Reversal of diabetic peripheral neuropathy with phototherapy (MIRE[™]) decreases falls and the fear of falling and improves activities of daily living in seniors

Mark W. Powell¹, Dale H. Carnegie², Thomas J. Burke³

¹Northwest Orthopedic Center, Springdale, AR 72764, USA
²Department of Orthopedics, Denver Health Medical Center, Denver, CO 80204, USA
³Anodyne Therapy LLC, Research and Clinical Affairs, Tampa, FL 33626, USA

Address correspondence to: T. J. Burke. Email: tburke I@qwest.net

Abstract

Objective: to determine whether restoration of sensation, impaired due to diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN), would reduce the number of falls and the fear of falling and improve activities of daily living (ADL) in a Medicare-aged population. **Design:** retrospective cohort study of patients with documented, monochromatic near-infrared phototherapy (MIRE[™])-mediated, symptomatic reversal of DPN.

Setting: responses to a health status questionnaire following symptomatic reversal of DPN.

Patients: 252 patients (mean age 76 years) provided health information following symptomatic reversal of diabetic neuropathy (mean duration 8.6 months).

Main results: incidence of falls and fear of falling decreased within 1 month after reversal of peripheral neuropathy and remained low after 1 year. Likewise, improved ADL were evident soon after reversal of peripheral neuropathy and showed further improvement after 1 year. Overall, reversal of peripheral neuropathy in a clinician's office and subsequent use of MIRETM at home was associated with a 78% reduction in falls, a 79% decrease in balance-related fear of falling and a 72% increase in ADL (P<0.0002 for all results).

Conclusions: reversal of peripheral neuropathy is associated with an immediate reduction in the absolute number of falls, a reduced fear of falling and improved ADL. These results suggest that symptomatic reversal of diabetic neuropathy will have a substantial favourable, long-term socioeconomic impact on patients with DPN and the Medicare system, and improve the quality of life for elderly patients with diabetes and peripheral neuropathy.

Keywords: diabetic peripheral neuropathy, MIRE™, falls, fear of falling, activities of daily living, Anodyne® therapy system, elderly

Introduction

Diabetes affects more than 15% of the US population over 65 years of age [1]. The direct cost of diabetes exceeds \$91 billion, with more than 51% of this being spent on those over 65 years of age [2]. One complication of diabetes is diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN), characterised by diminished sensation, with or without pain, in the lower extremities. DPN affects 30–100% of all long-term diabetic patients depending upon the clinical assessment(s) used to document DPN. DPN is acknowledged as a very significant risk factor for development of diabetic foot ulcers [3] and a major reason for the poor healing rates of these ulcers [4]. Additionally, DPN contributes to gait and balance problems, falls and the fear of falling [5–7]. More specifically, DPN is accompanied by postural instability, loss of available ankle strength, diminished proprioceptive thresholds both in foot and ankle inversion/eversion and in plantar flexion/ dorsiflexion [8]. These complications result in a significant risk factor for falls in patients with DPN compared to diabetics who do not yet have DPN [9].

The risk of falls invariably increases with age and comorbidities [10, 11]. More than 30% of people over 65 years of age will fall one or more times per year [12] and the economic cost of falls exceeded \$20 billion in 1994 [13]. Falls are the fourth leading cause of death in men between 65 and 85 years of age and the leading cause of death among both men and women over 85 [14]. Those with DPN have more impairments in balance [5, 7, 15, 16], an increased risk for falls [5], a higher absolute incidence of falls possibly exceeding 50% [5] and falls that result in injury than the elderly population in general [10]. The increased fear of falling in those with DPN decreases activities of daily living (ADL) and increases the risk for subsequent falls in people over 65 years of age [17, 18].

While reversal of DPN would theoretically reduce the number of falls and/or fear of falling, and improve ADL, currently there are no pharmacologic treatments for DPN and the progressive health risks it presents that would allow testing of this hypothesis. Certain selected patients with DPN have responded well to a surgical procedure that releases the compression of nerves in the feet, but not all patients are candidates for surgery [19]. Several recent studies [20-26] have reported at least temporary symptomatic reversal of DPN during treatment with monochromatic near-infrared photoenergy, known as MIRETM, which was delivered non-invasively by the Anodyne® Therapy System (ATS; Anodyne Therapy LLC, Tampa, FL 33626, USA), an FDA cleared medical device [27]. To date no studies have examined whether these effects are sustained after treatment with MIRETM in a clinic is stopped but is continued over time at home. The present study assessed the actual number of falls, the fear of falling, and ADL in 252 patients from 1 to 15 months after they had stopped receiving MIRETM treatments in a clinic where improved sensation had been documented.

Methods

We administered a health status questionnaire to certain patients with DPN identified from the insurance billing records of two durable medical equipment (DME) suppliers, who had provided to patients an ATS, an item of DME delivering MIRETM, for use at home. The DME providers examined their database for all insurance claims filed under HCPCS code E0221 with dates of service between January 2002 and 31 March 2003 and extracted all claims in which the prescribing diagnosis was diabetic neuropathy using ICD-9 codes 250.61 or 250.62 as indicative of either type 1 or type 2 diabetes, and ICD-9 code 357.2 as indicative of peripheral neuropathy. Next, the medical records, including written physician orders and treatment notes, for each patient were reviewed to confirm the initial diagnosis of DPN with loss of protective sensation (LOPS) and the subsequent improvement in sensation after treatment with MIRETM. The diagnosis was based on a history and physical documentation by the attending physicians. While many tests were described in the underlying clinical records, the presence of sensory impairment prior to the treatment and improvement after treatment were documented using the SWM 5.07 monofilament test. The SWM is recommended by the National Institutes of Health in Feet Can Last a Lifetime [28] and is the test of choice to determine LOPS by Medicare [29]. If a patient cannot feel the monofilament on two of five tested sites on either foot using a forced-choice test, they are considered by Medicare to have DPN.

Improvement in DPN symptoms following treatment with MIRE[™] by physicians formed the basis for acquiring an ATS for use at home. This medical record review also indicated that neuropathic pain had decreased in many of these patients after instituting MIRETM treatments. Lastly, claims for all patients younger than 64 years were excluded to permit analysis of only the Medicare-aged population.

Using these selection criteria, the medical records demonstrated clinical documentation for reversal of DPN after use of MIRETM in 369 patients. The patients were contacted by the DME suppliers to ascertain if they would be willing to participate in a telephone questionnaire. The questionnaire elicited information regarding fall history, fear of falling and ADL, prior to and after reversal of DPN and LOPS.

The following questions with respect to the period prior to receiving successful treatment with the ATS (reversal of DPN and LOPS) were:

- (i) Did you feel off balance to the extent that you feared falling when you walked?
- (ii) How many times did you fall during the 12 months prior to the time you started using Anodyne®? (None, 1 time or 2 or more times).

Questions related to the period after reversal of DPN and LOPS were:

- (iii) Do you feel that your balance has improved and that you now have less fear of falling when you walk?
- (iv) How many times did you fall since the time you started using Anodyne®? (None, 1 time or 2 or more times).
- (v) Compared to what you were able to do most days before using Anodyne®, how would you compare what you are now able to do most days? (A lot less, A little less, About the same, A little more, A lot more).

Evidence of balance impairment and fear of falling associated with DPN was determined based on the patient's response to question (i) and improvement after reversal of DPN was determined by response to question (iii). Falls associated with DPN were determined by the answer to question (ii) and a change in fall incidence after reversal of DPN was determined based on the answer to question (iv). Changes in ADL were determined based on the response to question (v). Lastly, the health status questionnaire included a comment section where the patients could relate, at their discretion, any additional information.

Eight interviewers attempted contact with the 369 successfully treated patients. Contact was attempted at least three times to maximise the number of responses. A total of 252 out of 369 (68%) community-dwelling patients completed the questionnaire, providing us with data covering a period of ATS usage ranging from 1 to 15 months (average 9 months) after reversal of DPN. For purpose of analysis, we then stratified these patients into five groups: those who had used ATS for (i) 1–3 months, (ii) 3–6 months, (iii) 6–9 months, (iv) 9–12 months and (v) 12 or more months after reversal of DPN.

Statistics

Data were analysed by paired two-tailed *t*-test with a null hypothesis that reversal of DPN would have no effect on (i) the number of falls, (ii) balance improvement and fear of falling or (iii) ADL irrespective of the numbers of months of ATS use. The two-tailed *t*-test was employed because we made no assumption (a priori) as to the direction changes would occur, if at all. Significance was accepted when P < 0.05. The statistical package StatViewTM, from Abacus

Concepts, Inc., Berkley, CA, was used. Values are expressed as mean (SD).

Results

The mean age of the 252 patients (138 males) was 75.4 (6.6) years (range 64–101). Sixty-three patients were 80 years or older and 41 were between 64 and 69. Utilisation of the ATS at home by these community dwellers averaged 8.6 (4.2) months (range 1–15 months). Table 1 describes demographics for the 252 diabetic patients and Table 2 describes the outcomes in each of five groups (1–3 months, 3–6 months, 6–9 months, 9–12 months and 12+ months of ATS use). The following results were obtained.

Number of falls

During the year prior to clinical reversal of DPN, 73 of the patients (29%) had fallen and 53 of these (73%) experienced two or more falls. In the period after reversal of DPN, 57/73 (78%) patients reported a decrease in the number of falls (P<0.0001), either from one to none or from two or more to one or no falls. As anticipated, patients in the 1- to 3-month group showed the highest reduction in falls (100%); however those in the 12-month or longer group showed the second highest reduction in the number of falls (83%, Table 2). All groups reported a reduction in fall incidence. During the approximately 9 months after reversal of DPN, only 33/252 patients (13%) experienced a fall compared to 73/252 (29%) who had fallen during the year prior to reversal of DPN. This represents a 55% reduction in the number of patients reporting a fall after reversal of DPN (P<0.0001).

Fear of falling

Prior to reversal of DPN, 166 out of 252 patients (66%) reported being off balance to the extent that they feared falling when they walked. After reversal of DPN, 35 of these patients continued to report fear of falling when they walked whereas 131 of these patients (79%, *P*<0.0001)

Table	١.	Patient	demogra	phics
		1 actent	aemogra	pinco

reported substantial improvement in balance and a reduced fear of falling. Patients in all groups reported a reduced fear of falling ranging from a high of 92% in the 1- to 3-month group to a low of 65% in the 12-month and greater group (P<0.0001 in all groups, Table 2).

Activities of daily living

After reversal of DPN, 182 out of 252 patients (72%, P<0.0001) reported they were able to at least 'do a little more most days' than they were when they suffered from DPN and 80 of these (44%, P<0.0001) reported being able to 'do a lot more most days' compared with when they suffered from DPN. Improvement in daily living was reported by patients in all groups with a high of 77% in the 6- to 9-month group and a low of 67% in the 3- to 6-month group (P<0.0001 for all groups). The percentage of patients able to do a lot more after reversal of DPN was highest in the 6- to 9-month group (59%) and was lowest in the 12-month and longer group (31%, P<0.0001 for all groups, Table 2).

Neuropathic pain

The medical records indicated that 220 out of 252 patients (87%, P<0.0001) obtained substantial reduction in neuropathic pain in addition to improved foot sensation after reversal of DPN. Reduction in pain was reported in all groups with a high of 95% in the 1- to 3-month group and a low of 76% in the 3- to 6-month group (P<0.0001 for all groups, Table 2).

Discussion

Prior studies have shown DPN to be a major contributory factor to balance impairment and falls in diabetic patients [10]. Our analysis confirmed the existence of both balance impairment and fall history in the diabetic patients we surveyed. Importantly, the present data demonstrate that reversal of DPN, at least with MIRETM, may substantially

Patients contacted by phone (<i>n</i>)	369	
Patients responding to questionnaire ^a (<i>n</i>)	252	
Percentage of patients responding	68	
Males	138	
Females	114	
Age (years) ^b	75.4 (6.6)	
Average months with reversal of DPN	8.6 (4.2)	
Patients who fell before reversal of DPN	73	
Patients with two or more falls	53/73	< 0.0001
Patients with fewer falls after MIRE TM	57/73	< 0.0001
Total falls after MIRE™	13/252	< 0.0001
Feared falling before reversal of DPN	166	
Feared falling after reversal of DPN	35	< 0.0001
No longer feared falling after MIRE™	131	< 0.0001
ADL after reversal of DPN		
Able to do a little more	182/252	< 0.0001
Able to do a lot more	80/182	< 0.0001
Pain reduced after reversal of DPN	220/252	< 0.0001

n, number of patients; DPN, diabetic peripheral neuropathy; MIRETM, monochromatic near-infrared photoenergy; ADL, activities of daily living. ^aPatients over 64 years and had used ATS for at least 1 month; ^bMeans (SD).

M. W. Powell et al.

	Months of home access to MIRE™ after reversal of DPN						
	1–3	3–6	6–9	9–12	12+		
Number of patients	36	33	22	94	67		
Months after MIRETM	1.2 (0.14)	4.0 (0.8)	6.7 (0.9)	10.1 (0.7)	13.3 (1.0)		
Age	75 [8]	74 [7]	76 [6]	76 [7]	76 [6]		
Male/female	23/14	20/13	9/13	44/50	40/27		
Number who fell (B)	12	9	8	20	24		
Two or more falls (B)	7/12	6/9	6/8	18/20	16/24		
Number who fell (A)	2*	4**	7	9*	11*		
Decrease in falls (%)	83	60	13	50	54		
Reduced falls (%)	100	78	50	70	83		
Fear (B)	26/36	21/33	16/22	57/94	46/67		
Fear (A)	2/36*	6/33	4/22	7/57	16/67		
Reduced fear (%)	92	70	75	88	65		
ADL increase	25/36*	22/33*	17/22*	69/94*	49/94*		
ADL % (A)	69	67	77	73	73		
Some increase (ADL)	16/25	14/22	7/17	31/69	34/49		
Increase in ADL (%)	64	64	41	45	69		
A lot more (ADL)	9/25	8/22	10/17	38/69	15/49		
Increase in ADL (%)	36	36	59	55	31		
Pain reduction (%)	94*	80*	91*	85*	91*		

Table 2. Outcomes in five groups of diabetic patients after MIRETM

DPN, diabetic peripheral neuropathy; B, before MIRETM; A, after MIRETM; Fear, fear of falling and/or balance problems; ADL increase, those with increased activities of daily living (ADL) after MIRETM; Some increase, able to do somewhat more after reversal of DPN; A lot more, able to do much more after reversal of DPN; Pain reduction, percentage of patients who reported a reduced pain level (visual analogue scale) after reversal of DPN. *P<0.0001; **P<0.01.

(i) reduce the incidence of falls, (ii) reduce fear of falling and (iii) improve ADL in diabetic patients over 64 years.

A recent report [30] noted that the incidence of at least one fall in patients with DPN was 29%, the incidence of multiple falls was 21%, and most patients with DPN (66%) feared falling. The present data indicate that reversal of DPN is associated with dramatic reductions in both the frequency of reported falls (78%) and in the fear of falling (79%). Additionally, significant reductions in the frequency of reported falls and the fear of falling were apparent when patients had access to the ATS at home for 1-3 months after reversal of DPN; these reductions in falls remained evident up to 15 months after reversal of DPN. Although we know of no studies that report a reduction in falls, specifically in patients with DPN, it has been reported that a combination of group exercise, visual improvement strategies and home hazard reduction results in an estimated 14% reduction the annual fall rate [31]. Clearly, the 78% reduction in the number of falls in diabetic patients using the ATS, as documented in the present study, confirms earlier observations [23] and suggests the ATS may be an additional therapeutic intervention that may be of significant benefit in preventing falls among patients with DPN.

The prevalence of peripheral neuropathy of any aetiology in those aged 60–74 years (a somewhat younger cadre of seniors than those in the present study) has been estimated at 22% and it was suggested that this was likely to increase with age [5]. Moreover, the incidence of falls in this younger group was reported to be 50% [5]. Accordingly, the present data indicate that it may be possible to reduce this incidence of falls to approximately 10% in Medicare-aged seniors with peripheral neuropathy due to causes other than diabetes. Richardson *et al.* [16] have reported that while exercise may reduce certain risk factors associated with falls in those with peripheral neuropathy, exercise itself has no significant effect in reducing the fear of falling. The data presented in this study suggest that restoring sensation may significantly reduce the fear of falling because 79% of the patients reported a diminished fear of falling. Because the fear of falling is an independent risk factor for a subsequent fall(s) within 20 months after a first fall [17, 18], our data suggest that it may be possible to reduce this risk factor.

The reduction in the fear of falling, and the decrease in neuropathic pain reported by 87% of all respondents, may have contributed to the 72% increase in activity level. One hundred and eighty-two patients reported an increased activity level and 80 (44%) reported being able to do much more on a daily basis after reversal of DPN. Increased activity among seniors, with or without diabetes, provides a wide range of net health benefits, economically, emotionally and physically. The present results suggest that reversing DPN and concomitantly reducing its associated pain may be expected to increase daily activity levels in those over 65 years of age, including those over 80 years of age, who showed similar improvements as the study group as a whole.

We acknowledge certain limitations in our study. For example, although reversal of DPN and decreases in neuropathic pain were objectively substantiated through analysis of written physician orders and supporting treatment notes, we relied solely on patient response to determine the incidence of falls, fear of falling and changes in ADL after reversal of DPN. Similar methodology is often used in studies related to falls [5, 31]. However, it is possible that

patients' recall may be inaccurate or incomplete, or that unintentional interviewer bias during telephone questioning may have occurred. The data presented in this article are further stratified by the duration between the initial treatment effect and the date the patient responded to the questionnaire. Intuitively, those responses that were offered very soon after the treatment would seem to be most reliable. However, those responses that were offered up to a year after treatment are not necessarily unreliable, particularly in relation to falls, which are major health-threatening events that are more likely to be remembered than more trivial matters. Despite these limitations, the answers of those interviewed 1-3 months after reversal of DPN were quite similar to those interviewed at 12-15 months. Because the data in each subgroup are remarkably similar, it would appear that the results, as a whole, are reliable. We also attempted to minimise interviewer bias by utilising eight separate interviewers in this study.

Certainly, use of randomised control groups is the ideal study design. However, when one is assessing potentially very dangerous health-care events such as falls in the elderly, it is very difficult to justify withholding available treatments or risk reduction strategies. Under these circumstances an observational approach using the patient as their own control seemed an appropriate analysis for these patients, subject of course to the limitations that are inherent in such designs. In so doing, these patients described meaningful patient-centred quality of life changes over time in response to active treatment and although glycaemic control is well known to delay microvascular complications of diabetes it will not reverse them.

Finally, the discretionary comments offered by patients at the conclusion of the interviews substantiated overall improvement in their condition. No multivariate analysis of known co-morbid risks for falls was undertaken and it is possible that some of the reported fall reductions resulted from other variables. However, recognised co-morbidities and medications associated with falls have been found not to be predictive of falls in patients with peripheral neuropathy [5]. We do agree with the widely held belief that the causes of falls are multifactoral and the best approach is a holistic one designed to reduce all applicable risk factors for the patient. Clearly, the literature recognises that peripheral neuropathy is one substantial independent risk factor for falls. In the context of this article, we investigated whether removing this one risk factor in a cohort of community-dwelling patients, who had previously exhibited this risk factor, would decrease the number of falls they reported over time. The data gathered support this salutary effect.

Conclusion

When diabetic patients have continuing access to MIRETM in their homes following restoration of protective sensation, there is a significant reduction in the incidence of falls and fear of falling that is recognised as being closely associated with DPN. The increased activity in elderly diabetic patients may be related to improved balance and reduced pain which, based on these data, can occur with use of MIRE[™] in a clinic. Reversal of DPN may have major socioeconomic benefits including the potential for significant cost savings to the Medicare system and an improved quality of life for diabetic patients.

Key points

- Restoration of sensation in the lower extremity of diabetic patients over the age of 64 reduces their fear of falling and the number of falls generally associated with loss of sensation.
- In addition, pain is diminished and quality of life is markedly improved.

References

- 1. Harrington C, Zagari MJ, Corea J *et al.* A cost analysis of diabetic lower-extremity ulcers. Diabetes Care 2000; 23: 1333–8.
- 2. Hogan P, Dall T, Nikolov P. Economic costs of diabetes in the US in 2002. Diabetes Care 2003; 26: 917–32.
- Gibbons GW, Marcaccio EJ, Habershaw GM. Management of the diabetic foot. In Callow AD, Ernst CBN, eds. Vascular Surgery: Theory and Practice. Stamford, CT: Appleton and Lange, 1995, pp. 167–78.
- 4. Pham T, Rich J, Veves A. Wound healing in diabetic foot ulceration: a review and commentary. Wounds 2002; 12: 79–81.
- Richardson JK. Factors associated with falls in older patients with diffuse polyneuroapthy. J Am Geriatr Soc 2002; 50: 1767–73.
- Conner-Kerr T, Templeton M. Chronic fall risk among aged individuals with type 2 diabetes. Ostomy Wound Manage 2002; 48: 28–36.
- Richardson JK, Hurvitz EA. Peripheral neuropathy: a true risk factor for falls. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 1995; 50: M211–5.
- Simoneau GC, Ulbrecht JS, Derr JA *et al.* Postural instability in patients with diabetic sensory neuropathy. Diabetes Care 1994; 17: 1411–21.
- **9.** Cavanagh PR, Derr JA, Ulbrecht JS *et al.* Problems with gait and posture in diabetic patients with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Diabet Med 1992; 9: 469–74.
- **10.** Bishop CE, Gilden D, Blom J *et al.* Medicare spending for injured elders: are there opportunities for savings? Health Aff 2002; 21: 215–23.
- Wallace C, Reiber GE, LeMaster J *et al.* Incidence of falls, risk factors for falls, and fall-related fractures in individuals with diabetes and a prior foot ulcer. Diabetes Care 2002; 25: 1983–6.
- **12.** Hausdorff JM, Rios DA, Edelberg HK. Gait variability and fall risk in community-living older adults: a 1-year prospective study. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2001; 82: 1050–6.
- **13.** Englander F, Hodson TJ, Terregrossa RA. Economic dimensions of slip and fall injuries. J Forensic Sci 1996; 41: 733–46.
- Long L. Fall prevention and intervention in home care. Caring 2003; 22: 8–10.
- **15.** Richardson JK, Ashton-Miller JA, Lee SG *et al.* Moderate peripheral neuropathy impairs weight transfer and unipedal balance in the elderly. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1996; 77: 1152–6.
- Richardson JK, Sandman D, Vela S. A focused exercise regimen improves clinical measures of balance in patients with peripheral neuropathy. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2001; 8: 205–9.
- **17.** Friedman SM, Munoz B, West SK *et al.* Falls and fear of falling: which comes first? A longitudinal prediction model suggests

M. W. Powell et al.

strategies for primary and secondary prevention. J Am Geriatr Soc 2002; 50: 1329–35.

- **18.** Suzuki M, Ohyama N, Yamada K *et al.* The relationship between fear of falling, activities of daily living and quality of life among elderly individuals. Nurs Health Sci 2002; 4: 155–61.
- **19.** Dellon AL. Diabetic neuropathy: review of a surgical approach to restore sensation, relieve pain, and prevent ulceration and amputation. Foot Ankle Int 2004; 25: 749–55.
- Kochman AB, Carnegie DH, Burke TJ. Symptomatic reversal of peripheral neuropathy in patients with diabetes. J Am Podiatr Med Assoc 2002; 92: 125–30.
- **21.** Leonard DR, Farooqi MH, Myers S. Restoration of sensation, reduced pain, and improved balance in subjects with diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Diabetes Care 2004; 27: 168–72.
- **22.** Prendergast JJ, Miranda G, Sanchez M. Reduced sensory impairment in patients with peripheral neuropathy. Endocr Pract 2004; 10: 24–30.
- **23.** Kochman AB. Monochromatic infrared photo energy and physical therapy for peripheral neuropathy: influence on sensation, balance and falls. J Geriatr Phys Ther 2004; 27: 16–19.
- **24.** Powell M, Carnegie D, Burke T. Reversal of diabetic peripheral neuropathy and new wound incidence: the role of MIRE. Adv Skin Wound Care 2004; 17: 295–300.

- **25.** DeLellis S, Carnegie DH, Burke TJ. Improved sensitivity in patients with peripheral neuropathy after treatment with monochromatic infrared energy. J Am Podiatr Med Assoc 2005; 95: 143–7.
- **26.** Harkless LB, DeLellis S, Carnegie DH, Burke TJ. Improved foot sensitivity and pain reduction in patients with peripheral neuropathy after treatment with monochromatic infrared photo energy—MIRE. J Diab Complic; 20: in press.
- 27. Burke TJ. 5 Questions- and answers-about MIRE treatment. Adv Skin Wound Care 2003; 16: 369–71.
- **28.** National Diabetes Education Program. Feet Can Last a Lifetime: http://www.ndep.nih.gov/diabetes/pubs/Feet_HCGuide.pdf/. Accessed on March 28, 2005.
- **29.** Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Decision Memorandum CAG-00059, October 2001.
- **30.** Wallace C, Reiber GE, LeMaster J *et al.* Incidence of falls, risk factors for falls, and fall-related fractures in individuals with diabetes and a prior foot ulcer. Diabetes Care 2002; 25: 1983–6.
- Day L, Fildes B, Gordon I *et al.* Randomized factorial trial of falls prevention among older people living in their homes. BMJ 2002; 325: 128–33.

Received 6 June 2005; accepted 20 September 2005