FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

GHOSTWRITTEN REPORTS & CONCEALED PAYMENTS: Verified Filings Expose Massive Judicial Fraud in Colorado Court

Boulder County, Colorado - October 28, 2025

Verified court filings now detail a coordinated scheme of **ghostwritten expert reports** and **concealed financial transactions** that corrupted the outcome of the high-stakes domestic matter, **In re the Marriage of Bell** (Case No. 2022DR30458).

This misconduct constitutes **Fraud Upon the Court** deception aimed at the integrity of the tribunal itself a matter which the 20th Judicial District Court has thus far failed to correct. Documents submitted to the court and the Colorado Supreme Court's Office of Attorney Regulation Counsel (OARC) confirm the attorney and affiliated professionals intentionally fabricated the evidentiary record, yet the court is allowing the resulting fraudulent judgment to stand.

Key Findings of Documented Deception

The verified court record establishes the following chain of intentional misconduct:

- Ghostwritten Expert Reports: Exhibit FP-3 and Exhibit FP-17 prove that
 opposing counsel worked with an expert to introduce reports that falsely
 designated the expert's authorship. This scheme converted a court-ordered
 single-expert stipulation into a false record showing two competing opinions.
- Concealed Payments: Exhibit III-UR (Unredacted Billing Statement) confirms
 that payments to the expert were redacted and concealed under vague "Costs
 and Disbursements" rather than properly disclosed as expert witness expenses,
 violating mandatory ethical rules and the rule of candor to the tribunal.
- Appellate Validation of Harm: The fraudulent scheme resulted in a financial ruling against Mr. Bell, which was later reversed a frivolous 15k award, by the Colorado Court of Appeals (Case No. 24CA0141), validating the financial misconduct that tainted the original trial record.
- Failure of Judicial Safeguards: Despite the clear, documented evidence, the court has consistently treated the deception as ordinary fraud a procedural error by refusing to apply the final paragraph of C.R.C.P. 60(b), the rule specifically designed to vacate judgments obtained through corruption of the judicial process.

Regulatory and Constitutional Crisis

The pattern of deceit, which involved counsel Carol Glassman, Jay Freedberg, and others, has been formally reported to the state's highest regulatory body.

- Regulatory Action Filed: Petitioner Charles R. Bell has submitted a final supplement (OARC Complaint No. 25-472) to the Office of Attorney
 Regulation Counsel (OARC) of the Colorado Supreme Court, requesting an expedited disciplinary review based on the same verified evidence of deception and misconduct.
- Systemic Failure: The court's refusal to correct the fraud has allowed a
 judgment based on fabricated evidence to remain in effect, creating a precedent
 that severely undermines the integrity of the 20th Judicial District and the
 Colorado judiciary.

Statement from Charles R. Bell (Petitioner Pro Se)

"My fight is not a typical dispute; it is a necessary battle to uphold the rule of law against a scheme that exploited the court's trust. As a litigant proceeding **pro se** and under **ADA accommodations**, I have been forced to dedicate my time to uncovering evidence that a highly funded legal team used ghostwritten reports and concealed payments to win a fraudulent judgment. This is to well planned to think it is a one off. The integrity of the Colorado court system now rests on its willingness to use the final paragraph of Rule 60(b) to correct this documented abuse of power and right now 2 Judges, refuse to see it any other way, a bias perpetuated by Judicial gaslighting by the attorney that has everything to loose"

Call to Action

Members of the public, journalists, and legal professionals are encouraged to review the verified filings and supporting documentation that prove the systematic deception at **TheGlassbergEffect.com.**

CONTACT:

Charles R. Bell

Bell@PartnersandBell.com

303.931.6101