
3 COVID-19 CONSPIRACY THEORIES

Andy Curtiss

DECEMBER 31, 2020

MAX U LLC

VA Beach Virginia

INTRODUCTION

The Wuhan virus is a cross species virus that had undergone accelerated evolution that jumped from bat to man through the consumption of bats via the Wuhan live market. This theory is challenged by logic, reason, and man's current consensus on biological science. This work seeks to discuss 3 common myths associated with the Wuhan Virus anomaly. Each passage is complete with superscripted numerals which reference note at the end of this document. The document concludes with a list of references. As this work was completed in May 2020, even more information has come to light regarding case tabulation, testing and the genomics of the virus. However, the content of this piece maintains its relevance.

MYTHS

1. The coronavirus was not engineered in a lab

Mainstream publications and news content providers such as NBC, MSNBC, CNN, and CBS cite the intelligence community is aware of "no evidence" that the virus was lab made. Although it is rare to find an article from their writers outright denying the virus was either manipulated or manmade, they perpetually suggest as much. Live Science for example, is described on the internet as a science news website featuring stories and editorial commentary typically syndicated to major news outlets, such as Yahoo!, MSNBC, AOL, and Fox News. In the Live Science article, (Wuhan lab says there is no way coronavirus originated there. Here's the science.); it references the NBC Report published 18 April written by Adela Suliman, Alex Shi and Eric Baculinao titled, (Laboratory in Wuhan breaks silence to deny claims that the coronavirus originated there) *"Just today (April 18), the vice director of WIV Zhiming Yuan CGTN, the Chinese state broadcaster, said "there is no way this virus came from us," NBC News*

reported. *"We have a strict regulatory regime and code of conduct of research, so we are confident."* published on their site.¹

Live science then goes on to publish another article (The coronavirus was not engineered in a lab. Here is how we know).² Here they make the following statement, *"Our analyses clearly show that SARS-CoV-2 is not a laboratory construct or a purposefully manipulated virus"*. This quote was also used in an MSN article titled (Coronavirus: behind the Chinese laboratory theory).³ Not only did they echo the baseless statement made by Live Science writers, but they also published statements such as:

"posts suggesting that the virus came from the Wuhan lab originated in connection to conspiracy theories referencing secret Chinese military labs developing bioweapons"³

"there is no evidence that the virus now plaguing the world was engineered" and that scientists largely agree it came from animals"³

"This assessment was backed up by a team of researchers who published their findings last month in the journal Nature Medicine. "Our analyses clearly show that SARS-CoV-2 is not a laboratory construct or a purposefully manipulated virus," they concluded."³

In an article published by News Week titled, (DR. FAUCI DISMISSES WUHAN LAB AS SOURCE OF CORONAVIRUS, CONTRADICTING TRUMP AND POMPEO) it was reported, *"The best evidence shows the virus behind the pandemic was not made in a lab in China," Dr. Anthony Fauci said in an exclusive interview with National Geographic published on Monday. "Everything about the stepwise evolution over time strongly indicates that [this virus] evolved in nature and then jumped species."*⁴ This quote was also used as "proof" the

virus was not manipulated or created in a lab in an MSN article titled (Fauci: No scientific evidence the coronavirus was made in a Chinese lab).⁵

The media would not be living up to the standard practice for discrediting a person, idea or alternative explanation without using the term “Conspiracy theory”. In a Business Insider article, Dr. Anthony Fauci was quoted once again to refute the possibility that the virus was either manipulated or manufactured in a lab.⁶ *“Conspiracy theorists have, without evidence, linked the outbreak to the Wuhan Virology Institute, which conducts high-level research on dangerous pathogens and is located about 8 miles from the wet market in the Chinese city of Wuhan that Chinese authorities have blamed as the source of the coronavirus outbreak.”* This quote was also syndicated in follow-on articles published on Yahoo, MSN, and Forbes. It appears, that the media companies have created an echo chamber of sound bites and talking points that each of them perpetuate to give the illusion that the echoed common theme is in fact true.

Is there any evidence that is contrary to the statement that “The coronavirus was not engineered in a lab”?² It appears that there is substance to the idea that the mainstream narrative is inaccurate. First, absence of evidence is not proof of absence. The weight of their narrative is that there is no evidence that suggests the virus was made in a lab. This statement is absolute and negates the idea that any evidence suggesting other than the narrative does not exist or is not credible. This is either an unintentional, negligent, and naïve statement being reiterated across nearly every major news outlet, or it is a malicious attempt to discourage anyone from considering an alternate explanation.

The claim that Covid-19 is not a lab made or manipulated virus is largely based on their statement that SARS CoV-2 was not engineered.² A simple argument against all mainstream

media sources that claim SARS – CoV-2 is not lab made; **SARS-CoV-2 is not the same virus as CoV-19.** The attempts to conflate the two are either a product of ignorance or malice on the behalf of the media. Additionally, statements of denial from Chinese Communist Party Scientists is not “The Science” This is merely a statement of denial.¹ When a media outlet claims that a statement from a scientist is “The Science”, without the explanation of the alleged science which the statement was allegedly based on, it is insulting and disingenuous.¹ A “scientist” can make any claim. That does not make it scientifically valid. Furthermore, science is not absolute and is based on theories which are frequently disproven or altered to reflect the newly discovered facts.

In articles such as News Week’s 5 April 2020 (DR. FAUCI DISMISSES WUHAN LAB AS SOURCE OF CORONAVIRUS, CONTRADICTING TRUMP AND POMPEO) where the media gives greater credibility to one source over another it gives the illusion that one source holds no credibility while the other is the arbiter of truth.⁴ In the section of the article *“Fauci’s statement contradicts an April 30 comment by President Donald Trump that he had seen convincing evidence that the coronavirus originated from China’s Wuhan Institute of Virology (WIV). On Sunday, U.S. Secretary of State Mike Pompeo said he’d seen “enormous evidence” supporting the theory but didn’t elaborate.”*⁴ Fauci contradicts President Trump and Secretary of State Mike Pompeo, that doesn’t mean that what Trump or Pompeo said isn’t true. Again, the statements of both Trump and Pompeo provide just as much evidence that it is possible the virus was engineered or created as Fauci’s statement that it was not.

In the 2020 Documentary (Plandemic) Esteemed immunologist Dr. Judy Mikovits specifically states that she believed **the characteristics of CoV-19 demonstrate with a high degree of propensity, that it is likely a modified version of SARS CoV-2. She goes on to state that for CoV-2 to evolve to CoV-19 would require accelerated evolution and the odds**

of that are astronomically low without lab manipulation.⁷ Her statements support a 2015 scholarly article in the Journal of Natural Medicine (A SARS-like cluster of circulating bat coronaviruses shows potential for human emergence).⁸ This article specifically mentions lab experiments upon a bat virus. The scholarly article cites research grants by the NIH, The National Natural Science Foundation of China and USAID. Another scholarly article in Science Daily, (New SARS-like virus can jump directly from bats to humans, no treatment available) discusses the same virus.⁹ While another November 2015, online journal; The Scientist releases, (Lab-Made Coronavirus Triggers Debate: The creation of a chimeric SARS-like virus has scientists discussing the risks of gain-of-function research).¹⁰ This article specifically details the account of the lab experimentation with this very same virus in a lab at UNC Chapel Hill.

In a 2017 scientific research report, (Discovery of a rich gene pool of bat SARS-related coronaviruses provide new insights into the origin of SARS coronavirus) The article thanks the NIH and the National Natural Science Foundation of China for funding.¹¹ It specifically details the methods used to conduct genetic experiments on bat viruses. Does any of this prove that the Covid – 19 virus was lab made or engineered? The simple answer is no, but these credible sources argue against the statement, “The coronavirus was not engineered in a lab” At a minimum, there is evidence that it is possible, if not likely the virus was manipulated in a lab.

11 April 2020, The Associated Press and Daily Mail UK release an article titled, (Wuhan lab was performing coronavirus experiments on bats from the caves where the disease is believed to have originated - with a £3m grant from the US).¹² The article cites 3.7 million dollars was funded by the US government from 2015 to present towards the Virology Institute in Wuhan in research work on coronavirus experiments ran on bats from the Yuhan province in China. The article specifically cites that the scholarly article produced titled, (Discovery of a rich gene pool

of bat SARS-related coronaviruses provides new insights into the origin of SARS coronavirus) was paid for through this program.

It appears that there is in fact substance to the Daily Mail article. An article from the Business Insider titled, (Trump cut funding for a group researching bat-to-human virus transmissions after unfounded conspiracy theories linked it to a Wuhan lab, report says) confirms that the US did in fact fund virology research at the Wuhan Lab in China.¹³ The article also confirms that Donald Trump cut funding upon discovery of this program. In fact, Donald Trump specifically alluded to the fact that his predecessor was responsible for the program and not him by stating, *"We will end that grant very quickly, It was granted quite a while ago, Who was president then, I wonder?"*¹³

2. Why coronavirus conspiracy theory of Covid-19 being a bioweapon is wrong

Not only is this the title of an article published by India Today, but it's also the theme that has been syndicated across every major news outlet.¹⁴ That's right, not even a possibility that communist China would ever deliberately release a bioweapon to act as a weapon of mass disruption or mass destruction. According to the title, not even if they were getting the hard end of a trade deal with the United States. However, this narrative is based solely on the premise that the virus was not created or manipulated in a lab.¹⁴

According to MSN and their syndicated copy of the India today article published on 3 March, "The researchers have declared, "Our analyses clearly show that SARS-CoV-2 is not a laboratory construct or a purposefully manipulated virus."¹⁴ If that quote sounds similar to the 21 April 2020 quote referenced in the Live Science article, that's because Live Science writers got it from them.² In another MSN syndicated article (Scientists sure COVID-19 is not a bioweapon) originally published on a news site called News hub, "Scientists analysing the SARS-CoV-2

virus say there's no way it could be a bioengineered weapon, as some have suggested".¹⁵ The article then goes on to cite the sequencing data furnished by Chinese scientists to support this claim that sequencing data clearly demonstrates China did not weaponize the virus.

In a 17 April 2020 National Interest article blasted on MSN, the title alone should put one at ease, (No, the Coronavirus Is Not a Bioweapon from China: Here are the facts).¹⁶ The author David Axel cites the work of Chinese scientists and their sequencing of the SARS CoV – 2 virus furnished to the world in light of the pandemic, “Based on the virus genome and properties there is no indication whatsoever that it was an engineered virus,”. According to a widely cited fact check piece on Snopes, listed under conspiracy theories titled (The Origins and Scientific Failings of the COVID-19 ‘Bioweapon’ Conspiracy Theory) dated on “April Fool’s Day” 2020, *“As for the second notable SARS-CoV-2 adaptation — the one that allows a chemical in human blood to activate the coronavirus spikes — this specific modification has not yet been found in nature. However, the authors noted, genetic “mutations, insertions, and deletions” do naturally occur in the portion of RNA that would create it. This, they argue, demonstrates that such an adaptation could, theoretically, “arise by a natural evolutionary process.”*¹⁷

There you have it. Because Chinese scientists who worked in the Wuhan Lab say they didn’t engineer or create the virus and because the work that they’ve furnished the rest of the world suggests that another version of the virus (CoV-2 a predecessor) was not lab made or engineered; there’s no way that Covid-19 could possibly have been deliberately released. Is there any evidence that suggests a deliberate bioweapon attack using Covid-19 is possible? Again, at the time of this writing one would suggest that there is in fact credence to the possibility it was a deliberate attack. One example is the fact that General Milly The US Army Joint Chiefs of Staff, *“We’ve had a lot of intelligence take a hard look at that. At this point it’s inconclusive, although*

the weight of the evidence seems to indicate natural, but we don't know for certain." ¹⁶ President Donald Trump and Secretary of State Mike Pompeo have stated they believe there's evidence to support that China used Covid-19 as a deliberate bioweapon attack on the world. ^{4,5}

The weight of validity behind the theory that Covid-19 was not released deliberately rests on the idea that it was neither engineered nor manipulated in a lab. The probability alone says that there is in fact an exceptionally good chance the virus was either created or manipulated in a lab. Considering various scholarly articles and published scientific papers it appears ever more likely that the Cov-19 virus was not natural. ^{7,8,9,10,11,12,13} However, at this time there is no current quantifiable evidence to suggest that this statement is true. That does not mean that it isn't possible; it just means that the numerical odds are against the idea that the virus is of a natural occurrence. Regarding the possibility of the virus having been released deliberately; based on the numerical odds it is just as likely that the virus was deliberately released as a weapon of Mass Disruption as it is that the release of the virus was accidental.

However, based on a variety of contextual events which could be considered as evidence it does increase the probability that the virus was released deliberately. ^{7,8,9,10,11,12,13} For example, according to actual proof the Obama Administration was working in conjunction with china on viral manipulation via funding from the NIH ran by Anthony Fauci as mentioned above. ^{11,12,13} The same Obama administration that gave the greenlight to run fake news via the Disinformation Act of 2016, passed into law through the NDAA of 2017. The same Fauci who is on the record through Wikileaks praising Hillary through leaked private emails. The same Obama appointed head of the NIH who warned that Trump would see a surprise pandemic back in 2017.

Furthermore, in the 1999 book (Unrestricted Warfare) written by Qiao Liang and Wang Xiangsui; two colonels in the Chinese People's Liberation Army, they dedicate chapter after chapter discussing how to defeat the United States and control the planet. ¹⁸

*“As we see it, a single man-made stock-market crash, a single computer virus invasion, or a single rumor or scandal that results in a fluctuation in the enemy country's exchange rates or exposes the leaders of an enemy country on the Internet, all can be included in the ranks of new-concept weapons.”*¹⁸

“The new concept of weapons will cause ordinary people and military men alike to be greatly astonished at the fact that commonplace things that are close to them can also become weapons with which to engage in war. We believe that some morning people will awake to discover with surprise that quite a few gentle and kind things have begun to have offensive and lethal characteristics.”¹⁸

*“At the same time, technological progress has given us the means to strike at the enemy's nerve center directly without harming other things, giving us numerous new options for achieving victory, and all these make people believe that the best way to achieve victory is to control, not to kill.”*¹⁸

The contents of the book “Unrestricted Warfare” alone suggests the probability that China is willing to do whatever it takes to not only defeat the US through collapsing the economy, but by any other means necessary. In this article featured on the Epoch Times (Mao's ‘Nuclear Mass Extinction Speech’ Aired on Chinese TV), Mao Zedong is quoted referencing his views on nuclear warfare at the World Communist Representative Meeting in Moscow in November 1957, giving his famous speech, “American Imperialism Is a Paper Tiger.”¹⁹ Mao's

exact words were: *“I’m not afraid of nuclear war. There are 2.7 billion people in the world; it doesn’t matter if some are killed. China has a population of 600 million; even if half of them are killed, there are still 300 million people left. I’m not afraid of anyone.”*¹⁹ Therefore, to suggest that it is improbable and unlikely China would resort to intentionally releasing a virus on the world to disrupt economies and place them in a better position for global control is irresponsible at best.

“the force of the media will increasingly be another player in the war and will play a role comparable to that of military strikes in promoting the course of the war. Unlike battlefield propaganda, which has an excessively subjective tinge and is easily rejected by an opponent or neutral individuals, because it is cleverly cloaked as objective reporting the media has a quiet impact that is hard to gauge.”¹⁸

3. The COVID – 19 US Death Rates are X, infection rates are Y

With headlines such as (Coronavirus live updates: US death toll hits 40,000; New York set for aggressive antibody testing; more cash soon for small businesses) as seen on USA Today’s website we’re seeing climbing numbers on a steady pace.²⁰ Statements published like *“COVID-19 claimed the lives of almost 1,900 Americans Saturday, and the toll death in the U.S. surpassed 41,000, according to the Johns Hopkins University’s data dashboard.”*²⁰ suggest that the Covid – 19 mortality rate is on par with that of even the Spanish Flu pandemic of 1918. GMA an abc News company published “A pandemic of the novel coronavirus has now killed more than 268,000 people worldwide.” in an article titled (Coronavirus death toll tops 20,000 in New York state).²¹

Yet we see in this CNN article titled, (More than 76,000 people have died of coronavirus in the US). They are basing these numbers on a John Hopkins tally. “There are at least 1,279,546

cases of coronavirus in the US and at least 76,706 people have died, according to Johns Hopkins University's tally of cases. Johns Hopkins reported 22,523 new cases and 1,044 reported deaths on Friday. The totals include cases from all 50 states, the District of Columbia and other US territories, as well as repatriated cases.”²² The problem with these numbers is that there isn't a true standard. The numbers of deaths in relation to Covid-19 being reported are largely based on CDC guidance to clinicians which state that in many cases where tests aren't available, and the patient displays symptoms which coincide with a positive Covid-19 diagnosis

In the National Vital Statistics System (Covid – 19 Alert No. 2) released online 24 March 2020, clinicians are urged to classify the cause of death for any victim with symptoms related to Covid-19 as either Covid-19 related or the exact cause of death.²³ The Gateway Pundit released an article, (HUGE! From CDC Website: Hospitals to List COVID-19 as Cause of Death Even if It's “Assumed to Have Caused Or Contributed to Death” – Lab Tests Not Required).²⁴ The article explains that due to the fact that there simply aren't enough tests in circulation and that many deaths which under other circumstances may not be due as a result of the virus are actually now being coded as Covid-19 and recorded as such in the National Vital Statistics database. It is in fact these numbers that we are seeing reflected in the news.

In addition to data which is undoubtedly inaccurate hospitals are being incentivized to code patients as Covid – 19.^{25, 26} The American Hospital Association (AHA) announced on 16 April 2020, that the Center for Medicaid Services (CMS) released guidance on how to approach the Covid-19 pandemic with the passing of the CARES Act.²⁶ The bulletin titled (Coronavirus Update: CMS Releases Guidance Implementing CARES Act Provisions).²⁵ Some of these provisions include a Medicare add-on payment of 20% for both rural and urban inpatient hospital COVID-19 patients. This means that the typical diagnosis-related group lump sum payment

would be \$5,000 under Medicare, however; under the new guidance it can be as high as \$13,000 if there's a diagnosis of Covid pneumonia.^{25.26} In addition, if the patient requires treatment with ventilator due to Covid-19 pneumonia, the payment goes up to \$39,000.^{25.26}

In a 24 April article titled (Fact check: Hospitals get paid more if patients listed as COVID-19, on ventilators), USA Today references a Snopes Fact Check article dated 17 April in refuting the idea that hospitals are being paid more to treat Covid – 19 patients, thus padding the actual infection rate figures.^{27,28} In the USA Today article they list the title in a manner which would lead one to believe that hospitals are not receiving more money to classify patients as Covid infected.²⁷ They shame the reader in questioning the integrity of the hospital administrators across the country.²⁷ While the Snopes fact Check titled (Is Medicare Paying Hospitals \$13K for Patients Diagnosed with COVID-19, \$39K for Those on Ventilators?), attempts to discredit the claims being made by doctors across the country to expose the corruption by saying the claim is a mixture of truth and lie.²⁸

Snopes claims that *“Medicare says it does not make standard, one-size-fits-all payments to hospitals for patients admitted with COVID-19 diagnoses and placed on ventilators. The \$13,000 and \$39,000 figures appear to be based on generic industry estimates for admitting and treating patients with similar conditions.”*²⁸ Their “Fact Check” does not disprove the fact that there is in fact a 20 percent uplift on all Covid-19 patients and that hospitals are in fact getting paid more to treat and ventilate them. Their only source of validity is that there are some cases where the fees are not exactly \$13,000 for covid patients and \$39,000 for ventilation treatment.²⁸

Lastly in regard to the number of deaths related to Covid – 19, Project Veritas published an expose on 30, titled (BREAKING: Funeral Directors in COVID-19 Epicenter Doubt Legitimacy of Deaths Attributed to Pandemic, Fear Numbers are 'Padded').²⁹ The article

highlights incidents like a judge states that he doubts the legally coded Covid-19 related cause of death for a loved one and a funeral director who cites the case of a 40 year old man with no symptoms other than fever, toe tagged as Covid – 19 for the cause of death.²⁹ In an interview with Stanton Island’s Colonial Funeral Home Director, Michael Lanza; Lanza states, *“To be honest with you, all of the death certificates are writing COVID on it, they’re writing COVID on all the death certificates,”*.²⁹ The expose covers case after case of funeral directors and morticians claiming that in New York, the epicenter of America’s Covid-19 outbreak, the cause of death numbers are being padded to reflect Covid – 19.²⁹ Many believe this to be political.²⁹

The idea that Covid – 19 numbers are being inflated does have credence. A recent Stanford Study based on the antibodies of people blood tested in Santa Clara California revealed that out of the 3,300 people tested a range between 2.5 to 4 percent tested positive for the antibodies related to a recovery from Covid – 19.³⁰ This suggests that far more people have been exposed or infected than the mainstream narrative has led us to believe. In a New York Post article, (Antibody study suggests coronavirus rate much higher than previously believed) published 17 April 2020, Dr. Eran Bendavid, the associate professor of medicine at Stanford University who led the study was quoted, *“Our findings suggest that there is somewhere between 50- and 80-fold more infections in our county than what’s known by the number of cases than are reported by our department of public health,”*.³¹ Five days later on 22 April, two Medical Doctors and physicians at Accelerated Urgent Care in Bakersfield California hold a press conference releasing their findings.³²

In their press conference which was released on YouTube on 26 April and removed citing new community guidelines based on the Covid-19 pandemic, their findings support the explanation that exposure and infection rates are far higher than the narrative and that the

lethality is far less. Their findings are based on testing 5,219 people. They tested more than half of the 9,197 tests which had been conducted in Kern County at the time.

The results of all tests revealed that 340 tests were positive. According to a Bakersfield news outlet which covered the press conference (Two Bakersfield doctors cite their testing data to urge reopening) published 23 April, Dr. Dan Erikson was quoted, “If that percentage of positive cases were assumed to represent the entire population of Kern County, which is roughly 900,000, it would mean about 58,000 people in Kern have had the virus, far more than the nearly 700 official confirmed, That many cases would "indicate there is a widespread (COVID-19) infection, similar to flu”.^{32,33} Both the Stanford Study and the research revealed by Dr. Erikson suggest that the actual mortality rate is closer to 1 percent or less. ^{30, 31, 32, 33}

CONCLUSION

It is quite clear, that the media and key members of the medical and scientific establishment are blatantly ignoring scientific fact regarding not only the “evolution” of the virus, but the clear evidence that points to the possibility of an engineered virus. It appears that China, the WHO, UN, and CDC are colluding to not only ignore evidence, but intentionally deceive the populous with information that counters any possibility that the Communist Chinese Party has any responsibility for this virus. Furthermore, the evidence that this virus has a fatality rate of less than a half of a percent for most of the world’s population is blatantly being pushed aside for a more deadly narrative.

Although the clear consensus would point to all of this being an engineered crisis with the intent to collapse the world economy to give way for a meteoric rise of Chinese superpower. It also appears to be the segue into a Chinese influenced, UN led communistic and socialist

world government free from American influence. It is important to question the narrative, continue to conduct research and point out the narrative flaw at every turn if American citizens wish to maintain their individual sovereignty and liberty. Perhaps even greater scrutiny should be paid to the outcome of the 2020 American election process and the events which took place from Spring to September 2020 with the ANTIFA, Black Lives Matter and other riots.

Ultimately it is up to the citizen to guard themselves against propaganda, misinformation, and disinformation. Under the current pretenses surrounding the Covid-19 pandemic it is clear, that the population is being informationally exploited through the covering up of scientific data. using fake news media, corrupt politicians, bureaucratic Chinese assets within governmental and scientific agencies and the big tech establishment.

Notes:

1. 21 April 2020 Live Science Article which claims that the Covid -19 Virus was not Lab made
2. 21 April 2020 Live Science Article the Covid – 19 Virus was not engineered in a lab
3. 22 April 2020 NBC article published on MSN which states that the virus was not Chinese and that it was not created in a lab.
4. 5 April 2020 News Week cites Anthony Fauci, denies any possibility of lab manipulated or created virus, claims Fauci has greater credibility than Donald Trump and Mike Pompeo
5. 2 May 2020 MSN published article using Dr. Fauci’s quote disputing Trump and Pompeo supporting the impossibility that the Covid – 19 virus could be engineered
6. 5 May 2020 Business Insider article citing Anthony Fauci labeling anyone who questions the narrative on Covid – 19 as a “Conspiracy theorist”
7. 1 May 2020 Mikki Willis's production company Elevate releases (Plandemic) which cites Dr. Judy Mikovits a renown immunologist and her belief that Covid – 19 is lab made, that Dr. Anthony Fauci and other members of HHS are involved with China and Bill Gates in a conspiracy to force gene altering vaccines on the world under the guise of pandemic infection.
8. 9 November 2015 The Journal of Natural Medicine publishes a scholarly article on a study which discovers a coronavirus from bats was discovered with the possibility of it jumping to humans. Cites discovery in a lab. Cites funding from NIH and USAID.
9. 10 November 2015 Science Daily article warns of lab altered bat virus which can jump from bat to human.

- 10.** 16 November 2016 The Scientist warns of Lab Made coronavirus which triggers debate. The virus is SARS CoV – 2 and has an increased Gain of function, making it especially dangerous.
- 11.** 30 November 2017 a Chinese study on SARS CoV – 2 funded by the NIH specifically outlines the genetic experimentations done on the virus.
- 12.** 11 April 2020 Associated Press via Daily Mail UK releases article which connects 3.7 million dollars US in grants to organizations in Wuhan China performing experiments on SARS CoV – 2.
- 13.** 28 April 2020, The Business Insider article validates the Daily Mail article – Cites Trump statement publicly calling to end NIH funding to referenced program.
- 14.** 3 March 2020 India Today Article syndicated through MSN and other media outlets definitively state Covid – 19 is not a bioweapon because it was not manipulated in a lab. Cites Chinese scientist analysis which denies.
- 15.** 22 March, 2020 News Hub article syndicated on MSN Cite Chinese national scientists analysis that China did not manufacture or manipulate the virus in a lab and therefore it definitively is not either an intentional or accidental release
- 16.** 17 April 2020 National Interest article fact checks bio weapon explanation cites Snopes
- 17.** 1 April 2020 Snopes fact Check article “debunking” bioweapon “Conspiracy Theory” Cites claims no genetic research has been done on SARS CoV – 2 as premise for CoV-19 not being lab made. Takes Chinese government scientist’s denial as proof.
- 18.** 1999 publication by Chinese People’s Liberation Army Colonels Unrestricted Warfare. Book talks about biotech being added to humans, hacking human bio tech, using

employed crisis to collapse global economies and the use of “Financial Warfare” to control nations. Identifies the US as an adversary to China, “American Devils”

19. 5 March 2013 Epoch Times article Mao’s ‘Nuclear Mass Extinction Speech’ Aired on Chinese TV cites Mao Zedong proclaiming that Communist China is willing to sacrifice millions of their own to conquer their enemy.
20. USA Today Coronavirus live updates – running toll on coronavirus infection and death rates widely syndicated CNN, MSNBC, FOX, ABC, NBC
21. 7 May 2020 ABC News sub company publishes article on New York City Death Toll
22. 8 May 2020 CNN Article citing US Infection and death toll.
23. 24 March 2020 National Vital Statistics System Guidelines based on CDC directives to code almost every fatality as Covid -19 related or caused.
24. 4 April 2020 Gateway Pundit article Hospitals to List COVID-19 as Cause of Death Even if It’s “Assumed to Have Caused Or Contributed to Death” – Lab Tests Not Required
25. 16 April 2020 American Hospital Association released guidelines on billing for Covid – 19 patients. Covid – 19 patients receive at least a 20 percent uplift for treatment.
26. April 2020 H. R. 748 CARES Act. Outlines a variety of responses to address Covid – 19 Pandemic including additional moneys to be paid to Medicaid and hospitals in response to Covid – 19
27. 24 April 2020 USA Today “Fact Check” Article denies hospitals are getting paid more for Covid – 19 Patients, basetheir “Facts” on Snopes.
28. 17 April 2020 Snopes “Fact Check” – “A mix of lies and truth” – Disingenuous twists facts, puts a spin on them. No basis for mix of lies and truth statement. Refuses to admit hospitals are being paid more for Covid – 19 patients.

- 29.** April 2020 Project Veritas releases expose of NYC funeral homes. Funeral directors have evidence that death rate numbers are being padded for political purposes
- 30.** 17 April 2020 Dr. Eran Bendavid releases his study on Covid – 19 antibodies at Stanford – Infection rate higher than the narrative and mortality rate far lower somewhere around 1 percent or less.
- 31.** 17 April 2020 New York Post reports on Stanford Study of antibodies suggesting mortality is lower and infection / exposure rate is higher.
- 32.** 22 April 2020 Dr. Dan Erikson Accelerated Urgent Care press Conference – suggesting exposure and infection rate is higher and mortality is lower, suggests that lockdown is counterintuitive to human immunity. Removed from YouTube. Censored in the media
- 33.** Bakersfield News, reports on Dr. Dan Erikson Press Conference.

References

- A. Hunter, A. I. (2020, Jul 14). Cytokine Storms: Understanding COVID-19. *Immunity*, 53(1), 19 - 25. Retrieved Nov 2020, from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1074761320302727>
- Andrew Lisa, R. B. (2020, Sep 24). Common conditions that can contribute to COVID-19 deaths. *MSN*. Retrieved Nov 2020, from <https://www.msn.com/en-us/health/medical/common-conditions-that-can-contribute-to-covid-19-deaths/ss-BB19mS6a>
- Ashu Rastogi¹, A. B. (2020, Nov 12). Short term, high-dose vitamin D supplementation for COVID-19 disease: a randomised, placebo-controlled, study (SHADE study). *British Medical Journal*. Retrieved Dec 2020, from <https://pmj.bmj.com/content/early/2020/11/12/postgradmedj-2020-139065>
- Bardsley, D. (2020, Nov 18). False negatives and positives: how accurate are PCR tests for Covid-19? *The National News*. Retrieved Dec 2020, from <https://www.thenationalnews.com/uae/science/false-negatives-and-positives-how-accurate-are-pcr-tests-for-covid-19-1.1113187>
- Bradley, S. (2020, May 1). What Is a Cytokine Storm? Doctors Explain How Some COVID-19 Patients' Immune Systems Turn Deadly. *Health.com*. Retrieved Dec 2020, from <https://www.health.com/condition/infectious-diseases/coronavirus/cytokine-storm>
- C., H. (2020, Sep 5). Why COVID-19 Tests Are Inaccurate and the Role Dead Viral Cells Play. *Science Times*. Retrieved Dec 2020, from <https://www.sciencetimes.com/articles/27182/20200905/positive-covid-19-test-results-accurate.htm>
- CDC. (2020, Aug 12). *COVID-19 Overview and Infection Prevention and Control Priorities in non-US Healthcare Settings*. Retrieved Dec 2020, from CDC "Healthcare": <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/non-us-settings/overview/index.html>
- Center for Disease Control (CDC). (2020, Dec 16). Understanding mRNA COVID-19 Vaccines. Retrieved Dec 2020, from <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/different-vaccines/mrna.html>
- Center for Disease Control. (2020, Nov 30). United States COVID-19 Cases and Deaths by State. Retrieved Nov 30, 2020, from https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#cases_deathsper100k
- Centers for Disease Control (CDC). (2020, Jun 25). CDC updates, expands list of people at risk of severe COVID-19 illness. Retrieved Nov 2020, from <https://www.cdc.gov/media/releases/2020/p0625-update-expands-covid-19.html>
- Coronavirus, diabetes, obesity and other underlying conditions: Which patients are most at risk?: According to a CDC report, nearly 90% of patients hospitalized with coronavirus (COVID-19) had one or more underlying health conditions. (2020, Apr 15). *USA Today*. Retrieved Nov 2020, from <https://www.usatoday.com/in-depth/news/2020/04/15/coronavirus-risk-90-patients-had-underlying-conditions/2962721001/>

- Curtiss, A. (2020, April 24). THE MATH ON COVID-19? *The Andy Curtiss Blog; Against the New World Order & Unapologetically AMERICAN* .
- Duff, G. (2020). *Documentary Proof: University of North Carolina Generated COVID-19*. Veterans Today. Retrieved April 23, 2020, from <https://www.veteranstoday.com/2020/04/18/pravda-us-army-created-covid-19-in-2015-research-proofs-or-debunking-you-pick/>
- Eran Bendavid, B. M.-D. (2020). *COVID-19 Antibody Seroprevalence in Santa Clara County, California*. MedRxiv. doi:doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20062463>
- Hernandez, J. G.-G. (2020, Mar 30). China challenges the world with flawed COVID-19 test kits: What friendly country allows exports of test kits that it wouldn't use on its own population? *Washington Times*. Retrieved Dec 2020, from <https://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2020/mar/30/china-challenges-the-world-with-flawed-covid-19-te/>
- JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY. (2020, Sep 9). ONLY 6% WITHOUT COMORBIDITIES? THE TRUTH ABOUT COVID DEATHS. *Futurity*. Retrieved Nov 2020, from <https://www.futurity.org/cdc-covid-19-comorbidities-2436032-2/>
- Julianne Pachiega, A. J. (2020, Jun 29). Chronic heart diseases as the most prevalent comorbidities among deaths by COVID-19 in Brazil. *Revista Instituto Medicina Tropical de Sao Paulo*(45). Retrieved Nov 2020, from <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32609257/>
- Krayden, D. (2020, April 18). Trump Says He'll End Obama-Era Funding To Chinese Lab That May Have Spawned The Coronavirus. *Daily Caller*. Retrieved April 18, 2020, from <https://dailycaller.com/2020/04/18/donald-trump-end-funding-china-lab-coronavrus-covid-19/>
- Li Juyi, W. . (2020). COVID-19 infection may cause ketosis and ketoacidosis. *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism*, 22(10), 1935–1941. Retrieved Dec 2020, from <https://dom-pubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/dom.14057>
- Maria Cohut, P. (2020, Apr 11). What factors did people who died with COVID-19 have in common? (P. Fact checked by Allison Kirsop, Ed.) *Medical News Today*. Retrieved Nov 2020, from <https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/what-factors-did-people-who-died-with-covid-19-have-in-common>
- Newman, K. (2020, Apr 22). Study: High Blood Pressure, Obesity Are Most Common Comorbidities in COVID-19 Patients. *US News*. Retrieved Nov 2020, from <https://www.usnews.com/news/healthiest-communities/articles/2020-04-22/obesity-hypertension-most-common-comorbidities-for-coronavirus-patients>
- Oxygenation and Ventilation*. (2020, Jul 17). Retrieved Dec 2020, from CDC "Critical Care" Treatment Guidelines: <https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/critical-care/oxygenation-and-ventilation/>
- Qing Ye 1, B. W. (2020, March 24). The pathogenesis and treatment of the 'Cytokine Storm' in COVID-19. *Journal of Infection*, 607 - 613. Retrieved Nov 2020, from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7194613/pdf/main.pdf>

- Ramm, M. (2020, Nov 30). What role do comorbidities and existing medical conditions play in COVID-19 deaths? *The Gazette*. Retrieved Nov 2020, from <https://www.thegazette.com/subject/news/health/covid-comorbidities-cdc-cause-of-death-coronavirus-covid-19-20200921>
- Richard J. Simpson, P. F. (2020, Mar 30). Exercise, Immunity and the COVID-19 Pandemic. *American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM)*. Retrieved Nov 2020, from <https://www.acsm.org/blog-detail/acsm-blog/2020/03/30/exercise-immunity-covid-19-pandemic>
- Roberts, D. A. (2016). *The Complete Human Body: The definitive visual guide* (2nd ed.). New York: Dorling Kindersley. Retrieved Dec 2020
- Rogers, M. (2020, Apr 24). Fact check: Hospitals get paid more if patients listed as COVID-19, on ventilators. *USA Today*. Retrieved Dec 2020, from <https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/factcheck/2020/04/24/fact-check-medicare-hospitals-paid-more-covid-19-patients-coronavirus/3000638001/>
- Sherman, D. (2020, Sep 1). CDC: most COVID-related deaths involve contributing medical conditions. 3 *KRTV Great Falls*. Retrieved Nov 2020, from <https://www.krtv.com/news/coronavirus/cdc-most-covid-related-deaths-involve-underlying-medical-conditions>
- Stax, O. (2017). *Anatomy and Physiology* (3 ed.). Houston, TX: Rice University. Retrieved July 2020
- Stephanie L Harrison 1, E. F.-E. (2020, Sep 10). Comorbidities associated with mortality in 31,461 adults with COVID-19 in the United States: A federated electronic medical record analysis. *PLoS Med*, 17(9). Retrieved Nov 2020, from <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32911500/>
- W. Larry Kinney, J. H. (2020). *Physiology of Sport and Exercise* (7th ed.). Human Kinetics. Retrieved Dec 2020
- WB, G. (2020). *Evidence that Vitamin D Supplementation Could Reduce the Risk of Influenza and COVID - 19 Infections and Deaths*. National Center for Biomedical Information.