

Minutes of meeting of the PLANNING COMMITTEE SOUTH held on Thursday, 4th November, 2021 in Civic Community Hall, Vicarage Lane, HAILSHAM (10.00 a.m. to 4.40 p.m.).

PRESENT: Councillors S Stedman (Chairman), B Bowdler, N Cleaver, G Draper, R Grocock, T Guyton-Day, J Howell, P Lunn, S Shing, A Snell, D Watts and D White.

Also present in accordance with Access to Information Procedure Rule 22: Councillors G Blake-Coggins, D Shing and O L Shing.

In attendance were the Head of Planning and Environmental Services (S Robins), Development Manager (S Batchelor), Principal Planning Officer (Major Projects) (C Turner) and Democratic Services Officer (K Maxwell).

21/142. ELECTION OF DEPUTY CHAIRMAN

RESOLVED by a proposal by Councillor Watts and seconded by Councillor Guyton-Day, that Councillor Howell be appointed Deputy Chairman for this meeting only.

21/143. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Minute 21/148 - WD/2021/0174/MEA - MORNINGS MILL FARM, EASTBOURNE ROAD, LOWER WILLINGDON BN20 9NY – Stephen Shing declared that he was an East Sussex County Councillor, Willingdon and Jevington Parish Councillor and Polegate Town Councillor and confirmed that he was predisposed and not predetermined and that he had not closed his mind and spoke in in relation to this application but did not vote.

Minute 21/148 - WD/2021/0174/MEA - MORNINGS MILL FARM, EASTBOURNE ROAD, LOWER WILLINGDON BN20 9NY – Oi Lin Shing declared that she was a confirmed that he was predisposed and not predetermined and that he had not closed his minds and spoke in in relation to this application but did not vote.

Minute 21/148 - WD/2021/0174/MEA - MORNINGS MILL FARM, EASTBOURNE ROAD, LOWER WILLINGDON BN20 9NY – Daniel Shing declared that he was an East Sussex County Councillor, Willingdon and Jevington Parish Councillor and Polegate Town Councillor and confirmed that he was predisposed and not predetermined and that he had not closed his mind and spoke in in relation to this application but did not vote.

Minute 21/148 - WD/2021/0174/MEA - MORNINGS MILL FARM, EASTBOURNE ROAD, LOWER WILLINGDON BN20 9NY - Cllr Snell declared a personal interest arising from being Polegate Town Councillors and she remained in the meeting during consideration of the item.

Minute 21/148 - WD/2021/0174/MEA - MORNINGS MILL FARM, EASTBOURNE ROAD, LOWER WILLINGDON BN20 9NY - Cllr Watts declared a personal interest arising from being Polegate Town Councillors and he remained in the meeting during consideration of the item.

Minute 21/148 - WD/2021/0424/MRM - LAND AT OLD MARSHFOOT FARM, MARSHFOOT LANE, HAILSHAM, BN27 2RE - Cllr Grocock declared a personal interest arising from being a member of the Hailsham Town Council and he remained in the meeting during consideration of the item.

Minute 21/147 - WD/2020/2047/MAO - LAND AT SHARNFOLD FARM (HAILSHAM ROAD FRONTAGE), HAILSHAM ROAD, STONE CROSS, BN24 5BU - Cllr White declared a personal interest arising from being a member of the Pevensey Levels Water Management board and landowner in Pevensey and he remained in the meeting during consideration of the item.

Minute 21/148 - WD/2021/0424/MRM - LAND AT OLD MARSHFOOT FARM, MARSHFOOT LANE, HAILSHAM, BN27 2RE - Cllr White declared a personal interest arising from being a member of the Pevensey Levels Water Management board and landowner in Pevensey and he remained in the meeting during consideration of the item.

Minute 21/149- WD/2021/0424/MRM - LAND AT OLD MARSHFOOT FARM, MARSHFOOT LANE, HAILSHAM, BN27 2RE- Cllr White declared a personal interest arising from being a member of the Pevensey Levels Water Management board and landowner in Pevensey and he remained in the meeting during consideration of the item.

21/144. MINUTES

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting held on Thursday 7 October 2021 be confirmed and signed as a correct record.

21/145. OUTSTANDING MATTERS DEFERRED AT PREVIOUS MEETINGS

The Committee noted the Outstanding Matters deferred from previous meetings set out in Item 6 of the agenda. The Head of Planning and Environmental Services advised the Committee on the outcome of the recent Judicial Review proceedings in respect of Oaklands and Mill Road.

21/146. WD/2019/2710/FA - HORAM MANOR TOURING PARK, HORAM ROAD, HORAM, TN21 0YD

Full - Non Compliance of Condition application for:

VARIATION OF CONDITIONS 3, 4, 10 & 11 OF WD/2013/1578/FA (VARIATION OF CONDITION 2 OF WD/2010/1155/MAJ TO ALLOW STATIONING OF 110 INSTEAD OF 90 CARAVANS) TO ALLOW THE USE OF THE APPROVED HOLIDAY CARAVAN PARK ON A YEAR ROUND BASIS FOR LESS CARAVANS COMPRISING BOTH TOURING AND STATIC CARAVANS.

Received date: 28 January 2020

Parish: Horam

Ward: Horam & Punnetts Town

RESOLVED that Full planning permission BE GRANTED, subject to the conditions set out in the agenda report and amended at the meeting as follows:

Condition 2 was updated as follows:

The development hereby permitted, with the exception of the warden/managers family mobile home, the temporary wardens mobile home accommodation and a maximum of 6 caravans stored within the caravan storage area, shall be used for holiday accommodation only and shall be used for no other purpose (including any other purpose within Class C3 of the Schedule to the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987, or any Order revoking and re-enacting that order with or without modification). Furthermore, copies of council tax bills of the alternative permanent residence of the owners/tenants of the static caravans shall be kept (and updated annually) and copies of those bills shall be made available for inspection by the Local Planning Authority at 48 hours' notice. HL01

And additional condition 5:

Prior to the laying of any hard surface for the stationing of the static caravans details of the proposed means of surface water disposal for the static caravan plots shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Details shall incorporate sustainable drainage and include the provision of water butts. The approved drainage works shall be completed prior to the static caravans being brought into use. DS01

Response to Parish Council

The application is not seeking to extend the size of the holiday or an increase in the number of caravans using the site. The application is to allow the caravan park to open all year round (and be appropriately conditioned to ensure that permanent residential occupation does not take place). They are seeking to reduce the number of caravans from the permitted 110, to a maximum of 86 and no more than 50 of those to be touring. The static caravans would remain on site all year round and be located in the south west part of the site. The storage area would remain and the area to the north of the site would be used for touring caravans. There is no intention to increase the size of the park under this application and the applicants are proposing appropriate conditions to ensure the site remains in holiday use and not for permanent accommodation. The reduction in number of caravans on the site would result in a decrease in the number of traffic movements to and from the park.

Public Speaking

The following members of the public spoke against the application:
Councillor Nick Hardaker, on behalf of Horam Parish Council.

Representations for and against the application had been published to the Council's Website in advance of the meeting [Planning Committee South Thursday 4 November 2021](#)

Councillor Stedman, Chairman and Local Ward Member, spoke in relation to the application but did not vote. In accordance with the Council's Constitution (Part 3 Planning Committee) the Deputy-Chairman, Councillor Howell, took the Chair for this application.

Councillor Bowdler, Committee and Local Ward Member, spoke in relation to the application but did not vote.

21/147. WD/2020/2047/MAO - LAND AT SHARNFOLD FARM (HAILSHAM ROAD FRONTAGE), HAILSHAM ROAD, STONE CROSS, BN24 5BU

Major Application - Outline application for:

ERECTION OF UP TO 31 NO. DWELLINGS (INCLUDING AFFORDABLE HOMES), TOGETHER WITH ANCILLARY INFRASTRUCTURE INCLUDING THE PROVISION OF PUBLIC OPEN SPACE, STRUCTURAL LANDSCAPING, PARKING AND CIRCULATION FACILITIES, AND THE MANAGEMENT AND PROTECTION OF THE WATER/ECOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTS.

Received date: 3 November 2020

Parish: Westham

Ward: Stone Cross

RESOLVED that contrary to Officer recommendation permission be REFUSED for the following reasons:

1. When measured against the full objectively assessed housing need, the Council does not have a 5 year supply of housing land. In these circumstances, it is accepted that the NPPF (2021) requires applications for housing to be approved without delay, unless any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the NPPF taken as a whole; or when specific policies in the NPPF indicate development should be restricted.

The NPPF explains sustainable development has three dimensions - economic, social and environmental. Plans and decisions need to take local circumstances into account, so that they respond to the different opportunities for achieving sustainable development in different areas. All three dimensions of sustainability must be considered together.

The proposed development given the juxtaposition with existing dwellings, together with the amount of development sought, would result in an unacceptable form of development at odds with the prevailing frontage development seen close by which would cause harm to the character of the area.

The development would also represent greenfield incursion on land beyond the development boundary and north of the A27 bypass. Whereas recent developments have all been to the south of the A27.

The harmful effects of the proposal in this location would conflict with development plan policies other than those for the supply of housing, as well as with the NPPF and is therefore considered contrary to Core Objective SPO13 and Policy WCS14 of the Wealden Core Strategy Local Plan 2013, Saved Policies EN1, EN8 and EN27 of the adopted Wealden Local Plan 1998, the guidance within the NPPF and advice in the Wealden Design Guide 2008 (adopted Supplementary Planning Document) Chapter 5 parts 4.4, 7.7, 8.6, 10.3 and Chapter 7 part 4.8.

The adverse impacts of the proposal significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits of the creation of thirty one dwellings. As such, irrespective of the housing land supply position in the District, the proposal is not seen as a sustainable form of development and does not benefit from the presumption in favour of development set out at NPPF paragraph 11.

2. The site is located outside of any defined development boundary and given its distance from key day to day services would not represent a sustainable form of development. There is a lack of alternative options to the car which will result in additional traffic on the highway network. There are strong concerns about exacerbating congestion on this location, couple with impacts on safety on the local road network.

It is therefore contrary to Saved Policies GD2, EN1, EN8 and TR3 of the Wealden Local Plan 1998, Spatial Planning Objectives SPO3 and Policies WCS6 and WCS14 of the Wealden Core Strategy Local Plan 2013, and the NPPF 2021.

3. Whilst the site is within flood risk zone 1, details in the flood risk assessment and surface water drainage strategy in relation to surface water flooding for this major development fail to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority that the proposed development on the site would not increase flood risk elsewhere.

Moreover, the application site discharges surface water runoff into the Pevensy Levels SSSI/SAC. Insufficient information has been lodged to demonstrate water quality improvement provided to ensure that runoff from the application site will not result in the deterioration of the protected site. The LLFA in its SuDS guide generally recommends at least two SuDS features in series to provide at least two stages of treatment. Inadequate details have been lodged and at the time of determination, Natural England had not commented on the Habitats Regulation Assessment targeting the water requirement for the protection of the SSSI/SAC.

Whilst the Council cannot demonstrate (at the time of writing) an up to date 5 Year Housing Land Supply, and the proposed development would provide a moderate contribution to addressing the undersupply, the potential effects of the proposal upon flood risk conflict with development plan policies and are not outweighed by provision of thirty one dwellings to the Council's housing stock. The presumption in favour of development is, therefore, outweighed by the significant and demonstrable harm.

The proposal therefore conflicts with Saved Policies EN1, EN8, EN15 and CS1 of the adopted Wealden Local Plan (1998), coupled with Policies WCS12 and WCS14 of the adopted Wealden Core Strategy Local Plan (2013) and the NPPF 2021.

4. This planning decision relates solely to the information contained within the application form, the following plan(s) and (where appropriate) documents:

Ref.	Date Stamped. STN4R
1909/P1/101/A	3 November 2020

Public Speaking

The following members of the public spoke against the application:

Christine Hanson– neighbour

John Quibell – neighbour

Will Miller - Neighbour

The following members of the public spoke in favour of the application:

Julian Black – agent for the applicant

Councillor Douglas, Local Ward Member, was unable to attend the meeting and a statement was read on his behalf.

Note: The Committee adjourned for a 15 minute comfort break at 11.40am following consideration of this item.

21/148. WD/2021/0174/MEA - MORNINGS MILL FARM, EASTBOURNE ROAD, LOWER WILLINGDON BN20 9NY

Major Application with Environmental Assessment application for:

OUTLINE APPLICATION WITH ALL MATTERS RESERVED EXCEPT FOR THE MEANS OF ACCESS FROM EASTBOURNE ROAD FOR THE COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT OF A MIXED-USE URBAN EXTENSION COMPRISING UP TO 700 DWELLINGS INCLUDING AFFORDABLE HOUSING, 8,600 SQ.M. OF EMPLOYMENT FLOORSPACE, MEDICAL CENTRE, SCHOOL, COMMUNITY CENTRE, RETAIL, PLAYING FIELDS, CHILDREN'S PLAY SPACE, ALLOTMENTS, AMENITY OPEN SPACE, INTERNAL ACCESS ROADS, CYCLE AND FOOTPATH ROUTES, AND ASSOCIATED LANDSCAPING AND INFRASTRUCTURE.

Received date: 11 February 2021

Parish: Willingdon & Jevington

Ward: Lower Willingdon

RESOLVED that Contrary to Officer recommendation permission be refused for the following reasons:

1. When measured against the full objectively assessed housing need, the Council does not have a 5 year supply of housing land. In these circumstances, it is accepted that the NPPF requires applications for housing to be approved without delay, unless any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the

NPPF taken as a whole; or when specific policies in the NPPF indicate development should be restricted. The NPPF explains sustainable development has three dimensions - economic, social and environmental. Plans and decisions need to take local circumstances into account, so that they respond to the different opportunities for achieving sustainable development in different areas. All three dimensions of sustainability must be considered together. The development represents greenfield incursion on land beyond the 1998 development boundary and not wholly contained within the broad location for strategic growth in the Core Strategy Local Plan 2013. The harmful effects of the proposal in this location would conflict with development plan policies other than those for the supply of housing, as well as with the NPPF and is therefore considered contrary to Core Objective SPO13 and Policy WCS14 of the Wealden Core Strategy Local Plan 2013, Saved Policies EN1, EN8 and EN27 of the adopted Wealden Local Plan 1998, the guidance within the NPPF and advice in the Wealden Design Guide 2008 (adopted Supplementary Planning Document) Chapter 5 parts 4.4, 7.7, 8.6, 10.3 and Chapter 7 part 4.8. The adverse impacts of the proposal significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits of the creation of 700 dwellings. As such, irrespective of the housing land supply position in the District, the proposal is not seen as a sustainable form of development and does not benefit from the presumption in favour of development set out at NPPF paragraphs 11

2. The proposal has not demonstrated that a safe and suitable access arrangement can be achieved for all users and this is a significant issue left over and unresolved from the appeal into WD/2017/1942/MEA and PINS case APP/C1435/W/19/3230484. The scheme would therefore be contrary to Saved Policies EN1, EN2, TR3 and TR13 of the Wealden Local Plan 1998, Spatial Planning Objectives SPO7 and SPO15 and Policies WCS7 and WCS14 of the Wealden Core Strategy Local Plan 2013 and the guidance within Chapter 9 of the NPPF.

3. Whilst the site is within flood risk zone 1, details in the flood risk assessment and surface water drainage strategy in relation to surface water flooding for this major development fail to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority that the proposed development on the site would not increase flood risk elsewhere. Moreover, the application site discharges surface water runoff into the Pevensey Levels SSSI/SAC. Insufficient information has been lodged to demonstrate water quality improvements will be provided to ensure that runoff from the application site will not result in the deterioration of the protected site. Inadequate details have been lodged and, at the time of determination, Southern Water having indicated that for foul drainage to be accommodated it would require significant improvement to its infrastructure. No such upgrade is guaranteed and the Local Planning Authority are, in the circumstances, concerned about protection of the Pevensey Levels SSSI/SAC. Whilst the Council cannot demonstrate (at the time of writing) an up to date 5 Year Housing Land Supply, and the proposed development would provide a contribution to addressing the undersupply, the potential effects of the proposal upon flood risk and the protected conflict with development plan policies are not outweighed by the benefits of development. The presumption in favour of development is, therefore, outweighed by the significant and demonstrable harm. The proposal therefore conflicts with Saved Policies EN1, EN8, EN15 and CS1 of the adopted Wealden Local Plan (1998), coupled with Policies

WCS12 and WCS14 of the adopted Wealden Core Strategy Local Plan (2013) and the NPPF 2019.

In response to this resolution, Councillors Stedman, Howell and P Lunn then proposed the referral of the above application to Planning Committee North and, on the recommendation of the Chairman in accordance with Part 3, Responsibility for Functions of the Council's Constitution, paragraph 4.8 5 (c) Referral In by Committee Members, the APPLICATION WAS REFERRED TO PLANNING COMMITTEE NORTH for the following reason:

That despite accepting the site development is controversial, it is allocated in the Core Strategy Local Plan and redevelopment is subject of a recent planning appeal (by Inquiry) with dismissal on narrow grounds. With the subsequent work to address those reasons, there is now no technical impediment to delivery of the site.

Public Speaking

The following members of the public spoke against the application:

Cllr Frances Prichett, on behalf of Willingdon Residents Association & Willingdon & Jevington Parish Council

Cllr Ian Nisbitt – on behalf of Willingdon Residents Association & Willingdon & Jevington Parish Council

Councillor Douglas Murray, Mayor of Polegate and Town Councillor.

The following members of the public spoke in favour of the application:

Jeff Thomas – Agent

Justin Bass – Transport Consultant for Applicant

Councillor Stephen Shing, Committee and Local Ward Member, spoke in relation to the application but did not vote.

Councillor Daniel Shing, Local Ward Member, spoke in relation to the application but did not vote.

Councillor Oi Lin Shing, Adjacent Ward Member, having been given prior consent by the Chairman in accordance with the Council's Constitution Part 3, Para 4.7 (1) (c), spoke in relation to the application but did not vote.

Note:

1. Cllrs Oi Lin Shing, Daniel Shing and Stephen Shing confirmed that they were predisposed and not predetermined and that they had not closed their minds and spoke in relation to this application but did not vote.
2. A motion to record the vote proposed by Councillor White and seconded by Councillor Cleaver was lost.
3. The Committee adjourned for a 35 minute lunch break at 13.55pm following consideration of this item.

21/149. WD/2021/0424/MRM - LAND AT OLD MARSHFOOT FARM, MARSHFOOT LANE, HAILSHAM BN27 2RE

Major Application - Reserved Matters application for:

RESERVED MATTERS (APPEARANCE, LANDSCAPING, LAYOUT AND SCALE) PURSUANT TO OUTLINE PERMISSION WD/2017/0458/MAO (OUTLINE PLANNING APPLICATION (INCLUDING DETAILS OF ACCESS) FOR DEVELOPMENT OF UP TO 300 RESIDENTIAL UNITS (INCLUDING 35% AFFORDABLE), TOGETHER WITH ASSOCIATED PUBLIC OPEN SPACE, PLAY AREAS, LANDSCAPING, INTERNAL ROADS AND PARKING, AND PROVISION OF NEW VEHICULAR ACCESS FROM MARSHFOOT LANE)

Received date: 21 April 2021

Parish: Hailsham

Ward: Hailsham East

RESOLVED that Reserved Matters BE APPROVED, subject to minor detailing amendments to some plot types, completion of a legal agreement to secure a financial contribution of £300,967.83 for the upgrading of the Maurice Thornton Playing Fields payable upon commencement of development and the conditions set out in the agenda report, Officer Update report and additional condition and informative agreed at the meeting as follows:

To include the provision of water butts, bird boxes and bat bricks and an informative that makes clear that Members resolved to approve this reserved matters application on the basis of the submitted layout having regard to the density and spatial arrangements, including the provision of bungalows, garden sizes, parking provision, open space, recreation provision and landscaping. Having regard to the provisions of Paragraph 135 of the NPPF 2021, it is advised that the quality of the approved development must not be materially diminished between the scheme as approved and completion of the development with regard to the housing type, size, layout and details including materials and landscaping.

Response to Town Council

The principle and quantum of development was determined by the outline planning permission. The effective use of land is encouraged in the NPPF whilst safeguarding and improving the environment and ensuring safe and healthy living conditions. The outline planning application was subject to an AA under the Habitat Regulations having regard to the need to protect the sensitive Pevensey Levels and conditions are attached to the outline permission to ensure appropriate environmental protection. The development meets the spatial standards for new development and therefore cannot be categorised as overdevelopment. The spatial separation distances between the new development and existing development fully accords with the Wealden Design Guide to ensure privacy distances are met. The affordable housing mix was agreed with the Council's Housing Service and there is currently no planning policy that controls the mix of market housing. The affordable housing plans have been amended to meet the requirements of the Council's Housing Service regarding the separate kitchens for two bed units and the access off core areas has been addressed. Parking provision has been provided to provide an acceptable balance across the development between allocate parking and visitor parking. ESCC Highways have objected that there is overprovision of parking and wanted the number of parking bays reduced by 161 spaces. The reduction in parking spaces sought by County has not been supported by the

planning officer. It is not uncommon to have pumping stations on new development sites. Traffic, highways safety and access were considered as part of the outline planning permission and do not constitute part of this reserved matters application. Risk of flooding was considered as part of the outline planning permission and conditions requiring full details of surface water drainage will be required through the discharge of conditions.

Public Speaking

The following members of the public spoke against the application:

Mrs L Sparkes – neighbour

Mrs Sue Stoneman – neighbour

Neil Stone – neighbour

The following members of the public spoke in favour of the application:

Paul Dadswell – Planning Manager

Councillor Blake-Coggins, Local Ward Member, spoke in relation to the application but did not vote.

Note:

1. The Committee adjourned for a 5 minute comfort break at 14.40pm at the start of this item due to a disruption from the public gallery.
2. The Committee adjourned for a 5 minute comfort break at 15.50pm following consideration of this item.
3. Councillor Shing left the meeting at 15.50pm following consideration of this item.

21/150. WD/2019/1136/MAO - DANECROFT NURSERIES, STATION ROAD, HELLINGLY, BN27 4EU

Major Application - Outline application for:

OUTLINE APPLICATION FOR THE ERECTION OF UP TO 80 NO. DWELLINGS INCLUDING ACCESS PROVISION, WITH ALL OTHER MATTERS RESERVED.

Received date: 30 October 2019

Parish: Hellingly

Ward: Hellingly

RESOLVED that Contrary to Officer recommendation permission be REFUSED for the following reasons:

1. The proposed development, which is for up to 80 dwellings, would have a significantly higher density than the existing surrounding residential development. Any subsequent detailed scheme would, as a result, likely have an insufficiently spaced layout with tight dwelling plots, small private garden spaces and a more urbanised character. This would be out of character with residential development in the surrounding area which maintains semi-rural traits with houses in larger plots allowing for generous gardens, space between dwellings, off-street parking and soft landscaped frontages. The proposal would be contrary to saved Policies GD2, EN1, EN8, EN14, EN27, DC17 and HG5 of the Wealden Local Plan 1998, Policy WCS14 of the Core Strategy Local Plan,

the aims and vision of the Hellingly Neighbourhood Plan which seeks to protect the rural character of the area and ensure Hellingly will remain an essentially rural parish, and paragraphs 124, 126 and 130 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

2. The proposed access for the development, which is shown to meander from Park Road (C208), onto Park Road (U7693) and then to the access point on the north-east boundary of the application site would be contrived, resulting in difficulty in manoeuvring for service vehicles, potential conflict with the delivery of an already approved development, ref WD/2020/0808/F, as the turning manoeuvre details submitted with the application requires larger service vehicles to overhang the highway verge on some sections of the route into the site and this may impact on the access arrangements serving the already approved neighbouring residential development, and conflict with pedestrian road users who have become accustomed to using Park Road (U7693) as a 'footway' to access the nearby local school and services. The proposal would be contrary to saved Policy TR3 of the Wealden Local Plan 1998, WCS14 of the Core Strategy Local Plan and paragraph 110 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

In coming to this decision to refuse permission, the Local Planning Authority have had regard to the requirement to negotiate both positively and pro-actively with the applicant, in line with the guidance at paragraph 187 of the National Planning Policy Framework. However, it is considered that planning constraints leading to this refusal of permission do not appear capable of resolution without major revision to the proposed number of dwelling houses.

3. This planning decision relates solely to the information contained within the application form, the following plan(s) and (where appropriate) documents:

Ref.	Date Stamped.	STN4R
8137/APP2 Topographical Survey	24 May 2019	
8137APP1-A Location Plan	24 June 2019	
8737X/01 ISSUE B Swept Path	10 August 2020	
8737X/02 ISSUE A Swept Path	10 August 2020	

Public Speaking

The following members of the public spoke in favour of the application:

Mark Best – Planning Consultant

Councillor White, Committee and Local Ward Member, spoke in relation to the application but did not vote.

21/151. DELEGATED TREE MATTERS

The Committee noted the delegated decisions to approve the tree matters set out in Item 12 of the agenda.

21/152. DELEGATED APPROVALS

The Committee noted the delegated decisions to approve the applications set out in Item 13 of the agenda.

21/153. DELEGATED REFUSALS

The Committee noted the delegated decisions to refuse applications set out item 14 of the agenda.

21/154. WITHDRAWN LIST

The Committee noted the list of withdrawn applications set out in Item 15 of the agenda.

21/155. APPEALS IN PROGRESS AND DECIDED

The Committee noted the details of the appeals in progress and decided since the last meeting, provided on the agenda .

21/156. ENFORCEMENT MATTERS FOR INFORMATION

The Committee noted and made comments on the details of the enforcement matters progressed since the last meeting.

21/157. DATE OF NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Committee will be held on Thursday 2 December 2021 at the Council Offices, Hailsham at 10.00 a.m.

Councillor Stedman
Chairman