




***Data extrapolated from 11-24 Boeing Wind Tunnel testing and mechanical BallastRack hydraulic load testing.**




Base Frame Ballasted:

Three 175lb curb stop ballasts per solar module.
525lbs minimum per solar module for
60-70 mph windspeed at 40 degree angle.
(No stakes required)




IR EarthBallast™ System:

22in fill to top of mound. 1000lbs
minimum per solar module.
Center of mound 6" offset toward rear leg.
(3/4" Steel concrete forming stakes required at
each end of base tube member)




IR AnchorSpike™ / EarthScrew™:

Two AnchorSpikes / EarthScrews per frame section.
Medium/high density compacted soil required.
(1000lb minimum uplift test per AnchorSpike / EarthScrew.
Not compatible in regions with frost depths over 20 inches)




Base Frame Bolted:

Bolted to concrete footing,
concrete ballast pad, or steel beam.
(1000lbs minimum hold down per frame section)



***Images shown at 15 and 40 degrees positions**

		PROJECT			
		IntegraRack			
		TITLE			
		IR-40FSAA / FSAB / FSAC Solar Racking System Data Sheet			
		Black Zinc Plated High Strength Steel / High Strength Steel and Composite			
APPROVED	Paul Budge	2/21/2025	SIZE	CODE	DWG NO
CHECKED	Paul Budge	2/21/2025	B		
DRAWN	Jeff Glauser	2/21/2025	SCALE 1:20	WEIGHT 15lbs / 22lbs / 16lbs	SHEET 1/4
		REV			



Maximum Snow Load for All Angles	
Module size up to 24 sqft	Module size up to 34 sqft
100 psf	65 psf

15 Degree Angle		
Maximum Wind Speed		
	Module size up to 24 sqft	Module size up to 34 sqft
Base Frame Ballasted	100 mph	85 mph
IR EarthBallast™	160 mph	140 mph
IR AnchorSpike™	160 mph	140 mph
Base Frame Bolted	160 mph	140 mph



20 Degree Angle		
Maximum Wind Speed		
	Module size up to 24 sqft	Module size up to 34 sqft
Base Frame Ballasted	90 mph	80 mph
IR EarthBallast™	150 mph	130 mph
IR AnchorSpike™	150 mph	130 mph
Base Frame Bolted	150 mph	130 mph

25 Degree Angle		
Maximum Wind Speed		
	Module size up to 24 sqft	Module size up to 34 sqft
Base Frame Ballasted	85 mph	75 mph
IR EarthBallast™	135 mph	115 mph
IR AnchorSpike™	135 mph	115 mph
Base Frame Bolted	135 mph	115 mph


30 Degree Angle		
Maximum Wind Speed		
	Module size up to 24 sqft	Module size up to 34 sqft
Base Frame Ballasted	80 mph	70 mph
IR EarthBallast™	120 mph	110 mph
IR AnchorSpike™	120 mph	110 mph
Base Frame Bolted	120 mph	110 mph

35 Degree Angle		
Maximum Wind Speed		
	Module size up to 24 sqft	Module size up to 34 sqft
Base Frame Ballasted	75 mph	65 mph
IR EarthBallast™	115 mph	100 mph
IR AnchorSpike™	115 mph	100 mph
Base Frame Bolted	115 mph	100 mph

40 Degree Angle		
Maximum Wind Speed		
	Module size up to 24 sqft	Module size up to 34 sqft
Base Frame Ballasted	70 mph	60 mph
IR EarthBallast™	110 mph	95 mph
IR AnchorSpike™	110 mph	95 mph
Base Frame Bolted	110 mph	95 mph



AnchorSpike hole-to-hole dimension: 44.8 inches



Height off the ground and space between frames will vary based on module size and adjustment angle.
(Solar module size represented is 44.7"x67.8")

*Images shown at 15 and 40 degrees positions



Mr. Paul Budge
Diversi-Tech Corp – IntegraRack
PO Box 910758
St. George, UT 84791

Subject: Witness of Wind Tunnel Testing on IntegraRack Products at the George E Boeing Aeronautics Department (Kirsten Wind Tunnel) at the University of Washington

Dear My. Budge,

This letter summarizes the wind tunnel testing that was witnessed at the Kirsten Wind Tunnel (KWT) on November 8th, 2024. Testing took place at KWT on the University of Washington campus at 3900 7th Ave NE, Seattle, WA. Cara Winter was the manager of the facility and testing was performed and overseen by Test Engineers Stuart Dickson and Miguel Salguero. A detailed analysis and calculations of test data is outside the purview of this report and can be provided by KWT personnel.

The wind tunnel data summarized in this report, mechanical material stress testing and solar mount adhesion testing performed by PNL, and simulated wind/snow load model data was extrapolated on product datas sheets by IntegraRack for various product configurations and solar angles for the following IntegraRack solar panel products: TileBallast, BallastRack, BallastRack DR, MultiBallast, MultiBallast DB, H Module Brack, and the IR-F2 Bonding Clamp.

A total of 8 runs were performed on IntegraRack products. Test Runs 1 through 3 were performed with the IR-D1 bracket (See Figures 1-4) with an installed 18 SF solar panel on a simulated roof incline. Run 1 was performed to tare KWT's system through the full 0 to 110 degree rotation of the test platform with the simulated roof and panel installed. The roof surface had been installed at an approximate 5 – 6 degree incline (See Figure 1). The solar panel was mounted at 0 degrees relative to the roof surface with a general height of 5 in from the roof surface. at all four corners of the panel. Test Runs 4 and 5 were performed on the same panel and bracket with the panel height adjusted and installed at an angle with the front corners set to 3-1/2 in. and the back corners set to a height of 6 in. from the roof surface.

Test Runs 2 through 5 included rotating the test setup from 0 to 110 degrees while KWT instrumentation recorded test data. Wind speed was increased until limitations of KWT instrumentation prevented it from being increased further. Four load cells, Omega model LC103B-250 lb Class N10, were installed between the IntegraRack bracket and the roof surface to monitor and record loads applied to the brackets throughout testing (See Figures 5-10). The load cells were labeled LC0-1, LC0-2, LC5-3, and LC5-4 with LC0 being installed at the leading edge and LC5 being installed at the back edge.

The maximum load at a bracket recorded during Test Runs 2 and 3 was 75.015 lbf at a wind speed of 157.67 MPH when the panel was at a rotation point of 60 degrees. No damage or deformation was noted



to any bracket during or after the test runs and all hardware was found to be secure upon completion of each test (See Figures 11-13).

Test Runs 6 through 8 were performed on the IR Ballast Racks (See Figures 14-32). The simulated roof structure was removed and the frames and panel were mounted directly to the KWT test platform. These runs were performed at a set angle with no platform rotation during the test run. Two load cells were used for the test setups and were mounted at the brackets at the leading edge of the test platform at the wind intake side.

Run 6 was performed on the IR18C with the solar panel at an approximate 18 degree angle (Figures 24-25). The maximum load at a bracket recorded during Test Run 6 was 168.59 lbf at a wind speed of 101.59 MPH. Runs 7 and 8 were performed on the IR30C with the solar panel at a 30 degree angle (Figures 30-32) with Run 7 having the solar panel mounted and aligned with the wind direction to produce maximum forces on the frame and Run 8 being run in an orientation 90 degrees offset from Run 7. The maximum load at a bracket was during Test Run 7 and was 183.38 lbf at a wind speed of 81.138 MPH. Run 7 was stopped when the drag limit of the KWT test apparatus was reached. The solar frame experienced shaking under wind load but no damage was found to any part and all bolts were found to still be tight and in place after the test run. Run 8 was pitch limited by the KWT test apparatus. Similar to Run 7 the frame and solar panel had experienced shaking under load but no damage to the frame or panel was noted and all bolts and connections remained tight.


Test data tables provided by KWT and photos of testing throughout the Test Runs have been attached.

Respectfully submitted,

PHOENIX NATIONAL LABORATORIES, LLC

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Kyle Fleege".

Kyle Fleege, P.E.
Project Manager / Mechanical Engineer
Phoenix National Laboratories
Ph: 1.602.431.8887
kyle@pnlttest.com
www.pnlttest.com



Test data tables provided by Kirsten Wind Tunnel tests are shown below:

RUN	TEST	TP	PSI	QA	QC	SPEEDMPH	LC0-1	LC0-2	LC5-3	LC5-4	DB	LB
2	2379	3	0.0001	16.775	17.799	84.38	1.1732	0.9254	12.114	13.537	0	0
2	2379	4	9.9998	16.676	17.693	84.13	3.3832	0.1309	13.25	12.918	0	0
2	2379	5	20	16.788	17.813	84.414	6.5054	-1.2426	14.882	15.703	0	0
2	2379	6	30	14.846	15.752	79.391	8.6943	-2.5876	11.917	15.932	0	0
2	2379	7	40	15.216	16.144	80.374	10.566	-3.614	9.2887	17.334	0	0
2	2379	8	50	15.91	16.881	82.19	12.154	-4.7729	5.8138	18.225	0	0
2	2379	9	60	17.543	18.614	86.311	15.831	-4.7168	3.432	19.871	0	0
2	2379	10	70	18.075	19.177	87.609	15.929	-2.9647	1.6713	17.613	0	0
2	2379	11	80	19.807	21.015	91.718	16.576	-0.2715	1.6043	16.188	0	0
2	2379	12	90	26.487	28.103	106.081	20.349	2.4846	2.6014	20.856	0	0
2	2379	13	100	27.443	29.117	107.995	23.246	3.9239	1.6397	26.417	0	0
2	2379	14	110	28.046	29.758	109.19	30.304	4.9443	0.4954	31.084	0	0

Test data tables provided by Kirsten Wind Tunnel tests are shown below:

RUN	TEST	TP	PSI	QA	QC	SPEEDMPH	LC0-1	LC0-2	LC5-3	LC5-4	DB	LB
3	2379	2	-0.0001	46.765	49.618	141.561	1.1642	2.3972	36.627	39.938	0	0
3	2379	3	10	47.13	50.006	142.153	7.9853	-0.2581	42.362	39.215	0	0
3	2379	4	20	48.375	51.327	144.054	17.809	-5.1282	48.033	50.868	0	0
3	2379	5	30	50.382	53.456	147.043	28.671	-12.013	46.107	61.281	0	0
3	2379	6	40	52.966	56.198	150.773	36.485	-22.577	33.65	68.344	0	0
3	2379	7	50	54.38	57.698	152.791	44.03	-28.356	17.304	72.349	0	0
3	2379	8	60	57.878	61.409	157.674	56.195	-27.306	4.63	75.015	0	0
3	2379	9	70	56.226	59.656	155.402	54.153	-16	-2.0357	62.293	0	0
3	2379	10	80	53.778	57.059	151.975	48.502	-4.0685	-2.0692	49.307	0	0
3	2379	11	90	57.92	61.454	157.771	47.213	3.5417	-0.5077	50.257	0	0
3	2379	12	100	54.454	57.777	152.979	46.905	6.1752	-4.2226	55.18	0	0
3	2379	13	110	36.199	38.408	124.779	34.937	5.9472	-9.3307	38.454	0	0

Test data tables provided by Kirsten Wind Tunnel tests are shown below:

RUN	TEST	TP	PSI	QA	QC	SPEEDMPH	LC0-1	LC0-2	LC5-3	LC5-4	DB	LB
5	2379	3	0.0003	14.845	15.03	77.742	43.468	45.917	33.192	35.332	0	0
5	2379	4	10	14.115	14.291	75.806	39.406	45.863	32.548	33.352	0	0
5	2379	5	20	14.301	14.479	76.302	41.216	49.171	36.107	33.865	0	0
5	2379	6	30	15.476	15.669	79.377	43.323	49.791	40.199	30.547	0	0
5	2379	7	40	15.792	15.989	80.181	40.37	44.513	37.812	28.348	0	0
5	2379	8	50	15.441	15.633	79.284	34.815	34.07	29.669	27.254	0	0
5	2379	9	60	14.604	14.787	77.107	25.852	21.071	18.666	23.403	0	0
5	2379	10	70	15.452	15.644	79.315	19.532	11.214	10.48	19.561	0	0
5	2379	11	80	15.788	15.985	80.173	13.097	5.1532	4.4544	14.183	0	0
5	2379	12	90	15.937	16.136	80.55	10.261	2.0128	0.5043	11.748	0	0
5	2379	13	100	14.945	15.131	77.998	9.7597	-1.4293	-4.2425	10.805	0	0
5	2379	14	110	15.231	15.421	78.745	10.539	-5.6497	-13.113	8.2362	0	0

Test data tables provided by Kirsten Wind Tunnel tests are shown below. Blockage corrections to calculate DB and LB ARE APPROXIMATION and were provided by KWT Test Engineers using a simplified version of Shindo's method, outlined in "Simplified Tunnel Correction Method" by Shojiro Shindo, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc. < Washington DC, 1994: Journal of Aircraft Volume 32, Number 1, Pages 210-213. LB is the lift value and DB is the drag value after wind-off zero values have been subtracted.

RUN	TEST	TP	PSI	QA	QC	SPEEDMPH	LC0-1	LC0-2	LC5-3	LC5-4	DB	LB
6	2379	2	-0.0006	19.964	25.663	101.585	-206.622	-168.586	0.6796	0	244.379	363.911
6	2379	3	-0.0005	19.922	25.611	101.499	-206.361	-167.906	0.5212	0.0001	243.946	363.13
6	2379	4	-0.0005	18.132	23.265	96.748	-185.481	-146.788	-0.5698	0	220.212	326.42
6	2379	5	-0.0004	14.927	19.087	87.632	-146.747	-110.624	1.6089	0	178.639	262.798
6	2379	6	-0.0004	10.021	12.738	71.584	-89.117	-53.252	1.1724	-0.0001	116.858	169.83

Test data tables provided by Kirsten Wind Tunnel tests are shown below. Blockage corrections to calculate DB and LB ARE APPROXIMATION and were provided by KWT Test Engineers using a simplified version of Shindo's method, outlined in "Simplified Tunnel Correction Method" by Shojiro Shindo, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc. < Washington DC, 1994: Journal of Aircraft Volume 32, Number 1, Pages 210-213. LB is the lift value and DB is the drag value after wind-off zero values have been subtracted.

RUN	TEST	TP	PSI	QA	QC	SPEEDMPH	LC0-1	LC0-2	LC5-3	LC5-4	DB	LB
7	2379	2	-0.0001	10.101	16.001	80.229	-165.145	-179.17	-0.0654	0	239.012	297.932
7	2379	3	0	10.304	16.363	81.138	-170.329	-183.382	1.6992	0	245.281	304.603
7	2379	4	0	4.9808	7.7789	55.942	-70.106	-82.668	1.1755	0	113.803	142.75

RUN	TEST	TP	PSI	QA	QC	SPEEDMPH	LC0-1	LC0-2	LC5-3	LC5-4	DB	LB
8	2379	2	90.001	10.165	11.329	67.503	-1.1756	-7.5189	-1.3941	0	51.808	28.96
8	2379	3	90	15.002	16.716	81.993	-13.771	-17.132	0.1391	0	76.302	40.688
8	2379	4	90	17.966	20.011	89.723	-21.883	-23.854	0.307	0	91.033	50.149
8	2379	5	90	24.873	27.701	105.599	-45.078	-38.33	-0.174	0	125.875	68.707
8	2379	6	90	27.029	30.099	110.103	-53.423	-43.1	0.5537	0	136.673	76.038
8	2379	7	90	31.751	35.352	119.364	-71.986	-54.265	-0.7512	0	160.314	91.156
8	2379	8	90	39.784	44.294	133.708	-102.541	-76.2	0.5756	0	200.798	112.886

PHOTOS



Figure 1: Run 1 through 3 simulated roof setup



Figure 2: Run 1 through 3 setup with panel



Figure 3: Bracket at leading edge LC0-1, LC0-2




Figure 4: Bracket at back edge LC5-3, LC5-4



Figure 5: Load Cell Model used for tests



Figure 6: Height from roof to panel, Runs 2 & 3



Figure 7: LC0-1 Location



Figure 8: LC0-2 Location




Figure 9: LC5-3 Location

PHOTOS



Figure 10: LC5-4 Location




Figure 11: Setup after Run 2, 109 mph winds.
No damages or loose hardware noted.




Figure 12: Setup after Run 2, 109 mph winds.
No damages or loose hardware noted



Figure 13: Setup after Run 2, 109 mph winds.
No damages or loose hardware noted



Figure 14: Setup for Run 6 with IR Ballast
Frame




Figure 15: Load cell location at leading edge,
LC0-1 & LC0-2




Figure 16: Test setup for Run 6



Figure 17: IR Ballast frame connection to solar
panel



Figure 18: IR Ballast frame connection to solar
panel

PHOTOS



Figure 19: Test setup for Run 6



Figure 20: Solar panels total length, Run 6 through 8



Figure 21: Solar panels total length 83 in.



Figure 22: Solar panels width, Run 6 through 8




Figure 23: Total width 41-1/4 in.




Figure 24: Run 6 trailing edge leg height



Figure 25: Run 6 leading edge leg height




Figure 26: Frame base support width




Figure 27: Frame base support height

PHOTOS




Figure 28: IR Ballast rack leg width 1



Figure 29: IR Ballast rack leg width 2



Figure 30: Runs 7 - 8 Test setup



Figure 31: Run 7 trailing edge leg height




Figure 32: Run 7 Leading edge leg height



April 24, 2024
 Mr. Paul Budge
 Diversi-Tech Corp - IntegraRack
 PO Box 910758
 St. George, UT 84791

Subject: Simulated Wind Load, Snow Load, and Horizontal Racking Load Testing on IR-30 Solar Racking System.

Dear Mr. Budge,

Please find included our test reports for the simulated wind load (tensile load), snow load (compression load) and horizontal racking load tests of the IR-30 Solar Racking System performed on 3/20/2024 - 03/22/2024 in St. George, Utah.

The first simulated wind load test was performed on the IR-30 Solar Racking System Ground Frame that had two solar panels installed at a 30 ° angle and utilized the EarthBallast System. The load was applied via a crossbar connected to the solar panels which were then connected to the ground frame using the IR-F2 Under Mount Flange Clamp Bracket. The test was performed in two parts with the first part used ballast of two loose fill dirt loads from a skid steer, approximately 11 ft³ total volume, and then the second part used a total of three loads for a total volume of approximately 14 ft³. The IR-30 Solar Racking system was monitored for movement as the simulated wind load tensile force was applied. Test loads were measured using a calibrated Dyna-Link 2 Dynamometer (SN 100326, Cal. Date 10/13/2023). Test run details are shown in the table below.

SIMULATED WIND LOAD (TENSILE UPLIFT) FORCE INSPECTION DETAILS					
TEST NO.	BALLAST DETAILS		VISUAL OBSERVATIONS		
	SIZE (LxWxH) (in.)	CALCULATED WEIGHT (lbf)	UPLIFT TENSILE FORCE AT INITIAL FRAME MOVEMENT (lbf)	UPLIFT TENSILE FORCE AT FRAME AND BALLAST MOVEMENT (lbf)	MAX. FORCE REACHED (lbf)
1	96 in. x 18 in. x 11 in. (11 CF)	1100 lbf (2 skid steer buckets)	800	880	1045
2	92 in. x 42 in. x 14 in. (14 CF)	1400 lbf (3 skid steer buckets)	965	1065	1235

The horizontal racking load test was performed on the same IR-30 Solar Racking System Ground Frame that had two solar panels installed at a 30 ° angle and utilized the EarthBallast System and three loads of loose fill dirt ballast. A lifting strap was used to wrap around the panel and run parallel to the frame in order to apply the horizontal racking force. The system was monitored for movement as the simulated load was applied and the maximum load was recorded. The system held the load and no damage or permanent deformation was noted as detailed in the test observations table below.

SIMULATED HORIZONTAL RACKING FORCE INSPECTION DETAILS				
TEST NO.	BALLAST DETAILS		MAX. HORIZONTAL FORCE (lbf)	OBSERVATIONS
	SIZE (LxWxH) (in.)	WEIGHT (lbf)		
1	90 in. x 42 in. x 14 in. (14 CF)	~ 1400 lbf (3 skid steer buckets)	645	Test was stopped at 645 lbf. No damage or movement was visually noted.

The simulated snow load test was performed on a IR-30 Solar Racking System Ground Frame that was installed with two

short uprights so that the solar panel would be held parallel to the ground. The solar panel was attached to the ground frame using the IR-F2 Under Mount Flange Clamp Bracket. The load was applied using a large water tank that weighed 2410 lbf. The weight was recorded using the calibrated Dyna-Link 2 Dynamometer (SN 100326, Cal. Date 10/13/2023). The load was set on the frame and left overnight. The following day the load was increased by adding a total of twelve 5 gallon water jugs. The jugs were filled and weigh approximately 45 lbf per jug for a total weight of 2950 lbf. The frame held all loads and visual observations of the frame and components were recorded and shown in the table below.

SIMULATED SNOW LOAD (COMPRESSIVE LOAD) INSPECTION DETAILS			
	COMPRESSIVE FORCE		OBSERVATIONS
	DESCRIPTION	WEIGHT (lbf)	
1	Large water tank	2410	Solar panel held load overnight (> 10 h).
2	Large water tank + (12) five gallon jugs	2950	Solar panel held load, ~ 10 -15 minutes under observation. Slight deflections noted under load (See Photos). Minor permanent deformation noted after load removal (See Photos). The alignment tabs in the vertical uprights were no longer flat with the uprights.


The final simulated wind load test was performed on the IR-30 Solar Racking System Ground Frame that had two solar panels installed at a 30 ° angle and utilized the small IR AnchorSpikes and no earth ballast. The load was applied via a crossbar connected to the solar panels which were then connected to the ground frame using the IR-F2 Under Mount Flange Clamp Bracket. The load was applied until failure of a solar panel at 2385 lbf. Visual observations noted that the frame had visibly moved and shifted forward initially at 1500 lbf but continued to hold load as detailed in the table below.

SIMULATED WIND LOAD (TENSILE UPLIFT) FORCE INSPECTION DETAILS		
TEST NO.	MAX. FORCE (lbf)	OBSERVATIONS
1	2385	At 1500 lbf the frame visibly shifted and started to roll forward. At 2385 lbf one of the solar panels failed and shattered (Photos 7-9). The frame and brackets holding the solar panel kept it in place and were permanently deformed. The aluminum tube upright had bent forward and outward causing the seam of the tube to tear and it allowed the through bolt to come free. Two mounting brackets permanently deformed and there was additional permanent deformation in the base frame (Photos 10-15)

Test reports with additional details, photos, and data have been attached.

Respectfully submitted,
PHOENIX NATIONAL LABORATORIES, INC.

Kyle Fleege
 Kyle Fleege, P.E.
 Project Manager / Mechanical Engineer
 Phoenix National Laboratories
 Ph: 1.602.431.8887
 kyle@pnltest.com
 www.pnltest.com





941 S. Park Lane, Tempe, AZ 85281
P: 602.431.8887 • www.pnltest.com

INSPECTION AND TEST REPORT

PNL REF. # 26-240383 S.O. # 001 INDEX 03
INSPECTION DATE 03/20/2024
IR-30 Ground Frame w/ EarthBallast: Simulated Wind Load

Page 1 of 3

CLIENT		CLIENT PROJECT REFERENCE		CLIENT ORDER NO.	
IntegraRack		IR-30 Solar Racking System w/ Earth Ballast - Simulated Wind		per S.A.	
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION		TEST LOCATION		TECHNICIANS	
IR-30 Solar Racking System w/ Earth Ballast		St. George, UT		Weston A.	
TEST CONDITIONS & EQUIPMENT INFORMATION					
TEMPERATURE:	65 °F ± 10 °F		HUMIDITY:	30% ± 10%	
LOAD TYPE:	Simulated Wind Load - Tensile / Uplift		TEST LOAD:	Record	
EQUIPMENT TYPE:	Dyna-Link 2 Dynamometer MSI-7300RF		S/N & CALIBRATION DATE:	S/N 100326; CAL 10/13/2023	
SKID STEER MODEL:	Kubota SSV65				
TEST SPECIMEN & COMPONENT INFORMATION					
TEST SPECIMEN:	IR-30 Solar Racking System		ID NO.:	IR-30RF1000	
SOLAR PANELS SIZE:	39.25 in. x 66 in.		TEST SPECIMEN AREA:	17.989 ft ²	
SYSTEM COMPONENT 1:	IRF2 Under Mount Flange Clamp Bracket		PART NO. 1:	IR-FCCM0500	
SYSTEM COMPONENT 2:	Large IR-30 Frame Upright		PART NO. 2:	IRP-30LL1000-T	
SYSTEM COMPONENT 3:	Small IR-30 Frame Upright		PART NO. 3:	IRP-30SL1000-T	
SYSTEM COMPONENT 4:	IR-30 Base Tube		PART NO. 4:	IRP-30BT1000-T	
SYSTEM COMPONENT 5:	Connecting Rod		PART NO. 5:	None - 1" EMT pipe	
SIMULATED WIND LOAD (TENSILE UPLIFT FORCE) TEST PROCEDURE/DESCRIPTION					
<p>The IR-30 Solar Racking System Ground Frame was installed with two solar panels and the IR EarthBallast System. The system utilizes a mesh that is epoxied to the frame which is then loaded with dirt (ballast) that supports and holds down the frame (Photo 3). The system was tested with 2 Kubota SSV75 skid steer loads of dirt loaded for Test 1 and 3 loads for Test 2. Load was applied via a red crossbar that was fastened to the edges of the solar panel frames that was connected to the ground frame via the IRF2 Under Mount Flange Clamp Bracket. The skid steer dirt loads were estimated at approximately 500 lbf each using an estimated 100 lb/ft³ for the density of the soil. The actual density of the soil is unknown.</p> <p>The solar panels were set at an approximate 30° angle. The tensile force was applied upwards and away, at a perpendicular angle from the solar panels using the skid steer (Photo 4). Load was monitored with the digital dynamometer. Load was recorded when an initial shift of the solar panel frame was noted and when the shift was large enough to cause visual changes to the frame and in the ballast surface (Photos 5-13).</p>					
SIMULATED WIND LOAD (TENSILE UPLIFT) FORCE INSPECTION DETAILS					
TEST NO.	BALLAST DETAILS		VISUAL OBSERVATIONS		
	SIZE (LxWxH) (in.)	CALCULATED WEIGHT (lbf)	UPLIFT TENSILE FORCE AT INITIAL FRAME MOVEMENT (lbf)	UPLIFT TENSILE FORCE AT FRAME AND BALLAST MOVEMENT (lbf)	MAX. FORCE REACHED (lbf)
1	96 in. x 18 in. x 11 in. (11 CF)	1100 lbf (2 skid steer buckets)	800	880	1045
2	92 in. x 42 in. x 14 in. (14 CF)	1400 lbf (3 skid steer buckets)	965	1065	1235

TECHNICIAN

Weston A.

REVIEWED BY

Kyle Hays

CLIENT	CLIENT PROJECT REFERENCE	CLIENT ORDER NO.
IntegraRack	IR-30 Solar Racking System w/ Earth Ballast - Simulated Wind	per S.A.
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	TEST LOCATION	TECHNICIANS
IR-30 Solar Racking System w/ Earth Ballast	St. George, UT	Weston A.

PHOTOS



PHOTO 1: Dynamometer used to record loads




PHOTO 2: Dynamometer ID label




PHOTO 3: Test setup - IR-30 Solar Racking System with red test cross frame



PHOTO 4: Test setup with dynamometer and chains connected



PHOTO 5: Test 1 - Evidence of ballast shift



PHOTO 6: Test 1 - Load at ballast shift




PHOTO 7: Test 1 - Max load



PHOTO 8: Test 2 - Evidence of ballast shift



PHOTO 9: Test 2 - Evidence of ballast shift

CLIENT	CLIENT PROJECT REFERENCE	CLIENT ORDER NO.
IntegraRack	IR-30 Solar Racking System w/ Earth Ballast - Uplift Force	per S.A.
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	TEST LOCATION	TECHNICIANS
IR-30 Solar Racking System w/ Earth Ballast	St. George, UT	Weston A.

PHOTOS



PHOTO 10: Test 2 - Load at ballast shift



PHOTO 11: Test 2 - Max load



PHOTO 12: Test 2 - Max load



PHOTO 13: Ballast after completion of testing



PHOTO 14: Ballast and frame after completion of testing




PHOTO 13: IR-F2 Clamp bracket after completion of testing



INSPECTION AND TEST REPORT

PNL REF. # 26-240383 S.O. # 001 INDEX 03

INSPECTION DATE 03/20/2024

IR-30 Ground Frame w/ EarthBallast: Horizontal Racking Load

Page 1 of 2

CLIENT		CLIENT PROJECT REFERENCE		CLIENT ORDER NO.	
IntegraRack		IR-30 Solar Racking System w/ Earth Ballast - Horizontal Racking		per S.A.	
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION		TEST LOCATION		TECHNICIANS	
IR-30 Solar Racking System w/ Earth Ballast		St. George, UT		Weston A.	
TEST CONDITIONS & EQUIPMENT INFORMATION					
TEMPERATURE:	65 °F ± 10 °F		HUMIDITY:	30% ± 10%	
LOAD TYPE:	Horizontal Racking Load		TEST LOAD:	Record	
EQUIPMENT TYPE:	Dyna-Link 2 Dynamometer MSI-7300RF		S/N & CALIBRATION DATE:	S/N 100326; CAL 10/13/2023	
SKID STEER MODEL:	Kubota SSV65				
TEST SPECIMEN & COMPONENT INFORMATION					
TEST SPECIMEN:	IR-30 Solar Racking System		ID NO.:	IR-30RF1000	
SOLAR PANELS SIZE:	39.25 in. x 66 in.		TEST SPECIMEN AREA:	17.989 ft ²	
SYSTEM COMPONENT 1:	IRF2 Under Mount Flange Clamp Bracket		PART NO. 1:	IR-FCCM0500	
SYSTEM COMPONENT 2:	Large IR-30 Frame Upright		PART NO. 2:	IRP-30LL1000-T	
SYSTEM COMPONENT 3:	Small IR-30 Frame Upright		PART NO. 3:	IRP-30SL1000-T	
SYSTEM COMPONENT 4:	IR-30 Base Tube		PART NO. 4:	IRP-30BT1000-T	
SYSTEM COMPONENT 5:	Connecting Rod		PART NO. 5:	None - 1" EMT pipe	
HORIZONTAL RACKING FORCE TEST PROCEDURE/DESCRIPTION					
<p>The IR-30 Solar Racking System Ground Frame was installed with the IR EarthBallast System and two solar panels. The EarthBallast system utilizes a mesh that is epoxied to the frame which is then loaded with loose dirt fill (ballast) that supports and holds down the frame. The horizontal load test was performed after the vertical uplift tensile load test. The system was tested with 3 loads of dirt from a Kubota SSV75 skid steer. The skid steer dirt loads were estimated at ~ 500 lbf each using an estimate of 100 lb/ft³ for the density of soil. A lifting strap was wrapped around the panel lengthwise and run parallel to the frame in order to apply a horizontal force to the system (Photos). Force was applied using the skid steer and load was monitored with the digital dynamometer. The test was stopped at a load of 645 lbf. No movement or damage was visually noted during or after the test.</p>					
HORIZONTAL RACKING FORCE INSPECTION DETAILS					
TEST NO.	BALLAST DETAILS		MAX. HORIZONTAL FORCE (lbf)	OBSERVATIONS	
	SIZE (LxWxH) (in.)	WEIGHT (lbf)			
1	90 in. x 42 in. x 14 in. (14 CF)	~ 1400 lbf (3 skid steer buckets)	645	Test was stopped at 645 lbf. No damage or movement was visually noted.	

TECHNICIAN

REVIEWED BY

INSPECTION AND TEST REPORT

PNL REF. # 26-240383 S.O. # 001 INDEX 03
INSPECTION DATE 03/20/2024
IR-30 Ground Frame w/ EarthBallast: Horizontal Racking Load

Page 2 of 2

CLIENT	CLIENT PROJECT REFERENCE	CLIENT ORDER NO.
IntegraRack	IR-30 Solar Racking System w/ Earth Ballast - Horizontal Racking	per S.A.
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	TEST LOCATION	TECHNICIANS
IR-30 Solar Racking System w/ Earth Ballast	St. George, UT	Weston A.

PHOTOS



PHOTO 1: Horizontal force test setup



PHOTO 2: Horizontal force test setup



PHOTO 3: Horizontal force test setup



Photo 4: Horizontal force test at max load



Photo 4: Horizontal force test max load



INSPECTION AND TEST REPORT

PNL REF. # 26-240383 S.O. # 001 INDEX 03
INSPECTION DATE 03/20/2024
IR-30 Ground Frame: Simulated Snow Load


Page 1 of 3

CLIENT		CLIENT PROJECT REFERENCE		CLIENT ORDER NO.	
IntegraRack		IR-30 Solar Racking System - Simulated Snow Load		pefr S.A.	
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION			TEST LOCATION		TECHNICIANS
IR-30 Solar Racking System w/ 1 solar panel			St. George, UT		Weston A.
TEST CONDITIONS & EQUIPMENT INFORMATION					
TEMPERATURE:	65 °F ± 10 °F		HUMIDITY:	30% ± 10%	
LOAD TYPE:	Simulated Snow Load - Compressive		TEST LOAD:	Record	
EQUIPMENT TYPE:	Dyna-Link 2 Dynamometer MSI-7300RF		S/N & CALIBRATION DATE:	S/N 100326; CAL 10/13/2023	
WATER TANK WEIGHT:	2410 lbf				
TEST SPECIMEN & COMPONENT INFORMATION					
TEST SPECIMEN:	IR-30 Solar Racking System		ID NO.:	IR-30RF1000	
SOLAR PANELS SIZE:	39.25 in. x 66 in.		TEST SPECIMEN AREA:	17.989 ft ²	
SYSTEM COMPONENT 1:	IRF2 Under Mount Flange Clamp Bracket		PART NO. 1:	IR-FCCM0500	
SYSTEM COMPONENT 2:	Small IR-30 Frame Upright		PART NO. 2:	IRP-30SL1000-T	
SYSTEM COMPONENT 3:	Small IR-30 Frame Upright		PART NO. 3:	IRP-30SL1000-T	
SYSTEM COMPONENT 4:	IR-30 Base Tube		PART NO. 4:	IRP-30BT1000-T	
SYSTEM COMPONENT 5:	Connecting Rod		PART NO. 5:	None - 1" EMT pipe	
SIMULATED SNOW LOAD (COMPRESSIVE LOAD) TEST PROCEDURE/DESCRIPTION					
<p>The IR-30 Solar Racking System Ground Frame was installed using only the short uprights so that the solar panel, size 39-1/4 in.x 66 in., would be flat and parallel to the ground (Photo). Solar panel frames were connected to the ground frame via the IRF2 Under Mount Flange Clamp Bracket. The 1st part of the test was placing the large water tank directly on top of the solar panels and leaving it overnight. Two aluminum rectangular tubes were placed along the longitudinal edge of the solar panel for the water tank to be placed on so that the load was distributed to both sides of the frame (Photo). The 2nd part of the test involved adding 12 additional 5 gallon water jugs. The same 5 gallon jugs had been filled with water and weighed on PNL's calibrated universal test machines for previous tests (See PNL Report 26-231261.001 (dated 10/13/2023) for Compression Load Test) and had an average weight of 45.31 lbf so an average weight of 45 lbf was assumed for the full water jugs.</p>					
SIMULATED SNOW LOAD (COMPRESSIVE LOAD) INSPECTION DETAILS					
	COMPRESSIVE FORCE		OBSERVATIONS		
	DESCRIPTION	WEIGHT (lbf)			
1	Large water tank	2410	Solar panel held load overnight (> 10 h).		
2	Large water tank + (12) five gallon jugs	2950	Solar panel held load, ~ 10 -15 minutes under observation. Slight deflections noted under load (See Photos). Minor permanent deformation noted after load removal (See Photos). The alignment tabs in the vertical uprights were no longer flat with the uprights.		

TECHNICIAN



REVIEWED BY



CLIENT	CLIENT PROJECT REFERENCE	CLIENT ORDER NO.
IntegraRack	IR-30 Solar Racking System - Snow Load / Compression Load	per S.A.
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION		TECHNICIANS
Horizontal Load Test on IR-30 Ground Frame Earth Ballast		Weston A.

PHOTOS




PHOTO 1: Weighing the large water tank




PHOTO 2: Large water tank weight




PHOTO 3: IR-30 Solar Racking System setup for test



PHOTO 4: Setting initial load



PHOTO 5: 2nd test - large tank + 12 five gallon jugs



PHOTO 6: Slight deflection under load



PHOTO 7: Slight deflections noted under load



PHOTO 8: Slight deflection noted under load




PHOTO 9: IR-30 system after compressive load tests



INSPECTION AND TEST REPORT

PNL REF. # 26-240383 S.O. # 001 INDEX 03
INSPECTION DATE 03/20/2024
IR-30 Ground Frame: Simulated Snow Load

Page 3 of 3

CLIENT	CLIENT PROJECT REFERENCE	CLIENT ORDER NO.
IntegraRack	IR-30 Solar Racking System - Snow Load / Compression Load	per S.A.
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION		TECHNICIANS
Horizontal Load Test on IR-30 Ground Frame Earth Ballast		Weston A.



PHOTO 10: Slight deformation after load removed



PHOTO 11: Slight deformation after load removed



INSPECTION AND TEST REPORT

PNL REF. # 26-240383 S.O. # 001 INDEX 03
INSPECTION DATE 03/21/2024
IR-30 Ground Frame w/ AnchorSpikes: Simulated Wind Load

Page 1 of 3

CLIENT		CLIENT PROJECT REFERENCE				CLIENT ORDER NO.						
IntegraRack		IR-30 Solar Racking System w/ Anchor Spikes - Simulated Wind				per S.A.						
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION				TEST LOCATION		TECHNICIANS						
IR-30 Solar Racking System w/ AnchorSpikes				St. George, UT		Weston A.						
TEST CONDITIONS & EQUIPMENT INFORMATION												
TEMPERATURE:		65 °F ± 10 °F		HUMIDITY:		30% ± 10%						
LOAD TYPE:		Wind Load - Tensile / Uplift		TEST LOAD:		Record						
EQUIPMENT TYPE:		Dyna-Link 2 Dynamometer MSI-7300RF		S/N & CALIBRATION DATE:		S/N 100326; CAL 10/13/2023						
SKID STEER MODEL:		Kubota SSV65										
TEST SPECIMEN & COMPONENT INFORMATION												
TEST SPECIMEN:		IR-30 Solar Racking System		ID NO.:		IR-30RF1000						
SOLAR PANELS SIZE:		39.25 in. x 66 in.		TEST SPECIMEN AREA:		17.989 ft ²						
SYSTEM COMPONENT 1:		IRF2 Under Mount Flange Clamp Bracket		PART NO. 1:		IR-FCCM0500						
SYSTEM COMPONENT 2:		Large IR-30 Frame Upright		PART NO. 2:		IRP-30LL1000-T						
SYSTEM COMPONENT 3:		Small IR-30 Frame Upright		PART NO. 3:		IRP-30SL1000-T						
SYSTEM COMPONENT 4:		IR-30 Base Tube		PART NO. 4:		IRP-30BT1000-T						
SYSTEM COMPONENT 5:		Connecting Rod		PART NO. 5:		None - 1" EMT pipe						
SYSTEM COMPONENT 6:		IR AnchorSpikes - 19.5		PART NO. 6:		19.5 in. Barbed aluminum spikes						
SIMULATED WIND LOAD (TENSILE UPLIFT) FORCE TEST PROCEDURE/DESCRIPTION												
<p>The IR-30 Solar Racking System Ground Frame was installed using the small IR AnchorSpikes (Photo 3) and two part epoxy system. The AnchorSpike installation consists of pounding the anchors into the ground, filling with the two part epoxy system, and then clamping the anchors to the frame with the built in clamps. Load was applied via a red crossbar that was fastened to the edges of the solar panel frames that was connected to the ground frame via the IRF2 Under Mount Flange Clamp Bracket.</p> <p>The solar panels were set at an approximate 30° angle. The tensile force was applied upwards and away, at a perpendicular angle from the solar panels using the skid steer (Photo 6). Displacement measurements were recorded before and after the load test at the anchor spike locations (Photos 4-5). Load was monitored with the digital dynamometer (Photos 1-2).</p>												
SIMULATED WIND LOAD (TENSILE UPLIFT) FORCE TEST ANCHORSPIKE AND FRAME DISPLACEMENT												
	Spike #1		Spike #2		Spike #3		Spike #4		Spike #5		Spike #6	
	Stickout (in.)	Ground to Frame (in.)	Stickout (in.)	Ground to Frame (in.)	Stickout (in.)	Ground to Frame (in.)	Stickout (in.)	Ground to Frame (in.)	Stickout (in.)	Ground to Frame (in.)	Stickout (in.)	Ground to Frame (in.)
Initial	2.188	1.250	2.250	1.125	2.625	1.000	2.250	0.500	2.000	1.625	2.438	1.063
Final	2.250	1.250	2.250	1.125	2.563	1.125	2.563	0.750	1.938	1.625	2.250	1.375
SIMULATED WIND LOAD (TENSILE UPLIFT) FORCE INSPECTION DETAILS												
TEST NO.	MAX. FORCE (lbf)		OBSERVATIONS									
1	2385		<p>At 1500 lbf the frame visibly shifted and started to roll forward.</p> <p>At 2385 lbf one of the solar panels failed and shattered (Photos 7-9). The frame and brackets holding the solar panel kept it in place and were permanently deformed. The aluminum tube upright had bent forward and outward causing the seam of the tube to tear and it allowed the through bolt to come free. Two mounting brackets permanently deformed and there was additional permanent deformation in the base frame (Photos 10-15)</p>									

TECHNICIAN

REVIEWED BY

CLIENT	CLIENT PROJECT REFERENCE	CLIENT ORDER NO.
IntegraRack	IR-30 Solar Racking System w/ Anchor Spikes - Simulated Wind	per S.A.
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	TEST LOCATION	TECHNICIANS
IR-30 Solar Racking System w/ AnchorSpikes	St. George, UT	Weston A.

PHOTOS



PHOTO 1: Dynamometer used to record loads




PHOTO 2: Dynamometer ID label



PHOTO 3: AnchorSpikes. The small AnchorSpike was used for setup in this test



PHOTO 4: 'Stickout' measurement example at Spike #3



PHOTO 5: Ground to frame measurement example at Spike #3



PHOTO 6: Test setup - IR-30 Solar Racking System with AnchorSpikes




PHOTO 7: IR-30 Solar Racking System at max load



PHOTO 8: Closeup of max load, 2385 lbf



PHOTO 9: IR-30 Solar Racking System right after max load when panel failed

INSPECTION AND TEST REPORT

PNL REF. # 26-240383 S.O. # 001 INDEX 03
INSPECTION DATE 03/21/2024
IR-30 Ground Frame w/ AnchorSpikes: Simulated Wind Load

Page 3 of 3

CLIENT	CLIENT PROJECT REFERENCE	CLIENT ORDER NO.
IntegraRack	IR-30 Solar Racking System w/ Anchor Spikes - Simulated Wind	per S.A.
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	TEST LOCATION	TECHNICIANS
IR-30 Solar Racking System w/ AnchorSpikes	St. George, UT	Weston A.

PHOTOS



PHOTO 10: Solar panels after testing



PHOTO 11: Frame after testing



PHOTO 12: Middle brackets after testing




PHOTO 13: Bracket deformation after testing



PHOTO 14: Upright mount deformation after testing



PHOTO 15: Upright deformation after testing

May 10, 2024
Mr. Paul Budge
Diversi-Tech Corp - IntegraRack
PO Box 910758
St. George, UT 84791

Subject: Pullout Tests on AnchorSpike Epoxy Earth Anchors

Dear Mr. Budge,

Please find included our test reports for the pullout tests (tensile load) of the two sizes of epoxy earth anchors performed on 3/20/2024 - 03/22/2024 in St. George, Utah.

Tensile pullout (Uplift) tests were performed on the large epoxy anchor. The load was applied by drilling a hole through the upper portion of the anchor and installing a thru bolt and then using a load strap to pull up on the cross bolt. Tensile load was monitored and recorded on a dynamometer. The first anchor pulled out of the ground at 1950 lbf. The second anchor reached 2990 lbf without pullout when the cross bolt bent and the load strap slipped off. Additional test run details are shown in the table below.


LARGE EPOXY EARTH ANCHOR TENSILE UPLIFT FORCE INSPECTION DETAILS		
NO.	MAX FORCE REACHED (lbf)	OBSERVATIONS
1	1,950	Anchor pulled out of the ground (See Photos 2, 4-6)
2a	2,990	The bolt installed through the anchor for the purpose of the test bent and the load strap slipped off the anchor (See Photos 3, 7). The drilled thru hole had elongated.
2b	790	The load strap was reattached and test load was applied to the same anchor tested in test 2a. The drilled thru hole failed under load (Photo 8).


Tensile pullout (Uplift) tests were performed on the small IR AnchorSpikes installed with the IR Solar Racking System Ground Frame. The load was applied using a loading strap run under the ground frame adjacent to the anchor in order to apply a vertical axial load. The average tensile uplift force at failure was 1066 lbf. The lowest uplift force was 780 lbf. Additional test run details are shown in the table below.

IR ANCHORSPIKES TENSILE UPLIFT FORCE INSPECTION DETAILS		
NO.	MAX FORCE REACHED (lbf)	OBSERVATIONS
1	915	
2	1,305	Initial minor pullout of anchor noted at 1135 lbf.
3	1,265	Initial minor pullout of anchor noted at 975 lbf.
4	780	
AVG.	1,066	

Test reports with additional details, photos, and data have been attached.

Respectfully submitted,
PHOENIX NATIONAL LABORATORIES, INC.


Kyle Fleege, P.E.
Project Manager / Mechanical Engineer
Phoenix National Laboratories
Ph: 1.602.431.8887
kyle@pnltest.com
www.pnltest.com





INSPECTION AND TEST REPORT

PNL REF. # 26-240383 S.O. # 001 INDEX 11
INSPECTION DATE 03/22/2024
Epoxy Earth Anchor Tensile Pullout (Uplift) Test

Page 1 of 2

CLIENT		CLIENT PROJECT REFERENCE		CLIENT ORDER NO.	
IntegraRack		Large Epoxy Earth Anchors Pullout Test		per S.A.	
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION				TECHNICIANS	
Tensile Uplift Load Test on Epoxy Earth Anchor				Weston A.	
TEST DATA & EQUIPMENT INFORMATION					
TEMPERATURE:	65 °F ± 10 °F		HUMIDITY:	30% ± 10%	
LOAD TYPE:	Simulated Wind - Tensile / Uplift		TEST LOAD:	Record	
EQUIPMENT TYPE:	Dyna-Link 2 Dynamometer		EQUIPMENT MODEL:	MSI-7300RF (S/N 100326)	
TEST SPECIMEN & COMPONENT INFORMATION					
SPECIMEN COMPONENT 1:	Large Epoxy Earth Anchor		SPECIMEN PART NO. 1:	None	
TENSILE UPLIFT FORCE TEST PROCEDURE/DESCRIPTION					
The test was performed on the large epoxy earth anchor previously installed and loaded during previous tests of the IR-G Series frame. Anchors had been installed for 1 week per Client. A hole was drilled through the upper portion of the anchor so that a bolt could be slotted through the hole and so a strap could be attached to each end of the strap to apply a tensile pullout force. Load was applied using the forklift and was monitored with the digital dynamometer.					
TENSILE UPLIFT FORCE INSPECTION DETAILS					
NO.	MAX FORCE REACHED (lbf)	OBSERVATIONS			
1	1,950	Anchor pulled out of the ground (See Photos 2, 4-6)			
2a	2,990	The bolt installed through the anchor for the purpose of the test bent and the load strap slipped off the anchor (See Photos 3, 7). The drilled thru hole had elongated.			
2b	790	The load strap was reattached and test load was applied to the same anchor tested in test 2a. The drilled thru hole failed under load (Photo 8).			

TECHNICIAN

REVIEWED BY

CLIENT	CLIENT PROJECT REFERENCE	CLIENT ORDER NO.
IntegraRack	Large Epoxy Earth Anchors Pullout Test	per S.A.
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION		TECHNICIANS
Tensile Uplift Load Test on Epoxy Earth Anchor		Weston A.

PHOTOS



PHOTO 1: Test setup



PHOTO 2: First anchor under load



PHOTO 3: Second anchor under load



PHOTO 4: Anchor before test




PHOTO 5: First anchor pullout




PHOTO 6: First anchor pullout with drilled thru hole deformed



PHOTO 7: Second anchor - first pull where the thru bolt bent and load strap slipped off



PHOTO 8: Second anchor - second test where anchor failed at drilled thru hole



INSPECTION AND TEST REPORT

PNL REF. # 26-240383 S.O. # 001 INDEX 12
INSPECTION DATE 03/22/2024
Small IR AnchorSpike Tensile Pullout (Uplift) Test

Page 1 of 2

CLIENT		CLIENT PROJECT REFERENCE		CLIENT ORDER NO.	
IntegraRack		IR-30 Solar Racking System w/ Small IR AnchorSpike		per S.A.	
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION				TECHNICIAN	
Tensile Uplift Load Test on Small IR AnchorSpike				Weston A.	
TEST DATA & EQUIPMENT INFORMATION					
TEMPERATURE:	65 °F ± 10 °F		HUMIDITY:	30% ± 10%	
LOAD TYPE:	Simulated Wind - Tensile / Uplift		TEST LOAD:	Record	
EQUIPMENT TYPE:	Dyna-Link 2 Dynamometer		EQUIPMENT MODEL:	MSI-7300RF (S/N 100326)	
TEST SPECIMEN & COMPONENT INFORMATION					
TEST COMPONENT 1:	Small IR AnchorSpike System		SPECIMEN PART NO. 1:	None	
TEST COMPONENT 2:	IR-30 Frame Ground Rail Clamp		SPECIMEN PART NO. 2:	IRP-30BT 1000-T	
TENSILE UPLIFT FORCE TEST PROCEDURE/DESCRIPTION					
The IR-30 Solar Racking System Ground Frame was installed using the small IR AnchorSpike (Photo 3) and a two part epoxy system. The AnchorSpike installation consists of pounding the anchors into the ground, filling with the two part epoxy system, and then clamping the anchors to the frame with the built in clamps. Anchors had been installed for 1 week per Client. Load was applied via a lifting strap run underneath the ground portion of the frame. The strap was positioned adjacent to the AnchorSpike to apply the pullout force in a vertical direction. The forklift was used to apply the load and load was monitored with the digital dynamometer.					
TENSILE UPLIFT FORCE INSPECTION DETAILS					
NO.	MAX FORCE REACHED (lbf)		OBSERVATIONS		
1	915				
2	1,305		Initial minor pullout of anchor noted at 1135 lbf.		
3	1,265		Initial minor pullout of anchor noted at 975 lbf.		
4	780				
AVG.	1,066				

TECHNICIAN

REVIEWED BY



INSPECTION AND TEST REPORT

PNL REF. # 26-240383 S.O. # 001 INDEX 12
INSPECTION DATE 03/22/2024
Small IR AnchorSpike Tensile Pullout (Uplift) Test

Page 2 of 2

CLIENT	CLIENT PROJECT REFERENCE	CLIENT ORDER NO.
IntegraRack	IR-30 Solar Racking System w/ Small IR AnchorSpike	per S.A.
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION		TECHNICIAN
Tensile Uplift Load Test on Small IR AnchorSpike		Weston A.

PHOTOS



PHOTO 1: Test setup



PHOTO 2: Test setup under max load