

# “SOCIALISM!”

*“You keep using that word. I do not think it means what you think it means.”*

*(How We Are Fighting the Wrong War)*

We tend to think this political war is about stopping Democrats, liberals, and socialists from taking over the country and turning it into a socialist state.

Yeah... I hate to be the one to tell you this, but we lost that war about a hundred years ago.

That battle was over long before you or I were even born.

We are not a democracy in the way most people think. We are not functioning as a true constitutional republic. We are not a capitalist country. And we certainly cannot honestly describe ourselves as “a government of the people, by the people, for the people” if by that we mean a system rooted primarily in liberty, democratic accountability, and free-market principles.

We are, in fact, a socialist country—governed by a socialist system, operating under socialist economic policies, and sustained by a society that largely accepts socialist assumptions without ever seriously questioning them.

In other words, we are a socialist society.

The funny thing is, you probably had a strong reaction when you read that. But in my experience, when I make that statement to people, most do not actually argue with it for very long. More often, after thinking about it, they say something like:

**“Yeah... now that I think about it, you’re right.”**

And that is because, if you really step back and look at it honestly, it is pretty obvious.

## **A Better Definition of Socialism**

To understand our situation, we need a clearer definition of socialism than the one most people have been taught.

**Socialism is a system in which a small group of wealthy, powerful, and self-appointed elites use government—the state—to control and subjugate the general population.** These elites see themselves as more enlightened, more educated, and more capable than the people they govern. They believe they know what is best for society and that ordinary citizens should be managed accordingly.

Socialism is not merely an economic model. It also includes political and social components, all of which must work together to reinforce state control. Its ultimate goal is to create a culture in which the state is seen as supreme, individual rights are secondary, and citizens are conditioned into obedience, dependence, and loyalty.

Once you understand socialism that way, it becomes much easier to see what kind of government we actually live under—and how effectively we have been conditioned to believe otherwise.

## Bad News, Good News, Bad News

Here is the bad news:

There is no truly capitalist country in existence. None.

The good news is that there are also no genuinely communist countries in existence either—at least not as a separate and distinct system.

Which brings us back to the bad news:

That means every country in the world is operating under some form of socialism.

We have been taught that there are three competing systems: **capitalism, socialism, and communism**. In reality, socialism is the dominant system in all existing political entities. What we are really looking at are **three different varieties of socialism**, which is why people mistakenly think they are separate systems.

I classify them this way:

---

## 1. Oppressive Socialism

This is what most people usually call **communism**, although it can also appear in the form of a dictatorship, military junta, theocracy, or any other government that uses direct force, violence, and fear to maintain control.

I also call this “**Honest Socialism**.”

Why? Because unlike the other two forms, it does not pretend to be something it is not. It is honest about its contempt for self-government. It openly assumes that citizens are incapable of ruling themselves and that only the “enlightened” elite can be trusted with power.

In this system, the citizen's role is simple:

- Serve the state
- Be loyal to the state
- Submit to the state

Any opposition is treated as dangerous, disloyal, or traitorous, because anything that threatens the state is portrayed as a threat to society itself. The elites in this system condition the citizens to be self-deprecating and constantly in a state of unworthiness to control something complex as a country. They accept and want rulers because they trust the state to be able to take care of their needs.

This system is the most prevalent of pushing personality cults. They make the elitist leaders into gods, demi-gods, and super humans. They know all, see all, can handle all. Should any leader make a mistake, it is simple to resolve - should anyone point it out, have them shot. Then imprison their family in a "reeducation camp". Problem solved and we are back to being right 100% of the time.

Even with its draconian model, it does have fatal flaws. You see, it seems that within everyone of us, there burns an internal flame that yearns to be free. We are genetically designed to hate chains and confinement. At all times, many people see through this and know that it is not true. Everyone once in a while, they rise up to make their point. Of course, to the elitist leaders, that is just a bunch of people that are pointing out errors, so the response is the same as with individuals who do that.

It is brutal, oppressive, and murderous but we need to give them credit where credit is due – they are very honest about it.

---

## 2. Popular Socialism

This is the form of socialism most Americans associate with **Europe** and with self-described democratic socialism.

This is also the direction many American elites and modern leftists appear to want the United States to move toward.

Popular Socialism involves a strong central government with heavy control over economic and social life. That control may range from nationalizing major industries to maintaining intense regulatory dominance over them. The specific mechanisms may differ, but the key feature remains the same:

**The government is deeply and directly involved in the economy and in shaping social outcomes.**

Unlike Oppressive Socialism, this version gives citizens the illusion of meaningful participation. Elections exist. Political parties compete. Public debate is permitted—within limits.

But the public is still conditioned to believe that government is the default solution to every major problem.

People are not really choosing between freedom and state control. They are choosing between different administrators of the same underlying system.

That is the deception.

Citizens in Popular Socialist systems often believe they live in democracies, when in reality they are simply allowed to choose which faction of elites will rule them.

The government presents itself as a **benevolent parent**—guiding, protecting, and “helping” society while steadily accumulating power.

For all practical purposes, the citizens do not meaningfully control the direction of the country. They simply select their preferred kings, queens, and aristocrats every few years.

---

## 3. American Socialism

And then there is the most deceptive version of all:

### American Socialism

This system has the unique distinction of being practiced in only one country: the United States.

Of the three versions, it has historically had the least visible oppression—but by far the greatest amount of deception. That does **not** mean there is little oppression. It simply means the prison is larger, more comfortable, and more cleverly disguised.

American Socialism is unique because it denies that it is socialism at all.

That denial, however, is becoming less necessary as government control becomes more normalized and more accepted.

In my view, American Socialism was born on the same day capitalism in the United States officially died: October 28, 1929.

Capitalism had been in decline for decades prior, weakened by increasing government manipulation and intervention. But the Wall Street crash represented the final collapse of what remained. It was triggered by government takeover of the banks, then being manipulated by both

government agencies and the corporate banks that had risen at the turn of the century. Because of policies and people using the system to get rich quick, it caused a catastrophic collapse.

The Great Depression that followed then provided the perfect crisis for the socialist takeover of American life. And every revolution needs a crisis.

Franklin Roosevelt, like the dictators that preceded him, used that crisis to implement what were, in substance, openly socialist-style programs but under the more politically palatable labels of “**Progressive**” and “**New Deal**” reform.

The message to the American people was essentially this:

“We are not abandoning freedom. We are simply updating the system for a new age. We are still capitalist. We are still constitutional. We are still free. We just need more government to make it all work in this new world order of industrialism and new technologies.”

And the public bought it. Hook, line, and sinker.

The suffering of the Depression was so severe that people were willing to accept almost any explanation—and almost any solution—that promised relief.

Then Pearl Harbor gave the new order exactly what it needed: an external threat large enough to justify a massive expansion of federal power, military buildup, and centralized control.

From there, the system only deepened.

Over time, government expanded further into every aspect of life while still insisting that America remained fundamentally capitalist and constitutionally restrained. It was not. The socialists simply became better at disguising state control under pro-American language and familiar institutions. Using Nationalism (a socialist favorite go-to) disguised as Patriotism (more on that later), and ensuring that socialists occupied the three great social institutions – Academia (educators to indoctrinate the little kiddies), Government (to regulate the citizens), and Media (because socialism and propaganda go hand-in-hand), they patiently and slowly expanded using time to hide what they were doing. When you expand for 40-50 years, it is harder to detect.

That is what makes American Socialism so effective.

You were not merely persuaded into it. **You were born into it.**

You were raised in it.

Educated in it.

Conditioned by it.

And taught to call it freedom.

That is not your fault. But it is something you need to understand if you want to defeat it.

---

# What All Socialist Systems Have in Common

Despite their differences, all socialist systems share several core traits.

## 1. Socialism Is Built on Lies

Socialism survives through total deception. Not little lies. Not occasional lies. It lies 100% of the time.

Its promises are rarely, if ever, fulfilled. Even when it does fulfill its promises, they have hidden agendas and secret outcomes. It says one thing and does another. Its goals are framed in idealistic language, but its actual outcomes are almost always coercive, unequal, and destructive.

The elites who promote it know this.

They may convince themselves that their motives are noble—that they are simply trying to improve society or “help people for their own good”—but in reality, these narratives are most often used to justify control.

That is why socialism’s greatest enemy is **truth**.

Truth exposes contradictions.

Truth reveals outcomes.

Truth strips away slogans.

Like a virus, socialism weakens when exposed to the intense light of honest scrutiny and open debate.

If citizens become committed to truth rather than propaganda, the system begins to crack.

---

## 2. Government Does Not Truly Care About You

This is one of the hardest truths for people to accept:

*There has never been a government in human history that consistently placed the well-being of its citizens above the interests of those in power.*

Let me repeat that so it really sinks in.

***There has never been a government in human history that consistently placed the well-being of its citizens above the interests of those in power.***

Not one. Not Denmark, not the United States, not Britain, and definitely not China or Russia.

Governments may occasionally do good things. Individual leaders may occasionally have decent intentions. But as institutions, governments are not structured around compassion. They are structured around power, preservation, and control.

In fact, if we are being honest, **government is the greatest killer in human history.**

War, famine, economic collapse, political purges, reckless policy, corruption, forced labor, medical mismanagement, ideological experiments, and bureaucratic indifference have led to more suffering and death than almost any other force in human existence.

And when governments make catastrophic decisions, they rarely say:

“We were wrong.”

“We are sorry.”

“We should not have done that.”

More often, they just double down (and in Oppressive Socialist countries, start shooting). This is not always because elitist leaders were planning something evil. Sometimes it is simply because people in power are just as flawed, limited, prideful, and shortsighted as everyone else—except they are making decisions that affect millions. My point is, whether or not Mao had good intentions with the Culture Revolution, millions still died. That is important, because the main part of socialist brainwashing is believing that the elitist leaders are doing everything for the best of reasons. Our retort is always, “Yeah, and when you are starving to death, you can praise them for their good intentions with your last breath”.

We need to understand that people continue treating government as if it were a wise parent, a moral guardian, or a benevolent protector. We know better, but we have to start with the premise that most do not.

That is danger that we must expose.

---

### 3. Government Cannot Create Equality

Another core lie of socialism is the promise of equality.

There has never been a government that achieved true equality. There is no government achieving it now. And there never will be.

Why?

Because human beings are not interchangeable.

Every person is unique in talent, temperament, ability, limitation, opportunity, experience, and suffering. No two people are exactly alike. No two lives unfold under identical conditions. Human existence is too varied, too complex, and too shaped by uncontrollable variables for equality of outcome—or even equality of lived experience—to be possible.

That does not mean people should not be treated fairly or with dignity.

It means that **government cannot engineer sameness without violence, distortion, or dishonesty.**

Socialism depends on pretending otherwise.

That is why equality rhetoric is so important to the socialist mindset: it creates a permanent justification for more intervention, more control, and more centralized authority.

And when equality inevitably fails to appear, the answer is always the same:

“We just need more government.”

It is a self-feeding lie.

---

### 4. The Founders Understood Something Socialists Reject: Human Humility

One of the greatest strengths of the American Founding Fathers was not simply intelligence.

It was **humility**.

They understood something profoundly important: no human being is wise enough, virtuous enough, or competent enough to safely wield unchecked power over others.

They knew people were flawed. More importantly, they were humble enough to know their own flaws.

They knew rulers were often arrogant.

They knew governments inevitably overreached.

And they knew even brilliant men were terrible at predicting the future.

That is why they built a system designed to **limit power, decentralize authority, and put decision-making as close to the people as possible.**

They did not create a perfect government. They created a restrained one. This is an area where I feel that conservatives need to rethink. We hold the Founding Fathers as semi-gods, prophets, or as men that were being guided by God in the creation of this country. While I would agree with Benjamin Franklin that the hand of God was present in their deliberation, I feel it is important that we understand that they were just men. They had flaws. Some had major flaws. That is not degrading them or disqualifying the work they did, indeed, it actually makes them even bigger super heroes than the edifying method.

The left loves to degrade the Founding Fathers because they live on a “Kill the Messenger” mentality. They cannot argue the points, so they kill the messenger to cause doubt with the masses. This is no different. If they can make Washington, Jefferson, Adams, and Franklin to be debauched, cruel, racist, and monogynist individuals, then the country they founded would be based on evil men. Conservatives tend to counter with the opposite. They were all good, strong Christian men with the highest moral character that allowed them to communicate with God and receive His inspiration in creating this country.

Neither version is true. We are fortunate that this country was created in a time when there were copious amounts of written work that described them and their work. Those documents show that they neither the debauched leaders that the left says they were nor the good Christian men that conservatives promote.

It is VERY important that we understand this, because it has a profound impact on the creation of this country and the principles that we live by. Our Founding Fathers were geniuses. The miracle was that we had so many brilliant minds in one place at the same time. They were extraordinary insightful and learned men. But the supreme characteristic that makes the United States Constitution possible is that they were humble. Humility is what separates patriots from tyrants. Humility gives you a Constitutional Republic; elitist thinking gives you a Socialist State.

They knew their flaws. They knew that they were the brightest and best men that their country could create. They knew that they were superior to the average person in education, culture, and experience. They knew that they had the traits to become rulers, but also realized that they were flawed, just like every other human. Those flaws, and the courage and discipline to admit them, was what guided them to reject ALL rulers. They saw their own flaws, they just spent 20 years frustratingly trying to negotiate with (and then fighting) a flawed King and Parliament. Because of that, they decided that a great society should be built on limited and constrained government. They understood correctly the value and need for government to have a functioning society, but they put their trust in the people. They decided that multiple voices would be better than one or a

small few. That we could not completely avoid the flaws but could limit them by having more people involved in the decision process. THAT was their inspiration from God. THAT was the hand that guided their decisions.

THAT is what we base our government on. Were our Founding Fathers flawed? Yes, but no more or less than any other person. It is easy to prove their motivations regarding slavery, that Thoms Jefferson did not rape his slave (I personally feel that it was consensual relationship and that Jefferson was 200 years ahead of his time), and that they went out of their way to ensure that they did not personally benefit from this new country. The left's version of the characteristics of our Founding Fathers is just as wrong as their version of anything that matters.

So what that they were flawed men? So was the Democrats great champion, Barak Obama. Joe Biden was massively flawed. You want to discuss Bill Clinton's flaws? Let's talk about the flaws of John Kennedy. George Bush, Donald Trump, and even our beloved Ronald Reagan are also very flawed individuals. That is our point. Nobody is smart enough, wise enough, benevolent enough to be given unrestricted control and power. So, we create a government that avoids that by using the model the Founding Fathers created – the Bottom Up system of the most power at the local level and the least power at the federal level. It also requires, however, that every person in this country sees our politicians as flawed individuals who need to be monitored, constrained, and held accountable. This is the staple of the government we want to create.

A government not too weak to maintain order, but not so powerful that it could dominate society.

That is what made the constitutional system extraordinary.

And that is precisely what socialism rejects. Socialism is rooted in the belief that there *are* people wise enough, moral enough, and enlightened enough to direct everyone else's lives.

That is not humility. That is arrogance dressed up as compassion.

---

## 5. Socialism Thrives by Dividing People

The equality narrative is also useful to socialists for another reason:

It helps them divide society into rival factions.

Race against race.

Rich against poor.

Urban against rural.

Native-born against immigrant.

Liberal against conservative.

One grievance against another.

The specific categories do not matter.

What matters is that people are kept fighting each other instead of recognizing who is actually benefiting from the division.

Socialist elites do not truly care about any of the groups they claim to defend. Their objective is not justice. It is fragmentation.

Because a divided people are easier to manipulate than a united one.

The greatest threat to an elite-controlled system is not dissent from one faction. It is a broad population that begins to see one another as allies instead of enemies.

This division is used by the current Democrats and Republicans. As long as Republican politicians and leaders convince you that you must get them elected and to have power in order to win your issues, then it maintains the ruler model.

We will always have issues. We will always have conflicts. It is built into every society. There is always a PUSH – PULL persona to any large social network. There are those that push boundaries and constantly wants to challenge established values and those that pull back and resist going full speed into the dark and destroying needed social constructs that provide for a happy and stable community. That will exist as long as people live in communities.

What we want to avoid is allowing these issues to divide us and allowing the socialists to exploit our differences to have us hate and mistrust each other. By limiting government control, we force issues to be discussed and force the use of PERSUASION, not force, in determining what our society should do and how we should act as a nation. Each side can make their arguments and state their facts. Each side makes their points, but only in very, very limited circumstances do we make them into laws and use force.

Then there is just the basic fact that we are more alike than we are different. 90% of us want 90% of the same things. It is the 10% that separates. If we see others as enemies. If we take race and cultural different to say “This is my tribe and you are from that other tribe”, then we cannot be united. The utopian society that we need to build has be united. Yes, we will have differences and will celebrate that diversity. But we do not allow that diversity to divide us. We do not use it to pit one against the other. We do not allow them to balkanize us.

It is important that you understand this because we have Liberal Socialists and Conservative Socialists. Both want division and an issue-based political system. Both want power and control. Both are made up of elitists that feel that they know what is best for us. Our new Republican Party will get rid of the Conservative Socialists. When we start winning elections and having every country filled with Republican politicians, the Liberals will eventually see the light and get rid of the socialists in their mist and enact the same, but liberal leaning, system. Granted, it will take 20-40 years for them to change since they are more committed to socialism than us (which will be good for us), but eventually, it will happen.

---

## 6. Large Corporations Love Socialism

Socialists would have you believe that the protection from abuse by large corporations is from government, that government is the enemy of large corporations, and the large corporations hate a large government because it requires higher taxes and more control.

That is a lie.

They LOVE large government.

They love that they can bribe small groups of government officials to get big contracts, manipulate rules to benefit them, and allow them to take advantage of both their workers and clients by having government pick up the costs of supplying services and programs to them. They absolutely love that government will bail them out when they do stupid things because their company is “too big to fail”. They love that government can create crises that allow them to foreclose on homes at half value, hold on to them (because they have the funds to do so), and then sell them years later at full price.

They don't like paying more taxes and will argue against new taxes, but that is more for show than anything else. They understand and, more importantly, know that politicians understand, that you cannot “tax the rich” to pay for uncontrolled government spending. It will always require taxing the entire population. They also understand on how to pass on taxes to consumers. The larger the corporation, the easier that is done. It actually even helps them because their smaller competitors cannot pass on the taxes as easily. Yes, they are paying a large amount of taxes and the Republicans are always correct when they say that the wealthy is paying the most in taxes. However, loopholes and other schemes allow them to keep a significant amount of their wealth.

To ensure that I am not being misunderstood, we will be reducing taxes to the wealthy by A LOT. However, we need to understand that large corporations will probably be opposing us rather than supporting our cause. If they really look at what we are doing, they would understand that they will have more to gain, but I foresee that they will not want to give up the control over the government that they have. Some of the really smart billionaires will see the great advantage that we offer (and I spell them out in my discussion on capitalism), but corporate elites are like political elites and have the same flaws and misunderstandings.

Here is the biggest reason, however, why large corporations LOVE socialism.

It is because socialism kills competition.

It is much harder for a small company to survive and thrive under a socialist regime. They don't have the capital and the resources to handle the regulations, the taxes, the programs, and all the things that government requires. So, they don't put money into research. They don't expand. They resist hiring more employees. A capitalist-based economy is based on competition.

Competition is death to the large corporation. Paying a 30% tax rate is a good investment if it keeps your competitor from knocking you out.

---

## 7. Socialism Substitutes Nationalism for Patriotism

This is one of the most effective deceptions in American socialist politics—especially on the Right.

Many conservatives believe they are acting patriotically when, in reality, they are often expressing **nationalism**.

Those are not the same thing. Let me also help here with clearer definitions:

### Nationalism

Nationalism is loyalty to the **state** and its institutions. It glorifies government symbols, government power, and government authority. It encourages people to see criticism of the state as disloyal or dangerous.

Nationalism says:

- “Love it or leave it.”
- “My country, right or wrong.”
- “Support the government no matter what.”

It promotes obedience and emotional attachment to the machinery of power.

### Patriotism

Patriotism, by contrast, is loyalty to the **people, principles, culture, and moral foundations** of a nation—not blind loyalty to its government.

A patriot loves the country enough to criticize its government when it becomes corrupt, abusive, or unfaithful to its founding ideals. They are proud to be Americans and are loyal to the ideals and principles of the American society. They would like to be proud of their government, but it does not come automatically included to be considered a Patriot.

A patriot does not worship politicians.

A patriot does not excuse corruption.

A patriot does not confuse the state with the nation.

That distinction matters enormously.

The first true American patriots were revolutionaries resisting their own government.

That should tell us everything.

---

## **False Patriotism in Practice**

There are many ways conservatives unknowingly drift into nationalism.

### **Blind support for military action**

Questioning war is often treated as unpatriotic, even when the government has not clearly justified the conflict or properly followed constitutional procedures. But sending citizens into harm's way should invite the **highest level of public scrutiny**, not the lowest. Patriots do not reflexively oppose every war. But they also do not suspend judgment simply because the government wraps a policy in a flag.

### **Unquestioned military spending**

Conservatives often speak passionately about wasteful government spending—until the spending is tied to “their side” or a favored institution like the Pentagon.

But patriots question *all* government spending.

They ask:

- Why are we funding this?
- Is this the best use of public money?
- Is there waste, fraud, or abuse here too?

A patriot does not become fiscally blind simply because the spending is labeled “national defense.”

To be perfectly clear – we want the most powerful, most technologically advanced, and the best trained military in the world. If you do something to piss us off to the point that we send in our military, you are going to end up with a stone-age civilization. If you threaten us, we will have the ability to project enough military firepower to totally obliterate your government right to your front lawn. Patriots will proudly serve and will be willing to give up their lives to defend America, its people, and even to support liberty worldwide (because a world based on liberty is a peaceful and prosperous world).

We do not have to have unlimited and unmonitored military spending, and we don't have to support unneeded and dubious military actions. They are mutually exclusive.

## **Cult of personality**

Another nationalist habit is turning politicians into celebrities.

Presidents and other officials are often spoken of as if they are heroic figures, larger-than-life leaders, or irreplaceable saviors.

That is dangerous.

Politicians are public servants—not icons, not celebrities, and certainly not objects of devotion.

If your garbage collector did a terrible job, you would complain immediately. You would not excuse it, rationalize it, or pretend it did not happen to protect that person. You would report it immediately and be angry that it happened. So why do people do exactly that with politicians? (In my opinion, the garbage collector is actually more important to me than any politician. If given a choice of having to keep either the garbage collector or a state representative, the politician is out the door without a thought).

As such, patriots should hold politicians to **higher** standards, not lower ones, especially if they are of their own party. They are representing YOU. If they do something that causes dishonor to your party, they are dishonoring you.

---

## **8. All Socialist Systems Eventually Become More Oppressive**

This is the final and perhaps most important point:

All forms of socialism ultimately move in the same direction—toward greater control.

American Socialism drifts toward Popular Socialism. Popular Socialism drifts toward Oppressive Socialism. That is where the logic of the system leads.

It does not end in freedom.

It does not end in equality.

It does not end in utopia.

It ends in coercion, suppression, and eventually brutality.

That is why socialists who market their ideas as humane, noble, or compassionate are either deceiving others or deceiving themselves.

Socialism does not have a happy ending.

It never has.

---

# The Irony No One Wants to Admit

And here is where it gets funny.

Many modern socialists and progressives constantly condemn our current economic system. They say it is unfair, racist, corrupt, and designed to benefit elites and corporations.

And on that point, they are often describing reality accurately.

But then they make a critical mistake:

They call that system **capitalism**.

It is not capitalism.

It is **socialism**—specifically, American Socialism.

What they are actually condemning is the very system they want more of.

They are effectively saying:

“This system is unjust and corrupt... therefore we need more of the same kind of state power that created it.”

That is like saying:

“I really need to cut back on caffeine.”

“Then what you need is more coffee.”

This is one of the biggest strategic openings conservatives have—and almost no one is using it.

We should be saying:

**“Yes, we agree our current system is corrupt, elitist, unequal, and deeply flawed. But that is not capitalism. That is what happens when government and elites control economic life. That is socialism wearing a different costume.”**

That changes the whole argument.

---

Understanding Socialism and what our current situation is then opens up the ability to understand that we are fighting the wrong war.

We think we are defending the country against socialist invaders storming the beaches.

But in reality, the invaders landed long ago.

They are already entrenched.

They already hold the institutions.

They already control most of the cultural terrain.

And they have far more resources than we do.

That means we are not going to win by fighting conventionally.

We need a different strategy.

A smarter strategy.

An unconventional strategy.

Something closer to what George Washington understood.

Not head-on collisions where the enemy is strongest—but targeted strikes where they are weakest.

Hit where they are vulnerable.

Hit where they are exposed.

Hit where they cannot defend themselves.

Cause disruption. Create pressure. Force them to respond on unfavorable ground.

That is how you beat an entrenched system.

That is what I mean by **Political Sun Tzu**.

And once you understand that, everything changes.

When we get into the structure of organization and how we will implement our goals, you will see that we are not fighting the old way and we are not fighting conventionally. Understanding our true enemy and understanding their strengths is what allows us to plan a different strategy. Remember we are the minority. We are outsiders. We are the ones with limited resources.

Up until now, we have been throwing rocks at the castle walls. Every once in a while we hit a guard or knock over a post. When we do, we celebrate like it is this great victory. But the wall remains, the castle stands, and we are still on the outside.

What we are now going to do is start digging tunnels...