- Q. ...to May 2006, had he just shown up at your house on other occasions?
 - A. Possibly, I don't recall specifically.
- Q. So you weren't surprised when Raymond showed up.
 - A. No.
- Q. Because even if you didn't know he didn't call you that day to tell you he was coming over, it would not be something unusual for him to stop by.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. That day Mr. Atkinson was doing some work on the Ranchero in the garage.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And that was something he did often?
 - A. Yep.
- Q. He was often working on that Ranchero in the garage.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. He had a habit of working on the car in the garage and he would drive it down the driveway down out to the barn and come up?
- A. He didn't do that very often; he mostly just stayed in the garage. Sometimes he brought it out on to the main part of the driveway, sometimes he drove it around to the other side of the house, so he could keep his eye on it, but that wouldn't be down into the to where the barn is. He would drive it around on the property.
- Q. Okay. As he's sort of working on it he'd take it out I guess for a test drive just to sort of see what was going on with what he had just done?
- A. I guess so. He just liked to drive the thing.

5

15

20

25

- Q. Okay, so he would drive that around on the property and you were in the garage talking to Mr. Atkinson prior to Raymond coming?
 - A. It's possible, I don't recall specifically.
- Q. Now, when my understanding or my recollection of your testimony is that when Raymond showed up John engaged him in a conversation when Raymond was in the driveway, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So, you recall that there was a discussion where Mr. Atkinson told Raymond that he should be asking for permission to come by?
 - A. Right.
- Q. And that he actually had this discussion before he went into the garage or back into the garage.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So he it appears that he had come out of the garage based on you saying that Mr. Atkinson was working on the car the Ranchero in the garage he had come out, had a discussion with Raymond about not asking for permission, and then he went back to work in the garage.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Raymond had driven himself there in his own car, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And so after Mr. Atkinson had walked away from Raymond were you there as well talking to Raymond while Mr. Atkinson was there?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. That's how you know what Mr. Atkinson was saying?
 - A. Yes.

15

20

25

- Q. So the three of you are standing in the driveway, Mr. Atkinson goes back to the garage and you and Raymond remain in the driveway.
 - A. Right.
- And I think you indicated on one of the maps - or diagrams of the property already where Raymond and you were standing.
 - Yes. Α.
- And it was located sort of just behind I should say to the right rather, of that line of parallel parked cars.
- Right. I don't know how many cars there were that day, but Raymond's car would've been there and my car would've been there.
- Okay, but in any event, where you parked the cars on that parking pad, you were standing just to - if I'm looking at - I guess looking at the house you would've been to the right of those parked cars.
- My recollection is that we were standing if you - if you assume - if you - if the cars are parked parallel there's enough space so that the tails of the cars are not in the way of anybody coming out of the garage, okay.
 - Q. Okay.
- So I would be standing in the driveway in towards the end of where a parked car would be if there was a parked car in that spot, so behind the - directly in the way of where the Ranchero would come out if it was coming out of the garage, and not all the way off the driveway - like on the driveway, in the parking pad part.
 - Okay, sorry, did you say directly where....
- So behind we were standing we were standing and we were talking, so we're moving around, we

15

20

25

weren't standing in a spot and I didn't have an 'X' or

weren't standing in a spot and I didn't have an 'X' or anything on the ground, but there - it - we were standing sort of where the cars would be parked if there were any cars parked there.

- Q. Okay and that is in the line of where the Ranchero would come out of the driveway, or it was or sorry the garage or not?
- A. Its right beside it like immediately beside it, you have to be careful. When you come out of the garage on that side of the garage which is the north side parking spot inside the garage, you have to be careful not to hit any cars that might be parked.
- Q. Now, Raymond was the type of person, I guess, who doesn't really take guff from people that fair?
 - A. Yes.

15

20

25

- Q. And it's your view that Raymond doesn't, I guess, understand that Mr. Atkinson is a dangerous guy in your view?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And so you communicated to Raymond not to say anything to Mr. Atkinson, right?
- A. I told him he would be better off not to say anything to Mr. Atkinson.
 - Q. Okay, but he did anyway, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You told Detective Conway that he runs his mouth off.
 - A. He does a little bit.
- Q. Okay, so that's what you're talking about when Mr. Atkinson comes out of the garage and the three of you are standing there Raymond, Mr. Atkinson and yourself they're in a discussion with each other?

- of time.
 - A. Well it all sort of happens at the same sort
 - Q. Okay. But, Raymond is saying something to Mr. Atkinson?
 - A. He was talking to John, John was talking to Raymond and they were having words.
 - Q. Okay, so Mr. Atkinson is in the garage when Raymond arrives, and he goes back into the garage while Raymond is still there.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. You're clear on that?
 - A. Pretty clear, yeah.
 - Q. This interaction that he has with Raymond in your view upsets Mr. Atkinson?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And it's at that point in time that Mr. Atkinson goes back into the garage and starts up the Ranchero, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And he accelerates out of the out of the garage and the Ranchero backs up and almost hits Raymond.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And Raymond had to jump out of the way.
 - A. Yep
 - Q. And Mr. Atkinson I guess then turned back around and drove out down a little bit, down the driveway, but remained in the driveway, correct?
 - A. I don't think he turned around, he just but he did remain in the driveway. I don't think he went anywhere.
 - Q. Okay, so he just continued to back up?

15

20

25

- A. Yep. He might've driven the car around the other side of the house, I can't remember that part. I do remember that him coming out of the garage and then I had a conversation with Raymond about, 'I told you not to talk to....'
- Q. Okay, so after Mr. Atkinson almost runs over your son in the Ranchero, Raymond remains at your house, correct?
 - A. Yes, for a while.
 - Q. And Mr. Atkinson remains at the house.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. But you sort of took it upon yourself to keep them apart from each other...
 - A. Yes.
- Q. ...on that day. So you were going to stay with Raymond in another part of the house or stay on the driveway with him.
 - A. Right.
- Q. Or you went in the house and Mr. Atkinson remained outside the house.
 - A. Yep.
 - Q. That's what happened that day.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I imagine, Ms. Campbell, that this is the only time you've ever observed your son almost get hit by a car?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So it's something that's pretty memorable.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. It's not something that you're going to forget.
 - A. No.

15

20

25

15

25

- Q. It's not possible then that the Ranchero drove frontwards out of the garage and just drove straight at your son?
- A. It's possible that's possible, but usually the Ranchero was parked with its nose-first into the garage.
- Q. But on that day it was nose-first in the garage and it backed out.
- A. It's possible that I didn't get the direction right but I thought that it had come out backwards. I was aware of the Ranchero coming out of the garage at a high rate of speed and heading towards my son, that's what I remember.
- Q. You've been very clear from day one, and I include that to include the statement to police that the Ranchero backed out of the garage.
- A. Yeah, and that's what I think that's what I think still.
- Q. So, why would you now say it's possible that it drove out straight?
- A. Well you're the one who said that and you asked me if it was possible and I said it is possible.
 - Q. Okay, that day though it didn't?
- A. I don't think so. I think it went out, backwards out cuz that's the way that he generally had the thing parked in there.
- Q. Now when you first gave your interview to Detective Conway you couldn't recall when it was that this incident happened, is that fair?
- A. That's I think that's what the way I said it in the interview, yes.
- Q. Right. So at the time that you were being interviewed by Detective Conway the idea that it was Mother's

Day, May 14, 2006, isn't something that you communicated to Detective Conway.

- A. Not at that time, no.
- Q. You communicated that, I believe at the first time at the preliminary hearing.
 - A. Yes.

10

15

20

25

- Q. Okay. When you were interviewed by Detective Conway the first sort of date you said was 2005, that's when this incident happened, correct?
- A. Yep. So that's completely impossible though because John wasn't even at my house until the fall of 2005 and this happened in the spring time.
 - Q. Exactly, and....
- A. So I obviously did something wrong on that one. That's why I said to you when you asked me if there was anything in my testimony that's the part I did notice when I was going through it.
- Q. Exactly. That's what you were talking about when I reviewed with you...
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. ...whether or not you noticed any errors, you said other than sort of the date for the one incident. Everything else was correct.
 - A. Right.
- Q. Okay. So, that's what you're talking about is that at the time of your interview with Detective Conway you didn't remember the specific date, or at least you didn't offer it because you had said it occurred in 2005 and that's wrong.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So sometime between your interview on August 26, 2010 and coming to court and testifying at the preliminary

hearing you were able to pin down the date of Mother's Day, May $14^{\rm th}$, 2006.

- A. Right.
- Q. Do you remember when it was that you recalled that date?
- A. I was looking through all of the notes that I have as far as things that had happened. I realized that the 2005 was obviously wrong and I started to think about when he would have come to visit me and Mother's Day was in my book that I had where I had seen that Raymond had come to visit me.
- Q. You spoke with Raymond after you spoke with Detective Conway, correct?
- A. I spoke to Raymond lots I've spoken to Raymond lots of time.
- Q. I guess I should be more clear. So you spoke with Raymond after August 26, 2010 and before I think it was February when he February 2011 when he went to give a statement to the police, is that fair?
 - A. I I'm sure I spoke to him in that time.
- ' Q. Right. We've got Christmas in between there, right?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. So you would have probably seen him at Christmas time.
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. You had told Raymond to go and give a statement to the police, is that true?
- A. I told him that the police were interested in talking to him and it was up to him to decide whether or not he wanted to give a statement or not.

10

5

15

20

25

- Q. And you would have told him at that time that they wanted to talk to him about what happened with the Ranchero?
- A. I might have said that it had something to do with the Ranchero. I don't remember saying that to him. I think that I was told I wasn't supposed to talk about any of the things that I said in court and I haven't done that. I haven't talked about it with Daniel or Raymond.
- Q. Right. That wouldn't have been something you said in court though because at that point in time there were no court proceedings.
 - A. That's right.
- Q. It was just the statement to the police, right?
- A. Right. So I probably did say that it had something to do with the Ranchero then. I don't remember specifically what we talked about. I don't remember.
- Q. Okay. Because you were cautioned by Detective Conway not to talk to Raymond, that he was going to contact Raymond.
- A. Right. So then I'm sure I didn't, because I did what the detective told me to do.
- Q. So it's just a coincidence that Raymond went into the police station ready with the May $14^{\rm th}$, 2006 date, you didn't talk to him about that specific date?
- A. No I didn't. Raymond remembers stuff on his own, he has his own mind.
- Q. So the extent of the conversations you say you had with Raymond was just that he should go and talk to the police, that the police wanted to talk to him.
- A. Right. And I told him that I had gone to the police.

10

20

25

- Q. And you did or did not mention that it had to do with the Ranchero?
- A. I don't remember talking to him about it specifically. I told him that he wanted to speak to him about about whatever they wanted to speak to him, and it was up to him to whether he wanted to talk to him or not. Same with Daniel.
- Q. Okay. During the time that you were with Mr. Atkinson in a relationship with him you testified on his behalf at a number of bail hearings, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you actually proposed yourself as surety on at least two occasions.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And there might have been a third occasion, is that possible?
 - A. It's possible, I don't remember.
- Q. But on two occasions you testified at these bail hearings where you were proposing yourself to be John's surety.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And each of those times you testified under oath...
 - A. Right.
- Q. ...just as you're testifying today under oath.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And so you understood at those times that you needed to be honest and truthful, correct?
 - A. Of course.
 - Q. And you were, correct?
 - A. Yes.

15

5

10

25

- Q. Sorry, that was yes?
- A. Yes.
- Q. So the first one that you and I do have transcripts, okay so we can go through those because I'm sure it's quite some time ago. But on August 18th, 2006 you testified at the bail hearing in relation to what had happened in Caledon. Does that sound about right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. August 2006 you testified and you were asked some questions about John on your knowledge of him and your history with him, correct?
 - A. Yes.

15

20

25

- Q. And you testified at that occasion that John was a perfectly fine person. Does that sound right?
 - A. I probably said something like that, yep.
 - Q. Would you like to see the transcript or....
 - A. No, I think I...
 - Q. Okay. It was....
- A. ... I was quite supportive. I tried very hard to be supportive for John. I did my best for him.
- Q. You testified that he was normal a normal person, correct?
 - A. Yeah, I probably did, yes.
 - Q. I'm happy to show you the transcript.
- A. No, I believe you. I'm sure I would have said some nice things about him.
- Q. Right. That's the language you used, you talk about him being a perfectly fine person, a normal person. You also indicated that he had never been in any trouble, do you recall that?
- A. I if I said that then I meant he hadn't been in trouble with me before.

133. Deborah Campbell - Cr-ex.

- Q. Okay, that's fair, because that's what you're talking about is the time period that you had known John....
 - A. That I knew him, that's right.
- Q. Which was from sort of fall or summer of 2005.
- A. Through till August, so for about less than a year.
- Q. Right. During that time, so up until August you did thing with John like going up to the cottage.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And you would go shopping together.
 - A. Yep.
 - Q. And you would go dancing together.
 - A. Yep.
- Q. You indicated that he would have been working and he would go to work.
- A. He had some jobs while during that period of time.
- Q. Basically, and and sorry, Mr. Atkinson was on parole during that first time when you are together, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And it was your opinion that he was a model person during his parole.
- A. I said he was normal, I didn't say he was model. I took him I took him to his parole when he had to check in with the police department I drove him there so I knew about that. But I did not know at that time what his history was. Until August and I saw the history, I had no idea how many years he had actually spent in jail, cuz he didn't tell me that. He kept a lot of things from me so I was

10

15

20

25

basing my opinion of what he was like on the interaction that we'd had from the time that I met him until the time that I was acting as - I was testifying, trying to get him to get bail.

- Q. I'm just going to read you a transcript excerpt. So this is a transcript from....
 - A. Okay, so I did say he was a model person.
- Q. Right. I just want to put it clear for the record and for His Honour. On August 18th, 2006 you testified in Orangeville and you're being asked questions by his lawyer at that point in time, and at page 44 you're talking about the parole and you're asked questions about the parole and you give an answer.

So the question is: "The parole on that just ended a few months ago.

Answer: I know, and he was a model person during that parole."

- A. That's true, that's what I said, and he was. He didn't do anything that I considered to be criminal during that time. He went to parole when he was supposed to go and he checked in when he was supposed to go, and he didn't do any driving during that time.
 - Q. Right. Except that...
 - A. I don't recall.
 - Q. ... May 2006 happened before this.
 - A. What? I'm sorry?
 - Q. May 2006, May 14th, 2006....
 - A. Ah, I see where you're going.
 - Q. When he drove a vehicle at your son...
 - A. Right.

15

10

20

25

- Q. ...just a couple of months later you're testifying at a bail hearing and you say he's a model person...
 - A. Right.
 - O. Correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You're proposing yourself as a surety for John after he tried to run your son over in your view, correct?
 - A. Yeah, that's true.
- Q. And when you're asked under oath you're asked questions about his behaviour and you give responses like 'he was a model person, never any trouble for you', correct?
 - A. Right.
- Q. At that point in time, and I think it's what you were just giving answers to is that he wasn't driving out on the roads, he was just driving out on the property, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. You also testified at the bail hearing that your mother was present to also present herself as a surety, correct?
 - A. Yes, she did.
- Q. I'm not going to get into what she told you or whatnot, but basically you had actually brought your mother to try and assist in getting Mr. Atkinson out of custody.
 - A. Right.
- Q. Mr. Atkinson who had just nearly run your son over.
 - A. Right.

5

15

20

25

- Q. Now, we made mention of the Caledon incident and that's what this bail hearing was about, correct?
 - A. Right.
- Q. And that was the incident that you were involved with, correct?
 - A. Yes.

15

25

- Q. And you were actually in the vehicle when Mr. Atkinson was driving and he was hit by a police officer who was driving a vehicle, correct?
 - A. He was hit with a baton.
- Q. Hit with a baton. Did the officer, at any point drive the car towards your vehicle?
 - A. I'm sorry?
- Q. Do you recall whether or not the police officer had driven his police vehicle towards the vehicle that you and John were driving in?
- A. After John got in the car and drove away after he'd been hit by the police officer with a baton John jumped into the car, into the pickup truck, the GMC pickup truck and tried to escape.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. The police officer chased him certainly and there was a point where John turned the truck around and the police officer was then driving straight at us and John was driving straight at the police officer.
- Q. Okay. Did the vehicles come in contact with each other?
- A. I believe that they did because the back tire popped.
- Q. Okay. But it was during that incident when you're with Mr. Atkinson, when you're driving him, that at

some point the vehicle is pulled over. You and Mr. Atkinson are stopped at the side of the road and you call 911, correct?

- A. No, I didn't call 911.
- Q. You didn't call 911 during the Caledon incident?

A. No, I don't think so. Maybe I did. That was after we were chased. I tried to talk to the police that night. I could have done it by 911, I don't recall. I could have done that after the police chase...

Q. Okay.

A. ...but not at the side of the road when we got stopped, I didn't call anybody then.

Q. Okay, if you go to page 36 it might help to refresh your memory.

THE COURT: Page 36 of what?

MS. HYSLOP: This is page 36 still Your Honour of that August $18^{\rm th}$, 2006 bail hearing.

Q. Page 36 at - you're asked the question in the middle of the page:

"When you're fleeing from the police where did you go?" Sorry, "where do you go?" Answer: "Well, we stopped the truck as soon as possible and I called 911 to report the incident and to tell the police that I had - we had met up with the police officer that acted in an

inappropriate manner for his - his duties."

- A. Correct.
- Q. That's correct?
- A. Yes.

15

5

10

20

25

- Q. So that's what you testified to back in August of 2006, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. That you had observed the police officer do something improper, hitting John, in your view, and you called the police to report that.
 - A. Yes.

15

20

25

- Q. Were you afraid for your safety based on what the police officer had done while driving while John was driving the vehicle with you?
 - A. Was I afraid during that police chase?
- Q. We can back it up. So were you afraid during that police chase, for your safety?
 - A. Sure I was.
- Q. Okay. Was it one or the other, a combination of the way that the fact that you're in a truck that's driving at a high rate of speed or was it because of what the actions of the police officer? Or was it both, or was there something else? What is it that was causing you to be....
- A. At that point I was worried about being in a truck that almost ran into the front end of the police car.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And then we were still driving fast to drive away, so I was frightened then too. I was pretty fatalistic by that point. You know, I was living with a man who is difficult. He was difficult, and he was very controlling. He made me say stuff. Not necessarily in court, I wouldn't say that he did not. That was not that's not what I mean. But I mean that he intimidated me so much and controlled me so much that I would do lots of stuff that I would not normally

okay, this might be the day I might die.

- Q. You were afraid for John's safety at that point in time when you called the police?
- A. I thought that that police officer acted inappropriately and through that whole process that's coloured my relationship with him. It's coloured the way that I've been in court. It its coloured everything because I thought that the police acted inappropriately and I thought that he was wrongly convict he was wrongly accused at that time. And so I was trying very hard to get him out of that...
 - Q. Okay.
- A. ...to try to have a good outcome. I had no experience with people like this ever before in my life. I had never even been to a courtroom before I met John Atkinson, certainly not in a criminal court.
- Q. Okay. My question though is when you called 911 were you also afraid for John's safety?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Based on what the police officer had just done to John...
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. ...and what you observed?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So part of the reason you called the police was because you were afraid for John's safety.
 - A. Right.
- Q. And this is a time that you're calling the police, you're calling 911 after Mr. Atkinson had tried to drive over your son, correct?
- A. I wasn't thinking about that incident at the time.

10

15

20

25

- Q. Okay, but you didn't call....
- A. It's completely not even in my mind at the time. That was several months later. I wasn't thinking about that.
- Q. But when you say that Mr. Atkinson tried to drive over your son you didn't call 911 at that point?
- A. No, because he didn't hit him. If he'd hit him then that would be a different thing, wouldn't it?
- Q. Okay. So you didn't think that it was serious enough that he had tried to drive over your son to call 911?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. You didn't feel that your son was in danger at that point in time?
- A. I thought that he was in danger. I thought that it was possible that he should just stay away from John, and if he pushed John's buttons enough John would come out and hit him. That's what I thought and I told my son that.
- Q. Okay. But calling the police wasn't one of the things that crossed your mind?
 - A. No, it wasn't.
- Q. You then proposed yourself again as a surety for Mr. Atkinson on April $30^{\rm th}$, 2009, do you recall that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So this is now after Mr. Atkinson has also driven the truck at you, correct?
 - A. I'm sorry, when was the date; September?
- Q. So this is April 30th, 2009. It's a bail hearing in Barrie. Mr. Atkinson's lawyer at the time was Mr. I think Mr. Flisfeder. I don't know if you even know the lawyer, I'm trying to jog your memory.

10

20

25

- A. Yeah, no I remember that lawyer. I thought that there was another bail hearing that I came to with David Wilcox. It wasn't that one, was it?
- Q. When was that bail hearing, before or after this?
 - A. I can't remember.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. I'd have to look in my notes. But at any rate, yes I did come and act as potential surety. John insisted that he could try and get bail. I put money out to pay for the lawyer just because John was so insistent.
- Q. Okay. At that point in time, so we're talking in April of 2009, Mr. Atkinson had already been in custody for a period of time, correct?
 - A. Right.
- Q. And then he was released and spent a couple of months at your house before he went out West, correct?
 - A. Right.
- Q. And then on the way back he was arrested. So in July of 2008 he was arrested again, correct?
 - A. Right, yep.
- Q. And that's the one that he remained in custody until 2010. Am I correct on that? So he gets arrested in July of 2008 on his way home from out West.
- A. And he's in jail until January the $18^{\rm th}$, 2010.
- Q. Okay. So this bail hearing happened at a period of sort of I guess midway through when he's in custody, is that fair?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Okay, so you come to court and you propose yourself as surety again, and you indicate during that bail

5

10

20

25

hearing where you're also sworn, you're also testifying under oath that you and Mr. Atkinson get along very well, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And that you had a very nice life together.
- A. Yes.
- Q. And that he looked after you very well.
- A. Yes.
- Q. These are all things that you told the Justice of the Peace, correct?
 - A. That's what I told them, yes.
- Q. At that point in time you testified that you were also expecting your son home from Humber College, I think in August of 2009 or so. Does that make sense? Which son would that have been?
 - A. Daniel.
- Q. Daniel. So Daniel was coming going to be coming home, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And he was going to be home for that summer, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So you're testifying in April of 2009 talking about Daniel coming home that summer from school, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you were asked how Daniel gets along sorry, how Mr. Atkinson gets along with your son and you indicated that he gets along fine, correct?
 - A. Okay. I'd have to see it.
- Q. This is a transcript of the bail hearing from April 30^{th} , 2009 in Barrie and I've directed your attention to page 30. Towards the bottom you were asked:

10

15

20

25

143. Deborah Campbell - Cr-ex.

"Had Mr. Atkinson ever lived in your house when your son is there?

Answer: Yes.

Question: And how does Mr. Atkinson get along with your son?

Answer: He gets along fine."

- Q. Correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. So you were indicating at least in August of 2009 that Mr. Atkinson and your son got along fine.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And you're doing that all under oath?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You also indicated that Mr. Atkinson got along fine with your parents, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What you expected and what you explain to the Justice of the Peace on that occasion is that if Mr. Atkinson sort of didn't listen, didn't follow the rules, that you were prepared to call the police...
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. ...is that correct?
- A. And if I had been given surety I would have done that.
- Q. So, if Mr. Atkinson would have been granted bail and you would have been surety for him you would have called the police if he broke his conditions?
 - A. Yes, I would have.
- Q. Even though you didn't call the police after he tried to run your son over and tried to run you over, correct?

10

15

20

25

- A. That's correct, because that wasn't under surety, was it?
- Q. Okay. But you wouldn't have been afraid to call the police if Mr. Atkinson was breaching his bail because you told the Court that you would do it.
 - A. That's right, I would have to.
- Q. But you didn't call on those other two occasions with the fact that he was driving vehicles at you, you didn't call at that time because you weren't surety for him, you didn't have the obligation.
- A. That's right and I was afraid of the consequences.
- Q. Okay. I'll actually take those back from you. Your goal at testifying at Mr. Atkinson's bail hearings was to get him out of custody, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So that he could come back and live with you.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that's the plans for bail always involved him residing at your residence.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you felt that he was going to comply with the rules and the conditions that the court imposed.
- A. I didn't really think that he would get bail.
 - Q. Okay. But you were trying to get him bail.
 - A. I was trying.
 - Q. And you were prepared to post money for
 - A. Yes.

15

20

25

30

that.

- Q. And when you were asked by the lawyer, was asking you questions whether or not you felt he was going to comply with the conditions you indicated that you did believe he was going to comply.
- A. I did believe otherwise I wouldn't have asked him. I wouldn't have said that I would try to do surety for him. But if he had done anything to breach that surety that is a requirement for me to call the police and get him arrested. I probably would have reminded him of that several times a day if I had to.
- Q. Okay. Now there were multiple times that you had contact with the police since meeting John in 2005.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Fair?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. That was something that was new for you that you hadn't really experienced until you met Mr. Atkinson.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And the police would come to your house while Mr. Atkinson was out of custody, correct?
- A. Probably, yes. I can't remember specifically.
- Q. And they would also come or sorry they would come if sort of they were looking for him.
- A. Yes. Oh, yes, that's what you mean by out of custody, okay, yes.
- Q. And while Mr. Atkinson was out West in 2008, so in February 2008 and onwards until he's on his way back in July...
 - A. Right.
 - Q. ...the police came to you then...
 - A. Yes.

10

20

25

- Q. ...right? Α. Yes. They were trying to figure out where he was. Α. Yes. And never during those contacts that you've had with the police did you ever alert them to what Mr. Atkinson had done, is that correct? Α. That's correct. You felt as though the police were harassing you... 10 Α. Yes, I did. 0. A. Yes, yes I did. 0. Yes, yeah. Α. 15 Q.
 - ...when Mr. Atkinson was out West, correct?
 - They were pulling you over.
 - And they were trying to get information out of you.
 - A. Yes.

25

- And there was another occasion when your son, Luke I believe, was arrested, for having a firearm in the house?
 - A. He was charged.
 - 0. Right.
- A. He wasn't arrested. I don't think that was an arrest.
 - Okay, well he was charged. 0.
 - A. He had a piece of paper to show up to court.
 - Q. And the police though came to your house.
 - Yes they did. A .
- And you were there when and talking to the police about the fact that Mr. - sorry, that Luke had been charged.

- A. I wasn't there when the police came when Luke was there.
- Q. Did they come and talk to you though, about Luke being charged?
 - A. Prob yes.
- Q. The police also had contact with you at a time when your son Daniel was arrested or charged, correct?
 - A. Daniel wasn't charged with anything.
- Q. Daniel was never charged with anything when you went down to the police station with him?
- A. No. We just went in and talked to them and I didn't talk to them, just Daniel went by himself.
- Q. Okay, so he wasn't charged with anything but the police wanted to speak to him about something.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And, sorry, you did or you did not go down to the police station with Daniel?
 - A. I went with him.
- Q. Okay, so you had gone down to the police station, do you remember when that was?
- A. No, I don't remember. I think that it was during the time that John was out West.
- Q. Right. Because you thought that it was your belief that the police were meeting with Daniel or trying to meet with Daniel as a way of trying to get information from you.
 - A. Yes, that's what I thought.
- $\mbox{Q. When that would have been when Mr.} \\ \mbox{Atkinson was out West.}$
 - A. Correct.

15

20

25

- Q. And they are now they're pulling you over and stopping you at the side of the road to try to get information.
 - A. Mm-hmm.
- Q. And they are bringing Daniel into the police station and you felt that they were trying to get information from you about Mr. Atkinson.
 - A. Right.
- Q. Upon that or sorry, during those times when that's going on you're being stopped and you're going down to the station with Daniel, you don't take it upon yourself to take the opportunity at that time to let them know what had transpired between yourself and Mr. Atkinson, correct?
 - A. Correct.

25

- Q. And at that point in time, because that happened when you were, when Mr. Atkinson was out West, that would have been both incidents involving the Ranchero and the dump truck would have happened during that period of time, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You had called the police on your husband, your ex-husband Carl, to have him removed from the house, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you were able to get some sort of restraining order through the Family Court system for your husband, correct?
 - A. Right.
- Q. So you were aware that that was an option, that that was a possibility, to use the courts to get restraining orders.

- A. I didn't think a restraining order would work for John. He doesn't really pay attention to things that come from the court.
- Q. But he would have paid attention to the bail conditions?
- A. Well, I would well, I could have called if he didn't. I could have called them, and I would have.
- Q. We heard about the Toyota that was a result of Mr. Atkinson going out West, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you received a call that, from Mr. Atkinson, that there was this Toyota, he had been arrested and it needed to be picked up, is that correct?
- A. John talked to me every single day so I'm not sure what you mean.
- Q. Well, Mr. Atkinson is arrested as he's coming back from out West, correct?
 - A. Right.
 - Q. And he was bringing the Toyota with him.
 - A. Yes he was.
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}_{\star}$. So when he got arrested the Toyota was then up north somewhere.
 - A. It was impounded.
- Q. Okay, so you were going to go and get the Toyota.
 - A. Right.
- Q. And it was your understanding that that was your car.
 - A. That's right.
 - Q. And it was a gift to you.
 - A. Right.
 - Q. And you could use that car to drive to work?

5

15

20

25

- A. I could use it for whatever I wanted.
- $\ensuremath{\text{Q.}}$ Right. And you could you let other people drive the car.
 - A. I let yes.
- Q. Right. It was your car and it was your decision about what you wanted to do with it, correct?
 - A. Yes, right.
- Q. But at the same time you also knew that Mr. Atkinson, in your view, didn't want anybody else driving that car.
 - A. Correct.

15

25

- Q. Was that from the beginning? The beginning of you getting this car that was Mr. Atkinson's view in your mind?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And he would communicate that to you even when he was in jail?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you recall him specifically telling you that he didn't want Daniel driving the car.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. But you felt that there was nothing that Mr. Atkinson could do while he was in jail.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So it didn't bother you then if Daniel was driving your car?
 - A. I didn't think that John would find out.
- Q. Okay. Now in July 2010, 2010, that's after your accident.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you're on the phone with Mr. Atkinson, correct?