Section/Policy	Page/Paragraph	Comments	CNPF Action ( Dec 2024)
Figure 1 – Map of Coldean NP area	2	It would be useful to add a key to identify the land shown in green which forms part of the development plan for land within the National Park; and the land shown in white which is the area covered by the Brighton & Hove City Council development plan  The blue line to the north which shows the administrative boundary of Brighton and Hove should either be removed or identified.  Identify in the key that the arrow points north	Correct Map inserted, From SDNPA
Foreword	3	Unsure about "protect what we have legally" – a NP is not legislation	Deleted 'legally'
Table of Contents	4 - 5	Section 3.3 typo "South Downs National Park Authority"	Amended
Timeline	8-9	Suggest removing paragraph at the bottom of the page for the final version of the plan.	Amended and timeline updated as a

Section 1. Introduction,	10	Two" and make further changes as wrongly referenced throughout the document.  Incorrect to state "City Plan Part One which is to be revised in 2024". The council is beginning the process of reviewing the City Plan but CPP1 will remain part of the development plan until revised policies are adopted.	Amended
document evolution		Suggest removing text from para 2 which starts "as the Coldean Neighbourhood Plan".	Amended
		Unsure what the last sentence of para 2 that starts "this may occur" means? Suggest deleting.	Amended
		Reference also needs to be made to the South Downs Local Plan as the Plan forms part of the development plan for land within the National Park.	nserted SDNPA wordings instead
Section/Policy	Page/Paragraph	Comments	CNPF Action
		Final sentence incorrectly refers to there being Neighbourhood Plan for Shoreham Harbour – please delete.	Deleted
		Para 4 first para – rephrase to say 'alongside other <del>documents in</del>	Amended
		the Development Plan <u>Documents</u> to understand'	

Section 2. Vision & Objectives.	12	<ul><li>(c) reducing the number of (existing, authorised) HMOs is not something that can be done through the planning process.</li><li>(e) working with other agencies is not something that can be achieved through the planning process.</li><li>Cross reference to policies which achieve these objectives</li></ul>	Objectives had been seen by BHC was not raised then but our membrapproved these objectives, to the can assist. Re (e) we thought BHC April 2024, see their informal com-
		Para 11 –the northern boundary is in effectlower case b	Amended
		Para 12 –on the upper slopes and partly because no full stop needed	и
3.1 The Area	14	Para 12 – countryside – all one word	ш
		Para 13 – perimeter road – road needs	ii
		lower case Para 14 – parkland – lower	и
		case	
		Word missing from title - "South Downs National Park Authority"	Amended
3.3 South Downs Authority	15	Need to include reference to the South Downs Local Plan as it forms part of the development plan for land within the National Park	Inserted SDNPA wording

Section/Policy Page/	Paragraph Comments	CNPF Action

		Para 23there appears to be a shortage of people in age range between 25 – 30 years, possibly indicating a decline in young couples or families.  Perhaps replace with there appears to be fewer people between 25 – 30 years old than expected, possibly reflecting their lack of access to the housing in the area.	Amended "
3.4 Population	15 - 16	Para 27 – Bluebell Heights development – lower case d	u
		Para 27 – Suggest removing the word tenement here and throughout the document, as it is not relevant to Coldean.	и
		Para 29 – in Para 21, it is stated that the population increase of 8% is due to an increase in the number of children in Coldean. Children are not economically active so this is also a contributory factor, as well as parents taking on a full-time caring role whilst their children are young.	и
		Para 33 – Please check this statistic and use consistent terminology (household /dwelling/ unit)	Used Household
3.5 Developments	16 - 17	34 - Suggest this is deleted/amended - it cannot be assumed that the impact would be adverse: "It almost goes without saying that Coldean cannot sustain further developments like Varley Halls or Bluebell Heights without the Village nature adversely being affected."	Wording retained

3.	6 Future	17	Para 35 – Please justify the statement that population growth is expected to be driven by older people. In the next decade the current population will all age by 10 years and move into older age brackets. However there is no stated reason that more older people will move into Coldean from elsewhere to swell the population (i.e. no planned new retirement	People are growing older, and fewer have children. People do not need to the existing population will grow older.
			· ·	

Section/Policy	Page/Paragraph	Comments	CNPF Action
		The data in the draft Neighbourhood Plan suggests that there is an under occupation of family-sized homes in Coldean due to the number of older households. These households may not downsize; and many children that have increased the population in the last decade will be moving up into secondary education or leaving home. This could affect Coldean Primary School viability, in the wider picture of falling numbers of school age children across the whole of the city.	

		<del>-</del>	
		Paragraph 38 suggest following amendments:	Inserted instead, para 38 amended
Housing and Development Policies	18	The Brighton & Hove City Council Housing Needs Assessment 2015 is being updated informed the City Plan Part One (previously 13,000 where the objectively assessed need for home to 2030 was 30,210 homes was identified as- needed). The City Plan Part One has a housing target of 13,200 new homes to 2030. The 2024 Strategic Housing Market Assessment has recently been published and this will inform the City Plan Part One review of housing policies and new housing target to 2041 (Strategic Housing Market Assessment - August 2023 (brighton- hove.gov.uk)). and they will seek to provide a new one during 2024 the objectively assessed housing requirement was found to be 30,120 dwellings to 2030. Their overall focus will be on providing affordable housing, refurbishing existing housing stock and providing homes for the ageing population.	
4.1 General Development	18 - 20	Para 37 repeated again at para 48	Leave here. In Para 48 it is elaborate

Section/Policy P	Page/Paragraph	Comments	CNPF Action

It would be useful to include a data set of property size of the housing stock in Coldean to assess housing need in Figure alongside showing house types	
Para 41 - Please provide explanation for the notable chang statistic	es
Para 43 – remove ref to tenement. More local explanation required. For example, is this due to the construction of Bluebell Heights or is that development not included?	"
Para 44 – bedsits – typo	Word tenement removed throughout. Bluebell Heights was not included in t
	Corrected

-		·		
			Para 46 – The neighbourhood plan need only refer to the Housing Needs Assessment. The HNA introduction can include this level of detail.  Concerns about the methodology of the HNA which is a background document to inform the plan.	To discuss with BHCC
	4.2 Housing Needs Assessment	20 - 21	'Essentially, <u>assessment of housing</u> needs in an area <u>is</u> based on a projection of numbers of residents expected in the Planning period, their ageing and demographic, <u>household formation</u> , and any special housing needs, as compared with number and types of dwellings, and the space available.' 'In general, this Plan does not envisage a significant ordinary resident population growth'- this statement is questioned as household formation by adult children wanting to have their own home and relationships breaking down will generate a growth in housing need in Coldean over the next ten years. This draft neighbourhood plan is not considered to	To leave text in but discuss with BH0
			acknowledge or address local housing needs satisfactorily.  Appears to be a missing data set of property size within the	We have updated the Plan for Housin typical Brighton housing sizes ( by be Bedroom occupancy as you suggeste

Section/Policy	Page/Paragraph	Comments	CNPF Action
		assess housing need than the tables in Figure 8 showing house types. This would make the housing need assessment methodology more robust.	

Info on previous consultation (47)	21	Para 47 Suggest removing this and putting in Statement of Consultation	Consultation Statement will include
		Para 48 repeated from para 37 remove	Para 48 elaborated
Future consultation via PPAs (48)	21	Paragraph 48 – either remove or amend to: The Council has- recently confirmed end of 2023) that will be updating its Statement of Community Involvement (which sets out the requirements for consultation on planning policy documents and with planning applications). There will be a greater focus on developers seeking to carry out large scale developments to evidence public consultation and ensure they carry out a 'Planning Performance Agreement'.	
Policy H1 – Design Guide	21	Remove this duplicated policy from this section. This is a repetition of the POLICY H1 – DESIGN GUIDE detailed in pages 46. The text in policy refers to housing policy but there is no clear connection in the text to design policy in this section of the document. Use reference to Policy H1 set out in 46 to explain the policy is about and how it will be delivered.	Removed this Policy from here, re and renumber there as Policy DC draft Plan)
		Title: Houses in Multiple Occupation (not 'of') Frequent typo – 'HMOs' does not have an apostrophe	Amended throughout
4.3 HMOs text (49 – 57)	21 - 22	Paragraph 49 – unclear what a 'Class 2' building is. If the intention is to refer to use class C2, this is incorrect. Purpose Built Student Accommodation is a <i>sui generis</i> use	Amended
		Paragraph 52 – City Plan not Local Plan. The City Plan does not include criteria for "licensing arrangements with landlords", and supports the conversion of HMOs back into family homes.	Text amended

Section/Policy	Page/Paragraph	Comments	CNPF Action
Policy H2 – Houses of Multiple Occupancy (HMO)	22 - 23	Heading - Houses in [not of] Multiple Occupancy tion  Criteria relating to design, amenity, refuse storage and transport are covered by the relevant City Plan policies.  Criterion (e) merely signposts to DM7 (but not to Policy CP21). It is unclear what this policy would add.	Amended  Checked Policy wording with PMR, ou they recommend to retain that Policy (Plan). Their detailed reasons can be su
		Paragraph 59-60 appears to be setting the principle of acceptability of new development only within the built-up area boundary as shown on the adopted Brighton & Hove adopted policy (red dashed line). However, this is not included in the policy text of either H1 or H3.	New wording from SDNPA inserted
Text supporting policy H3 (58 - 60)	23 then 25	Whilst this approach reflects Strategic Policy SD25 in relation to settlements within the National Park it should be noted that the intention not to allow development outside the 'settlement boundary' could be in conflict with the City Plan Part Two which allocates two housing sites (Policy H2) which are within the urban fringe within the City Plan area.	Noted
		If any changes are needed to the built-up area boundary this will be considered through the city plan review, a statutory process involving public consultation and examination by an independent inspector.	Noted

Adopted policies map for B&H East area	24	Figure 11 should be amended to read: Figure 11 Settlement Area Map for Brighton & Hove, including Adopted Policies Map for Brighton & Hove extract which includes the Coldean Area. It should clearly delineate the Coldean Area otherwise it's unclear how it relates to Plan.  Also amend reference in paragraph 50 for consistency.	Amended Where in para 50?
		Suggest having a clearer map rather than a copy from the City Plan policies map – which shows designations in the key not relevant to Coldean.	Simpler map obtained from PMR, w should be clearer now in Dec 2024 of

Section/Policy	Page/Paragraph	Comments	CNPF Action
		Clarification is needed as to whether the Neighbourhood Plan is proposing to define a settlement boundary around Coldean. The council's built-up area boundary is not a settlement boundary. If this is being proposed it should be justified and reflect the housing site allocations in the City Plan Part Two.	No we are not proposing this. In Co of the Area dictates where building i

Policy H3 – New Developments within Settlement Boundary	25	A number of these criteria duplicate the intentions of the following City Plan policies:	Having checked these with PMR our retained these policies, now renumbe
4.5 Homes for older people	26	Change 4.5 to read 4.4 and in table of contents  Wording needs to be set out like a policy (as per rest of the plan)  Suggest setting out para 65 as the policy; as currently it's not clear that it is one.	Amended  As agreed with Forum Committee, to a Policy.

Section/Policy Page/Paragraph Comments	CNPF Action
--	-------------

		Paragraph 64 – City Plan Part Two Policy DM4 Housing and Accommodation for Older Persons sets out the overarching policy for housing and accommodation for Older Persons based on a city-wide assessment of need. The identified estimated needs for Coldean set out in Paragraph 64 (an additional 3 care home beds or 5 by 2037) due to the expected increase in the older would inform the application of Policy DM4 within the Coldean Area.  Amend Paragraph 65: This Plan therefore The adopted City	Noted
		Plan Part Two policy DM1 Housing Quality, Choice and Mix sets targets for new housing to meet national standards for accessibility and adaptability, ensuring that 10% of affordable homes and 5% of market homes on sites with 10+ dwellings are suitable for mobility or wheelchair standard units (Category M4(3)).	Inserted
		Open spaces need listing in the policy.	Amended
5.1 Open Spaces - Introduction	28	Para 69 – unclear on this "Local Community Space" doesn't appear to be an actual designation. Is this the same as an Asset of Community Value (ACV)? Process for listing is different.	This is the listing as advised/catego advisers Squires Planning, and agre July 2022, presumably we can use
		Para 71 – this paragraph refers to an assessment process to evaluate open spaces and NPPF criteria. It is unclear which open spaces this assessment process is referring to. Is this referring to the assessment for the LGS or the Local Community Spaces?	Amended to confirm it's the LGS pro

5.2 Spaces Categorisation		This definitions on page 29 may be better placed in the glossary.	Prefer to leave here. This is what ha consultations.
Summary – local green spaces and local communities spaces	29	Local Community Spaces – Not clear what a 'Local Community Space' is? Has the Forum created the designation and assessment process for "Local Community Space" as this doesn't appear to be an actual designation. Is this the same as an Asset of Community Value (ACV)?	Per Squires Planning; LCS is a prophave not assessed Assets of Commer Plan.

Section/Policy	Page/Paragraph	Comments	CNPF Action
		Open spaces do already have protection under CPP1 policy CP16.	Noted
Open Spaces ID and categorisation	30	Categorisation Table of Open Spaces - This information should be part of the evidence base rather than in the main text of the plan. Just have a final table in the plan of Local Green Open Space and Local Community Space rather than those that did not meet the criteria.	It is also in the evidence base. We has same table/figure.
		OS4 should be removed from the list of sites assessed as Local Green Spaces in figure 14 as this is an urban fringe site, already designated in the City Plan.	Removed OS4 as LGS in the newer December 2024 Plan.
Summary of open spaces analysis grid (fig 14)	30	Recommend putting this in evidence rather than main plan.	Figure 14 table made clearer in Dec
Maps local green spaces	31 - 34	Consider amending map scale to help identify site locations and better consider their wider context, Include street names. Add key to identify areas of shading and any delineations shown on the maps.	Street names included where possible produced by BHCC Cartographer
Policy LGS1 – Local Green Space Designations	35	Policy should clearly set out the names of the LGS in the policy rather than just listing numbers.  Put para number for NPPF in footnote – not in the policy text	Amended In final Plan, there will be a footnote.
		as this is likely to become out of date very quickly.	
5.4 Local Community Spaces	36	Para 80 – change the word 'chamfered' - as unclear language for most people	Word deleted
Policy LCS1 – Local Community Spaces	36 - 37	1 <sup>st</sup> bullet point and 7 <sup>th</sup> bullet point both refer to "Green Open Space" Should this be" Local Green Space"? Ensure	Amended to Local Green Space

Coldean Neighbourhood Plan	- Regulation 14 DRAFT July	2024 – BHCC Officer Comments
----------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------------

	consistency of wording.	

Section/Policy	Page/Paragraph	Comments	CNPF Action
		10 <sup>th</sup> bullet point refers to Coldean Pharmacy. A pharmacy is classed as an E use class within the Use Class Order and can therefore change to any other use within the E use class without the need for planning permission. Suggest deleting.	Deleted Pharmacy from list
		As previously highlighted to the forum before reg 14 consultation, the final bullet point includes OS4 Site 21a. This conflicts with CPP2 policy H2 which allocates this site for development. The site has been allocated in CPP2 which is already adopted. To meet the basic conditions at examination the NP must be in general conformity with the City Plan. Recommend that this site is deleted from the NP.	Site 21a deleted from list
		Final paragraph refers to open spaces to be retained until evidence shows they are no longer needed. However, policy LCS1 also includes sites which are not green and open spaces, such as a pharmacy and doctors. In addition, there is no explanation in the supporting text which sets out the type of evidence that would be required to demonstrate the sites are not needed. This needs setting out to support implementation of the policy. Any loss of open space would also need to meet the policy requirements in CPP1 policy CP16 Open Space.	Amended to use wording supplied by
		If justified to retain local community spaces, have landowners been contacted re proposed allocation of these sites/uses? Evidence of this would be required at examination.	So far we have not sought landowne

If justified to retain local community spaces, then please provide inset Maps in the plan otherwise no one is aware of their boundaries.	Have requested help to create this community Spaces. As at Decembers of the community Spaces.
	•

Section/Policy	Page/Paragraph	Comments	CNPF Action
Section 6. Anti-social behaviours	38	Policy not implementable and should be deleted as previously discussed. Management plans – bullet point could be reworded to require 'management plans by condition when planning permission is granted for an HMO' or similar. Neighbourhood Police Inspectors would not be consulted on these planning applications. Designing out crime officers not consulted on all applications. Tenancy agreements are also out of the scope of a planning application decision.	We thought BHCC previously requiadded? IN April 2024. Can we discurd have amended the bullet points in
		Supporting text paragraph 86 – unnecessary to refer to officer's names in detail	Names deleted
Policy C1 – reducing anti- social behaviour	39	Policy reference to adherence to the UK Government's Anti-Social Behaviour Action Plan is not appropriate for a neighbourhood plan and should be deleted.  Policy C1 could be renamed: 'Designing out Crime or Promoting a Safer Community' and be amended to read: Development should incorporate appropriate design measures in the layout and landscaping of new developments, streets and public spaces to reduce opportunities for crime and support community safety'  Suggest adding a new supporting text paragraph: National	Amended /inserted  This text has been inserted.
		Planning policy encourages the creation of safe and accessible places so that crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine the quality of life or community cohesion. Well-designed, clear and legible pedestrian and cyclist routes and high-quality public spaces and buildings which encourage natural surveillance will be supported. The police are a statutory consultee for all planning applications,	

with plans scrutinised by a Designing Out Crime Officer (DOCO) who can make recommendations.	

Section/Policy	Page/Paragraph	Comments	CNPF Action
Policy C2 – contributions to crime prevention	39		
Section 7. Traffic and Travel	40 - 45	The relevance of Figures 24, 25 and 26 to this section is unclear.	These are just fill in photographs Coldean.

7.1 Traffic Management Review and Plan	40	This section highlights the outcomes of the Forum's survey in 2023. Locality guidance on transport in Neighbourhood Plans <a href="https://neighbourhoodplanning.org/toolkits-and-guidance/transport-matters-can-neighbourhood-plan-address/">https://neighbourhoodplanning.org/toolkits-and-guidance/transport-matters-can-neighbourhood-plan-address/</a> outlines what transport issues Plans can address through planning policies, and those which fall outside of its scope and must therefore be addressed in other ways.	Noted; we have amended some PMR in the December 2024 draf
Issues 1 - 11	40 - 41	The list contains a number of issues that are existing and/or would not be able to be addressed through the planning process such as speeding traffic, overgrown vegetation, abandoned vehicles, bus routes, and parking enforcement. Although para 90 suggests that the Plan policies will address them, it would be helpful if it explained how it expects them to be delivered e.g. development/CIL/ BHCC. A number of the highlighted measures could be considered through requests to council teams e.g. the Safer, Better Streets programme, for example.  The format layout of Paragraph and issue numbers is confusing. Suggest the issues are addressed within one numbered paragraph.	To discuss with Committee. We to communicate with the Safer, I programme  These issues were analysed from responses
7.2 Disability Access Assessment	41 - 42	This section includes a number of locations where infrastructure is considered to be required. A number of these existing issues cannot be	Noted

Section/Policy Page/Paragraph Comments	CNPF Action
--	-------------

		<del>-</del>	
		addressed through the planning process such as pedestrian crossing points , wheelchair access to pavements, the removal of a height restriction barrier and provision of disabled driver/blue badge holder bays on-street e.g. Park Road or on private land (Bluebell Heights).  Where new issues emerge as a result of development, these may be able to be addressed through the planning process, but not existing problems.	Noted
		114 – rogue para number	Number amended
7.3 Further traffic management issues	42	7.3 - this section is primarily about decarbonisation. Suggest heading reflects this e.g Sustainable and active transport and travel opportunities  Para 119 – definition of genomic hub required	Heading changed  Word genomic deleted
		Para 120 – green lanes are not defined in the glossary.	Now defined in Glossary
7.4 Cycling Routes	42	This section should refer to the council's priorities for cycling and walking as set out in the council's Local Cycling & Walking Infrastructure Plan [LCWIP], and any routes will be expected to reflect these priorities.	Noted and LCWIP mentioned
		Para 121 – add wheeling	Added
		Para 122 is repeated in Policy T7.	Para 122 Deleted

7.5 Buses	43	This section does not indicate the implications for development, nor identify how development could address community concerns. The section could acknowledge the council's Bus Service Improvement Plan [BSIP].	BSIP acknowledged
		Issue 8 is not in section 7.6 Policies? This should refer to para 98 in section 7.1.	Amended

Section/Policy	Page/Paragraph	Comments	CNPF Action
7.6 Policies (124 – 125)	43		Above
		Unclear what policy adds to Policy CP9 regarding Sustainable Transport and the general approach taken in the NPPF in support of sustainable transport.	Noted
		Policy heading would benefit from adding the word accessible. See comment on T5 below.	Accessible added to heading
Policy T1 Increasing Sustainable Transport	43	Policy wording is ambiguous/unclear, particularly in terms of what is meant by 'attractiveness' (in design/visual terms of making it more useable?).	Wording amended
		Suggested wording could include 'Development should include proposals appropriate to its scale and location which enhance the attractiveness of walking, wheeling, cycling, and public transport within Coldean. Specific proposals such as improved accessibility for disabled people, will also be supported.'	и

Policy T2 Through Traffic	43	The need and/or focus/wording of this policy and what it is seeking to achieve should be reviewed. This is because it is difficult to justify a policy related to through/external traffic, as existing or new development will either be a trip origin or destination within the local area.	Amended with PMR
Policy T3 Residential Streets	43 -44	All of these measures can be implemented by the Council without the need for a planning application, so the policy is unnecessary.  a) Refer to comment on through traffic above b) Home Zone is not defined in the glossary. c) It would be difficult to secure this temporary use through the planning process in association with a development, but the design of a development and its public realm could facilitate this type of activity.	Amended with PMR

Section/Policy	Page/Paragraph	Comments	CNPF Action
Policy T4 Car Parking	44	The policy heading is Car parking, but there is also reference to cycle parking. Suggest heading is changed to Parking. It is very unlikely that a development proposal would be required to alter parking controls for a particular existing land use. The first part of this policy should therefore be reworded.  It is unclear whether off-street parking means a car park or parking that is part of a development. The latter would be considered against BHCC standards within policy DM36.	Heading changed  It does mean the latter, to check wit
Policy T5 Accessibility for all	44	Policy could be removed if T1 is expanded to reference accessibility, or remove the specific reference to accessibility in T1 as it is covered here.	T5 retained, now renumbered as T4 Plan

	Policy T6 Accessible Developments	44	Add wheeling to wording.	Added
		44	Policy wording is incomplete.	Original wording added in December
			This policy wording repeats para 122.	Para 122 deleted
			Unclear what this adds to existing City Plan Policy.	
	Policy T7 Provision of Cycle / Walkways	44	Priorities for cycling and walking are set out in the council's Local Cycling & Walking Infrastructure Plan [LCWIP], and any routes will be expected to reflect these priorities.	Referred to LCWIP in para now.
			https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/lcwip The LCWIP includes references to Coldean and Coldean Lane.	To check with PMR
			Suggest replacing walkway with pavement or footway.	Walkway replaced with pavement
			The integrity of Coldean is not defined. How would this be assessed?	New wordings clarify integrity, in De

Section/Policy	Page/Paragraph	Comments	CNPF Action
7.7 BHCC transport policies	45	Policy T8 is not a policy merely a list of BHCC plan policies. Suggest this is deleted as it is unnecessary to have a policy that lists the BHCC City Plan policies which are supported, as they would be applied to all planning applications by BHCC.	This is now a list of BHCC Transpor our own Plan Policy in the December
		Suggest this list could therefore just be included as text. Links to the source City Plan documents would be helpful to the reader.	Include as list in text, not Policy, with

In the second se		·	-
8.1 Coldean design guide and code	46	This document provides valuable insights into the characteristics of the built environment of and guidance for the Coldean area. It focuses almost exclusively on the character of single-dwelling typologies, failing to address the characteristics of flatted/apartment and retail typologies in the area. It would also be useful if the document provided more insight on how the area is likely to accommodate change, including from potential pressures from, for example, densification in the form of flatted development, conversion of houses into flats, and/or back garden development.	For AECOM to amend. The Design cover larger developments and flats
Policy H1 Design Guide	46	There is no reference to the city-wide design guide, SPD 17 Urban Design Framework, which covers most of the issues set out in the Coldean Design Guide. The supporting text to this policy does not effectively explain what the policy is about and how it will be implemented. Notably how good design will help to deliver the vision set out in the NP, including meeting housing needs.	For AECOM to include comment.

	Paragraph 133 - remove reference here to Green belt land and elsewhere in the section as not relevant to Brighton & Hove.	Ref deleted
	Paragraph 133 refers to the government's "Environment Green Paper". What is this? Is this referring to the Environment Act?	Amended to say Environment Act
	Paragraph 136 refers to the Coldean Nature Recovery Strategy.	Agreed
48 - 49	May want to clarify the difference between the Coldean Nature Recovery Strategy and the Sussex Nature Recovery Strategy that is being prepared, being led by East Sussex County Council for East Sussex and Brighton & Hove and state how (if) the Coldean Nature Recovery Strategy will feed into that.	Distinguished in amended text.
	Paragraph 138 refers to restoration of chalk grassland including Site 21a North of Varley Halls. This site is allocated for development under CPP2 policy H2. Delete reference to site.	Site Ref deleted
	Paragraph 140 also refers to protection of site 21a as green space. Delete.	Site Ref deleted
	Paragraph 140 refers to "Spaces of Community Value". Should this be "Local Community Spaces" to reflect earlier policy wording? Ensure consistency of wording.	Local Community Spaces used throug
	48 - 49	elsewhere in the section as not relevant to Brighton & Hove.  Paragraph 133 refers to the government's "Environment Green Paper". What is this? Is this referring to the Environment Act?  Paragraph 136 refers to the Coldean Nature Recovery Strategy.  May want to clarify the difference between the Coldean Nature Recovery Strategy and the Sussex Nature Recovery Strategy that is being prepared, being led by East Sussex County Council for East Sussex and Brighton & Hove and state how (if) the Coldean Nature Recovery Strategy will feed into that.  Paragraph 138 refers to restoration of chalk grassland including Site 21a North of Varley Halls. This site is allocated for development under CPP2 policy H2. Delete reference to site.  Paragraph 140 also refers to protection of site 21a as green space. Delete.  Paragraph 140 refers to "Spaces of Community Value". Should this be "Local Community Spaces" to reflect earlier policy

9.2 South Downs Way Ahead Nature Improvement Area	49 - 50	Paragraph 141 refers to the South Downs Way Ahead NIA. This is designated within CPP1 policy CP10. This should therefore be referred to within this paragraph.  Paragraph 145 refers to strengthening arguments against development at site 21a. Delete due to conflict with CPP2 policy H2 which allocates site 21a for development.	
		Paragraph 147 suggest amend as follows: "Site 21a (Land North of Varley Halls) had been was identified as having housing potential for a high- density scheme - approx. 50 dwellings. It is allocated in City Plan Part 2 policy H2 for an indicative 12 dwellings.	Amended
		Last section of paragraph 147 refers to "failure of council to spend any S106 monies". This statement is not appropriate for a Neighbourhood Plan.	Comment deleted
9.3 The NPPF	50	Not sure why this section is needed. Suggest deleting. Section 13 Protecting Green Belt Land is not of relevance to Coldean Neighbourhood Plan as Brighton & Hove does not include any designated Green Belt.	Para 148 and Heading 9.3 deleted.
		Information is out of date as the Department of Levelling up Housing and Communities no longer exists and has been replaced by MHCLG. Changes in NPPF can be frequent, and specific references are best avoided due to risk of becoming out of date.	66

Policy E1 Settlement Containment	50	As worded this policy: 'within this area of countryside' is unclear. Which area of the countryside is being referred to? If this relates to land within the National Park, the policy will need to be in conformity with the South Downs Local Plan and City Plan Policy SA5. In relation to the urban fringe land between the built-up area boundary and the A27 within the Neighbourhood Plan Area, Policy E1 needs to acknowledge City Plan Part 1 Policy SA4 Urban Fringe and outstanding site allocation in City Plan Part 2 Policy H2. As currently written may not fully comply with SA4 and H2.	SDNPA and Southern Water had agree and have retained it in the December
		retained with development coming forward.  Query reference in E1c) only to 'landscape setting of the	и
		settlement', not to protecting landscape (or countryside) for its own value.	

			<b>i</b>
Policy E2 Protecting Valued Views	51	The policy lacks the clarity needed to enable implementation. CPP1 Policy CP12 Urban Design, SPD17 Urban Design Framework and the Urban Characterisation Study identify key 'strategic' and 'local' views to aid the assessment of design proposals, in particular tall buildings. Strategic views are generally distant views from elevated locations, including some from the SDNP, and local views are used for wayfinding and as route markers.	Some wordings amended or defined to who originally drafted these policy wo
		What are 'valued' views and how do they differ from the 'strategic' and 'local' views established in? How have 'publicly valued' views been established and evidenced? Where are they located? What types of proposals would this policy apply to? These will need to be described in the policy and set out in on policies map or appendix to the plan.	u
Doliou F2 Protection of		Policy refers to "green spaces identified" Is this referring to the Local Green Spaces and Local Community Spaces identified in the Plan? Needs clarification whether this policy only refers to those designated/identified in the plan or also includes other green/open spaces.	It refers to both LGS and LCS, as now of the Dec 2024 Plan.
Policy E3 Protection of Green Spaces	51	Also, this policy could undermine the open space protection policy by implying community benefits could outweigh loss of the green space.	Check with PMR; wording retained 'as
		Slightly unclear what is required by policy – does it mean that loss of green space would be acceptable if replacement green space (with equivalent benefit?) or an alternative facility is provided? What is an 'alternative facility' to green space?	u

Policy E4 Protecting Allotments	51	Policy E4 as currently written is not in conformity with Policy CP16 Open Spaces in the adopted CPP1 as legal protection is afforded to statutory allotment sites applies to Coldean Lane and Charlton Allotments these allotments are well used with a waiting list.		PMR ; the
------------------------------------	----	---	--	-----------

		<del>-</del>	
Policy E5 Adapting to climate change	51 - 52	This policy largely duplicates the requirements set out in City Plan Part One policy CP8 Sustainable Buildings and City Plan Part Two Policies DM43 Sustainable Drainage and DM44 Energy Efficiency and Renewables which require accordance with Future Homes Standard and Future Building Standards set out in Part L as a minimum and opportunities for new development to achieve greater reductions in CO2 emissions through the use of passive design, fabric standards, energy efficiency measures and low and zero carbon technologies will be encouraged in housing allocations in the urban fringe.	We wish to leave this in please
		Policy may be better renamed "Biodiversity" as not all of the requirements relate to BNG.	Renamed
		Point (a) "Endemic species" generally refers to species found only in a limited, restricted or defined area of habitat, with no other traces in other parts of the world. Unlikely that this is applicable locally. Suggest deleting and rewording to something more meaningful locally, such as "protected, priority or notable species".	Amended
Policy E6 BNG	52	Some of the policy requirements appear more like aspirations or projects than policy requirements and it is unlikely these will be directly applicable to development (e.g. point (b) and point (c)).	Leave in.
		Topodition:	Leave in. Mentioned SUDS, Southern Water have wordings at E6 (e) re Water Objective (
		Point (e) would be useful to set out the types of measures	the Forum at the December 2024 Open on Policy H2, now in December 2024 P

		Point (f) is now secured through legislation so unnecessary.	(f) Deleted; instead added new Policy Policy H2, see December 2024 Plan. Southern Water and unanimously agre December 2024 Open meeting.
9.4 Biodiversity	53	Unsure how this fits with the Plan as does not appear to be supporting text to a policy/objective etc.	Leave in, as evidence, and study of Coldeaners.
findings  9.5 Ancient Chalk  Downlands	53	Unsure how this fits with the Plan as does not appear to be supporting text to a policy/objective etc. This paragraph appears as though it should have some text following it. Is something missing?	It follows on from Policy E 5 (e ) and

9.6 BHCC Carbon	53	Unsure how this fits with the Plan as does not appear to be supporting text to a policy/objective etc.	We have left this in for completeness
Neutral 2030 Plan and Tree Planting		The juxtaposition with the unfinished section beforehand is unfortunate as tree planting will neither preserve nor protect chalk downland habitat but destroy it.	Noted
	56 - 60	Only include in Glossary terms which are used in the NP / are relevant to Coldean. For example the terms AONB, Greenbelt and Article 4 Direction are not relevant to Coldean.	Deleted these 3 definitions from Glo
		Affordable Housing: suggest NPPF	Inserted
		definition is used. City Plan Part 1 - heading	Definition deleted
Section 11 Glossary and Acronyms		has no narrative	Considerably updated this whole se
		Conditions – suggest reword: "Conditions associated with a	2024 Plan
		planning permit that restrict, manage, or guide the execution of a development. are applied to a planning permission to limit or	
		regulate the development approved –	
		e.g. by limiting hours of opening, approving plans, or requiring the submission of information.	
		Environment Agency: suggest this is checked with their definition online.	

Highways Agency – has been renamed to National Highways.
Add 'which includes the A27.' at end of definition. However, this organisation is not mentioned elsewhere in the Plan, so could be deleted.

Local Transport Plan – suggest definition is reworded to 'A Local Transport Plan [LTP] is a statutory document that sets out a local authority's priorities for transport and how these relate to, and help deliver, the objectives of other national, regional and local plans and strategies. It includes a long-term strategy and short-term (up to 5 years) delivery plan to deliver a safe, accessible, sustainable and resilient transport network. The LTP enables local authorities to bid for and receive government funding.'

Sustainable travel - Cycling, walking <u>and wheeling</u> is now a preferred term to describe forms of active travel, recognising wheelchair and mobility scooter users

Transport Assessment – heading is not separate/bolded.
Suggest definition is altered to '<u>Transport Assessments are part of the planning process and assess and mitigate the negative transport impacts of development in order to promote sustainable development. They are required for all developments which generate significant amounts of movements.'</u>

Travel Plans – suggest definition is altered to '<u>Travel Plans are long-term management strategies for integrating proposals for sustainable travel into the planning process. They are based on evidence of the anticipated transport impacts of development and set measures to promote and encourage sustainable travel (such as promoting walking and cycling).'</u>

All rewordings suggested for Glossary instead. Mention of A27 included.

Inserted instead

Wheeling inserted

Heading bolded, new definition inserted

Definition inserted instead

However, neither of the above terms are specifically referred to in the Plan's policies or narrative and could therefore possibly be deleted.	Leave in
TPOs – heading is not bolded.	
	Bolded

		Ancient Chalk Downland – rather than saying that site 21a is classified as this, it would be more useful if this definition actually described what Ancient Chalk Grassland is.	Described
		Change of Use – "from one use class to another, however some use classes benefit from permitted development rights, allowing them to change from one use class to another without the need for a planning application, as set out in the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015 as amended. Under the Local Government Act 2000	New definition used instead
		CIL – A <del>n annual</del> levy charged by the Local Planning Authority <u>on</u> <u>certain</u> <u>types of new development</u>	Amended
		GDPO: Government-established rules the Town and Country (General Permitted Development)(England) Order 2015 (as amended) regulations providing approval for specific, minor development projects or change of use. are known as planning permission regulations	New definition used instead.
		Public Open Space – suggest deleting as already have "Open Space"	Deleted
		All appendices seem to link back to the main neighbourhood plan page and can't be accessed through the hyperlinks provided.	Will arrange separate page links
Links to evidence documents	60	Appendix 5 contains the Masterplanning Framework which includes suggested changes to the public highway/public realm in some locations, including the removal of bus stops. Further comments would be made on such proposals at the appropriate time, when future engagement is undertaken.	Noted

	References to Brighton Council need to be amended to say Brighton & Hove City Council	All should now say Brighton & Hove Ci
General Comments	References to Brighton City Plan Part One throughout need to be changed to read Brighton & Hove City Plan Part One. Similarly, if referring to Brighton City Plan Part Two.	We have checked references again, sh
	References to NPPF paras will be out of date very quickly. Recommend footnoting references so they are not part of the policy text.	To go in footnotes.