

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

November 10, 2025

HISTORIC MOTION ALLEGES COORDINATED FRAUD UPON THE COURT IN BOULDER COUNTY DOMESTIC CASE

Boulder County, Colorado – Case No. 2022DR30458

Boulder, CO Today, Charles R. Bell, a neurodivergent father and pro se litigant, filed a motion under C.R.C.P. 60(a) and the final paragraph of C.R.C.P. 60(b), alleging coordinated misconduct and systemic fraud upon the tribunal across multiple divisions of Colorado's 20th Judicial District. The motion is supported by more than 400 pages of verified evidence, including court orders, deposition transcripts, billing records, expert disclosures, and internal communications.

The case centers on a sustained effort by officers of the court including attorney Carol Glassman, expert Jay Freedberg, Eric Six and co-counsel Nelissa Milfeld to introduce unauthorized evidence, conceal material facts, and manipulate expert testimony. The Court's own orders are now in direct contradiction due to a pattern of concealment and procedural misrepresentation.

What unfolded was not a misunderstanding it was a meticulously scripted and strategically executed legal operation. The record now shows that officers of the court collaborated to steer the tribunal into contradicting its own standing orders, while shielding critical information from disclosure. They exploited procedural loopholes, redacted key billing records, and played to the backlog and resource strain facing the family court system knowing that time pressure and institutional fatigue could be used as leverage. What they didn't anticipate was that the Petitioner would track, document, and expose each step.

While Co-Petitioner Alyson Varvel is not without responsibility, the evidence suggests she may have been unaware of many of the tactics carried out in her name. Her

counsel has claimed over \$400,000 in legal fees exceeding the net marital estate and under oath, she has stated that she is personally in debit to her for more than half of that.

The motion does not seek punishment, but correction. Mr. Bell asks the Court to do what the law requires: restore a unified and verified record, vacate findings based on unauthenticated or fabricated evidence, and ensure no litigant regardless of neurodivergence or pro se status is denied access to the rule of law.

Call to Action

To review the full filing, supporting exhibits, and ongoing updates, visit
TheGlassbergEffect.com

This is not just one man's case. The evidence points to a broader issue that demands public scrutiny, media attention, and institutional response. If you've experienced similar misconduct or have information to share, please come forward.

What will the Court and the public do next?

/s/ Charles R. Bell

Petitioner Pro Se | Under Approved ADA Accommodations

bell@partnersandbell.com | 303-931-6101