

	478	_					
	/		l .		dule Mou	nting Syste	em
APPROVED	Paul Budge	08/02/2024	IR-T	1TB1031		nting Syste	REV
	Paul Budge Paul Budge	08/02/2024 08/02/2024	IR-T	1TB1031		nting Syste	
			IR-T SIZE B	1TB1031 CODE		nting Syste	

IR-T1 TileBallast Roof Mount							
PART NAME	PART NAME PART NUMBER DESCRIPTION MATERIAL COATING						
IR-T1	IR-T1TB0031	No penetration TileBallast™ Roof Mount	.079" [2mm] A1008 Carbon Steel	Black Zinc Electroplated			

	24 SQFT					
IR-T1 Spa	acing For Rails	Maximum Wind Speed	Maximum Snow Load			
One Mo	ount Every 6 ft	120 MPH	10 LBS/SQFT			
One Mo	ount Every 4 ft	160 MPH	15 LBS/SQFT			

**Maximum Solar Module Size** 

4



March 7, 2024 Mr. Paul Budge Diversi-Tech Corp - IntegraRack PO Box 910758 St. George, UT 84791

Subject: Compression, Horizontal, and Uplift Load Testing on the Universal L Foot Bracket (PN

IR-DMLF2000) & IR-T1 No Penetration Tile Roof Bracket (IR-FCTR1500)

Dear Mr. Budge,

Please find included our test reports for the compression load test, horizontal load test, and combined uplift load testing of the Universal L Foot Bracket (PN IR-DMLF2000) & IR-T1 No Penetration Tile Roof Bracket (IR-FCTR1500) performed at our laboratory at 941 S. Park Lane, Tempe, AZ on 10/04/2023. The solar panels were installed on the tile roof using a total of 4 brackets

The compression load test was performed using dead weight provided by water filled plastic jugs that were weighed on our Instron 5985 test machine and had an average weight of 45.31 lbf. Test loads up to 815.58 lbf, equivalent to 38.00 psf force applied to the solar panels, were applied by evenly distributing the dead weight on the solar panel. Brackets were inspected before, during, and after the load test. Under load the brackets deflected downwards towards the roof but did not come into contact with the tile. Once the weight was removed the brackets were inspected and found to have lifted back to their original position and no visual permanent damage was noted. Test run details are shown in the table below.

	COMPRESSION TEST LOAD INFORMATION								
	TEST LOAD 1:	11 Jugs = 498.41 I	bf = 23.22 psf	TEST LOAD 2	17 Jugs = 770.27 lbf = 35.88 psf				
	TEST LOAD 3:	18 Jugs = 815.58 I	bf = 38.00 psf						
	COMPRESSIO	ON TEST LOAD BR	RACKET AND F	PANEL VISUAL DEFLECT	TION OBSERVATIONS				
NO.	NO LOAD - INITIAL SPAC	VISUAL BRACKET CING	AT LOAD 1 (498.41 lbf) VISUAL BRACKET SPACING		AT LOAD 2 (770.27 lbf) VISUAL BRACKET SPACING				
1	No co	ontact	No contact		No contact				
2	No co	ontact	No contact		No contact				
3	No contact		No contact		No contact				
4	No co	ontact	1	No contact	No contact				

The horizontal load test was conducted using a Weightronic Digital Dynamometer (Model OCS-Y) and chain hoist. A horizontal load of at least 500 lbf was applied at the center of the crossbeam that was attached to the panel brackets. The test specimen held the load and no damage or permanent deformation was noted as shown in the test observations table shown below.

HORIZONTAL LOAD TEST TO > 500 lbf - VISUAL TEST OBSERVATIONS								
	INIT	TAL	UNDER TEST	LOAD (500 lbf)	AFTER LOAD REMOVAL			
NO.	BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION	BRACKET PANEL DEFORMATION		BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION		
1	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None		
2	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None		
3	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None		
4	None None Moderate Moderate None					None		

The uplift load test was conducted using a Weightronic Digital Dynamometer (Model OCS-Y) and chain hoist. An uplift load of 710 lbf, equivalent to a 33.08 psf uplift force evenly applied to the solar panels, was applied at the center of the crossbeam that was attached to the four panel brackets. The test specimen held the load and no damage or permanent deformation of the test brackets was noted as shown in the test observations table shown below.

UPLIFT TENSILE LOAD TO 710 lbf - VISUAL TEST OBSERVATIONS								
	INIT	ΠAL	UNDER UPLIFT TE	EST LOAD (710 lbf)	AFTER LOAD REMOVAL			
NO.	BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION	BRACKET DEFORMATION			PANEL DEFORMATION		
1	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None		
2	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None		
3	None	None	Moderate	Severe	None	None		
4	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	ate None None			

Test reports with additional details, photos, and sketches of the test setup and load points have been attached.

Respectfully submitted,

PHOENIX NATIONAL LABORATORIES, INC.

Kyle Fleege, P.E.

Project Manager / Mechanical Engineer

**Phoenix National Laboratories** 

Ph: 1.602.431.8887 kyle@pnltest.com www.pnltest.com



P: 602.431.8887 • www.pnltest.com

## LABORATORY TEST REPORT

Compression Load Test Ceramic Tile Roof

Page 1 of 3

Page 1 of								
	CLIENT		CLIENT PRO	OJECT REF. NO.		CLIENT (	ORDER NO.	
Di	versi-Tech Corp	ooration	n Bracket Test - Vertical Load			per S.A.		
MATERIAL	SUBMITTED BY	PNL PROJECT NO.	S.O. NO.	PNL LAB NO.	TEST DATE		REPORT DATE	
С	lient	26-231261	001	ML845913	10/04	1/2023	10/13/2023	
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION TECHNICIANS							TECHNICIANS	
Tile roo	of w/ Universal L	Foot Bracket	& IR-T1 No Pe	enetration Tile Roof	Brack	ket -	TR, MC, DG	
		TEST [	DATA & EQUIPME	ENT INFORMATION				
	TEMPERATURE:	88 °F	±3°F	HUM	IDITY:		15%	
TE	ST SPECIMEN SIZE:	Tile roof: 39.37	5 in. x 78.500 in.	TEST SPECIMEN A	AREA:		21.465 ft <sup>2</sup>	
C	OMPRESSION TYPE:	Fixed weight; appli	ed with Water Jugs	AVERAGE FORCE PER	JUG:		45.31 lbf	
BRAC	KET COMPONENT 1:	Universal L	Foot Bracket	BRACKET PART	NO. 1:	IR	-DMLF2000	
BRAC	KET COMPONENT 2:	IR-T1 No Penetration	on Tile Roof Bracket	BRACKET PART	NO. 2:	IR	-FCTR1500	
		COMF	PRESSION TEST LO	OAD INFORMATION				
	TEST LOAD 1:	11 Jugs = 498.41 l	ugs = 498.41 lbf = 23.22 psf <b>TEST LOAD</b>		2: 1	7 Jugs = 770	0.27 lbf = 35.88 psf	
	TEST LOAD 3:	18 Jugs = 815.58	lbf = 38.00 psf					
	E	BRACKET AND F	PANEL VISUAL D	EFLECTION OBSERV	ATION	S		
NO.	NO LOAD - INITIAL VIS BRACKET SPACING	-	OAD 1 - VISUAL CKET SPACING	AT LOAD 2 - BRACK SPACING	ET	AT L	OAD 3 - VISUAL SPACING	
1	1 No contact		No contact	No contact			No contact	
2	No contact		No contact	No contact			No contact	
3	No contact		No contact				No contact	
4	No contact		No contact	No contact			No contact	

REMARKS:

TECHNICIAN	ninny Pagis	REVIEWED BY	Tyle	They	
	0				





Compression Load Test Ceramic Tile Roof


CLIENT		CLIENT PROJ	ECT REF. NO.	CLIENT ORDER NO.	
Diversi-Tech Corporation		Bracket Test -	Vertical Load	per S.A.	
MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY	PNL PROJECT NO.	S.O. NO.	PNL LAB NO.	TEST DATE	REPORT DATE
Client	26-231261	001	ML845913	10/04/2023	10/13/2023
	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION				
Tile roof w/ Universa	al L Foot Bracket	& IR-T1 No Per	etration Tile Ro	of Bracket	TR, MC, DG

### **TEST PROCEDURE/DESCRIPTION**

The test specimen consisted of the solar panels, brackets, railing and the simulation tile roof. The bracket locations were visually examined for gap spacing to ensure there was no contact between the roof tile and the bracket. At each location a sheet of paper was slid beneath the bracket to verify open spacing between the tile and bottom of the bracket. After the initial inspection the compressive load was applied using plastic jugs filled with water. Four jugs were randomly selected and weighted to determine an average weight per jug of 45.31 lbf. Load was applied evenly by even distribtuion of 11 water jugs across the test specimen. After the first load was applied, the gaps between the brackets and tile roof were examined. Additional load was applied with 17 jugs and then 18 jugs. At 18 jugs the gap between the bracket and the roof was too tight to fit the sheet of paper underneath due to geometry of the tile roof but the bracket was not in contact with the roof. After the final load test, all the weights were removed and the test specimen was visually examined for any permanent damage or deforamtion.

DEAD WEIGHT & DEFLECTION MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT INFORMATION								
EQUIPMENT MOD	DEL: Instron 5985 UTM	Instron 5985 UTM [SN 1246]		LIBRATION DATE:		07/25/2023		
LOAD CE	<b>ELL:</b> 2 kN (449.6 lbf) [S	N 138082]	CAI	LIBRATION DATE:		07/25/2023		
EQUIPMENT MOD	DEL: Mitutoyo Digital Caliper	[SN 14199376]	CAI	LIBRATION DATE:		10/04/2023		
	DE	AD WEIGHT ME	ASUREME	NTS				
NO. 1 (lbf)	NO. 1 (lbf) NO. 2 (lbf) NO. 3 (lbf) NO. 4 (lbf) AVERAGE (lbf)							
45.45	45.65	44.69	9	45.46		45.31		

### **VISUAL DEFORMATION LOCATION SKETCH**



Bracket/Panel Gap Spacing Check



Compression Load Test Tile Roof

Page 3 of 3

CLIENT		CLIENT PROJ	ECT REF. NO.	CLIENT ORDER NO.	
Diversi-Tech Corporation		Bracket Test -	Vertical Load	per S.A.	
MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY	PNL PROJECT NO.	S.O. NO. PNL LAB NO.		TEST DATE	REPORT DATE
Client	26-231261	001	ML845912	10/04/2023	10/13/2023
	SAMPLE	DESCRIPTION			TECHNICIANS
Tile roof w/ Universa	al L Foot Bracket	& IR-T1 No Pen	etration Tile Ro	of Bracket	TR, MC, DG

## **PHOTOS**



PHOTO 1: Overview of solar panel and tile roof deck - before tests



PHOTO 2: Typical Bracket - before tests



PHOTO 3: Load 1



PHOTO 4: Load 1 - Bracket under load



PHOTO 5: Load 2



PHOTO 6: Load 2 - Bracket under load



PHOTO 7: Unloaded - after tests



PHOTO 8: Unloaded - after tests



PHOTO 9: Unloaded Bracket - after tests

Note: Bracket photos are typical of all locations



Horizontal Load Test Ceramic Tile Roof

Page 1 of 3

					r ago r or o
CLIENT		CLIENT PROJECT REF. NO.		CLIENT ORDER NO.	
Diversi-Tech Corporation		Bracket Test - Horizontal Load		per S.A.	
MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY	PNL PROJECT NO.	S.O. NO.	PNL LAB NO.	TEST DATE	REPORT DATE
Client	26-231261	001	ML845913	10/04/2023	10/13/2023
	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION				
Tile roof w/ Univers	al L Foot Bracket	& IR-T1 No Pe	enetration Tile Roo	of Bracket	TR, MC, DG

### **TEST PROCEDURE/DESCRIPTION**

The test specimen consisted of the solar panels, brackets, railings and the simulation roof. The test specimen was initially visually examined for any damage or permanent deformation prior to testing. The horizontal load was applied after initial inspection was completed. The horizontal load was applied using a chain hoist and a digital dynamometer. The load was applied at the center of the crossbeam. The crossbeam was attached to the solar panel brackets. After the load was applied the specimen was examined for any damages or deformation while under load. After examination the horizontal load was released and the test specimen was examined again for any permanent deformation or damage.

TEST DATA & EQUIPMENT INFORMATION						
TEMPERATURE:         88 °F ± 3 °F         HUMIDITY:         15% ± 5%						
TEST SPECIMEN SIZE:	Tile roof: 39.375 in. x 78.500 in.	TEST SPECIMEN AREA:	21.465 ft <sup>2</sup>			
LOAD TYPE:	Tensile/Pull, applied with chain hoist	TEST LOAD:	>500 lbf			
EQUIPMENT TYPE:	Weightronic Dynamometer	EQUIPMENT MODEL:	OCS-Y / 3000 kg Max.			
BRACKET COMPONENT 1:	Universal L Foot Bracket	BRACKET PART NO. 1:	IR-DMLF2000			
BRACKET COMPONENT 2:	IR-T1 No Penetration Tile Roof Bracket	BRACKET PART NO. 2:	IR-FCTR1500			

#### **VISUAL TEST OBSERVATIONS**

	INIT	INITIAL		UNDER TEST LOAD (500 lbf)		AFTER LOAD REMOVAL	
NO.	BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION	BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION	BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION	
1	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None	
2	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None	
3	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None	
4	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None	

### **OBSERVATIONS**

After removal of test load all brackets returned to original position with no permanent deformation noted.

The solar panel did not show any signs of permanent damage or deformation.

TECHNICIAN	ninny Payer	REVIEWED BY	hylu	This	
	0				




Horizontal Load Test Ceramic Tile Roof

Page 2 of 3

CLIENT	CLIENT CLIENT PROJECT REF. NO. CLIENT		NT ORDER NO.		
Diversi-Tech Co	orporation	Bracket Test -	Horizontal Load per S.A.		er S.A.
MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY	PNL PROJECT NO.	S.O. NO.	PNL LAB NO.	TEST DATE	REPORT DATE
Client	26-231261	001	ML845913	10/04/2023	10/13/2023
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION TECHNICIANS					
Tile roof w/ Universa	al L Foot Bracket	& IR-T1 No Pe	enetration Tile Roo	of Bracket	TR, MC, DG

# **SKETCH**



Bracket/Panel Gap Spacing Check





Horizontal Load Test Ceramic Tile Roof

Page 3 of 3

CLIENT		CLIENT PROJECT REF. NO.		CLIENT ORDER NO.	
Diversi-Tech Corporation		Bracket Test - Horizontal Load		per S.A.	
MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY	PNL PROJECT NO.	S.O. NO.	PNL LAB NO.	TEST DATE	REPORT DATE
Client	26-231261	001	ML845913	10/04/2023	10/13/2023
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION TECHNICIANS					
Tile roof w/ Universa	al L Foot Bracket	& IR-T1 No Pe	enetration Tile Roc	of Bracket	TR, MC, DG

# **PHOTOS**



PHOTO 1: Overview of solar panel and tile roof deck - before tests



PHOTO 2: Bracket with no load



PHOTO 3: Test setup for horizontal pull load



PHOTO 4: Applied load



PHOTO 5: Bracket under load



PHOTO 6: Test setup after test



Uplift Tensile Load Test Ceramic Tile Roof

Page 1 of 3

					i ago i oi o
CLIEN'	Т	CLIENT PROJECT REF. NO. CLIENT		ORDER NO.	
Diversi-Tech C	Corporation	Bracket Test - Uplift Load		ре	er S.A.
MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY	PNL PROJECT NO.	S.O. NO.	PNL LAB NO.	TEST DATE	REPORT DATE
Client	26-231261	001	ML845913	10/04/2023	10/13/2023
	TECHNICIANS				
Tile roof w/ Univers	al L Foot Bracket 8	k IR-T1 No Pen	netration Tile Ro	of Bracket	TR, MC, DG

Tit,

### **TEST PROCEDURE/DESCRIPTION**

The test specimen consisted of the solar panels, brackets, railing, and the simulation tile roof. The test specimen was visually examined for any damage or permanent deformation prior to testing. The uplift tensile pull load was applied after initial inspection was completed. Uplift load was applied using a chain hoist and a digital dynamometer. The load was applied at the center point of the cross beam. The cross beam was attached to the solar panel brackets. After the load was applied the specimen was examined for any damages or deformation. After examination the vertical load was released and the test specimen was examined again for any permanent deformation.

TEST DATA & EQUIPMENT INFORMATION						
TEMPERATURE:	88 °F ± 3 °F	HUMIDITY:	15% ± 5%			
TEST SPECIMEN SIZE:	Tile roof: 39.375 in. x 78.500 in.	TEST SPECIMEN AREA:	21.465 ft <sup>2</sup>			
LOAD TYPE:	Uplift tensile, applied with chain hoist	TEST LOAD:	710 lbf			
EQUIPMENT TYPE:	Weightronic Dynamometer	EQUIPMENT MODEL:	OCS-Y / 3000 kg Max.			
BRACKET COMPONENT 1:	Universal L Foot Bracket	BRACKET PART NO. 1:	IR-DMLF2000			
BRACKET COMPONENT 2:	IR-T1 No Penetration Tile Roof Bracket	BRACKET PART NO. 2:	IR-FCTR1500			

#### **VISUAL TEST OBSERVATIONS**

	INITIAL		UNDER UPLIFT TEST LOAD (710 lbf)		AFTER LOAD REMOVAL	
NO.	BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION	BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION	BRACKET DEFORMATION	PANEL DEFORMATION
1	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None
2	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None
3	None	None	Moderate	Severe	None	None
4	None	None	Moderate	Moderate	None	None

### **OBSERVATIONS**

After removal of the uplift test load all brackets returned to original position with no permanent deformation noted.

The solar panel did not show any signs of permanent damage or deformation.

At Bracket No. 3, the tile roof shingle separated at the glue bond from the shingle underneath.

TECHNICIAN	minny Payer	REVIEWED BY	hylu	They	
	0				




Uplift Tensile Load Test Ceramic Tile Roof

Page 2 of 3

					. age = 0. c
CLIENT		CLIENT PROJ	ECT REF. NO.	CLIENT	ORDER NO.
Diversi-Tech Co	orporation	Bracket Test - Uplift Load		pe	r S.A.
MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY	PNL PROJECT NO.	S.O. NO.	PNL LAB NO.	TEST DATE	REPORT DATE
Client	26-231261	001	ML845913	10/04/2023	10/13/2023
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION TECHNICIANS					
Tile roof w/ Universa	RIAL SUBMITTED BY PNL PROJECT NO. S.O. NO. PNL LAB NO. TEST DATE  Client 26-231261 001 ML845913 10/04/2023			of Bracket	TR, MC, DG

# **SKETCH**



Bracket/Panel Gap Spacing Check



Phoenix National Laboratories, Inc.
941 S. Park Lane, Tempe, AZ 85281
P: 602.431.8887 • www.pnltest.com

Uplift Tensile Load Test Ceramic Tile Roof

Page 3 of 3

CLIENT		CLIENT PROJECT REF. NO.		CLIENT ORDER NO.		
Diversi-Tech Co	orporation	Bracket Test - Uplift Load per		Bracket Test - Uplift Load per S.A.		r S.A.
MATERIAL SUBMITTED BY	PNL PROJECT NO.	S.O. NO.	PNL LAB NO.	TEST DATE	REPORT DATE	
Client	26-231261	001	ML845913	10/04/2023	10/13/2023	
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION TECHNICIANS						
Tile roof w/ Universa	al L Foot Bracket &	IR-T1 No Pen	etration Tile Ro	of Bracket	TR, MC, DG	

## **PHOTOS**



**PHOTO 1:** Overview of solar panel and tile roof deck - before tests



**PHOTO 2:** Overview of tensile test setup and connection



PHOTO 3: Brackets with no load



PHOTO 4: Test setup



PHOTO 5: Test specimen while under tensile load



PHOTO 6: Dynamometer scale under load



PHOTO 7: Bracket under test load



PHOTO 8: Bracket unloaded after test



**PHOTO 9:** Bracket unloaded after test - roof tile bond pulled apart.