

Aqui no Scotton Racing, há várias transcrições do Tribunal de diferentes audiências. Todos manipulados. Em várias ocasiões, Scotton pediu ao seu advogado de apelação nomeado pelo tribunal as transcrições de áudio verbais. Seu advogado conspirou com a corrupção e mentiu dizendo que o mesmo não existe. Ele também pediu a continuação da apelação sem o conhecimento de Scotton 7 VEZES para a Court. ALGO que não é normal para o Tribunal de Apelação do Décimo Primeiro Circuito conceder. No entanto, no caso de Scotton, o atraso foi intencional para mantê-lo encarcerado e privá-lo da revisão do pedido de acordo com a seção 2255. Este advogado conseguiu perder vários arquivos de casos importantes e se recusou a apelar para inúmeras outras violações, o que é claro nos casos de Scotton.

O advogado também privou Scotton de exercer seus direitos de solicitar liberação enquanto se aguarda a resolução de seu recurso. Este homem é vergonhoso como advogado e também como ser humano.

LAW OFFICES OF
RICHARD C. KLUGH, PA

Ingraham Building
25 S.E. 2nd Avenue, Suite 1100
Miami, Florida 33131
Tel.: 305-536-1191 • Fax.: 305-536-2170
E-mail: klughlaw@gmail.com

November 3, 2014

Rogério C. Scotton
Reg. No.: 99307-004
D. Ray James
Correctional Institution
P.O. Box 2000
Folkston, GA 31537

Attorney-Client Privilege
Please Open In Front of Inmate

Re: United States v. Rogério Scotton
Case No.: 12-60049-CR-Moreno

Dear Rogério:

I hope this letter finds you well. I received your letter dated October 25, 2014. I will respond to each of your questions accordingly.

First, I understand that you would like the audio of your trial. However, in federal court there are no audio recordings of trials. I am enclosing your docket report along with docket entries nos.: 520, 523, 525, 526, and 527.

Second, I was appointed counsel on your first appeal. I am not appointed on your new appeal, case no.: 14-14591-A4. I cannot give you specific advice on the new appeal. As for your pending motions, they were denied. *Please see the enclosed orders denying the motions.*

Finally, I suggest you allow me to handle all of the objections to the magistrate's decisions. This will be the better avenue to take.

If you have any questions and/or concerns, please feel free to contact my office.

Sincerely yours,

LAW OFFICE OF Richard C. Klugh

Richard C. Klugh

RCK/cr
Encls. as stated
SCOTTONvtr.11-03-2014.docx

LAW OFFICES OF
RICHARD C. KLUGH, PA

Ingraham Building
25 S.E. 2nd Avenue, Suite 1100
Miami, Florida 33131
Tel.: 305-536-1191 • Fax.: 305-536-2170
E-mail: klughlaw@gmail.com

March 12, 2015

Rogério Chaves Scotton
Reg # 99370-004
D. Ray James
Correctional Institution
P.O. Box 2000
Folkston, GA 31537

Attorney-Client Privilege
Open only in the presence of the inmate.

Re: *United States v. Rogério Chavez Scotton*

Dear Rogério:

This letter is in response to the email I received from Ms. Kristy Figueroa-Contreras as well as your letter dated March 3, 2015. Please see enclosures.

I disagree with your characterization of our phone conversation. When we spoke, I indicated that I had included only what I thought could be sustained on appeal by the Eleventh Circuit.

Rogério, please advise if you wish to replace me as counsel or pursue your appellate rights with the help of the new lawyer, Kristy Figueroa-Contreras, with a *pro se* brief or supplemental *pro se* brief, or otherwise.

We have copied Ms. Figueroa in this letter so she is updated on your matter. In the meantime, I have contacted D. Ray James to schedule a legal phone call so we can speak further.

Sincerely yours,

LAW OFFICE OF Richard C. Klugh


Richard C. Klugh

RCK/cr

Cc: Kristy Figueroa-Contreras, Esq.

LAW OFFICES OF
RICHARD C. KLUGH, PA

Ingraham Building
25 S.E. 2nd Avenue, Suite 1100
Miami, Florida 33131
Tel.: 305-536-1191 • Fax.: 305-536-2170
E-mail: rickklu@aol.com

February 2, 2015

Rogério C. Scotton
Reg. No.: 99307-004
D. Ray James
Correctional Institution
P.O. Box 2000
Folkston, GA 31537

Attorney-Client Privilege
Open in Front of Inmate Only

Re: United States v. Rogério Scotton
Case No.: 12-60049-CR-Moreno

Dear Rogério:

I hope this letter finds you well. I received your letter dated January 20, 2015. In your letter you address several points which I will respond accordingly.

First, regarding the motion for bond, my first priority in your case is your brief. Once we've completed filing it, then I will focus on your bond pending appeal. Please be advised that it will be difficult to have your motion for bond granted. You have possible immigration issues that will be troublesome for your motion for bond pending appeal.

Second, you enclosed a copy of a letter from the U.S. Court of Appeals – Eleventh Circuit. In your letter, you stated that the notice was regarding the appeal we are assigned to, however, that is not correct. The letter from the U.S. Court of Appeals – Eleventh Circuit is regarding Appeal Number 14-15076-A. We are assigned on the Appeal Number 14-12228 – the letter is **not** regarding our appeal. The notice is likely relevant to the other Notices of Appeal you have filed. For your convenience, I have enclosed a copy of the letter from the U.S. Court of Appeals – Eleventh Circuit as well as a docket sheet showing all of your pending cases. I highlighted the case I am assigned to.

Third, I will consider a motion to change venue but I am not certain I will be filing it. I need to review the pros and cons about filing a motion to change venue during the appeal process.

LAW OFFICES OF
RICHARD C. KLUGH, PA

Ingraham Building
25 S.E. 2nd Avenue, Suite 1100
Miami, Florida 33131
Tel.: 305-536-1191 • Fax.: 305-536-2170
E-mail: klughlaw@gmail.com

September 25, 2015

Rogério Chaves Scotton
Register No. 99370-004
D. Ray James
Correctional Institution
P.O. Box 2000
Folkston, GA 31537

Attorney-Client Privilege
Open only in the presence of the inmate.

Re: *United States v. Rogério Chavez Scotton*

Dear Rogério:

I hope this letter finds you well. I am writing in response to your letter dated September 7, 2015, *please see attached*.

First, to my knowledge, we have not received any work product or input on our work from Ms. Contreras and we are unaware of any effort by her to assist in the appeal. To the extent that she has claimed that she worked with us on the appeal, we disagree with that; she did not, to the best of my knowledge. We did not receive or accept any such input as to the appeal or any other work in your case. Additionally, we did not receive any monies from Ms. Contreras for your case.

Second, we do not believe it is a good idea to try to seek a bond until at least the Court grants an oral argument in the case; due to the immigration issues, a bond is going to be very difficult to obtain unless the court grants an oral argument on the appeal.

Also, please send another copy of a draft of the reply brief, by a separate mailing.

Sincerely yours,

LAW OFFICE OF Richard C. Klugh


Richard C. Klugh

LAW OFFICES OF
RICHARD C. KLUGH, PA

Ingraham Building
25 S.E. 2nd Avenue, Suite 1100
Miami, Florida 33131
Tel.: 305-536-1191 • Fax.: 305-536-2170
E-mail: klughlaw@gmail.com

December 2, 2014

Rogério C. Scotton
Reg. # 99370-004
D. Ray James C.I.
P.O. Box 2000
Folkston, GA 31537

Attorney-Client Privilege
Please open in front of inmate only.

Re: *United States of America v. Rogério Scotton*
Case No.: 12-60049-CR-ROSENBAUM


Dear Rogério:

Please find enclosed the transcripts of your jury trial in the above referenced case. Also, yesterday we received a package you sent, dated November 17, 2014. My secretary informed me that you called regarding the package and that she advised you we had just received it. For your information, our office was closed from Thursday, November 27th through Sunday, November 30th due to the Thanksgiving Holiday.

As for the drafted motion you sent me, I will prepare a bond motion and send it to you for your review. Once that is completed, I will file said motion.

In the meantime, if you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact my office.

Sincerely yours,

LAW OFFICE OF Richard C. Klugh

Richard C. Klugh

RCK/cr

Encls. as stated

Scottonltr_re transcripts and bond motion.12-2-2014.docx

MARCH 12, 2015

RICHARD KLUGH JR, AHY AT LAW
RICHARD KLUGH PA
25 S.E. 2 AVENUE, SUITE 1100
MIAMI, FL 33131

RE: IN THE MATTER OD SCOTTON ROGERIO v. UNITED STATES
CASE NO: 1260049 - ELEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEAL.

Mr. Klugh:

Per our today's telephone conversation during which you confirmed that you have received my discontentment letters and family emails sent to you on my behalf. I have come to expect a high degree of judgment and integrity from you. It was therefore as surprising as it was distressing when I found the filed brief to be substandard. Substitution were made without my permission even though you were made aware that any final version must be first have my seal of approval before filling-invariably, a substitution of an inferior document to the original edited 16 issues at bar was what you filed with the court of appeal. In one instance, you even mentioned that you did not have access to my trial transcripts because the court denied your request to have such transcripts. So you were happy to see that subsequent to your March 2nd filling, the prosecutor has filed a motion to supplement the record on appeal with transcripts of jury selection requesting the same trial transcripts that you told me that the court has denied your request. So, how can pretend to be effective without such critical document on a direct appeal?

I have given you at least three (3) months grace to consider all the sixteen (16) issues raised in the edited draft of the brief, but I must insist that by March 20, 2015 you file the motion-amendment as you promised to do on March 03, 2015 during another telephone conversation.

I have see no progress on your part during the past nine month. You never visited me here in prison, nor have you had access to the trial transcripts as you metion today over the telephone. Aren't these a long a set of grounds for