## WAVE OPTICS - I

1. Electromagnetic Wave
2. Wavefront
3. Huygens' Principle
4. Reflection of Light based on Huygens' Principle
5. Refraction of Light based on Huygens' Principle
6. Behaviour of Wavefront in a Mirror, Lens and Prism
7. Coherent Sources
8. Interference
9. Young's Double Slit Experiment
10. Colours in Thin Films

## Electromagnetic Wave:



1. Variations in both electric and magnetic fields occur simultaneously. Therefore, they attain their maxima and minima at the same place and at the same time.
2. The direction of electric and magnetic fields are mutually perpendicular to each other and as well as to the direction of propagation of wave.
3. The speed of electromagnetic wave depends entirely on the electric and magnetic properties of the medium, in which the wave travels and not on the amplitudes of their variations.

$$
\text { Wave is propagating along } X \text { - axis with speed } \quad c=1 / \sqrt{ } \mu_{0} \varepsilon_{0}
$$

For discussion of optical property of EM wave, more significance is given to Electric Field, E. Therefore, Electric Field is called 'light vector'.

## Wavefront:

A wavelet is the point of disturbance due to propagation of light.
A wavefront is the locus of points (wavelets) having the same phase of oscillations.

A line perpendicular to a wavefront is called a 'ray'.


## Huygens' Construction or Huygens' Principle of Secondary

 Wavelets:

1. Each point on a wavefront acts as a fresh source of disturbance of light.
2. The new wavefront at any time later is obtained by taking the forward envelope of all the secondary wavelets at that time.
Note: Backward wavefront is rejected. Why?
Amplitude of secondary wavelet is proportional to $1 / 2(1+\cos \theta)$. Obviously, for the backward wavelet $\theta=180^{\circ}$ and $(1+\cos \theta)$ is 0 .

## Laws of Reflection at a Plane Surface (On Huygens' Principle):

If $c$ be the speed of light, $t$ be the time taken by light to go from B to C or A to D or $E$ to $G$ through $F$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t=\frac{E F}{C}+\frac{F G}{C} \\
& t=\frac{A F \sin i}{C}+\frac{F C \sin r}{C} \\
& t=\frac{A C \sin r+A F(\sin i-\sin r)}{C}
\end{aligned}
$$



AB - Incident wavefront
CD - Reflected wavefront
XY - Reflecting surface

For rays of light from different parts on the incident wavefront, the values of AF are different. But light from different points of the incident wavefront should take the same time to reach the corresponding points on the reflected wavefront.

So, t should not depend upon AF. This is possible only if $\sin \mathrm{i}-\sin \mathrm{r}=0$.

$$
\text { i.e. } \sin i=\sin r \quad \text { or } \quad i=r
$$

## Laws of Refraction at a Plane Surface (On Huygens' Principle):

If $c$ be the speed of light, $t$ be the time taken by light to go from B to C or $A$ to $D$ or $E$ to $G$ through $F$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t=\frac{E F}{C}+\frac{F G}{v} \\
& t=\frac{A F \sin i}{C}+\frac{F C \sin r}{v} \\
& t=\frac{A C \sin r}{v}+A F\left(\frac{\sin i}{C}-\frac{\sin r}{v}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



For rays of light from different parts on the incident wavefront, the values of AF are different. But light from different points of the incident wavefront should take the same time to reach the corresponding points on the refracted wavefront.

So, $t$ should not depend upon AF. This is possible only
if $\frac{\sin i}{c}-\frac{\sin r}{v}=0 \quad$ or $\quad \frac{\sin i}{c}=\frac{\sin r}{v} \quad$ or $\quad \frac{\sin i}{\sin r}=\frac{c}{v}=\mu$

Behaviour of a Plane Wavefront in a Concave Mirror, Convex Mirror, Convex Lens, Concave Lens and Prism:


AB - Incident wavefront
CD - Reflected / Refracted wavefront


AB - Incident wavefront
CD -Refracted wavefront

## Coherent Sources:

Coherent Sources of light are those sources of light which emit light waves of same wavelength, same frequency and in same phase or having constant phase difference.

Coherent sources can be produced by two methods:

1. By division of wavefront (Young's Double Slit Experiment, Fresnel's Biprism and Lloyd's Mirror)
2. By division of amplitude (Partial reflection or refraction)

## Interference of Waves:



Constructive Interference $E=E_{1}+E_{2}$


Destructive Interference $E=E_{1}-E_{2}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\ldots & 1^{\text {st }} \text { Wave }\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}\right) \\
\ldots & 2^{\text {nd }} \text { Wave }\left(\mathrm{E}_{2}\right) \\
\ldots & \text { Resultant Wave } \\
\text { Reference Line } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$



The phenomenon of one wave interfering with another and the resulting redistribution of energy in the space around the two sources of disturbance is called interference of waves.

## Theory of Interference of Waves:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}=a \sin \omega t \\
& E_{2}=b \sin (\omega t+\Phi)
\end{aligned}
$$

The waves are with same speed, wavelength, frequency, time period, nearly equal amplitudes, travelling in the same direction with constant phase difference of $\Phi$.
$\omega$ is the angular frequency of the waves, $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ are the amplitudes and $\mathrm{E}_{1}, \mathrm{E}_{2}$ are the instantaneous values of Electric displacement.

Applying superposition principle, the magnitude of the resultant displacement of the waves is $E=E_{1}+E_{2}$
$E=a \sin \omega t+b \sin (\omega t+\Phi)$
$E=(a+b \cos \Phi) \sin \omega t+b \sin \Phi \cos \omega t$

Putting $a+b \cos \Phi=A \cos \theta$

$$
b \sin \Phi=A \sin \theta
$$

We get $\quad E=A \sin (\omega t+\theta)$
(where $E$ is the resultant displacement, A is the resultant amplitude and $\theta$ is the resultant phase difference)

$$
A=\sqrt{ }\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+2 a b \cos \Phi\right)
$$

$$
\tan \theta=\frac{b \sin \Phi}{a+b \cos \Phi}
$$

$$
A=\sqrt{ }\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+2 a b \cos \Phi\right)
$$

Intensity I is proportional to the square of the amplitude of the wave.
So, I $\alpha A^{2}$ i.e. $I \alpha\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+2 a b \cos \Phi\right)$

## Condition for Constructive Interference of Waves:

For constructive interference, I should be maximum which is possible only if $\cos \Phi=+1$.
i.e. $\Phi=2 n \pi \quad$ where $n=0,1,2,3, \ldots \ldots$

Corresponding path difference is $\Delta=(\lambda / 2 \pi) \times 2 n \pi$

$$
\Delta=\mathbf{n} \boldsymbol{\lambda}
$$

Condition for Destructive Interference of Waves:
For destructive interference, I should be minimum which is possible only if $\cos \Phi=\mathbf{- 1}$.
i.e. $\Phi=(2 n+1) \pi \quad$ where $n=0,1,2,3, \ldots \ldots$

Corresponding path difference is $\Delta=(\lambda / 2 \pi) x(2 n+1) \pi$

$$
\Delta=(2 n+1) \lambda / 2
$$

$$
I_{\min } \alpha(a-b)^{2}
$$

## Comparison of intensities of maxima and minima:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\max } \alpha(a+b)^{2} \\
& I_{\min } \alpha(a-b)^{2} \\
& \frac{I_{\max }}{I_{\min }}=\frac{(a+b)^{2}}{(a-b)^{2}}=\frac{(a / b+1)^{2}}{(a / b-1)^{2}} \\
& \frac{I_{\max }}{I_{\min }}=\frac{(r+1)^{2}}{(r-1)^{2}} \quad \text { where } r=a / b \quad \text { (ratio of the amplitudes) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Relation between Intensity (I), Amplitude (a) of the wave and Width (w) of the slit:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I \alpha a^{2} \\
& a \alpha \vee w \quad \frac{I_{1}}{I_{2}}=\frac{\left(a_{1}\right)^{2}}{\left(a_{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{w_{1}}{w_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Young's Double Slit Experiment:

 some phase difference and hence path difference
$\Delta=S_{2} P-S_{1} P$
$S_{2} P^{2}-S_{1} P^{2}=\left[D^{2}+\{y+(d / 2)\}^{2}\right]-\left[D^{2}+\{y-(d / 2)\}^{2}\right]$
$\left(S_{2} P-S_{1} P\right)\left(S_{2} P+S_{1} P\right)=2 y d$
$\Delta(2 \mathrm{D})=2 \mathrm{yd}$

## Positions of Bright Fringes:

For a bright fringe at $P$,
$\Delta=y d / D=n \lambda$

$$
\text { where } n=0,1,2,3, \ldots
$$

$$
y=n D \lambda / d
$$

For $\mathbf{n}=0, \quad \mathbf{y}_{0}=\mathbf{0}$
For $\mathrm{n}=1, \quad \mathrm{y}_{1}=\mathrm{D} \boldsymbol{\lambda} / \mathrm{d}$
For $\mathrm{n}=2, \quad \mathrm{y}_{2}=2 \mathrm{D} \lambda / \mathrm{d} \quad \ldots .$.
For $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}, \quad \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{n} \mathrm{D} \boldsymbol{\lambda} / \mathrm{d}$

Expression for Dark Fringe Width:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{D} & =y_{n}-y_{n-1} \\
& =n D \lambda / d-(n-1) D \lambda / d \\
& =D \lambda / d
\end{aligned}
$$

## Positions of Dark Fringes:

For a dark fringe at $P$,
$\Delta=y d / D=(2 n+1) \lambda / 2$ where $\mathrm{n}=0,1,2,3, \ldots$

$$
y=(2 n+1) D \lambda / 2 d
$$

For $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{y}_{0}{ }^{\prime}=\mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{\lambda} / \mathbf{2 d}$
For $\mathrm{n}=1, \quad \mathrm{y}_{1}{ }^{\prime}=3 \mathrm{D} \lambda / 2 \mathrm{~d}$
For $\mathrm{n}=2, \quad \mathrm{y}_{2}{ }^{\prime}=5 \mathrm{D} \lambda / 2 \mathrm{~d} \quad \ldots .$.
For $n=n, \quad y_{n}{ }^{\prime}=(2 n+1) D \lambda / 2 d$

Expression for Bright Fringe Width:
$\beta_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$,
$=(2 n+1) D \lambda / 2 d-\{2(n-1)+1\} D \lambda / 2 d$
$=D \lambda / d$

The expressions for fringe width show that the fringes are equally spaced on the screen.

Distribution of Intensity:


Suppose the two interfering waves have same amplitude say ' $a$ ', then
$I_{\text {max }} \propto(a+a)^{2}$ i.e. $I_{\text {max }} \propto 4 a^{2}$
All the bright fringes have this same intensity.
$I_{\text {min }}=0$
All the dark fringes have zero intensity.

Conditions for sustained interference:

1. The two sources producing interference must be coherent.
2. The two interfering wave trains must have the same plane of polarisation.
3. The two sources must be very close to each other and the pattern must be observed at a larger distance to have sufficient width of the fringe. ( $\mathrm{D} \boldsymbol{\lambda} / \mathrm{d}$ )
4. The sources must be monochromatic. Otherwise, the fringes of different colours will overlap.
5. The two waves must be having same amplitude for better contrast between bright and dark fringes.

## Colours in Thin Films:

It can be proved that the path difference between the light partially reflected from PQ and that from partially transmitted and then reflected from RS is

$$
\Delta=2 \mu t \cos r
$$

Since there is a reflection at 0 , the ray OA suffers an additional phase difference of $\pi$ and hence the corresponding path difference of N/2.

For the rays $O A$ and $B C$ to interfere constructively (Bright fringe), the path difference must be ( $\mathrm{n}+1 / 2$ ) $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

So, $\quad 2 \mu t \cos r=(n+1 / 2) \lambda$


For the rays $O A$ and $B C$ to interfere destructively (Dark fringe), the path difference must be $n \lambda$

So, $\quad 2 \mu \mathrm{t} \cos \mathrm{r}=\mathrm{n} \lambda$

When white light from the sun falls on thin layer of oil spread over water in the rainy season, beautiful rainbow colours are formed due to interference of light.

## WAVE OPTICS - II

1. Electromagnetic Wave
2. Diffraction
3. Diffraction at a Single Slit
4. Theory of Diffraction
5. Width of Central Maximum and Fresnel's Distance
6. Difference between Interference and Diffraction
7. Polarisation of Mechanical Waves
8. Polarisation of Light
9. Malus' Law
10. Polarisation by Reflection - Brewster's Law
11. Polaroids and their uses

## Electromagnetic Wave:



1. Variations in both electric and magnetic fields occur simultaneously. Therefore, they attain their maxima and minima at the same place and at the same time.
2. The direction of electric and magnetic fields are mutually perpendicular to each other and as well as to the direction of propagation of wave.
3. The speed of electromagnetic wave depends entirely on the electric and magnetic properties of the medium, in which the wave travels and not on the amplitudes of their variations.

$$
\text { Wave is propagating along } X \text { - axis with speed } \quad c=1 / \sqrt{ } \mu_{0} \varepsilon_{0}
$$

For discussion of EM wave, more significance is given to Electric Field, E.

## Diffraction of light:

The phenomenon of bending of light around the corners and the encroachment of light within the geometrical shadow of the opaque obstacles is called diffraction.


## Diffraction of light at a single slit:

1) At an angle of diffraction $\theta=0^{\circ}$ :


Screen
The wavelets from the single wavefront reach the centre $O$ on the screen in same phase and hence interfere constructively to give Central or Primary Maximum (Bright fringe).
2) At an angle of diffraction $\theta=\theta_{1}$ :

The slit is imagined to be divided into 2 equal halves.


The wavelets from the single wavefront diffract at an angle $\theta_{1}$ such that $B N$ is $\lambda$ and reach the point $P_{1}$. The pairs ( 0,6 ), $(1,7),(2,8),(3,9)$, $(4,10),(5,11)$ and $(6,12)$ interfere destructively with path difference $\lambda / 2$ and give First Secondary Minimum (Dark fringe).
3) At an angle of diffraction $\theta=\theta_{2}$ :

The slit is imagined to be divided into 4 equal parts.


The wavelets from the single wavefront diffract at an angle $\theta_{2}$ such that $B N$ is $2 \lambda$ and reach the point $P_{2}$. The pairs ( 0,3 ), ( 1,4 ), ( 2,5 ), $(3,6),(4,7)$, $(5,8),(6,9),(7,10),(8,11)$ and $(9,12)$ interfere destructively with path difference $\lambda / 2$ and give Second Secondary Minimum (Dark fringe).
4) At an angle of diffraction $\theta=\theta_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ :

The slit is imagined to be divided into 3 equal parts.
$P_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ Bright
$P_{1}$ Dark

O Bright

The wavelets from the single wavefront diffract at angle $\theta_{1}$ ' such that BN is $3 \lambda / 2$ and reach the point $P_{1}{ }^{\prime}$. The pairs $(0,8),(1,9),(2,10),(3,11)$ and $(4,12)$ interfere constructively with path difference $\lambda$ and $(0,4),(1,5),(2,6)$, ...... and $(8,12)$ interfere destructively with path difference $\lambda / 2$. However due to a few wavelets interfering constructively First Secondary Maximum (Bright fringe) is formed.


## Theory:

The path difference between the $0^{\text {th }}$ wavelet and $12^{\text {th }}$ wavelet is $B N$.
If ' $\theta$ ' is the angle of diffraction and ' $d$ ' is the slit width, then $B N=d \sin \theta$ To establish the condition for secondary minima, the slit is divided into 2,4 , $6, \ldots$ equal parts such that corresponding wavelets from successive regions interfere with path difference of $\boldsymbol{\lambda} / 2$.

## Or for $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ secondary minimum, the slit can be divided into 2 n equal parts.

For $\theta_{1}, \mathrm{~d} \sin \theta_{1}=\lambda$
For $\theta_{2}, d \sin \theta_{2}=2 \lambda$
For $\theta_{n}, d \sin \theta_{n}=n \lambda$

Since $\theta_{n}$ is very small,
$d \theta_{n}=n \lambda$
$\theta_{n}=n \lambda / d \quad(n=1,2,3, \ldots \ldots)$

To establish the condition for secondary maxima, the slit is divided into 3, 5, $7, \ldots$ equal parts such that corresponding wavelets from alternate regions interfere with path difference of $\lambda$.

Or for $n^{\text {th }}$ secondary minimum, the slit can be divided into $(2 n+1)$ equal parts.

For $\theta_{1}{ }^{\prime}, d \sin \theta_{1}{ }^{\prime}=3 \lambda / 2$
For $\theta_{2}{ }^{\prime}, d \sin \theta_{2}{ }^{\prime}=5 \lambda / 2$
For $\theta_{n}{ }^{\prime}, d \sin \theta_{n}{ }^{\prime}=(2 n+1) \lambda / 2$

Since $\theta_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}$ is very small,

$$
d \theta_{n}^{\prime}=(2 n+1) \lambda / 2
$$

$$
\theta_{n}^{\prime}=(2 n+1) \lambda / 2 d \quad(n=1,2,3,
$$

## Width of Central Maximum:



## Fresnel's Distance:

Fresnel's distance is that distance from the slit at which the spreading of light due to diffraction becomes equal to the size of the slit.

$$
y_{1}=D \lambda / d
$$

At Fresnel's distance, $y_{1}=d$ and $D=D_{F}$

$$
\text { So, } D_{F} \lambda / d=d \quad \text { or } \quad D_{F}=d^{2} / \lambda
$$

If the distance $D$ between the slit and the screen is less than Fresnel's distance $D_{F}$, then the diffraction effects may be regarded as absent.
So, ray optics may be regarded as a limiting case of wave optics.

## Difference between Interference and Diffraction:

| Interference |  | Diffraction |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. $\quad$Interference is due to the <br> superposition of two different <br> wave trains coming from coherent <br> sources. | 1. | Diffraction is due to the <br> superposition of secondary <br> wavelets from the different parts <br> of the same wavefront. |  |
| 2. | Fringe width is generally constant. | 2. | Fringes are of varying width. |
| 3. $\quad$All the maxima have the same <br> intensity. | 3.The maxima are of varying <br> intensities. |  |  |
| 4.There is a good contrast between <br> the maxima and minima. | 4. $\quad$There is a poor contrast between <br> the maxima and minima. |  |  |

## Polarisation of Transverse Mechanical Waves:



## Polarisation of Light Waves:



Natural Light

$\uparrow$ - Parallel to the plane

-     - Perpendicular to the plane

Representation of Natural Light

In natural light, millions of transverse vibrations occur in all the directions perpendicular to the direction of propagation of wave. But for convenience, we can assume the rectangular components of the vibrations with one component lying on the plane of the diagram and the other perpendicular to the plane of the diagram.

Light waves are electromagnetic waves with electric and magnetic fields oscillating at right angles to each other and also to the direction of propagation of wave. Therefore, the light waves can be polarised.



When unpolarised light is incident on the polariser, the vibrations parallel to the crystallographic axis are transmitted and those perpendicular to the axis are absorbed. Therefore the transmitted light is plane (linearly) polarised.

The plane which contains the crystallographic axis and vibrations transmitted from the polariser is called plane of vibration.

The plane which is perpendicular to the plane of vibration is called plane of polarisation.

## Malus' Law:

When a beam of plane polarised light is incident on an analyser, the intensity I of light transmitted from the analyser varies directly as the square of the cosine of the angle $\theta$ between the planes of transmission of analyser and polariser.

$$
I \alpha \cos ^{2} \theta
$$

If a be the amplitude of the electric vector transmitted by the polariser, then only the component a $\cos \theta$ will be transmitted by the analyser.

Intensity of transmitted light from the analyser is


Case I: When $\theta=0^{\circ}$ or $180^{\circ}, \quad \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{I}_{0}$
Case II: When $\theta=90^{\circ}, \quad I=0$
Case III: When unpolarised light is incident on the analyser the intensity of the transmitted light is one-half of the intensity of incident light. (Since average value of $\cos ^{2} \theta$ is $1 / 2$ )

## Polarisation by Reflection and Brewster's Law:

The incident light wave is made of parallel vibrations ( $\pi$ - components) on the plane of incidence and perpendicular vibrations ( $\sigma$ components : perpendicular to plane of incidence).

At a particular angle $\theta_{\mathrm{p}}$, the parallel components completely refracted whereas the perpendicular components partially get refracted and partially get reflected.
i.e. the reflected components are all in perpendicular plane of vibration and hence plane polarised.

The intensity of transmitted light through the medium is greater than that of plane polarised (reflected) light.


$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{P}+r & =90^{\circ} \text { or } r=90^{\circ}-\theta_{P} \\
{ }_{a} \mu_{b} & =\frac{\sin \theta_{P}}{\sin r} \\
{ }_{a} \mu_{b} & =\frac{\sin \theta_{P}}{\sin 90^{\circ}-\theta_{P}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
{ }_{\mathrm{a}} \mu_{\mathrm{b}}=\tan \theta_{\mathrm{p}}
$$

## Polaroids:

H - Polaroid is prepared by taking a sheet of polyvinyl alcohol (long chain polymer molecules) and subjecting to a large strain. The molecules are oriented parallel to the strain and the material becomes doubly refracting. When strained with iodine, the material behaves like a dichroic crystal.

K - Polaroid is prepared by heating a stretched polyvinyl alcohol film in the presence of HCl (an active dehydrating catalyst). When the film becomes slightly darkened, it behaves like a strong dichroic crystal.

## Uses of Polaroids:

1) Polaroid Sun Glasses
2) Polaroid Filters
3) For Laboratory Purpose
4) In Head-light of Automobiles
5) In Three - Dimensional Motion Picutres
6) In Window Panes
7) In Wind Shield in Automobiles
