Filed: 06/06/2025 17:16:07
Fourth Judicial District, Ada County **Trent Tripple, Clerk of the Court**By: Deputy Clerk - Waters, Renee

Anne Taylor Law, PLLC Anne C. Taylor, Attorney at Law PO Box 2347 Coeur d'Alene, Idaho 83816

Phone: (208) 512-9611

iCourt Email: info@annetaylorlaw.com

Elisa G. Massoth, PLLC Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1003 Payette, Idaho 83661

Phone: (208) 642-3797; Fax: (208)642-3799

Bicka Barlow Pro Hac Vice 2358 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94114 Phone: (415) 553-4110

Assigned Attorney:

Anne C. Taylor, Attorney at Law, Bar Number: 5836 Elisa G. Massoth, Attorney at Law, Bar Number: 5647 Bicka Barlow, Attorney at Law, CA Bar Number: 178723

Jay W. Logsdon, First District Public Defender, Bar Number: 8759

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ADA

STATE OF IDAHO,

CASE NUMBER CR01-24-31665

V.

BRYAN C. KOHBERGER,

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

STIPULATED MOTION TO THE ADMISSION OF ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS AS EVIDENCE AT TRIAL

COMES NOW, Bryan C. Kohberger, by and through his attorneys of record, and hereby submits to the Court a stipulation to the Defense's admission of two additional exhibits described

for the record as Exhibit D-1062, FBI Lab Report 4 (Bates 9887-9892), and Exhibit D-1063, FBI Lab Report 12 (Bates 9927-9931).

DATED this 5th day of June 2025.

BY:

ASHLEY JENNINGS SENIOR DEPUTY PROSECUTOR

ANNE C. TAYLOR ANNE TAYLOR LAW, PLLC

CERTIFICATE OF DELIVERY

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was personally served as indicated below on the 6 day of June, 2025 addressed to:

Latah County Prosecuting Attorney –via Email: paservice@latahcountyid.gov

Elisa Massoth – via Email: legalassistant@kmrs.net

Jay Logsdon – via Email: Jay.Logsdon@spd.idaho.gov

Bicka Barlow, Attorney at Law – via Email: bickabarlow@sbcglobal.net Jeffery Nye, Deputy Attorney General – via Email: Jeff.nye@ag.idaho.gov



FBI Laboratory

2501 Investigation Parkway Quantico, Virginia 22135

4940/4946 Fowler Road Huntsville, Alabama 35898

LABORATORY REPORT

To: TRAVIS SHIRLEY Date: January 27, 2023 RELIEF SUPERVISOR

Salt Lake City Case ID No.: SU-3683464

Lab No.: 2023-00090-4

Communication(s): January 4, 2023

Agency Reference(s): 22-M09903

Subject(s):

Victim(s): Ethan Chapin; Madison Mogen; Xana Kernodle; Kaylee Goncalves

Discipline(s): Trace - Hairs/Fibers

This document may contain privileged and/or personally identifiable information, including information related to juveniles and other protected individuals. This document must be afforded the protection required by applicable law, regulation, and policy. If you are not the intended recipient of this document, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination, unless otherwise required by law.

FBI Laboratory Evidence Designator(s):

Item 2	Head hair sample from Ethan J. Chapin (MPD #22M-1880)
Item 3	Debris from right hand of Ethan J. Chapin (MPD #22M-1884)
Item 5	Head hair sample from Kaylee J. Goncalves (MPD #22M-2012)
Item 7	Head hair sample from Xana A. Kernodle (MPD #22M-1938)
Item 8	Debris from left hand of Xana A. Kernodle (MPD #22M-1948)
Item 9	Debris from body bag of Xana A. Kernodle (MPD #22M-1942)
Item 11	Head hair sample from Madison M. Mogen (MPD #22M-1967)
Item 12	Necklace from Ethan J. Chapin (MPD #22M-1878)
Item 13	Bracelet from Ethan J. Chapin (MPD #22M-1878)

1 - Jeffory TalbottIdaho State Police

Page 1 of 6

UNCLASSIFIED

D-1062 CR01-24-31665

Item 14	Earring from Kaylee J. Goncalves (MPD #22M-2023)
Item 15	Fake eyelashes from Madison M. Mogen (MPD #22M-1983)
Item 16	Ring from Madison M. Mogen (MPD #22M-1983)
Item 17	Ring from Madison M. Mogen (MPD #22M-1983)
Item 18	Ring from Madison M. Mogen (MPD #22M-1983)
Item 19	Hair tie from Madison M. Mogen (MPD #22M-1983)
Item 20	Comforter from 1122 King Road (MPD #22M-2035)
Item 21	Debris from near northwest bedroom on second floor at 1122 King Road (MPD #22M-2040)
Item 22	Debris from near northwest bedroom on second floor at 1122 King Road (MPD #22M-2040)
Item 23	Debris from half wall leading to northwest bedroom on second floor at 1122 King Road (MPD #22M-2040)
Item 24	Debris from door of northwest bedroom on second floor at 1122 King Road (MPD #22M-2040)
Item 25	Debris from drying cabinet (MPD #22M-2038)
Item 26	Debris from under computer pad on desk in bedroom at 1630 Northeast Valley Road, Apartment G201 (MPD #23M-0032)
Item 27	Debris from under computer pad on desk in bedroom at 1630 Northeast Valley Road, Apartment G201 (MPD #23M-0035)
Item 28	Debris from pillow in bedroom at 1630 Northeast Valley Road, Apartment G201 (MPD #23M-0036)
Item 29	Debris from east wall of shower in bathroom at 1630 Northeast Valley Road, Apartment G201 (MPD #23M-0033)
Item 30	Debris from broom head at 1630 Northeast Valley Road, Apartment G201 (MPD #23M-0030)
Item 31	Left sock from Ethan J. Chapin (MPD #22M-1877)
Item 32	Right sock from Ethan J. Chapin (MPD #22M-1876)
Item 33	Left hand bag from Ethan J. Chapin (MPD #22M-1908)
Item 34	Shirt from Kaylee J. Goncalves (MPD #22M-2018)
Item 35	Left sock from Kaylee J. Goncalves (MPD #22M-2020)
Item 36	Left hand bag from Kaylee J. Goncalves (MPD #22M-2022)
Item 37	Underwear from Xana A. Kernodle (MPD #22M-1910)
Page 2 of 6	

- Lab Report-Released-(116967).pdf

2023-00090-4

Item 38	Left hand bag from Xana A. Kernodle (MPD #22M-1914)
Item 39	Right hand bag from Xana A. Kernodle (MPD #22M-1913)
Item 40	Left foot bag from Xana A. Kernodle (MPD #22M-1915)
Item 41	Shirt from Madison M. Mogen (MPD #22M-1952)
Item 42	Left hand bag from Madison M. Mogen (MPD #22M-1985)
Item 43	Right hand bag from Madison M. Mogen (MPD #22M-1984)
Item 44	Dog hair sample from Murphy (MPD #23M-0079)
Item 45	Head hair sample from Bryan Christopher Kohberger (MPD #23M-0075)
Item 46	Arm hair sample from Bryan Christopher Kohberger (MPD #23M-0075)
Item 47	Blanket from 1122 King Road (MPD #22M-1811)
Item 48	Original packaging from Item 20 Comforter (MPD #22M-1851)
Item 49	Filter from Dryer II (MPD #22M-1951)
Item 50	Catch paper from Dryer II (MPD #22M-1951)
Item 51	Security tag from Dryer II (MPD #22M-1951)
Item 52	Right hand bag from Ethan J. Chapin (MPD #22M-1907)
Item 53	Pants from Kaylee J. Goncalves (MPD #22M-2019)
Item 54	Right hand bag from Kaylee J. Goncalves (MPD #22M-2021)
Item 55	Sweatshirt from Xana A. Kernodle (MPD #22M-1912)
Item 56	Pants from Madison M. Mogen (MPD #22M-1953)
Item 57	Filter from Dryer I (MPD #22M-2025)
Item 58	Catch paper from Dryer I (MPD #22M-2025)
Item 59	Security tag from Dryer I (MPD #22M-2025)
Item 60	Catch paper from drying cabinet (MPD #22M-2037)
Item 61	Vacuum container from 1630 Northeast Valley Road, Apartment G201 (MPD #23M-0029)

The results of the trace evidence examinations (hair and fiber) are included in this report.

Methods:

Microscopic examination of hairs is accomplished by using stereomicroscopy and comparison microscopy. The presence or absence, appearance, arrangement and distribution of the characteristics within the cuticle, cortex, and medulla of the hairs are examined and may be compared during a hair examination.

Page 3 of 6

2023-00090-4

Microscopic examination of fibers is accomplished by using one or more analytical techniques including stereomicroscopy, comparison microscopy, polarized light microscopy, fluorescence microscopy, and instrumentally using microspectrophotometry and Fourier transform-infrared spectroscopy. The microscopic characteristics and optical properties determined by these techniques are used for the examination and comparison of fibers.

Results of Examination:

Items submitted from Ethan Chapin (Items 3, 12, 13, 31 through 33, and 52); Kaylee Goncalves (Items 14, 34 through 36, 53 and 54); Xana Kernodle (Items 8, 9, 37 through 40, and 55); Madison Mogen (Items 15 through 19, 41 through 43, and 56); and from 1122 King Road (Items 20 through 25, 47 through 51, and 57 through 60) were examined for hairs microscopically consistent with hairs in the head hair sample from Bryan Christopher Kohberger (Item 45); however, none were found.

Items submitted from 1630 Northeast Valley Road, Apartment G201 (Items 26 through 30, and 61) were examined for hairs microscopically consistent with hairs in the head hair samples from Ethan Chapin (Item 2), Kaylee Goncalves (Item 5), Xana Kernodle (Item 7), and Madison Mogen (Item 11); however, none were found.

Animal hairs consistent with dog hairs found in Item 61 are microscopically dissimilar to hairs in the dog hair sample from Murphy (Item 44). Accordingly, Murphy cannot be included as a possible source of these hairs.

An animal hair consistent with cat hair found in Item 26 is of limited value for meaningful microscopic comparison purposes and has been preserved for possible future comparisons.

Additional debris collected from Items 3, 12, 13, 15 through 20, 30 through 43, and 47 through 61 has been preserved for possible future comparisons.

The specimens were examined using stereomicroscopy and comparison microscopy, where appropriate.

Interpretation:

Hairs may be characterized and classified according to their morphology. The first step is determining whether the hair is of human or animal (non-human) origin. Animal hairs may be further classified as to the type of hair (e.g., fur, guard) and the type of animal (e.g., dog, cat). Human hairs may be further examined for characteristics of ancestry and somatic origin (body area). Human hairs can exhibit characteristics of European Ancestry (previously Caucasian), African Ancestry (previously Negroid), or Asian/Native American Ancestry (previously Mongoloid). Human hairs may also exhibit characteristics of more than one ancestral group. Ancestral group classifications are based on macroscopic and microscopic characteristics which

Page 4 of 6

2023-00090-4

are typically observed in hairs from individuals of different ancestral groups. It should be noted, there is the potential for a hair to be classified into an ancestral group which may not correspond with an individual's outward physical appearance and/or how an individual identifies their own race or ethnic group. Somatic origin classifications are based on the macroscopic and microscopic characteristics which are typically observed in hairs from different areas of the body.

The characteristics exhibited in the hair(s) are used as the comparison criteria. When the presence or absence, appearance, and distribution of characteristics exhibited in a recovered hair(s) are represented in the known sample, the source of the known sample can be included as a possible source of the recovered hair(s). Microscopic hair comparisons are meaningful due to the variation in macroscopic and microscopic characteristics between individuals. However, the comparison of hair characteristics does not constitute a basis for personal identification and the number of individuals who could be included as a possible source of a specific hair is unknown.

Fibers can differ as to type (e.g., rayon, cotton), color, shape, size, microscopic features (e.g., delustrant, voids) and optical properties (e.g., refractive index, sign of elongation). These are characteristics that may associate fibers with a group of items, but never to a single item to the exclusion of all others. However, even fibers with many similar properties may be excluded as originating from the same source by using the identified analytical methods.

The characteristics and optical properties of the fiber(s) are used as comparison criteria. When the characteristics and optical properties of a recovered fiber(s) are the same as a known sample, the recovered fibers are consistent with originating from the source of the known sample, or from another item comprised of fibers that exhibit the same microscopic characteristics and optical properties. A fiber association is not a means of positive identification and the number of possible sources for a specific fiber is unknown. However, due to the variability in manufacturing, dyeing, and consumer use, one would not expect to encounter a fiber selected at random to be consistent with a particular item.

The inability to associate persons/items through a microscopic hair/fiber examination does not necessarily mean the persons/items of interest had no contact. A number of factors can produce this result, including: 1) Hair/fiber evidence may not have transferred. 2) Hairs/fibers that did transfer may have been lost prior to submission to the laboratory. 3) The hairs/fibers transferred or the known sample submitted may not be representative of the source. 4) The hairs/fibers may be from a different source.

Remarks:

For questions about the content of this report, please contact Forensic Examiner Karen K. Lowe at or kklowe@fbi.gov.

For questions about the status of your submission, including any remaining forensic examinations, please contact Brittany A. Swisher at

Page 5 of 6

2023-00090-4

The evidence, which includes secondary evidence, will be returned under separate cover.

This report conforms to the "Department of Justice Uniform Language for Testimony and Reports for the Forensic Hair Discipline" and the "Department of Justice Uniform Language for Testimony and Reports for Forensic Textile Fiber Discipline".

This report contains the opinions and interpretations of the issuing examiner(s) and is supported by records retained in the FBI Laboratory files. Please allow a minimum of thirty days from the date of a discovery request for the FBI Laboratory to provide the related materials. The FBI cannot ensure timely delivery of discovery requests received in less time.

The work described in this report was conducted at the Quantico Laboratory.

Karen K. Lowe Trace Evidence Unit

Page 6 of 6

2023-00090-4



FBI Laboratory

2501 Investigation Parkway Quantico, Virginia 22135

4940/4946 Fowler Road Huntsville, Alabama 35898

LABORATORY REPORT

To: TRAVIS SHIRLEY Date: February 28, 2023

RELIEF SUPERVISOR

Salt Lake City Case ID No.: SU-3683464

Lab No.: 2023-00090-12

Communication(s): January 4, 2023; February 8, 2023

Agency Reference(s): 22-M09903

Subject(s): Bryan Christopher Kohberger

Victim(s): Ethan Chapin; Madison Mogen; Xana Kernodle; Kaylee Goncalves

Discipline(s): Trace - Hairs/Fibers

This document may contain privileged and/or personally identifiable information, including information related to juveniles and other protected individuals. This document must be afforded the protection required by applicable law, regulation, and policy. If you are not the intended recipient of this document, please destroy it promptly without further retention or dissemination, unless otherwise required by law.

FBI Laboratory Evidence Designator(s):

Item 2 Head hair sample from Ethan J. Chapin (MPD #22M-1880)

Item 5 Head hair sample from Kaylee J. Goncalves (MPD #22M-2012)

Item 7 Head hair sample from Xana A. Kernodle (MPD #22M-1938)

Item 11 Head hair sample from Madison M. Mogen (MPD #22M-1967)

Item 44 Dog hair sample from Murphy (MPD #23M-0079)

Item 65 Head rest from seat in Compartment A of 2015 Hyundai Elantra (MPD #23M-

0250)

Item 66 Debris from behind fall down arm rest in Compartment C of 2015 Hyundai

Elantra (MPD #23M-0253)

Item 67 Vacuum sweepings from floor in Compartment A of 2015 Hyundai Elantra

1 - Jeffory Talbott Idaho State Police

Page 1 of 5

UNCLASSIFIED

D-1063 CR01-24-31665

(MDD #22M 0257)

	(MPD #23M-0257)
Item 68	Vacuum sweepings from seat in Compartment A of 2015 Hyundai Elantra (MPD #23M-0257)
Item 69	Vacuum sweepings from seat in Compartment B of 2015 Hyundai Elantra (MPD #23M-0257)
Item 70	Vacuum sweepings from floor in Compartment B of 2015 Hyundai Elantra (MPD #23M-0257)
Item 71	Vacuum sweepings from seat in Compartment C of 2015 Hyundai Elantra (MPD #23M-0257)
Item 72	Vacuum sweepings from floor in Compartment C of 2015 Hyundai Elantra (MPD #23M-0257)
Item 73	Vacuum sweepings from seat in Compartment D of 2015 Hyundai Elantra (MPD #23M-0257)
Item 74	Vacuum sweepings from floor in Compartment D of 2015 Hyundai Elantra (MPD #23M-0257)
Item 75	Vacuum sweepings from Compartment E of 2015 Hyundai Elantra (MPD #23M-0257)
Item 76	Pants from laundry basket on TV stand in Room K at 119 Lamsden Drive (MPD #23M-0334)
Item 77	Shirt from laundry basket on TV stand in Room K at 119 Lamsden Drive (MPD #23M-0334)
Item 78	Shirt from laundry basket on TV stand in Room K at 119 Lamsden Drive (MPD #23M-0334)
Item 79	Sweatshirt from laundry basket on TV stand in Room K at 119 Lamsden Drive (MPD #23M-0334)
Item 80	Jacket from laundry basket on TV stand in Room K at 119 Lamsden Drive (MPD #23M-0334)

The results of the trace evidence examinations (hair and fiber) are included in this report.

Methods:

Microscopic examination of hairs is accomplished by using stereomicroscopy and comparison microscopy. The presence or absence, appearance, arrangement and distribution of the characteristics within the cuticle, cortex, and medulla of the hairs are examined and may be compared during a hair examination.

Page 2 of 5

2023-00090-12

Microscopic examination of fibers is accomplished by using one or more analytical techniques including stereomicroscopy, comparison microscopy, polarized light microscopy, fluorescence microscopy, and instrumentally using microspectrophotometry and Fourier transform-infrared spectroscopy. The microscopic characteristics and optical properties determined by these techniques are used for the examination and comparison of fibers.

Results of Examination:

Items submitted from the 2015 Hyundai Elantra (Items 65 through 75) and from 119 Lamsden Drive (Items 76 through 80) were examined for hairs microscopically consistent with hairs in the head hair samples from Ethan Chapin (Item 2), Kaylee Goncalves (Item 5), Xena Kernodle (Item 7), and Madison Mogen (Item 11); however, none were found.

Animal hairs consistent with dog hairs found in or on Items 67, 70, 71, 74, and 76 through 80 are microscopically dissimilar to hairs in the dog hair sample from Murphy (Item 44). Accordingly, based on the Item 44 hair sample, Murphy cannot be included as a possible source of these hairs.

Additional debris from Items 65, 67 through 80 has been preserved for possible future comparisons.

The specimens were examined using stereomicroscopy and comparison microscopy, where appropriate.

Interpretation:

Hairs may be characterized and classified according to their morphology. The first step is determining whether the hair is of human or animal (non-human) origin. Animal hairs may be further classified as to the type of hair (e.g., fur, guard) and the type of animal (e.g., dog, cat). Human hairs may be further examined for characteristics of ancestry and somatic origin (body area). Human hairs can exhibit characteristics of European Ancestry (previously Caucasian), African Ancestry (previously Negroid), or Asian/Native American Ancestry (previously Mongoloid). Human hairs may also exhibit characteristics of more than one ancestral group. Ancestral group classifications are based on macroscopic and microscopic characteristics which are typically observed in hairs from individuals of different ancestral groups. It should be noted, there is the potential for a hair to be classified into an ancestral group which may not correspond with an individual's outward physical appearance and/or how an individual identifies their own race or ethnic group. Somatic origin classifications are based on the macroscopic and microscopic characteristics which are typically observed in hairs from different areas of the body.

The characteristics exhibited in the hair(s) are used as the comparison criteria. When the presence or absence, appearance, and distribution of characteristics exhibited in a recovered hair(s) are represented in the known sample, the source of the known sample can be included as a possible source of the recovered hair(s). Microscopic hair comparisons are meaningful due to the

Page 3 of 5

2023-00090-12

variation in macroscopic and microscopic characteristics between individuals. However, the comparison of hair characteristics does not constitute a basis for personal identification and the number of individuals who could be included as a possible source of a specific hair is unknown.

Fibers can differ as to type (e.g., rayon, cotton), color, shape, size, microscopic features (e.g., delustrant, voids) and optical properties (e.g., refractive index, sign of elongation). These are characteristics that may associate fibers with a group of items, but never to a single item to the exclusion of all others. However, even fibers with many similar properties may be excluded as originating from the same source by using the identified analytical methods.

The characteristics and optical properties of the fiber(s) are used as comparison criteria. When the characteristics and optical properties of a recovered fiber(s) are the same as a known sample, the recovered fibers are consistent with originating from the source of the known sample, or from another item comprised of fibers that exhibit the same microscopic characteristics and optical properties. A fiber association is not a means of positive identification and the number of possible sources for a specific fiber is unknown. However, due to the variability in manufacturing, dyeing, and consumer use, one would not expect to encounter a fiber selected at random to be consistent with a particular item.

The inability to associate persons/items through a microscopic hair/fiber examination does not necessarily mean the persons/items of interest had no contact. A number of factors can produce this result, including: 1) Hair/fiber evidence may not have transferred. 2) Hairs/fibers that did transfer may have been lost prior to submission to the laboratory. 3) The hairs/fibers transferred or the known sample submitted may not be representative of the source. 4) The hairs/fibers may be from a different source.

Remarks:

For questions about the content of this report, please contact Forensic Examiner Karen K. Lowe at or kklowe@fbi.gov.

For questions about the status of your submission, including any remaining forensic examinations, please contact Brittany A. Swisher at

The evidence, which includes secondary evidence, will be returned under separate cover.

This report conforms to the "Department of Justice Uniform Language for Testimony and Reports for the Forensic Hair Discipline" and the "Department of Justice Uniform Language for Testimony and Reports for Forensic Textile Fiber Discipline".

This report contains the opinions and interpretations of the issuing examiner(s) and is supported by records retained in the FBI Laboratory files. Please allow a minimum of thirty days from the date of a discovery request for the FBI Laboratory to provide the related materials. The FBI cannot ensure timely delivery of discovery requests received in less time.

Page 4 of 5

2023-00090-12

The work described in this report was conducted at the Quantico Laboratory.

Karen K. Lowe Trace Evidence Unit



Page 5 of 5

2023-00090-12