17 47:1,4 possibly 52:9 Post 12:20 practiced 23:5 precise 9:12 precisely 8:6 preliminary 27:21 prepare 46:18,20 prepared 29:20 32:8 33:1 preparing 46:6,7 presence 27:18 present 3:24 6:8 29:15,22 39:20 45:2,8 presented 17:20 44:23

presents 38:18

president 14:25

preventing 44:7

previously 53:9

prevent 3:4,7

print 22:5 private 12:17 42:9 43:17 52:20 . **Pro** 1:16 probably 32:13,17 39:10 proceed 20:15 32:19,20 proceeding 45:20 54:3,6,11 proceedings 2:13 54:2,15,18 process 27:22 46:5 produce 49:2,21 produced 9:14 31:25 proffer 32:20 33:2,5 promises 6:1 prosecutor 20:20,23

prove 35:25 36:9 38:5 43:4,21 provide 2:17 19:15 21:1 28:20 30:8 40:20

provided 2:17 9:16 18:3 19:2 23:24 27:15 38:14 40:3 41:6 43:8 49:5 49:8,9 51:9 52:17,21 providing 26:17

Public 18:6,19 punishment 17:4 purposes 11:21 29:21 put 18:6 22:16 26:16 27:6 28:10 30:23,24 32:9,20 34:17 44:2 48:5 puts 45:10,11 putting 15:18 16:18 27:8

O

question 21:22 28:7,13,13 29:23 31:11,16 37:13,22 38:19,21,24 43:23 44:2 questioning 4:11 questions 3:5,25 19:14 26:24 quick 48:1,18 quickly 23:21

R

R 54:16 ran 34:16 rarely 15:17 Raton 14:24 39:5 ready 47:4 real 48:1,18 really 17:20 22:15 23:20 reason 3:17 4:15 32:10 34:15 51:20 reasonable 32:14 47:23 reasons 8:2 47:21 recall 53:4 receive 48:23 received 25:9 26:4 41:6 recess 24:12 54:14 recharged 34:17 reciprocal 48:20,23 record 50:11 51:9 52:2,3 recorded 33:18 38:2 recording 25:8 28:2 31:17 33:8,10 33:14,14 34:6,8,13,19,21,22,22 35:11,12,16,17,21 36:22 38:23 38:25 49:15

recordings 27:15,16 28:9,10,15 33:15 35:23 36:3 37:6,14,23,24 38:12,20 39:21,21 40:13,14,16 40:20 41:13.15 records 7:21 recovers 23:21 redirected 14:15 refer 24:24 referring 33:13 reflect 50:11 reflects 29:24 refrigerator 11:23,24,25 regard 31:16 regarding 4:8 5:25 24:20 26:9 27:25 37:17 45:9 relate 42:14 related 4:4,14,23,25,25 5:25 6:6 25:8 52:11

relates 8:14 35:10 relationship 5:9,13 relearning 17:6 relevancy 27:7 relevant 4:10 5:8 7:19 8:9 9:20 24:19 28:12 relying 46:19 remark 24:17.23 remarks 24:20 48:24 remember 3:2 11:22 14:15 rent 12:20

repeat 6:25 repeated 8:5 reported 1:18 14:10 reporter 1:18 24:11 54:21 reports 14:24 represent 18:1 22:22,23 representation 3:8 7:25 22:25

24:16 represented 18:2 24:18,21,23,25 representing 6:23 7:10 represents 23:4 30:3

request 24:17 42:22 47:23 53:5 requesting 48:23 require 53:18 required 26:13 residency 43:10 residing 42:16

resolve 25:8 37:13 resolved 29:13 respect 17:16 27:11 28:7 29:13 35:12

result 6:22 return 8:8 15:11 21:2,12 39:19 42:6 42:17,20 43:14 49:12,18,19 returned 11:16,16 24:9 42:7 43:15

returning 21:25 short 24:12 40:19 two-fold 27:10 review 3:1 18:8 19:4,8,19,25 20:4,8 shorter 40:25 type 3:7 15:25 16:2 26:25 T 54:16.16 28:14 32:24 40:6 49:20 51:4,5 show 8:16 11:20 16:20 37:8 43:6 take 3:18 16:17 17:18 19:15 21:2 52:4.18.25 44:3 U 22:1.6 24:10 28:11 46:7 48:2.2 reviewed 3:9,11 18:2,3,7 41:8 showing 11:19 48:19 54:7,9 unavailable 48:4 reviewing 51:23 shown 44:6 undercover 27:12 28:1 40:9 taken 24:12 39:4 42:21 54:14 shows 42:19 43:1 re-enter 42:24 43:19 talk 20:21,24 23:15,16 36:19 50:24 understand 2:13 4:3.4.23.24 5:5 re-entered 43:23 44:4,5 sick 22:14 23:17,20 8:19,23 9:10 10:4 15:12 16:14 51.14 re-entry 43:24 44:19 Sidebar 24:8 23:14,15 25:4 26:8 27:5 28:23 talked 14:25 43:13 right 2:1,23 3:11 6:12 7:23 10:5 simple 6:14 talking 3:1,22 4:5 6:5 8:12,14,21 9:1,17 10:2 16:14 25:24 33:3 29:3.25 30:6.16 31:7.8.21 33:20 12:8 13:4 20:25 21:21,25 22:4,17 simply 10:13 27:8 34:2 35:7 36:3 37:10 38:16 40:22 23:19,24 24:13 25:7,19 26:21 single 13:1 tape 25:25 40:9 41:16 44:1.11 46:15 47:22 48:6 27:3 29:5,12,14 30:20 32:20 sit 8:2 20:20,23 21:2 48:13,15 49:6,10,16 50:9,14,17 telephone 35:24 38:2.10 35:18 36:8 37:3,12 38:16 39:16 sitting 29:7 tell 10:18 15:21 33:7,12 46:19 understanding 13:10 30:17 49:23 39:17,18 40:4 41:18,21,22,24 situation 4:5 5:22 11:14 17:15 47.10 50:3 44:9,18,21,25 45:3,6,12 46:2,4 22:16 23:16 47:19 51:14 tells 10:10 understood 5:6 41:17 53:9 six 6:15 17:10 30:19 47:10,14 50:4,6,20,22,23 51:7,16 United 1:1,4,12,18 2:3 27:13 42:6.7 Tennessee 47:18 52:1 53:14,15,19,23 54:9 software 27:1 42:9,17,20,24,24 43:1,3,8,15,16 terms 30:4 33:13 Roberto 3:20 13:25 solve 23:16 testified 45:7 43:17 44:8 54:21 **ROBIN** 1:12 somebody 47:16,17 testifies 35:10 unlawful 43.9 Roger 1:8 soon 32:17 46:15,17 47:1,3 testify 34:20 36:12 unnecessarily 28:11 Rogerio 1:7,16 2:3 sorry 4:18 6:3 9:10 10:1 17:8,22,23 testimony 30:22 31:20 UPS 9:5,7 11:1 13:3 room 40:18 47:9 18:10 23:20 28:6 39:13 42:24 use 11:21 29:1,2 49:2,5 text 41:6.7 **ROSENBAUM** 1:12 52:1 54:12 thank 2:6 5:19 24:7 25:6 31:15 32:4 utensils 52:22 routes 11:6 14:15 Sort 27:10 34:24 35:20 38:1 39:2 41:18 SOUTHERN 1:1 Roy 2:4 53:14,15,25 54:12 ٧ **RPR-CM** 1:18 speak 2:9 4:19 thing 3:22 7:5 21:9 48:14 vacuum 11:17 Rubens 5:21 10:25 Special 2:4 12:21 27:12 33:7,7,17 things 3:13 4:16 8:20 9:11 14:11 rule 26:10 38:22,22 42:1 34:9.20 Van 2:5 12:21 27:12 28:2 33:7,7,17 15:21 17:2 28:8.11 38:8 45:8 ruled 38:21 specifically 43:13 34:9,20 46:9 48:1.18 50:25 51:14 various 36:10 52:15 rules 6:10 36:21 **speed** 6:25 think 5:8 7:14 9:11,11 16:12 32:17 speedy 46:13 spell 15:7,8 verbally 6:16 ruling 24:15 27:21 31:3 33:4,9 34:12 35:15 40:3,15 48:22 version 28:21 30:8,13 37:24 38:10 run 5:20 thinking 27:17 32:5 running 33:18 spending 7:9 38:25 thinks 28:12 versus 2:3 spent 6:24 third 35:22 victims 13:3,9 spoke 12:21 53:1 S thought 45:24 video 18:17 25:21 28:24,25,25 29:6 sponsor 12:5 threatened 7:23 12:7 three 6:15,17 13:1,3,8,19,19 22:21 s 1:12 54:19 sponsorship 6:1 12:5 29:7,9,16,23,24 30:1,2,5,9,18,22 satisfied 22:3 spread 52:16 31:3,9,12,13,17,19 32:1,11,15 22:23 23:10 24:11 25:25 36:13 Saturday 41:7 39:3,14 52:15 stamp 19:11 35:15,15 36:13 39:3.25 saved 33:24 videos 8:16 26:9 52:19 stamped 34:13 saying 4:23 5:2 8:23 9:9 13:1 26:3 throwing 7:4 violations 46:13 stamps 29:11 38:13 time 3:16 6:20 7:9 9:19 11:1 15 22 29:25 30:16 33:21 43:5 46:15 standard 33:9 Visa 11:7,7 16:10 42:5,6,22,22 12:6 13:12 17:17,20 20:16 23:1 51:21 43:14,15 44:14,16,19 start 27:25 45:23 46:2 48:10 says 9:23 13:16 24:6 28:8 32:1 26:17 28:11 29:11 30:25 31:6 visual 27:25 28:2 started 5:10,15 13:12 39:19 34.21 33:1 34:13 35:15,15 37:5 38:13 voice 33:21,22 36:12,14,18,23,23 starting 32:22,23 scam 3:5.25 4:8 42:23 45:21 46:11,17,19,21,23 **starts** 33:15 37:2.20 46:25,25 47:1,4 48:11 53:11 scanned 21:16 voir 25:2 start-up 48:3 times 11:7 14:10,11 15:16,19 schedule 46:16 **vs** 1:6 state 2:10 52:3 **Scotton** 1:7,8,16 2:3,7,13,16,25 tired 22:10 statement 3:7 43:2,7 51:21 today 32:7 41:2,4,11 45:13 51:5,10 4:23 5:19 6:12 7:23 12:12.14.22 statements 42:15 44:12 46:6 w 51:12,16 52:4 53:20,21 17:3 22 18:12 25 19:13 24 20:25 States 1:1,4,12,18 2:3 27:14 42:6,7 wait 21:21 31:2 47:2 told 23:1 21:11 22:17 23:19 24:17.21.22 42:9,17,20,24,24 43:2,3,8,15,16 waiting 21:14 22:7 25.9 26.8 28.2 29.1 32.10 24 tolerated 7:8 43:17 44:8 54:21 want 2:20 3:12,15 4:15 5:17 6:12 33:4,11,12 35:4,7,13,25 36:2,19 tomorrow 32:21,22,23 35:2,20 station 39:5 7:10,12,12,17 8:18,22,24 15:21 37.7 38:5 39:16 40:3,7 42:3,5,7 40:11 41:5,12,21,24 45:20 47:12 **stayed** 15:17 18:12 19:15,25 20:25 21:5,14,16 42:15 43:11 44:11.18 45:6.11.20 48:2.3.19 49:13.14.24 50:19 Stipes 1:18 54:19,20 21:18 22:12 23:14,15,16 27:1,1 46:3,4,10 47:12,14 48:4,6 49:4 53:21.24 stop 3:21 5:12 12:8 14:25 31:1 29:1,10 30:14 33:1,2 36:3 43:11 49:20,23 50:1,14,17 51:3,9,16 trafficking 10:21,24 13:9 20:11 46:11,11,14,15,17,25 47:1,5 48:3 52:4.6,17 53:12,17 54:1,11 transcribed 26:7 stopped 12:5 transcript 1:11 25:22,22 26:2,6 48:5,19 50:20 54:4 Scotton's 43:6 45:3 stops 28:25 29:7 30:19 33:15 27:16 28:1 29:23 30:3,7,8,13,14 wanted 10:12 11:8 20:20 22:7 34:4 Se 1:16 store 10:12 12:3,9,13,15,17,17,21 31:1,2,11,12,17,25 32:2 38:10,25 seal 54:2 12:23,23 13:13,16,18,20 14:1,18 wants 40:18 44:2 47:13 sealed 54:3.5.10.15 transcription 54:18 25:21 warnings 8:5 second 12:8 35:12 39:24,25 42:14 transcripts 28:14.17.18.21.22 stores 13:18,19,20 35:23 37:21,24 38:3 40:20 41:8.9 wasn't 33:22 see 9:14 18:12.22 19:11.12 21:8 strange 13:14,19 **waste** 31:6 34:6,7,7 45:16 53:23 transit 11:25 strong 36:16 watch 40:18 41:2,4 seek 22:15.18 40:17 42:4 translated 18:20 Stuart 7:22 seeking 43:12 44:22 water 42:9 Translator 4:19 subject 15:1 20:1 watercraft 43:17 seeks 3:3 42:10 11 transported 42:8 43:17 **submit** 30:14 way 5:4,10 7:10,18 13:11 17:15 seen 9:15 31:20 transports 11:10 subpoena 2:21 47:8 22:20 24:18,24,24 31:3 32:11,16 traveled 42:5 43:14 selection 25:2 subpoenas 46:7 33:13 37:16 51:24 send 11:5 20:22 traveling 15:18 42:8 43:16 Subzero 11:22 ways 6:23 36:10 sending 11:9 treated 25:3 sue 7:24 Wednesday 46:4 47:11 sent 11:6.10.16 trial 4:12 6:11 7:12 11:20 29:14 20 sued 6:15 7:22 23:12 week 19:7 32:24 46:3 47:12 separate 35:22,23 31:6 45:23 46:3,13,14,16,18,20 suggestion 45:20 went 8:16 12:21 September 48:4.8 47:2,3,11,13 48:11,11,12 49:3,5 superseding 42:14 weren't 45:18 series 16:9 49:19 52:9 supervisor 7:25 service 10:16,16 true 50:1 whatsoever 21:1 suppose 39:10 what's 10:10 services 8:10,11 27:14 28:3 31:18 truly 23:20 sure 4:22 8:19,22 21:16 22:2 27:5 wife 5:24 session 19:17 34:8 truth 9.9 33:1,20 34:4 38:13 46:14 try 26:15 46:22 48:8 51:1 wind 44:6 sessions 33:19 trying 6:3 31:5 44:18,20 46:16 51:11,12,13,14 Surrente 38:4 set 33:14 47:1,3 52:17 wired 33:8 surrounding 13:20 wish 19:19 20:8 52:25 settlement 9:8 suspected 11:4 seven 52:24 turn 33:17 35:14 37:12 wished 18:8 **swoop** 33:15 **sheets** 52:16 witness 5:16 27:2,4 32:20 37:1,1 turned 33:22 **swore** 18:7 witnessed 15:3 Sheriff's 15:3.9 turns 30:10 31:23 37:6 synchronized 38:14 witnesses 27:20 36:11,13,14,17 shipment 11:22 two 6:15,19 17:24,25 24:14 27:11 syringes 15:4 37:1,8,9 38:18 45:7 27:13 28:7 36:13 37:18 40:13,19 shipments 11:5.20 system 29:10 won't 10:18 42:11 48:1.18 50:8 52:10 shipped 15:24,24,25

		<u> </u>
words 33:17		
work 7:1 14:7 50:7 working 10:9 14:7 20:11 34:11		
wouldn't 32:6		
writing 51:24 52:5,21 wrote 24:2		
<u>Y</u> yeah 13:11 51:13,22		
years 9:23 10:6 23:6		
yesterday 2:19 45:19 47:18,23		
\$		
\$10,000,000 7:24		
\$40,000,000 9:8		
1		
1:30 35:2,3,21 41:12,24 45:16		
53:10 10 24:10 54:7,9,10		
101 44:14,16		
1140 44:15 12 2:1		
12-60049-CR-ROSENBAUM 1:3 132 42:2		
144 2:24 3:23		
145 25:10 15 38:2,23		
17 17:12 25:25 39:4 46:12		
18 17:12 1992 42:17,20,25 43:3,8 44:4,5		
2		
2 26:1 39:4 2004 5:10,15		
2008 26:1,1,1 39:4,4,5 42:5,21 43:1		
43:2,14 2009 38:2,23		
2010 10:11 2011 10:11 28:4 34:14		
2012 48:21		
2013 1:7 54:19 25 48:4,8		
26 48:8		
26th 2:18 27 1:7 48:5,9,12		
28 26:1 39:4 42:13,14		
29 42:13,14 299 1:19 54:21		
3 31 28:4		
31st 28:5 34:14		
33301 1:15,20 54:22 35 23:6		
4		
404(b) 42:1		
5		
5 54:19 500 1:15		
6		
60049 2:2		
7		
7th 1:15		
9		
9:00 52:7		
9:30 50:2 53:6,7 9:37 53:8		
901 26:10		
954-769-5496 1:20 954.356.7255 1:15		
954.769.5496 54:22		