



**Judicial
Watch®**
*Because no one
is above the law!*

May 5, 2025

CERTIFIED MAIL

Chad E. Kasmar, Chief of Police
Tucson Police Department
Records Section
270 S. Stone Ave.
Tucson, AZ 85701-1917

Re: **Records under Public Records Law**
A.R.S. § 39-101 through 39-221

Chief Kasmar;

On or around July 20, 2024, the Pima County Sheriff's Office ("PCSO") generated a Domestic Violence ("DV") assault report listing Tucson Police Department ("TPD") Lt. Alisa D Cunningham #33948 as the suspect. The PCSO criminal report number was 240720147 (*see attachment #1*). TPD also produced a separate report - P2407200097. TPD General Orders ("GO") 3110 states, "The Tucson Police Department thoroughly investigates all allegations of criminal activity (*emphasis mine*) or misconduct by its members. Suspected violations of federal or state law, (*emphasis mine*) department General Orders, City Administrative Directives, and other policies or procedures by members shall be fully, fairly, and promptly investigated." (*emphasis mine*)



3100 PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS (Revised: January 15, 2021)

3110 GENERAL

The Tucson Police Department thoroughly investigates all allegations of criminal activity or misconduct by its members. Suspected violations of federal or state law, department General Orders, City Administrative Directives, and other policies or procedures by members shall be fully, fairly, and promptly investigated.

The Code of Conduct (GO 1310) of the TPD states, "**I will keep my private life unsullied as an example to all and will behave in a manner that does not bring discredit to me or to my agency.**" (*emphasis mine*) I will maintain courageous calm in the face of danger, scorn, or ridicule; develop self-restraint; and be constantly mindful of the welfare of others. Honest in thought and deed both in my personal and official life, **I will be exemplary in obeying the law and the regulations of my department.**" (*emphasis mine*) Whatever I see or hear of a confidential nature or that is confided to me in my official capacity will be kept ever secret

unless revelation is necessary in the performance of my duty.

1310 LAW ENFORCEMENT CODE OF ETHICS

All sworn members of the police department shall abide by the tenets of the Law Enforcement Code of Ethics:

"As a law enforcement officer, my fundamental duty is to serve the community; to safeguard lives and property; to protect the innocent against deception, the weak against oppression or intimidation and the peaceful against violence or disorder; and to respect the constitutional rights of all to liberty, equality, and justice.

I will keep my private life unsullied as an example to all and will behave in a manner that does not bring discredit to me or to my agency. I will maintain courageous calm in the face of danger, scorn, or ridicule, develop self-restraint, and be constantly mindful of the welfare of others. Honest in thought and deed both in my personal and official life, I will be exemplary in obeying the law and the regulations of my department. Whatever I see or hear of a confidential nature or that is confided to me in my official capacity will be kept ever secret unless revelation is necessary in the performance of my duty.

I will never act officiously or permit personal feelings, prejudices, political beliefs, aspirations, animosities, or friendships to influence my decisions. With no compromise for crime and with relentless prosecution of criminals, I will enforce the law courteously and appropriately without fear or favor, malice or ill will, never employing unnecessary force or violence, and never accepting gratuities.

In 2025, between January 1 to February 28, Officer James Voss #43601 submitted Body Worn Camera ("BWC") video footage involving case reports P2501040058, P2501160032, P2501160051, and P2502270117. On or around January 27, 2025, Officer Voss was involved in a use of force incident. The TPD case report regarding this matter is labeled as P2501270106.

Judicial Watch ("JW") has been informed that BWC footage is routinely, according to policy, downloaded for Department access at the end of an officer's shift. Additionally, JW was advised that there have been public records request(s) already submitted for the aforementioned incidents with no response.

Utilizing the date range of July 1, 2024, until your completion of this public records request, pursuant to the provisions of the Arizona Public Records Law (APRL), A.R.S. §39-101 through §39-221, please provide copies of:

1. Any TPD documents, to include but not limited to administrative and/or criminal investigations (TPD P2407200097 / PCSO 240720147) memos, communications, texts, emails, and/or letters, produced and/or in the possession of TPD regarding the aforementioned DV assault incident involving Cunningham
2. Any TPD administrative findings involving Cunningham regarding PCSO allegations of DV assault, such findings being SUSTAINED, UNFOUNDED, EXHONERATED, OTHER, and/or NOT SUSTAINED
3. Any TPD BWC footage for the following aforementioned incidents involving Officer Voss:
 - a. P2501040058
 - b. P2501160032
 - c. P2501160051
 - d. P2502270117

e. P2501270106

4. Any TPD documents, to include but not limited to administrative investigations and/or Force Review Board reports, regarding Officer Voss in relation to P2501270106

To the extent applicable, the following definitions apply to the request:

“COMMUNICATION(S)” means every manner or method of disclosure, exchange of information, statement, or discussion between or among two or more persons, including but not limited to, face-to-face and telephone conversations, correspondence, memoranda, telegrams, telexes, email messages, voice-mail messages, text messages, electronic messaging (including instant messaging and chats delivered through Microsoft Teams, Google Workspace, Zoom Team Chat, or other similar systems), meeting minutes, discussions, releases, statements, reports, publications, and any recordings or reproductions thereof.

“DOCUMENT(S)” or “RECORD(S)” mean any kind of written, graphic, or recorded matter, however produced or reproduced, of any kind or description, whether sent, received, or neither, including drafts, originals, non-identical copies, and information stored magnetically, electronically, photographically or otherwise. As used herein, the terms “DOCUMENT(S)” or “RECORD(S)” include, but are not limited to, studies, papers, books, accounts, letters, diagrams, pictures, drawings, photographs, correspondence, telegrams, cables, text messages, emails, memoranda, notes, notations, work papers, intra-office and inter-office communications, communications to, between and among employees, contracts, financial agreements, grants, proposals, transcripts, minutes, orders, reports, recordings, or other documentation of telephone or other conversations, interviews, affidavits, slides, statement summaries, opinions, indices, analyses, publications, questionnaires, answers to questionnaires, statistical records, ledgers, journals, lists, logs, tabulations, charts, graphs, maps, surveys, sound recordings, data sheets, computer printouts, tapes, discs, microfilm, and all other records kept, regardless of the title, author, or origin.

“PERSON” means individuals, entities, firms, organizations, groups, committees, regulatory agencies, governmental entities, business entities, corporations, partnerships, trusts, and estates.

“REFERS,” “REFERRING TO,” “REGARDS,” “REGARDING,” “RELATES,” “RELATING TO,” “CONCERNS,” “CONCERNING” or “PERTAINS TO” mean, containing, alluding to, responding to, commenting upon, discussing, showing, disclosing, explaining, mentioning, analyzing, constituting, comprising, evidencing, setting forth, summarizing, or characterizing, either directly or indirectly, in whole or in part.

The information sought is for non-commercial purposes. Judicial Watch requests a waiver of any direct costs of duplication and any statutory fees - *see* Cal. Gov’t Code § 6253(b) and (e); *see also North County Parents Org. v Dept. of Educ.*, 23 Cal. App. 4th 144, 148, (1994) (agencies have discretionary authority under the CPRA to waive fee for duplicating public records as doing so permits greater access to records). Judicial Watch is a representative of the news media and our news media status has been confirmed in court rulings - *see e.g., Judicial*

Watch, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of Defense, 2006 U.S. District LEXIS 44003, *1 (D.D.C. June 28, 2006); *Judicial Watch, Inc. v. U.S. Dep't of Justice*, 133 F. Supp. 2d 52 (D.D.C. 2000). Also, disclosure of the information is in the public interest since disclosure will undoubtedly shed light on the operations or activities of government. Disclosure also is likely to contribute significantly to the public understanding of those operations or activities, because, among other reasons, Judicial Watch intends to disseminate both the records and its findings to a reasonably broad audience of persons interested in the subject via its regular distribution channels. As a tax-exempt, 501(c)(3) non-profit corporation, we do not seek the requested records for any commercial use nor for our own primary benefit. Rather, we intend to use the requested records as part of our on-going investigative journalism and public education efforts to promote integrity, transparency, and accountability in government and fidelity to the rule of law.


These records are considered public under A.R.S. §39-101 through §39-221. Pursuant to A.R.S. §39-121.01.D.1, records must be furnished promptly. **In the context of 1.) the date of the aforementioned incidents, 2.) the time mandates of TPD administrative investigation policy (GO 1310/3110), and 3.) previous public records requests for the same and/or similar TPD documents and/or /information, JW would consider ten (10) working days as being a timely and reasonable response.** We look forward to your prompt response and records. Any response or records that can be delivered via e-mail attachments are certainly acceptable. Additionally, all responsive records in an electronic format ("*PDF*" is preferred) is appreciated. We also are willing to accept a "rolling production" of responsive records if it will facilitate a more timely production.

If any responsive record or portion thereof is claimed to be exempt from production, please provide sufficient identifying information with respect to each allegedly exempt record or portion thereof to allow us to assess the propriety of the claimed exemption (*A.R.S. §39-121.01.D.2.*) Additionally, any reasonably segregable portion of a record otherwise exempt from disclosure is required to be made available after deletion of the portions that are exempted by law.

Please be advised that if the records are not provided to our office and/or if we do not hear from the Department in a lawful and timely manner we will assume that the Department is refusing to comply with our Public Records Request. If you do not understand this request or any portion thereof, or if you feel you require clarification of this request or any portion thereof, please contact us immediately at 602.510.7875 or mspencer@judicialwatch.org. The local Arizona address is:

Judicial Watch Inc.
PO Box 30042
Phoenix, AZ 85046

Sincerely,



MARK SPENCER
Southwest Projects Coordinator
Judicial Watch, Inc.



Pima County Sheriff's Department

Detail Incident Report for 240720147

Judicial Watch, Inc.
Attachment #

1

Incident: 240720147

Nature: MISC OFCR

Location: Foothills Beat 5

Offense Codes: 5304 0403

Received By: 9849Santama,M

How Received: On-Site

Agency: PCSD

Responding Officers: Hanover,JamesA Rodriguez,XJesu

Responsible Officers: Hanover,JamesA

Disposition: Active & assigned 07/22/24

When Reported: 13:53:15 07/20/24

Occurred Between: 13:52:58 07/20/24 and 13:52:58 07/20/24

Assigned To:

Detail: DV

Date Assigned: **/**/**

Status:

Status Date: **/**/**

Due Date: **/**/**

NOTICE:

PRIVATE OR CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, SUCH AS DATE OF BIRTH, SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER AND HOME ADDRESS, HAS BEEN REDACTED PURSUANT TO ARIZONA LAW.

NARRATIVE:

RESTRICTED ACCESS--NO PUBLIC DISSEMINATION
LAW ENFORCEMENT INVOLVEMENT

Per Deputy J. A. Hanover #9601 on Sat Jul 20 16:58:31 MST 2024

Initial Case Dictated by J. A. Hanover #9601 in Supp. 1

Radiolog:

Unit: 723

Enroute: 14:26:13 07/20/24

Arrived: 14:42:11 07/20/24

Completed: 15:15:09 07/20/24

Unit: 752

Enroute: 14:23:51 07/20/24

Arrived: 13:53:15 07/20/24

Completed: 15:15:20 07/20/24

SUSPECTS:

Name: CUNNINGHAM, ALISA D.

SUPPLEMENTAL NARRATIVE:

Initial Case Narrative by J. A. Hanover #9601 on 07/20/24 at 1557 hrs.
Total Dictation Time = 19:26 mins.

On 07/20/24, at approximately 1325 hours, while on patrol in the Foothills District, I responded to the Carondelet Marana Hospital located at

reference a potential stabbing that the Tucson Police Department (TPD) was working. I was advised that the TPD believed that the incident location was initially in the area of North Silverbell Road and West Speedway Boulevard; however, it was later indicated to the TPD that the incident possibly occurred somewhere off of North El Moraga Drive. I was advised that a female involved, named Krishna Millhoff, had sustained some lacerations as a result of a possible stabbing indicated by the TPD. Krishna's wife was a TPD Lieutenant, who I later learned to be Alisa Cunningham. I was advised that a point of contact for the TPD would be Sergeant Santa Maria.

Upon my arrival at the Carondelet Marana Hospital, I met with Officer Swanberg #105697 reference TPD Case #2407200097. In speaking with Officer Swanberg, I learned that Krishna sought medical treatment at Carondelet Marana Hospital. He advised me that she had upwards of 50 stitches on her face. I learned from Officer Swanberg that Krishna was not very forthcoming with information and that the only information the TPD had obtained was essentially from medical staff at the Carondelet Marana Hospital.

Krishna initially indicated to hospital staff that whatever incident unfolded occurred in the area of North Silverbell Road and West Speedway Boulevard; however, she ultimately stated that she was irritated that people kept asking her what had happened and where it had happened, which was what led her to make that statement. She eventually recanted that statement and advised that whatever unfolded happened in the area of North El Moraga Drive, which was in the Pima County Sheriff's Department's (PCSD) district and specifically in the Foothills District Beat Five.

I learned that Krishna and Alisa resided at

which was also in the PCSD's jurisdiction. I was advised by the TPD that Krishna's wife, Alisa, was supposed to be in Boston, Massachusetts receiving some sort of law enforcement training and was not believed to be in the Tucson

area at this time.

I briefly spoke with Sergeant Santa Maria with the TPD, who confirmed that I had been briefed by Officer Swanberg. I informed him that I had. I also advised him that I would go into the hospital and speak with Krishna. Depending on what my findings were, I would create a case number and attempt to get more information from Krishna. I was advised by Officer Swanberg that essentially the TPD's investigation up to that point had simply consisted of asking Krishna if she wished to give a statement, at which point she informed them that she did not.

I walked into the hospital with Officer Swanberg who pointed out Emergency Room (ER) #1, which had a closed door, and he stated that was where Krishna was located. I knocked on the door of Room #1 prior to entering. Upon entering into the room, I made contact with a female who identified herself verbally as Krishna Millhoff by her name and date of birth, which was ultimately confirmed through her Arizona Motor Vehicle Division (MVD) return.

I observed lacerations which had already been medically treated and appeared to have received stitches on Krishna's forehead. The lacerations were vertical directly above the inside area of Krishna's left eyebrow. There was also a horizontal laceration directly above that one, which was angled from more of the top left part of her forehead down to above her left eyebrow. There was also a laceration above her right eyebrow, which essentially looked to be in the shape of an L. I also observed what appeared to have been a laceration on the bottom of Krishna's chin. She also had two black eyes as well as various other bruising on her chin and face, along with some scratch marks and redness, consistent with injuries more than likely sustained during some sort of physical altercation. Some of the scratch marks possibly could have been dried blood as a result of her injuries; however, I was unable to tell exactly.

I asked Krishna for her consent to take some photographs of her injuries and she stated that I could. I took a few photographs with my department-issued cell phone and later uploaded the photographs to Axon Evidence.com.

I asked Krishna what exactly had happened. She stated that she was not willing to speak about whatever had unfolded at that time. She stated that the incident was in her words, "a private family matter." She stated that after discussing the matter with her family, they determined that they were going to keep it a private family matter and did not want law enforcement to be involved. I asked Krishna what family she had in Tucson. She stated that the only family she had was her wife, who she resided with. She stated that she did not have any other family in the area.

I asked Krishna where this incident occurred. She stated that she was not willing to tell me that at that time; however, she stated that it was definitely in the county, indicating to me that she knew it to have occurred in the PCSD's jurisdiction. I initially requested that Dispatch create a call simply reference miscellaneous officer involvement. I initially advised Dispatch to use the intersection of North El Moraga Drive and West Goret Road, as Krishna had indicated that his incident unfolded somewhere off of North El Moraga Drive and that aforementioned intersection was in the area of her home, which Krishna advised was at

At that time, since Krishna was not willing to speak with me any further about this incident that had occurred, I returned out to my patrol vehicle. I advised the TPD officers on scene of my findings, at which point they cleared the scene as well as their Crime Scene Unit which was on scene. I provided the TPD with my case number for this incident as well as my name and badge number.

I then made an advisory to Sergeant B. A. Cross #5962 who was the Shift Supervisor at the time of this call. Sergeant Cross advised me to stay put at Carondelet Marana Hospital while he made advisories to various units and he would contact me back with more direction as to how to proceed with this investigation.

While standing by awaiting Sergeant Cross's call, I observed in the parking lot of Carondelet Marana Hospital, a white 2019 Lexus two door passenger car bearing Arizona veteran registration #LNA6PG. Upon running the vehicle's registration, I observed that it returned to an Alisa Cunningham and Krishna Millhoff at

and I determined that this was the vehicle that Krishna had self-transported herself with to the hospital.

I was ultimately contacted back by Sergeant Cross. While on the phone with Sergeant Cross, I observed Krishna walk out of the hospital with some paperwork in hand. I observed her enter this white 2019 Lexus passenger car. She was the only occupant of the vehicle and the driver. I advised Sergeant Cross that she was actively leaving the hospital in her vehicle. Sergeant Cross directed me to conduct a check welfare on Alisa at the couple's address on Valley Mine Drive.

I traveled westbound on West Cortaro Farms Road out of the Carondelet Marana Hospital entrance. I observed Krishna continue westbound on Cortaro Farms Road

past the Interstate 10 interchange. I traveled down Interstate 10 to Krishna's residence, where I parked down the street on a cul-de-sac out of sight of the residence. I observed a white 2004 4Runner bearing Arizona registration #CHP0838 parked on the street in front of the residence. Upon running that vehicle's registration, I observed that it returned to Alisa at that address. I did not observe any other vehicles in the driveway or on the street at that time.

While awaiting a response from a backup unit, who was Deputy J. O. Rodriguez #7943, simply due to the nature of this call possibly being some sort of domestic violence incident, I did not want to approach the residence without a backup unit available. While awaiting the arrival of Deputy Rodriguez, I observed Krishna's white Lexus passenger car enter the driveway of the residence. I observed the garage door of the residence open. While using binoculars from down the street, I observed in the garage a blue 2021 Toyota 4Runner bearing Arizona registration #L5A21B, which again returned to Alisa at that address. The garage was a two-car garage, and Krishna pulled in directly next to the 2021 Toyota 4Runner in the garage before shutting the garage door. I was not able to observe any other people present at the residence at that time.

Upon the arrival of Deputy Rodriguez, we proceeded to the front door of the residence. I initially rang a Ring doorbell camera and knocked on the front door; however, we initially did not have any contact with anybody inside the residence. Both Deputy Rodriguez and I knocked on the front of the residence a couple more times, prior to some blinds on the left side of the front door opening and we observed that there was somebody inside the residence. The residence had a metal security door as well as a standard front door. The standard front door was opened, at which point I could not make out who exactly had opened the door. I asked if the person who had opened the door was Alisa. She stated that it was not. I asked this female if Alisa was home and she stated that she was not. I then realized that the female who had opened the door was in fact Krishna who I had just spoken with at the hospital. I asked Krishna if she would give her consent for me and Deputy Rodriguez to enter the home and just check the residence to ensure that Alisa was not present at that time. She stated that was okay with her. She opened the front door and allowed both me and Deputy Rodriguez access into the home.

I began to walk around the home and checked obvious areas where a person could be, including all rooms within the home, under beds, as well as in some closets. However, I did not want to overstep the boundaries of the consent given by Krishna and therefore I did not conduct the most thorough of searches; however, I was ultimately at the end of it satisfied that Alisa was not inside the home unless she had hidden very well beneath some items or behind items.

SUPPLEMENTAL NARRATIVE:

Sat Jul 20 20:40:23 2024

Detective V. Duarte 7653

CASE 240720147

On July 20, 2024 at approximately 1530 hours I was advised by Detective Bejarano 6702 that Detective response was needed in reference a Domestic Violence assault.

I responded to the area of Sweet Water Dr and Silverbell Rd and made contact with Detective Bejarano.

I was briefed on the incident that had happened. I was advised that Krishna Millhoff DOB

*****esponded to the Marana Hospital to seek medical treatment from a possible Domestic Violence incident involving her wife Alisa Cunningham DOB *****

While she was at the hospital she was not fourth coming with details of the incident. However did received several stitches for lacerations to her facial area. During questioning it was learned that the incident may have occurred on 00:00 hours on July 20th 2024. I learned Tucson Police Department responded due to Cunningham being a Lieutenant with the Tucson Police Department. Once Krishna

was discharged for the hospital she returned home, where deputies followed and made contact with her again. They requested that they search the home to ensure Alisa was not there. Krishna provided consent and while searching the home deputies located blood in the master bedroom. I made telephonic contact with TPD Captain Pickrom 37963 in reference to the matter. She advised me that they were aware of this incident and made contact with Alisa however Alisa did not give a statement. I was advised that Alisa is in Boston attending a Law Enforcement conference at Boston University however Alisa was visting a friend in Cape Cod and Alisa would not be back in town until August 5th. Boston University Police Department was asked for assistance in completing a check welfare for Alisa but ultimately advised that they would only conduct a check welfare on Alisa however would not assist in any assistance with an investigation.

I then attempted to make telephonic contact with the phone number provided. I reached Alisa's voicemail and left a voicemail, at the time of this report I have not made contact with Alisa. Myself and Detective Bejarano responded to the home of Alisa and Krishna at the location of

Due to Krishna being uncooperative and contact not being made with Alisa myself and Detective Bejarano cleared the scene. Segreant Hernandez advised.

SUPPLEMENTAL NARRATIVE:

Det. L. Bejarano #6702

Case# 240720147

Narrative:

On July 20, 2024, at approximately 15:13 hours, I was contacted by Sergeant G. Hernandez #1414 reference a callout involving a possible domestic violence assault.

I was briefed and provided the following information: The Victim named Krishna Millhoff is in a relationship with Alisa Cunningham in which Krishna had sought out medical attention in Marana due to having lacerations to the head and chin along with Scratches on the arms. I was also informed that medical staff had made a mandatory report regarding this matter after being told the injuries were done by the significant other of Krishna. Originally Tucson Police Department responded to this matter but found this was to have occurred in Pima County's jurisdiction. I was informed that the parties involved were not cooperating with the investigation at this time and Krishna had left the hospital and driven away. Pictures were taken prior to her leaving and Krishna stated only that it was a family issue, and they are working through it. Deputies responding to the address of

While responding to the location of *****

Detective Duarte and I made contact with Krishna at her residence, in which she did not want to speak to us and stated that she did not want to be part of this investigation any further. Krishna refused victim services and refused EMERGE. At this time Krishna and Alisa did not providing any statements to Detectives and Detective Duarte#7653 and I cleared from this matter. Please refer to Detective Duarte's#7653 report for further information and the initial Deputies involved regarding their initial investigation for this matter. This concludes my involvement. NFI.

SUPPLEMENTAL NARRATIVE:

Mon Jul 22 16:32:02 2024

Det. V. Duarte 7653

Case 240720147

On July 22nd I made telephonic contact with Alisa Cunningham. For exact details please refer to the taped statement I uploaded into AXON evidence. Alisa stated that she did not leave the Boston area and did not travel to Tucson. She stated she did not want to answer any other questions. She asked if this investigation was going to be closed and when I advised her that at this time the investigation is still on going she began to seem upset. She asked me if I would like a contact to some one who can place her here the entire weekend, I stated I would, she then stated that my command staff can get it. At this point Alisa was not being forthcoming in the investigation and I ended the phone call. A short while later a MR. Matthew Harman who is that manager of Senior Management For Police Officers Program at Boston University made contact with me. He stated that all he was able to verify was that Alisa was attending training on the scheduled training hours however can not place Alisa anywhere on the weekend of July 20th and 21st.

At this time a Warrant for Alisas personal cellphone as well as her work cell phone was granted ref: 24SW1626 as well as a Warrant for the ring doorbell located at

I also obtained Krishna's medical records and placed them into evidence.