#### MICHAEL L. ROBERTSON

Questioned Document Examiner

2211 Hunting Valley N.W. North Canton OH 44720-3912

(330) 499-1287 Office (330) 499-1116 Facsimile Email: emailme@cannet.com Special Agent, United States Secret Service (Ret.)

Document examinations
Forensic consultations
Civil and criminal litigations
Second opinion/review opposing opinion

# QUESTIONED DOCUMENT REPORT - Preliminary

Date:

March 28, 2003

Request:

Questioned document examination and comparison

Ouestioned document (Q):

Copy of a Flex-Team business card bearing hand printing on the reverse,

"Joe W. 890-8941" and marked State's Exhibit 55.

Known documents (K):

K-1 Original handwriting/printing presented to me as known/genuine

handwriting of Joe Wilkes.

K-2 Copies of apparent known writing of Rose M. Mohr contained on a Lawrence Police Department statement dated October 29, 1999 and signed on both pages, "Rose M. Mohr".

Opinion:

- The questioned document (Q) contains very limited text, i.e. four letters, seven numerals and one hyphen (see Exhibit B),
- 2. The hand printing contained in K-1 is not similar to the Q document text (see Exhibit C),
- The hand printing contained in K-1 is more angular than the Q document and the skill of the writer does not appear to rise to the skill level of the Q writer,
- There are insufficient characteristics in the K-2 text to identify or eliminate this writer as the potential author of the questioned document (see Exhibit A),
- 5. The overall style of the printing in K-2 is more similar to the Q document than the K-1 text. For example, I refer the reader to the number "5" on the second line of K-2 and to the capital "J" in Q. The outward bowl projecting to the right on the "5" and "J" are pictorially similar.
- However, it must be noted there are differences between K-2 and Q that have not been qualified,
- In order to better identify, or eliminate, K-2 as the possible author of the questioned document, additional exemplars obtained from Rose Mohr (both solicited and unsolicited documents) would be helpful in this pursuit,
- 8. I suggest this report be submitted to Ohio BCI & I document examiners to see if they agree with my conclusions. If they do, perhaps Ohio BCI & I could complete this examination since there may be criminal intent in the execution of the questioned document,

9. This preliminary report is investigative and not accusatory.

Respectfully,

Michael L. Robertson

Attachments: Photograph containing Exhibits A, B and C

#### EXHIBIT A

KNOWN PRINTING -Rose M. Mohr

This exemplar is from a Lawrence Twp. Police Dept. statement dated October 29, 1999. Thave lived with me, by (Des

I have lived with

5 paroto months. H

of my children. t

last night awith

alcohol. This mor

504 W/C 890-8941

#### EXHIBIT B

## QUESTIONED DOCUMENT

Text appears on reverse of a Flex-Team business card and marked State's Exhibit 55

## EXHIBIT C

## KNOWN PRINTING

Text from an undated original letter to "Steve" and signed "Joe Wilkes". LI SH IN the hote top 7 days

LI ST JULY ST JULY STONE SON THEY

MY WAR IN WHITE HE WOUND FUCK UM

E WITH HE HE IT WOUND WITH ME

NOW FROM THE HITSCH WITH MY

LPWG JEPTELSON LATERY WITH MY

LPWG JEPTELSON LATERY WITH

504 Wr 890-8941

(330) 489-91;1

FAX: (330) 489-0255



Chery Seitzel
Staffing archiven

STATE'S EXHIBIT 55 3244 Mahoning Rd, NE Canton, OH 44705