Diagnosis and Management of Prosthetic Joint Infection (PJI)

Clinical Presentation Joint never felt good after surgery, Pain, Stiffness Signs of inflammation, Presence of sinus Imaging (not diagnostic): periosteal reaction, loosening of prosthesis with endosteal scalloping, bone resorption, involucrum.

Incidence:

1-2% primary arthroplastiesUp to 6% revision arthroplastiesCommonly gram-positive skin commensals, but incidence of atypical pathogens

increasing

Sources: direct perioperative inoculation, haematogenous spread

<u>Diagnosis</u>

(2018 Definition - score based assessment)

Parvizi J, Tan TL, Goswami K, Higuera C, Della Valle C, Chen AF, Shohat N. The 2018 Definition of Periprosthetic Hip and Knee Infection: An Evidence-Based and Validated Criteria. J Arthroplasty. 2018 May;33(5):1309-1314.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2018.02.078. Epub 2018 Feb 26. PMID: 29551303.

| Major criteria (at least one of the following) | Decision | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--|
| Two positive cultures of the same organism | Infected | |
| Sinus tract with evidence of communication to the joint or visualization of the prosthesis | | |

| | | Minor Criteria | Score | Decision | |
|--------------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|------------------------------------|--|
| Diagnosis | Serum | Elevated CRP <u>or</u> D-Dimer | 2 | | |
| Diag | | Elevated ESR | 1 | ≥6 Infected | |
| itive | Synovial | Elevated synovial WBC count or LE | 3 | 2-5 Possibly Infected ^a | |
| Preoperative | | Positive alpha-defensin | 3 | | |
| Preo | | Elevated synovial PMN (%) | 2 | 0-1 Not Infected | |
| | | Elevated synovial CRP | 1 | | |

| | Inconclusive pre-op score <u>or</u> dry tap ^a | Score | Decision | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| ative sis | Preoperative score | - | ≥6 Infected | | |
| Intraoperative Diagnosis | Positive histology | 3 | A 5 June value in h | | |
| Dia | Positive purulence | 3 | 4-5 Inconclusive ^b | | |
| - | Single positive culture | 2 | ≤3 Not Infected | | |

Others:

EBJIS: https://boneandjoint.org.uk/article/10.1302/0301-620X.103B1.BJJ-2020-1381.R1

PRO-IMPLANT foundation: https://pro-implant.org/tools/pocket-guide

*** always sample synovial fluid – priority to identify causative organism and sensitivity to tailor local antibiotics and systemic antibiotic regiment.

Classification

Tsukayama DT, Estrada R, Gustilo RB. Infection after total hip arthroplasty. A study of the treatment of one hundred and six infections. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1996 Apr; 78(4):512-23. doi: 10.2106/00004623-199604000-00005. PMID: 8609130.

| type/features intraoperative pos | | II. Early postoperative infection | III. Acute hematogenic infection | IV. Late chronic infection | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Symptoms start after baseline surgery | | Up to 4 weeks | After an asymptomatic period | After 4 weeks | | |
| Mechanism Exogenous | | Hematogenic | Exogenous or hematogenic | | | |
| Most common etiological agent Coagulase-negative Staphylococci (bidermidis) (Coagulase-positive (epidermidis) (Coagulase-positive), Gram-negative Bacilli | | Coagulase-positive Staphylococci +, Streptococci | Staphylococci (Coagulase-positi and negative), Gram-negative Bacilli | | | |
| Clinical Painful Fever, inflammatory presentation arthroplasty signs, persistent drainage, no sinus tract | | Fever, inflammatory signs, no sinus tract | Fever, sinus tract, drainage, pus accumulation, local edema | | | |

Guides the further management (based on our understanding of biofilm formation)

Management

Principles:

Presuming biofilm have not formed, good tissues: access all possible surface of microbial attachment

Remove if not essential, mechanical and chemical debridement of essential surfaces.

Chronic infections (>4 weeks) – remove all possible surface of microbial attachment

Strategies:

Treatment strategies based on McPherson staging system

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7282566/pdf/abjs-478-903.pdf

| Systemic host grade | | | Timing | | Local extremity grade | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| A | No compromising factors | | Ι | Early postop (<4/52) | | 1 | No compromising factors |
| В | B 1-2 compromising factors | | | Early haematogenous (<4/52) | | 2 | 1-2 compromising factors |
| С | C Multiple factors or: Absolute neutrophil count less than 1000 CD4 T cell count less than 100 Intravenous drug abuse Chronic active infection, other site Dysplasia or neoplasm of the immune system | | | Chronic infection (>4/52) | | 3 | Multiple factors |
| Host factors | | | | | Limb factor | S | |
| AlcoholismdialyChronic active dermatitis or cellulitisSystemChronic indwelling catheterdiseaChronic malnutrition (albumin < 3.0 g/dL) Current | | vsis emic i ase (rl ritis, s nemat emic i promi sease unode uired i | InterequiringActive infection present more than 3-4 mMultiple incisions (creating skin bridges)Soft-tissue loss from prior traumaSubcutaneous abscess greater than 8 crsystemic lupusatous)Prior periarticular fracture or trauma abcimmune(especiallydeficiency virus,immunodeficiencyvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvirusvir | | reating skin bridges) n prior trauma ess greater than 8 cm2 fistula acture or trauma about joint n to wound area cy to extremity (absent extremity | | |

Surgery

Mechanical and chemical debridement:

Debride ALL possible surface of microbial attachment (includes synovectomy, non-viable tissues)

Ample irrigation (variable evidence for different irrigation solutions)

Presume no biofilm – retain prosthesis Debridement, Antibiotics and Implant Retention (DAIR) Success rates: 50-80% (varies acc to causative organisms, centre, patient factors) Variations of DAIR: Double DAIR, DAPRI

Biofilm formed:

<u>1 stage exchange:</u>

Removal of prosthesis, all possible surfaces of microbial attachment (prosthesis, synovium, non-viable bone)

Reimplantation of new components, use antibiotic impregnated cement

1.5 stage exchange: now gaining popularity, similar to 1 stage technique higher dose of antibiotic cement, poorer cement technique, inclusion of antibiotic dowels, less emphasis given on joint stability or fixation technique (acts as functional spacer)

2 stage exchange:

Removal of prosthesis, synovium, non-viable bone

Antibiotic cement spacer in the interim period (static or dynamic) Re-implantation after completed acute phase of anti-microbials

Local antibiotics for spacers:

Heat stable, not sensitive to oxidation, doesn't interfere with polymerisation of cement, https://icmphily.com/questions/should-the-antibiotics-placed-in-a-cement-spacer-be-tailored-to-the-sensitivity-of-the-infective-organism/

Less commonly performed surgeries (poorer function, usually in treatment failures) Candidates with poorer expected outcome: poor host, poor soft tissue, difficult to treat organisms

Resection Arthroplasy - severe bone loss.

Arthrodesis

Amputation: Last resort for uncontrollable infection or life-threatening sepsis.

Antibiotic therapy:

Follows debridement, choice dependent on sensitivity results duration:

Minimum of 3 months, dependent on organism type, severity of infection, improvement of serum inflammatory markers.

Rifampicin – most preferred antibiotic after 1 or 2 stage (prevents formation of biofilm, however development of resistance can be quite high)

Emerging / controversial topics Sonication of prosthesis for microbial identification

Bacteriophage therapy

Regular local antibiotic infusion

Further reading ICMphilly 2018, Hip and Knee https://icmphilly.com/hip-knee/

PRO-IMPLANT foundation pocket book: https://pro-implant.org/tools/pocket-guide