

A Rare Case of Pacemaker Extrusion Referred for Concern for Squamous Cell Carcinoma

Catherine E. Lyons, BS, BA¹; Aaron D. Smith, BS¹; Lydia A. Luu, MD²; Barrett J. Zlotoff, MD²

University of Virginia Health System, Charlottesville, VA

¹ School of Medicine; ² Department of Dermatology

Introduction

Skin erosion is the most common complication of permanent pacemaker (PPM) placement.³ Erosion can result from **pressure necrosis** of the nearby skin and generally presents with evidence of the **pacemaker peeking through erythematous skin**.²

Squamous Cell Carcinomas (SCCs) at or near the site of PPM placement resemble the common skin extrusion and infection complications.⁴ It is important to be able to differentiate these common complications from malignant dermatologic conditions.

SCCs typically present as **crusting or bleeding wounds that don't heal**. They can be scaly, rough papules with raised edges and a central cavitation.¹

Before Crust Removal:



After Crust Removal:



Case

A 78-year-old woman underwent dual chamber PPM implantation as indicated for symptomatic bradycardia and second-degree atrioventricular block. The procedure was successful, but at her subsequent follow-up appointments, an **oozing and crusting scab** over the site of PPM implantation was noted. The scab-like growth evolved over the course of 8 months. Her cardiologists suspected SCC, and referred her to Dermatology.

Dermatological evaluation included removal of a shell of serous crust from the top of the lesion. Upon removal, it was clear that the growth was actually a **biofilm-covered PPM, rather than a malignant lesion**, with an erosion present over the PPM site with **gelatinous substance** protruding through (see photos). The PPM was palpable underneath and around the gelatinous biofilm.

The patient was treated with a two-week course of **Clindamycin HCl (300 mg PO TID)** and underwent generator and lead removal and **reimplantation of the dual chamber PPM**.

Discussion

Pacemaker extrusion is the most common complication of PPM implantation. Though these skin erosions are generally diagnosed by cardiology, it is important for dermatology to **be cognizant of the typical presentation** in case it appears in clinic.

Malignant skin lesions around PPM implantation sites are rarely reported in the literature. In this case, key findings were revealed when the **crust was removed**, which emphasizes the importance of removing crusts when evaluating lesions.

To our knowledge, this is the first case of PPM skin extrusion that resembled a malignant lesion. This case emphasizes the importance of **recognizing this atypical clinical presentation of pacemaker extrusion** to rule out malignancy.

References

1. Skin Cancer Pictures. The Skin Cancer Foundation. Accessed August 19, 2023. <https://www.skincancer.org/skin-cancer-information/skin-cancer-pictures/>
2. Sanderson A, Hahn B. Pacemaker Extrusion. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*. 2013;62(6):648. doi:[10.1016/j.annemergmed.2013.04.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2013.04.022)
3. Harcombe AA, Newell SA, Ludman PF, et al. Late complications following permanent pacemaker implantation or elective unit replacement. *Heart*. 1998;80(3):240-244. doi:[10.1136/hrt.80.3.240](https://doi.org/10.1136/hrt.80.3.240)
4. Moseley T, Birgersdotter-Green U, Feld G, Pollema T. Malignancies masquerading as device pocket infections. *Heart Rhythm Case Reports*. 2021;7(10):694-697. doi:[10.1016/j.hrcr.2021.07.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrcr.2021.07.009)