1. PATRICK CREEK COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT

1.1 HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN POINT OF CONTACT

Primary Point of Contact Jerry Fowler, Board President 5304 Patrick Creek Drive McKinleyville, CA 95519

Telephone: 707-839-0123

e-mail Address:

Alternate Point of Contact

Patrick Kaspari, PE 5148 Patrick Creek Drive McKinleyville, CA 95519 Telephone: 707-599-5123

e-mail Address: pkaspari@mckinleyvillecsd.com

1.2 JURISDICTION PROFILE

1.2.1 Overview

The Patrick Creek Community Services District (PCCSD) provides road maintenance service to the small community of Patrick Creek located along the coastline east of Highway 101 and north of Central Avenue at the north end of McKinleyville. The majority of the District is surrounded by the McKinleyville Community Services District who provides water services to the Patrick Creek community that consists of 18 single-family homes.

PCCSD is an independent special district that was formed in August 1969 under Community Service District law pursuant to sections 61000-61934 of California Government Code. The District was declared formed by the Board of Supervisors after a successful special election was held within the area proposed for district formation. Since there were less than thirty registered voters residing within the proposed district, the election was held by absentee ballot.

The resolution which declared the formation of the District included the powers the district could exercise which are: (a) supplying water for domestic use, irrigation, industrial use, fire protection, and recreation; (b) collection, treatment, and disposal of sewage, waste and storm water: (c) street lighting; (d) streets and road maintenance; and (e)construction and improvement of bridges, culverts, curbs, gutters, and drains (County Board Resolution No. 69-56). Although the District's resolution of formation contains all the powers stated above, only water supply/distribution and road maintenance were exercised. In 1973, PCCSD residents sold their north and south water distribution systems to McKinleyville Community Services District (MCSD) and established a Joint Powers Agreement for provision of water service². Currently, MCSD is responsible for operating and maintaining the water distribution system and holds individual accounts for residences within the Patrick Creek CSD area. As such, water service is now a latent power for PCCSD.

¹ County of Humboldt, Board of Supervisors Resolution 69-56. Adopted August 5, 1969.

² Patrick Creek CSD, Notes on Financial Statements for FYs 1976-79, Note 3.

The District is governed by a five member publicly elected Board that meets quarterly. The Board assumes responsibility for the adoption of this plan; the Board President will oversee its implementation. The District currently has no full or part-time staff.

1.2.2 Service Area and Trends

The PCCSD boundary consists of 21 parcels, 18 of which are developed with residential uses and the remaining three parcels undeveloped. The current PCCSD boundary is bounded by Highway 101 to the west with forested areas and fields for agriculture surrounding the remainder of the boundary. The PCCSD is approximately 18 acres in size with the southern half of the district bounded by the MCSD boundaries. There are three census blocks covering the majority of the District boundary, with an average household size of 2.59^{3,4}. Using this average household size, the estimated population of the PCCSD is approximately 46 residents. Due to restrictions on further subdivisions, the population is not expected to grow significantly over the next five to ten years.

It is important to note the northernmost parcel in the District was dropped from recorded maps sometime in the 1980's. However, this northern parcel is still assessed taxes for the PCCSD and is included in the PCCSD Tax Rate Area. Since there is no record of detachment, the official District map will be updated to include this parcel and reflect the original formation boundary.

The sphere of influence for PCCSD has remained coterminous since formation of the District. The majority of PCCSD is surrounded by MCSD. While MCSD provides water services to residences within PCCSD, they do not provide road maintenance services. Therefore, while the boundaries of the two districts touch, there is not an overlap in services. As discussed later in this report, there is potential in the future for consolidation should the PCCSD Board wish to dissolve the District and the MCCSD activates its latent road maintenance power. At this time, there are no proposed changes to the District's SOI.

1.2.3 Assets

PCCSD is authorized to provide road maintenance and drainage services to the community of Patrick Creek. The District does not have any employees. Instead, the District Board hires a landscape company to do maintenance work and then submits claims to the County Auditor-Controller's Office for payment with CSD funds's.

Road and Drainage Services

District infrastructure consists of the Patrick Creek Drive right of way, six culverts, and retaining walls⁶. Road maintenance includes opening, widening, extending, and surfacing of Patrick Creek Drive road system. The road system needs constant repair due to its location on the bluffs overlooking Clam Beach. Culverts are cleaned out approximately twice a year by a landscape company; previously, the Board members conducted maintenance work themselves. The District installed traffic berms for speed control, but they were removed.

The PCCSD does not have any facility or service plans at this time given its small size with maintenance being conducted on an as needed basis.

³ US Census, Decennial Census 2010: DEC Summary File 1, Block 2013, 2014, 2015, 2023, 2045, Block Group 2, Census Tract 104, Table H10, Accessed October 16, 2020.

⁴ US Census, American Community Survey 2018 5-year Estimates, Block 2013, 2014, 2015, 2023, 2045, Block Group 2, Census Tract 104, Table S1101 Average Household Size (2.59). Accessed October 21, 2020.

⁵ PCCSD, Personal Communication. October 7, 2020.

⁶ PCCSD Municipal Service Review, Humboldt LAFCo. January 2008.

The regular maintenance needs of the road system are:

- Maintenance to support slide areas
- Repair road at the slide area
- Repair of erosion and reconstruction of the roadway
- Maintenance of unstable areas identified
- · Paving of entire roadway
- Normal repair and maintenance of culverts

Table 11 summarizes the critical assets of the district and their value.

Table 11. Special Purpose District Assets

Property	
Patrick Creek Drive Right of Way	\$XXX
Infrastructure	
Patrick Creek Drive	\$XXX
Drainage System	\$XXX
Retaining Walls	\$XXX
Total Infrastructure:	\$XXX
Total:	\$XXX

1.3 CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT

An assessment of the district's current capabilities was conducted to identify opportunities to expand, initiate or integrate capabilities in order to further hazard mitigation goals and objectives. Where such opportunities were identified and determined to be feasible, they are included in the action plan. The "Analysis of Mitigation Actions" table in Section 1.9 identifies these as community capacity building mitigation actions.

1.3.1 Planning and Regulatory Capabilities

Jurisdictions develop plans and programs and implement rules and regulations to protect and serve residents. When effectively prepared and administered, these plans, programs and regulations can support the implementation of mitigation actions. Table 12 summarizes existing codes, ordinances, policies, programs or plans that are applicable to this hazard mitigation plan.

Table 12. Planning and Regulatory Capability

z. Flamming and	a Regulatory Capability
	Comment
March 2015	Provides framework for emergency response
April 2018	Covers control of hazards and hazardous substances in the work
July 2016	
October 2017	Covers zoning & development within the District
Dec. 2002	
	Delle pil Mesi Eeden (Uso ei March 2015 April 2018 July 2016 October 2017

PCCSD Strategic Plan 2018-22

Origination Date 5 year update in process, completion projected July 2019 Dec. 2013

1.3.2 Fiscal, Administrative and Technical Capabilities

Fiscal capability is an indicator of a jurisdiction's ability to fulfill the financial needs associated with hazard mitigation projects. An assessment of fiscal capabilities is presented in Table 13. Administrative and technical capabilities represent a jurisdiction's staffing resources for carrying out the mitigation strategy. An assessment of administrative and technical capabilities is presented in Table 14.

Table 13. Fiscal Capability

Capital Improvements Project Funding	Yes
Authority to Levy Taxes for Specific Purposes	Yes
User Fees for Water, Sewer, Gas or Electric Service	No
Incur Debt through General Obligation Bonds	Yes
Incur Debt through Special Tax Bonds	Yes
Incur Debt through Private Activity Bonds	Yes
State-Sponsored Grant Programs	Yes
Development Impact Fees for Homebuyers or Developers	No
Federal Grant Programs	Yes

Table 14. Administrative and Technical Capability

Staff/Personnel Resource:	-Available?	n Department/Agenty/Position
Planners or engineers with knowledge of land development and land management practices	Yes	Provided through contract support
Engineers or professionals trained in building or infrastructure construction practices	Yes	Provided through contract support
Planners or engineers with an understanding of natural hazards	Yes	Provided through contract support
Staff with training in benefit/cost analysis	Yes	Provided through contract support
Surveyors	Yes	Provided through contract support
Personnel skilled or trained in GIS applications	Yes	Provided through contract support
Scientist familiar with natural hazards in local area	Yes	Provided through contract support
Emergency manager	Yes	Provided through contract support
Grant writers	Yes	Provided through contract support

1.3.3 Education and Outreach Capabilities

Outreach and education capability identifies the connection between government and community members, which opens a dialogue needed for a more resilient community. An assessment of education and outreach capabilities is presented in Table 15.

Table 15. Education and Outreach

Criterion	
Do you have a public information officer or communications office?	No
Do you have personnel skilled or trained in website development?	No
Do you have hazard mitigation information available on your website?	No

· If yes, please briefly describe

Link to County Hazard Mitigation Plan, earthquake map, In Case of Emergency link

No.

Do you use social media for hazard mitigation education and outreach?

• If yes, please briefly describe

Do you have any citizen boards or commissions that address issues related to hazard mitigation?

• If yes, please briefly specify

Do you have any other programs already in place that could be used to communicate hazard-related information?

If yes, please briefly describe

Do you have any established warning systems for hazard events?

· If yes, please briefly describe

As part of County Plan development Yes

PCCSD Board of Directors

Yes

Community Postings & Mailings

Not specific;

We would depend on the County

1.3.4 Adaptive Capacity for Climate Change

Given the uncertainties associated with how hazard risk may change with a changing climate, a jurisdiction's ability to track such changes and adapt as needed is an important component of the mitigation strategy. Table 16 summarizes the jurisdiction's adaptive capacity for climate change.

Table 16. Adaptive Capacity for Climate Change	
Criterion	Jurisdiction Ratinga
Technical Capacity	
Jurisdiction-level understanding of potential climate change impacts	Medium
Comment:	
Jurisdiction-level monitoring of climate change impacts Comment:	Medium
Technical resources to assess proposed strategies for feasibility and externalities Comment: Extensive resources in area, studies mainly focus around Humboldt Bay	Medium
Jurisdiction-level capacity for development of greenhouse gas emissions inventory Comment:	Low
Capital planning and land use decisions informed by potential climate impacts Comment:	Medium
Participation in regional groups addressing climate risks Comment:	Medium
Implementation Capacity	
Clear authority/mandate to consider climate change impacts during public decision-making processes Comment:	Medium
Identified strategies for greenhouse gas mitigation efforts Comment:	Medium
Identified strategies for adaptation to impacts Comment:	Medium
Champions for climate action in local government departments Comment:	Low
Political support for implementing climate change adaptation strategies Comment:	Medium
Financial resources devoted to climate change adaptation Comment:	Low
Local authority over sectors likely to be negative impacted	Low

Comment: Public Capacity	
Local residents knowledge of and understanding of climate risk Comment:	High
Local residents support of adaptation efforts	High/Medium
Comment:	
Local residents' capacity to adapt to climate impacts Comment:	Medium
Local economy current capacity to adapt to climate impacts Comment:	Low
Local ecosystems capacity to adapt to climate impacts Comment:	Medium/Low

a. High = Capacity exists and is in use; Medium = Capacity may exist, but is not used or could use some improvement;
 Low = Capacity does not exist or could use substantial improvement; Unsure = Not enough information is known to assign a rating.

1.4 INTEGRATION WITH OTHER PLANNING INITIATIVES

For hazard mitigation planning, "integration" means that hazard mitigation information is used in other relevant planning mechanisms, such as capital facilities planning, and that relevant information from those sources is used in hazard mitigation. This section identifies where such integration is already in place, and where there are opportunities for further integration in the future. Resources listed in Section 1.10 were used to provide information on integration. The progress reporting process described in Volume 1 will document the progress of hazard mitigation actions related to integration and identify new opportunities for integration.

1.4.1 Existing Integration

Some level of integration has already been established between local hazard mitigation planning and the following other local plans and programs:

- Humboldt County Hazard Mitigation Plan—District is Planning Partner and supports countywide initiatives
- **County General Plan**—Zoning and development ordinances take into account applicable fire, earthquake, tsunami and other hazards
- County Emergency Operation Plan-Details framework for agency responses to emergencies

1.4.2 Opportunities for Future Integration

The capability assessment presented in this annex identified the following plans and programs that do not currently integrate hazard mitigation information but provide opportunities to do so in the future:

Anything?

1.5 JURISDICTION-SPECIFIC NATURAL HAZARD EVENT HISTORY

Table 17 lists past occurrences of natural hazards for which specific damage was recorded in the Patrick Creek Community Services District. Other hazard events that broadly affected the entire planning area, including McKinleyvill are listed in the risk assessments in Volume 1 of this hazard mitigation plan.

T	ab	le	1	7.	Na	itural	Haza	ird:	Eve	nts
CROMM 195.564	DEPK POR	- Primeres	Marie	American	***	deem to construct the	**************************************		****	

Type of Event	FEMA Disaster#	Date	ա Jule Damage Assessment ե
California Severe Winter Storms, Flooding, Mudslides	DR-4308	4/2/2017	\$0_
California Severe Winter Storms, Flooding, and Mudslides	DR-4301	2/14/2017	\$0_
Flooding severe winter storms, and landslides	N/A	2014	\$9,242 Road, culvert repairs
Flooding severe winter storms, and landslides	N/A	2012	\$12,870 Road, culvert repairs
Flooding severe winter storms, and landslides	N/A	2010	\$4,240 Road, culvert repairs
Flooding severe winter storms, and landslides	N/A	2009	\$580 Road, culvert repairs
Flooding severe winter storms, and landslides	N/A	2008	\$1,200 Road, culvert repairs
Flooding, severe winter storms, and landslides	DR-1628	12/17/2005	\$0 \$20,208,206 county wide
Winter storms, flooding, landslides, mud flows	DR-1044	1/9/1995	\$.0 \$15 million countywide
Winter storms, flooding, landslides, mud flows	NA	1984	\$11,200 Retaining wall replacement

1.6 HAZARD RISK RANKING

Table 18 presents a local ranking for all hazards of concern for which this hazard mitigation plan provides complete risk assessments. This ranking summarizes how hazards vary for this jurisdiction. As described in detail in Volume 1, the ranking process involves an assessment of the likelihood of occurrence for each hazard, along with its potential impacts on people, property and the economy. Mitigation actions target hazards with high and medium rankings.

Table 18. Hazard Risk Ranking

1	Earthquake	36	High
2 :	Severe Weather	36	High
3	Landslide	33	High
4	Wildfire	18	Medium
5	Dam Failure	17	Medium
6	Flooding	17	Medium