#### The Honorable Pamela J. Bondi

Attorney General of the United States U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530-0001

Cc: Office of the Attorney General Staff

**Subject:** Request for Department of Justice Oversight and Review in *State of Idaho v. Bryan Kohberger* 

Dear Attorney General Bondi and Esteemed Staff,

I write as a concerned citizen who deeply values the rule of law and our constitutional guarantees. The case of *State of Idaho v. Bryan Kohberger*, stemming from the heartbreaking events in Moscow, Idaho, raises profound questions about whether justice has been administered fairly and without prejudice.

I respectfully urge the Department of Justice to consider reviewing this case to ensure unwavering adherence to constitutional protections. Key concerns include:

# 1. Pretrial Media Bias and Jury Contamination

From the outset, national media coverage appeared to present Mr. Kohberger as guilty, potentially jeopardizing his right to an impartial jury.

### 2. Evidence Integrity

There are unresolved issues regarding chain of custody, DNA handling, and log inconsistencies involving digital evidence and documentation—concerns that could undermine the case's foundation.

#### 3. Witness Reliability

Evolving and inconsistent statements from key witnesses, such as surviving roommates, invite serious questions about the reliability and weight of their testimony.

#### 4. Autism and Behavioral Bias

Reports suggest Mr. Kohberger may be on the autism spectrum. Neurodivergent traits—such as avoidance of eye contact, atypical affect, or communication differences—can be misinterpreted as evasiveness or guilt. The justice system must ensure such behaviors are not unfairly construed.

## 5. Judicial Tampering and External Pressures

Given the intense media scrutiny and public reaction, there are concerns that certain judicial decisions—on matters such as gag orders, evidence admission, or scheduling—may have been influenced by pressures outside the courtroom, which threatens judicial impartiality.

### 6. Broader Civil Liberties Implications

This case surfaces systemic issues: reliance on circumstantial evidence, potential overreach in surveillance methods, and prosecutorial conduct under media-fueled expectations. These matters extend beyond one defendant and touch on foundational civil liberties.

Given the gravity of these concerns, even an independent review or federal oversight in the form of monitoring would serve to reassure the public—and the victims' families—that justice is being pursued with integrity, transparency, and fairness.

The victims and their loved ones deserve truth and accountability; the accused deserves a process free from bias and undue pressure; and our nation deserves confidence in a system grounded in justice.

Thank you for your consideration and your leadership in upholding the Constitution and the pursuit of truth.

Respectfully,
[Your Full Name]