# Formal Experiment Protocol: Prime-Indexed Discrete Scale Invariance (P-DSI) and Emergent Agency

**Version:** 1.1 **Date:** 2025-10-17

**Goal:** To test the core hypothesis of Prime Wave Theory (PWT) V15.2: that constraining Neural Network architecture or dynamics by prime-indexed discrete scale invariance (p-DSI) maximizes causal emergence ( $\Phi D\Phi D$ ) and robust agency compared to composite-indexed scaling.

**Execution Mandate:** Execute the full protocol, calculate all metrics, and return raw data tables and comparative analysis.

# 1. Experimental Conditions and Independent Variables ( $\Lambda\Lambda$ )

The core manipulation is the scaling parameter  $\Lambda\Lambda$ , applied across two parallel experiments.

#### **Scaling Conditions**

Condition	Scaling Factors ( $\Lambda\Lambda$ )	Type	Rationale
Prime Condition ( $\Lambda P \Lambda P$ )	{2,3,5,7,11} {2,3,5,7,11}	Prime	Hypothesized maximum agency/stability.
Composite Condition $(\Lambda C \Lambda C)$	{4,6,8,9,10} {4,6,8,9,10}	Composite	Matched for magnitude against $\Lambda P \Lambda P$ .
Null Condition ( $\Lambda N \Lambda N$ )	{1}{1}	Baseline	Control for non-scaled systems.

#### **Experiment 1: Architectural Scaling (Static Constraint)**

- Network: A simple 2-layer Feedforward Network (FFN) with one hidden layer.
- **Independent Variable:** Hidden layer width WW is set using the  $\Lambda\Lambda$  values.
- Control/Normalization (CRITICAL):

Non-scaled inputs/outputs (e.g., 64/10 units) must remain consistent. When scaling WW using  $\Lambda\Lambda$ , total parameter count (FLOPs) must be matched as closely as possible for all  $\Lambda P \Lambda P$  vs.  $\Lambda C \Lambda C$  pairs. If precise matching is impossible, computational load must be documented and controlled for in the final analysis (e.g., run the smaller model for more epochs).

#### **Experiment 2: Training Temporal Scaling (Dynamic Constraint)**

- **Network:** A Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) or LSTM (fixed architecture, e.g., 256 units).
- **Independent Variable:** The period of the Cyclic Learning Rate (CLR) Scheduler PP is set using the  $\Lambda\Lambda$  values (i.e.,  $P=\lambda P=\lambda$  epochs).

- **Mechanism:** The learning rate cycles between  $\beta$ min $\beta$ min and  $\beta$ max $\beta$ max every  $\lambda\lambda$  epochs.
- **Control:** Total number of training steps and maximum learning rate (βmaxβmax) must be identical across all conditions.

### 2. Agent, Task, and Environment Design

#### Task: Abstract Goal Pursuit (Blocksworld-like)

The task requires the agent to build structures, but the reward is complex and abstract, forcing causal emergence.

- **Environment:** A discrete grid environment (e.g., 10×1010×10) where an agent manipulates 5 distinct block types.
- Action Space: Discrete (Move, PickUp, Place).
- Reward Function (Abstract Goal):

The primary reward is **not** for meeting a specific block placement target. Instead, it is calculated based on:

- 1. **Symmetry Score:** A high non-linear bonus for structures exhibiting horizontal or rotational symmetry.
- 2. **Stability Score:** A non-linear penalty for block placements causing instability or requiring future corrective actions.
- 3. **Goal:** The agent must *discover* low-level actions that maximize macro-level rewards (symmetry and stability).

#### **Agent Architecture**

- **Choice:** Recurrent Architecture (e.g., LSTM) or a policy-based RL agent (e.g., PPO or DQN) capable of memory and planning.
- **Input:** Current grid state representation (flattened or convolutional).
- Output: Action logits.

# 3. Dependent Variables: Measuring Agency & Intelligence

All five scaling values in  $\Lambda P \Lambda P$  and  $\Lambda C \Lambda C$ , plus the null case  $\Lambda N \Lambda N$ , must be run, and the results averaged over N=5N=5 training runs per condition.

Metric	<b>Definition &amp; Protocol</b>	$(\Lambda P \Lambda P \text{ vs. } \Lambda C \Lambda C)$
1. Causal Emergence (ФDФD)	Core information-theoretic measure of excess causal influence of macrostates over micro-states. Calculated on the latent representation (hidden state vector) of the network.	<b>Strongly Higher</b> in ΛΡΛΡ

Metric	<b>Definition &amp; Protocol</b>	PWT Prediction (ΛΡΛΡ vs. ΛСΛС)
2. Generalization Gap	Performance difference between training set (10 structure goals) and held-out test set (5 unseen goals) after full training.	Smaller Gap in $\Lambda P \Lambda P$ (Better Generalization)
3. Forgetting Rate	Decay rate of performance on Task A after training on a new, unrelated Task B for 1/101/10 of original epochs.	<b>Lower Rate</b> in ΛΡΛΡ (More Stable Memory)
4. Behavioral Complexity	Shannon entropy of the agent's action probability distribution over 100 evaluation episodes.	<b>Higher Complexity</b> in $\Lambda P \Lambda P$ (Avoids Repetitive Policies)
5. Spectral Signature	Fourier analysis of the internal network state dynamics. Record time-series of latent state for T≥10,000T≥10,000 timesteps, apply FFT, and plot on log-frequency scale.	<b>Peaks at</b> $ωp=2πlnpωp$ = $lnp2π$ in $ΛΡΛΡ$ (The "Prime Comb")
6. Negative Phase Dominance	Percentage of epochs where network dynamics are dominated by inhibitory or stabilizing feedback loops.	<b>Higher Percentage</b> in ΛΡΛΡ

# 4. Execution Protocol & Analysis

The executing AI must follow these steps:

- 1. **Environment Setup:** Implement the 10×1010×10 Blocksworld environment and the Abstract Reward Function.
- 2. **Network Implementation:** Implement the required network architectures (FFN for Exp 1, RNN/LSTM for Exp 2).
- 3. **Training Loop:** For each experiment and each scaling factor in  $\Lambda P \Lambda P$ ,  $\Lambda C \Lambda C$ , and  $\Lambda N \Lambda N$ :
  - Train N=5N=5 separate instances of the agent.
  - Record training metrics and time-series data for Metric 5.
- 4. **Metric Calculation:** For all 5×11=555×11=55 trained agents, run the standardized evaluation battery to calculate final values for Metrics 1–6.

#### 5. Data Analysis:

- Perform t-tests or ANOVA to compare mean scores for all metrics across ΛΡΛΡ and ΛCΛC.
- For Metric 5, perform Fourier transform and confirm presence/absence of Prime Comb spectral peaks.

#### 6. Deliverables:

- Plot of the **log-frequency spectrum** (Metric 5) for a representative agent from ΛΡΛP and ΛCΛC.

• Raw data table containing the 6 metric scores for all 55 agents.

**Note:** The core challenge for the executing AI will be the precise, computationally demanding calculation of  $\Phi D\Phi D$  and the accurate Fourier analysis required by the Spectral Signature metric.