IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE

UNITED STATES

IN AND FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF

CALIFORNIA

SOUTHERN DIVISION

.

Flaintiff

ΨO.

SARHARAH GAHESH PAMDIT

Defendant

NOTICE OF MOTION AND OFFERS OF PROOF

Due service of a copy of the Within papers is hereby admitted, this 25th day of April 1926.

Samuel W. McNabb
U. S. Attorney

Jalum Proposition

Attorney

The for Entiff

APR 28 1026

A Ammuna

5135 Range View Avenue Los Angeles, California (Garfield 2557)

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

The defendant offers to prove by the testimony of Professor Karl Tinsley Waugh, who was born in India, B.A. (Ohio Wesleyan University), M.A. (Harvard), Ph.D. (Harvard), Professor of Philosophy and Mathematics (Claflin University, S.C., 1900-1904), Weld Fellow and Assistant in Philosophy (Harvard 1906-7), Associate Frofessor in Psychology (University of Chicago 1907-9-), Head or Department of Philosophy and Psychology (Beloit College (1909-18), Dean and Professor of Psychology and Philosophy (Berea, Ky., College 1919-1923); educational and psychological investigation in China and India (1916-17); lecturer in Psychology (University of Colorado 1909, 1914) and Northwestern University (1920); served as first lieutenant, captain and major, United States Army, psychological division, world war (August 1917 to February 5, 1919); in Surgeon General's Office, Washington, D.C.; Chief Fsychological Examiner at Camp Gordon, Ga., Camp Clennan, Ala., transferred to Fort McPherson, Ga.; Supervisor Federal Board for Vocational Education, 5th Listrict (Feb. to Sept. 1919); Fellow of the American Association for the Advancement of Science; Member of the American Psychological Association; and Dean of the School of Liberal Arts in the University of Southern California since 1923:

That Hindus were always regarded as Caucasians and of the white race by the general concensus of scientific opinion and are so regarded today; that in popular opinion in this country they were also similarly regarded in 1875, and are so regarded at the present time; that while there is hardly any pure race in existence, there was certainly a relatively less mixture of the Caucasian with other races in the Hindu than there has been among all the Caucasian peoples of Europe and America; that the Brahmans of India are relatively the purest representatives of the Caucasian or white race; that he has

made a study of race classifications, both from a popular and 1 2 a scientific standpoint; that the evolution of the popular 3 meaning of the terms, "white person" and "whiterace", in this 4 country has been as follows: those terms included all persons 5 except the American Indian in colonial days; soon the Negro 6 also came to be excluded (the question regarding the Negro not 7 arising at all in this connection earlier, because he was in 8 those times hardly regarded as human and was denied a soul even 9 by biblical scholars and ministers United States); still 10 later the Mongolians inhabiting Eastern Asia were excluded from 11 the meaning or those terms; that the Hindu without any question 12 is more akin to the European than to the Mongolian, the Negro 13 or the American Indian; that from his study of history and of 14 the popular mind, and the evolution of popular ideas in this 15 country, and the fact that the framers of the naturalization 16 statute in 1790 had in mind the exclusion of the Negro and the 17 Red man from the privilege of naturalization, he is of the 18 opinion that Congress would have been glad in 1790 to admit any 19 group of settlers who would have cooperated harmoniously with 20 those who were here, excluding the Negro and the American Indian, 21 and that the congressional attitude towards the naturalization 22 of Hindus would have been favorable, if the question had been 23 raised at that time; that Congress would have been willing to 24 vary the language of the statute so as to include the Hindus 25 within its privileges, if that were necessary; that it would 26. not have been necessary to vary the statutory language for that 27 purpose, as the term "white person" would have included the 28 Hindu; that the popular meaning or the term Caucasian in this 29 country includes Europeans, as well as Western Asiatics such 30 as the Hindus, and others, to the exclusion of the Negro, the 31 Mongolian and the aborigines or hill tribes or jungle folk of 32 India; that ethnologists are generally agreed about the inclu-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

sion of Hindus in the Caucasian division of mankind; that the effort at a scientific and comprehensive classification of mankind into races may be traced to the time of Blumenbach, who in 1781 divided mankind into Caucasian, Mongolian, Negro, American Indian and Malay races; that he included the Hindus, without question, in his Caucasian race and so also have others down to Professor Kroeber of the University of California in his recent book called "Anthropology;" that while there has been a narrowing of the meaning of the term "white person" in recent years, by means of an argument in a circle, with a view to exclude the Mongolian from its connotation for political purposes, the fact remains that the term has, so far from being narrowed, actually broadened in meaning in its common usage during the last hadf century especially; that the term race refers to classifications on the basis of heredity, and that in popular usage the terms "Caucasian" and "Aryan" are synonymous; the explanation of the apparent disagreement between scientific authorities as to what constitutes a proper racial division is to be found in the varying principles of division adopted, such as stature, texture of hair, etc.; that the results obtained by the different authorities mainly agree as shown by Dr. Kroeber in his "Anthropology;" that on each of these principles of division the Hindu fails within the Caucasian or white group; that the features of Europeans and Hindus are alike, which cannot be said of the European in relation to Mongolian, Negro or American Indian; that the Hindus could readily amalgamate with people with whom the Alpines and Mediterraneans of Europe can, or have, readily amalgamated, viz. the Nordics, though the Hindus would not consider it as the fulfilment of an ambition to so mingle as some of the Europeans do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

The defendant offers to prove by the deposition of Doctor Granton Elliot Smith, who has been a member of the faculties of Cambriage (England), Sydney (Australia), and Manchester (England) Universities, is Professor of Anatomy at the University of London (England); taught in the Cairo Medical school in Egypt for nine years, is a Fellow of the Royal Society of England and of the Royal Coilege of Physicians, Doctor of Medicine of the Universities of Sydney and or Adelaide (Australia), Master of Arts of the University of Cambridge (England), Doctor or science of the University of Liverpool, and Doctor of Literature of the University of Manchester, Honorary Member of the Anthropological Societies of Paris, Munich and Rome, author of scientific reports and bulletins, of books including "The Ancient Egyptians, "The Royal Mummies," "The Evolution of the Dragon," "Essays on the Evolution of Man," and of the article on Ethnology for the last edition of the Encyclopedia Britannica, and has been a warded the Prix Fauvelle of the Societe d'Anthropo-Logie de Paris and the Royal Medal of the Royal Society Tor his discoveries and contributions to science:

That the term race is used in the sense of groups of men who present similar physical characters and who are believed to have been separated up into groups at a very remote period of time; that there are six races into which existing men are divided, viz. the Australian aborigines, the Negro, the Mongol, £and (the three races which are often grouped together as Caucasian:) the Mediterranean, the Nordic and the Alpine; that color is not an important distinctive feature among races other than the Australian Aborigine and the Negro; that members of the white or Caucasian race may have a pure white skin, or all shades or olive complexion; that the Alpine, Mediterranean and Nordic races are invariably called the white race, and all of them are included in what is popularly known

as the Caucasian race; that there are representatives of the 1 Alpine, Mediterranean and Nordic races to be found in Asia; 2 that the majority of the population of India belongs to the 3 same race as those called the Mediterranean in Europe; that 4 Alpines are also to be found in India; that upon the pure 5 ground of race there is no obstacle to the assimilation of б 7 Hindus and Europeans; that there is no physiological barrier or impediment to it; that all ethnologists agree in regarding Hindus 8 as members of the Caucasian race or of its subdivision, the 9 10 Mediterranean; that the terms commonly used for classifying men 11 in 1790 were white, black, red and yellow men; that the term 12 white at that time included all the people of Europe and Asia, 13 excluding the Mongol races; that while the Hindus are white 14 people, the aborigines of India called the jungle or hill tribes, 15 who live a wild life, are outside of all intercourse with Hindus, 16 and are quite primitive, are related to the black race; that 17 his opinions are based on the results of his study and 18 examination of skeletal remains in museums in England, 19 material sent from India for examination, study of the scientific 20 reports which have been issued by the Indian Government in 21 connection with the ethnologic survey of the different terri-22 tories, and considerable familiarity with Hindus from all 23 provinces of India who are students in the universities of 24 England. 25 26 27 28 29

30

31

32

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

The defendant offers to prove by the testimony of Professor Clarence Vosburgh Gilliland, A.B. (Cornell College), S.T.B. (Garrett Biblical Institute), A.M. (University of Chicago), D.D. (Dakota Wesleyan University), Minister of Methodist Episcopal Church, formerly Professor of Biblical Literature, Dakota Wesleyan University, President of Carleton College, Farmington, Missouri, 1911-1913, Dean of the College of Liberal Arts and Professor/History, Dakota Wesleyan University, 1913-1919, Head of the Department of History, University of Southern California since 1919:

That the term, "White person," has been used

historically in this country and also in popular parlance mainly as a convenient designation for any and every person except one of the Negro or American Indian races; that the Hindu resembles most the European in type and features, and is just as readily distinguishable as is the latter from the Mongolian, the Negro and the American Indian; that the popular classification of persons follows pretty closely that given on page 19 of Myers! Ancient History into Hamites, Semites and Aryans; Aryans being further subdivided into Asiatic Aryans (including the Hindus, Medes, Persians) and classical peoples (Greeks, Romans), Celts, Teutons, Slavs; that while the term Aryan was borrowed by philologists to designate a linguistic group, it still is used to designate an important branch of the racial division known as Caucasian; that historically and popularly, the term, "white person," as well as the word "Caucasian" has been used in this country to exclude the American Indian and the Negro, and latterly the Chinese and Japanese as well; that "White person" as well as "Caucasian" has relerence to breed or blood and not to geography or complexion, as may be seen from the fact that some Chinese and Japanese are of a lighter complexion than some persons of the "white" race; that in the debates in Congress in regard to

naturalization in 1870, 1873 and 1875, the object of the senators who insisted on retention of the term, "white person," in the naturalization statute, was to exclude Chinese from citizenship, and the term "Asiatic" when used in that debate, as clearly shown by the context, was used as a loose or rhetorical equivalent of the term Chinese, as witness the free use of the term "Asiatic" in the discussion regarding the California Alien Land law at the time of its passage, when what was distinctly meant was "Japanese."

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

The defendant offers to prove by the testimony of Professor William C. Smith, Ph.D. (University of Chicago), Associate frofessor of Sociology at the University of Southern California, and in charge of Ethnological Studies at the University, who has spent some years making ethnological investigations in India, And other countries:

That the Hindu was regarded in 1875 as Caucasian and of the white race by the general concensus of scientific opinion as well as or popular opinion, in this country, insorar as there was any popular opinion on the subject, for knowledge or the Hindu and of India was limited mostly to the educated or the traveled at that time; that the Causasian or Hindu blood has been, and is, far less mixed with other races, e.g. the black or yellow, than has been the Caucasian in Europe or in America; that he has made a study of popular and scientific race classifications and has rectured on them; that the popular meaning of the term "white person" in colonial days was directed to the exclusion of the American Indian, later to the exclusion of the Negro as well, and still later, i.e. from about the last quarter of the nineteenth century, the Mongolian also came to be excluded from the meaning of the term; that the Hindu is unquestionably akin to the Northern European and is equally and obviously different from the Negro, the Mongolian, the American Indian and the Malay; that most Hindus belong to the Mediterranean stock of the Caucasian race, a few to the Alpine, and fewer to the Nordic; that the dark complexion of a large number of people in India is due to the effect for several generations of the tropical sun on white skins, as in the case of the English planter who returns from a long sojourn in India with his skin charged with a dark pigment which no amount of Pears' soap can remove during the rest of his life; that

31

32

from his knowledge of history and of the vicissitudes of popular prejudice and of the evolution of the popular meaning of the term "white person" in this country, he is or the opinion that if the naturalization of Hindus had been suggested to Congress in 1790 or 1875, its attitude would have been ravorable to it, and it had been necessary to change the language of the statute in order to include Hindus within its privileges, Congress would have done so; that while there is difference of opinion among ethnologists regarding the position of certain Polynesians, etc., in the classification of races, there is no debate regarding the racial position of Hindus, and all ethnologists include them in the Caucasian division of mankind as white people; that an effort at a comprehensive division of mankind into races may be traced as far back as Linnaeus (1707-1778) who spoke of the European White, Asiatic Yellow, American Red and African Black varieties of mankind; Linnaeus. nowever, was not writing of geographical limits, for he made his European race inhabit Europe, Western Asia and Northern Africa; and his Asiatic race peopled Eastern and Northern Asia only; his African race was absent from the Mediterranean seaboard of Africa; Linnaeus included the Hindus in the European or white race; that outside of a few insular spots of ignorance or prejudice, the term "Caucasian,"in its popular meaning, has had a broadening rather than a narrowing of scope, through common usage in this country, during the last half century especially, because of the increasing realization that practically no race can be regarded as pure, and because of general education and wider international contact; that today, in its popular meaning, the word "caucasian" or the term "white person" includes many persons who in 1790 and in 1875 would not have been included in its meaning; that race means

29

30

31

32

common descent or stock; that while the term Aryan is being less and less used by ethnologists in their classifications, in the United States the popular use of the term Aryan as synonymous with Caucasian and white man is quite prevalent even at the present time; that the explanation of the apparent disagreement among scientific authorities as to what constitutes a proper racial division is to be round in the confusion by some between divisions and subdivisions, and the finding of newer and better criteria and standards of differentiation; that there is no pure race in existence today, though the Brahmans of India are probably purer Caucasians than any group of people in Europe or America or essewhere; that race is nothing objective, it is a general average used as a standard; that the people of Europe are unquestionably akin to Hindus, and the Hindus could readily amalgamate with them and with the descendants of these Europeans in America; the physical group characteristics of Hindus do not render them readily distinguishable from Europeans of Mediterranean stock, and children born in this country of Hindu parentage would not retain indefinitely the clear evidences of their ancestry, but would be of American type even in the early generations, as are the children of English, French, Mexican, Swedish, Italian, Greek, German and Jewish parentage; that where a powerful religious restriction like caste is not in operation, people living in the same area usually intermarry, and in America Hindus would not show any more tendency to marry among themselves than do other nationalities who have been domiciled here.

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

Professor Roy Malcom, A.M. (Harvard University), Ph.D. (Boston University), Head of the Department of Political Science at the University of Southern California, who has made a special study of the subject of citizenship in the United States and has lectured extensively thereon and has written for scientific magazines and journals on that subject:

That he has made a study or race classifications, both popular and scientific; that the term, "white person," and the term, "white race," in this country at least, were used until after 1875 as a convenient designation for all types of persons except the Negro and the American Indian; that since 1875 the Mongolians have also come to be excluded from the connotation of those terms; that in cultural and educational circles the Hindu has always been recognized as most closely resembling all types of Europeans, and as being clearly distinguished and different from the Mongotian, the Malay, the American indian, or the Negro; that most Hindus resemble sufficiently closely, to be identifiable with, Mediterraneans of Caucasian stock in Europe, and the latter are undoubtedly more akin to the Northern European than to the Red Indian, the Negro or the Mongolian; that from his study of history and political science, and in view of the fact that the framers of the naturalization statute in 1790 had in mind the exclusion of the Negro and the American Indian from the privilege of naturalization, and that they used the term, "white persons," in the statute as a more compact and less circumiocutory term to convey the idea, it is his opinion that the congressional attitude towards the naturalization of Hindus, if it had been suggested in 1790, would have been distinctly favorable; that it would not have been necessary to change the language of the statute for that purpose, for Hindus have always been recognized

32

as white persons; that the popular meaning or the term "white person" includes Europeans, Western Asiatics and North Africans; the popular meaning of the term "Caucasian", through common usage in this country during the last hadr century especially, has continued to exclude the Negro and American Indian, and has in addition shown a distinct tendency to exclude the Chinese and the Japanese; that the Hindus could readily amalgamate with people with whom the Alpines and Mediterraneans of Europe have readily amalgamated; that he has made a study of the debates in Congress in 1870, 1873 and 1875 in regard to naturalization, and that the word "Asiatic" as used in that debate was used as synonymous with the word "Chinese," which was more frequently used therein, and not in any other sense; that cnildren of foreign parentage born in this country do not retain indefinitely the clear evidences of their ancestry, as witness the results of the study conducted among Japanese especially, by the Department of Child Psychology at Stanford University, giving American-ward variations of stature, etc., and the careful observations of Professor Franz Boas on American immigrants from various parts of Europe, which seem to show that the new environment does in some unexplained way modify the head-form to a remarkable extent; for example, amongst the East European Jews, the head of the European born is snorter and wider than that of the American-born the difference being even more marked in the second generation of the American-born; at the same time other nationalities exhibit changes or other kinds, arr these changes, however, being in the direction of a convergence towards one and the same American type; that, as remarked by his triend Prof. Dixon of the University of Southern California, who knows people of Asia well in their native habitat, as well as in America, "even the first American generation of Asiatics, like that of Europeans, is ridiculously American".