

EXHIBIT D – CONTRADICTIONS REPORT

Project: Butts County Board of Education – Central Office Building

Reviewed Documents: Addendum No. 1 Drawings, Specifications, Sheet A7.0

Created By: Compass Global | Powered by SQUARES AI Platform

Purpose

This report identifies contradictions, inconsistencies, and gaps between drawings, finish schedules, details, and specifications. No attempt has been made to resolve or interpret these conflicts.

Category	Sheets / References	Contradiction Identified	Impact / Risk
Flooring	A7.0 Finish Schedule vs Floor Plans	Finish tags shown in plan areas do not consistently align with room finish schedule entries.	Quantity takeoff and pricing risk due to unclear extents.
Tile – Floors	Floor Plans vs Interior Elevations	Tile floors shown in wet areas on plans; interior elevations vary in extent and termination points.	Waterproofing scope and tile quantity ambiguity.
Tile – Walls	A7.0 vs Restroom Elevations	Wall tile heights differ between the interior finish schedule and restroom elevations.	Risk of underestimating wall tile quantities and labor.
Base	General Notes vs A7.0	RB-2 is referenced in notes without an assigned finish ID, product information, or defined locations.	RB-2 excluded from estimate; clarification required to avoid scope gap.
Treads & Risers	Stair Plans vs Specifications	Treads and risers are specified; however, finish color, nosing profile, and installation extents are not coordinated.	Material selection and detailing risk.
Transitions	A7.0 Transition Schedule vs Details	Transition types are shown, but manufacturers and attachment methods are not specified.	Procurement and field coordination risk.
Specifications	Division 09 vs Drawings	Specifications allow multiple acceptable products while drawings do not clarify selections or hierarchy.	Basis of Design ambiguity.