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INTRODUCTION: Soldiers are at risk for acute and chronic pain due to the mental and physical challenges of military duties and ongoing training for force readiness. With the burden of pain on any individual attributable across pain sources, a broad perspective that goes beyond prior characterizations of pain is important. We aim to further the understanding of pain's effects among non-deployed active duty soldiers and the Military Health System (MHS), by describing prevalence of 10 painful conditions, reported pain levels, duration of pain and impact of pain on military duty limitations.

METHODS: Data are from the MHS Data Repository including outpatient MHS direct care encounters, claims for outpatient purchased care from civilian providers, and vital records, for all soldiers continuously enrolled in TRICARE and not deployed in FY 2012. Ten pain-related diagnostic categories were conceptually derived for this analysis and identified using ICD-9-CM diagnostic codes. We report the FY 2012 prevalence at the soldier-level (N = 297,120) for each pain category as a primary diagnosis, as well as in any diagnostic position, and at the soldier-level for reported pain level, duration, and military duty limitations. Institutional Review Board approval was obtained prior to analyses.

RESULTS: Overall, 63% of soldiers had at least one pain diagnosis and 59% had a primary pain diagnosis during FY 2012. Back and neck pain (22%), non-traumatic joint disorders (28%), and other musculoskeletal pain (30%) were the most frequent categories for primary diagnosis. Nearly two-thirds of soldiers had a primary pain diagnosis in more than one category, and 23% in four or more categories. Moderate or severe pain levels were reported at least once during the year by 55% of soldiers who had a primary pain diagnosis. In the subsample of soldiers with primary pain in the first quarter, duration and chronicity of pain diagnoses varied by pain category: the back and neck pain category was the most common for both persistent pain occurring in each quarter of FY 2012 (23%) and chronic pain lasting for at least 3 mo (62%). In most pain categories, the majority of soldiers were released without duty limitations.
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CONCLUSION: These data provide a deeper understanding of pain diagnoses and burden of pain among active duty soldiers. A substantial proportion of soldiers with pain diagnoses were seen for pain self-reported as only mild, or that did not result in significant restrictions in military duty limitations. However, given the prevalence of multiple pain diagnoses and common reports of moderate or severe pain and long duration, complex interventions may be required to minimize the effect of pain on force readiness. This encounters-based analysis is likely an underestimate of presence of pain, and does not include contextual factors that could better describe the true effect of pain among this population.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic pain is a significant burden to individuals and the health system as it is often accompanied by significant physical, emotional and social symptoms. [1-3] It is frequently associated with depression, [2, 4] anxiety, [2, 4] substance use disorders, [5, 6] and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). [7, 8] Severe chronic pain has also been linked to increased mortality. [9] Further, individuals with chronic pain report reduced quality of life. [3, 10] Service members are at particular risk for acute and chronic pain due to the mental and physical challenges of military duties and ongoing training for force readiness11–14 and/or injuries associated with a prior deployment. [15, 16] Sub-acute pain may go untreated as service members “tough it out,” increasing the opportunity for pain to become chronic. [17] From 1997 to 2011, joint and back pain have increased three- to four-fold among active duty service members, with 45% reporting joint pain and 60% reporting back pain in 2011. [11] The experience of pain among service members may differ significantly from that of civilians, because of high rates of traumatic brain injury (TBI), PTSD and polytrauma, compounded by other psychological distress which may increase the complexity of pain and pain management. [8, 18–20]

The Department of Defense/Veterans Health Affairs (DoD/VHA) Pain Management Task Force Final Report emphasized a holistic, multidisciplinary and multimodal approach to its pain management strategy. [17] The Institute of Medicine, in its seminal report Treating Pain in America, also identified pain as a public health challenge that requires a population health perspective; [3] this approach was re-emphasized in the National Pain Strategy. [21] A population approach differs from the clinical literature which typically focuses on one source of pain (e.g., headache, back pain) or specific population (e.g., women, [22] Veterans with polytrauma [20, 23]). The burden of pain on any individual or health system is additive across pain sources, although the specific impact may vary by specific diagnosis. Thus, a population approach allows a broader understanding of the burden of pain on soldiers and the Military Health System (MHS). This may allow the MHS to better focus prevention and treatment efforts. [21]

In this paper, we identify the prevalence of diagnoses in 10 major pain categories among active duty non-deployed soldiers, and examine measures of burden of pain, including self-reported pain levels, military duty limitations, and duration of pain. Understanding this broad picture of pain in this sample is an essential step in addressing the needs of soldiers with pain as well as the readiness of the Army.

METHODS

Analytic Sample and Data Sources

Data are from all Army active duty soldiers who were continuously enrolled in TRICARE and not deployed for any portion of FY 2012 (N = 297,120). Data sources included deployment information from the Contingency Tracking System; demographic and military characteristics from the Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System; outpatient medical record diagnoses and encounters from the MHS Data Repository (MDR), including “purchased care” in civilian settings that accept TRICARE insurance; pain severity ratings from the Clinical Data Repository vitals file; and a measure of military duty limitations associated with direct care encounters in the MDR.

Measures

Pain categories were assessed based on the presence of ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes, in any position, for outpatient encounters. We created a detailed taxonomy of pain diagnoses, drawing from a comprehensive review of military, Veterans Administration (VA) and pain literature, as described below. Expert consultants representing internal medicine and pain specialties reviewed the taxonomy, which was then refined. Starting with the American Pain Society’s Pain Taxonomy, [24] which included four major categories focused on pathological mechanism, we created subcategories that reflected both civilian and military literature (e.g., osteoarthritis, [20, 25] other musculoskeletal, [19, 26, 27] visceral/pelvic, [26] and wounds/injury [20]).

The 10 major pain categories examined in this analysis are: peripheral/central nervous system; osteoarthritis; back and neck; headache/migraine; non-traumatic joint disorders; other musculoskeletal; visceral/pelvic; wounds/injury/fractures; acute pain; and chronic pain from an undefined source. For the back and neck pain category, we created five not mutually-exclusive subcategories: lumbago; intervertebral disc; cervicalgia; spondylosis; and other; these reflected major diagnostic categories, and are reported for prevalence purposes only. We disregarded cancer pain and other disease-specific categories (e.g., sickle-cell) not expected to be common.

We defined each pain category based on presence of ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes, using a health services research diagnostic code grouper system (Clinical Classifications Software (CCS) [28]) and prior research on Veteran and military populations. First, if a CCS code or a unique ICD-9-CM diagnostic code existed for that category (e.g., osteoarthritis), we relied on those codes. Second, in the absence of a unique CCS or ICD-9-CM code, for categories that included multiple types of diagnoses (e.g., back and neck pain), we relied on a VA Office of the Inspector General Report [29, 30] for specific diagnosis codes. Last, we supplemented specific pain categories with diagnosis codes found in military-specific literature. The final set of diagnostic codes is available as a supplemental document. For each pain category, we identified the presence of at least one eligible diagnosis code for each soldier in the analytic timeframe (FY 2012), in any diagnosis position and as the primary diagnosis. We report most results based on the primary pain diagnosis. We also counted the number of different pain categories per soldier.

Pain levels were obtained from vital records for encounters in military treatment facilities and based on soldier self-report on a numerical scale (0–10) administered by clinicians during medical encounters. We constructed an ordinal variable to reflect highest pain level [31] across encounters for each primary pain diagnosis during FY 2012: no pain (0) or mild (1–3) pain, moderate (4–6), and severe (7–10). Not reported or missing pain level was coded for 16.3% of soldiers with encounters for pain diagnoses in purchased care settings where vital records are not used. [32]

Military duty limitations were based on dispositions assigned by clinicians at selected outpatient medical encounters in military treatment facilities and are a marker of the impact of pain on functioning. The most restricted limitation for a primary pain diagnosis encounter in FY 2012 was coded as: released without limitations; released with work duty limitations; sick at home or quarters; immediate referral; or other (left against medical advice, admitted). Military duty limitations were not recorded in purchased care settings.

Duration was coded as either once only, intermittent, or persistent. Persistent duration was defined as an encounter with the primary pain diagnosis at least once in each quarter for the full 1-yr period of FY 2012; intermittent was defined as more than one primary pain diagnosis over FY 2012, but not persistent. Chronic pain was defined as more than one encounter with the same primary pain category in FY 2012 at least 3 mo apart. [33, 34] Duration and chronicity were analyzed only for the subsample of soldiers with a primary pain diagnosis in the first quarter of FY 2012 (n = 87,650) in order to track additional diagnoses for three quarters following a diagnosis.

Statistical Analysis

We report the annual prevalence of each pain category as both a primary pain diagnosis (restricted to the first diagnostic position), as well as in any diagnostic position, and associate pain category with measures of pain. Severity, duration, and chronicity, and military duty limitations are reported only for primary pain diagnoses. Data are reported at the soldier-level. To assess whether pain category was associated with duration and chronicity of pain, we restricted analyses to the subsample that had a pain encounter in the first quarter.

For each pair of pain categories, t-tests were conducted to compare the following proportions:

(1) severe pain,

(2) persistent pain,

(3) chronic pain,

(4) release from work with duty limitations, and

(5) sick at home or in quarters.

Separate analytic files were created to compare these outcomes between the members belonging to each pair of pain categories. Each t-test utilized Levene’s test to determine equality of variances and Bonferroni’s correction to adjust for multiple comparisons. Except as noted in the footnote on tables, all comparisons were statistically significant at p < 0.05. All calculations were performed using SPSS version 19.0.0.

Brandeis University’s Institutional Review Board and the Human Research Protection Program at the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs/Defense Health Agency conducted the human subjects review and approved the study. The Defense Health Agency’s Privacy and Civil Liberties Office executed the data use agreements.

RESULTS

The analytic sample of all non-deployed active duty soldiers in FY 2012 (N = 297,120) was predominantly male (86.6%) and younger in age (26.8% aged 17–24 and 42.6% aged 25–34) [data not shown]. Sixty percent were white (59.9%), 20.4% black, and 11.2% Hispanic. Over half were junior enlisted with a paygrade of E1–E4 (53.7%); nearly 20% were officers. Almost two-thirds had a deployment before FY 2012 (64.4%).

Prevalence of Pain Category Diagnoses

Table 1

Figure 1

Table 2

Among the 297,120 soldiers included in the analysis, 63.3% had any pain diagnosis during FY 2012 and 59.2% had a primary pain diagnosis (Table 1). Thus, most soldiers with any pain diagnosis during FY 2012 had pain coded as the primary reason for at least one medical visit during the year.

The most common primary pain diagnoses among soldiers were

other musculoskeletal (29.5%),

non-traumatic joint disorders (28.1%), and

back and neck pain (22.4%).

Figure 1 shows that, of soldiers who had a primary pain diagnosis, almost two-thirds had a diagnosis in more than one category and more than one in five had primary pain diagnoses in four or more pain categories.

Highest Pain Level

Among all soldiers with a primary pain diagnosis in FY 2012, over half had moderate (28.2%) or severe pain (26.6%), recorded as the highest pain level on a medical encounter (Table 2). Just over a quarter of soldiers with a primary pain diagnosis had only encounters with no pain rating, consistent with the volume of care provided in the purchased care setting. For most primary pain categories, 60–70% of soldiers reported moderate or severe pain as the highest pain level on a medical encounter. A higher proportion of soldiers with chronic, non-specific pain had a moderate or severe pain level (83.2%). In contrast, a lower proportion of those in the wounds/injury/fracture category had a moderate or severe pain level (54.5%). Severe pain was most common for diagnoses of chronic, non-specific pain (54.4%), headache/migraine (42.5%), peripheral/central nervous system (37.8%), and back and neck pain (37.2%).

Military Duty Limitations

Table 3

For military treatment encounters, a substantial proportion of soldiers had some military work restrictions associated with their primary pain diagnoses and the proportion varied by pain category (Table 3). However, 45–62% of soldiers were released with no military duty limitations. Return to work with some duty limitation was most common for non-traumatic joint disorders (43.6%), other musculoskeletal pain (39.0%), and back and neck pain (35.3%). Assigned to home or in quarters was highest among soldiers with headache/migraine (15.8%) and visceral/pelvic pain (10.5%).

Duration and Chronicity

Table 4

We examined the duration of pain among a subsample of soldiers with a primary pain diagnosis in the first quarter (Table IV).IntermittentIntermittent pain characterized the majority of soldiers, defined as repeat encounters for each pain category but not in each quarter. For each pain category, a substantial proportion had only one encounter (Table 4). Persistent pain, defined as at least one encounter in each quarter for a primary diagnosis in the same pain category, was infrequent overall except for back and neck pain (23.3%). Chronic pain, defined as receiving at least 2 primary pain diagnoses at least 3 mo apart, varied by pain category, with the highest occurrence among back and neck pain (61.8%) and the lowest occurrence among visceral/pelvic pain (28.1%).

DISCUSSION

Our findings demonstrate that painful conditions are common among a non-deployed Army active duty population, during a 1-yr period, with rates for specific conditions similar to ambulatory visits reported in the Defense Health Agency (DHA's) Medical Surveillance Monthly Report. [27] Our analysis utilized a population health perspective to describe the burden of pain in the Army, showing that overall prevalence, severity and duration of pain are high, and pain has some effect on ability to fully participate in military work duties. The majority of soldiers (63%) had at least one outpatient encounter where the pain diagnosis was the reason for the visit and almost two-thirds of these soldiers were seen for more than one major pain category. Among soldiers treated for their pain, one in four experienced severe pain at least once during the year and chronic pain (lasting more than 3 mo) was common. Around half had a work limitation during the year, consistent with presence of moderate to severe pain.

It is notable that a substantial minority of soldiers with pain diagnoses were seen for pain self-reported as only mild or that did not require significant restrictions in work. Yet, these soldiers were still accessing medical care, perhaps an indication of ongoing pain conditions requiring occasional follow-up visits or a culture of heightened attention to pain. In addition, the proportion of these soldiers that had only one visit for pain indicates that there is good access to care for acute pain. While we did not analyze treatments received, these findings suggest that many pain problems are resolving with minimal health service interventions.

However, one in four soldiers with pain reported that it was severe during at least one encounter during the year and this was especially high among those with headache/migraine. It is also notable that nearly 4 in 10 reported pain in three or more major categories. Complex interventions may be required to minimize the impact of pain on the force readiness of the Army, including complementary and integrative health approaches that assist soldiers to cope with pain and to remain physically active. Further, it is essential to consider and address the factors that contribute to the often preventable transition from acute to chronic pain. [35] Guidelines for treatment of pain recommend a comprehensive approach, [36–39] which often incorporates education as well as a range of approaches such as physical therapy, exercise, stress management, cognitive behavioral therapy, pharmacology, and other non-pharmacologic approaches (e.g., acupuncture, meditation). [3, 40]

The DoD has established the Stepped Care Model of Pain Management [41] program, recognizing that military members are performing their duties in the presence of episodic and chronic pain. A cornerstone of the stepped care model is a comprehensive assessment of the impact of pain on functioning. DoD has developed and validated the Defense and Veterans Pain Rating Scale (DVPRS) to improve assessment of pain intensity and communication during transitions of care, and has begun to implement the Pain Assessment Screening Tool and Outcomes Registry (PASTOR). [41–43] The DoD uses the medical home approach as the first step in approaching comprehensive pain management managed by the primary care provider. If needed, service members with more complex pain are treated within the medical home by teams that include primary care, pain specialists, and behavioral specialists, and the most complex cases are referred to specialty pain management programs. [41] With this recent focus on comprehensive, complementary and integrative health approaches to pain management, the Army has developed tools to address the needs of soldiers who have less severe needs without relying on medications.

Work limitations are one indicator of the functional effect of pain; we found that a sizeable proportion of soldiers with pain had some military duty limitations. In contrast, many soldiers had no work limitations even though the medical visit was associated with a painful condition. The nature of military culture, for example, “tough it out” or decreased willingness to seek treatment [17, 44] suggests that medical visits due to pain and reported pain levels are likely to underestimate the true prevalence and severity of painful conditions. It is possible that military members may focus on meeting the expectations of their work duties. Thus, they may minimize the true effects of painful conditions in order to complete their duties. [44] Yet pain has wide-ranging effects beyond the mere ability to be present for duty. People who are in pain are less able to focus, respond rapidly, and be productive. [3] Pain interferes with routine work and other activities. [45] People with pain are likely to have emotional distress, reduced quality of life and sleep, and often anger, depression and anxiety. [3, 45]

These findings also imply a cost to the military, given the high burden for the MHS, as well as the soldiers themselves. For those who become disabled or leave active duty with pain, the VHA is often the health care system that must absorb the associated costs. [11] Further, although not studied here, chronic pain is associated with many comorbidities including TBI, PTSD, depression, and sleep disorders, [20, 23] as well as increased health care utilization including emergency department use. [10, 46, 47] The DoD stepped care model and incorporation of integrative and complementary treatments would likely reduce this burden.

This study has some limitations. Our findings are restricted to pain that resulted in a health care encounter. In using claims to identify pain diagnoses, the diagnoses are not verified and we are unable to specify any underlying etiology for the pain diagnosis that was recorded. Because we examined the population with a full year in the MHS, we excluded those returning during the year from deployment or leaving for deployments. Nevertheless, two-thirds of the sample had been deployed in prior years. Our sample may include soldiers in the process of Medical Evaluation Board review, who are likely to have more painful conditions and report greater severity of pain. Further, we analyzed only outpatient encounters, and do not capture inpatient stays associated with more serious injuries or with surgery, including soldiers treated in polytrauma units. Thus, our findings do not generalize to all active duty soldiers.

Our analysis of the duration of pain was restricted to a subsample with pain in the first quarter, and should not be extrapolated to all soldiers with pain. Since some soldiers may have had pain prior to that first quarter, we may underestimate the frequency of chronic pain. The use of claims or encounter data rely on diagnoses and severity levels as reported in the medical record. If a visit is for another medical condition, the pain diagnosis may not be noted even if it is still present; alternatively, the pain diagnosis may be noted by the provider even if not of concern to the soldier at that visit. In addition, pain may be present in any given soldier, but if he or she does not seek medical care or report it when seeking medical care for other conditions, the true prevalence is greater than estimated here.

CONCLUSIONS

Pain diagnoses are common among non-deployed Army active duty soldiers and pain is frequently chronic. Musculoskeletal conditions are associated with the greatest burden on the Military Health System (MHS) among the painful conditions examined in this study. Our findings provide support for the value of the comprehensive stepped approach to pain management given a broad burden of pain and highlight the need for health service planning to minimize the impact on military readiness. Prevention efforts are also valuable to reduce injury and re-injury rates and to promote recovery from pain. For example, Army leaders could incorporate physical activity into unit training that could serve to reduce the likelihood of injury and to aid in recovery. Future research could delve more deeply into the questions of the functional impact of pain diagnoses on military readiness using sources beyond encounter data. The patterns described here could be evaluated by soldier characteristics, such as gender or occupation, and expanded into a deployed population and across all treatment settings. A fuller picture of the burden of pain in this population would also account for the known overlaps with other conditions (e.g., TBI, PTSD, sleep disorders). This encounters-based analysis offers a step forward in understanding the burden of pain across 10 major conditions, but is likely an underestimate of the prevalence of pain, and does not include contextual factors that would offer a more complete understanding of the true effect of pain diagnoses in this population.
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