Comparison of SARS-COVID 2-Vaccine in patients with cardiovascular disease

Bhuvi Raxwal¹, Sujal Patel BS², Isabella Dillon³, Deepak Parekh MD¹, Sundaresh Korvangala MD³.

1. Access Health Care Physicians 2. University of Florida, 3. University of South Florida



BACKGROUND

Numerous coronavirus disease 2019 vaccines have been development. In the USA, two highly effective mRNA coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccines from Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna have been approved for prevention of COVID 19 infection in addition to the Johnson and Johnson vaccine.

It is unclear if any particular vaccine has more favorable side effects profile in cardiovascular patients. Data regarding safety of COVID vaccines in cardiovascular patients is limited.



Clinical experience of SARS-COVID 2 vaccines in patients with cardiovascular disease.

METHODS	LIMITATIONS
Patients with cardiovascular disease were given a questionnaire form of symptoms to fill out in an outpatient clinic setting. Symptoms were classified as minor requiring no further medical attention and major requiring medical care by a healthcare professional.	 Small Numbers Limited data on J&J Vaccine
Duration of symptoms were classified as short term if symptoms lasted less than 24 hours, medium term if symptoms lasted 1 to 7 days and long term if symptoms lasted for over 7 days	DISCLOSURE INFORMATION
	Authors don't have any disclosures to report
RESTITES	

A total of 330 patients were included in the study of which 67% received Moderna vaccine 28% Pfizer and 5% Johnson and Johnson vaccine. Clinical characteristics were comparable in 3 groups with a mean age of 70 years in the study population.

Table 1. Clinical Characteristics (n=330)				Table 2. Clinical Sy		
	Moderna	Dfizor	18.1	Va	accine	Modern
Vaccine	(%)	(%)	(%)	То	otal (n=330)	221
Total (n=220)	(70)	(70)	(70)	Ar	ny symptoms %	50
Total (n=550)	221	92	17	Μ	luscle pain	24.9
Mean age (years)	72.6	68.4	69.7	Sk	kin site reaction	21.3
Malo				Fa	atigue	25.3
	52.9	55.4	52.9	He	eadache	14.9
Female	47.1	44.6	47.1	Dy	yspnea	7.2
CAD	44 0	27.0	20.4	ch	nest pain	4.1
	41.2	37.0	29.4	Di	izziness	3.2
HTN	67.0	59.8	64.7	Ра	alpitation	4.1
CHF	163	15 2	11 Q	Со	ongestion	2.3
	10.5	13.2	11.0	Lo	oss of taste	2.3
DM	21.3	22.8	23.5	So	ore Throat	2.7
Arrythmia	30.8	30.4	41 2	Na	ausea/vomiting	2.7
Chuelee		0011		Di	iarrhea	2.3
бтоке	6.3	4.3	5.9	Lo	oss of smell	0.9
COVID 19				Pu	ulmonary	
Infection	14.0	13.0	35.3	en	mbolism/DVT	0.5

Table 2. Clinical Symptoms						
Vaccine	Moderna (%)	Pfizer (%)	J&J (%)			
Total (n=330)	221	92	17			
Any symptoms %	50	43.5	35.3			

17.4

17.4

15.2

15.2

8.7

6.5

5.4

3.3

4.3

2.2

3.3

3.3

4.3

2.2

1.1

23.5

11.8

17.6

11.8

5.9

0

0

5.9

5.9

5.9

0

0

0

5.9

0

Side effects were more common with Moderna vaccine 50% in comparison to Pfizer 44% and 35% with Johnson and Johnson vaccine. Most common symptoms were local site skin reaction, fatigue and muscle pain. Pfizer group had less muscle pain 17% versus 24% and 25% in J&J and Moderna group respectively. Loss of taste and smell was prevalent in 2% of study population.

Skin site reaction and fatigue were more common with Moderna group 21% and 25% respectively. Symptoms of dyspnea and headache was similar in three groups. Symptoms lasted (1-7 days) in 4%, 5%, 6% in Moderna, Pfizer and J&J group respectively. Symptoms lasting greater than 7 days was 1% in study population.

Two patients had developed blood clots with one patient diagnosed with pulmonary embolism requiring admission to the hospital after Pfizer vaccine and another patient after Moderna vaccine diagnosed with leg DVT treated outpatient. Patient were recommended against the booster dose of vaccine.



