Page 1

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWENTIETH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA

IN RE: LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA and CORKSCREW GROVE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a limited liability company,

CASE NO.: 22-CA-002743

Joint Petitioners,

-vs-

KEVIN HILL and JEFFREY KLEEGER,

Intervenors.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

HELD BEFORE: Honorable James R. Shenko

DATE TAKEN: November 8, 2022

TIME: 9:16 a.m. - 5:18 p.m.

LOCATION: Lee County Justice Center

REPORTER: Melissa Meeks, RPR, FPR

Notary Public

State of Florida at Large

FORT MYERS COURT REPORTING 2180 West First Street, Suite 120 Fort Myers, Florida 33901 (239) 334-1411

Serving all of Southwest Florida

1	APPEARANCES	Page 2
2		
3	For the Joint Petitioners:	
4	Moore Bowman & Reese, P.A. 551 North Cattlemen Road	
5	Suite 100 Sarasota, Florida 34232-6448	
6	bmoore@mbrfirm.com	
7	By: S. William Moore, Esquire	
8	and	
9	Bartlett Loeb Hinds & Thompson, PA 100 North Tampa Street	
10	Suite 2050 Tampa, Florida 33602	
11	jayb@blhtlaw.com Jeffreyh@blhtlaw.com	
12	By: Jay Bartlett, Esquire	
13	Jeffrey Hinds, Esquire	
14	For the Intervenors:	
15	Richard Grosso, P.A.	
16	6919 West Broward Boulevard Mailbox 142	
17	Plantation, Florida 33317 richardgrosso1979@gmail.com	
18	By: Richard Grosso, Esquire	
19		
20	ALGO DDDGDNES - Karaka Hill	
21	ALSO PRESENT: Kevin Hill	
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	INDEX	Page 3
2		
3	WITNESS	PAGE
4	DANIEL DELISI	
5	Direct Examination Continued By Mr. Moore	7
6	Cross-Examination By Mr. Grosso	42
7	Redirect Examination By Mr. Moore	67
8	Recross-Examination By Mr. Grosso	86
9	Further Redirect Examination By Mr. Moore	93
10	ELIZABETH FOUNTAIN	
11	Direct Examination By Mr. Moore:	95
12	Cross-Examination By Mr. Grosso	107
13	Redirect Examination By Mr. Moore	110
14	SHANE WILLIAM JOHNSON	
15	Direct Examination By Mr. Moore:	112
16	Voir Dire Examination By Mr. Grosso	117
17	Direct Examination Continued By Mr. Moore	120
18	Cross-Examination By Mr. Grosso	123
19	Redirect Examination By Mr. Moore	132
20	Recross-Examination By Mr. Grosso	135
21	Further Redirect Examination By Mr. Moore	136
22	DAVID BROWN	
23	Direct Examination By Mr. Moore:	136
24	Cross-Examination By Mr. Grosso	155
25	Redirect Examination By Mr. Moore	163

		Page 4
1	RAYMOND BLACKSMITH	rage r
2	Direct Examination By Mr. Moore:	165
3	Cross-Examination By Mr. Grosso	191
4	MITCHELL HUTCHCRAFT	
5	Direct Examination By Mr. Moore:	204
6	Cross-Examination By Mr. Grosso	216
7	BRANDON DUNN	
8	Direct Examination By Mr. Bartlett:	233
9	Cross-Examination By Mr. Grosso	246
LO	REBECCA SWEIGERT	
L1	Direct Examination By Mr. Hinds	254
L2	Cross-Examination By Mr. Grosso	260
L3	Redirect Examination By Mr. Hinds	264
L4		
L5		
L6		
L7		
L8		
L9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		Page 5
1	EXHIBITS	
2	NUMBER DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	Plaintiff's Exhibit 31 Elizabeth Fountain's Resume	96
4	Plaintiff's Exhibit 32 Kingston-Historic	98
5	Flament S Exhibit 32 Kingston-Historic Flowway & Wildlife Corridor	90
6 7	Plaintiff's Exhibit 33 Kingston Hydrologic Benefits Map	102
8	Plaintiff's Exhibit 34 Shane Johnson Resume	114
9	Plaintiff's Exhibit 35 David Brown's Resume	138
10	Plaintiff's Exhibit 36 Proposed Reduction in Overall	139
11	Irrigation Quantities	
12	Plaintiff's Exhibit 37 Kingston Permitted	142
13	Aquifer Drawdown	
14	Plaintiff's Exhibit 38 Kingston Proposed Aquifer Recovery	146
15	Plaintiff's Exhibit 39 Lehigh Acres	148
16	Existing Wells	
17	Plaintiff's Exhibit 40 Kingston-Mailing List of 387 Lot	167
18	Owners Surrounding	
19	Plaintiff's Exhibit 41 Cameratta Companies Packet	176
20	Plaintiff's Exhibit 42 Kingston Development	183
21	Spine Road Connection Map	103
22	-	184
23	Plaintiff's Exhibit 43 Kingston Development Roadway Mitigation	104
24	Plaintiff's Exhibit 44 Kingston Conservation/	186
25	Restoration Cost	

Page 6

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: We're here on the continuation of the joint petition to create a settlement. I have Case No. 22-2743, Corkscrew Grove Limited vs. Kevin Hill and Jeffrey Kleeger, as intervenors.

Last time we were here, we had too small a courtroom. We're now in a larger courtroom. We've also had some testimony. We were in the middle of, I think, the second witness when we adjourned last time. We have today scheduled all day.

Last time I had not had the opportunity to review that many of the documents. I don't know if I had been in trial or what. You know, this time I have, including, but not limited to, all the prior transcripts of the other hearings, including the last hearing before me, not that I retained it, but I have read it. There's a lot of information to unpack. I'd just as soon head right into it.

If anybody wishes to recap where we were and put on the witness, we can.

Mr. Moore?

MR. MOORE: Your Honor, Bill Moore for Corkscrew Grove Limited. We had submitted to the Court, and I think you indicated just now you read it, the transcript of the prior hearing.

Page 7 1 THE COURT: I have. 2 My reading of it, I just mentioned MR. MOORE: 3 to Mr. Grosso, on about Page 111 of the transcript, we were just getting into the PowerPoint 4 presentation of Mr. DeLisi, which is Exhibit 29, and 5 6 he was beginning his explanation about the concept plan, and that's when we hit a break. So if I could call Mr. DeLisi. 8 9 THE COURT: You may. 10 And I'll remind you, you're still under oath. 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 THE COURT: You may proceed. 13 DANIEL DELISI, 14 a witness, after previously being duly sworn, upon his 15 oath, testified as follows: 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 17 BY MR. MOORE: 18 Mr. DeLisi, would you check your mic to see 0 if it sounds like it --19 20 I think it's hot. Α 21 Hot mic, so be careful. 0 22 Would you state your name, again, for the 23 record, please. 24 Daniel DeLisi. Α 25 Mr. DeLisi, when we left off on August 0

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 8

- 31st -- I sent you a copy of the transcript -- I
- 2 believe we were discussing your PowerPoint
- presentation that you made, or at least portions of it, in prior public hearings on this issue.

And my reading of the transcript indicates that we were beginning to discuss the concept plan, which is on the PowerPoint, Exhibit 29, in the Court's booklet, Page 4. Do you have that in front of you?

A I do.

Q All right. So would you just do a brief recap, please, of that concept plan and the significance of that toward the concept, the settlement agreement, and how the concept plan interplays with that?

A Yeah. So one of the key aspects of the settlement agreement is that attached to the settlement agreement are all of the elements that you typically get with a Lee County Planned Development approval.

So within that planned development approval, you have a master concept plan, a schedule of uses, schedule of deviations. You'll have your property development regulations. So it's the exact same document as a planned development, and as part of that is the concept plan.

Page 9

And so the concept plan for this property, you'll note some major characteristics of it, one of which is the connecting road from State Road 82 all the way down to Corkscrew Road through the project.

The other thing you will notice, all of the green area, that is the environmental preservation and restoration area. That's the 3,287 acres that I believe I spoke about last time.

I do want to note that within the tan areas, those are the development areas, but within that, you still have open space, and you still have water quality features and water storage features.

So it's not all wall-to-wall development.

It's a mix of development, lakes, open space areas.

And so in total, you have slightly over 4,000 acres of open space in the entire development.

Q All right, sir. If you will continue and just go through page by page as they come up in the PowerPoint, Exhibit 29, and explain the key elements of the proposed plan and then how it relates to the land use regulations of Lee County.

A Certainly. So one other aspect of a planned development approval that's really key and one reason why planned developments are unique and important in the context of zoning is you can condition a planned

Page 10

development based upon impacts of a project, and so -- and needs of the area.

And so this, again, is no different than any other planned development in terms of its structure, and so we have a series of development conditions that go along with the concept plan, the schedule of uses, the property development regulations.

And so within those development conditions, the first one, Condition 1-C, is the restoration for phasing plan. And this, of course, is a key element to the entire development and the negotiation that -- between the property owner and the county. And what it does is it provides the framework for development to happen concurrent with conservation and restoration uses.

So the general requirement is 50 percent of the development area is going to be restoration or conservation, but the key thing about Condition 1-C is that it's phased concurrent with each development order.

So each development order has to provide a proportionate amount of the total conservation area, and it's also key to the number of units that you're proposing so that you can never get ahead on the number of units proportionate to the overall

Page	11

conservation area, and you can never get ahead on the amount of land area of development proportionate to the preservation.

Q Well, the total restoration area, and I think you just testified it was 3,287 acres, not counting the open space, wouldn't that have occurred anyway under the existing conditions?

MR. GROSSO: Leading question, Your Honor. Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained. Would you rephrase it?
BY MR. MOORE:

Q Under the existing conditions, what is the situation with regard to preservation of that amount of conservation land?

A There is no requirement for preservation of 30 -- 3,287 acres. So the only way you get to this restoration plan is through this development proposal.

Q Now, your Sheet 5 on Exhibit 29 indicates there's a perpetual maintenance responsibility. Will you just detail that a little bit more, please.

A Yeah. So in Florida, you can't just restore land and hope that it stays restored. We have invasive exotics, melaleuca, and such. So you have to consistently maintain land to make sure it stays in a natural state just because of all of those invasives

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 12

١	L-1 L-	_	1			L 2
- 1	unat	we-	nave	introduced	over	LIME.

- Q And how long is that preservation or that maintenance responsibility for, how many years?
 - A In perpetuity.
- Q So who pays for that? Does the county pay for that?
- A No. That's paid for by the homeowners association or community development district.

 Whatever entity is overseeing the property moving forward.
- 11 Q All right. Go to your next page, please, and 12 slide, Page 6.

A So from here I've been listing out additional conditions of the agreement. And, again, these are -- all of these conditions on Page 6 and moving on to Page 7 or all but one, rather, are identical to conditions or nearly identical to conditions of past environmental enhancement and preservation overlay communities.

So there's no magic, not a lot of thinking that needed to go in because the framework has already been created through prior developments along Corkscrew Road.

So Condition 4 requires a human wildlife coexistent plan. Condition 5 outlines the open space

Page 13

requirements, the slightly over 4,000 acres that are being provided. Condition 7 mirrors conditions of past environmental enhancement preservation overlay communities, and let me throw in also the FFD settlement agreement that was the result of a similar Bert Harris action and negotiated agreement. Same thing with Condition 10. Condition 12 talks about proportionate share payment. That --

O What does that mean?

A That is -- it's a requirement to pay a sum of money per unit for -- to offset transportation impacts over and above impact fees. So really it's directed specifically towards the widening of Corkscrew Road and improvements along Corkscrew Road.

Condition 14 deals with and requires an enhanced lake management plan, and this has to do with water quality. So making sure that water quality is maintained or improved in the area, and that's dealing with nutrients and long-term monitoring as well.

Q And who does the water quality monitoring?

A Well, so it would be, again, the CDD or the developer. Whoever manages the property moving forward.

Q And they report their results to the county?

A Yes. You have to send those to the county.

Page 14

Q Okay. Go ahead.

A Conditions 15 and 16 require central irrigation systems and the elimination of septic or the potential for any future septic and existing and future wells on the -- on the property for potable water.

And that's key because, you know, the whole purpose of the Density Reduction/Groundwater Resource is to eliminate the impacts to the aquifers and the county water resources. And so having a requirement to hook up to central water and central sewer really moves forward -- moves the intent of the DR/GR forward and implements that.

development activities or development permit, we call it the local development order, which is the permit for all the horizontal construction, so before you get out there and turn dirt, you get the authorization to do that, you have to conduct an integrated groundwater and surface water hydrologic model, and you need to demonstrate that you're not going to have any negative impacts on ground or surface waters in the area. It's a very extensive analysis that needs to be conducted, but, again, that's a condition of moving forward.

Q You have the -- do you have the book in front

Page 15 1 of you? 2 Α Yeah. Let me ask you to look at Exhibit 22, a 3 4 document entitled, Prospects for Southeast Lee County by Dover-Kohl, K-O-H-L. 5 6 Α I see that. Let me ask you to look at Page 4. It's 4.11, 0 I believe. What is -- do you see the flowway 8 9 restoration strategy there? 10 Is it the -- okay. 11 0 Yeah, the bottom right-hand corner of the 12 page number, I think. 13 Α Yes, I see that. 14 Q All right. What are those aerials, and then 15 the superimposed arrows, what do they represent? 16 Α The direction of flow. 17 And the flow of... 0 18 Of -- sorry. The direction of flow of water. Α 19 Okay. And whereabouts is the subject 0 20 property? 21 Α So the subject property is on the far right 22 of each of these two images. So that would be the far 23 east. So just -- just west of the eastern north/south 24 line. 25 All right. And is the historic flowway Q

Page 16

					-			_
٦	ทฝ	٦	cat	- 0	\sim	+ h	ar.	ロワ
_	тти	_	$-\alpha$		·u	-	-	.

- A It is. It goes across the subject property.
- Q And under the current conditions, is the historic flowway accelerated, the same, or impeded?

A Well, it's accelerated. So in an agricultural condition, you have -- you have a ditch and dike system. So you have to actively control and manage the water as it goes through your property, and then you have point discharges at the south side. So it's not at all a natural condition. You fluctuate water -- water table levels just below the surface. There's a lot of pumping involved.

And so, you know, when you manipulate the groundwater, oftentimes you can have higher discharges at the wrong time of the year, or you can hold back water sometimes when -- when the natural environment south of this needs it, based on what you need for the agricultural operation that's going on. So there's no flowway going through the site.

Q Then, if you would, just generally compare that existing condition situation with the conditions that you've been talking about under the settlement agreement.

A So -- okay. I mean, so just on a broader scale, let me just say that the beauty of this

Page 17

property extending from State Road 82 all the way down to roughly two miles south of Corkscrew Road, you get from Lehigh Acres to the Corkscrew Swamp Sanctuary or CREW, which we talked about last time, all of that natural environmental area, and you have the ability to, one, when you remove the agriculture, you no longer -- you cease all the pumping activity that goes on. So you get a rebound in the groundwater levels. That's the first thing. But you have the ability to control and manage the surface waters that come across the property to meet the needs of the environment, both in the restoration areas on the property.

But, again, the beauty of connecting all the way south to CREW is you have the ability to provide water when they need water, store it on site, provide water when they need water, and redirect some of those flows from Lehigh Acres.

So you just have a lot of -- a lot of options and opportunities in not only restoring the environment on site, but enhancing the environment off site on the adjacent property to the south.

Q And you indicated that wells and septic tanks, which are the current condition, would be eliminated also under the settlement proposal?

A Yes. Wells and septic tanks are eliminated.

Page 18

Q	-	Does	that	have	а	positive	or	а	negative	
effect	on	the	envii	conmer	nt?	?				

A It has a positive effect. You know, the wells that are on the property now and the magnitude of the pumping operations for agriculture have a very clear and distinct impact on the groundwater levels. I mean, those were -- it's approximately 10 million gallons a day. And we're looking at, when that's all said and done, roughly about in the order of 3 million gallons a day, if I remember correctly. But it's a dramatic drop in the amount of water being pumped from the groundwater on the site.

Q All right. Go ahead, please, and continue.

I think you're probably on Slide 8 right now of your

PowerPoint.

A Well, I do want to mention Condition 25.

That's a condition that was --

Q Sure.

A -- that was added that's specific to this case with the goal of trying to address a localized flooding issue. So in Wildcat Run -- in Wildcat Farms there are, just to our east, there's currently flooding problems that occur fairly regularly.

One condition placed in this settlement agreement is that we would alleviate that flooding by

Page 19

accepting that water flow on, onto this property. We would store it. So it's a direct public benefit.

Q What about the consistency with the Lee Plan as indicated on Page 8 of your slide?

A So based on following the framework of the Environmental Enhancement & Preservation Overlay, we have presented and agree with staff that we are consistent with Policy 1.4.5.1, which is the Density Reduction/Groundwater Resource area, as well as Policy 1.4.5.2.

We are also consistent with Policy 1.5.1 and Policy 33.1.7, which requires the modeling of surface and groundwaters.

We also meet nearly every criteria in the Environmental Enhancement & Preservation Overlay, so, you know, I would say every criteria that's really applicable to this property. So all of the substantive criteria in there, we have -- we have followed, and that's set up the framework for how this settlement agreement was able to occur.

Q Now, under the situation -- litigation situation after the Court ruled a declaratory judgment against Lee County and Corkscrew Road litigation, is it your understanding as a land planner, I'm not asking for legal opinion, but with regard to the land

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 20

use plans that were to be followed with regard to the mining application, would they be under the current proposals under current land use law, or would they be under the prior one?

- A You're asking about the mining?
- 6 0 Yes.
 - A So if the settlement agreement doesn't move forward -- and I assume that's what you're asking.
 - O Yes, sir.

A Then, the property would go back to mining. And my reading of the judge's ruling is that we would sit down with staff, and we would look at conditions of mining based on approvals from prior to 2007.

So it's not just the mining plan that was proposed before. In that, we had looked at a lot of different options for providing public benefits or things that you would have never done in 2007 or prior, and were just nonexistent in any of those -- in any of those zoning resolutions. But it would be based on the conditions that were -- that were imposed at that point in time.

Q Now, your conclusions with regard to consistency with the Lee Plan, how do they compare with the conclusions reached by the independent hearing examiner in her recommendation?

Page 21

MR. MOORE: Your Honor, that's Exhibit 15 in our booklet.

THE WITNESS: Well, they agree. The hearing examiner agreed with the findings of consistency and overall with the -- with the settlement agreement.

That's all further outlined.

BY MR. MOORE:

Q Would you continue describing your conclusions on Page 9 of the PowerPoint?

A Yeah. So from here we looked at all of the policies in the Lee Plan that were being contravened by the settlement agreement, and not just what was being contravened, but what we were doing within the settlement agreement to go the next step and protect the public interest.

And so the first policy is Policy 33.2.4.1, and that policy states that to utilize the overlay, you need to be located on Map 2-D in the future land use map series and comprehensive plan, and you need to be within a certain geographical area. And in our case, that's -- you need to be west of Imperial Marsh Preserve, which is that preserve that just runs along the west side of our property boundary.

So just starting out with Map 2-D, there's no -- there's not a lot of meaning in that

Page 22

requirement. No one is placed on Map 2-D without petitioning to be placed on Map 2-D. So it's just a requirement that you would then need to go through a comprehensive plan amendment process to utilize the criteria of the overlay. It's not really a substantive issue.

So in other words, no one looked at Lee County and said, okay, these properties should be in the overlay. So we would be, in effect, placed on Map 2-D through this process. But we're not within the current geographic area that the Environmental Enhancement & Preservation Overlay was mapped out for because we're just on the east side of Imperial Marsh Preserve.

The key thing about this, though, is, again, when that was created, there's no substantive difference between one side of Imperial Marsh Preserve and the other side of Imperial Marsh Preserve. It's all targeted acquisition areas. This property was a targeted acquisition area in the Dover-Kohl study that led to all of this.

Q Let me interrupt you there. When you say targeted acquisition, let me refer you to, I believe, it's Exhibit 24 in our booklet, and I have an enlargement of that.

Page 23

What is this map, if you know, and how does it relate to your testimony about targeted acquisition or priority to restoration areas?

A So that is one of the maps in the future land use map series, and these were areas that were identified in the Dover-Kohl study as being targeted for future acquisition, and it stemmed from the study that I talked about -- I think I talked about last time with Kevin Erwin, who did the basic environmental, you know, he had three tiers in which he looked at the environmental benefit, if you will, of restoration.

Q Now, what do the colors represent? And you have a laser pointer there. Careful with that.

A Yeah.

Q Where is the subject property, and what do those colors represent?

A Okay. So there are Tier 1 -- it goes Tier 1 through Tier 7 on the highest priority to lowest priority of acquisition. The subject property is right here, this dark brown, this pink, and then this yellowish color, so...

Q So they were designated by the county on a land use map in the comprehensive plan; is that correct?

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 24

- A That's correct.
- Q And they were designated for what?
- 3 A Targeted...
 - Q Priority restoration strategy?
 - A Yeah. Yes, that's correct. So, but this came later. These were -- 33.2.2 and 33.2.3 refer to -- refer back to the Environmental Enhancement & Preservation Overlay.
 - Q So how was the public interest protected?
 - A So there aren't a lot of properties in the DR/GR that are part of this priority restoration area. You know, this property has been acquired. There's -- right here is the FFD property that was part of a prior settlement agreement.
 - Q And just for the record, when you say right here, so that the court reporter can pick it up, you mean south of the Corkscrew Road or...
 - A Yeah, my apologies. South of Corkscrew Road. This right -- this black line is Corkscrew Road. This black line is Alico. So on the south side of Corkscrew Road is the FFD settlement property. That went through a similar process as this and is now moving forward with a restoration and development plan.
 - On the north side of Corkscrew Road, these

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 25

- two properties, one is The Place and one is Verdana
 Village. Those are also moving forward as
 Environmental Enhancement & Preservation Overlay
 communities. And this -- well, a lot of this gray
 area is the Troyer Mine. It's an approved mine. So
 there's really very little priority restoration or
 targeted acquisition areas left.
 - Q All right. So the public interest is protected in, according to your exhibit on Page 9, with 1.4.5, and the other policy that you mentioned 32. -- 33.2.4.1, and the public interest is protected. Just summarize that, will you, please.

A So on the bottom of the slide, I quote from the hearing examiner's report on Page 5. She concludes that the property possesses the characteristics and potential to provide significant regional hydrological and wildlife connections. These connections would improve, preserve, and restore regional surface and groundwater resources and indigenous wildlife habitats.

Q Go ahead to Page 10.

A So Policy 33.2.4.2 requires on approval -requires approval as a planned development. As I
stated before, so we're not in the planned development
process. So this is a policy we're contravening or

Page 26

that's being contravened by the settlement agreement.

But you get the same document at the end of the day.

The same exact document that you would have in a planned development, you would have as part of this settlement agreement. And, in fact, almost identical conditions to prior Environmental Enhancement & Preservation Overlay communities are reflected in this document.

So the same physical document with the same development conditions that protect public health, safety, and welfare are all part of this settlement agreement.

You know, the other aspect of the planned development process that -- that people talk about is the, I guess, the public involvement in that process. In this process, there was a lot of public outreach that was conducted. Of course, we had the hearing examiner hearing where anyone can come. They can provide testimony or public comment. There was no time limit on that comment at the hearing examiner hearing.

We had two hearings before the Board of County Commissioners. The developer Cameratta did a mailing to property owners. The same mailing that you would get in the planned development process. So we

Page 27

tracked both the public aspects of the planned development and the substantive documented aspects of the planned development in this settlement agreement.

Q Your slide mentions a date of May 30th.

Would you defer to the record and to the Kingston developer with regard to the date, whether it was the 30th or 31st?

A Yes, I would defer to that.

Q Okay. All right. Next sheet, please. Page 11.

A Yes. So Policy 33.2.4.2(e) requires a recording of the conservation easement for 55 percent of the property. With this settlement agreement, we're proposing 50 percent of the project development property for a total of 3,287 acres. So there's a difference there.

The bottom line, I think, with this is both the onsite restoration activities are very key, but it's also how you can affect the offsite conservation properties that are adjacent to this property. Both of those are very important.

So the sheer size of 3,287 acres is greater than any Environmental Enhancement & Preservation

Overlay community that's come in the past. So none of them have had the ability or the opportunity to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

Page 28

- provide not much contiguous environmental area, but also as we were talking about before, the connection from Lehigh Acres to the CREW lands on the south side of the property allows the ability to within those conservation areas and within the property water management system to enhance the offsite preservation in a way that -- that prior developments have not been able to achieve or just haven't been locationally situated to achieve.
- Q Well, under the existing land use plan, what is the low density ratio that's permitted under the existing land use plan in that area for residential?
- A Under the Density Reduction/Groundwater Resource?
 - O Yes, sir.
- 16 A One unit per 10 acres.
 - Q If that were developed according to the existing plans, then, all this land individually, would this 3,287 acres of contiguous preservation restoration be possible?
 - A No.
- Q And I think you also referred to a conclusion by the hearing examiner --
- MR. MOORE: Which, Your Honor, I believe is Exhibit 15, Pages 6 and 7.

Page 29

BY MR. MOORE:

Q -- regarding the size of the preservation area and the size of the properties involved. Do you agree with her conclusion on that?

A I do, yes.

Q All right. Go ahead with the contravened land use policies on Page 12, please.

A Policy 33.2.4.2(i) requires the elimination of agriculture at the time of first development order. In this settlement agreement, the agriculture will not be removed at the time of first development order, but will be removed in phases.

That's important because this is one of those unintended consequences when you're looking at smaller properties. So for a smaller property, if you're able to do a single-phase restoration and development, say, a thousand acres, 1200 acres, it's possible to do that. If you're only -- if you're developing just less than a thousand acres and restoring less than a thousand acres, you could do that in one phase.

6700 acres is a total different animal, different ball game. You can't develop all in one phase. So if you removed agriculture at the time of the first phase, you have all sorts of problems that would likely arise; soil erosion, exotic infestation.

Page 30

All of the things that we talked about with managing land, you would no longer be -- have control over.

Removing agriculture and just leaving the land fallow and barren is not helpful for anyone, including the environment and the surrounding properties. So having a phased approach is really important.

Now, in doing that, the county's concern and the county's goal was to make sure that each individual phase had a significant water quality and water quantity benefit. And so what they had asked that CCLP and Cameratta and their consultants come up with was a per phase look at what the water quality benefits would be when you remove agriculture and preserve land and develop land with each phase, what the water quality benefits would be and what the water supply benefits would be.

And so that analysis was done, and it was part of this presentation, which is on the next couple of pages. But these are very significant reductions in water withdrawal from the surficial aquifers.

- Q You're referring to Page 13?
- A Page 13, that's correct.
- Q Are these your calculations as a land planner, or did you receive them from a geology or

Page 31

hydrology :	firm?
-------------	-------

- A They were done by a hydrogeologist.
- Q Go ahead.

A So we see in total, we can just look at the totals for a moment, and then if you look at the far right-hand column, that's the per phase reduction in water withdrawal from the aquifer. You see a 77 percent total reduction in projected water withdrawal. And this is in a total estimated of 9.9 million gallons a day.

You know, just to kind of put this in perspective, 9.9 million gallons a day is a water plant for a decent size city. 9.9 million gallons a day is just a tremendous amount of water.

Q And that's the reduction of drawdown of water; is that correct?

A That's the reduction, yeah, yeah. So, and let me just add another note just with my water background. 6.1 million gallons a day is from the sandstone aquifer, which is being entirety eliminated. The sandstone aquifer is a depleted aquifer, and that's an area where we have a need to limit withdrawals. And so having that amount of water kept within the aquifer is of tremendous public benefit.

So you can look at per phase. If you look at

Page 32 1 Phase 1, you see a 78 percent reduction. But all of 2 those run in the range of some reduction that leads 3 you to the average or the overall, it's not an 4 average, it's an overall of 77 percent of a reduction. And that's assuming that the agricultural use 0 6 is removed and replaced with the development proposed? Well, the development and conservation and Α 8 restoration. 9 Okay. 0 So we also see water quality benefits. 10 Α 11 Again, if you look at the last two columns on the 12 right. 13 You on Page 14? 0 14 Α Yes. 15 0 Okay. 16 On Page 14, the last two columns on the Α 17 right, one is the reduction in nitrogen percentage and 18 the next one is the reduction in phosphorus 19 percentage. 20 0 What causes that? 21 Α What causes? 22 What causes the reduction? 0 23 Both the removal of agriculture, that's a big Α 24 part of it, and then also the -- well, two things.

25

There's the restoration property, and then even the

Page 33

development property needs to provide water quality within to make sure that the development itself is not contributing to water quality concerns.

So the state has a -- has a net reduction policy when you do an environmental resource permit that requires that you can't increase any nutrient loading when you're -- when you're designing your storm water system.

- Q In general, if you know, what's the problems as you see as a land planner with the nutrient flow or having it increased or remain the same?
 - A What's the problem with it?
- Q What's the negative result, if any?
- A Yeah, so, I mean, algal blooms. I mean, when you hear about all these algal blooms in the Caloosahatchee Estuary. It's due to phosphorus and nitrogen, and those are the two nutrients of -- of the most concern. It also leads to exotic infestation. If you have too many nutrients, it's a different type of ecological system that grows up climbing on to

So it's -- it's a significant problem, and it's one -- it's the reason why the state looks at these two particular nutrients in its analysis of storm water systems.

those nutrients.

Page 34

Q So would those benefits accrue to the lands to the south of the southern end of the water flow?

A Yeah, those are very important benefits to CREW because these properties have been providing the seed source for those exotics that have been growing in the northern areas of the Corkscrew Swamp Sanctuary. Audubon has done studies on this, but it's that point discharge combined with the nutrients in the water that causes the exotic infestation that's going on there.

Q All right. Continue, if you will, on the contravene land plan policies.

A So Policy 33.2.4.3(c) limits density based on tier priority acquisition. And those were the tiers that we were just talking about before on the future land use maps series. The settlement agreement allows for one and a half units per acre.

When we looked back at why the density was corresponded with the tier priority acquisition area, it was done to phase development over time, to coordinate the development. If you look back at the staff report itself, it says it was done to coordinate development timing with meeting the conservation goals of the county.

So as part of this process, we had to look at

Page 35

infrastructure, and that's what the crop share is for, that's what the north/south connection road is for, putting the infrastructure in place so that it is there at the time of development.

But, again, there's an overarching strategic benefit to this property, as I keep repeating, going from Lehigh Acres all the way to CREW. Having that one contiguous area, we're able to manage the system that provides larger regional benefits that -- that really no other property has been able to provide.

Q All right. Go to Page 16 of your slide then and continue.

A Policies 33.2.4.4(d) and 33.2.5, they both limit commercial development in the southeast Lee County area to 300,000 square feet. Now, the southeast Lee County area is -- is that whole area on the south side of 82, all the way down to south of Corkscrew Road. It's a big planning community within the Lee Plan.

The 300 -- and it's comprised mostly of Density Reduction/Groundwater Resource as a future land use category. The 300,000 square feet was put in place based on the amount of growth that was projected along the Corkscrew Road corridor.

Since that time, both FFD has gone through

Page 36

with the settlement agreement. That's an additional 5200 units, but when you project an extra 10,000 units, that 300,000 square feet is no longer applicable to meet the needs of the residential population.

And the goal here is you don't want all your traffic going west to get basic neighborhood commercial services. You want them -- you want to locate commercial as close as possible to where people live. That diminishes the overall trips that get put on the overall road network because people have to drive a shorter distance to get -- to meet their commercial needs. So you want to have commercial located close.

In this area, most of that commercial is located on State Road 82. 500,000 square feet of that. So the vast majority of that commercial is close to State Road 82, not down on Corkscrew Road. And that provides a secondary benefit because Lehigh Acres has long been known to not have sufficient commercial area.

So that -- that's the -- that's the problem we're trying to avoid is all of the people from Lehigh Acres needing to drive west for most of their commercial needs. We want to keep that population as

Page 37

close as possible to their commercial needs. And providing this both serves the development that will be put in, but it also serves a larger public benefit of Lehigh Acres.

Q The Lehigh Acres problem, as you put it, existed prior to the settlement agreement, right?

A The Lehigh Acres problem has been one the county has been trying to solve for decades, yes.

Q Go to Page 17, please.

A 17, then, Policy 33.2.4.4(e) limits commercial development to neighborhood levels of commercial. In the Lee Plan, neighborhood levels of commercial are defined as 100,000 square feet or less, and the intent of that is to not provide regional attractors. The reason that this is here is really to limit the types of uses so that you don't have -- so you don't have large uses located near the well field. You still want those neighborhood uses in proximity to well field concerns with potential water quality issues.

Two things I note about that is most of those uses that would be regional attractors or all, if I remember correctly, are not part of the schedule of uses. So it's not -- it's not a use issue. The second is there aren't any wells in this area. So

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 38

- it's really not an applicable issue for us here.
 - Q You mean public water supply wells?
- A Yeah, public water supply wells.

The third aspect of this that I think is really important is, again, as I said before, the vast majority of the commercial is up towards Lehigh Acres where you need to have a larger amount of retail use. So even though we contravene that, that policy, there's a larger public benefit that we're trying to address in contravening that policy.

Q Page 18.

A Page 18 was just a summary of the hearing examiner's conclusions. The hearing examiner agreed with us and...

Q You don't need to summarize it. It is set out here, and, of course, the Court has that fully as an exhibit.

But, generally, is it your opinion that the settlement as proposed is consistent with the Lee Plan policies and also consistent with the hearing examiner recommendation?

A It is.

Q And of the contravened policies, the public interest is still served by the settlement agreement; is that correct?

Page 39

_			
А	T t	ls,	ves.
		-~ ,	, – –

Q And then Page 19 is a summary. Is there anything on that that you think is significant to point out to the Court that we have not discussed?

A Nothing that we have not discussed.

Q So what is your conclusion, then, with regard to the public benefits that you've outlined? If there were no settlement and the properties affected were developed as proposed under the current comprehensive plan for non-mining uses, what's the comparison?

A It's a dramatic difference. So under the existing comprehensive plan, you could develop similar to Wildcat Farms developed. I mean, it's -- you just look to your east, there aren't these large contiguous conservation and restoration areas. There aren't water quality benefits. You would still have uncontrolled discharge into the Corkscrew Swamp Sanctuary and the CREW lands to the south. You wouldn't have the ability to create a flow path from Lehigh Acres all the way down to CREW that helps alleviate flooding in the Caloosahatchee watershed.

So there's just a -- and you wouldn't get the water quality treatment that we're placing in both with -- within the development pods and then within the restoration areas.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 40

So none of the benefits that the county is trying to achieve with restoring land you would be able to get under the current Lee Plan.

- Q Under the current Lee Plan, could the property that's subject to the settlement agreement south of Corkscrew Road be mined?
 - A It could.
 - O It could?
- A Yes. It's one of -- mining is one of the uses specifically allowed for in the Density Reduction/Groundwater Resource area.
- Q Could all of these benefits that you've outlined for the Court at the last hearing and then today, could they have been achieved -- or would they be achieved without the addition of this southern parcel to the overall settlement agreement, and by southern parcel, I mean south of Corkscrew Road?

A The southern parcel is key because, as I said, it provides that connection to CREW. So it's not just the southern parcel, it's the parcel on the north side of Corkscrew Road.

So the mining application extended to -south to a mile north of Corkscrew Road. So trying to
figure out how you control the discharges then going
into CREW from the area mile north of Corkscrew Road

1 all the way to that 2,000-plus acres south of 2 Corkscrew Road, you just can't do it. There's too much intervening land, too much active agriculture or 3 4 other potential future uses. 5 There's -- it seems to negate the point of 6 providing water quality if the intervening land use is 7 agriculture, and you're just putting that water -that cleaner water back into an ag ditch that would 8 9 flow and do a point discharge into an environmental 10 It defeats the purpose, or negates some of the 11 purpose, rather. 12 All right. Your Honor, can I take MR. MOORE: 13 a second and consult with counsel? Absolutely. 14 THE COURT: 15 MR. MOORE: Your Honor, that's all we have for Mr. DeLisi. 16 17 I don't know if Mr. Bartlett or Mr. Grosso 18 have any questions. None, Your Honor. 19 MR. BARTLETT: 20 Your Honor, I do. Could I have MR. GROSSO: 21 five minutes? 22 THE COURT: Sure. 23 MR. GROSSO: May I, please? 24 THE COURT: Brief recess. 25 MR. GROSSO: Thank you, Your Honor.

1	(Recess taken from 10:12 a.m. to 10:20 a.m.)
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. GROSSO:
4	Q Good morning, Mr. DeLisi. So when we compare
5	the amount of residential development that the
6	settlement agreement would authorize to what the
7	amount of residential development that could be
8	happening under the current comprehensive plan rules,
9	the difference is a 15 times increase in residential
LO	density; is that right?
L1	A Give or take, yes.
L2	Q Were you involved in the appraisal process at
L3	all?
L4	A I was not.
L5	Q In general, a planner such as yourself would
L6	understand that one of the purposes of the current
L7	comprehensive plan limits on development in the DR/GR
L8	is to protect wildlife from the impacts of urban
L9	development, correct?
20	A That's one of them, yes.
21	Q And the natural areas, Corkscrew Swamp, the
22	other natural areas that are in the vicinity of this
23	project, they're considered part of the western
24	Everglades ecosystem, right?
25	A Well, I don't know if they're defined as the

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- western Everglades, honestly, but they are an important ecosystem on the west coast.
- Q And these areas are known habitat for the Florida panther, correct?
 - A That's correct.
- Q And it's one of the most critically endangered species in this country, right?
- A Yes. And I'll note that panther habitat areas, I mean, stretches throughout eastern Lee County. It's not just CREW or the DR/GR.
- Q But it is a basic understanding of Florida panther science that they do not have enough land available to them right now to sustain themselves in perpetuity, correct?
- A So I'm not sure. Look, I'm not a wildlife biologist. I'm not sure I agree with that. I know the populations are up. There's a lot of science that's out there that talks about panther mortality based on other panthers, but I'm not an expert in panthers.
- Q There's no excess of panther habitat available in southwest Florida, is there?
- A That's not something that I would be able to answer. Certainly it's something I might debate, but I'm not an expert in that.

1	Q Panthers are very shy of human activity,
2	right?
3	A I can't answer whether what their
4	reactions are.
5	Q Okay. So then, to be clear, you're not the
6	person who's been able to explain to the judge that
7	the development allowed by the settlement agreement is
8	compatible with the continued existence of Florida
9	panther. That's not within your area of expertise?
10	A No. I will say that 10-acre lots spread out
11	is not going to be a pro-panther landscape type of use
12	either. I think that was the comparison.
13	Q One of the things that panther and other
14	wildlife don't like is a lot of noise from human
15	activity, right?
16	A Again, I can't comment on what panthers like
17	or don't like.
18	Q Okay. Is there anything about the current
19	Lee County Comprehensive Plan restrictions on
20	development on this property that are, you know,
21	arbitrary, just don't make any sense?
22	A You mean the one unit per 10 acres or
23	Q Yeah, start with that. That's a valid
24	there are valid planning reasons for that restriction,
25	right 2

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A So, in my opinion, no. I don't think so.

 That was put in place based on a settlement agreement back in 1989. A lot has happened since 1989.
- Q But that's the current comprehensive plan that, as you and I speak, is deemed in compliance with Florida's planning law, correct?
 - A It is, yes.
- Q When Judge Fuller ordered the county to consider a rezoning application under the 2007 rules, were you involved in that process then?
 - A I was.
- Q Okay. And has the county made a decision on what could be allowed under the 2007 rules as Judge Fuller ordered?
- A Well, currently that's -- that case, as I understand it, is on hold pending the outcome of this settlement, so we're waiting.
- Q Okay. So as we're sitting here today, that process that Judge Fuller ordered of the applicant applying under the 2007 rules, then the county making a decision on that application based on reasonable conditions, that has never happened, right?
- A So Judge Fuller had three rulings. One was in, I think, 2010, and then two were -- actually, there were two from back then, and then there were

	Page 46		
1	rulings more recently, the latest of which requires us		
2	to sit down with the county and come up with		
3	conditions for approval of a mine based on mining		
4	approvals from the 2007 time frame.		
5	Q But that hasn't happened?		
6	A That hasn't happened yet.		
7	Q Instead, the landowner and the county reached		
8	the settlement that we're debating about here today,		
9	right?		
10	A That's correct.		
11	Q Are you familiar with the appraisal that was		
12	done for this property?		
13	A I've seen it.		
14	Q Now, did it not did it not determine that		
15	the highest and best use of the property was for		
16	non-citrus agriculture?		
17	A That, I'm not aware of.		
18	Q You defer to whatever it says in the		
19	appraisal, right?		
20	A Yeah. I didn't read it that carefully.		
21	Q And when the settlement was brought before		
22	the various public forums in Lee County, was it		
23	basically the same settlement that Judge Shenko is		
24	going to be reviewing in this case?		
25	A Yes.		

1	Q And when that settlement was put out for
2	public comment, it's accurate to say there was
3	substantial public opposition to this, right?
4	A I wouldn't say that. I would say there was
5	substantial public opposition to the mine. That, I
6	remember very clearly. When we went to the hearing
7	examiner's hearing, there were a lot of people for and
8	there were some people against. When we went to the
9	board hearing, there were it was split. There were
10	a lot of people for and a lot of people against.
11	Q So you would say there was not substantial
12	public opposition to the development that would be
13	allowed by this settlement agreement?
14	A I definitely would not say that, no.
15	Q Now, as a result of the public comment and
16	input, there were no changes made to the settlement
17	agreement, correct?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q The CREW property and can you see CREW on
20	the big blowup of your exhibit there?
21	A Yes, I can see that.
22	Q CREW is Corkscrew can you point out to the
23	judge which the CREW parcel is?
24	A So CREW, just so we're clear, is all of this

area.

25

Corkscrew Swamp Sanctuary is the Audubon

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- homeland. Right here. That's part of CREW, the CREW footprint.
- Q And Audubon, who owns CREW, they opposed this settlement agreement, right?
 - A I don't believe so.
- Q Are you sure about that?
- A I haven't heard that they have.
 - Q You have not heard that they've supported it, right?
- 10 A They did not come to any of the hearings.

 11 They were the applicant or the -- the developer met

 12 with them, and so I would fully -- I've worked with

 13 Audubon for years, and, typically, when they oppose

 14 something when you've met with them, they will let you

 15 know beforehand.
 - Q But you're not saying that's what happened here. You're not saying they supported it, because you don't know?
 - A I haven't heard that they've come out in support, and I haven't heard that they've come out in opposition.
 - Q The development that -- the amount of development that would be allowed under the settlement agreement, is it basically the same as that which was approved for the Verdana Village project that we see

Page 49 1 on your map? 2 So Verdana Village is this property. Α 3 0 Right. 4 I'm sorry. What's your question? Α My question is: 5 0 Is the amount of 6 development, the density and intensity of development 7 that's allowed under this settlement agreement 8 essentially the same as what was allowed for the 9 Verdana Village project? This is a little over one unit 10 It's close. Α 11 an acre. I think it's 1.15, and this is 1.5. 12 So it's higher density on this 0 Okay. 13 project? 14 Α It's higher. 15 0 And what about The Place development? 16 Α The Place up here is one unit an acre. 17 One unit an acre. So the development 0 18 proposed here under this settlement agreement is more dense and intense than that approved for The Place and 19 20 the Verdana Village project, right? 21 Α Yes. That's correct. 22 And when those projects were approved, were 0 23 they in full compliance with the Lee County 24 Comprehensive Plan? 25 Α They were approved under the framework of the

Page 50

overlay and were deemed in compliance with the comprehensive plan.

Q So for those projects there wasn't some list of comprehensive plan deviations. They instead were in full compliance with everything in the plan, right?

A That was not part of the settlement agreement. So they were in a different process under a different section of statute.

Q The amount of development approved for The Place and Verdana Village was not determined based on some analysis that that was the minimum amount of development those landowners needed in order to have their Harris Act property rights protected, right?

A Well, they didn't have a filed Bert Harris claim, so there wouldn't have been that analysis.

Q And in your many years of representing developers, the amount of development that they typically receive approval for is in excess of what the minimum requirement would be to protect their property rights, correct?

A If I understand your question correctly, so the amount of development rights that they receive oftentimes is less than they actually build out at the end of the day. And there are reasons for that but -- and very good reasons for that, but I'm not sure if

Page 51

I'm addressing your question or not.

Q I guess you understand there's a difference between the amount of development right needed to protect my property rights versus the amount of development needed to meet my full, you know, market expectation, I guess?

A So let me just say that it's rare that we're in this context where we're trying to look at the amount of development I want because that's what I believe I should get, and, you know, the amount of development to offset a property rights case that is in the process. And so, you know, under your typical comprehensive plan amendment or rezoning application, you're not really looking at that type of analysis.

In this case, an actual valuable -- and in my opinion, the highest and best use of the property was taken away, and so then the negotiation is a little different. It's what can you do to offset what was removed from a property, and that's where that analysis comes in on the property rights.

Q The Place and the Verdana Village, were they in a different future land use category than the property we're talking about today?

- A Same future land use category.
- Q They had different -- they had different

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 52

compliance with the plan because of the unique characteristics of their property, correct?

A They were found in compliance because of what they eventually proposed on the site, the restoration.

Q There are legitimate water quality and other environmental reasons for limiting mining, are there not?

A So, look, from my perspective, I think, and I testified to this during the mining hearing, that the water quality and environmental aspects you can incorporate into a mining application, and I think we did. And, in fact, I think that you can create a mine that -- that doesn't harm water quality, but unfortunately, that's not on the table right now.

Q Okay. And that depends on the specific conditions that would attach to that mining approval, right?

A That's correct.

Q Okay. And in this case, we've never gotten to that point of doing the analysis of what reasonable conditions on mining could make mining on this property acceptable, right?

A Well, we're not going to because in 2007, those types of conditions weren't placed on mines. So the mine that would get approved wouldn't be a mine

Page 53

that would look at extra water quality filtration or additional littoral shelves. It would be based on mining approvals in 2007.

Q And that's exactly what Judge Fuller ordered would happen.

A That's correct.

Q Okay. But it hasn't happened, not done that exercise of seeing what reasonable rules could be put on mining under the 2007 requirements, correct?

A Well, it's not under the 2007 requirements. It's under -- based on similar approvals in 2007. So if you look at the zoning resolutions from pre-2007, it would essentially mirror those, and so 150-foot setbacks. I mean, the Youngquist Mine to the residential to the south at the time had a 150-foot setback. That's the type of thing we would be looking at. There were lesser requirements for the littoral plantings when you do your restoration plan for your mine, your reclamation plan.

So you wouldn't be implementing the newer rules that require more littoral plantings for mines. You would be implementing the older rules that would have less littoral plantings.

Q The current situation on the ground at this property, is the current owner currently violating any

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

- A Not that I know of.
- Q Is the current owner pumping more water out of the aquifer than the water management district determined was sustainable?
 - A I can't imagine that they are.
- Q The water use figures -- the water use figures that were used to determine that there would be a water use benefit by converting from farming to development, those were based on maximum approved quantities, right?
- 12 A I'm not sure if they were based on maximum
 13 approved or actual pumping data.
- Q Okay. The settlement touts some of its open space and landscaping provisions as part of the reason it would be deemed in the public interest, right?
- 17 A That's right.
 - Q And so residential lawns, right, they are generally understood to be sources of pollution, correct?
 - A If you overfertilize, yeah.
- Q And that's kind of a common practice in Florida, overfertilizing our St. Augustine grass lawns, isn't it?
- 25 A We do have a fertilizer ordinance in Lee

County	
--------	--

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q And St. Augustine grass lawns also tend to collect, shall we say, pet waste, right?
 - A People don't pick up, that's what happens.
- Q And when people build homes, then, like they might under this project, they frequently plant exotic plants as part of their outside landscaping, correct?
 - A Yes, that can happen.
- Q And the seeds and the berries from those exotic plants get carried off by birds and other wildlife and subsequently deposited in wild, natural areas, correct?
- A You know, I've heard that discussed before, but, again, I'm not a wildlife biologist.
- Q And you wouldn't agree that a land use planner would assume that that's a common impact that comes from residential development?
- A You know, I -- honestly, it's not something I have looked at a lot, the correlation between the individual residential landowner planting an exotic and what that -- you know, what kind of impact from a bird, you know, picking a seed, and then...
- Q Did you do a development-wide impact analysis of that problem?
- 25 A Not on birds eating berries from exotic

plants.

Q So there hasn't been, as far as you understand it, any analysis performed of the secondary development impacts from urban development that would result from this project, has there?

A Well, there has been. I mean, so when you -- when the water quality -- when the nutrient --

Q I'm sorry. I should have qualified my question. I'm talking about wildlife in a natural area, not water quality impacts.

A So a lot of that is addressed in the conditions of development. So what we did and what the county has done in prior planned developments in this area, we look at the human-wildlife coexistence plan. That's part of what we need to do. So when you talk about interaction with black bears or panthers, that's the type of stuff that's contained in the human-wildlife coexistence plan. And it's to minimize any impact of residential on wildlife that would be in the area.

Q But it's minimize, not prevent?

A Those -- I mean, Wildcat Farms at one unit per 10 acres isn't preventing impacts to wildlife.

There's no silver bullet on 100 percent prevention no matter what the land use is, whether it's mining,

Page 57

whether it's residential at one unit per 10 acres, or whether it's this community where you at least have large contiguous areas of conservation.

Q Now, when we talk about the other aspect of this plan, the open space requirements, residential lawns that will be, you know, planted in this development, they count towards the open space percentage figures?

A There is an amount they can count up to. I don't believe in this case that that's what we're looking at. So the lakes, there are buffer areas, there's other onsite green areas within the development. Those are the open space areas. It's not individual lawns.

Q Okay. So you're saying that when we look at the fine print, residential lawns do not count towards open space?

A In this case, that's not going to be what's used to get up to the 4,002 acres. If you look at the land development code, technically you can. So based on land development code definition, when this is all said and done, you're going to have more than 4,002 acres because you -- theoretically, you could count the lawns, but that's not what the -- what the calculation for this one has been based on.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

- Page 58 Q When you refer to lakes that are going to be on the property, what you're referring to are the storm water pollution ponds, correct? The storm water retention ponds, yes. Α 0
- And those, for the judge's benefit, those are not natural lakes. They are pits designed to hold the polluted storm water off of the development that would be approved, correct?

Well, they're lakes that clean up the storm Α water so that you have a net improvement. language in the statute in Chapter 163 is that there has to be a net water quality improvement, and you do that through designing your storm water system, which includes those lakes and the plantings around the lakes to filter the nutrients.

- But those lakes are managed for the purpose 0 of storing and cleansing polluted water. They're not managed for ecosystem benefit as a lake, right?
 - Α Yeah, that's correct.
- The restoration that could happen as a result of this development, now, Lee County has a public land acquisition program, doesn't it?
- 23 Α It does.
 - It's called Conservation 2020? 0
- 25 Α Yes.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q And that program makes taxpayer dollars available to buy environmentally sensitive land from private landowners, correct?
 - A It does, yes.
- Q The idea of putting this development where it is, and the notion that it might reduce the number of vehicular trips by cars, can you tell me what percentage of the vehicular trips that will be generated by this development will be captured internally on site to this project.
 - A I can't tell you that. I don't know.
 - Q Was that analysis ever done by anybody?
- A There was a traffic analysis done. To be clear, no one has said that putting in this number of units will reduce the number of trips on the road, but what you are doing is, one, mitigating for those impacts of the trips through expanding the roadway network; two, creating a greater roadway network with the connection from 82 to Corkscrew Road; and three, internalizing as much of that as possible by allowing for commercial uses.
- Q Right, but we don't have any actual figure on how much of that traffic will be internalized, right?
- A We don't.
 - Q And the net result of the development that

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- would be approved will, in fact, increase the amount of traffic using the roads that will be served by that development, right?
- A It will increase the amount of traffic and increase the amount of road infrastructure.
- Q And the amount of commercial development that is approved by the settlement agreement follows from the fact that you're approving 10,000 homes under the settlement agreement, right?
- A It follows from that and the need for additional commercial in Lehigh Acres that already exists.
- Q So the Lehigh Acres project, can you point out to the judge where that is on your map?
 - A Yeah, so Lehigh Acres is all of this area extending off into the back wall.
- Q And there's just one chunk of Lehigh Acres that abuts this property, right? Can you show us where that is?
- A Well, two chunks. I mean, there's all of this on the north.
- 22 Q But that's across the highway.
- A Across State Road 82, and then there's a little bit here.
- 25 Q And the development pattern existing on

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 61

Lehigh Acres, it's accurate to say that, you know, that happened well before the adoption of the modern Lee County Comprehensive Plan, right?

A It did, yes.

Q And it's also safe to say that one of the purposes of the current Lee County Comprehensive Plan is to prevent projects like Lehigh Acres from being built anymore in that area, correct?

A It is, yes.

Q Now, the 2,000-acre per home traffic impact fee that the developer's agreeing to pay here, I mean, is that consistent with what the going rate is today for developers paying traffic impact fees?

A So the \$2,000 per home is in addition to impact fees. So there's the impact fee that's paid by every homeowner countywide based on offsetting their impacts, and then for this project, there's an additional \$2,000 per home on top of that.

Q But state law right now actually requires that Lee County give the developer a credit for that 2,000 acre -- \$2,000 payment as against the impact fees, right?

A If it goes to the same thing that the impact fee is going to offset. But the key here is that to the extent that the dollar amount of the impact fee

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- assessed is less than the dollar amount that offsets the impact, you can make up that difference through this proportionate share payment, because it's not necessarily offsetting the same -- the same thing that the impact fee is offsetting.
- Q But they're both offsetting the need to add additional roadway capacity, right?
- A Exactly. One is specific to Corkscrew Road, and one is the transportation network in its totality.
- Q Is \$2,000 per home consistent with the current fair market going rate for what other developers pay today?
- A Well, other developers don't pay anything. So this is paying for an additional 2,000.
- Q But all developers are required to pay transportation impact fees, right?
 - A That's correct, and so is this developer.

 But the \$2,000 is on top of what everyone else pays.
 - Q But, again, it's accurate that under current state law, the county will have to deduct from the future impact fees the \$2,000 per home that is being paid under this agreement?
 - A So I'm familiar with the law. I'm familiar with exactions. I'm familiar with impact fees. In my reading of that -- I'm not an attorney -- that is not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 63

the case in this case based on how the impact fees are structured and how this proportionate share is also structured.

Q The Dover-Kohl study that has been referred to, that study did not call for development such as being -- as being approved by the settlement agreement, did it?

A It did not.

MR. GROSSO: So may I approach the...

THE COURT: The board? Sure. You may, yes.

BY MR. GROSSO:

Q If I own property here in this area I'm pointing to, I'm going to -- that's going to impact me if this project gets approved under this settlement agreement. I will see a drastic change in the surrounding lands, correct?

A Maybe. You know, so as a homeowner, I see an impact when my neighbor's kid gets in their pool and starts screaming, right? I don't -- I don't necessarily know that that property given the site plan or any property within there is going to see much of an impact at all.

I mean, on their roads and Wildcat Farms, I don't think people are driving on those dirt roads when they have easier access to State Road 82 and

1	Corkscrew Road. I mean, I'm not sure from a
2	day-to-day perspective when you're sitting in your
3	house if you're going to have any changed impact.
4	Q And same thing when I get off the couch and
5	I'm not sitting in my house and I'm wandering around
6	my yard, I'm not going to notice the difference
7	between what's there now and 10,000 homes?
8	A It depends on how close you live. You know,
9	I met with a lot of people while the mining
10	application was going on, and people can hear the ag
11	pumps today. And so when that gets turned on
12	turned off, you won't be able to hear the ag pumps
13	anymore.
14	There will be a change in land use, and
15	depending on how far away you live, you may have
16	you may have an impact, but that very well could be a
17	very positive impact.
18	MR. GROSSO: Your Honor, may I have a moment?
19	THE COURT: You may.
20	BY MR. GROSSO:
21	Q So Mr. DeLisi, just to revisit this question.
22	If I currently live really close to this site, there
23	are not anywhere near 10,000 homes on this property.
24	There's there's no homes on this property, right?

Α

25

That's correct.

1	Q And there are no there's no commercial
2	development there now, right?
3	A That's correct, yeah.
4	Q And after the settlement agreement is
5	approved, there will be over 700,000 square feet of
6	commercial development?
7	A So 500 close to 82 on the north side and well
8	distant from any surrounding property owner, and then
9	150,000 square feet closer to Corkscrew Road, again,
10	well distant from any property owner.
11	Q The population that will live on this
12	property is going to be, like, more than 20,000 people
13	at total build-out, correct?
14	A Depending on what the persons per household
15	is, but 10,000 units.
16	Q We typically assume as planners in Florida
17	how many people per unit?
18	A Two. I need to check the census data to come
19	up with population, but
20	Q So at least 20,000 people, right?
21	A Yeah. I mean, for your point of argument,
22	we'll go with 20,000, sure.
23	Q I mean, that's bigger than Marco Island.
24	More population than Marco Island, right?
25	A I don't know the population of Marco Island.

Page 66

- Q You know it's greater population than lives in all of Belle Glade, right?
 - A Venture to guess, probably.
- Q I mean, this project will be the size of a small city, correct?

A So, I mean, it's bigger than -- it would be bigger than the City of LaBelle, but it would also have a lot more conservation area than you see anywhere around there. So when you look at impacts that surround property owners, impacts would be negligible on a day-to-day basis based on those huge areas of distance that you would see.

So it's not like you're moving in right next to Marco Island, which is scraped. I mean, Marco Island there's not 3200 acres of preserve on Marco Island where -- you know, that surrounds the island and buffers you from it. So it's not really a fair like-to-like comparison.

- Q When you talk about preserved land, that land is already there now, correct?
- A Not inactive agriculture. A lot of it is inactive agriculture.
- Q But you've told us today that that active agriculture is not violating any water quality standards, right?

Page 67 No, but it's still actively used. 1 Α So, I 2 mean, there are people on it, there are trucks, there's activity, there are pumps going on. 3 4 But that is generally the lifestyle and 0 5 surrounding land uses that people who have made their 6 homes out here knew they were getting when they built 7 their homes out here, correct? 8 Well, so is a mine. That's true. Α I mean, 9 agricultural areas have trucks, they have active, you 10 know, things going on, diesel pumps constantly going, 11 and they have mines. 12 Every time a mine is approved by the county, 0 13 it is approved with permit conditions that the county 14 has determined will make it compatible with the 15 surrounding neighbors, correct? 16 Α That's what I believe. 17 MR. GROSSO: Your Honor, that's all I have. 18 Thank you. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Moore? 20 MR. MOORE: Thank you. 21 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. MOORE: 23 Just so I'm sure, this exhibit that 0 Mr. Grosso was referring to, this is a different one 24

than we had up, isn't it?

25

Page 68

- A Might be on the back.
- Q What's this area immediately north on -- of our property, of Corkscrew Road property on State Road
- 4 | 82? What's that area?
 - A Lehigh Acres.
- Q What's the density of Lehigh Acres compared to the proposed density of the settlement agreement?
- 8 A The average density of the future land use 9 map. Six units per acre.
- 10 Q Versus what's the density of the proposed 11 settlement agreement?
- 12 A 1.5.
- Q And State Road 82, is that a minor road, or is that a major arterial?
- 15 A It's a state road. It's on the strategic 16 intermodal system, so it's a major arterial.
- 17 Q You used the term environmentally sensitive
 18 land describing this area. What policy, land use
 19 regulation, any kind of law that you know of that has
 20 designated the area in which the subject is as
 21 environmentally sensitive?
 - A No, there's none.
- Q In fact, immediately to the north of our subject, what land use was approved by Lee County?
- 25 A So there's Bell Road Mine.

22

	Page 69
1	Q Bell Road Mine?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And how about to the left?
4	A Troyer Mine.
5	Q Was that approved anciently, or was that
6	approved in the last few years?
7	A It was approved at the same time that this
8	application was moving through the process.
9	Q And do you know where the Westwind or Titan
10	Mine is?
11	A Yeah, that's contiguous on the south side of
12	the initial mining property on the north side of
13	Corkscrew Road.
14	Q Do you know if blasting is permitted in the
15	Troyer Mine?
16	A It is.
17	Q Do you know if the Westwind or Titan Mine if
18	blasting is permitted?
19	A It is.
20	Q Do you know if they use dump trucks?
21	A They do.
22	Q Now, Mr. Grosso asked you about what a
23	landowner of Wildcat Farms would experience in the
24	settlement agreement as opposed to the current
25	situation. Would you turn to Exhibit 7?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 70

What is Exhibit 7, if you know?

- A Exhibit 7 is the hearing examiner's report for the old Corkscrew Plantation industrial planned development application. That was the mining application that went through the process and was denied.
- Q All right. And that was in April of 2019, is that correct, or at least that's the recommendation? The hearing dates are on the first page there. I think there were seven different hearing dates; is that correct?
- A That's correct.
- Q All right. And the neighbors got a chance to testify at that hearing on a proposed mine at the subject property, didn't they?
 - A They did.
- Q All right. And let me ask you to -- in terms of what a current owner would experience out there in terms of listening to the birds and enjoying the environment as opposed to this situation of the settlement agreement, would you look on Page 27?
 - A Page 27.
- Q The hearing -- have you read this hearing examiner recommendation?
- 25 A I did.

	CORROGION CIOVO V	5. Till Gadge Gallios Chorine
1	Q	Page 71 You actually testified at the hearing, didn't
2	you?	
3	A	I did.
4	Q	All right. And the hearing examiner took
5	some pair	ns to discuss the negative effects of a mining
6	use, did	she?
7	A	She did.
8	Q	And some of those had to do with noise from
9	blasting	; is that correct?
10	A	That's correct.
11	Q	And noise from trucks; is that right?
12	A	Yes, that's correct.
13	Q	And noise from rock crushing activities; is
14	that righ	nt?
15	A	That's correct.
16	Q	Would you look at the footnotes that the
17	Court re	ferred to when she was describing her
18	conclusio	ons with regard to those effects and
19	referenc	ing testimony of the neighbors who came out.
20		For example, let's take Footnote 196. You
21	see some	testimony there referring to Mr. Kevin Hill
22	or Ken H	ill, stated the screech, pop, creak, and clank
23	of dragl:	ine excavators from their homes 35 to 40,000
24	feet from	m Titan Mine.

Α

25

That must be a typo.

I don't recall a Ken

4

8

9

10

11

22

23

24

25

- Hill, but I believe that was Kevin Hill.
- Q It also lists Kevin Hill right after that; is that correct?
 - A That's correct.
- 5 Q Is Mr. Hill one of the intervenors in this 6 case?
 - ' A Heis.
 - Q And how about Footnote 197 in terms of the current conditions out there. This is because of the activity of the Titan or Westwind Mine; is that correct?
- 12 A That's correct.
- Q All right. And did Mr. Hill indicate that
 alarms from back-up trucks and equipment were audible
 from 4,000 feet due to that mine?
- 16 A Yes, I believe I see that.
- Q Okay. And similar to Footnote 198, did

 Mr. Hill also indicate there were issues from back-up

 alarms and vehicles audible at 4,000 feet where his

 property was located?
- 21 A That's correct.
 - Q And on Page 29 of Exhibit 7, Footnote 207, is there testimony from Mr. Hill and others from Wildcat Farms residents relating to the blasting intensities that were heard at least a mile from the Titan Mine?

Page 73

1	A That's correct, and apparently ripples in the
2	pool water at 13,500 feet.
3	Q In fact, if one reads the hearing examiner's
4	report, one can get a full dose of the vibration
5	issues, the truck traffic issues, the noise issues,
6	basically compatibility issues that the hearing
7	examiner found with a mine at that site which had been
8	allowed by the Lee Plan; is that correct?
9	MR. GROSSO: Objection. Leading question,
10	Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Sustained.
12	BY MR. MOORE:
13	Q Did the hearing examiner detail in her
14	recommendation the effects from a mining use that had
15	been allowed by the Lee Plan?
16	A The hearing examiner detailed the tremendous
17	public opposition to the mine and what the residents
18	said that they felt were the impacts of some of the
19	existing mines.
20	Q How would you compare those existing alleged
21	impacts with the impacts of a development such as
22	what's envisioned in this current settlement
23	agreement?
24	MR. GROSSO: Objection, Your Honor. I don't

25

believe a predicate has been laid for this.

I don't

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 74

know based on what area of expertise the lawyer is asking that question.

MR. MOORE: Counsel had no problem asking him that question in direct examination, and now I'm responding to that in cross-examination.

THE COURT: Overrule the objection. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: So from a compatibility standpoint, the mines that were approved that -that residents had been testifying about from, they were all pre-2007 mines, they had -- I heard a lot There were a lot of people in of testimony. opposition to that mine hearing, and I sat there for literally, I think, a couple of days just listening to the parade of horribles of the mine, blasting, which we're not going to have blasting in this development, no blasting. Certainly since you're not mining, it's not continuous blasting over a period of 30 years. There's no blasting. We don't have rock crushers, no mobile rock crushers.

There's no -- there was testimony about the drag lines and how you could hear the clanking of the chains hitting the buckets from over a mile. I think there was a recording played in the hearing about that, and the hum of the diesel engine of the

Page 75

drag lines. We won't have drag lines in the construction activities. We're not excavating. So we're not -- we don't have these massive -- massive equipments that, you know, have these humming diesel engines that you can hear from a mile away.

Then there's the back-up alarms that the hearing examiner detailed. You know, there will be some delivery trucks in the commercial area, but compared to big dump trucks all over the site, spread out, picking up where the rock piles are, where the rock crushed piles are and then back-up alarms that -- that the hearing examiner was detailing, of course, none of that would exist within this development.

BY MR. MOORE:

Q Now, Mr. Grosso asked you about the water use of the existing agricultural use and whether that was -- had met the requirements of the water management district; is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q And he also made a comment about, or in leading into his question about you and your history of representing developers.

Is that all you do is represent developers, your background?

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 76

A No. It's a mix. On the planning side, it's mostly all developers. Some exceptions from time to time, but mostly developers. On the water, I do water policy consulting and lobbying so, and that's almost entirely local government.

Q On behalf of local government?

A On behalf of local governments I try and implement water projects, try and get funding for water restoration activities, try and help them understand policy at a state and federal level, and how we can both influence them, how it impacts them, and then how we can get rules written in a way that meets their interest of environmental restoration.

Q And for a good period of time, you actually worked for the water management district, did you not?

A I did.

Q In what capacity?

A I was the chief of staff.

Q So because the -- a particular agriculture use met the standards of the South Florida Water Management District, does that mean that there is no negative effect to draw down from the aquifer that you were speaking of during your direct examination?

A It doesn't mean that. You can meet all of the rules and regulations in effect as an agricultural

Page 77

operation. I mean, so the rules and regulations are set up so that we can have agriculture in the state. That doesn't mean that by its nature, there aren't impacts to the environment of agricultural activity.

So the manipulation of underground water levels is certainly one impact that you see. The drawdown of water levels in order to keep roots dry, which, of course, causes offsite impacts if you're pumping that water off site, in this case the point discharge location especially, and also the nutrients that need to be applied for healthy farms.

Now, that's regulated, and it's -- and you can meet all of your requirements, but at the end of the day, nutrient application is a big part of anything, whether it's a lawn or whether it's an agricultural operation that also needs those nutrients to produce their crops.

Q And under the proposed settlement agreement, is the water quality, the result better or worse than under permitted water quality issues for existing agriculture?

A There's just a tremendous drop in the nutrient loading under the proposed settlement.

Q And is that better or worse for the environment from your perspective as a land planner?

Page 78

- A Much better.
- Q And you used the term invasive species. What do you mean by that?

A Well, invasive exotics are what we're most concerned with, and those are species that are not native and take over an area. So, like, melaleuca, for example, will choke out indigenous vegetation communities and choke out wildlife when it expands across the landscape.

Q And under the proposed settlement, if the water quality is improved and the restoration goes forward as planned, what effect will that have on invasive species?

A It will have a positive effect certainly at the discharge points.

Q By positive, what do you mean?

A So some of the invasives that you -- or some of the invasives and exotics that you see in the Corkscrew Swamp Sanctuary and the CREW lands to the south of the project won't -- will go away, hopefully, over time, because they won't have that elevated nutrient source.

Q Counsel also mentioned Verdana Village and The Place. Did either of those developments as approved contain close to 3200 acres of restoration

	Page 79
1	land?
2	A No.
3	Q Verdana Village actually went one mile beyond
4	the required overlay, did it not?
5	MR. GROSSO: Objection. Leading.
6	THE COURT: Sustained.
7	BY MR. MOORE:
8	Q Did Verdana Village meet the overlay
9	requirements with regard to distance from Corkscrew?
10	A So I processed that initial amendment to the
11	comprehensive plan, and we had to do an amendment to
12	those requirements to do two things: One is, again,
13	phase out agriculture, and two is to extend the
14	overlay distance so that you can have the development
15	go two miles south of Corkscrew Road.
16	Q So the plan had to be amended to allow that?
17	A It did.
18	Q Now, counsel discussed with you about
19	comparing the total build-out, ultimate build-out on
20	the subject property if the settlement is approved
21	with Belle Glade and Marco Island, I believe.
22	In your role in preparing for this testimony,
23	did you see your role as comparing this settlement
24	with other areas of the county, or did you see it more
25	to discussing consistency with the Lee Plan and what

Page 80

policies were contravened and if there was a public interest that was satisfied by the contravention of those policies?

A Yeah, it was the latter, to look at making sure the public interest was protected despite any contravention of Lee Plan policies.

Q Counsel had mentioned early in his cross-examination about the panther habitat. Under the settlement agreement, is there more or less panther habitat if 6,000 acres were mined north and south of Corkscrew Road on the subject property as compared with the settlement?

A So compared to a mining application, there's a lot less habitat for all wildlife.

Q And how about if one were developed to one unit per 10-acres throughout that 6,000 acres?

A So one unit per 10 acres, there's a lot of open area. I don't think that's good for anything, wildlife or anyone else. You have a lot of conflicts between people and wildlife at 10-acre lots. You don't have a human-wildlife coexistence plan that has to be in place where you educate people on bear-proof containers. So there's -- I wouldn't consider one unit per 10 acres habitat of any form.

Q Counsel had also mentioned about panthers

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 81

that don't like noise, assuming that he was talking about from the development Kingston has proposed.

Under that proposal, is there more or less noise for a mining use than the settlement agreement?

MR. GROSSO: I'll object. On

cross-examination the witness was unable to answer that question about the amount of noise generated by the residential development, so how could he have a basis to answer this question?

MR. MOORE: I don't think he said he couldn't say whether it was more or less noise compared to --

THE COURT: Overrule the objection. You may answer, Mr. DeLisi.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?

16 BY MR. MOORE:

Q Sure. Is there more or less noise to the area, let's say the habitat in general, than -- for a mining use than for the proposed settlement agreement?

A Well, just based on the hearing examiner's report, all the testimony from the residents about the noise from blasting, the, you know, clanks of drag line, the hum of a drag line that you can hear from a mile away, the back-up sounds from dump trucks, I mean, it -- from their testimony, it was persistent,

Page 82 1 ongoing, and constant, and that's a lot of noise to 2 me. Counsel also asked you about some prior 3 4 rulings of the Court related to mining use. Do you 5 remember that? 6 Α Vaquely. Sorry. It was just --0 It was an hour ago. 8 -- 10 minutes ago, yeah. Α 9 Looking at Exhibit 6 in the book, you said, I 10 believe, in your testimony that you were involved in 11 the mining application back in 2008 to 2010 era; is 12 that correct? 13 That's correct. Α 14 0 And that's the old Corkscrew Plantation? 15 Α Yes. 16 And just not asking you to give a legal 0 17 opinion, but just as a result of that ruling by Judge 18 Fuller, was the county required to process the owner's application for mining approval under the laws as of 19 20 September 17th, 2007? 21 Α It was. 22 And those laws would -- would those laws have 0 23 permitted mining? 24 Α Yes. 25 0 All right. And counsel asked you about

	Corkscrew Grove vs	s. Hill Judge James Shenko	11/08/2022
1	whether	that was still an open question. You kno	Page 83
2	that rul:	ing was appealed and affirmed?	
3	А	That's correct.	
4	Q	Okay. With regard to the Bert Harris cl	aims
5	and other	s settlements, were you involved in the F	'FD
6	settlemen	nt?	
7	A	I was.	
8	Q	And what were the densities, do you reme	mber,
9	that were	e approved there?	
10	A	One unit an acre.	
11	Q	All right. And were there contravened	
12	policies	?	
13	А	There were.	
14	Q	And were those policies do you have a	.ny
15	do you re	emember how many residents or how many un	its
16	would hav	ve been approved under that total?	
17	А	5,208.	
18	Q	And of those 5,208 units, they were all	
19	approved	by the Court after a hearing like this;	is
20	that cor	rect?	
21	A	That's correct.	
22	Q	How would you compare the environmental	
23	benefits	of this settlement agreement with the	
24	environme	ental benefits, if any, in the FFD settle	ment

agreement?

25

Page 84

MR. GROSSO: Objection. Relevance, Your

Honor. That case is not before this Court. Each

Harris Act case is taken on its own merit. We don't

have the facts. We don't have the details of that

project, and it can't be a precedent for any

subsequent Harris Act case.

This is about how much this deviates from this comprehensive plan. This is about the extent to which the amount of development granted here is, in fact, necessary to avoid a violation of the Harris Act rights that this landowner may have.

It's an incredibly individually based analysis, and it cannot be relevant how it compares to another piece of land with totally different circumstances, landowner investment, fair market value, all of that.

MR. MOORE: Your Honor, it's a difficult objection to understand when it was counsel who raised the comparison with Verdana, with The Place, with the land use plans that were applicable to both of those and whether they were contravened and the densities of that, Lehigh Acres as well as FFD, and now I'm simply responding to that cross-examination. I think I should be allowed to go into that slightly.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Page 85

MR. GROSSO: I'm sorry. I might have misunderstood. Was the question about the Verdana or The Place project?

MR. MOORE: These were other developments

MR. MOORE: These were other developments which, according to counsel's argument, are all individual and I shouldn't be allowed to ask questions about other developments that -- because they were individual, and a Bert Harris Act apparently is unique, and yet, he went into that in direct, and now I'm doing that in cross.

THE COURT: As to Verdana and The Place?

MR. GROSSO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: As it relates to Verdana and The Place, Court will allow.

BY MR. MOORE:

- Q And were there policies that needed to be amended and changed in Verdana and The Place?
 - A In Verdana there were, yes.
- Q Counsel asked you about the Dover-Kohl report and whether the Dover-Kohl report was -- called for development of the type that was proposed under the settlement agreement. Do you remember that?
- 23 A Yes.
 - Q And under the Dover-Kohl report, there's an exhibit in the booklet about that, are the water flows

1	Page 86
1	that were proposed to be restored, is that similar to
2	the water flows that are being restored under this
3	settlement proposal, at least in part?
4	A Yes, that's correct.
5	Q So it's consistent with the Dover-Kohl
6	report?
7	MR. GROSSO: Objection. Leading, Your Honor.
8	BY MR. MOORE:
9	Q Is there any inconsistency?
LO	A So it's consistent with the environmental
L1	restoration goals, I think, of the Dover-Kohl report.
L2	MR. MOORE: May I have a moment, Your Honor?
L3	THE COURT: You may.
L4	MR. MOORE: That's all we have. Thank you.
L5	MR. GROSSO: Your Honor, in particular, since
L6	my client was brought up during that, I would ask
L7	for some brief recross.
L8	THE COURT: I don't really want to make it a
L9	habit, but I'll allow Mr. Moore to have the last
20	word.
21	MR. GROSSO: Thank you.
22	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. GROSSO:
24	Q The Verdana project, that actually had to go
25	through the formal state review of a comprehensive

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 87

plan amendment process, right?

- A It did.
- Q But this settlement is not going through that state review of comprehensive plan amendment process, is it?
 - A Yeah, it's a separate process under Chapter 70 rather than 163.
 - Q Right, meaning this proposed development and the deviations from the comprehensive plan it's allowing are not being reviewed by any state agencies as would be the case for a normal comprehensive plan amendment, right?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q Let's assume that you're right, that mining has impacts that are greater than residential, and assuming that you're right about that, and assuming that that means my client would be better off having residential development on this property, I want to ask you a couple of questions about that.

That doesn't mean there had to be 10,000 homes approved for the development, does it?

- A It's part of a negotiated settlement.
- Q And that there could have been 5,000 homes approved, and my client would be experiencing half of the impact compared to what the settlement agreement

Page 88

is going to allow, right?

A So I don't think there could have been 5,000 homes, and the reason for that is, to me, as I look at this and as I understand valuation, mining is absolutely the highest and best use of this property. It is great rock. It's very deep. It's close to the surface. It's a great mining property.

And so to offset that loss, you need to come up with something more valuable, and I don't think this is the greatest residential property. I mean, so there's a lot of residential all around you. There's residential farther west, so you need to think about what's going to offset the value.

Q Okay. So where will I find in any of the exhibits that y'all have put into the record, where will I find an analysis that shows the inordinate burden on this property owner requires X minimal amount of development to avoid that inordinate burden to require this minimal amount of development? Where would I find that?

MR. MOORE: Your Honor, that's out of the scope, I believe. I don't think we dove into that on redirect.

MR. GROSSO: That's a follow-up on the answer that I just received from the last question, Your

Page 89

- THE COURT: Wait. Hold on a moment.
- 3 I'll overrule the objection.
- 4 BY MR. GROSSO:

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Can you point me to anything in the record, the appraisal or anything else?
 - A No. I mean, that's not -- you know, that's an analysis that is somewhat subjective. It's not really a technical analysis.
 - Q Even subjective, there's no written subjective analysis of that question that's been done by anybody as far as you know, correct?
 - A So I've been -- I've been involved in a lot of negotiated settlements. I have a background in dispute resolution. I don't think -- in my experience, I've never seen a quantifiable offset when you're trying to offset a loss to one party, you know, with something else.
 - Q So the amount of development arrived at to be approved by the settlement agreement was arrived at by negotiation, not by an analysis that determined the minimum level of development required to avoid an inordinate burden for the landowner; is that right?
 - MR. MOORE: Your Honor, this is well outside of the scope of anything that I addressed in the

	Page 90
1	testimony.
2	MR. GROSSO: It's the heart of the case, Your
3	Honor.
4	THE COURT: I agree it may be the heart of the
5	case, but I do sustain the objection.
6	MR. GROSSO: Okay. Last line of questions,
7	then, Your Honor. Thank you.
8	BY MR. GROSSO:
9	Q The 2007 rules that would have governed
10	another mining application, they did include
11	provisions allowing the county to regulate noise from
12	mines, right?
13	A Yes.
14	Q They did allow and that would include
15	blasting as a component of noise, correct?
16	A No. Blasting is regulated entirely by the
17	State Fire Marshal.
18	Q The 2007 rules would have allowed the county
19	to condition the hours of operation of the mine,
20	right?
21	A That's correct.
22	Q Okay. So, but because there has never been a
23	process of analyzing a mining application under the
24	2007 rules, we will never know the full extent of
25	conditions that could have been applied on a mining

Page 91

operation here, correct?

A No, because all of the testimony from the public was based on their experiences with mines that were -- that were approved pre-2007.

When I looked at compatibility in this case, we were proposing something better than that, and yet, the testimony was based on all of the horribles from those conditions. So more expansive hours of operation than we were proposing in our application. There were drag lines that were all diesel, a lot more noisy than we were proposing. So it's worse, not better, in what you're looking at pre-2007.

Q You answered my question based on what you heard people say, not based on an analysis of what the 2007 rules could have authorized in terms of conditions, correct?

A It's based on the conditions of approval from 2007 mines. And so, again, you just look at the conditions of approval, and you come up with similarly approved mines. It's not based on what the county may have thought up back in 2007 that's beyond what was actually approved. It's based on what was approved in those pre-2007 mines.

Q So it would be possible for the county today to apply the 2007 rules in a manner that is more

Page 92

stringent than they might have been applying back in 2007?

A No, not according to my reading of that rule.

Q Okay. And we have to go on your reading of the rule because we do not have any analysis by the county of what conditions could be placed on a mine on this location under the 2007 rules, right?

A You don't have to guess at that. You look at the conditions that were actually placed on mines pre-2007.

Q But yet, you're assuming that the rules in 2007 would not have authorized more stringent conditions than the county had chosen to put on those mines, right?

A Well, I know because that's -- those rules were -- those mines were approved under those rules at that time, and that's what the county -- those are the conditions the county placed on those mines.

So what the ruling from Judge Fuller was is that we need to look at conditions of approval similar to mines approved in 2007. It's not we look at conditions of approval that could have potentially or theoretically been authorized back in 2007. It's conditions of approval consistent with mines from 2007. And we know what those conditions of approval

5

6

8

9

13

14

15

16

21

Page 93

- are because they're out there. They exist.
- Q They're out there in general, but they've
 never been applied to a revised mining application for
 this property, correct?
 - A We haven't got there yet because it's...
 - Q Because you settled this case instead?
 - A That's correct, yeah.
 - O Thanks.
 - MR. GROSSO: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 10 MR. MOORE: Just briefly, Your Honor.
- 11 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MR. MOORE:
 - Q Counsel mentioned Verdana and The Place, that they had to go through some state overview of the amendments at the time, is that correct, and the proposals?
- 17 A That's correct.
- Q All right. And you said there wasn't -- in a
 Bert Harris case there is no approval. Is that the
 Department of Economic Opportunity up in Tallahassee?
 - A Yes, that's correct.
- Q Okay. Is that more or less stringent than under the old DCA, Department of Community Affairs?
- 24 A Are you asking me if DEO is more or less --
- 25 O The review.

Page 94

1	A Do they review yeah, their reviews are a
2	lot less stringent now than they used to be under,
3	say, pre-2011.
4	Q And with regard to Verdana or The Place, did
5	either one have to go to a hearing where they had
6	cross-examination and rules of evidence and a ruling
7	by a Court with regard to approving their amendments?
8	A Not like this.
9	Q Okay. And with regard to mines, staff had
10	approved the mine previously; is that correct?
11	A The old Corkscrew IPD application, is that
12	what you're asking?
13	Q Yes. Did staff approve that, or did they
14	A Yes, staff recommended approval of the old
15	Corkscrew IPD application.
16	Q Under whatever restrictions were applied, and
17	the hearing examiner found those were not sufficient;
18	is that correct?
19	A That's correct.
20	MR. MOORE: That's all we have.
21	THE COURT: Mr. DeLisi, you may step down.
22	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thanks.
23	THE COURT: Do you wish to call your next
24	witness?
25	MR. MOORE: I think we can because I know

	Page 95
1	we're under kind of a
2	THE COURT: Yep. You may.
3	MR. MOORE: Call Elizabeth Fountain.
4	ELIZABETH FOUNTAIN,
5	a witness, after being duly sworn, upon her oath,
6	answered and testified as follows:
7	THE WITNESS: I do.
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. MOORE:
10	Q Would you state your full name and business
11	address for the record, please.
12	A Yes. Elizabeth Fountain, and I work at JR
13	Evans Engineering at 9351 Corkscrew Road, Estero,
14	Florida 33928.
15	Q What's your profession, Ms. Fountain?
16	A I am a professional civil engineer and also a
17	certified floodplain manager.
18	Q Would you just very briefly give the Court
19	your qualifications as your education and work
20	experience and just summarize it, if you will?
21	A Sure. Graduated from the University of
22	Tennessee at Chattanooga with a bachelor of science
23	degree in civil engineering in 1999. From that point,
24	immediately started my civil engineering career here
25	in Lee County, Florida, working for a civil

Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill Judge James Shenko 11/08/2022 Page 96 engineering firm, and then progressed my career throughout the years focussing on land development projects, and more recently, like in the last ten years, with a focus on water resource projects including hydrologic restoration, floodplain restoration, things like that. MR. MOORE: All right. Your Honor, we have a CV that we would like to put in evidence. I've given counsel a copy of it. Whatever that next number is, 31? Has this been marked yet? THE CLERK: It has not been marked yet. That will be 31. If I could just get the exhibit MR. GROSSO: number, please? MR. MOORE: 31. MR. GROSSO: Thank you. And there's no objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's admitted. (Joint Petitioners' Exhibit No. 31 was admitted into evidence.) BY MR. MOORE: Are you generally familiar with the proposed 0 terms involving the Kingston development settlement agreement?

Α

Yes, I am.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page	97

	Q	And	Ι	particular	cly	dir	rect	your	atter	ntion t	0
the	sett]	lemer	ıt	agreement	as	it	affe	ects	water	flows	and
sub	ject <u>r</u>	prope	ert	Zy.							

Did you have any part in establishing plan flowways for Kingston development, which is the development that would happen if the settlement is approved?

A Yes. I provided technical guidance input on the layout of the flowway restoration areas, the alignment of those areas.

Q I'm going to put up an enlargement of the exhibit I'm going to hand to you. Could you identify this, if you can? What is that exhibit?

A This is an exhibit illustrating the flowway restoration area through the Kingston development plan. It also highlights the wildlife corridor.

Q You said you had some input in creating that or consulting with those water flows?

A Yes. I provided guidance on, I'll call it the alignment of those flowway areas, which are represented by the dark blue dash lines and arrows.

Q I'm going to ask you to explain it in just a moment.

MR. MOORE: Let me get this marked, if I may, as Exhibit 32, and if we could have it introduced in

```
Page 98
 1
         evidence.
 2
                           Any objection to 32, Mr. Grosso?
              THE COURT:
 3
              MR. GROSSO:
                            No, Your Honor.
 4
                           32 is admitted.
              THE COURT:
 5
              (Joint Petitioners' Exhibit No. 32 was
 6
         admitted into evidence.)
 7
     BY MR. MOORE:
                           Looking at whatever is easier for
 8
         0
              All right.
 9
     you, either looking at what you have in front of you
10
     or --
11
              MR. MOORE:
                           I don't know if Your Honor -- can
12
         you see this?
13
                           I can see it.
                                           If the witness
              THE COURT:
14
         would wish to step down, she may, if it makes it
         easier for her.
15
16
     BY MR. MOORE:
17
              So just explain what you have here in terms
         0
18
     of the water flows and what effect they have that are
19
     proposed here for the settlement agreement, what would
20
     it have on the water situation out there for the
21
     subject property.
22
                     Absolutely.
         Α
              Sure.
23
              Oh, and orient the Court.
         0
24
         Α
                      So north is going to be on the
              Yeah.
     left-hand side of the board. South on the opposite
25
```

Page 99

right-hand side.

Q So if we were doing a regular map, that would be north?

A Yes, sir. I'll be speaking from north to the south in those terms. So to be consistent with the overall intent of the Lee County Comprehensive Plan and those goals, there's been a long-term intent to be able to take water from the north to the south, especially in this area located east of I-75 and along the Corkscrew Road corridor.

Historic flow patterns have been disrupted by the development of agricultural activities and by roadway construction, particularly and predominately east of the Interstate 75 area. And so this property provides a great opportunity to reestablish those historic flow patterns.

And when first looking at it, we look at multiple sources of data to help us come up with an appropriate alignment of those flowway corridors. We look at historic aerials back from the 40s and early 50s prior to the development of the agricultural activities. We look at previous studies that have been done such as the referenced Dover-Kohl study, as well as previous county studies, and we look at trying to establish flow patterns through existing wetlands

Page 100

that are on the property that have been adversely impacted by the agricultural activities but the historic flow through those wetlands in a pattern that goes down to the south, which would just be the CREW lands.

And this really works if you take that flow pattern from the north boundary line along 82 south under -- you know, through Corkscrew Road to the south side of Corkscrew and eventually meet those CREW lands and help them get the water right for those areas.

Q Is that water flowing to the same degree now from north of Corkscrew Road to south of Corkscrew Road?

A No, it does not.

Q Go ahead.

A The opportunity for this flow restoration also provides a benefit to Lehigh Acres, which could result in a drainage connection for those -- for that property at that point located on the north side of 82.

Much of Lehigh Acres currently drains to the Orange River, and it is well-known that the Orange River is pretty, I'll say well-taxed, often has flooding problems even with normal rain events. So to be able to provide a drainage connection from a

Page 101

portion of Lehigh Acres through the property helps alleviate some of those issues.

This plan also provides an opportunity to relieve some of the flooding that Wildcat Farms is experiencing due to the agricultural activity and the development there of those fields. There's been berms that have been placed along the eastern property line, which have impacted the historic flow pattern that used to come through there.

The one other benefit that the plan has is it also re-establishes flow through a -- on the west side of the property where there's a wetland that is shared between the Lee County land on the west side and part of the Kingston property. So this design helps actually direct flow through that wetland system which is an off-site benefit as well.

- Q And are those hydrologic benefits detailed even more specifically on this exhibit?
 - A Yes, they are.

MR. MOORE: Could I get that marked, please, as Exhibit 33. I'd ask that Exhibit 33, and we furnished counsel a copy, be admitted.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. GROSSO: If I may just ask one question of the witness.

Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill Judge James Shenko Page 102 Did you prepare that document, that map? 1 2 THE WITNESS: We did. Yes, I did. 3 MR. GROSSO: No objection. 4 33 is admitted. THE COURT: (Joint Petitioners' Exhibit No. 33 was 6 admitted into evidence.) BY MR. MOORE: All right. Ms. Fountain, if you could, just 8 0 9 explain the detail of this map a bit with this chart 10 in terms of the hydrologic benefits, the specific 11 indications on the subject property that are conducive 12 to a better water flow. 13 Absolutely. So this is a more detailed view 14 of the proposed flowway restoration area, and it 15 actually shows the concept of where, not only water 16 will flow based on the red arrows, but also 17 opportunities to store water in a strategic manner 18 that promotes a healthy hydraulic system for the wetlands involved in those areas, and also doesn't 19 20 just completely drain the site and impact the flow 21 downstream more than they can handle. 22 So along the northeastern side of the 23 property we show a location where we could introduce

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 103

direction of that flow moving in a northeast to southwest direction.

Q Is that consistent with the historic water flow?

A Yes, sir. We show the flow being achieved just outside the western boundary into Lee County preservation area and then back into the flowway system directed towards the south, towards the Corkscrew Road lands.

Again, along the east side of the Kingston property, there are multiple points where we could introduce flows from the Wildcat Farms area. Again, those would be strategically -- those flow points would be strategically defined based on more detailed design as we go forward.

Q So the new development called Kingston would accept water from Wildcat Farms to the east; is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q Okay. And then do what with it? Send it in what direction?

A Yeah, so basically it would, again, coming from the eastern side directing flow towards the middle of the Kingston property basically all the way down to the south-southwest.

Page 104

So these flowway restoration areas would all be connected, and the darker green areas are considered to be areas where there would be ponding, kind of like a marsh area, not a detention lake, but some low-lying lands to actually store surface water.

The water flow then travels south, and I believe based on the current plan, there is a south connection here to Corkscrew, and there will be another one along the more eastern side of the property.

- Q And where does that, all that water end up after it leaves the southern parcel here on the map?
 - A It ends up into the CREW lands.
- Q Is that a good thing or a bad thing from a hydrologic standpoint for the CREW lands?
- A That is a positive thing. Right now, the discharge point into the CREW lands is very, what we call point source, very direct discharge.
 - Q What's the negative result of that, if any?
- A It is -- it doesn't mimic the historic flow pattern being more spread out, more like a sheet flow pattern. It creates -- you know, introduces nutrient high water that's very fast and -- trying to think of another word to say -- very direct into a singular point into their lands, which can create issues for

Page 105

them.

With this project we would have multiple points of discharge into the CREW lands to help spread out that water flow pattern and manage it.

- Q Well, under the current conditions, both the north parcel and the south parcel, do you know what they're being used for?
 - A Agricultural activities.
- Q From a hydrologic perspective, from your professional perspective, how does that current water flow situation under current conditions compare with the conditions that you are depicting on this chart and what you anticipate under the settlement agreement?

A So with the existing conditions of the properties, there really is no connectivity to promote surface water flow between the wetlands. The wetlands are typically isolated with berms around them, and even ditches, and sometimes they, during the agricultural activities, they will actually pump water into the wetlands and use them for storage areas. So it really impedes the hydrology of those wetlands. It does not have the same connected flow pattern that this plan provides.

Q Assume for a moment that the north parcel and

Page 106 the subject parcel and the south parcel that were
devoted to a mining use, or lime rock mining say, how,
if you know, would the water flows there compare with
the water flows that you're anticipating with this
settlement agreement?
A They would not be consistent. I'm not a
mining expert, however, I would believe that it would
be a similar
MR. GROSSO: I'm sorry. I'm going to have to
object. I'm not an expert, but I believe that now
what comes next is objectionable.
MR. MOORE: We're not asking her about her
mining expertise.
THE COURT: Sustained. Could you rephrase the
question, please.
BY MR. MOORE:
Q What is your opinion with regard to the water
flows, assuming a mining use of that property, based
on your expertise as a hydrologist and civil engineer
as compared with the settlement agreement?
MR. GROSSO: I don't believe that restated
question addresses the core issue. The witness said
I'm not an expert in mining.
MR. MOORE: I'm not asking for a mining

expertise, Your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 107

THE COURT: Overruled as to the last question.

THE WITNESS: It's my opinion there would still be isolated wetland areas with the mining development, and I do know that with the mining there are concerns about drawing down the water levels within adjacent wetlands due to the mining activities and having them exceed the depth of the water table.

BY MR. MOORE:

Q Now, could these flowways and the hydrologic benefits that you've mentioned be achieved without including the parcel to the south of Corkscrew Road as part of the settlement agreement?

A No, they could not be fully achieved.

MR. MOORE: One moment, Your Honor.

That's all we have for Ms. Fountain. Thank
you.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

19 BY MR. GROSSO:

Q Ma'am, you've been practicing hydrology in Florida since 2003; is that right? That's what it says on your resumé. Engineer since 2003.

A Well, yes, I got my license in 2003, yes.

Q Great. Have you ever done consulting work on behalf of a mining company or a company seeking

Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill Judge James Shenko 11/08/2022 Page 108 approval for a mine? 1 2 Α No. Ever done any engineering studies of the 3 0 4 hydrological impacts of mines? 5 Α No, I have not. 6 Have you ever provided hydrological services 0 to agricultural operations? 8 Α No, I have not. 9 Though, I assume that you have never written a report to the State of Florida documenting any of 10 11 the adverse hydrological effects of agricultural 12 operations, right? 13 I will say I've reviewed data from 14 monitoring wells for numerous sites that have 15 agricultural activities and compared those recorded water levels with the water levels prior to 16 17 agricultural activities. 18 And nothing that you saw there ever prompted 0 you to write any kind of report to anyone with 19 20 authority documenting the adverse effects on water 21 flow of farming, correct? 22 Α Only documenting the observations and the 23 recorded data and those differences. Nothing specific 24 about agriculture.

25

FMCR

Page 109 about, you and Mr. Moore, it said wildlife corridors,
but that actually didn't depict or describe any
particular wildlife corridors on the property because
you're not a wildlife biologist, are you?
A I am not.
Q The flowway restoration for The Place and the
Verdana developments, did you design that?
A Yes, I did.
Q And is it working right now as it was
designed? Is it working correctly?
A Yes.
Q Are there not significant complaints by
neighbors that it is not working correctly?
A I am not aware of significant complaints.
Q There's a legal drainage easement through the
Titan Mine site currently, isn't there?
A I don't know the answer to that.
Q And so if that drainage easement that runs
through the Titan mining site is currently adequate to
protect my client's property from flooding, you don't
know about that, do you?
A I do not.
MR. GROSSO: If I may have a moment, Your
Honor.
THE COURT: You may.

1	Page 110 MR. GROSSO: Thank you, Your Honor. That's
2	all.
3	THE COURT: Redirect?
4	MR. MOORE: Just briefly, Your Honor.
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. MOORE:
7	Q Mr. Grosso asked you about what you didn't
8	do, but let me ask you about what you did do.
9	Did you examine the current site, the current
10	conditions of the site, what's it being used for?
11	A Agriculture.
12	Q Agriculture?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And you examined the flowways under the
15	current conditions, and you compared them with the
16	flowways in the after condition if the settlement is
17	approved; is that correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Is that what your testimony is based upon?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	Q Is that consistent with your experience and
22	your training in the field of hydrology and flowways?
23	A Yes, sir.
24	MR. MOORE: That's all I have.
25	THE COURT: You may step down. Thank you.

```
Page 111
          Mr. Moore, your thoughts. Good time for a
 1
 2
     break?
 3
          MR. MOORE:
                      Could we approach?
 4
          THE COURT:
                      Absolutely.
 5
          (Sidebar begins.)
 6
          MR. GROSSO:
                       I'm open to everyone's
 7
     convenience on this.
                           I can go if need be.
                                                   If the
 8
     Court or any of the members of the Court staff or
 9
     court reporter or counsel need a break for lunch,
     maybe the witnesses do, that's fine with me.
10
11
     happy either way.
12
                      I'm a low maintenance lawyer.
          MR. MOORE:
13
          THE COURT:
                      Everybody else may have an uproar
14
     in the crowd. These folks who may need to --
15
     somebody out in the gallery may need to take food
16
     for medical purposes, so I think we should, but we
17
     can make it tight and quick. I mean, when would you
18
     like to reconvene?
19
          MR. GROSSO:
                       1:00?
20
          MR. MOORE:
                      Sure.
21
          THE COURT:
                      Very good. Let's do that.
22
          (Sidebar ends.)
          THE COURT:
23
                      We're going to take a noon recess.
24
     We're going to reconvene at 1:00 to continue with
25
     the hearing. For those lawyers in the case and for
```

	Page 112
1	those folks out in the gallery, we'll be in recess
2	until 1:00.
3	(Recess from 12:05 p.m. to 12:59 p.m.)
4	THE COURT: Whenever you're ready, Mr. Moore,
5	you may call your next witness.
6	MR. MOORE: We call Shane Johnson.
7	SHANE WILLIAM JOHNSON,
8	a witness, after being duly sworn, upon his oath,
9	answered and testified as follows:
LO	THE WITNESS: Yes.
L1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
L2	BY MR. MOORE:
L3	Q Would you state your full name and business
L4	address for the record, please.
L5	A Shane William Johnson.
L6	Q And how are you employed, sir?
L7	A I am an ecologist at the environmental
L8	consulting firm of Passarella & Associates, and the
L9	address of that business is 13620 Metropolis Avenue,
20	Suite 200, in Fort Myers, 33912.
21	Q And I'm going to ask that your CV be
22	admitted, but can you just briefly tell us your
23	education and work experience. Just summarize it very
24	briefly, please, with regard to ecology and the field
25	that you're working.

1	Page 113 A Sure. I obtained my bachelor of science
2	degree in zoology with an emphasis in wildlife
3	management from Southern Illinois University
4	Carbondale and started my career in Florida in 2003
5	working for the Sanibel-Captiva Conservation
6	Foundation as a shorebird technician. And then
7	eventually, shortly after that, obtaining an ecologist
8	position where I currently work at Passarella &
9	Associates.
10	Q All right. And did you hear some of the
11	testimony here this morning?
12	A Yes.
13	Q All right. And you heard the testimony about
14	the panthers and panther habitat?
15	A Yes, I did.
16	Q Okay. Are panthers a part of your study area
17	with which you're familiar?
18	A Yes, it is.
19	MR. MOORE: Your Honor, this is the CV of
20	Mr. Johnson. It's not marked yet, but whatever the
21	next number would be, I'd ask that it be admitted.
22	THE CLERK: 34.
23	THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Grosso, to the
24	CV?
25	MR. GROSSO: No, Your Honor.

	Page 114
1	THE COURT: Thank you. 34 it's admitted.
2	(Joint Petitioners' Exhibit No. 34 was
3	admitted into evidence.)
4	MR. MOORE: Thank you.
5	BY MR. MOORE:
6	Q So I'm going to ask you to look at this
7	exhibit, the enlargement of this exhibit, which has
8	been admitted as 32. In it, if you can see it, but
9	you see the green arrows that are basically north and
10	south?
11	A Yes.
12	Q All right. Do you know what those represent?
13	A Yeah, it represents a wildlife corridor,
14	specifically a large animal wildlife corridor that we
15	are incorporating as part of the project design.
16	Q Does that corridor currently exist?
17	A It does not.
18	Q Did you assist Kingston Development in
19	designing anything to do with habitat protection and
20	wildlife corridors, particularly as it relates to
21	large mammals?
22	A Yes, we did, specifically with respect to the
23	restoration involved with such corridor involving
24	species, plant species to be planted to re-establish
25	vegetation within that corridor, discussing corridor

	Corkscrew Grove	rs. Hill	Judge James Shenko		11/08/202
1	widths,	and also help	ing with the	design of t	Page 115 he
2		crossings as	_	_	
3	Q	Have you wor	ked on other	development	s for the
4	Cameratt	a Group?			
5	A	Yes, I have.			
6	Q	Which ones?			
7	A	The Place at	Corkscrew a	nd Verdana V	illage.
8	Q	And as a par	t of that co	nsulting wor	k, did
9	you inte	ract at all w	ith any stat	e or federal	agencies
10	with reg	ard to wildli	fe?		
11	A	Yes, specifi	cally the U.	S. Fish and	Wildlife
12	Service	and the Flori	da Fish and	Wildlife Con	servation
13	Commissi	on.			
14	Q	And those de	velopments h	ave been app	roved; is
15	that cor	rect?			
16	A	Correct.			
17	Q	Would you ju	st explain t	o the Court	exactly
18	how thes	e corridors w	ork and what	how they	're
19	how they	're placed on	the develop	ment program	?
20	A	Sure.			
21		MR. GROSSO:	Objection.	I don't bel	ieve this
22	witn	ess has demon	strated expe	rtise in pan	ther
23	mana	gement, panth	er habitat,	anything rel	ated to do

25

with the science of the Florida panther, or other

wildlife for that matter.

	Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill Judge James Shenko 11/08/2	20
1	Page 11 MR. MOORE: We'll be happy to go into his	L 6
2	credentials a little more, Your Honor.	
3	THE COURT: If you would, please, Mr. Moore.	
4	BY MR. MOORE:	
5	Q Mr. Johnson, do you have anything to do in	
6	your work experience with panthers or wildlife	
7	corridors or design of development with regard to	
8	those issues?	
9	A Yes, I do. Going back to the history we've	
10	had working with wildlife agencies, again, the U.S.	
11	Fish and Wildlife Service and Florida Fish and	
12	Wildlife Conservation Commission, we work with those	
13	agencies closely in the design of corridors, the plant	-
14	material required to establish and restore vegetation	
15	within those corridors to establish corridor widths	
16	and also crossings.	
17	Q Are you specifically familiar with the kind	
18	of land use patterns and development requirements as	
19	related to panthers?	
20	A Yes.	
21	Q And the panther habitat, is that something	
22	that's regulated by Lee County, or is that are	
23	there other agencies that deal with that typically?	

24 As you're going through the environmental Α 25 permit process, that's typically, again, the U.S. Fish

	Page 11'
1	and Wildlife Service and also input received from the
2	Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission.
3	Q All right. And in terms of the design work
4	for the wildlife corridor, you say you have worked on
5	other wildlife corridors in the past and have
6	consulted with federal and state agencies about
7	approvals for those; is that correct?
8	A Yes.
9	MR. MOORE: Your Honor, I would like to
10	continue with my examination.
11	MR. GROSSO: May I voir dire, Your Honor?
12	THE COURT: You may.
13	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. GROSSO:
15	Q Sir, when you said we work, is there somebody
16	else on staff at your firm who is the wildlife
17	specialist?
18	A No. When I say we, we work as a team at
19	Passarella & Associates.
20	Q So how many have you published any papers
21	on the habitat needs spatially of the Florida panther?
22	A No.
23	Q Have you published any reports or studies on
24	the wildlife habitats spatial needs of the any of
25	the mammals that are known to live in this part of

Page 118
southwest Florida?
A No.
Q Have you ever been employed as a wildlife
expert with the federal or the state wildlife
agencies, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or the
Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission?
A Sanibel-Captiva Conservation Foundation was
my job prior to Passarella & Associates, the funding
of which came directly from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife
Service, and I worked cooperatively both with the U.S.
Fish and Wildlife Service and the staff of
Sanibel-Captiva Conservation Foundation as part of
that job.
Q Have you ever done any studies about
wildlife-vehicular collisions?
A Not personally.
MR. GROSSO: I don't believe this witness has
the requisite expertise to give these opinions, Your
Honor.
MR. MOORE: If I may just briefly.
BY MR. MOORE:
Q You haven't published any academic papers; is
that right?
A Correct.
Q With regard to panther habitat or wildlife?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

Page 119

- A Correct.
- Q But you have gotten approvals from state and federal agencies for developments, Cameratta-type developments for a residential project; is that correct?
- A That is correct.
- Q And those approvals dealt with large mammals, wildlife corridors, and specifically panther habitat; is that correct?
- 10 MR. GROSSO: Objection. Leading.
- 11 BY MR. MOORE:
- 12 Q What did those approvals deal with?

determination of approval for projects.

- A Well, to walk you through this a little bit
 more, specifically, when we're in the environmental
 permit process, we prepare a variety of documentation
 that these wildlife agencies, again, the U.S. Fish and
 Wildlife Services and the Florida Fish and Wildlife
 Conservation Commission, utilize in making a
 - Q All right. Currently, are there any wildlife corridors or panther crossings in this area that we're dealing with with regard to this --
- THE COURT: Mr. Moore, let me first address.

 The Court overrules the objection and will allow the inquiry of this witness.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 120

MR. MOORE: Thank you, Your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED

BY MR. MOORE:

Q Are there currently any wildlife corridors or crossings in the area of Corkscrew Road, north and south parcels adjacent --

A No.

O -- thereto?

Again, to ask you about just describing for the Court these corridors, what it does, how it works, what the land use development changes that have to occur in order to provide those corridors, would you go ahead.

A Sure. Again, it's establishing and re-establishing vegetation to provide a vegetated corridor so that it will allow cover and movement to occur between point A and point B.

If we're taking just the example here in showing green, this would provide connectivity between Imperial Marsh Preserve to the west, which is on the bottom part of the property that abuts the property to the west, east all the way to the CREW lands.

So essentially, in the existing condition right now, we have wetland systems that are in place as part of the existing property, and the groves

Page 121

surrounding them. Essentially the grove area will be restored to provide additional vegetated connection to these wetland areas as part of this corridor to allow movement to occur from west to the east.

Now, these wetlands systems are essentially isolated or connected by just very narrow ditch systems. The restoration event that would occur would reconnect these areas so a significant corridor would be maintained for wildlife movement.

Q And with the establishment of a corridor such as depicted on that exhibit, is that a fairly inexpensive process, or does that cost any amount of -- substantial amount of money, or do you know?

A Well, the restoration is pretty costly from my experience working on The Place at Corkscrew and Verdana Village which, by the way, this is a very, very similar type of restoration that's being proposed here on the Kingston property. That's a very costly endeavor because the restoration that occurs from farm fields, in this case, you know, row crops and existing citrus is very expensive. You're going from very denuded, very -- highly, you know, intense agricultural activities to, you know, freshwater marsh and pine systems. It takes a lot of effort and costs to, you know, restore those areas to those target

	Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill Judge James Shenko 11/08/2	02
1	Page 12 habitat types.	2
2	Q Under the settlement proposal for the	
3	Kingston Development, who pays for that expense? Is	
4	that the taxpayers of Lee County?	
5	A No.	
6	Q Who pays for it?	
7	A Well, it would be the developer paying for	
8	that, and also the maintenance of that would be either	
9	the homeowners association and/or the community	
LO	development district.	
L1	MR. MOORE: One minute, Your Honor.	
L2	BY MR. MOORE:	
L3	Q With regard to the wildlife corridor and the	
L4	large mammal crossings and panther habitat in general,	
L5	can you make any comparison between the panther	
L6	habitat under the settlement proposal and the panther	
L7	habitat under, say, a mining use or a low density	
L8	residential use of, say, one unit per 10 acres?	
L9	A Is this assuming that mining would occur in	
20	the entire property shown here?	
21	Q Yes, north and south parcels.	
22	A I would say that the project as proposed	
23	provides a significant benefit to the Florida panther	

25

with the corridors that are proposed here and the

restoration that would occur.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

Page 123

With a mining operation, you have large expansive open water areas that are essentially zero value for the Florida panther. In this situation, you have the ability to connect the existing wetland areas and restore the agricultural areas to create significant corridors, which you would not have that opportunity with large scale mining. And also I think your other example was the single-family homes. Yes, sir. 0 So that would be kind of the status quo for Α the development in the DR/GR. One unit per 10 acres, correct? Yes, sir. 0 Α To my knowledge, there is no preservation requirement under that scenario. So the plan as proposed is a significant benefit over that scenario. So bottom line, are the panthers better off or worse off with the settlement proposal such as outlined here than the current situation?

A Better off.

MR. MOORE: That's all we have, Your Honor.

22 CROSS-EXAMINATION

23 BY MR. GROSSO:

Q So on whose behalf are you here testifying today? Who's your client?

	Page 124
1	A My client is Cameratta.
2	Q Is that the same client that developed the
3	Verdana Place?
4	A Verdana Village, yes.
5	Q And the same client that developed The Place?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And you were their environmental consultant
8	on those projects, too?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q Are you saying that panther and other large
11	wildlife do not currently traverse over the property
12	we're discussing today?
13	A I didn't say that.
14	Q Okay. So panther and other large wildlife do
15	regularly traverse the property we're talking about
16	today, correct?
17	A I don't know if I would characterize it as
18	regularly, but they do traverse the property.
19	Q It is a common understanding about the status
20	of the Florida panther that they are now in peril
21	because their habitat historically has shrunk to
22	unsafe levels, correct?
23	A In large part due to habitat loss.
24	Q So that's a yes to what I asked you, right?
25	A Yes.

_	Page 125
1	Q So in addition to urban development shrinking
2	their habitat, the second biggest threat to the
3	Florida panther is vehicle collisions on roads,
4	correct?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Okay.
7	A Well, let me
8	Q I got another question for you.
9	MR. MOORE: Your Honor, can the witness finish
10	his answer?
11	THE COURT: He may.
12	THE WITNESS: I don't know if that's the
13	second largest cause of panther mortality. I want
14	to say that, you know, intraspecific aggression may
15	be up there.
16	BY MR. GROSSO:
17	Q And intraspecific aggression is a result of
18	the fact that as the panthers' habitat has shrunk, you
19	got too many male panthers in too small of an area.
20	That's increasing the aggression you're talking about,
21	correct?
22	A I wouldn't necessarily agree with that.
23	Q Can you name for our judge today a single
24	study that has documented that replacing farms with
25	suburban development has benefited the Florida panther

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 126

or any other large mammals?

- A I can't name one offhand.
- Q Okay. If I own -- build my house on 10 acres of land out in the country in eastern Lee County, what is the most common thing that's happening on that land outside of where I've actually built the house?
 - A I'm not sure I understand your question.
- Q Yeah. If I build a house on 10 acres, doesn't the vast majority of the rest of that 10 acres, other than my house, typically remain in its natural state?
 - A That's individualistic.
- Q Sometimes people will mow the lawn you're saying, right?
 - A That could result in a variety of different landscape options depending on the individual.
 - Q And is your testimony today that Florida panther would rather have the development proposed in this settlement agreement than they would have scattered residential development at one unit per 10 acres? That's what you're telling us today?
 - A The project that's proposed will provide a larger and more significant benefit due to the amount of restoration that will occur and the corridors that will be established.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 127

Q	Okay.		And	the	corridors	that	were
establish	ed ar	îe	how	wide	; ?		

A It varies. In the southern part of the property, for example, say for sake of example south of Corkscrew Road, they are approximately 500 feet wide. There are some pinch points, but in general --

Q Pinch point meaning what?

A Pinch point is some areas they may be 300, but the vast majority of the corridors and the restoration that you see is approximately 500 feet wide.

Q And it is also a commonly understood aspect of panther biology that they do not like being around humans and urban development, correct?

A I would say in general that's correct. But I also would state that there are some that are -- have become more accustomed to humans and anthropogenic activities.

Q But that's actually an adverse impact to the ecology of panther, right? That's not viewed by the scientific community as a benefit to the long-term survival of the species, is it, sir?

A Perhaps.

Q It is? Are you saying that it is? Are you saying that changes in panther behavior as a result of

	Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill Juage James Snenko 11/08/20
1	Page 128 human encroachment are understood by the scientific
2	community to be beneficial to the long-term viability
3	of the species? Is that your testimony today?
4	A Can you restate your question, please.
5	Q Are you saying that the scientific community
6	is under the impression that human-induced changes to
7	panther behavior are good for the long-term
8	survivability of that species?
9	A Well, in this case, the restoration would be
10	a human-induced change to the landscape. I think that
11	would be a benefit.
12	Q Yeah, how about the question that I asked,
13	though? Are you saying that where panther have gotten
14	used to garbage and pets and other things humans
15	bring, that that's considered a benefit to the
16	panther? It's not, is it?
17	A I would say no, it's not a benefit, but
18	again, that's you know, those panthers that have
19	been habituated to anthropogenic activities is
20	probably a very small portion of the population.
21	Q But you understand, this is one of the most
22	critically endangered species in the entire United
23	States of America, do you not?
24	A I understand that.

Q

25

And we have -- Lee County has policies in its

	Page 129
1	comprehensive plan that require educating the public
2	about how to interact and live among panthers safely,
3	correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And someone who lives out in this part of the
6	county with a house on 10 acres, they get that public
7	education too about, you know, bear-proof trash
8	containers and things like that, correct?
9	A I don't know if that's true.
10	Q Okay. So are you saying today that the only
11	way to educate people who would own homes in this area
12	is to bring 10,000 new homes in to this property?
13	A I wouldn't say that.
14	Q Okay. And the land that we are talking about
15	that's the subject of this settlement agreement is a
16	priority 1 panther habitat designated area, correct?
17	Tier 1 priority area, correct?
18	A I don't know the exact overlay.
19	Q That's not something you looked at before you
20	came here to testify today?
21	A Well, we look at for the environmental
22	permitting process, for example, we look at if it's
23	within a primary or secondary-type habitat.
24	Q And this is primary habitat, isn't it?
25	A It's both primary and secondary.

	Page 130
1	Q That means among all the properties, it's
2	among the most important pieces of land there is for
3	the panther. That's what that means, right?
4	A It's within the U.S. Fish and Wildlife
5	Services overlay, yes.
6	MR. GROSSO: If I may approach, Your Honor,
7	the exhibit
8	THE COURT: You may.
9	BY MR. GROSSO:
10	Q So is it the green that's going to the
11	green line that's going to be the corridor as you
12	called it?
13	A That's going to be designed and designated
14	for large animal movement, yes.
15	Q And when I see these pods that are right up
16	against that corridor, is that a development pod?
17	A Yes.
18	Q So that's where the people and their homes
19	and their commercial areas, they're going to be right
20	there adjacent to that corridor, right?
21	A Correct.
22	Q And the same for this piece down here that
23	I'm pointing to with my finger?
24	A Yes.
25	Q So your wildlife corridor will be immediately

1	Page 131 adjacent to areas developed with permanent residential	L
2	homes and commercial development, correct?	
3	A Correct. I would like to elaborate on that,	
4	if I could.	
5	Q I got another question for you, though.	
6	You've told us that urban development eating	
7	up habitat is one of the primary threats to panther,	
8	correct?	
9	A I said habitat loss.	
10	Q Okay. And you've also told us, then, that	
11	infighting among panthers is one of the other primary	
12	threats to the species, correct?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q And the other of the top three threats to	
15	this animal are vehicular mortality, correct?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q So that's more cars equals more collisions	
18	that are fatal to Florida panther, correct?	
19	A In certain areas, yes.	
20	Q Well, this would be one of the areas.	
21	A Are you asking me?	
22	Q Yes.	
23	A Potentially, yes.	
24	MR. GROSSO: If I may, Your Honor. May I have	
25	a moment?	

	Page 132
1	THE COURT: You may.
2	MR. GROSSO: Thank you, Your Honor. That's
3	all I have.
4	MR. MOORE: If I may.
5	THE COURT: You may.
6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. MOORE:
8	Q So what's the purpose of a panther crossing
9	or wildlife corridor particularly in the area of
10	Corkscrew Road?
11	A One of the benefits would be to help safe
12	passage for animals like Florida panther.
13	Q How does that work specifically?
14	A Well, if I could use the pointer here.
15	Q Sure.
16	A It's part of this large animal corridor,
17	again, from Imperial Marsh Preserve to the west to the
18	CREW lands, we would not only re-establish vegetation
19	along this corridor, but there would also be large box
20	culverts under the main spine road here within the
21	development. There's also a proposed future location
22	that would provide a large box culvert across
23	Corkscrew Road.
24	Q So when you say a box culvert, the purpose of
25	such a culvert is what, in this context?
	1

		Page 133
	А	Well, to prevent panthers from having an
	adverse	interaction with a vehicle.
	Q	So they wouldn't get hit by a car or truck?
	А	Correct.
	Q	That doesn't exist now on Corkscrew Road,
	does it?	
	А	It does not.
	Q	So what protects the panther right now from
	getting	on Corkscrew Road and getting smacked by a
	truck?	
	А	Nothing.
	Q	Okay. And with regard to the habitat, I may
	have mis	sed your testimony or your answers to my
	question	s earlier, but Mr. Grosso was asking you about
	a decrea	se in panther habitat as being a serious
1		

A Yes.

Q Bottom line, does this settlement proposal increase panther habitat or decrease it?

problem in Florida or in the nation; is that correct?

A This project will increase the top level habitat for the Florida panther. So essentially the development is being concentrated within the existing agricultural fields, which from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services' perspective considers that low or minimal value for the Florida panther.

Page 134

So the restoration areas that would occur in the blue areas and the green area and all surrounding the pods would be high level or optimal habitat for the panther.

Q Did you coordinate this wildlife corridor with the Florida Wildlife Federation, or did you just do it without any kind of coordination?

A This was with direct coordination with Florida Wildlife Federation. In fact, they're the ones who conducted a study to suggest that this corridor here would be beneficial for the Florida panther.

- O The Florida Wildlife Federation did that?
- A Yes.

Q You may have already done it, but I just want to give you a chance. You started to elaborate on an answer to a question that Mr. Grosso cut you off with regard to the adjacency or proximity of homes and the wildlife corridor. Did you have any more you wanted to clarify on that?

A Yeah. To expand on that, I think Mr. DeLisi in his testimony spoke to the human-wildlife coexistence plan as part of the settlement agreement, and as part of that plan, there's fencing along the perimeter of the pods that would, you know, reduce

panther and their prey species from entering the
panence and energipley species from enecring the
development pods themselves.
So essentially it's a controlled environment
to keep the panthers and other wildlife species within
the restoration areas and out of the development pods.
MR. MOORE: That's all we have. Thank you.
MR. GROSSO: May I briefly, Your Honor?
THE COURT: You may.
RECROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. GROSSO:
Q How high are those fences, sir?
A The fences, we coordinated with the Florida
Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, six feet.
Q Are you telling us today that the Florida
Wildlife Federation has approved the development
that's the subject of this settlement agreement?
A No, I didn't say the Florida Wildlife
Federation approved the development, no.
Q What you've said is that the Florida Wildlife
Federation at some point in the past has recommended
that there be a wildlife corridor in the same general
vicinities as the one you're talking about today,
right?
A That's correct.
Q But the Florida Wildlife Federation never

1	Page 136 took a position that running that corridor adjacent to
2	a major urban development was good for the panther,
3	have they?
4	A Not to my knowledge.
5	Q Thank you.
6	MR. GROSSO: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. MOORE:
9	Q Did the federation issue an objection?
10	A Not to my knowledge.
11	MR. MOORE: Thank you.
12	THE COURT: You may step down, sir.
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14	MR. MOORE: Your Honor, we call David Brown.
15	DAVID BROWN,
16	a witness, after being duly sworn, upon his oath,
17	answered and testified as follows:
18	THE WITNESS: I do.
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. MOORE:
21	Q Would you state your full name and business
22	address for the record, please.
23	A My name is David Brown, and I'm currently a
24	managing principal with Progressive Water Resources,
25	which is a division of RESPEC Company, LLC, and my
	1

Page	137
Suite	D,

office is located at 6561 Palmer Park Circle, Suite Sarasota, Florida, but we also have offices in Fort Myers and in Tampa.

Q All right. Sir, would you give the Court a very brief summary of your education, work experience in your field?

A Received my bachelor of science from the University of Florida in 1983, upon which I went to work at a mining engineering firm in Winter Haven, Florida, by the name of Richard Fountain & Associates.

While employed at Richard Fountain & Associates, I continued my graduate studies in stratigraphic analysis hydrology engineering and groundwater hydrology.

After Richard Fountain & Associates, I was employed by Ardaman Associates, a geotechnical engineering firm in Orlando, Florida.

I left Ardaman Associates and went to the Southwest Florida Water Management District where I was a senior water use permit evaluator and senior professional geologist. I also administered a cost-share program with the water management district called Facilitating Agricultural Resource Management Systems or FARMS program.

I then left the Water Management District and

	Page 138
1	went back to consulting. I was a vice president at
2	Integrated Water Solutions. Then left Integrated
3	Water Solutions and started a company Progressive
4	Water Resources, and we were acquired by RESPEC in
5	July of 2021.
6	Q All right, sir.
7	MR. MOORE: Your Honor, we've got a CV from
8	Mr. Brown, and we've got it marked as 35.
9	THE COURT: Any objection?
10	MR. GROSSO: No objection.
11	THE COURT: 35 is admitted.
12	MR. MOORE: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	(Joint Petitioners' Exhibit No. 35 was entered
14	into evidence.)
15	BY MR. MOORE:
16	Q Mr. Brown, are you familiar with the proposed
17	development along Corkscrew Road called Kingston?
18	A Yes, I am.
19	Q Have you been listening to the testimony here
20	this morning?
21	A I have.
22	Q Did you have any role in consulting with
23	Kingston on the hydrologic issues related to the
24	development?
25	A I did.

```
Page 139
 1
         Q
              In general, what was that role?
 2
              Basically looking at -- I assisted both the
         Α
     engineer and the ecologist on some of the overland
 3
 4
     flow stream systems, slash, flowways, also contouring
     some of the water level values within the surficial
 5
 6
     aguifer system and evaluating the water use permits
 7
     that are on site.
 8
              Now, we've got a series of four charts, and
         0
 9
     the first one, and I --
10
                          Let's go ahead and get this marked
              MR. MOORE:
11
         as 36, I believe. We can call it proposed reduction
12
         in overall irrigation quantities.
13
     BY MR. MOORE:
14
         Q
              Did you prepare this exhibit?
              I did.
15
         Α
16
              All right.
         0
17
                          Your Honor, I would like to offer
              MR. MOORE:
18
         that as a summary.
19
                          Any objection?
              THE COURT:
20
                            (Shakes head.)
              MR. GROSSO:
21
              THE COURT:
                           36 is admitted.
22
              (Joint Petitioners' Exhibit No. 36 was
         admitted into evidence.)
23
24
     BY MR. MOORE:
25
         Q
              All right.
                          Sir, would you explain to the
```

Α

Page 140

Court what this diagram -- what this chart represents and the contents of that are set out there in terms of the quantities?

To understand the proposed reduction

in groundwater quantities, you have to understand the existing permitted quantities that on site.

Currently, there is an existing agricultural operation. That is what's authorized to occur by the South Florida Water Management District. The permits on site allow for the irrigation 4,805 acres as shown here. That results in -- or has an allocation of 4,681,000,000 gallons per year. If you divide that by 365 for the numbers of days in a year, that equates to 12.8 million gallons per day.

Using the exact same program that the South Florida Water Management District uses to allocate those quantities, I also looked at the proposed lawn and landscape irrigation system for the Kingston Development, which will occur over approximately 832 acres and require approximately 2.9 million gallons per day. If you subtract 2.9 from 12.8, it results in a reduction of 9.9 million gallons per day of groundwater.

If you look at the table below that, I've further subdivided the aquifer source. The existing

Page 141

agricultural operations utilize two aquifer systems, the shallow water table aquifer, and then the underlying confined sandstone aquifer.

Basically the permits authorize approximately 6.7 million gallons per day to be withdrawn from the water table aquifer and approximately 6.1 million gallons per day from the sandstone. So roughly half of the permitted quantities split between the two aquifer systems. If you add 6.7 plus 6.1, you get back to the 12.8 that I described in the table above.

The proposal on the landscape for the Kingston Development will exclusively use the water table aquifer, and, again, as I testified earlier, will be approximately 2.9 million gallons per day, but that will exclusively be withdrawn from the water table aquifer. So there will be 100 percent retirement of sandstone quantities as a result of the development.

Q What's the significance of the two aquifers and the reduction in the drawdown from the sandstone aquifer in particular?

A Well, the sandstone aquifer itself that was previously testified is an aquifer system of concern, so it's a confined system. It's used very often in Lee County for a potable supply for domestic

1	Page 142
1	self-supply from individual households. So it is an
2	aquifer of concern within Lee County and also with the
3	water management district.
4	Q So the per day reduction in water between
5	existing permitted water use by the agricultural
6	conditions is how much?
7	A 9.9 million gallons per day, which is
8	approximately equal to the same quantities that Lee
9	County is authorized to withdraw from those same two
10	aquifer systems for their potable supply system. So
11	basically we're retiring an entire well field as a
12	result of this project.
13	Q Would that reduction occur the same type
14	of reduction occur if the agricultural use were
15	continued at that site?
16	A No.
17	Q Now, you got some more detailed contours.
18	MR. MOORE: This next one I'd like it would
19	be
20	THE CLERK: It would be 37.
21	MR. MOORE: 37. And this is permitted aquifer
22	drawdown.
23	THE COURT: 37 is admitted.
24	(Joint Petitioners' Exhibit No. 37 was
25	admitted into evidence.)

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 143

BY MR. MOORE:

Q Did you prepare this?

A I did.

Q Okay. All right. This is a little more challenging to interpret for a layman. Would you explain that?

A I'll do my best. You have two graphics basically representing the two aquifer systems on site. The one on the left is the water table aquifer, and the one on the right is the confined sandstone aquifer.

So we ran a groundwater flow model of the existing permitted quantities that are authorized for the water table aquifer. This is a model that uses MODFLOW. The United States Geological Survey Code, or USGS designed the model. These are the same models that you use to obtain a permit through the South Florida Water Management District.

So you basically run the model to look at when I talk about the permitted quantities, what does that result when you withdraw that, and what is the drawdown, which is the depression in the water level surface.

So if you look at this, if you look at the black outline is the Kingston property. It's kind of

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 144

hard to see from this distance, but there's a yellow contour line that runs around the outside.

- Q Let me interrupt for a second.
- A Yes, sir.

5 MR. MOORE: Your Honor, I've got an exhibit 6 that might be more helpful.

THE COURT: Thank you.

BY MR. MOORE:

Q Go ahead, sir.

A So there's a contour line that I've shown that runs around the outside of the property that the model has generated, and that represents a half a foot of drawdown. Now, that doesn't -- the drawdown doesn't stop there. It continues to propagate out in all directions, but I only show the one that is right around the property itself just for this graphic.

Embedded within that, you will see kind of this color-coded area, which denotes areas of greater drawdown. So the northern part of the property you see kind of shade from blue to green to kind of yellow, then orange and kind of an orangish red.

Those denote areas of greater drawdown where we have higher concentrations of wells.

So we have about 1.5 foot to 2-foot of drawdown on the northern part of the property, and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Page 145

also that 1.5 feet of drawdown within the southern part of the property.

Now, when you look at the permitted sandstone aquifer, this is a confined aquifer, so it acts somewhat differently in the model. You see that we have significant drawdowns around the property itself which, again, is outlined in black. We have a 5-foot drawdown in the sandstone around the property, and then, again, using the same color scheme, as you go within the property where the wells are located, you see drawdowns increase to 10, and then the southern part of the property we have drawdowns of 10, 15, and even 20 feet that occur as a result of the permitted quantities.

Q Now, under the proposal we call the Kingston Proposal, that's the name of the development, as part of the settlement agreement, did you also prepare a chart showing the proposed aquifer recovery?

- A I did.
- 0 Is that the chart?
- 21 A (Nods head.)
- MR. MOORE: And would that be --
- THE COURT: 38.
- 24 MR. MOORE: 38?
- 25 THE CLERK: 38, yeah.

Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill Judge James Shenko Page 146 1 MR. MOORE: Ask that be admitted as 38. 2 Counsel? 3 MR. GROSSO: No objection. 38 is admitted. 4 THE COURT: (Joint Petitioners' Exhibit No. 38 was 6 admitted into evidence.) 7 BY MR. MOORE: What does that exhibit indicate? 8 0 All right. 9 Like I showed in the previous graphic, Α we ran a groundwater flow model for the withdrawals to 10 11 the existing agricultural operation. We also ran 12 models for the proposed lawn and landscape operation, 13 but then we compared those two model outputs to look 14 at what is the net change between those two drawdowns. 15 And so that's how you look at this recovery. 16 So in comparing those same quantities, we now 17 see that we would have a recovery in the proposed 18 water table aguifer surrounding the property about 2/10ths of a foot. Again, this is color-coded. 19 The 20 deeper colors represent greater areas of recovery. 21 So within the northern part of the property,

we have approximately one foot of recovery within the water table, and we also see down at the southern part of the property, we also see recovery coming close to one foot -- I'm sorry, at one foot and slightly

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

Page 147

greater than one foot.

Looking at the sandstone, which recall we're going to retire 100 percent of the sandstone quantities, we have a recovery of approximately five feet around the exterior of the property, around the property line, and then, again, we have recoveries of 10-foot, 15-foot, and even 20-foot within the southern part of the property.

So the reduction permitted quantities will result in a rebound in groundwater elevations.

Q Do those conclusions rely on the assumption that there will be 10,000 dwelling units permitted?

A The models that I ran were based on the irrigated area of lawn and landscape that would be within that development, correct.

- Q Or the -- what did you say, 800?
- 17 A 832 acres.
- Q Okay. Out of the 6,000 plus total?
- 19 A Correct.
- Q Okay.

A That represents -- I didn't say that. That's about an 83 percent reduction in irrigated areas. So it's significant. So the 9.9 million gallons per day represents about a 77 percent reduction in quantities, which was stated earlier, but the irrigated footprint

	<u> </u>
1	Page 148 has reduced by 83 percent.
2	Q All right. Now, that's for irrigation. Did
3	you also look at existing wells in the area?
4	A Yes.
5	MR. MOORE: That would be the Lehigh Acres
6	existing wells document.
7	THE CLERK: Thank you. That will be Number
8	39.
9	MR. MOORE: We ask that this be admitted as
10	the next exhibit.
11	THE COURT: The Court admits 39.
12	(Joint Petitioners' Exhibit 39 was admitted
13	into evidence.)
14	BY MR. MOORE:
15	Q Did you prepare Exhibit 39?
16	A I did.
17	MR. MOORE: Is that admitted, Your Honor?
18	THE COURT: It is.
19	MR. MOORE: Thank you.
20	BY MR. MOORE:
21	Q What does this exhibit represent?
22	A All right. If you look at this graphic on
23	the right-hand side, this is a screenshot from Lee
24	County's permitted well database. So it's an online
25	portal that you can research both the location and

Page 149

then specifics about all of the wells.

And the first thing that jumps out at you is this red area that is here that actually is composed of thousands of individual dots or markers, which represent individual wells. That is Lehigh Acres.

And so these are domestic wells that occur within Lehigh, and it basically is bound -- there's some that come down further than that obviously, but basically bound on the southern side by Highway 82. Right here in the lower right-hand corner is the Kingston property line in this area.

And then I've located a USGS -- it's a very important USGS water level monitoring well, which is within Lehigh Acres right in this area right here.

Focusing back on some of the concerns about the sandstone aquifer, and this is from the South Florida Water Management District, 2022 Lower West Coast Water Supply Update, and I won't read the whole thing, but basically, intensive use of the groundwater from the sandstone aquifer in the Lehigh Acres area has resulted in localized lowering of groundwater levels towards the maximum developable limits.

So basically they're getting close to exhausting this aquifer system in this area due to all of the competition from these wells.

Page 150

This particular USGS model that I talked about, L-729, this is what's called a hydrograph on this side. So basically it's the period of record of water level date that occurs every day collected by the USGS since 1977.

And the first thing that kind of jumps out at you on this graph is, what are these squiggly lines that run up and down? That represents -- the peak is the wet season and the lower point is the dry season. So you get this oscillation every year. So that's what represents wet and dry seasons through time.

If you'll notice that this graph is very diagnostic. Starting around 2000, you'll see that that frequency, that amplitude changes dramatically. It's almost three times as great as it was historically. So the seasonal fluctuation in groundwater levels in the sandstone aquifer has increased dramatically as a result of this competition.

Also, I did a linear trend analysis in Excel. That's what this yellow line is. So you can obviously -- you can see what it is, but the linear line helps you look at what the exact values are. So we're decreasing through time, and that looks like approximately, if you look at the Y axis on the plot,

Page 151

about 10-foot decrease in water level.

So water levels have dropped holistically about 10 feet within the sandstone aquifer, but also we have this exaggerated fluctuation in wet season and dry season.

So this is kind of a classic example of an aquifer system that is stressed, and that's why there's concern by both Lee County and the water management district in regards to withdrawals from the sandstone aquifer. That's why the recovery of water levels and the abandonment or retirement of groundwater levels from the sandstone as part of the project is very important, because these areas here to the north, remember I said that these drawdowns from the agricultural use propagate in all directions? These people in this area and also along the eastern side will definitely feel the direct benefit of this reduction in permitted quantities.

Q Along the eastern side, is that Wildcat Farms?

A Yes.

Q So the settlement agreement as currently is approved by the Board of County Commissioners, can you characterize its effect on -- hydrologic effect on the area around the subject properties?

	Page 152
1	A Yes.
2	Q How would you characterize it?
3	A Currently, I would characterize the existing
4	setting, this is a stressed setting, and the project
5	as proposed is a regional benefit to the groundwater
6	resources and also is a benefit to the existing legal
7	users in proximity to the project. So it's a regional
8	benefit as well as an individual user benefit or
9	existing legal user benefit.
10	Q Can those hydrologic benefits, which are
11	envisioned by this development and settlement
12	agreement be achieved without the settlement
13	agreement?
14	A No.
15	Q Would those benefits be achieved if the land
16	remained in agricultural
17	A No.
18	Q both north and south?
19	A No.
20	Q How about if the two parcels north and south
21	of Corkscrew Road were mined for the next 30, 40,
22	50 years?
23	A Mining, I have a lot of extensive experience
24	in mining. Mining is a whole new set of issues.
25	Mining severs the flowways. This the DR/GR is a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Page 153

dynamic relationship between surface water and groundwater, and the two interact with one another.

That's how the groundwater systems are recharged.

When you put a mine or a large excavation,

when you put a mine or a large excavation,
you sever those flowways and flow paths, and basically
it captures everything, and the mine doesn't
discharge. So it's like installing a giant area that
basically captures everything within that.

So the mine has a very different set of issues as a result of, you know, within the water table aquifer itself. So it introduces different aspects.

- Q So you heard the testimony earlier today from another witness that she hadn't specifically had mining experience with regard to hydrology or agricultural. Have you?
 - A Absolutely.
 - Q What kind of projects have you worked on?
- A I do all of the permitting for Mosaic. I do permitting for limestone mines, Florida Crushed Stone. I've done work for Rinker. I've done a number of
- 22 projects for mining operations for sand, clay,
- 23 limestone, and phosphate all over the Southeast United 24 States.
- 25 Q Have you also examined the effect of -- the

Page 154

effect on hydrology of an agricultural use?

- A Absolutely. I have.
- Q You publish any papers on that?

A I did. I was co-author of a reasonable assurance plan when I was at the water management district due to degrading water quality impacts on the City of Punta Gorda. The City of Punta Gorda has an in-stream drinking water reservoir that was established in the early 1960s, and agricultural operations upstream of that reservoir were impacting water quality.

So when I was at the water management district, we reduced a reasonable assurance plan, I helped co-author it, to address total maximum daily loads, TMDLs, for the City of Punta Gorda, and that was approved and basically peer reviewed by the EPA. So, yes, I have.

Q Okay. In summary, how would you -- what are your conclusions with regard to the benefits or the detriments of the settlement agreement on the overall hydraulic both surface water and groundwater in the Corkscrew Road area?

A By virtue of shrinking the footprint by 80-something percent. And I support agriculture. I do a lot of work with agriculture, but let's be

	Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill Judge James Shenko 11/08/20
1	Page 155 honest. There's a lot of agrochemicals and there's a
2	lot of aspects associated with agricultural
3	operations. So shrinking that footprint has a very
4	beneficial aspect towards water quality, and then in
5	the proposed creation of the flowways and maintaining
6	flow through the property.
7	A lot of this grove was developed prior to
8	current storm water permitting rules. So based on
9	today's standard has a very primitive storm water
10	management system. So the engineered system under
11	development is a vast improvement over that, and not
12	only attenuates the storm water, but also treats the
13	storm water. So there are improvements proposed on
14	water quantity, as well as water quality.
15	MR. MOORE: Can I have one moment, Your Honor?
16	THE COURT: You may.
17	MR. MOORE: That's all we have.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Grosso?
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. GROSSO:
21	Q Sir, when a mine is proposed to be dug and
22	maintained, does it require a water use permit from
23	the water management district?

- the water management district?
- It depends on the type of mining. Α It can.
- The type of mining that would take place on 25 Q

Page 156

this property in lieu of this development, would that type of mining require a permit from the water management district?

A It would for the processing of materials is typically what happens. So they withdraw water either through wells sometimes for the processing or through the pit itself, but, yes, that is very typical to require a water permit.

Q And agricultural operations also withdraw water from an aquifer and also as a result require a permit from the water management district, correct?

A Yes, if they meet, again, the specific criteria for the permit.

Q And the reason that a mine or an agricultural operation needs to get a permit is that we have a law in Florida that's designed to protect our surface and our groundwater resources, Chapter 373, correct?

A Yes, and 373 has a number of sections within it that afford protections for existing land uses and existing legal users.

Q Right, and in order for -- and the relevant water management district for this piece of earth would be the Southwest Florida Water Management District, correct?

A No, this would be South Florida.

Dage	157
raye	T 2 /

- Q South Florida. Headquarters in West Palm Beach?
- A Well, they have a local office, but their headquarters is in --
- Q Either way, the law requires the water management district as a condition of saying yes to a consumptive use permit, they have to determine that granting that permit would not adversely affect the groundwater resources that would be impacted, correct?
- A That's correct. It's the conditions of issuance.
- Q Right. And so when a mine or a farm has received a consumptive use permit from the water management district, that means the district has determined that that mine or that farm will not adversely affect groundwater resources, correct?
- A Based on the presumptions of the application, but these permits, you have to understand, are licenses. They have -- they're term limited, and during that time frame there is the reporting of a lot of information and data to make sure that that mine stays in compliance or that agricultural operation with that specific permit.
- Q So the answer to my question is yes. When the district is given a permit, that means it's

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 158	
----------	--

determined that the mine or the ag operation will not adversely affect the groundwater resources. True statement?

A Based on the presumptions of the application itself, that is tested over and over again during the term of the permit.

Q That's right. And if the water management district determines sometime during the life of that permit that the groundwater resources are actually being harmed, it can revoke the permit, correct?

A It has that ability, yes.

Q And you have on behalf of mining operations sought and received consumptive use permits from the water management district; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And are you the guy who does the hydrologic work and submits a report that tells the district there won't be a problem with water resources if you say yes to this permit? That's the role you played?

A I play -- I do the technical analysis and also the interaction with the district. So I do more than just that, but I also provide reasonable assurance to the district through my analyses that the proposed project will meet the conditions of issuance.

Q So of the permit applications that you have

1	Page 159 analyzed, how many of them for how many of them did
2	you determine that a mining permit will actually harm
3	the water resources?
4	A I just got done with one.
5	
6	harm
7	A Yeah.
8	Q would adversely affect water resources?
9	A We have.
10	Q And you told your client don't even bother
11	applying for this permit?
12	A No. We told them to modify the mine plan.
13	Through my analyses, we modified the mine plan.
14	Someone will come in and they'll say I want to do A, B
15	and C. We do the analysis and said C is impossible,
16	maybe B, but A you could do.
17	So, no, through the analysis, I have modified
18	virtually every single mining permit that I have
19	helped been part of.
20	Q Great. Because the water management district
21	is not going to grant the water use permit for that
22	mine if, in fact, it would adversely affect
23	groundwater resources, right?
24	A Correct.
25	Q Same question for agricultural operations.

Page 160

The water management district is not going to give an agricultural operation a consumptive water use permit if doing so would adversely affect groundwater resources, correct?

A Correct.

Q And at that, the chart that you talked about today, the one we're looking at there sitting on the floor, when you compared the amount of water that's going to be used for this development to what was happening now as farmland, you looked at the amount of water that the state, the water management district permits allow the agricultural operation to use, correct?

A Yeah, what they authorized, correct, and I used the exact same procedure when I analyzed the proposed lawn and landscape.

Q And that allowable quantity of groundwater withdrawal has been determined by the water management district to be safe, relative to impacts on the groundwater resources, correct?

A They have determined that that proposed water use was -- met the test of reasonable assurance, right, that there would be no adverse impacts, correct.

Q Okay. And, actually, the actual amount of

Page 161

water being used by the agricultural operations on the property today is a lot less than what they've been authorized to take out of the groundwater by the district, correct?

A It is less, but there are good reasons why it's less.

Q But it is less. The actual water use is less than what you're showing us on your chart, correct?

A Yes. For clarification, the district, South Florida Water Management District permits to a one-in-10 drought situation. So that's the driest 10 percent out of a 10-year time frame. So they do that on purpose so that you can maintain compliance through drought conditions. So if we're not in a drought, you're not going to be pumping the amount that is authorized as a drought condition.

Q And that's one of the conditions they put on these permits to make sure they won't adversely affect groundwater, correct?

A They do that, right, as a worst-case scenario so they can assess under a drought condition what the impacts may be.

Q Now, when you compared the amount of water that's going to be used by the development approved by the settlement agreement, you analyzed the amount of

Page 162

irrigation water that would be used from the development under the settlement agreement, correct?

A I use the modified Blaney-Criddle equation that is used by the South Florida Water Management District to determine the quantities for the existing agricultural operation. I used it in an identical form for the proposed lawn and landscape. So they are synonymous.

Q A home or a business uses water also for potable indoor use in addition to its irrigation use, correct?

A Yes.

Q And that was not part of your analysis, correct?

A No. That would not occur on site. There's no potable wells proposed on site. If there were, that would be part of my analysis.

Q Okay. But the homes and the businesses that are going to be approved under the settlement agreement are going to be increasing the water withdrawal from somewhere else within our watershed, correct?

A Correct, but the offsetting quantities as a reduction of the permit, more than offsets that amount.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 163

Q But, again, the current water withdrawals from this site from the agricultural operations are deemed sustainable by the South Florida Water Management District, correct?

A Yes.

MR. GROSSO: Thank you, Your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MOORE:

Q I just want to ask you a frame of reference question regarding your testimony on direct.

You've heard of the term, it's not a referendum, it's a choice. If you had a choice between an adverse effect, in this case counsel has been asking you about adverse effects or not, it's a permit was issued by the water management district versus testimony about a public benefit to be achieved by water reacquisition, water recovery, quality benefits, did your testimony on direct go to adverse effects and the -- whether the settlement would have an adverse effect or not, or did it go to the public benefits achieved by this settlement?

A There is a significant public benefit on the settlement in the reduction of groundwater quantities.

Q Notwithstanding any permitted use from agricultural --

Page 164

A Correct.

Q And is it a safe assumption with regard to your area of expertise to assume that, well, the owner is probably not ever going to meet his quantities for permitting under the permit, so let's assume that he would only use half or a quarter of what he's permitted to use. Is that a safe assumption?

A No. If it's -- if I understand your question, if it remains in agricultural, citrus has suffered horrible impacts from a disease called citrus greening. Plus, these hurricanes have had a devastating effect on the citrus industry in Florida.

So citrus properties, I do a lot of work with agriculture. I'm converting a lot of citrus properties to more intensive agricultural uses like row crops, sod and other aspects. In fact, the lower part of this particular property of Kingston has been converted. Five years ago south of Corkscrew Road was all citrus. Now it's row crops and sod.

So agriculturalists, I've learned from working with them, are very stubborn people. They love to be farmers, and they will do what they can to maintain a farming operation, and a lot of that means converting to a different use type or a different type of crop in order to maintain their farming operation.

	Page 165
1	So they could live up easily to their
2	quantities if they converted this entire thing to row
3	crops and to sod operation let's say.
4	Q And the public benefits that you've outlined
5	for the Court are assuming that they used their
6	permitted amount of quantity water, correct?
7	A Yeah.
8	MR. MOORE: That's all I have.
9	THE COURT: You may step down.
10	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
11	MR. MOORE: Your Honor, we call Ray Blacksmith
12	to the stand.
13	RAYMOND BLACKSMITH,
14	a witness, after being duly sworn, upon his oath,
15	answered and testified as follows:
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. MOORE:
19	Q Would you state your full name and business
20	address for the record, please.
21	A Sure. It's Raymond Blacksmith. The address
22	is 12011 Design Park Lane, Suite 103, Estero, Florida.
23	Q What is your profession, Mr. Blacksmith?
24	A I am president of Cameratta Companies, the
25	land developing company.

1	Q	Page 166 Can you just briefly tell the Court your work
2	experien	ce as related to land development.
3	А	I've got 49 years in land developing
4	experien	ce. I look young, but I'm very old. I've got
5	17 years	that I've worked at a civil engineering firm
6	and 32 ye	ears with Cameratta Companies.
7	Q	Have you prepared a series of charts?
8	А	Yes, I did.
9	Q	Okay.
10	А	Or I had them prepared.
11	Q	All right. Are you familiar with the
12	well, fi	rst, I should ask if you're authorized by
13	Mr. Came	ratta. Who is Mr. Cameratta?
14	А	He's the owner of Cameratta Companies.
15	Q	Are you authorized to speak for the
16	purchase:	r, Kingston Development, for this regarding
17	this set	clement?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	Are you familiar with the terms of the
20	settlemen	nt agreement before the Court?
21	А	Yes, I am.
22	Q	I have about three different areas of
23	question	ing for you.
24		First, was there any public outreach efforts,
25	were the	re any, by Kingston to explain the development

```
Page 167
 1
     proposal and to seek public input?
 2
         Α
              The settlement agreement, along with the
     Kingston project, went through four advertised public
 3
 4
     hearings, advertised to the local newspaper and direct
 5
     mailings to residents surrounding the individual
 6
     property.
 7
              MR. MOORE:
                           This next exhibit is the Kingston
 8
         mailing list. That will be 39?
 9
                           Actually, that will be 40.
              THE CLERK:
10
         sorry.
11
              MR. MOORE:
                           40.
12
                           Any objection?
              THE COURT:
13
              MR. GROSSO:
                            No.
                           40 is admitted.
14
              THE COURT:
15
              (Joint Petitioners' Exhibit No. 40 was
16
         admitted into evidence.)
17
                           Your Honor, here is...
              MR. MOORE:
18
              THE COURT:
                           Thank you.
     BY MR. MOORE:
19
20
              What's put on the easel there and marked as
21
     Exhibit 40, did you prepare that?
22
         Α
              I discussed the preparation of a number of
23
     court exhibits for today.
24
              Did you supervise the preparation?
         Q
25
         Α
              Yes, I did.
```

Page 168

Q It's pretty intense. Could you explain to the Court what this represents, the purpose of it?

A It's to show the Court the notification process that the project, the settlement agreement and the Kingston project went through for each of the public hearings.

What's shown on the board are the 387 lot owners surrounding the project that were mailed information regarding the proposed settlement agreement and the Kingston project.

Q Now, where did you get this mailing list from?

A The mailing list I obtained from Lee County. It's the exact mailing list that Lee County used when they did their public notice on the county's public hearings, the hearing examiner Board of County Commissioners meetings.

Q And let me specifically ask you about the date of May 31st, 2022. Does that date have any significance?

A On May 31st, 2022, we did a neighborhood outreach meeting to discuss the project. We followed the same guidelines that Lee County used in notifying the residents about the hearing examiner meeting, and I obtained the same mailing list to hold the

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 169

neighborhood meeting.

Again, those mailings went out to all the surrounding residents, about 387 involved -- included in the mailing list, and the meeting was also advertised in the local newspaper.

- Q Where did you hold the meeting?
- A We tried to make it convenient for the area residents, and we held the meeting at The Place, a residential development just to the west of the Kingston property.
- Q Is that the development we've heard testimony about here this morning?
 - A Right. We developed The Place project, and that included the construction of an amenity site and a large restaurant, and we reserved the restaurant and held a presentation for the local residents.
 - Q Were you at that presentation?
- 18 A Yes, I was.
- 19 Q What did you do there?
 - A Well, included in the mailings, we offered those that were going to come out to the site the ability -- because The Place is similar to what we're trying to do at Kingston with the restoration work, we offered anybody that would come to that neighborhood meeting the opportunity, two hours before starting the

Page 170

actual meeting, we have a bus that seats about 26 people, and we offered everybody an opportunity to do a tour of The Place project and tour the locations of the restoration work that we had done within The Place project.

Q What relevance would that have, what you did on The Place as opposed to or as distinct from the Kingston development?

A It will be identical. The type of construction that's going to be done, the grading that's going to be done, the type of plants that are going to be constructed -- or installed and the flowways that were installed at The Place is going to be similar to what we're going to do at the Kingston project.

Q All right. And did you receive input from the public at that meeting?

A Prior to the 31st meeting, we had a number of residents contact our office stating that they wouldn't be able to make the meeting but was interested in what was going to be presented.

So I prepared a summary for our secretary to follow up, and along with the summary for the May 31st meeting, I had a number of presentation boards prepared, and I had copies of those presentation

Page 171

boards made. And they were included with the summary that I gave our secretary to e-mail all of those that had questions and wanted additional information about the project. They were sent that information.

Q And did you actually receive public input?

A Yes, we had some follow-up conversations with those mailings that we -- or the e-mails that were sent out, and then getting into the actual meeting itself, we had a sign-in sheet. Unfortunately, it wasn't monitored well enough, and a lot of people didn't sign it, but there was a sign-in sheet, and I had four exhibit boards there.

And then everybody that came into the meeting I made copies or provided copies of each exhibit board, 11 by 17 color copies that they could have with them at their table while they're listening to the presentation.

And they could also take it home with them if they had any follow-up questions regarding the presentation itself. And I provided everybody that was there with my cell phone number, my office number, and my e-mail address to contact me with any questions that anybody would have.

And to be honest with you, it's no different than what Cameratta Companies does with any of our

Page 172

residential developments that we've done, especially along Corkscrew Road.

We try to do neighborhood outreach to contact at least the surrounding areas that may be affected by the development to try to find out what they may -- what comments they might have, and if we could integrate it into our design project.

Q Now, looking at this mailing list, you see -- I see some green rectangles largely to the east of the project or Corkscrew Road. What do those green rectangles on the east represent?

A The green areas are actual lots within the abutting properties of the Kingston project, and green, as it relates to this map, are residents that were -- that showed up to one or more or all of the public hearings and/or contacted us. And there's -- in fact, it's at the hearing examiner, there's testimony, sworn testimony that some of these residents talked, voiced their agreement with the project.

- Q And more than a few actually abut the eastern side of the development; is that correct?
- A That's correct.
- Q And how about the two red rectangles? What does that represent?

	Page 173
1	A Those are the locations of the two
2	intervenors.
3	Q Mr. Kleeger and Mr. Hill?
4	A That's correct. That's where they're located
5	in relationship to the project.
6	Q Did Mr. Kleeger and Mr. Hill reach out to you
7	at this May 31st hearing either before or after that?
8	A No.
9	MR. GROSSO: Objection. Relevance.
10	MR. MOORE: Relevance of the intervenors whose
11	basis was they're interested in the project.
12	THE COURT: Overrule the objection. You may
13	continue.
14	BY MR. MOORE:
15	Q What does the May 17th, 2022, date represent?
16	A May 17th there was the hearing examiner
17	meeting where the presentation was made in front of
18	the Lee County hearing examiner regarding the
19	settlement agreement.
20	Q Was that a public hearing?
21	A Yes, it was.
22	Q Was it a notice of public hearing?
23	A Yes, it was. Again, every resident that's
24	shown on this board got a notice of that meeting, and
25	the meeting was also noticed in the area newspaper.

1	Page 174 MR. MOORE: Your Honor, those notices are in
2	the record.
3	BY MR. MOORE:
4	Q Did Mr. Hill or Mr. Kleeger attend the public
5	hearing by the hearing examiner on May 17th, 2022?
6	A No, they did not.
7	Q What are these last two dates, June 7th, '22
8	and June 22nd, 2022?
9	A The June 7th was also a public hearing. It
10	was the first public hearing in front of the Board of
11	County Commissioners.
12	Q Was that noticed?
13	A Yes, it was, in the same fashion as the other
14	two meetings.
15	Q Okay. And did you attend it?
16	A Yes, I did.
17	Q All right. Did Mr. Hill or Mr. Kleeger
18	attend that?
19	A To my knowledge, they were not there.
20	Q Okay.
21	MR. MOORE: And we have the transcript, Your
22	Honor, of that hearing and the 22nd hearing.
23	BY MR. MOORE:
24	Q And how about on the 22nd hearing? Did
25	either Mr. Hill or Mr. Kleeger attend?

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 175

A The June 22nd was another public hearing in front of the Board of County Commissioners, and neither Mr. Kleeger nor Mr. Hill, to my knowledge, were at that meeting.

Q Was there an opportunity for the public to speak at each of these four public hearings?

A Yes, they were. With unlimited time.

Q Let me show you this letter to Michael Jacobs from you, I believe it was signed by you, dated June 2nd, 2022. Do you recognize that?

A Yes.

Q What is that?

A After I -- after I had the neighborhood meeting on May 31st, I wanted to document for county attorney Michael Jacob that the meeting was held. I provided a summary of the meeting, provided proof of the mailing list, of the advertisement in the News-Press. I have a photo of me giving the presentation with the design boards and also shows residents in that meeting, and then I've got some comments. I summarized the comments of the meeting also.

Q Both positive and negative?

A Both positive and negative.

MR. MOORE: Your Honor, I believe this would

	Page 176
1	be 41.
2	THE CLERK: Correct.
3	MR. MOORE: I offer this as our next exhibit.
4	THE COURT: 41 is admitted.
5	(Joint Petitioners' Exhibit No. 41 was
6	admitted into evidence.)
7	BY MR. MOORE:
8	Q Did you on behalf of Kingston Development or
9	Mr. Cameratta have any interactions with
10	representatives from Lehigh Acres about this agreement
11	and the development proposal?
12	A Again, like I had mentioned a couple of
13	minutes ago, whenever we have a new project that we're
14	contemplating, we do a neighborhood outreach. We try
15	to contact the surrounding neighbors to see what their
16	input might be on our design.
17	We did reach out to Lehigh Acres because our
18	company and our employees, we all live within Lee
19	County, and it's no secret that Lee County has had, on
20	occasion, flooding issues.
21	Knowing that we're constructing a project
22	with over 3,000 acres of restoration, and in a way
23	it's similar to the restoration work that we did at
24	Verdana Village where we had the ability to accept
25	floodwaters and stored to protect downstream

ane	177	

properties, we felt that there was a potential ability for the Kingston project to assist Lehigh Acres in solving some of their flooding issues.

Q And were you able to accommodate those interests?

A We met with them about two months ago, and I want to say it was four or five representatives from Lehigh Acres there. We discussed what our plans were. Initially, we believed we would try to connect into the Lancid [phonetic] Canal out of the northeast corner of our property and try to take some of that water during a severe storm event and put it into the Kingston project where we could store it.

During the course of that meeting, Lehigh
Acres had discussed their desire to try to work with
us and see if we could accommodate them if they were
able to get water to our northwest corner of the
property. And I told Lehigh Acres that if the
project -- if the settlement agreement were approved
and we went forward with the Kingston project, that
our project engineer would work closely with Lehigh
Acres' engineer to try to accommodate what we could
for Lehigh Acres.

Q All right. So Lehigh Acres actually abuts the northern portion of the Corkscrew Road property;

	Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill Judge James Shenko	11/08/20
_		Page 178
1	is that correct?	
2	A That's correct.	
3	Q And it comes down across State Road 82	on the
4	east side of the property as well?	
5	A That's correct.	
6	Q And so your discussions with them dealt	with,
7	in part, about flooding concerns that they had a	nd how
8	you could perhaps accommodate that?	
9	A That is correct.	
10	Q Did you receive a letter from David Lin	dsay,
11	the district manager of Lehigh Acres, regarding	the
12	benefits and acknowledgment that they approved o	f
13	those benefits by the development?	
14	A Yes. He sent me the letter just confir	ming
15	or acknowledging the fact that we did meet and t	ry to
16	work together to incorporate in some ways to be	able
17	to handle some of the water from Lehigh Acres.	He was
18	appreciative of it and looking forward to workin	g with
19	us.	
20	MR. GROSSO: Your Honor, I'm going to o	bject
21	and move to strike as hearsay.	
22	THE COURT: Response?	
23	MR. MOORE: Your Honor, it's a letter	

25

outreach that he observed.

regarding the benefits and acknowledgement and the

I'm not offering it to

	Page 179		
1	prove the truth of the fact asserted, but rather to		
2	show that this was sent and received to show that		
3	there was interaction and input from the public, in		
4	particular, Lehigh Acres.		
5	THE COURT: I sustain the objection.		
6	MR. GROSSO: If it's not being offered for the		
7	truth of the matter asserted, then, I don't have		
8	that objection.		
9	THE COURT: Okay. All right. He withdraws,		
10	so go ahead.		
11	MR. MOORE: It's Exhibit 21, and I just point		
12	out for the record it's already been admitted.		
13	THE COURT: Oh, it's already been. Okay.		
14	BY MR. MOORE:		
15	Q Did the Kingston Development team, to your		
16	knowledge, have any meetings with nongovernmental		
17	organizations sometimes called NGOs regarding the		
18	environmental effects of the settlement agreement?		
19	A Yes, we did.		
20	Q Can you name some of those groups?		
21	A Again, as I've stated twice before, when we		
22	our company starts looking into any project, we do		
23	an outreach to see if there's any comments or		
24	suggestions that we can possibly incorporate into our		
25	design.		

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 180

For the Kingston project, we reached out to the Audubon Society, to the Conservancy of Southwest Florida, and to the Florida Wildlife Federation.

Q Did you share with them the details of the settlement proposal and what the development would be proposed?

A Yeah, we discussed the settlement agreement. I think they were more interested in the pod layout, the bubble plan is what we showed them, and they commented and they made comments to that.

Q We've had some testimony today about panthers and wildlife corridors. Did you discuss the wildlife corridor and the location of your proposed wildlife corridor with any of these groups?

A We -- yes, we discussed it with the Florida Wildlife Federation. In fact, we asked them what their opinion would be to locate a corridor on the Kingston project. And we had dialog with the Florida Wildlife Federation for about a decade because of the projects that we've done up and down Corkscrew Road, they contacted our office to see if we would allow them to put cameras on our site so they could document wildlife in the area.

We felt they had the best information and possibly a better direction on where to locate a

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Page 181

wildlife	corridor	\circ n	Ollr	property
WIIGHT	COLLIGOR	OII	Our	property.

Q Referring to Exhibit 32, did you have any negative feedback from those organizations with regard to the location of the placement of the wildlife corridor?

A As far as wildlife --

MR. GROSSO: Objection. We're talking -- this is now the rankest of hearsay, Your Honor. We're trying to establish some facts about the value of this wildlife corridor, so-called, based on the statements or non-statements of people who are not here.

MR. MOORE: I'm not asking for statements. I'm asking if he had any negative feedback from those organizations.

Counsel has already raised previously about these organizations and negative comments regarding wildlife corridors and panther habitat, and I'm proving the negative, that there were no negative comments.

THE COURT: Sustain. Sustain the objection.

MR. GROSSO: Thank you.

23 BY MR. MOORE:

Q As a result of these meetings, did you locate this corridor where it's currently represented on this

Page 182 1 exhibit? 2 Α The corridor represented on this exhibit is how it was described to me by the Florida Wildlife 3 4 Federation as the most ideal location. 5 MR. GROSSO: Your Honor, I got to move to 6 strike that. 7 THE COURT: Sustained. Go ahead. BY MR. MOORE: 8 9 Let me show you with regard to the spine 0 Have you heard testimony about the north/south 10 11 spine road throughout the property? 12 Α Yes. 13 Can you identify that exhibit? 14 Α Yes. This is a map that shows the overall 15 Corkscrew Grove Limited Partnership land that's owned. 16 It shows the State Road 82 in black, Corkscrew Road in 17 black, and in red is the location of where the five 18 and a half mile long spine road is going to be located. 19 20 0 All right. This will be the next numbered 21 MR. MOORE: 22 exhibit that we would offer. 23 THE CLERK: Number 42. 24 MR. MOORE: 42. 25 THE COURT: Any objection?

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 183

MR. GROSSO: No. No objection.

THE COURT: 42 is admitted.

3 (Joint Petitioners' Exhibit No. 42 was

4 admitted into evidence.)

MR. MOORE: I think we have an enlargement of that. Maybe not.

BY MR. MOORE:

Q All right. Do you have that in front of you?

A Yes.

Q What's the reason for the spine road?

A It's to give the individual residential pods in the development the connection to State Road 82 and Corkscrew Road. It also acts as a hurricane evacuation route, north/south hurricane evacuation route for the benefit of Lee County. It's also a secondary roadway north and south.

There's been a few times over the last year where there's been an automobile accident at the intersection of Alico Road and Corkscrew Road. And when that has happened, and, again, that's happened more than once in the last year, any resident east of Alico Road can't go west. They have to take another route. And it's traveling east all the way down Corkscrew Road, all the way to Route 82, and then down Route 82 to Daniels and Daniels to I-75.

1	Page 184 This route gives all the residents east of
2	Alico Road the ability for another route to go north
3	and south and to also go east and west.
4	Q Have you prepared a chart listing the costs
5	of the roadway development mitigation plan for this
6	settlement?
7	A The cost of the yes.
8	Q Are those the figures that you prepared?
9	A Yes.
10	Q All right.
11	MR. MOORE: Your Honor, I believe Kingston
12	Development roadway mitigation. We would offer
13	those as the next exhibit.
14	MR. GROSSO: No objection.
15	THE COURT: 43, I believe.
16	THE CLERK: That was 42 you showed me, Mr
17	MR. MOORE: 43.
18	THE CLERK: Is that 43 you showed me?
19	MR. MOORE: I think we had a 42.
20	THE CLERK: We just had 42. I wasn't sure the
21	name of that, but is that the next, Exhibit 43?
22	MR. MOORE: Yes.
23	THE CLERK: Okay. Thank you.
24	(Joint Petitioners' Exhibit No. 43 was
25	admitted into evidence.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

BY	MR.	MOORE:
----	-----	--------

- Q And do you know the -- can you just tell the Court what the cost of the spine road would be.
- A The spine road relative to this chart is for five and a half miles of roadway, four lanes of road seven-foot wide, bike paths, all the infrastructure, the sewer, the water, the irrigation, the pavement, the grading, the landscaping, is estimated at about \$40 million.
- Q Who pays for that, the county?
- A No. That's a development cost. Once
 completed, it will be turned over to the county, but
 that's a development cost.
- Q All right. And does this chart also indicate the projected cost of the large mammal crossings there's been testimony about?
 - A Yes. We're estimating a large mammal crossing to satisfy the crossing that we just talked about to be approximately \$2 million, and that would be underneath the spine road.
 - Q Again, who pays for that?
- 22 A That is also a development cost.
- Q Did you also prepare an exhibit showing the conservation restoration costs?
- 25 A Yes.

	Page 186
1	Q There's been a good bit of testimony today
2	and back on August 31st about restoration of over
3	3200 acres. You remember that testimony?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And that restoration includes with it a
6	maintenance cost; is that correct?
7	A Yes, it does.
8	Q How long is that maintenance of the
9	restoration area?
10	A I found out going through approvals what
11	perpetuity meant. That's forever.
12	THE CLERK: 44.
13	MR. MOORE: 44.
14	THE COURT: Any objection to 44?
15	MR. GROSSO: No objection.
16	THE COURT: 44 is admitted.
17	(Joint Petitioners' Exhibit No. 44 was
18	admitted into evidence.)
19	BY MR. MOORE:
20	Q What's your estimation, please, on that
21	put it in the record, of the restoration, preservation
22	costs?
23	A The restoration construction cost, which
24	includes the grading and the plantings and everything
25	necessary to provide the restoration work per the

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 187

settlement agreement is estimated at over \$78 million, \$78,422,000. And then the maintenance costs per year is about just over \$1.7 million a year.

- Q That 1.7 would be forever?
- A That would be forever.
 - Q Again, paid by the county?
- A The restoration construction cost is paid by the land developer, by us. That's a developing cost. The maintenance cost is paid for by the homeowner -- by the developer while we're still in control, but eventually to the homeowner association or community development district.
- Q Yeah. If you could just give a little basis of how you arrived at these numbers, and specifically, what's done with regard to restoration, and what's done with regard to maintenance.
- A I just wanted to say this work, we first implemented this type of work at The Place development. That was our first project, and we went to school on that project because I don't think we really realized the cost of what -- what the cost is to be able to perform this type of work.

We were educated when we went into the Verdana Village project, and we're about halfway through that construction right now. So we've got

Page 188

historical numbers on the planting and the grading and the wildlife fencing that's necessary to be installed into the restoration areas. And we've got significant historical numbers on the maintenance because we're seeing it at The Place project and at the Verdana Village right now.

I just want to say the maintenance is not a manner of once a year, or four times a year you check the water monitoring and you submit those documents to Lee County, to the natural resources. Maintenance is going out there after a severe storm event and walking, in this case, over 3200 acres of land.

The engineer is going to have to walk that and make sure there's no trees that have fallen over that are blocking the historical flowways, that there's no soil erosion in the historical flowways, if the weirs that are going to be constructed are still operating the way they're designed to operate.

And if there is an issue, then, that cost has to make those repairs, and if there's plants that have died, they have to be replaced.

- Q Under the current conditions, is there any restoration or maintenance required?
 - A In the current condition, no, there is not.
 - Q Mr. Blacksmith, from the perspective of the

- 1 contract purchaser, Mr. Cameratta and Kingston
- 2 Development, would this settlement agreement be
- 3 possible without involving the southern parcel owned
- 4 by Corkscrew Road --
 - A No, it would not.
- 6 Q -- south of Corkscrew Road?
- 7 A It would not.
- Q Why not?

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 9 A Because to be able to develop and construct
 10 the historical flowways on the north side, you need to
 11 be able to continue that discharge all the way to the
 12 southerly property line. And after discussions with
 13 the Audubon Group, who is the southerly abutting
 14 property owner, they voiced concern about the --
 - Q Don't tell us what they said, just tell us what you did.
 - A To satisfy issues that we saw evident to our southerly neighbor, we will prevent and direct discharge locations and try to do sheet flow from the Kingston property onto the Audubon property and install monitoring wells at each discharge location, not only to check the quality of the water leaving the site, but we'll have monitoring wells in each location on the north side of the property.
 - Where water is coming in, we'll monitor it

orkscrew Grove vs. Hill	Judge James Shenko	11/08/20
		Page 190
and send that ir	nformation to Lee County, a	nd any water
leaving the site	e will be monitored, and th	at
information will	l be sent to Lee County.	
Q Now, no	one of these costs that we	previously
had before the (Court that you testified ab	out relate
to proportionate	e share or impact fees or a	ll the other
development cost	s that your group would en	tail; is
that correct?		
A That is	s correct.	
Q So over	call, do you have an estima	te of how
many dollars the	ose would cost?	
A If you	had a chart, I could look	at it to
verify it. It's	s hundreds of millions of d	ollars.
Q And, ag	gain, that's a cost by the	developer;
is that correct?		
A That's	correct.	
Q So what	relationship, if any, did	those costs
and the costs th	nat you testified to about	the
restoration and	the enhancement of the wil	dlife
corridor, et cet	tera, what relation, if any	, do those
costs have with	the number of units being	proposed for
this development	?	

A significant number of costs are based upon Α the density approval for the development.

> And how about the costs that are not related Q

	Page 191
1	to density but, say, the large mammal crossing with
2	the spine road?
3	A Whatever the cost comes in at, that's a
4	development cost.
5	Q And how does the how does the property
6	owner, how does he afford that? How is that paid for?
7	A Those types of costs are part of the unit or
8	lot cost to the customers or builders on the lots
9	being built or developed in the subdivision.
10	Q So would those public benefits that have been
11	testified to in this hearing be possible without those
12	10,000 units being approved?
13	A No, it would not.
14	Q Would Kingston accept less than the current
15	negotiated proposal for price?
16	A No.
17	MR. MOORE: One moment, Your Honor.
18	THE WITNESS: Just one more.
19	MR. MOORE: I don't think I can unless it's
20	related to your last answer.
21	THE WITNESS: Not to my last answer but to the
22	development.
23	MR. MOORE: All right. Maybe something will
24	pop up in cross.
25	CROSS-EXAMINATION

	Page 192
1	BY MR. GROSSO:
2	Q Sir, so your company currently owns the land?
3	A No, it does not. We're a contract purchaser.
4	Q So you've got a contract right now that is
5	contingent upon the approval of this settlement
6	agreement?
7	A That's correct, sir.
8	Q And the amount of money you are going to pay
9	for the property under the contract is what?
10	MR. MOORE: Your Honor, we object. Could we
11	approach the bench?
12	THE COURT: You may.
13	(Sidebar begins.)
14	MR. MOORE: There's a number of objections to
15	this. Number one, it's outside the scope. I
16	haven't raised what he's paying for the property,
17	but more to the point, there's also a
18	confidentiality agreement in the contract between
19	the purchase contractor and our client, Kingston
20	Corkscrew Road. We can't divulge that unless the
21	Court directs us to, but it's certainly not
22	specifically relevant to any of his testimony here.
23	MR. GROSSO: I'm not sure why this is an
24	off-the-record discussion, but I don't know how an
25	inordinate burden can be proven if we cannot get the

Page 193

facts out in terms of the dollar figures. And
there's some sort of implication that we don't get
to go behind, that this amount of development is
required to prevent an inordinate burden, but then
it's all confidential and we can't learn about it.
I don't know how we can square the ruling you have
to make, Your Honor, with that approach.

MR. MOORE: Number one, it's on the record, you know, the court reporter's taking it down. It's just before the bench, and, Number 2, we can put this -- he can ask our client. I'm going to have an objection to that, too, but that's specifically what Mitch Hutchcraft, our next witness, is going to be testifying to.

That's not what Mr. Blacksmith -- he is not the one who has to be satisfied with regard to reduction of the inordinate burden or elimination of the inordinate burden. Counsel wants to get into the second issue of the Bert Harris, but that's not the purpose of all this previous testimony.

This is the public benefits section, not that.

He can ask Mr. Hutchcraft, if you will, and then the

Court can rule whether...

THE COURT: Mr. Hutchcraft would know that amount number, is that what you're saying?

Page 194

MR. MOORE: Yeah, he's the seller. This is the buyer's representative.

THE COURT: Mr. Hutchcraft will be called as a witness?

MR. MOORE: He's our next witness.

MR. GROSSO: Well, if they're going to have the same objection, I don't know how to deal with that. I mean, that information --

MR. MOORE: Well, it's not entirely the same objection. First is, this is totally out of the scope because I didn't raise this at all with this witness. It's out of the scope.

The other objection is going to be confidentiality, but I don't have to make it again. I'll just -- that will be a speaking objection here. Without a speaking objection, rather, standing objection, and then you can rule and tell Mr. Hutchcraft to do it.

We'll do whatever the Court tells us to do with regard to confidentiality, but right now, we're bound by the contract and so is he. I'm not his lawyer, but he's bound by the contract just as our -- unless there's a direction by the Court.

THE COURT: Well, it seems as if that amount would go as to proving or disproving the inordinate

Page 195

burden. So I don't know how we get that in the record, if you want to not have it heard by everybody in the gallery. I'm not quite sure.

MR. MOORE: He can seek to put it in through
Mr. Hutchcraft, and then if the Court thinks that's
appropriate, I'm not going to raise cane about it.

I'll just say there's an objection, but we can go
ahead and do it because I think that's what the
contract says. We're not going to do it without the
court order.

MR. GROSSO: I certainly think it would be important for the record to reflect that information is not going to be made public. And, again, I don't know how you can, then, prepare the impact on the landowner without having that information.

You're asking the Court to make an assumption, then, without facts that this amount of development is required to avoid an inordinate burden when we're not going to be told what all of those facts are.

MR. MOORE: The point is, we didn't raise it in direct examination, and if he wants to raise that issue, that's a second issue. We have two issues pending before the Court; public benefit to the contravening policies and whether it's in the public interest, and the second is inordinate burden.

- 1 | That's not Mr. Blacksmith's position.
- 2 MR. GROSSO: Then, I'm not sure that that last
- 3 | question would your company accept anything less,
- 4 | what does that help prove --
- 5 MR. MOORE: You're hitting the court
- 6 reporter's head.
- 7 Objection to that, too.
- 8 MR. GROSSO: Obviously, Your Honor, you would
- 9 | rule as you can. I think it's a relevant, valid
- 10 | question. I think it speaks to the nature of the
- 11 issues under the Harris Act, and I think that Your
- 12 | Honor is prejudiced without being able to be given
- 13 that information.
- MR. MOORE: How would Your Honor be prejudiced
- if he's going to bring it up with the next witness?
- 16 THE COURT: Well, I'll reserve the right to
- 17 | allow Mr. Grosso to recall this witness, if
- 18 | necessary. We'll deal with him on the next witness,
- 19 and I'll allow you to recall this witness should you
- 20 | wish.
- 21 MR. GROSSO: If I'm unable to do it with the
- 22 | next witness?
- 23 THE COURT: Correct.
- 24 MR. GROSSO: Thank you.
- 25 (Sidebar ends.)

	Page 197
1	MR. GROSSO: How should we proceed, then, Your
2	Honor?
3	THE COURT: Sustaining the objection without
4	prejudice. You may continue.
5	MR. GROSSO: Thank you.
6	BY MR. GROSSO:
7	Q Sir, when you tallied up all of the costs
8	that you've testified to with your lawyer today and
9	you compared them to your projected profit as a result
10	of this project, you are projecting that your company
11	will make a profit if the contract follows through,
12	correct?
13	A Your question doesn't include the
14	construction costs of the project.
15	Q Everything.
16	A Well, that wasn't that wasn't a question
17	by Mr. Moore.
18	Q You're not going to take a loss on this
19	contract, right?
20	A No. It's not our objective to take a loss.
21	Q You are here because you have determined that
22	when you compare all of the costs you're going to have
23	to outlay to all of the profit you're going to get by
24	selling the development, you intend and you project
25	you will, in fact, make a profit, correct?

Page 198 1 Α That is the intent. 2 And that profit is to be measured in hundreds of millions of dollars? 3 4 Α I have no knowledge on what to project that 5 to be today. 6 So --0 Α If you look at the economy today. What you're telling the judge today is that 8 0 9 you actually have no idea how much profit your company 10 will make if the contract is fully executed? 11 MR. MOORE: Objection to relevance, Your 12 Far outside of the field. Honor. 13 THE COURT: Overrule the objection. 14 BY MR. GROSSO: I'm sorry, sir, that means you can answer the 15 0 16 question. 17 THE WITNESS: That I can? 18 THE COURT: You may. BY MR. GROSSO: 19 20 0 So you don't know the answer to my question? 21 Well, sir, when we signed the contract almost Α 22 a year ago, the economy was in a totally different 23 location as it is right now. And over the last 24 several months, construction costs have escalated 30, 25 40, 50 percent if you can even get the material.

	Page 199
1	So to project what we could have made a year
2	ago to what we may make over a period of 15 to
3	20 years, I'm not going to conjecture. I'm not going
4	to speculate.
5	Q Yeah, by that same token, the cost of housing
6	has also accelerated greatly over that same period of
7	time, correct?
8	A Right.
9	Q So the amount that you will be able to sell
10	the homes and office space is also increasing
11	significantly, correct?
12	A We're a development company, not a building
13	company. We don't build the houses.
14	Q You just sell the land off.
15	A We sell the finished lots. That's correct.
16	Q And as part of the process you've been
17	involved in with the negotiations here, did you ever
18	have prepared an appraisal that documented or
19	projected how much profit you could make if you got to
20	develop less than 10,000 homes?
21	A No.
22	Q Have you ever had an appraisal done that
23	documented how much profit you could make if you got
24	less than the hundred thousand square feet of

commercial development?

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 200

A No.

Q The settlement process -- the settlement that is before the judge today, is that, the substance of that, what your company offered to the county?

A It's what was negotiated with the county, correct.

Q And once that settlement agreement was negotiated with the county, that's when public hearings were set up to run that settlement by the public, correct?

A Yes.

Q And part of the outreach you made to certain residents did not include contacting my client,
Mr. Hill, correct?

A You're correct. I did not go door to door to contact any of the residents. We did mailings and an advertisement in the newspaper as all public hearings are handled in Lee County.

Q And the settlement agreement that is before the judge today is the same settlement agreement that was presented to the public during those public forums, correct?

A That's correct.

Q And there were no changes made to that proposed settlement as a result of any of the public

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMENT	77011	received,	CORRECT?
COMMICTIC	you	TCCCTVCa,	COLLCC.

- A That is correct. I had tweaked the design of the project prior to those public hearings.
- Q And you, I assume, have been part of the team that's put together the presentation of documents for this hearing, correct?
 - A Correct.
- Q And I assume you directed your attorneys to put documents into the record that sort of put the best face forward on the settlement agreement; is that right?
 - A We put the settlement agreement as presented.
- Q And you put the settlement agreement and everything you think is in support of it, helpful of that settlement agreement in the record, right?
- A Everything in the settlement agreement speaks for itself.
- Q Okay. And so if I look through the notebook of exhibits, I'm not going to find a letter of support from a single environmental organization, am I?
- A No, because you won't -- you won't achieve that type of recommendation prior to a project going in front of them with all the design completed. No project gets that.
 - Q Okay. So you're speculating. What you just

	Page 202
1	said to us was that, no, an environmental group would
2	never write a letter approving of a settlement
3	agreement. They would wait until permits are sought.
4	Is that what you're saying?
5	A I'm saying an environmental organization does
6	not offer an approval of a project prior to the
7	project being directly submitted to them.
8	Q Have you ever worked for an environmental
9	group?
10	A I worked 17 years at a civil engineering firm
11	and 32 years with Cameratta.
12	Q That's a no, you've never worked for an
13	environmental organization?
14	A Right. Correct.
15	Q The spine road that you talked about wouldn't
16	be necessary if you weren't going to develop 10,000
17	homes and all of the commercial development, right?
18	A The spine road would be necessary. It's just
19	the size of the number of lanes that would be
20	required.
21	Q When you say the spine road would be
22	necessary, you're saying under a scenario where your
23	project doesn't happen at all?
24	A What is your question?
25	Q My question is, you're building the spine

1	Page 203 road to handle the traffic that your development would				
2	generate, right?				
3	A Correct, along with the neighboring residents				
4	who will utilize that, too. Once the spine road is				
5	completed, it's turned over to the county, and all				
6	residents can utilize that same road.				
7	Q Right. And so that, at that point, when the				
8	road is turned over to the county, it's county				
9	taxpayer's responsibility to maintain that road,				
10	right?				
11	A That is correct.				
12	MR. GROSSO: If I may, Your Honor?				
13	THE COURT: You may.				
14	MR. GROSSO: That's all I have. Thank you.				
15	MR. MOORE: No questions, Your Honor.				
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.				
17	MR. GROSSO: Your Honor, could we have a brief				
18	recess?				
19	THE COURT: Sure.				
20	MR. GROSSO: Thank you.				
21	THE COURT: Take a 10-minute recess.				
22	(Recess taken from 2:58 p.m. to 3:07 p.m.)				
23	THE COURT: Your next witness, please.				
24	MR. MOORE: Your Honor, we call Mitch				
25	Hutchcraft.				

	Page 204
1	MITCHELL HUTCHCRAFT,
2	a witness, after being duly sworn, upon his oath,
3	answered and testified as follows:
4	THE WITNESS: I do.
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. MOORE:
7	Q Would you state your full name and business
8	address for the record, please.
9	A Yes. My name is Mitchell A. Hutchcraft. My
10	business address is 3 Riverway, Suite 1600, Houston,
11	Texas. We also have an office in Fort Myers.
12	Q When you say we, what do you mean?
13	A I am an employee of King Ranch, so this is a
14	King Ranch address.
15	Q And what is your profession, sir?
16	A I was trained professionally as a landscape
17	architect and then as a certified planner.
18	Q And what do you do now?
19	A Now, I am vice president of real estate
20	governmental affairs and land and minerals for King
21	Ranch.
22	Q Does King Ranch have real estate in Florida?
23	A Yes, sir, we do.
24	Q How is King Ranch connected with Corkscrew
25	Grove Regional well, with Corkscrew Grove Limited

	Partnership?
--	--------------

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

25

- A Yes, sir. King Ranch is the majority owner and managing member of Consolidated Citrus. Corkscrew Groves is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Consolidated Citrus.
- Q And how long have you been employed with King Ranch?
 - A I've been with King Ranch over 15 years.
- Q Specifically, what's your official connection, if any, between King Ranch and Corkscrew Grove Limited Partnership, LLC?
- A Again, King Ranch owns Consolidated Citrus.

 Consolidated Citrus is the managing member and wholly owns Corkscrew Grove Limited Partnership.
- Q Are you familiar with the property owned by Corkscrew Grove and the -- there's another ownership group called The Hunt Group, north of Corkscrew Road?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
 - Q How about the parcel immediately to the south of that property across Corkscrew Road?
 - A Yes, I'm familiar with that as well.
- 22 Q How did you become familiar with that?
 - A As my role with King Ranch, I lead up the acquisition responsibilities for acquiring those properties.

1	Q Roughly, when did you acquire, do you
2	remember?
3	A Yeah. Those properties were acquired in
4	September of 2016.
5	Q Okay. And was the former owner Old Corkscrew
6	or Plantation?
7	A That was the previous entity. They had
8	actually defaulted, so we acquired it from a bank out
9	of bankruptcy.
10	Q What was the intent of Corkscrew Grove
11	Limited when it purchased that property in terms of
12	its short-term and long-term use?
13	A King Ranch looks to acquire properties that
14	have long-term conversion opportunities. We like to
15	look for properties that are in the path of growth,
16	but that also have a short-term agricultural
17	opportunity.
18	We saw that there was potential for
19	short-term agriculture in the form of citrus on this
20	property, but we were aware of the pending zoning
21	application for mining when we acquired the property.
22	Q Did your acquisition include all the rights
23	from that mining application?
24	A That's correct. We specifically included
25	those rights in our agreements.

Page 207

- Q What kind of agriculture was the property being used for north of Corkscrew Road?

 A It was in citrus.
- Q What was the state of the citrus industry in southwest Florida from about 2017 forward?

A The citrus industry has been in a long-term decline starting about 2007. 2017 was a pivotal point in time following Hurricane Irma. It had a significant impact and rapidly spread citrus greening and citrus canker resulting in a pretty quick decline of the citrus industry after that year.

Q How did that situation affect your plans for the property?

A It had a significant impact. Our groves in southwest Florida lost anywhere from 50 to 80 percent of the fruit following Hurricane Irma, and there was not a meaningful rebound.

Input costs doubled or tripled, and the price for fruit was going down and our productivity was going down. So our groves in southwest Florida have seen significant declines.

- Q As a land planner considering that situation, what did you consider to be the highest and best use of the property in the long run?
 - A Rock mining is what we believe is the highest

FMCR

Page 208

and best use for this property.

- Q Why not just develop the whole acreage as low density residential?
- A A, I don't think that there's a market demand for that. B, I think that there are environmental challenges putting wells and septic tanks. I think there was some discussion of Lehigh Acres sprawl having low density all over the property eliminates habitat connectivity, makes infrastructure costs more expensive. So I don't think it is a viable use of that land.
- Q Roughly, how much did the owner spend in pursuing the mining application, both administratively and then in litigation?
- A I don't have an exact number, but it's somewhere between half a million and a million dollars so far.
- Q Was the subject property reasonably suitable for mining?
- A I believe the answer is yes. All of the core samples that we saw indicated that there was deep and very high quality rock under the property. There was two approved rock mines adjacent to the property when we acquired it. Since that time, there's been a third rock mine approved. The DR/GR has rock mining as a

	Page 209
1	permitted use. So we believe it was very appropriate
2	for a rock mine.
3	Q Was there a hearing before an independent
4	hearing examiner on the mining application?
5	A Yes, sir, there was.
6	Q And what was the result?
7	A The hearing examiner recommended denial over
8	the staff's recommendation of approval with
9	conditions.
10	MR. MOORE: Your Honor, that's Exhibit 7.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	BY MR. MOORE:
13	Q Was there a subsequent hearing before the
14	Board of County Commissioners on the mining
15	application?
16	A Yes, sir, there was. The board agreed with
17	the hearing examiner recommendation and denied the
18	request, found that the site was inappropriate for
19	rock mining.
20	MR. MOORE: Your Honor, that's Exhibit 8 is
21	the county commissioner resolution.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	BY MR. MOORE:
24	Q Did that denial of rezoning application for
25	mining impact the subject property in any way?

Dage 210

1	Page 210 A Yes. We believe that it removed the highest
2	and best use for the property. We also believed that
3	it impacted our property rights as an owner.
4	Q Did the denial impact any of your reasonable
5	expectations?
6	A Absolutely. We had investment-backed
7	expectation of this property for both short-term
8	agriculture and a long-term use, and mining was the
9	one that was currently in process.
10	Q What did the owner, that is, Corkscrew Grove
11	Limited, do after receiving the board's denial of the
12	mining application?
13	A We immediately filed two items. One, we
14	filed a request to petition against the denial looking
15	for declaratory relief, and then, secondly, we filed a
16	Bert Harris action.
17	Q Well, you say filed an action. Did you file
18	a claim letter?
19	A I'm sorry. Yes, we filed a claim letter.
20	MR. MOORE: Your Honor, that claim letter is
21	Exhibit 9.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	BY MR. MOORE:
24	Q And have you read the claim letter?

Α

Yes, sir.

25

1	Page 211 Q Okay. And past appraisal?
2	A Yes, sir.
3	Q Was that date of value back in 2019?
4	A That is correct.
5	Q What's happened to the land value since then?
6	A Land values in general have gone up
7	significantly since that time.
8	Q How about for residential?
9	A I believe that is true for residential as
10	well.
11	Q What was the amount of damage claimed from
12	the loss of the mining use?
13	A The appraisal found a \$63 million loss due to
14	the removal of mining.
15	Q And was that just for the land?
16	A That was just for the mining rights.
17	Q And did that include your reasonable
18	expectations regarding other uses that you would have
19	had other than just selling it?
20	A No, it did not.
21	Q All right. Did that appraisal include the
22	loss of potential revenues after leasing the property
23	out for mining use?
24	A No, it did not. It did not include what we
25	would have expected the royalty revenues from that

property.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Did you run any pro formas or get any ideas about this royalty projections of what the owner could expect or reasonably expect for a mining use?

A Yes, sir. We ran a number of pro formas that looked at how much you could mine per year, the different types of aggregate that could come out of it, and what those royalty rates. And it could be anywhere from a half a billion in royalties to just under a billion dollars in royalty rates.

- Q How many acres are we talking about?
- 12 A Roughly, 4200 acres.
 - Q And did your projections include any certainty regarding the cost of lime rock over the next 30 years?

A We put in an annual escalator and played with those annual escalators, and so we had the high ones and low ones, but, yes, we did include some escalation.

Q If you know, what's happened to the cost of lime rock in the last three years?

A I would say in the last three years, but more specifically in the last three weeks, the cost of lime rock has gone up significantly. There's a demand to raise road elevations and building elevations as

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- southwest Florida's recovering from the storm.
- Q Now, regarding the litigation on the property, you had a certiorari proceeding, you had a declaratory action proceeding; is that correct?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Regarding that litigation, did you receive an order on the declaratory relief action?
 - A Yes, we did.
- 9 MR. MOORE: Your Honor, that's Exhibit 10.
- 10 BY MR. MOORE:
- 11 Q As a result of the Bert Harris claim letter
 12 and the declaratory relief action litigation, did you
 13 and the county enter into any discussions about
 14 resolving your dispute?
 - A Yes. Following the judgment, we had some preliminary conversations with the county about settlement. Cameratta approached us and accelerated those conversations with the county to see if there was a mechanism by which we could settle those claims.
 - Q By that time, after discussing with Cameratta, did you enter into a contract?
- 22 A Yes, we did.
- 23 Q Is that a contingent contract?
- A There are timelines associated with it. The contract is hard, and there are monies that are hard,

Page 214

but	there's	an	opportunity	for	them	to	exit	the
agre	eement.							

Q How long did your negotiations with the county take?

A We, I would say, had preliminary conversations for a couple of months and then detailed conversations for now probably four to six months.

MR. MOORE: Your Honor, the agreement is Exhibit Number 11.

THE COURT: Thank you.

BY MR. MOORE:

Q What's your opinion as to whether the settlement agreement is the appropriate relief, if necessary, to prevent the board's denial of your mining rights from an inordinately burdensome subject property?

MR. GROSSO: Your Honor, I object. That calls for, if it's a legal conclusion. It's unclear what level of expertise or what type of expert opinion that's even calling for.

MR. MOORE: So counsel has said that's the key question he wants answered. This is the owner who has had its property burdened, inordinately burdened. He's the one that had his company sign the purchase agreement, and now counsel doesn't want

	Page 215
1	me to elicit that opinion from him regarding the
2	inordinate burden which we have to prove through the
3	owner.
4	MR. GROSSO: I think it's quite appropriate
5	for the witness to talk about facts that might go
6	into that equation, but to ask the owner's opinion
7	on what is ultimately a legal conclusion, that's
8	where, I think, it crosses over.
9	THE COURT: Court overrules the objection.
10	You're allowed to question the witness on cross-exam
11	about those issues. You may continue.
12	BY MR. MOORE:
13	Q Let me restate the question.
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q What is your opinion as to whether the
16	settlement agreement you reached with Lee County is
17	the appropriate relief necessary to prevent the
18	board's denial of mining rights from inordinately
19	burdening the subject property?
20	A I would say it's the minimal amount that
21	would be required, and it is the only agreement that
22	my board has agreed to. We had conversations about a
23	number of other scenarios that were not accepted by my
24	board. This is a very dynamic relationship that
25	requires agreement by us, King Ranch, by the county,

1	Page 216 and by Cameratta. It is a very finely threaded
2	needle, and it is the only agreement that my board has
3	approved.
4	Q Does the settlement agreement provide
5	Corkscrew Grove Limited more relief than is necessary
6	to prevent the inordinate burden or damage due to the
7	denial of your mining application?
8	A My board has not accepted a lesser amount.
9	Even though they were presented with lesser amounts,
10	this was what was required to get my board's approval
11	to pursue a settlement agreement.
12	MR. MOORE: May I have a minute, Your Honor?
13	That's all we have, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Cross.
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. GROSSO:
17	Q Sir, your board, like the board of any
18	for-profit company, it's their responsibility to
19	maximize the company's profits, correct?
20	A That is not the only criteria that my board
21	looks at. We've got 189-year history of land
22	management stewardship managing a legacy, so I think
23	it's inaccurate to say that the only thing that my
24	board looks at is revenue.
25	Q Yeah, I mean, I didn't mean to say the only

1	Page 217 thing. That is part of their responsibility, that's
2	part of what goes into their decisionmaking in terms
3	of what deal they will accept, right?
4	A Well, we looked at what we believed was the
5	highest and best use for this property, which was rock
6	mining that we believed could generate up to just
7	under a billion dollars in royalty over the life of a
8	mine, and this was the minimum amount that my board
9	would approve to resolve this litigation.
10	Q Is the property currently discharging
11	pollution that is in violation of any state or federal
12	water quality standards?
13	MR. MOORE: Your Honor, outside of the scope
14	of direct examination.
15	THE COURT: Your response.
16	MR. GROSSO: I can't argue with that, Your
17	Honor.
18	THE COURT: Sustained.
19	BY MR. GROSSO:
20	Q When you bought the property in 2016, how
21	much did you pay for it?
22	A That is a matter that's of public record. We
23	paid \$29.75 million.
24	Q 29.75 million, okay. And at the time you
25	nurchaged it wou either would have known or chould

Page	218
Page	218 I

- have known what the Lee County Comp Plan development limits were at that time, right?
- A We had done a thorough due diligence, yes, sir.
 - Q So you knew at that time when you bought the land in 2016 that it limited residential development to one house every 10 acres. You knew that when you bought the land, right?
 - A I was also aware there was an alternative approach that allowed for environmental overlay that would allow for additional revenue. So that was in place when we acquired the property.
 - Q But you're not within that environmental overlay, you don't technically actually qualify for that?
 - A Well, there was other property along that corridor that had asked to be included in that, and that was granted. So I believe that that was a decision that could be made by the Board of County Commissioners.
 - Q But you also knew that you ran the risk that that would not be granted, that approval by the county, right?
- A I was very aware of the situation that the land was sitting in when we acquired it, yes, sir.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 219

- Q So you're saying yes to my question?
- A There is always risk associated with.
- Q And that's a risk that the company knowingly took when it bought the land in 2016?

A That, but in addition to that, we also had an active zoning application for rock mining, and we felt very confident in that based on previous court rulings.

- Q Okay. The environmental hurricane-related impacts that hurt your farming activity happened after you bought the land, right?
- A Hurricane Irma did happen after we acquired the property.
 - Q It wasn't anything that the county did to the property that caused that impact to your farming operation, right?
 - A I don't think I made that statement, no.
 - Q And so as part of any of the negotiations and analysis that has gotten us here to today, is there anywhere of an analysis done by a bona fide real estate appraiser of what level of development was necessary in order to avoid an inordinate burden for your company?
 - A There was an appraisal that was done. It was submitted to the county. I can tell you that my board

age 220

	Page 22
1	evaluated a number of scenarios, and they did
2	internally make an analysis of what was the minimum
3	amount required for us to enter settlements. I
4	assumed that there was a similar analysis done by
5	Cameratta and the county.
6	Q But that internal analysis you just referred
7	to, that's never been shown to the public, right?
8	A I believe that the county in their adoption
9	hearing found that it did address that matter, yes.
10	Q But my question was, the internal inordinate
11	burden analysis you told us your company did, that has
12	never been made public, has it?
13	A We're a private company, sir.
14	Q So the answer is no, it has not ever been
15	made public?
16	A King Ranch did not disclose its internal
17	Q So Judge Shenko is going to kind of have to
18	take y'alls word for it that anything less than this
19	amount of development would be an inordinate burden?
20	A My board this was the only approval that
21	my board approved.
22	Q So, yes, the judge is going to have to take
23	that take their word for it?
24	A I think that the facts of the settlement

25

agreement demonstrating all of the public benefits

	Page 221
1	associated with this settlement agreement, the
2	elimination of mining rights achieved the requirement
3	of Lee County, it achieved the requirements of
4	Cameratta to provide public benefits, and it achieved
5	King Ranch's requirements to eliminate their
6	inordinate burden.
7	Q Putting your opinion on that aside, the
8	answer is, yes, the judge is going to have to take
9	it your company's word for it that anything less
10	than the settlement is an inordinate burden?
11	MR. MOORE: He's arguing with the witness now.
12	It's the third time he asked that. Any witness who
13	testifies under oath, it goes to the Court. The
14	Court either accepts that or rejects that. It's up
15	to the Court, but for counsel to keep asking him the
16	same question, I think is badgering the witness.
17	THE COURT: Sustain the objection.
18	BY MR. GROSSO:
19	Q There is no appraisal that was done to
20	determine the value of the property if something less
21	than 10,000 dwelling units could be built, right?

22 Α I'm not aware of one, no.

> And there's no appraisal that has ever been Q done that would tell anyone what the value of the property would be if something less than 700,000

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 222

square feet of commercial could be built, correct?

- A But there was an appraisal that was done that evaluated the elimination of mining rights.
- Q Right. But in terms of the question I asked, the answer is no, there was no such appraisal done of a lesser amount of commercial square footage, right?
 - A I'm not aware of one.
- Q Same question for a 240-room hotel. There's no appraisal that was done to look at the value of the land if you couldn't do a 240-room hotel, correct?
- A There was no need to do one because this is the only scenario that all three parties have agreed to.
- Q Are you able to tell the Court how much the land is worth today if it can be developed only to the extent that's in full compliance with everything in the Lee County Comprehensive Plan?
- A As in a rock mine, my calculations are that we would have the right to between 500 million and a billion dollars of rock royalty. And I believe that would be in compliance with the Lee County

 Comprehensive Plan based on the ruling that we've gotten from the court.
- Q Okay. And has there ever been an appraisal done that would show the value of the property if it

Corkscrew Grove vs	s. Hill Judge James Shenko	11/08/	202
were deve	eloped, not mined, developed in full	age 2	23
compliand	ce with what the current Lee County Comp	Plan	
would all	low?		
A	Not that I'm aware of.		
Q	Are you able to tell the judge today if	you	
tally up	the total purchase price and the money	you	
invested	in the property since purchasing what the	nat	
total fig	gure is?		
A	Ask that question again.		
Q	Yeah. You told us you bought the land	for	
\$29.75 mi	illion, right?		
A	Correct.		
Q	And I assume you've invested an addition	nal	
amount si	ince the initial purchase price.		
A	We've been citrus growers since 2016, as	nd	
that's a	deep, dark hole that you just pour mone	Y	
into.			
Q	So, again, that's simply how your busine	ess	
portions	turned out. There was nothing that		
governmer	nt did that impacted that?		

- Α That is correct.
- So what is the total investment outlay as you Q and I are speaking right now that y'all have put into this property?
- As we've indicated, we did the acquisition Α

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Page 224

- costs and we spent up to a million dollars in entitlement and in pursuing the land use, but that is in excess -- or that does not include all of our land management and operational costs since we acquired it, which have been meaningful.
- Q So almost 30 million to buy it, another million on top of that, and then there's other meaningful costs?
 - A That's correct.
- Q Give me a ballpark figure for those.
- 11 A I couldn't -- 20 million. Who knows.
- 12 Q So you speculate that it's 20 million. You don't have a hard number.
 - A I do not have a hard number.
 - Q Okay. So what's the purchase price, then?

 How much are you going to be able to sell the land for if this settlement agreement is approved by the Court?
 - A I am bound by confidentiality agreement that protects the business terms of this agreement, and so I'm obligated to follow that unless directed otherwise by the Court.
- Q Are you able to tell us whether it exceeds \$55 million?
- MR. MOORE: Your Honor, we have a standing objection to it, but if counsel wants to ask

Page 225

specifically what the contract price is, perhaps the Court should rule one way or the other.

MR. GROSSO: I'm sorry, I thought I did. I thought that one question was specifically what the contract price was, and I believe the answer was that it's confidential, so...

MR. MOORE: That's correct. And now -- and I've already had a standing objection to that. And now if the Court were to rule on that, then, the witness can go ahead and answer it, because he just said that he will if the Court directs.

MR. GROSSO: All I can say to that, Your
Honor, is that under the Harris Act, the property
owner and the county are required to demonstrate
that the amount of development is necessary to avoid
an inordinate burden on the property rights as
stated in the law.

I don't know how you or anyone could make that determination without knowing could they also have made a go of it with less development. Was this really the minimum amount of development necessary to avoid an undo burden? How do we answer that question if we're left to guess what the impact on the property owner is?

Even a speculative value of 55 million, I

Page 226 1 mean, I would say that if the purchase price far 2 exceeds that, then, you're not looking at an inordinate burden at all. 3 Well --4 MR. MOORE: It's a black hole, and we don't 5 MR. GROSSO: 6 know those facts, and I don't think they can 7 prove --8 Counsel, approach. THE COURT: 9 (Sidebar begins.) 10 Rather than to argue and speculate MR. MOORE: 11 about what Mr. Grosso thinks about inordinate burden 12 and what the amount should be, we've laid this out. 13 It's pretty clear what counsel can do if he wants I kind of laid it out for him. 14 15 All we're obligated to do under the contract 16 is not volunteer it. If the Court directs us to 17 give it, then counsel's made his argument and the 18 Court can rule, and Mr. Hutchcraft can respond. 19 THE COURT: And the question that you would 20 put to the witness would be? 21 MR. GROSSO: Would be how much is the purchase 22 price, and how does that compare to what the 23 complete investment has been in the property. 24 I think you've got the complete THE COURT:

25

investment. You've asked this question, as I

	Page 227
1	recall, right?
2	MR. GROSSO: I think what we don't know, then,
3	is whether the settlement agreement grants rights
4	that are gratuitous, that are beyond that which is
5	necessary to prevent an inordinate burden as
6	defined.
7	MR. MOORE: That's an argument for counsel,
8	closing argument, but with regard to this
9	THE COURT: It's the purchase price.
10	MR. MOORE: he can ask him about the
11	purchase price, but for some reason, doesn't want to
12	do that.
13	MR. GROSSO: I'm sorry. I thought I did ask
14	about the purchase price.
15	MR. MOORE: Try it again without the dressing,
16	without the open also part of it.
17	THE COURT: All right. Ask the question, and
18	I'll direct the witness, frankly.
19	MR. GROSSO: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
20	(Sidebar ends.)
21	MR. GROSSO: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
22	BY MR. GROSSO:
23	Q Sir, are you able to tell us how much your
24	company is selling the property for under the
25	contract?

	Corkscrew Grove vs. Hil	Judge James Shenko	11/08/20
1	TH	E COURT: And the Court fi	Page 228 nds it's necessary
2	for its	determination. The Court	instructs the
3	witness	to answer the question.	
4	TH	E WITNESS: There are thre	e contracts on the
5	propert	y. There is one for The H	unt ownership.
6	There i	s one for what we refer as	King Ranch North,
7	and the	n there is a third for Kin	g Ranch South.
8	Th	e contracts for the proper	ty that are
9	incumbe	nt by the zoning applicati	on are \$25,000 an
10	acre.		
11	BY MR. GROS	so:	
12	Q \$2	5,000 an acre, and how man	y acres are
13	involved?		
14	A It	is 4200 acres is the nort	hern portion.
15	Q Ar	d why did you exclude the	southern portion?
16	A Th	ey're separate contracts.	
17	Q Ok	ay. So what's the total p	urchase price,
18	then, at 25	,000 an acre for all of th	e land times
19	every acre	that's involved in the con	tract? What's
20	that number	?	
21	A I	don't have the total inclu	ding The Hunt.
22	The Hunt is	The Hunt ownership is	outside of the
23	King Ranch	component.	
24	Q Sc	there's a landowner invol	ved in this

settlement agreement that isn't a party to this case?

1	Page 229 A No, they are. They have provided
2	authorization for us to represent them in this case.
3	Q Okay. And
4	A I just don't have the math totaled.
5	Q So is all the land being so 25,000 times
6	4,200 acres, that would give us
7	A The north.
8	Q the dollar figure?
9	A For the north.
10	Q For the north property.
11	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 105 million.
12	BY MR. GROSSO:
13	Q Does it sound about right that that's \$105
14	million, sir?
15	A That's close, yeah.
16	Q And if the total investment dollars that you
17	told us a few minutes ago of about \$55 million, you
18	were even speculating about the 20 million part of
19	that, right? You weren't sure of that?
20	A I don't have a fixed number on that, but
21	you've excluded the value of the rock mine. That
22	needs to be included in that number, and I value that
23	somewhere between 500 million and a billion dollars.
24	Q And the company that owns the 2,000 acres

that's involved that you mentioned, now did they ever

5

6

16

17

18

Page 230

- get any permits denied by the county?
- A They were a part of the zoning application
 and had authorized us to represent them in the zoning
 application as well.
 - Q But they're not here in court today to explain any appraisal done for their property, right?
- 7 A They have authorized us to represent the 8 4200 acres in this process.
- 9 MR. GROSSO: May I have a moment, Your Honor?

 THE COURT: You may.
- 11 BY MR. GROSSO:
- 12 Q If I could revisit the 2,000 acres. What's 13 the name of that company?
- 14 A It's not 2,000. It is 967 acres. It is 15 owned by the Hunt family.
 - Q Okay. And, again, that property is -- would be given development rights under the settlement agreement, right?
- 19 A That's correct.
- Q But that property was never the subject of any governmental denials by Lee County, right?
- 22 A That is incorrect. It was included in the 23 zoning application that was denied.
- Q The mining zoning application.
- 25 A Yes, sir.

Page 231

Q Okay. And when the company bought the property, your company bought the property in 2016, it was aware that getting mining approval under the Lee County rules was not a guarantee, correct?

A We were aware that there was a court order directing Lee County to process an amendment under the 2007 rules at the time, and we believed that there was no indication that a denial would be appropriate under those rules. So we felt very confident in that entitlement.

Q And that subjective belief on your company's behalf never translated into an actual mining application to be adjudged by the county under the 2007 rules, did it?

A Well, I would disagree. The HEX report indicated that it was processed under the 2007 rules, but it was denied. However, subsequent court ruling indicated that the county had erred in that conclusion and directed it to be reconsidered. So I believe that that court ruling substantiated my belief in our entitlement right when we acquired that property.

Q But the court ruling didn't require the county to issue a permit. Instead, it required the county to consider a formal application under the rules as they existed in 2007, right?

	Corkscrew Grove vs	s. Hill Judge James Shenko	11/08/202
1	A	And it also found that mining was ap	Page 232 ppropriate
2	use.		
3	Q	You can explain your answer, but you	ı have to
4	give one	first, though.	
5		So is that correct what I said?	
6	A	Restate your question.	
7	Q	The judge ordered the county not to	grant a
8	permit, k	out to consider a permit application	under the
9	rules tha	at existed in 2007?	
10	A	To the extent that a decision must r	reflect
11	condition	ns that had been attached to other ro	ock mines
12	that had	been approved prior to 2007.	
13	Q	But the county never received an app	plication
14	or acted	on it because you settled the case w	with the
15	settlemer	nt that is before our judge today, ri	ight?
16	A	The county, and King Ranch, and Came	eratta
17	have beer	n working in good faith to find a set	tlement
18	that does	sn't cost the taxpayers of Lee County	y money
19	and provi	ide significant public benefits, yes,	, that's
20	what we'	ve been working on.	
21	Q	So the question that I asked, your a	answer is
22	yes?		
23	А	It has not been pursued. We are wor	king on a

settlement.

Q

Thank you.

24

25

			,
1		MR. GROSSO: Thank you, Your Honor.	Page 233
2		MR. MOORE: May I have a minute, Your	Honor?
3		THE COURT: You may.	
4		MR. MOORE: No questions, Your Honor.	
5		THE COURT: Thank you. You may step	down.
6		THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.	
7		MR. BARTLETT: You ready, Your Honor?	
8		THE COURT: I'm ready.	
9		MR. BARTLETT: Your Honor, the county	calls
10	Branc	don Dunn.	
11		BRANDON DUNN,	
12	a witness	s, after being duly sworn, upon his oa	th,
13	answered	and testified as follows:	
14		THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.	
15		DIRECT EXAMINATION	
16	BY MR. BA	ARTLETT:	
17	Q	Please state your name.	
18	A	Brandon Dunn.	
19	Q	Okay. And where do you work, Mr. Dun	n?
20	A	I work for the Lee County Board of Co	unty
21	Commissio	oners in the community development.	
22	Q	What is your job title?	
23	A	I am a principal planner. I work in	the
24	planning	section. I primarily focus on land u	se.
25	Q	Okay. How long have you been working	with

Page 234 1 the county on land use issues? 2 Α I have been with the county overall since 3 2007, so just over 15 years now. I have been in the planning section since 2009 and principal planner 4 since 2014. 5 6 Are you familiar with the mine 7 application that was sought by the Corkscrew Group 8 Limited Partnership? 9 I am familiar with it, yes. Α 10 And how are you familiar with it? 0 11 Α As a member of the planning session, we 12 reviewed it for consistency with the Lee Plan as... 13 As you would, right? 0 14 Α Yeah. 15 0 You were a reviewer for the county? 16 Α Yes. 17 Okav. And did you participate in the 18 hearings on that mining application? 19 Α I was present at the hearings, yes. 20 I'm sure it's no surprise now, but what was 21 the outcome of that mining application? 22 Α The Board of County Commissioners denied that 23 mining application. 24 Are you familiar with the HEX recommendation 0 25 to the board?

	Page 235
1	A Yes.
2	Q And what did the HEX the hearing examiner,
3	I'm sorry, what did the hearing examiner recommend?
4	A The hearing examiner recommended that it be
5	denied.
6	Q Was there a was there let me do it this
7	way.
8	In front of you is a set of exhibits, right?
9	Can you turn to Exhibit Number 7.
10	If you look at the date, do you recognize
11	that document?
12	A It is dated April 4th, 2019.
13	Q Okay. And what is that document?
14	A This is the hearing examiner recommendation
15	to the Board of County Commissioners.
16	Q Okay. And the hearing examiner had a number
17	of reasons for recommending denial to the board; is
18	that correct?
19	A It's been a couple of years. I would need to
20	review this slightly. I recall her primary reasons
21	for denial were quality of life and incompatibility
22	with the surrounding neighbors.
23	Q Moving on. Are you familiar with the
24	Environmental Enhancement & Preservation Overlay also
25	known as EEPCO?

Page 236

- A Yes, I am.
- Q Can you tell me what that is.

A It is an overlay that was created in southeast Lee County to help effectuate some of the goals that were originally identified in the Dover-Kohl studies that addressed land use in southeast Lee County.

Q Okay. And specifically, can you give me some characteristics of this overlay?

A It's an overlay that was formally developed, I guess, through working with two separate landowners. They came forward approximately around the same time and were looking to do some -- they wanted to do development out there. And the county at the time, this is now 2014, 2015, so it's five years after the Dover-Kohl study, one of the primary strategies recommended by the Dover-Kohl study was the implementation of a TDR program for southeast Lee County --

O What's TDR?

A Excuse me. Transferable Development Rights

Program. So that would be you take the density off of
a land -- piece of land and transfer it to another

land. And that was the strategy or the strategy that
was recommended to preserve or conserve the land in

Page 237

southeast Lee County.

Five years after it had been implemented, it had not been successful. It had not been used at that time. So internally staff had already started thinking maybe we need to look at some other options to meet the restoration strategy goals, and that's about the same time we were approached with the two private developers.

Q Okay. I think you've gone through this. What is the purpose of this -- of the EEPCO?

A Like I said, it was really just another strategy to meet the same goals that we've always been trying to meet in southeast Lee County, you know, as far as restoration of flowways, protection of wildlife corridors, protection of water resources, both surface and groundwater, and finding a balance of, you know, residential mining and agricultural uses within southeast Lee County.

Q Okay. Can you tell us the projects that have been approved under EEPCO.

A The first two that came forward and were approved were The Place, at the time it was Corkscrew Farms, and WildBlue. Subsequent to that, there was Pepperland Ranch and Verdana. Pepperland Ranch and Verdana were combined later on into Verdana Village.

Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill	Judge James Shenko	11/08/202
So vou probab	ly heard you've heard discu	Page 238
	the three major cases that we	
	O process that currently still	
	ge, The Place, and WildBlue.	. CAISC dIC
	-	t
	you familiar with the settleme	:110
agreement in t	this case?	
A Yes.		
Q Okay	. Can you tell me how you bec	ame
familiar with	the settlement agreement in t	his case.
Let me ask it	a different way.	
Did	you participate in the settlem	ient
discussions or	r the settlement terms, if you	ι will, on
behalf of the	county?	
A I was	s not involved in the number o	of units and
the number of	commercial square feet. We w	vere
involved in the	he layout, how it would work w	ith the
surrounding la	and uses, whether it could be	supported
by the land an	nd by the infrastructure that	was out
there.		
Q How a	about the conditions of approv	val?
A Yes,	we were involved in the condi	tions of
approval.		
Q Did	you participate in the develop	oment of
or in the publ		

Α

I was there.

I was present.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 239

Q Okay. Are you familiar with the process of amending the comprehensive plan?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Was the process used for evaluating the settlement in this case similar to amendment of a comprehensive plan?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And how was it similar? Can you give me some examples?

A There were a number of public hearings just like there would have been to go through the process to amend the plan. I want to kind of back up just one step here.

The process to go through the full EEPCO approval process with the comp plan would include -- it includes both an amendment to the Lee Plan as well as a rezoning requirement. Overall, it ends up being about four public hearings.

We had four public hearings here. We ended up with a schedule of uses and a conditions of approval. That's the same process, that's the same outcome we would end up with as far as a zoning approval that we would get through a planned development.

The conditions of approval that we have for

FMCR

Page 240

this one are very similar, if not identical, and actually borrowed in some cases right from the conditions of approval for Verdana Village or The Place.

So the conditions of approval are similar, the hearing process is similar. The amount of time it's taken has ended up being very similar, so...

Q All right. Would the development as proposed, i.e., the settlement agreement, be recommended for approval by the staff under a comp plan amendment standards?

A We would end up in a slightly different timing, just slightly different on the timing just because of the zoning, because we didn't have the actual zoning process time. There are some things that will be done at the development order stage, but the end product will be identical to what was approved through the EEPCO communities.

Q So if I can re-characterize just to understand what you're saying. Some of the documents or approvals that would have -- you would have gotten earlier are now later and vice versa. Is that what you're saying?

A Yes.

Q Okay. So the process changed, but not the

Page 241

L	resul	t	?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MR. GROSSO: Objection. Leading.

MR. BARTLETT: Withdrawn.

BY MR. BARTLETT:

Q From your perspective as a county land planner, does this development, the Kingston Development, have benefits over the lime rock mine that was proposed out here?

MR. GROSSO: Objection. I don't believe the witness is qualified to speak about the impacts of lime rock mines. The land use planner, I've heard that.

MR. BARTLETT: He testified he was involved with the mining application and hearings.

THE COURT: Overrule the objection. You may answer the question.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, there are benefits to the proposal over the mine application. Those include compatibility with the adjacent neighbors as far as the activity of the mine, the rock crushing, the mobile rock crushers, the facility that would have been located near the northern end of the plant.

The proposed project also greatly reduces water -- I'm sorry -- greatly reduces water withdrawal. That's from the existing agriculture.

Page 242

The primary benefit over the mine is in the quality of life and the compatibility that was addressed of concern to both the hearing examiner and Board of County Commissioners.

BY MR. BARTLETT:

Q All right. Could the county achieve these public benefits without the inclusion of what we've been calling the southern property?

A No. The public benefits, you know, the primary -- the flowway connection all the way from Lehigh Acres to the CREW lands in Collier County wouldn't be able to be achieved with just the mine or the continued agriculture project. That includes, you know, both controlling the quantity of the water that's being moved out from the timing of that quantity, but also, you know, what's being discharged into the water from the adjacent agricultural uses if those were to continue.

MR. GROSSO: I'm sorry. Again, Your Honor, the witness has not demonstrated any expertise in hydrology, chemistry, water quality, environmental issues. He's an urban land use planner, and I think this is inappropriate opinion testimony to solicit from this witness.

THE COURT: Overrule the objection. You may

_		Page 243
1	cont	inue.
2		MR. BARTLETT: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	BY MR. B.	ARTLETT:
4	Q	You were here when Mr. DeLisi testified about
5	the cond	itions of approval; is that correct?
6	A	Yes, I was.
7	Q	Did Mr. DeLisi accurately describe the
8	condition	ns of approval within the settlement
9	agreemen	t?
10	A	Yes, I believe he did.
11	Q	Okay. Do you have any corrections or
12	addition	s that you would like to make to his
13	descript	ions?
14	A	Not that I can think of at this time.
15	Q	Okay. Are the conditions, and you've said
16	this par	tly. Are the conditions of approval similar
17	to those	that were given or extracted, if you will,
18	from the	landowners of the other EEPCO properties?
19	A	I wouldn't use the word extracted, but, yes.
20	Q	Of course not.
21	A	Yes, they are.
22	Q	Can you give me some examples. How what
23	do you m	ean that they're similar?
24	A	The amount of open space is similar.
25	60 perce	nt open space is, I believe, what's required

Page 244

for EEPCO communities. This development is providing 61. EEPCO communities generally do provide 55 percent conservation lands. In this location -- in this example or in this circumstance, they are proposing a 50 percent conservation or flowway easement to be covered.

So those are some of the similars. They also are required to provide letters of availability to make sure that water, sewer, road transportation are all going to be provided. They're required to monitor for pollutions, pollutants in the water, water levels. It's the same -- same requirements that we put on the EEPCO communities.

There are some differences in the situation. For example, this project is not located near a well field. So there are other conditions that are specific to being in proximity to a well field than the others that are not in this one, but I think that's just because there is no well field in this immediate location.

Q Okay. Does the settlement agreement have conditions that ensure developer compliance with the conditions you just described?

A Yeah, there's phasing conditions that require a certain amount of open space or conservation lands

	Page 245
1	be provided with each with each development order
2	to make sure that the development stays consistent or
3	even approximately with the proposed conservation
4	areas or conservation areas that will be built.
5	Q Just so everyone understands, how many
6	development orders do we expect?
7	A I don't know if I would want to guess on that
8	at this point. This is a very huge property.
9	Q Can you explain why.
10	A Each pod could come have its own
11	development order. There might be different
12	development orders for the proposed commercial uses up
13	along State Road 82. It would be very hard to
14	speculate on a number of development orders that might
15	come in on this project over the next 10 years.
16	Q So what you're telling us is that the
17	obligation to restore or preserve or both is
18	somehow is tied to the number of units that are
19	approved by the development order?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay. But ultimately, you're going to get to
22	that magic number, right?
23	A Yes.
24	MR. BARTLETT: Okay. One moment, please.
25	No further questions, Your Honor.

Page 246
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. GROSSO:
Q Sir, the EEPCO stands for what?
A Environmental Enhancement Preservation
Communities Overlay.
Q And that overlay was enacted for some very
important, valid public purposes, right?
A I believe so.
Q One of those purposes of that overlay was to
prevent this part of southeast Lee County from
becoming urbanized, correct?
A No.
Q So you're telling us that a transferable
development rights system was not designed to
encourage the transfer of development rights away from
the properties in the overlay?
A I don't think not being urbanized and
protecting the environment are the same thing.
Q A local government identifies an area as a
transferable development rights sending area with the
objective of preventing that development from
happening there. That's what a TDR system is about,
correct?
A Yes.
Q There aren't any studies that have documented

	Corkscrew Grove vs. H	lill Judge James Shenko	11/08/202
1	that the F	lorida panthers status is better off	Page 247 today
2		s before the approval of the Verdana	1
3		he Place, and WildBlue developments,	riaht?
4		ot that I'm aware of.	J - 1
5		hen the county agreed to the settleme	ent.
6		you had not been consulted as to whe	
7		ed that the amount of development in	
8		agreement was appropriate, correct?	CIIC
9		was not.	
10		ou were simply asked, Mr. Dunn, assum	o thia
11		development is going to happen. Now	
12		at's the best way to do it. That was	s your
13	involvemen	t?	
14	A N	ot exactly.	
15	Q T	he settlement process, you compared i	Lt to
16	the comp p	lan amendment process in Florida law,	but
17	isn't it t	rue that if a comprehensive plan amer	ndment
18	is going t	o be processed, after the county comm	nission
19	gives it a	n initial approval, it has to get ser	nt up to
20	the State	of Florida for various state agency r	reviews,
21	right?		
22	A T	hat is correct.	
23	Q A	nd the lead state agency is the Depar	rtment
24	of Economi	c Opportunity, correct?	

Α

Yes.

25

Corkscrew Grove vs	s. Hill Judge James Shenko	11/08/2022
Q	Pag And that proposed plan amendment along wi	ge 248 .th
all of it	ts supporting documentation would also be	
reviewed	by the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conserva	tion
Commissio	on, right?	
А	Yes.	
Q	And the commission would submit a formal	
letter wi	ith data and analysis and a review of that	
proposed	amendment, correct?	
А	Yes.	
Q	And as part of that comp plan amendment	
review pr	rocess, the South Florida Water Management	
District	would also receive a copy of the proposed	L
amendment	t and all of its support and would do a fo	rmal
written n	review of that proposed amendment, correct	.?
A	Yes.	
Q	Same question for the Department of	
Transport	tation, right?	
А	Correct.	
Q	And the Florida Department of Agriculture	and
Consumer	Services would also receive the plan	
amendment	t and would provide a formal written comme	nt
letter or	n the impact on agriculture, correct?	
А	That is correct.	
Q	And the Department of Environmental	

Protection would also receive the full amendment

	Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill Judge James Shenko	11/08/20
1	package and submit a formal review of that, c	Page 249
2	A Yes.	
3		mant
	Q None of that happened on this settle	ment
4	agreement, correct?	
5	A No.	
6	Q Among the requirements for approval	of a
7	comprehensive plan amendment under state law	is the
8	requirement that any future land use map chan	ge be
9	consistent with the adopted goals, objectives	, and
10	policies of the local ordinance comprehensive	plan,
11	correct?	
12	A Can you state that again, please?	
13	Q Yeah. In order to be approved under	state
14	law, an amendment to the future land use map	has to be
15	found to be consistent with the rest of the g	oals,
16	objectives, and policies in the county's comp	rehensive
17	plan, right?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q We call that the internal consistence	У
20	requirement in our business, don't we?	
21	A Yeah.	
22	Q Okay. And the hearing officer in th	is case,
23	in fact, found that the settlement agreement	violated
24	a number of different policies of the compreh	ensive

plan on Pages 5 through 8 of her report, correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 250

A I will say they were relatively few that were found to be inconsistent out of the number of policies that were reviewed.

Q Okay. But one of those was what any planner would agree is the most important determination, how much development is allowed on the property, correct?

Any planner in Florida would agree the most important determination that a comprehensive plan makes is what can be done there and how much. True statement?

MR. BARTLETT: Object to form. We don't have any planner here. We have one.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: That is a determination you make at the time of zoning.

BY MR. GROSSO:

Q You make that at the time of a future land use map change to the comprehensive plan, correct?

A You have to identify the -- both the existing scenario and then worst-case scenario of the proposed amendment.

Q And for this piece of land right now, given what its future land use map designation is, the maximum amount of residential development is one house every 10 acres, correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes.

Q And were this not a Harris Act settlement, this development project approved by the settlement agreement would have to have been the subject of a future land use map formal amendment to the county comp plan, correct?

A Under normal circumstances, if this were not a Harris Act settlement, yes.

Q And among the considerations when a land use change is being made to increase density is how compatible is that with the surrounding neighborhood, correct?

A Yes.

Q And right now if I am a landowner or a resident in the neighborhoods that surround this piece of land, you as a planner would characterize that as a very rural style of life out there right now, right?

A Yes.

Q The type of development that's approved under this settlement agreement, you as a planner would characterize as at least suburban and maybe even urban, right?

A Definitely not urban.

Q It's suburban development, isn't it, sir?

A Most likely.

1	Page 252
1	Q It is a distinctly different lifestyle from
2	that which is experienced by the people who live out
3	there now, yes, sir?
4	A That does not come in the way when you are
5	talking about compatibility.
6	Q So it's your opinion as a planner that the
7	impact on surrounding landowner and residents'
8	lifestyle is not a relevant aspect of compatibility?
9	A I'm saying, as a planner, that you can plan
10	to have suburban development next to rural development
11	or nonurban development and it can still be
12	compatible.
13	Q With what? Things like walls and hedges for
14	buffers? What are you talking about?
15	A This property has much more than hedges for
16	buffers.
17	Q It's got water courses?
18	A We can go through the MCP, I suppose.
19	There's it's quite wide. It's quite large.
20	Q Have you ever been out there at night?
21	A Yes.
22	Q You see the stars at night out here at this
23	part of the county?
24	A Yes.
25	Q That's going to change when this development

```
Page 253
1
     goes in, isn't it?
 2
              MR. BARTLETT:
                             Objection.
                                          Relevancy.
                             It depends on the design, sir.
 3
              THE WITNESS:
 4
              THE COURT: Overruled. I'll allow it.
 5
     BY MR. GROSSO:
 6
              The settlement conditions do not require,
         0
 7
     like, low volume lighting or anything, do they?
8
              Actually, I would have to review those to
         Α
     double-check.
9
10
              The amount of development that would be
11
     approved on this property or this settlement agreement
12
     is indeed the size of a small city, isn't it?
13
         Α
              I would not disagree with that.
                           That's all I have. Thank you,
14
              MR. GROSSO:
15
         Your Honor.
16
                             Nothing further, Your Honor.
              MR. BARTLETT:
17
                          Thank you. Mr. Dunn, you may step
              THE COURT:
18
         down.
19
              THE WITNESS:
                            Okay.
20
                          Nothing like being the last car on
              MR. HINDS:
21
         a roller coaster, Your Honor. Becky Sweigert,
22
         please.
23
                        REBECCA SWEIGERT,
24
     a witness, after being duly sworn, upon her oath,
25
     answered and testified as follows:
```

	Page 254
1	THE WITNESS: Yes.
2	THE COURT: And your name, Counsel? I forget
3	your name, Counsel.
4	MR. HINDS: Rebecca Sweigert.
5	THE COURT: No.
6	MR. HINDS: My name. For the record, Attorney
7	Jeff Hinds on behalf of the county.
8	THE COURT: Thank you. I apologize.
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
LO	BY MR. HINDS:
L1	Q Good afternoon. Could you spell your name
L2	for the court reporter, please.
L3	A Yes. My name is Becky Sweigert. The last
L4	name is spelled, S-W-E-I-G-E-R-T.
L5	Q And what do you do, Ms. Sweigert?
L6	A I am a principal environmental planner with
L7	Lee County. I've been employed there for about
L8	22 years. I started as an entry level planner and
L9	worked my way up to a principal environmental planner.
20	I started reviewing development order plans,
21	landscape plans, site clearing permits, comprehensive
22	plans, rezoning. I was promoted to a control
23	environmental planner in 2006 where I was overseeing
24	all of the environmental regulatory review program and
25	making sure that was done correctly.

Corkscrew Grove v	s. Hill Judge James Shenko	11/08/20
	Pa The last seven years I've worked in the	ge 25!
planning	section, specifically focusing on the	
environm	ental review for comp plan amendments, as	well
as envir	onmental mitigation for the county's publi	.C
infrastr	ucture.	
Q	Has part of your job been to assess the	
environm	ental impact of mines that might have beer	1
proposed	?	
А	Yes.	
Q	And has part of your job been to assess t	he
environm	ental impact of residential developments t	hat
have been	n proposed?	
A	Yes.	
Q	Are you familiar with the mine that was	
proposed	by Corkscrew Grove in 2011?	
A	Yes. I reviewed the application and	
particip	ated in the public hearings for that proce	ess.
Q	And is that the same application that gav	re
rise to	the Bert Harris claim that's been so talke	ed.

Α Yes.

about today?

Are you also familiar with the settlement Q agreement between the county and the Corkscrew Grove Limited Partnership?

I have participated in the settlement Α

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 256

- discussions and attended the public hearings as well.
- Q Okay. Have you been present all day and heard testimony?
 - A Yes.
 - Q That was all from the developer's end of things. Hoping to hear the county's perspective from you.

Could you just briefly describe the environmental impact of the mine proposed by Corkscrew?

A Some of the environmental components that would -- or the impacts for the mine would be the lighting that would be set up and established.

Particularly when they're doing 24-hour operations, they have to have specific OSHA required lighting, which is quite bright. It's very significant. It can have effects on panthers, on their movements.

The dust that also gets created from the mining operations can create problems with the plant communities. You can also have some drawdown to your wetlands when you're excavating in close proximity to them.

- Q Do you recall what the -- what the operating life of the mine proposed was?
- 25 A I believe it was a 30-year life.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 257

Q	Can you	briefly	describe	from	the	county'	S
perspect	ive the e	environme	ental imp	act if	the	subjec	t
property	was left	as agri	iculture?				

A It would continue to draw down, I think, the sandstone aquifer. It would create some continued stress on that aquifer that's there. It also would continue to be producing the nitrogen and phosphorus runoff that it has currently.

- Q Did you hear Mr. Brown's testimony earlier?
- 10 A I did.
- 11 Q Did you hear anything from him that needs 12 correcting?
 - A I did not hear anything that needed to be corrected.
 - Q From an environmental perspective, does the Kingston Development have benefits of relieving the property as agricultural?
 - A I believe that that probably -- changing it to the Kingston Development does have environmental benefits, particularly when it comes to the restoration components of this.

Restoring 3,000 acres is going to be a lot of work to undertake. It will provide connectivity to the surrounding lands, particularly those public lands, helping to provide the flowway connections for

Page 258

wildlife movement, helping to improve the water
quality that's there now. So there are some pretty
significant benefits that would come with the Kingston
Development.

Q Okay. And how about, are there any -- are there any environmental benefits of having the Kingston Development over the proposed lime rock mine?

A This would have more preservation and landscape improvements. It would provide more cover for the panthers. It would have more connectivity to the adjacent lands.

With the mine proposal, it was predominantly saving those existing wetlands, but not really making any better flowway connections, providing more uplift habitat, which is particularly important to the panthers as well.

Q I know you heard this a bunch today, but does the proposed Kingston Development create a hydraulic flowway all the way from State Road 82 down to Collier County?

A It does, which is also a significant improvement. Allowing that water to get from State Road 82 all the way to Collier County is a huge benefit and one of the goals of the DR/GR that we've been trying to obtain. So I think this is providing

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 259

that connection.

Q And would that connection be possible without the southern most piece?

A No, it would not be, and with that southern piece, we get the connection and we get a cleaner discharge point with more of a controlled outfall.

Q You heard Mr. Dunn talk about, is it EEPCO or EEPCO, E-E-P-C-O?

A Uh-huh.

Q Are you also familiar with that?

A Yes.

Q Can you just, again, describe briefly for the Court what the EEPCO overlay is?

A So the Environmental Preservation Community
Overlay was just another tool in our toolbox to create
a strategy that requires a 60 percent preservation,
centralized irrigation system.

It has components for conservation easements. It requires flowway connections. It looks at and requires the wildlife movement to be addressed. So it's a pretty lofty list including the 60 percent open space, which is almost double what our current open space requirements are under the LDC.

Q Is the proposed Kingston Development consistent with EEPCO other than not being on the map?

	Page 260
1	A Yes. In my opinion, it is.
2	Q Okay. And do you know would the staff have
3	recommended approval of the Kingston Development under
4	EEPCO had it been on the map?
5	A I think the staff would have. I mean, it
6	meets the same criteria of other projects.
7	MR. HINDS: Thank you.
8	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Grosso?
LO	CROSS-EXAMINATION
L1	BY MR. GROSSO:
L2	Q This property that's the subject of the
L3	settlement agreement, it's a priority 1 panther
L4	habitat, correct?
L5	A I believe it's primary and secondary panther
L6	habitat.
L7	Q That makes it really important, valuable land
L8	for the panther survival, doesn't it?
L9	A It is important to their survival, yes. But
20	in its current state, it is an agricultural field,
21	which doesn't have the same value as the restoration
22	that would be provided under this project.
23	Q Whatever value the landscaped wildlife
24	corridors might provide will, to some extent, be
25	diminished by the fact that they are running right

Corkscrew Grove vs.	Hill Judge James Shenko	11/08/202
along and	adjacent to suburban development, cor:	Page 261 rect?
	They would be adjacent to development,	
Q	And that is not a good thing for panth	ers, is
it?		
A	It is not an ideal situation, but I th	ink
part of w	hat we're missing here is the scale of	these
wide corr	idor areas, as well as the underpass.	I
mean, it'	s not the panther isn't just freely	in the
middle of	a pod. It is focused to be concentra-	ted in
those are	as towards whether it be a wetland or	an
upland.		
Q	Panther do not like being near people	and
cities, d	o they?	
А	They are more of a secretive animal, y	es.
Q	That's why you said a moment ago that p	putting
a panther	corridor next to suburban development	is not
ideal, ri	ght?	
A	Yes.	
Q	And the negative impacts of mining tha	t you
talked ab	out a few minutes ago, now, did you	
understan	d what those negative impacts were whe	n

you've had the occasion in the past to recommend approval or denial of mining applications?

Yes, we have identified those as some Α concerns that the staff has.

Page 262
Q And did you not recommend approval of a
mining application for this property?
A Staff did recommend approval.
Q Have your concerns that you've expressed here
today for nitrogen and phosphorous pollution from farm
fields ever caused you to write an official memo or
report to anyone with authority calling for increased
agricultural water quality standards?
A No.
Q It is not a goal of the Density
Reduction/Groundwater Recharge provisions of the Lee
County Comprehensive Plan to have residential
development at one and a half units per acre in the
area, is it?
A No, but the staff has identified alternative
means to try to balance the development as well as
obtaining the restoration, because the restoration
that the county staff has been trying to achieve even
back to the 1990s has not been happening.

Yeah. One of those strategies, the TDR strategy, that hasn't really worked, has it?

Α Unfortunately, no.

And the TDRs would only work, a landowner trying to develop will only have an incentive to purchase a transferable development right from another

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 263

landowner if that landowner can't otherwise get improved density by a rezoning or plan amendment, correct?

A Potentially.

Q So if I can just apply to the county commission for a plan amendment or rezoning or a Harris Act settlement, I don't have any incentive to have to buy development rights from some other landowner, do I?

A But with these cases that have come forward, they have provided a much higher level of development than what has been provided at other developments. It is not a normal 30 to 40 percent open space.

Providing 60 percent open space with restoration and preservation is a significant benefit to the public.

Q The hearing officer in this case found, actually, that the development didn't comply with the county open space requirements, correct?

A I don't remember that specific detail.

Q The hearing officer in this case found that the settlement agreement didn't comply with the conservation easement requirements, didn't require enough land in the conservation easement, correct?

A Again, I don't remember that specific detail of the mine.

1	Page 264
1	Q To answer my question, you defer to the text
2	of the hearing officer's report, right?
3	A I would believe that would have the
4	statement.
5	MR. GROSSO: That's all I have, Your Honor.
6	MR. HINDS: One moment.
7	THE COURT: Sure.
8	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. HINDS:
10	Q Before an actual development can proceed,
11	does the builder need to get any state or federal
12	permits regarding panthers?
13	A No.
14	Q Is the proposed development in violation of
15	any objectives in the Lee County plan or the LDC
16	regarding Florida panthers?
17	A No.
18	MR. HINDS: I have nothing else. That's it.
19	Thank you. Nothing else.
20	THE COURT: You may step down. Thank you.
21	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
22	MR. MOORE: Your Honor, we rest.
23	MR. GROSSO: May I have a moment?
24	THE COURT: You may.
25	MR. GROSSO: Thank you, Your Honor. We have

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 265

no witnesses.

THE COURT: You folks need a few moments, and would you be making presentations today or would you be doing written submissions?

MR. GROSSO: Could I ask a process question?

6 THE COURT: Sure.

MR. GROSSO: I think I noticed this morning that the petitioners had filed a memorandum of law with the Court, I believe, and so I was going to ask whether the Court would entertain, I would hope so, of post-hearing memos of law -- thank you -- post-submittals.

I certainly didn't file one. We weren't done this morning, and so I would ask for the opportunity to file something in writing within a reasonable amount of time you might set, Your Honor, to also file a brief memorandum of law. I don't know if that impacts your sense of how much you want to hear from us now in terms of closing or whatever.

THE COURT: Why don't I have you folks approach for a second.

(Sidebar begins.)

THE COURT: You folks do this all the time, and I don't do that much of it. You have a big, lovely audience here. Do you want to give some

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 266

closing-type statements, or do you want to just do written submissions?

MR. MOORE: I'd like to give a brief closing, not because of the audience, because I think it's appropriate.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. MOORE: And I haven't filed a memorandum, so I would like to join with counsel about asking a chance to file one as well. But, yeah, I think if we can do 15, 20-minute.

THE COURT: Sure. That would be great. And since you're up here, just might as well say it now, with all of the information I've been provided upon what -- and I think I mentioned this before, if folks could give submissions post-hearing with findings of fact and conclusions of law, kind of a proposed ruling, in Word format that could go then directly to my judicial assistant. Then I can use that to help craft a ruling. And I don't know how much time, you tell me when. I've got 60 cases on the trial docket every month, so I'm swamped. So how much time you would like, and it's fine with me, whatever it is.

MR. HINDS: 30 days, maybe?

THE COURT: Right, because you have the

```
Page 267
 1
     holiday coming up, so...
 2
          MR. HINDS:
                       Oh, we do.
 3
          THE COURT:
                       We do, so that's why I'm asking
 4
     you folks.
 5
          MR. HINDS:
                       I don't know that I'm entitled to
 6
     a holiday.
 7
          MR. GROSSO:
                       What do y'all think, 30 days?
 8
     Can we do that in 30 days?
 9
          THE COURT:
                       Okay.
10
                        Is there a page limit or length
          MR. GROSSO:
11
     you would be looking for?
12
          THE COURT:
                       Pardon me?
13
                        Is there a page limit or length
          MR. GROSSO:
14
     you would be looking for?
                       There is not, but -- there's not.
15
          THE COURT:
16
     There's not because I -- whatever you folks think is
17
     appropriate.
18
                        Thanks, Your Honor.
          MR. GROSSO:
19
          THE COURT:
                      And then -- okay. So we'll do a
20
     brief closing and written submissions within
21
     30 days, and then the ball is in my court.
22
          (Sidebar ends.)
23
          THE COURT:
                       Do you folks need a few minutes?
24
          MR. MOORE:
                       Do you need any time?
25
          We're ready.
```

Page 268

THE COURT: Okay. You may proceed.

MR. MOORE: May it please the Court. Your Honor, on behalf of Corkscrew Grove Limited, the co-petitioners, we've -- I've looked at this proceeding that's happened the 31st and then more today, from kind of a high altitude view for purposes of closing.

We're dealing with the Bert Harris law, which if we were just violating some local ordinances and then those ordinances were offset by public interest, that wouldn't even go before the court. Here, which violates -- don't violate, but contravenes state statutes, and that's what this is about, and that's why it goes before the circuit court.

The intervenors have raised a couple of issues in looking at it from a high altitude view. It's hard to imagine why someone would object to over 3200 acres of preservation restoration. That's not going to happen without the settlement. It hasn't happened in the past.

They've had preserves that they -- counsel says they ought to spend some money to buy this land, suggest that the county 2020 program, they spent \$43 million for the Kiker Preserve. He's very

Page 269

easy with the county's money. But the county commissioners are a good bit more conservative with that. This 3200 acres of preservation and restoration is all off the county's tax roll. It's not going to cost the county anything.

There's a restoration of historic flowways.

That's been on the books in the county since before the Dover-Kohl report. It was the county's ordinances talk about a study by an ecologist and geologist, Kevin Erwin, about the restoration of water flows and the significance of that to the Corkscrew Regional Ecosystem Watershed, CREW, and this is doing it. This is having the flowways through 6,000 acres across Corkscrew Road flowing into those preservation lands. That would not happen without the settlement. There's a recovery of over 9 million gallons a day of water.

The argument from the intervenors were, well, gosh, we're permitted to draw that water, and so what's the problem? Just because you're permitted under the ag use of the property, doesn't mean that that's good for the aquifer, doesn't mean it's a benefit. It's an avoidance of an adverse impact.

That's why I was asking Mr. Brown. This is a choice. Is it better to have that potential

Page 270

drawdown, or is it better to have a restoration of about the same amount of water that's permitted for Fort Myers and Lee County, 9 million gallons plus a day? That's dramatic. The individual wells and septics that dot that area, you saw that whole red map that was in evidence, that's something that is not going to be provided. In fact, it's going to be prohibited under the settlement conditions here.

It's hard to imagine opposing that.

The large animal crossings, the culverts that are put in there for the animals to avoid Corkscrew Road, yes, panthers are endangered. And so now we've put in culverts or proposed to put in culverts that would protect them. Apparently, that's objectionable, too. That's not under the current situation. You're not going to have that.

Then there's the avoidance of not only a potential at a minimum \$63 million claim plus interest for three years, plus potential owner's testimony, and, of course, and as Your Honor knows, owner can always testify to value based on the royalty approach, and royalty approach is certainly an accepted process for valuing land of between 500 million and a billion dollars. You don't think the landowners are going to try to get that in, and I

Page 271

think perhaps successfully if we had a Bert Harris trial or a takings trial. Not to mention the fees and costs attendant on both sides if we pursue this litigation. The county's getting all these protections, all these improvements, all these benefits without spending any money.

The spine road, the north/south spine road, that's not only going to help for the development that's being proposed, but also as a hurricane reliever, and also to take traffic off of -- from Lehigh off State Road 82. That's a benefit. All, again, paid for, not by the county, by the developer.

One of the more significant benefits, if you had to sift through the hearing examiner report like we did, you can read it, with the comments about the mining proposal. Talk about hotly contested. It makes this proceeding look extremely pleasant, because in that proceeding, there were high emotions and a great deal of testimony about current mining issues that people at Wildcat Farms and adjacent areas had.

Under this proposal, there would never be any mining on the Corkscrew Grove property north of Corkscrew Road or south. That entire parcel would

Page 272

have to give up its mining rights. That's tremendous. You would think that would be a tremendous benefit to those, including at least one of the intervenors who protested the mining. That's out of the question under the settlement. We're not going to have that mining possibility.

Now, the intervenors disagree with the legislative decision, and I should stress that, the legislative decision by the Board of County Commissioners to settle the case. The whole idea of the Bert Harris settlement provision is to expand the opportunities for the government to settle cases, and for landowners, instead of pursuing litigation. In fact, there's a whole list in our legal documents or memorandum we're going to file, and we'll go into that in more detail, but they're giving suggestions in the statute about how to resolve these, and one of them is for any other extraordinary relief.

Now, the Bert Harris statute is a property -Private Property Rights Protection Act. However,
the Second District and some other courts have ruled
that insofar as sovereign immunity goes, it should
be read -- a waiver of sovereign immunity, that
is -- it should be read strictly, strictly

Page 273

construed.

With regard to the settlement provision where the county is not being opposed here, the landowner is not. Both parties want to resolve something. There's no such ruling that I know of that says that should be strict instruction of that, but let's assume you do. Let's assume you strictly construe the settlement provisions of Bert Harris. Is a term that says -- or other -- any other extraordinary relief, is that a word of limitation, or is that a word of expansion? I think the question answers itself.

One of the arguments of the intervenors is that we have brought in another parcel, and you know why we brought in another parcel? Because you couldn't have the water flow that the county wants, that the comprehensive plan has been calling for for 30 years. You couldn't have the kind of benefits for water recovery that we've talked about that's so enormous. You couldn't have a lot of these public benefits that we've spent so much time on without that parcel. And the deal wouldn't have happened. But, there's nothing in the Bert Harris law that prohibits that.

In fact, if you look at those, I think there

Page 274

are nine elements that you -- that are thrown out there to suggest by the legislature of how you can resolve these. One of them involves transfer of density rights. Well, that by definition involves another parcel, right, not the -- not the one that was subject to Bert Harris. There's another one involving land swaps. What's that about, except for another parcel. And then, of course, other extraordinary relief.

So there's nothing in the Bert Harris law, certainly no statute or no case law, that's been presented by the intervenors that have suggested that the county is foreclosed from taking this kind of approach for the benefit of the public and the savings of the public treasury that they have here.

I would say, I was waiting, and we waited for quite some time now for the intervenors to suggest any comprehensive plan provision that's been contravened and not offset by a public benefit.

They haven't cited you one.

Now, I would suggest specifically, and I know the Court has reviewed it, there's such a lot of materials to review, but Exhibit Number 4 is the county memorandum, and that is very, very clear about the specific comprehensive plans, not only

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 275

that were consistent, but also that were contravened and why, and the public benefit that would be served by that contravention.

That Exhibit 4 is an excellent document to review in that, and I would also suggest, of course, the hearing examiner's recommendation, which goes into detail about those.

With regard to the panther habitats, there's no comprehensive plan policy that has been identified by the intervenors of contravene, not There's been suggestions, innuendos. one. panthers don't like noise. They don't like mining They don't like tractors for an ag use. They don't like spraying machines for an ag use. All of that is true. But under this settlement, we have 3200 acres plus of preserve and restoration. We have a wildlife corridor that did not exist before, and we have panther crossings. But you know More importantly, there's no policy that's what? been identified, no Lee Plan provision that counsel has suggested to you, even suggested much less proved, that has been contravened with regard to panthers.

Those policies and provisions are pretty much hoity-toity. They're generalized protection of the

Page 276

panther goals. But under the state and federal preemption, the state and feds look at that. In fact, our settlement agreement indicates that we would have to seek approvals there and not -- it's not something that Lee County does. So all of that, I think, is a smoke screen and really doesn't relate to any contravened statute that the Court has to look at.

Their second issue besides the comprehensive plan violations that they allege but don't give you any specifics on is that this is too much relief.

It's a sweetheart deal they said. Too much relief for the owner.

The county has been involved for three and a half years, and so has the owner, in litigation.

The owner spent between 500,000 and a million dollars in fees and costs. There's no end to this matter if we go forward. It's being abated right now for the circuit courts.

If we lose the dec action ruling, which requires the county to reprocess the mining application that's allowed by the comp plan under the old rules, if we lose that, then there's no -- there's no avenue for the owner except a Bert Harris claim action and a lawsuit for minimum 63 million up

Page 277

to 500 million plus.

The county is avoiding that risk, not to mention the other risk from other landowners and the avoidance, as I said, the mining of the southern property.

So is it too much relief for the owner? Well, again, innuendo, argument, but no evidence, no witnesses. There's no evidence to contradict the owner's testimony, none. There's no evidence of other buyers willing to take the kind of risk that Mr. Cameratta and his group are willing to take.

There's exhibits in evidence that show they're going to spend over \$200 million in development costs, over \$78 million for restoration preservation, and obligate whoever the owner is now into the future to \$1.7 million a year forever. That's a risk. That's a big risk. That's why developers are a different breed.

Here, of course, there's a profit. There was some suggestion that maybe profit's a bad thing.

It's kind of what makes the engine run here in America, so it's not a bad thing. And there's nothing in the Bert Harris law that would prevent that. In fact, it's a Private Property Protection Act. So the profit isn't the issue. The issue is,

Page 278

is this more relief than we're entitled to?

Well, there's no evidence regarding land value from -- that's been offered based on evaluation by comparable sales. They could have had somebody, but they didn't. There's no evidence regarding land value at all when the land valued under the royalty approach as Mr. Hutchcraft did, none. Nothing but innuendo and argument, generally unsupported by any evidence.

So with regard to this settlement agreement, we would ask the Court to look at those two issues, specifically. One, are the contravene policies in the public interest, has a public interest been protected, and look at the public hearings that we had, look at the outreach, look at the opportunities that the public had to convince their commissioners about this legislative act.

The commissioners thought in their view that this was a wise thing to do, and now counsel is suggesting to the Court that you overrule that.

And then the second issue under Bert Harris is whether there's too much relief provided the owner. And given everything that's been testified to and zero evidence on the contrary, we hope you'll find that that's not appropriate either.

Page 279

The settlement agreement is exactly on target.
It gives more benefits than any settlement
agreement, any development, Verdana, The Place, any
other one that you can think of in that area that's
been testified to, more benefits resulting from this
settlement than any other available. This is the
only way to go on it. The Board of County
Commissioners has spoken legislatively. The public
has had plenty of opportunity, and there's no law
that suggests otherwise to convince the Court to
overturn this agreement.

We ask you to approve it, and as you said, we're going to be submitting a memorandum of law and proposed order within the next 30 days, I believe. So with that, I would just turn it over to Mr. Bartlett or Mr. Hinds.

THE COURT: Before I hear from Mr. Grosso,
I'll see whoever else on that side of the table
wishes. Mr. Hinds?

MR. HINDS: Yes, Your Honor. May it please the Court. I'm going to try real hard not to go over the same row that Mr. Moore just went over.

Your Honor, the Bert Harris Act specifically describes what the role of the Court is in this circumstance under 4d.2, and just two things; one,

Page 280

make sure that the public interest is -- that what's served by the statutes that were contravened is covered by the settlement agreement, and we've had testimony on that. I'll get to that in a minute. And the second is that the settlement agreement is the appropriate relief necessary to protect the governmental regulatory effort from inordinately burdening the property.

With respect to that first prong, way back when we heard from Michael Jacob. He testified that there were three statutes that were contravened, and those are the only reasons I actually had to file an action. As Mr. Moore said, if we hadn't contravened any statutes, you know, this would just be up for board approval.

So those three statutes are 163.3194, which is the consistency statute that any act that the county does has to be consistent with the comp plan.

163.3184, which is a notice provision regarding amending the comp plan, and 125.66, which is a notice provision regarding passing ordinances, which is how Lee County goes about amending its comp plan.

We've had no evidence or argument that any other statutes were contravened. So the Court's review really should be limited to whether or not

Page 281

those three statutes have been satisfied, at least in an analog fashion by the settlement agreement.

And by and large, what they deal with is the right of the public to participate and to have notice. I don't need to go back over all the public -- four public meetings, mailings, et cetera. So clearly that prong has been satisfied.

The second prong with respect to the relief necessary, I think Mr. Moore has done an onerous job in covering that. I just want to emphasize that from the county's perspective, we're not dealing with a blank slate here, and from the Court's perspective as well.

There really are only three choices with what to do, right? We can -- the county could accept Judge Fuller's ruling and deny -- continue to deny the mining rights, which would result in a minimum of \$63 million plus for a few years, which is not a good choice to leave it in ag. Although, I suspect that's, I think, what we've heard from the intervenors is that they would like that. That's really not a viable option from the county's perspective.

The second would be to concede that, okay, we're going to have a mine here. But it's not just

Page 282

any mine. It's a mine that would have been approved in 2007. And the Court, again, has heard extensive evidence that, even from the intervenors, that that's not a pretty thing. That's not -- that's not going to be in the public's best interest.

And what that leaves us with is only option number three, which is to approve the settlement agreement and the development that goes along with it. And you've heard uncontravened testimony from Mr. Hutchcraft that that was the minimum that they would take. So there really hasn't been any evidence presented to the contrary on either of those points.

I guess, you know, if I was in front of a jury, I would be asking for a directed verdict at this point. What we have is argument from the other side, and it's kind of this bob and weave thing where it's like, well, maybe it's agricultural or maybe it's a smaller development. But we've heard -- all we've heard -- the only testimony we've heard is that that won't do.

Lastly, let me -- actually, it's the second to last. Let me touch on the standard of review. At the very outset, Mr. Bartlett handed you a case called City of Homestead, which is now recorded in

Page 283

the official report of 346 So.3d 1205. That involves a Bert Harris settlement as well, and it's not quite on all fours with where we are today, but it's pretty close.

In that case, there was a judicial challenge of a Bert Harris settlement, and what the Third DCA found there was just what Mr. Moore told you, is that the act of deciding whether or not to settle a lawsuit by a county is legislative or executive. It is not quasi judicial, and therefore, it needs to be upheld unless it's arbitrary, capricious, confiscatory or violative of constitutional guaranties. Again, there's been no evidence whatsoever presented from the intervenors of any of those four things.

And then, finally, Your Honor, the Bert -- and this was touched on by Mr. Moore, the Bert Harris Act does allow, in fact, it encourages settlements that use other property other than one that's the subject of the claim.

When you go back and review the Bert Harris

Act, you'll see that Section 4C has 11 menu choices,

if you will, options for settling a case. The

first -- the first nine are all things that you can

do. Number 10 is just go ahead and pay for the

Page 284

development rights. And number 11 is do nothing.
Okay.

The Bert Harris Act also contains a specific definition of real property, and I know we've talked about this in front of you before, but the definition of real property in this case is the property that's actually impacted by the negative decision of the board.

That word real property appears only once in that laundry list of settlements. And it appears with respect to when you're paying for the development rights. The county can only pay for the development rights on the real property, but everything else is fair game to use other property.

As a matter of fact, the legislature goes out of its way to use words other than real property. Like, it will say property, or it will say land, but it never invokes the defined term real property. So that gives you a hint that -- of the breadth and creativity that the Bert Harris Act encourages in settling things -- or settling claims.

Additionally, if you look down that list, and
I think we covered this a little bit in our
memorandum that we filed earlier today, some of the
resolution choices, if you will, require the use of

Page 285

other property that wasn't part of the claim. You heard Mr. Moore talk about land swaps, transfer of density rights.

The settlement agreement that was approved by the board actually hits all nine of the options under that Section 4C. The only ones it doesn't hit is paying for the development rights and doing nothing.

So we try to be respectful of everyone's time, and that's all I have to say, Your Honor. If you would, find that Lee County has checked all the boxes and uphold the decision to adopt the settlement agreement. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Anything from Mr. Bartlett?

MR. BARTLETT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Grosso.

MR. GROSSO: Thank you, Your Honor. By the petitioners' own proof, they have failed to demonstrate that this settlement meets the very strict terms of the Harris Act. We do not need to bring any additional evidence of our own. The facts as they have come out through their own testimony demonstrates this settlement cannot apply with this law.

Page 286

The Harris Act says, It authorizes relief to a landowner when a specific action of a governmental entity has inordinately burdened an existing use of real property.

It defines real property to mean -- I jump ahead -- the term includes -- and why would the legislature have written it this way -- the term includes, only parcels that are the subject of and directly impacted by the action of a governmental entity.

The case law tells us that because it's in derogation of common law, because it authorizes waivers from other statutes, the Harris Act is to be interpreted and applied very strictly.

And so this idea of it being a legislative decision, well, it's not purely a legislative decision. Otherwise, the statute would not have said, in order to protect the public interest, a settlement agreement must be submitted to a circuit court judge.

If you are going to violate the rules that otherwise apply, you have to take that settlement agreement to a circuit court judge, and the circuit court must ensure that the relief granted protects the public interest. That's one of the

Page 287

requirements.

If you were to find that the settlement agreement actually sort of sideways protected the public interest, that's still not enough. Now, we don't believe it does, but in addition to that, it is the other strict requirement of this very limited waiver of the rules authorized by this law that the petitioners demonstrate that the settlement agreement is the appropriate relief necessary to prevent the government regulatory effort from inordinately burdening the real property.

Now, you've been presented with a false choice. If you don't approve this settlement agreement, we will mine the property, and that's horrible, or we will continue to farm the property, and that's horrible, too. Yet, by their own testimony, nothing about the activities going on on this property are violating any laws now.

I think the parade of horribles about how bad farming is on this property, I think to say the least, have been overplayed. The false choice you have been given is you have to approve this amount of development. You have to approve 10,000 units of homes, 700,000 square feet of commercial development, a 240-room hotel. It's all or nothing.

2.0

Page 288

We won't accept anything less than that.

Question and answer is, there's no inordinate burden analysis that was done here. This law allows a waiver of rules only to the extent needed to avoid an undue burden on the property owner.

Now, what you've heard today is that this project, the amount of development that's allowed, the type of development that's allowed by it, it's exactly the same, maybe a few details are different, of other projects that have been approved that had nothing to do with the Harris Act.

It's a garden variety suburban development approved because that's what the developer wants, and the local government gave it. There's no determination. There's no analysis. There's no proof that the development rights granted here don't go above and beyond what's needed to avoid a property rights violation. You've heard there's no such proof. They have not done that analysis whatsoever.

Here's the definition of inordinate burden,

Your Honor, under the statute, that the property

owner is permanently unable to attain the reasonable

investment expectation for the existing use of the

real property or a vested right to a specific use

Page 289

with respect to the property as a whole -- I'm paraphrasing a little bit -- or that the property owner is left with existing or vested uses that are unreasonable, such that the property owner bears a permanently disproportionate share of the burden imposed for the good of the public, which in fairness, should be borne by the public at large. That's what it takes for a regulatory decision to be an inordinate burden.

What you've heard is we invested an amount of money into this property, and if the settlement goes through, we're going to double that. Not exactly an inordinate burden. Nowhere close to an inordinate burden. It's a garden variety development approval. It violates the Lee County Comprehensive Plan on the stuff that's the most important thing the plan regulates, how much development, where.

Under this settlement agreement 6,000 acres that are currently slated for rural development and farming and mining, the one unit per 10-acre development, that's rural. That's rural development. That's country.

We are bending the rules, not by a little bit, but by enough to put 10,000 homes, 700,000 square feet of commercial development and a hotel. A major

Page 290 urban/suburban, if you will, infrastructure. Not
arban, sabarban, ir you will, infrastructure. Not
bending the rules a little bit to avoid violating
property rights. Bending the rules completely to do
a deal that the developer says that's all I will
take. Not an inordinate burden analysis. A
negotiated settlement to avoid litigation. Now that
can't be, Your Honor, if the purpose of the Harris
Act was local government gets to settle any case
because of the cost and burden of litigation and
that would have been the standard. That's not the
standard. The Chisholm case tells us that. You
can't just turn and say, well, we're going to
resolve litigation. We won't have to pay our
lawyers. We won't have to go through that. That's
the public benefit of settling under the Harris Act.
That's obviously not what the Harris Act
contemplates.

And going back to that inordinate burden thing, this landowner knew what the rules were when they purchased the property in 2016. They knew you couldn't develop a new city out there. They knew you couldn't do that amount of development. They knew it was planned only for country type of development and as well as mining. But they bought it anyway. They took their own risk. That's how it

Page 291

works.

Takings law is very clear. Property rights law, Harris Act law is very clear. You buy land subject to existing restrictions, you make a business decision, that's what you got. You're not entitled to a massive land use change to increase the development.

What did we hear today, Your Honor, 15 times the amount of residential density as is currently allowed by the rules. That's not what property rights are. That's not what the Harris Act was designed to give a windfall to developers. That way they bought with knowledge of the rules.

The property, you're not allowed to give development rights to property that was not -- the real property that was inordinately burdened. It's clear. The legislature said that. That's the text of the law. We can't go beyond that.

To the extent the law authorizes things like land swaps or transferable development rights, that obviously contemplates you're preserving the land that was regulated, and in exchange for that, you're swapping some land to the developer. You're giving transferable development rights. The law obviously doesn't contemplate that, oh, when you turn down

Page 292

approval for a piece of land for valid public purpose reasons, what the Harris Act intent is, is that then you get to develop all of that land instead. That can't be what the Harris Act is written to do, to allow the development, complete development of the property, that was the subject of a valid bona fide regulatory decision. You've been given a false choice.

The Harris Act might have allowed some additional amount of development on the property. The idea that it's got to be take it or leave it, Your Honor, take it or leave it, 10,000 homes, 700,000 square feet, a major hotel, all that urban infrastructure, we won't take a dime less, we won't take a unit less, we won't take a shopping center less. That's not what the Harris Act is about. They've got to demonstrate they haven't gone beyond the rules any more than absolutely necessary to avoid an inordinate burden.

What you heard today is that under this deal, the landowner is going to double their investment. That cannot be. There is not going to be a case that we will ever find in federal takings law, state takings law, state Harris Act interpretations that remotely suggests that doubling your money is what

Page 293

the Harris Act contemplated, Your Honor. You've been given a false choice here, and I haven't even talked about the public interest test yet here.

I think that the last point that I will make about the public interest test here is that I think you've heard from regulatory people at the county, they were told we're going to negotiate this settlement, make it work. Come up with ways that we can argue that it's in the public interest.

But you heard the planner. I wasn't consulted on whether this level of intensity of development was appropriate for this property. You have not been -- it's been -- not been demonstrated to you that the amount of development authorized with the settlement has any connection whatsoever to avoiding an undue burden on this property owner. What you've heard instead, I might suggest, is, in fact, a pretty nice windfall.

My clients have nothing against profit. Yes, profit is contemplated, but the point of the Harris Act is that you can only authorize this undue amount of profit to the extent necessary to avoid a complete burden on the property owner. Otherwise, under state law, these rules are there for a purpose. There's a reason that state law requires

Page 294

comprehensive plans. There's a reason the state law prohibits the approval of development that violates comprehensive plans.

That's why the Harris Act says it's only a narrow waiver of that law, that comprehensive plan consistency requirement, only to the extent necessary to avoid violating the private property rights, and the petitioners have not demonstrated the settlement agreement meets that test.

It gives development rights for property that had nothing to do with the Harris Act, and it gives you development rights to this property far in excess of anything that's been demonstrated to be the minimum necessary to avoid an undue burden.

We ask that you reject the settlement, Your Honor, and I appreciate all the Court's courtesy, and I thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. MOORE: Can I have a brief response?

THE COURT: I knew you couldn't help yourself.

MR. MOORE: I can't, especially having done the takings law and Bert Harris law for so many years, I think it's the first time I ever heard anyone say that takings law is really clear.

Justice Scalia and Justice Ginsburg, you name it,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 295

Justice Alito, I don't think anybody has suggested that, particularly Bert Harris law.

What is clear, though, a couple of things. One is that the reason that the law says that Bert Harris law should be strictly construed has to do with the waiver of sovereign immunity. Now, who is challenging the power of the sovereign here? Is it the landowner? The county has made a decision. No. We've agreed with them. Negotiate a settlement. Legislative act. The challenge to the sovereign power is by the intervenors. They want you to second-quess the county commission. With all respect, that is not the Court's role. The Court's role is very circumscribed by the statute.

So to say, well, 10,000 units wasn't right.

Maybe it should have been 5,000 or 8500, or maybe
the amount of commercial use wasn't. That's -- that
is not before the Court.

Now, the other issue I take with what counsel said, and, again, this is based on a good bit of experience with regard to how land is valued and what the owners do, but I -- and I look forward to the intervenors' memorandum of law and proposed order, because I don't believe there's any law that I know of that says that an owner is only entitled

Page 296

to recover his or her investment. That's not the test.

In fact, Lee County vs. Brigham, an old case back in the 50s, says it doesn't matter if the owner could have inherited from his Aunt Suzy, the property. It still would be entitled to the highest and best use of the property in terms of the valuation. That is a -- it's a false test, and I don't know where it came from, and I look forward to seeing the law that supports that when counsel submits his proposed order.

No, it's the value that the market indicates based on the highest and best use of the property. Is the highest and best use a mining use? Well, that's the contention of the owner and the appraiser that did this, and Maxwell Henry, the same appraiser that the county uses for their eminent domain and other issues. Is it what the owner says the royalties going out for 30, 40, 50 years could be as much as a billion dollars, 500 million?

If you look at the appraisal that's attached to the Bert Harris claim letter, the land values are really quite close. Mining, according to the appraiser, not on the royalty approach, but just on the comparable sales approach was 20,000 an acre,

Page 297

whereas, this sale three years after, not counting the interest, is for \$5,000 an acre more at \$25,000 an acre.

So to say that this windfall is a terrible thing because it's greater than the investment of the owner, none of those issues have any merit in considering the inordinate burden or whether the relief is enough to satisfy meeting that burden.

The inordinate burden has been that the owner has been prohibited from achieving the highest and best use of this property when the court said basically that's what the comprehensive plan allows, a mining use.

Now, for good, solid reasons the county commission says, no, we don't want to do a mining use there, but by golly, they will settle this thing, and -- and achieve all those public benefits as a side.

If you look at the law, and we'll submit this extensively, but one of the cases that I was glad to see that counsel cited is the Rainbow River

Conservation case that he sent to you as supplemental authority. Rather than just read it, if I may, just give it to the Court.

THE COURT: You may.

FMCR

Page 298

MR. MOORE: The last page. The appellate court indicates that while the intervenor said you can't violate the comprehensive plan, that's contrary, directly contrary to the language of the Bert Harris action. And that -- that's the whole point of hearings like this, to see that if the statute is contravened, and this is one of them, and that deals directly with the comprehensive plan, is that offset by a public benefit. So I think that's been proved doubly and triply here.

We would also point out, and I've submitted a notice of supplemental authority to the Court, and one of the cases that I would ask the Court to look at is the Omni National Bank case, and, again, I have it here. That talks about intervenors, and how the intervenors have to take the pleadings and the issues as they find them, and they're not allowed to introduce new claims, new matters into the purview of the court, because they're guests, invited guests, but to introduce new matters is something that the intervention law does not permit.

So the bottom line is, the objections of counsel for the intervenors have to do with a public benefit that he doesn't agree with, challenges the power of the sovereign, in this case, Lee County, to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill Judge James Shenko Page 299 make a legislative decision, thinks the Court ought to second guess them, and has zero, zero evidence to support any of his contentions. We ask you based upon that presentation and based on what you've heard on the August 31st and today to approve this settlement agreement after you've been able to review the law that's been submitted by both sides. Thank you. 15 seconds, Your Honor? MR. GROSSO: I've got to give Mr. Bartlett a THE COURT: shot --MR. HINDS: No. -- or Mr. Hinds. THE COURT: MR. BARTLETT: We're done, Your Honor. MR. MOORE: We're the -- go ahead. MR. GROSSO: 15 seconds. I will allow, but you do realize THE COURT: that Mr. Moore will have the last opportunity,

should he wish.

Thank you, Your Honor. MR. GROSSO: The only point I would make is the intervenors have not introduced any new issues. The issue of whether this is an appropriate relief granted the minimum amount necessary to avoid an undue burden and whether it applies to the correct spacial amount of

1	Page 300 property, those issues are already before the Court
2	given the nature of the joint petition and the
3	rulings that the Court had to make, whether we
4	showed up in this court or not. So I just wanted to
5	be clear about that point, Your Honor. And, again,
6	I thank the Court for all its indulgences. Thank
7	you.
8	THE COURT: Thank you.
9	MR. MOORE: We'll respond directly to that
10	point in our written submission.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	MR. MOORE: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: We are in recess. Thank you.
14	(Thereupon, at 5:18 p.m., the proceedings were
15	concluded.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Page 301 CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF LEE)
5	
6	
7	I, Melissa Meeks, RPR, FPR, do hereby certify that
8	I was authorized to and did stenographically report
9	the proceedings and that the foregoing transcript,
10	pages 1 through 301, is a true record of my
11	stenographic notes.
12	
13	I further certify that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties,
15	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am
17	I financially interested in the action.
18	
19	DATED this 28th day of November, 2022, at Fort
20	Myers, Lee County, Florida.
21	(This transcript has been digitally signed.)
22	
23	
24	M - M
25	Melissa Meeks, RPR, FPR

	1.5.1	7:3	17th	224:11,12 229:18
\$	19:11	12	82:20 173:15,16	20,000
\$1.7	1.7	13:7 29:7	174:5	65:12,20,22
187:3 277:16	187:4	12.8	18	296:25
\$105	10	140:14,21 141:10	14:14 38:11,12	20-foot
229:13	13:7 18:7 25:21	1200	189-year	147:7
	28:16 44:22 56:23	29:17	216:21	20-minute
\$2	57:1 80:17,24 82:8	12011	19	266:10
185:19	122:18 123:11	165:22	39:2	200
\$2,000	126:3,8,9,20 129:6	1205	196	112:20
61:14,18,21 62:10,	145:11,12 151:3	283:1	71:20	2000
18,21	161:11 213:9		1960s	150:13
\$200	218:7 245:15	125.66	154:9	
277:13	250:25 283:25	280:20		2003
\$25,000	10,000	12:05	197	107:21,22,23
228:9,12 297:2	36:2 60:8 64:7,23	112:3	72:8	113:4
\$29.75	65:15 87:20	12:59	1977	2006
217:23 223:11	129:12 147:12	112:3	150:5	254:23
\$40	191:12 199:20	13	198	2007
185:9	202:16 221:21	30:22,23	72:17	20:13,17 45:9,13,
\$43	287:23 289:24	13,500	1983	20 46:4 52:23
268:25	292:12 295:15	73:2	137:8	53:3,9,10,11 82:20
\$5,000	10-acre	13620	1989	90:9,18,24 91:15,
43,000 297:2	44:10 80:20	112:19	45:3	18,21,25 92:2,7,
	289:20	14	1990s	12,21,23,25 207:7
\$55	10-acres	13:15 32:13,16	262:19	231:7,14,16,25
224:23 229:17	80:16		1999	232:9,12 234:3
\$63	10-foot	15	95:23	282:2
211:13 270:18	147:7 151:1	14:2 21:1 28:25 42:9 145:12 199:2		2008
281:18	10-minute	205:8 234:3	1:00 111:19,24 112:2	82:11
\$78	203:21	266:10 291:8	111.19,24 112.2	2009
187:1 277:14	10-year	299:9,16		234:4
\$78,422,000	161:12	· ·	2	2010
187:2		15-foot	2	45:24 82:11
	100	147:7	193:10	2011
1	56:24 141:16	150,000	2,000	255:15
1	147:3	65:9	61:21 62:14	2014
23:18 32:1 129:16,	100,000	150-foot	229:24 230:12,14	234:5 236:15
17 260:13	37:13	53:13,15	2,000-acre	2015
	103	16	61:10	236:15
1-C	165:22	14:2 35:11	2,000-plus	2016
10:9,18	105	1600	41:1	206:4 217:20
1.15	229:11	204:10	2-D	218:6 219:4
49:11	10:12	163		223:15 231:2
1.4.5	42:1	58:11 87:7	21:18,24 22:1,2,10	290:20
25:10	10:20	163.3184	2-foot	
1.4.5.1	42:1	280:19	144:24	2017
19:8	11	163.3194	2.9	207:5,7
1.4.5.2	27:10 171:15	280:16	140:20,21 141:14	2019
19:10	214:9 283:22		2/10ths	70:7 211:3 235:12
1.5	284:1	17	146:19	2020
-		37:9,10 166:5	20	58:24 268:24
49:11 68:12	111	171:15 202:10	20	

11/08/2022Index: \$1.7..2020

Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill		Judge James Shenko		11/08/2022Index: 20217
2021	152:21 198:24	33.2.5	263:13 296:19	50s
138:5	212:15 224:6	35:13	40,000	99:21 296:4
2022	263:13 266:24	33912	71:23	5200
149:17 168:19,21	267:7,8,21 273:18	112:20	40s	36:2
173:15 174:5,8	279:14 296:19			
175:10	30-year	33928	99:20	55
	256:25	95:14	41	27:12 225:25
207		34	176:1,4,5	244:2
72:22	300	113:22 114:1,2	42	5:18
21	35:20 127:8	346	182:23,24 183:2,3	300:14
179:11	300,000	283:1	184:16,19,20	
22	35:15,22 36:3	35	4200	6
15:3 174:7 254:18	30th	71:23 138:8,11,13	212:12 228:14	6
22-2743	27:4,7	36	230:8	1 -
6:4	31	139:11,21,22	43	12:12,15 28:25 82:9
22nd	96:10,12,15,19		184:15,17,18,21,	
174:8,22,24 175:1	31st	365	24	6,000
	8:1 27:7 168:19,21	140:13		80:10,16 147:18
24	170:18,23 173:7	37	44	269:14 289:18
22:24	170.16,23 173.7	142:20,21,23,24	186:12,13,14,16,	6.1
24-hour	268:5 299:5	373	17	31:19 141:6,9
256:14		156:17,18	49	6.7
240-room	32	38	166:3	141:5,9
222:8,10 287:25	25:11 97:25 98:2,	145:23,24,25	4C	60
25	4,5 114:8 166:6	146:1,4,5	283:22 285:6	243:25 259:16,21
18:16	181:2 202:11	387	4d.2	263:14 266:20
	3200		279:25	
25,000	66:15 78:25 186:3	168:7 169:3		61
228:18 229:5	188:12 268:19	39	4th	244:2
26	269:3 275:16	148:8,11,12,15	235:12	63
170:1	33	167:8		276:25
27	101:21 102:4,5	3:07	5	6561
70:21,22	33.1.7	203:22	5	137:1
29	19:12		11:18 12:25 25:14	6700
7:5 8:7 9:19 11:18		4	249:25	29:21
72:22	33.2.2		5,000	20.21
29.75	24:6	4	87:23 88:2 295:16	7
217:24	33.2.3	8:8 12:24 15:7		
	24:6	274:23 275:4	5,208	7
2:58	33.2.4.1	4,000	83:17,18	12:16 13:2 23:19
203:22	21:16 25:11	9:15 13:1 72:15,19	5-foot	28:25 69:25 70:1,2
2nd	33.2.4.2	4,002	145:7	72:22 209:10
175:10	25:22	57:19,23	50	235:9
		4,200	10:16 27:14	70
3	33.2.4.2(e) 27:11	229:6	152:22 198:25	87:7
			207:15 244:5	
10.0.004.40	33.2.4.2(i)	4,681,000,000	296:19	700,000
18:9 204:10	29:8	140:12	500	65:5 221:25
3,000	33.2.4.3(c)	4,805	65:7 127:5,10	287:24 289:24
176:22 257:22	34:13	140:10	222:19 229:23	292:13
3,287	33.2.4.4(d)	4.11	270:23 277:1	75
9:7 11:5,16 27:15,	35:13	15:7	296:20	99:14
22 28:19	33.2.4.4(e)	40		77
30	37:10	152:21 167:9,11,	500,000	31:7 32:4 147:24
11:16 74:19	37.10	14,15,21 198:25	36:16 276:16	

174:7,9

8

8 18:14 19:4 209:20 249:25

80 207:15

80-something 154:24

800 147:16

82

9:3 17:1 35:17 36:16,18 59:19 60:23 63:25 65:7 68:4,13 100:7,20 149:9 178:3 182:16 183:12,24, 25 245:13 258:19, 23 271:11

83

147:22 148:1

832

140:20 147:17

8500 295:16

21:9 25:9 210:21 269:17 270:3

9

9.9 31:9.12.13 140:22

142:7 147:23 9351

95:13 967 230:14

Α

a.m. 42:1

abandonment 151:11

abated 276:18 ability

17:5,9,14 27:25 28:4 39:19 123:4 158:11 169:22 176:24 177:1 184:2

absolutely

41:14 88:5 98:22 102:13 111:4 153:17 154:2 210:6 292:18

abut 172:21

abuts 60:18 120:21

177:24

abutting 172:13 189:13

academic 118:22

accelerated 16:4,5 199:6 213:17

accept

103:17 176:24 191:14 196:3 217:3 281:15 288:1

acceptable 52:22

accepted

215:23 216:8 270:23

accepting 19:1

accepts 221:14

access

63:25 accident

183:18

accommodate 177:4,16,22 178:8

accrue 34:1

accurate 47:2 61:1 62:19

accurately 243:7

accustomed 127:17

achieve

28:8,9 40:2 201:21 242:6 262:18 297:17

achieved

40:14,15 103:5 107:11,14 152:12, 15 163:16,21 221:2,3,4 242:12

achieving 297:10

acknowledgement 178:24

acknowledging 178:15

acknowledgment 178:12

acquire 206:1,13

acquired

24:12 138:4 206:3, 8,21 208:24 218:12,25 219:12 224:4 231:21

acquiring 205:24

acquisition

22:19,20,23 23:2, 7,20 25:7 34:14,19 58:22 205:24 206:22 223:25

acre

34:17 49:11,16,17 61:21 68:9 83:10 228:10.12.18.19 262:13 296:25 297:2,3

acreage 208:2

acres

9:7,15 11:5,16 13:1 17:3,17 27:15,22 28:3,16, 19 29:17,19,20,21 35:7 36:20,24 37:4,5,7 38:6 39:20 41:1 44:22 56:23 57:1,19,23 60:11,13,15,17 61:1,7 66:15 68:5, 6 78:25 80:10,16, 17,24 84:22

100:17,21 101:1 102:24 122:18 123:11 126:3,8,10, 21 129:6 140:10, 20 147:17 148:5 149:5,14,20 176:10,17,22 177:2,8,15,18,23, 24 178:11,17 179:4 186:3 188:12 208:7 212:11,12 218:7 228:12,14 229:6, 24 230:8,12,14 242:11 250:25 257:22 268:19 269:3,14 275:16

289:18 Acres' 177:22

act

50:13 84:3,6,11 85:8 196:11 225:13 251:2,8 263:7 272:21 277:25 278:17 279:23 280:17 283:8,18,22 284:3, 20 285:21 286:1, 13 288:11 290:8, 15,16 291:3,11 292:2,4,9,16,24 293:1,21 294:4,11 295:10

acted 232:14

action

13:6 210:16,17 213:4,7,12 276:20, 25 280:13 286:2,9 298:5

active

41:3 66:23 67:9 219:6

16:7 67:1 activities

actively

14:15 27:18 71:13 75:2 76:9 99:12,22 100:2 105:8,20 107:7 108:15.17

121:23 127:18 128:19 287:17 109:19

adjacency 134:18

adjacent

17:21 27:20 107:6 120:6 130:20 131:1 136:1 208:23 241:19



242:17 258:11 affected 96:24 97:2 98:19 282:18 47:13 48:23 49:7.8 39:8 172:4 73:8,15 84:24 85:6 261:1,2 271:21 105:14 106:5,20 agriculturalists 107:13 126:19 90:18 215:10 164:20 adjourned affects 129:15 134:23 218:10 250:6 6:9 97:2 agriculture 135:16 145:17 276:22 288:7.8 affirmed adjudged 17:6 18:5 29:9,10, 151:22 152:12,13 291:10,14 292:9 231:13 83:2 23 30:3,14 32:23 154:20 161:25 298:17 41:3,7 46:16 administered afford 162:2.20 166:20 allowing 66:21,22,24 76:19 156:19 191:6 137:21 167:2 168:4,10 59:20 87:10 90:11 77:2,21 79:13 administratively afternoon 172:19 173:19 258:22 108:24 110:11,12 208:13 254:11 176:10 177:19 154:24,25 164:14 alternative admits ag 179:18 180:7 206:19 207:1 218:9 262:15 148:11 41:8 64:10,12 187:1 189:2 192:6, 210:8 241:25 altitude 158:1 269:21 18 200:7,19,20 admitted 242:13 248:19,22 268:6.17 275:13.14 281:19 201:10,12,13,15, 96:18,20 98:4,6 257:3 amend 16 202:3 214:2,8, agencies 101:22 102:4,6 agrochemicals 239:12 13,25 215:16,21, 112:22 113:21 87:10 115:9 155:1 amended 25 216:2,4,11 116:10.13.23 114:1,3,8 138:11 ahead 79:16 85:17 220:25 221:1 139:21,23 142:23, 117:6 118:5 119:3, 10:24 11:1 14:1 224:17,18,19 25 146:1,4,6 16 amending 18:13 25:21 29:6 227:3 228:25 148:9,12,17 239:2 280:20,22 agency 31:3 100:15 230:18 238:6,9 167:14,16 176:4,6 247:20,23 amendment 120:13 139:10 240:9 243:9 179:12 183:2,4 22:4 51:13 79:10, aggregate 144:9 179:10 244:21 247:6,8 184:25 186:16,18 11 87:1,4,12 231:6 212:7 182:7 195:8 249:4,23 251:4,20 adopt 239:5,16 240:11 aggression 225:10 283:25 253:11 255:23 285:12 247:16,17 248:1,8, 125:14,17,20 286:6 299:15 260:13 263:21 10,13,14,21,25 adopted agree 276:3 278:10 alarms 249:7,14 250:21 249:9 19:7 21:3 29:4 72:14,19 75:6,12 279:1,3,11 280:3,5 251:5 263:2,6 adoption 43:16 55:15 90:4 281:2 282:8 285:4, algal amendments 61:2 220:8 125:22 250:5,7 13 286:19.23 33:14,15 93:15 94:7 255:3 298:24 adverse 287:3,9,14 289:18 Alico amenity 108:11,20 127:19 294:9 299:6 agreed 24:20 183:19,22 169:14 133:2 160:23 21:4 38:13 209:16 agreements 184:2 163:13,14,18,20 **America** 215:22 222:12 206:25 alignment 269:23 128:23 277:22 247:5 295:9 agricultural 97:10,20 99:19 adversely amount agreeing 16:6,18 32:5 67:9 Alito 100:1 157:8,16 10:22 11:2,13 61:11 75:17 76:25 77:4. 295:1 158:2 159:8,22 18:11 31:14,23 16 99:12,21 100:2 agreement allege 160:3 161:18 35:23 38:7 42:5,7 101:5 105:8,20 8:13,16,17 12:14 276:10 48:22 49:5 50:9, advertised 108:7,11,15,17 13:5,6 16:23 18:25 alleged 11,17,22 51:3,4,9, 167:3,4 169:5 121:23 123:5 19:20 20:7 21:5, 73:20 10 57:9 60:1,4,5,6 133:23 137:23 advertisement 12,14 24:14 26:1, 61:25 62:1 81:7 alleviate 140:7 141:1 142:5, 175:17 200:17 5,12 27:3,13 29:10 84:9 88:18,19 18:25 39:21 101:2 14 146:11 151:15 34:16 36:1 37:6 aerials 89:19 121:12,13 152:16 153:16 38:24 40:5,16 42:6 allocate 15:14 99:20 126:23 160:8,10, 44:7 45:2 47:13.17 154:1,9 155:2 140:16 affairs 25 161:15,23,25 156:9,14 157:22 48:4.24 49:7.18 allocation 93:23 204:20 162:25 165:6 159:25 160:2,12 50:7 60:7,9 62:22 140:11 affect 192:8 193:3,25 63:7,15 65:4 68:7, 161:1 162:6 163:2, allowable 27:19 157:8,16 194:24 195:17 25 164:9,15 11 69:24 70:21 160:17 158:2 159:8,22 199:9 211:11 206:16 237:17 73:23 77:18 80:9 160:3 161:18 allowed 215:20 216:8 81:4,19 83:23,25 242:17 257:17 207:12 40:10 44:7 45:13 217:8 220:3,19 260:20 262:8 85:22 87:25 89:20

Judge James Shenko

Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill 222:6 223:14 225:15,21 226:12 240:6 243:24 244:25 247:7.11 250:24 253:10 265:16 270:2 287:22 288:7 289:10 290:22 291:9 292:10 293:14,21 295:17 299:24.25 amounts 216:9 amplitude 150:14 analog 281:2 analyses 158:23 159:13 analysis 14:23 30:18 33:24 50:11,15 51:14,20 52:20 55:23 56:3 59:12,13 84:13 88:16 89:8,9,11,21 91:14 92:5 137:13 150:20 158:20 159:15,17 162:13, 17 219:19.20 220:2,4,6,11 248:7 288:3,15,19 290:5 analyzed 159:1 160:15 161:25 analyzing

analyzing 90:23

anciently

69:5

and/or 122:9 172:16

animal 29:21 114:14 130:14 131:15 132:16 261:14 270:10

animals

132:12 270:11

annual 212:16,17

answers 133:13 273:11 anthropogenic 127:17 128:19

anticipate 105:13

anticipating 106:4

anymore 61:8 64:13

apologies 24:18

apologize 254:8

apparently 73:1 85:9 270:14

appealed 83:2

appears 284:9,10

appellate 298:1

applicable 19:17 36:4 38:1 84:20

applicant 45:19 48:11

application

20:2 40:22 45:9,21 51:13 52:11 64:10 69:8 70:4,5 77:14 80:13 82:11,19 90:10,23 91:9 93:3 94:11,15 157:17 158:4 206:21,23 208:13 209:4,15, 24 210:12 216:7 219:6 228:9 230:2, 4,23,24 231:13,24 232:8,13 234:7,18, 21,23 241:14,18 255:16,18 262:2 276:22

applications

158:25 261:23 applied

77:11 90:25 93:3 94:16 286:14

applies 299:25 apply

91:25 263:5 285:24 286:22 **applying** 45:20 92:1 159:11

appraisal

42:12 46:11,19 89:6 199:18,22 211:1,13,21 219:24 221:19,23 222:2,5,9,24 230:6 296:21

appraiser

219:21 296:15,16, 24

appreciative 178:18

approach

30:6 63:9 111:3 130:6 192:11 193:7 218:10 226:8 265:21 270:22 274:14 278:7 296:24,25

approached 213:17 237:7

approval

8:19,20 9:23 25:22,23 46:3 50:18 52:16 82:19 91:17,19 92:20,22, 24.25 93:19 94:14 108:1 119:19 190:24 192:5 202:6 209:8 216:10 218:22 220:20 231:3 238:20,22 239:15, 21,23,25 240:3,5, 10 243:5,8,16 247:2,19 249:6 260:3 261:23 262:1,3 280:15 289:14 292:1 294:2

approvals

20:13 46:4 53:3,11 117:7 119:2,7,12 186:10 240:21 276:4

approve

94:13 217:9 279:12 282:7 287:13,22,23 299:6 approved

25:5 48:25 49:19, 22,25 50:9 52:25 54:10.13 58:8 60:1,7 63:6,14 65:5 67:12,13 68:24 69:5,6,7 74:9 78:25 79:20 83:9,16,19 87:21, 24 89:20 91:4,20, 22 92:16,21 94:10 97:7 110:17 115:14 135:15,18 151:23 154:16 161:24 162:19 177:19 178:12 191:12 208:23,25 216:3 220:21 224:17 232:12 237:20.22 240:17 245:19 249:13 251:3,19 253:11 282:1 285:4

288:10,13 **approving**

60:8 94:7 202:2

approximately

18:7 127:5,10 140:19,20 141:4,6, 14 142:8 146:22 147:4 150:25 185:19 236:12 245:3

April

70:7 235:12

aguifer

31:7,20,21,24 54:4 76:22 139:6 140:25 141:1,2,3, 6,9,13,16,21,22,23 142:2,10,21 143:8, 9,11,14 145:4,18 146:18 149:16,20, 24 150:17 151:3,7, 10 153:11 156:10 257:5,6 269:22

aquifers 14:9 30:21 141:19

arbitrary 44:21 283:11

architect 204:17

Ardaman

137:16,18

area

9:6,7 10:2,17,22 11:1,2,4 13:18 14:22 17:5 19:9 21:20 22:11,20 24:11 25:5 28:1,12 29:3 31:22 34:19 35:8,15,16 36:15, 21 37:25 40:11,25 44:9 47:25 56:10, 14,20 60:15 61:8 63:12 66:8 68:2,4, 18,20 74:1 75:8 78:6 80:18 81:18 97:15 99:9.14 102:14 103:7,12 104:4 113:16 119:21 120:5 121:1 125:19 129:11,16,17 132:9 134:2 144:18 147:14 148:3 149:3,11,14, 20,24 151:16,25 153:7 154:22 164:3 169:7 173:25 180:23 186:9 246:19,20 262:14 270:5 279:4

areas

9:9,10,14 17:12 22:19 23:3,5 25:7 28:5 34:6 39:15,25 42:21,22 43:3,9 55:12 57:3,11,12, 13 66:12 67:9 79:24 97:9,10,20 100:10 102:19 104:1,2,3 105:21 107:3 121:3,8,25 123:2,4,5 127:8 130:19 131:1,19, 20 134:1,2 135:5 144:18,22 146:20 147:22 151:13 166:22 172:4,12 188:3 245:4 261:7, 10 271:22

argue 217:16 226:10

293:9

arguing 221:1	_
argum	ent
65:21	85:
227:7	,8 2

65:21 85:5 226:17 227:7,8 269:18 277:7 278:8 280:23 282:16

arguments 273:13

arise 29:25

arrived 89:19,20 187:14

arrows

15:15 97:21 102:16 114:9

arterial 68:14,16

aspect

9:22 26:13 38:4 57:4 127:12 155:4 252:8

aspects

8:15 27:1,2 52:10 153:12 155:2 164:16

asserted 179:1.7

assess 161:21 255:6,10

assessed 62:1

assist

114:18 177:2

assistant 266:18

assisted

139:2

Associates

112:18 113:9 117:19 118:8 137:10,12,15,16,

association

12:8 122:9 187:11

assume

20:8 55:16 65:16 87:14 105:25 108:9 164:3,5 201:4,8 223:13 247:10 273:7 assumed

220:4

assuming 32:5 81:1 87:16 92:11 106:18 122:19 165:5

assumption

147:11 164:2,7 195:16

assurance

154:5,13 158:23 160:22

attach 52:16

attached 8:16 232:11

296:21

attain 288:23

attend 174:4,15,18,25

attendant 271:3

attended 256:1

attention 97:1

attenuates 155:12

attorney 62:25 175:15

62:25 175:18 254:6

attorneys 201:8

attractors

37:15,22 audible

72:14,19

audience 265:25 266:4

Audubon

34:7 47:25 48:3,13 180:2 189:13,20

August

7:25 186:2 299:5

Augustine 54:23 55:2

Aunt 296:5 authority 108:20 262:7 297:23 298:12

authorization 14:18 229:2

authorize

42:6 141:4 293:21

authorized

91:15 92:12,23 140:8 142:9 143:13 160:14

161:3,16 166:12, 15 230:3,7 287:7

293:14

authorizes

286:1,12 291:19

automobile 183:18

availability 244:8

avenue

112:19 276:24

average 32:3,4 68:8

avoid

36:23 84:10 88:18 89:22 195:18 219:22 225:15,22 270:11 288:4,17

270:11 288:4,17 290:2,6 292:19 293:22 294:7,14

299:24

avoidance 269:23 270:17 277:4

avoiding

277:2 293:15

aware

46:17 109:14 206:20 218:9,24 221:22 222:7 223:4 231:3,5 247:4

axis

150:25

В

bachelor

95:22 113:1 137:7

back

16:15 20:10 24:7 34:18,21 41:8 45:3,25 60:16 68:1 82:11 91:21 92:1, 23 99:20 103:7 116:9 138:1 141:10 149:15 186:2 211:3 239:12 262:19 280:9 281:5 283:21 290:18

296:4 **back-up**

72:14,18 75:6,11 81:24

background

31:19 75:25 89:14

bad

104:14 277:20,22 287:19

badgering 221:16

balance

237:16 262:16 **ball**

29:22 267:21

ballpark

224:10

bank 206:8 298:14

bankruptcy 206:9

barren 30:4

Bartlett

41:17,19 233:7,9, 16 241:3,4,13 242:5 243:2,3 245:24 250:11 253:2,16 279:16 282:24 285:15,16 299:10,14

based

10:1 16:17 19:5 20:13,20 34:13 35:23 43:19 45:2, 21 46:3 50:10 53:2,11 54:10,12 57:20,25 61:16 63:1 66:11 74:1 81:20 84:12 91:3, 7,13,14,17,20,22 102:16 103:14 104:7 106:18 110:19 147:13 155:8 157:17 158:4 181:10 190:23 219:7 222:22 270:21 278:3 295:20 296:13 299:4,5

basic

23:9 36:7 43:11

basically

46:23 48:24 73:6 103:22,24 114:9 139:2 141:4 142:11 143:8,19 149:7,9,19,23 150:3 153:5,8 154:16 297:12

basis

66:11 81:9 173:11 187:13

Beach 157:2

bear-proof 80:22 129:7

bears

56:16 289:4

beauty 16:25 17:13

Becky

253:21 254:13 **beginning**

eginnin 7:6 8:6

begins

111:5 192:13 226:9 265:22

. . .,

behalf 76:6,7 107:25 123:24 158:12 176:8 231:12 238:13 254:7 268:3

behavior

127:25 128:7 **belief**

231:11,20

believed177:9 210:2 217:4,
6 231:7 247:7

Bell

68:25 69:1

Belle



66:17 252:14,16

50:23 55:5 126:3,8

57:11

199:13

264:11

191:8

212:25

56:24

258:17

65:13 79:19

199:12 202:25

61:8 67:6 126:6

88:17,18 89:23

18 195:1.18.25

215:2 216:6

192:25 193:4,17,

219:22 220:11,19

221:6,10 225:16,

22 226:3.11 227:5

288:3,5,21 289:5,

292:19 293:16.23

294:14 297:7,8,9

214:23,24 286:3

215:19 280:8

95:10 112:13,19

165:19 204:7,10

223:18 224:19

136:21 162:9

299:24

291:16

287:11

214:15

170:1

9,13,14 290:5,9,18

191:9 221:21

222:1 245:4

Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill Judge James Shenko 66:2 79:21 276:24 277:23 72:24 74:15.16.17. 120:21 123:17 buffer 18,19 81:22 90:15, 278:21 279:23 133:18 298:22 bench 283:2,6,16,17,21 16 192:11 193:10 bought **buffers** 284:3,20 294:22 blocking 217:20 218:5,8 bending 295:2.4 296:22 188:15 219:4,11 223:10 289:23 290:2,3 build 298:5 231:1,2 290:24 blooms beneficial big 291:13 33:14,15 128:2 134:11 32:23 35:18 47:20 bound blowup build-out 155:4 75:9 77:14 265:24 149:7,9 194:21,22 47:20 benefit 277:17 224:18 19:2 23:11 30:11 blue builder bigger boundary 31:24 35:6 36:19 97:21 134:2 65:23 66:6,7 21:23 100:7 103:6 37:3 38:9 54:9 144:20 **builders** biggest 58:5,18 100:17 hox board 125:2 101:10,16 122:23 132:19,22,24 26:22 47:9 63:10 building bike 123:16 126:23 boxes 98:25 151:23 185:6 127:21 128:11,15, 168:7,16 171:15 285:12 17 151:17 152:5,6, Bill 173:24 174:10 **Brandon** built 8,9 163:16,22 6:22 175:2 209:14,16 233:10,11,18 183:15 195:23 215:22,24 216:2,8, billion breadth 242:1 258:24 17,20,24 217:8 212:9,10 217:7 284:19 263:15 269:23 218:19 219:25 222:20 229:23 break bullet 271:11 272:3 220:20.21 233:20 270:24 296:20 7:7 111:2,9 274:14,19 275:2 234:22,25 235:15, biologist breed bunch 290:15 298:9,24 17 242:3 272:9 43:16 55:14 109:4 277:18 benefited 279:7 280:15 biology briefly burden 125:25 284:8 285:5 127:13 93:10 95:18 110:4 benefits board's bird 112:22,24 118:20 20:16 30:14,16,17 210:11 214:14 55:22 135:7 166:1 256:8 32:10 34:1,3 35:9 215:18 216:10 birds 257:1 259:12 39:7,16 40:1,12 boards 55:10,25 70:19 **Brigham** 83:23,24 101:17 170:24 171:1,12 296:3 102:10 107:11 175:19 11:20 60:24 102:9 132:11 152:10,15 bright bob 154:19 163:18,21 119:13 186:1 256:16 282:17 269:2 284:23 165:4 178:12,13, bring bona 24 191:10 193:21 289:2.23 290:2 128:15 129:12 219:20 292:7 220:25 221:4 295:20 196:15 285:22 book 232:19 241:7,17 black broader 14:25 82:9 burdened 242:7,9 257:16,20 24:19,20 56:16 16:24 258:3,6 271:6,14 booklet 143:25 145:7 brought 8:8 21:2 22:24 273:18,21 279:2,5 182:16,17 226:5 46:21 86:16 297:17 85:25 burdening **Blacksmith** 273:14,15 books berms 165:11.13.21.23 brown 269:7 101:6 105:18 188:25 193:15 23:21 136:14,15, borne burdensome berries Blacksmith's 23 138:8.16 289:7 55:9,25 196:1 269:24 borrowed bus **Bert** Blaney-criddle Brown's 240:2 13:6 50:14 83:4 162:3 257:9 85:8 93:19 193:19 bother **business** blank **bubble** 210:16 213:11 159:10 281:12

255:19 268:8

271:1 272:11,20

273:8,23 274:6,10

blasting

69:14,18 71:9

15:11 25:13 27:17

bottom

180:9

buckets

74:23

			11,00,202211	dox. Dadinocococicanon
249:20 291:5	Canal	category	58:11 87:7 156:17	circumstances
businesses	177:10	35:22 51:22,24	characteristics	84:15 251:7
162:18	cane	caused	9:2 25:16 52:2	cited
buy	195:6	219:15 262:6	236:9	274:20 297:21
59:2 224:6 263:8	canker	CCLP	characterize	cities
268:23 291:3	207:10	30:12	124:17 151:24	261:13
buyer's	capacity	CDD	152:2,3 251:16,21	citrus
194:2	62:7 76:17	13:21	chart	121:21 164:9,10,
buyers	capricious	cease	102:9 105:12	12,13,14,19 205:3,
277:10	283:11	17:7	140:1 145:18,20	5,12,13 206:19
	captured	cell	160:6 161:8 184:4	207:3,4,6,9,10,11
С	59:9	171:21	185:4,14 190:12	223:15
·	captures	census	charts	city
calculation	153:6,8	65:18	139:8 166:7	31:13 66:5,7
57:25	car	center	Chattanooga	154:7,15 253:12
calculations	133:3 253:20	292:15	95:22	282:25 290:21
30:24 222:18	Carbondale	central	check	civil
call	113:4	14:2,11	7:18 65:18 188:8	95:16,23,24,25
7:8 14:15 63:5		· ·	189:22	106:19 166:5
94:23 95:3 97:19	career 95:24 96:1 113:4	centralized 259:17	checked	202:10
104:18 112:5,6			285:11	claim
136:14 139:11 145:15 165:11	careful 7:21 23:14	certainty 212:14	chemistry	50:15 210:18,19,
203:24 249:19			242:21	20,24 213:11
called	carefully	certified	chief	255:19 270:18
58:24 85:20	46:20	95:17 204:17	76:18	276:25 283:20
103:16 130:12	carried	certiorari	Chisholm	285:1 296:22
137:23 138:17	55:10	213:3	290:11	claimed
150:2 164:10	cars	cetera	choice	211:11
179:17 194:3	59:7 131:17	190:20 281:6	163:12 269:25	claims
205:17 282:25	case	chains	281:19 287:13,21	83:4 213:19
calling	6:4 18:20 21:21	74:23	292:8 293:2	284:21 298:18
214:20 242:8	45:15 46:24 51:11,	challenge	choices	clank
262:7 273:17	15 52:19 57:10,18 63:1 72:6 77:9	283:5 295:10	281:14 283:22	71:22
calls	84:2,3,6 87:11	challenges	284:25	clanking
214:17 233:9	90:2,5 91:5 93:6,	208:6 298:24	choke	74:22
Caloosahatchee	19 111:25 121:20	challenging	78:7,8	clanks
33:16 39:21	128:9 163:13	143:5 295:7	chosen	81:22
cameras	188:12 228:25	chance	92:13	clarification
180:22	229:2 232:14	70:13 134:16	chunk	161:9
Cameratta	238:6,9 239:5	266:9	60:17	clarify
26:23 30:12 115:4	249:22 263:16,20	change	chunks	134:20
124:1 165:24	272:10 274:11	63:15 64:14	60:20	classic
166:6,13,14	282:24 283:5,23	128:10 146:14	Circle	151:6
171:25 176:9	284:6 286:11	249:8 250:18	137:1	clay
189:1 202:11	290:8,11 292:22	251:10 252:25 291:6	circuit	153:22
213:17,21 216:1	296:3 297:22 298:14,25		268:14 276:19	clean
220:5 221:4		changed 64:3 85:17 240:25	286:19,23	58:9
232:16 277:11	cases 238:2 240:2		circumscribed	cleaner
Cameratta-type	263:10 266:20	changing	295:14	41:8 259:5
119:3	272:13 297:20	257:18	circumstance	cleansing
	298:13	Chapter	244:4 279:25	58:17
			-:2.3.20	

clear 18:6 44:5 47:24 59:14 226:13 274:24 291:2.3.17 294:24 295:3 300:5 clearing

254:21

CLERK

96:11 113:22 142:20 145:25 148:7 167:9 176:2 182:23 184:16,18, 20,23 186:12

client

86:16 87:17,24 123:25 124:1,2,5 159:10 192:19 193:11 200:13

client's 109:20

clients 293:19

climbing 33:20

close

36:9,14,18 37:1 49:10 64:8,22 65:7 78:25 88:6 146:24 149:23 229:15 256:21 283:4 289:13 296:23

closely

116:13 177:21

closer

65:9

closing

227:8 265:19 266:3 267:20 268:7

closing-type 266:1

co-author

154:4,14

co-petitioners 268:4

coast

43:2 149:18

coaster

253:21 code

57:20.21 143:15

coexistence

56:14,18 80:21 134:23

coexistent

12:25

collect

55:3

collected

150:4

Collier

242:11 258:19,23

collisions

118:15 125:3 131:17

color

23:22 145:9 171:15

color-coded

144:18 146:19

colors

23:13,17 146:20

column

31:6

columns

32:11,16

combined 34:8 237:25

comment

26:19,20 44:16 47:2.15 75:21 201:1 248:21

commented

180:10

comments

172:6 175:21 179:23 180:10 181:17,20 271:16

commercial

35:14 36:8,9,13, 15,17,21,25 37:1, 11,12,13 38:6 59:21 60:6,11 65:1.6 75:8 130:19 131:2 199:25 202:17 222:1,6 238:15 245:12 287:24 289:25 295:17

commission

115:13 116:12

117:2 118:6

119:18 135:13 247:18 248:4,6 263:6 295:12

297:15

commissioner

209:21

commissioners

26:23 151:23 168:17 174:11

175:2 209:14

218:20 233:21 234:22 235:15

242:4 269:2

272:10 278:16.18

279:8 common

54:22 55:16 124:19 126:5 286:12

commonly

127:12

communities

12:19 13:4 25:4 26:7 78:8 240:18 244:1.2.13 246:5 256:20

community

12:8 27:24 35:18 57:2 93:23 122:9 127:21 128:2,5 187:11 233:21 259:14

comp

218:1 223:2 239:15 240:10 247:16 248:10 251:6 255:3 276:22 280:18,20, 22

Companies

165:24 166:6.14 171:25

company

107:25 136:25 138:3 165:25 176:18 179:22 192:2 196:3 197:10 198:9 199:12,13 200:4 214:24 216:18 219:3,23 220:11, 13 227:24 229:24

230:13 231:1.2

company's

216:19 221:9 231:11

comparable

278:4 296:25

compare

16:20 20:23 42:4 73:20 83:22 105:11 106:3 197:22 226:22

compared

68:6 75:9 80:12,13 81:11 87:25 106:20 108:15 110:15 146:13 160:8 161:23 197:9 247:15

compares

84:13

comparing

79:19,23 146:16

comparison

39:10 44:12 66:18 84:19 122:15

compatibility

73:6 74:8 91:5 241:19 242:2 252:5,8

compatible

44:8 67:14 251:11 252:12

competition

149:25 150:19

complaints

109:12,14

complete

226:23,24 292:5 293:23

completed

185:12 201:23 203:5

completely

102:20 290:3

compliance

45:5 49:23 50:1,5 52:1,3 157:22 161:13 222:16,21 223:2 244:22

comply

263:17,21

component

90:15 228:23

components

256:11 257:21 259:18

composed

149:3

comprehensive

21:19 22:4 23:24 39:9,12 42:8,17 44:19 45:4 49:24 50:2,4 51:13 61:3, 6 79:11 84:8 86:25 87:4,9,11 99:6 129:1 222:17,22 239:2,6 247:17 249:7,10,16,24 250:8,18 254:21 262:12 273:17

274:18,25 275:9

276:9 289:15 294:1,3,5 297:12

298:3,8 comprised

35:20

concede

281:24

concentrated

133:22 261:9

concentrations

144:23

concept

7:6 8:6,11,12,13, 21,25 9:1 10:6 102:15

concern

30:8 33:18 141:23 142:2 151:8 189:14 242:3

concerned

78:5

concerns

33:3 37:19 107:5 149:15 178:7 261:25 262:4

concluded

300:15

concludes

25:15

conclusion

28:22 29:4 39:6 214:18 215:7

Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill		Judge James Shenko	11/08/2022Index:	conclusionscontravening
231:18	confirming	considered	293:10	111:24 117:10
conclusions	178:14	42:23 104:3	consulting	173:13 189:11
20:22,24 21:9	confiscatory	128:15	76:4 97:18 107:24	197:4 215:11
1	283:12	considers	112:18 115:8	242:18 243:1
38:13 71:18				257:4,7 281:16
147:11 154:19	conflicts	133:24	138:1,22	287:15
266:16	80:19	consistency	Consumer	
concurrent	conjecture	19:3 20:23 21:4	248:20	continued
10:14,19	199:3	79:25 234:12	consumptive	7:16 44:8 120:2
condition	connect	249:19 280:17	157:7,13 158:13	137:12 142:15
9:25 10:9,18	123:4 177:9	294:6	160:2	242:13 257:5
12:24,25 13:2,7,15		consistent	contact	continues
14:14,24 16:6,10,	connected	19:8,11 38:19,20	170:19 171:22	144:14
21 17:23 18:16,17,	104:2 105:23	61:12 62:10 86:5,	170:13 171:22	continuous
24 90:19 110:16	121:6 204:24	10 92:24 99:5	200:16	74:18
120:23 157:6	connecting	103:3 106:6		contour
161:16,21 188:24	9:3 17:13	110:21 245:2	contacted	144:2,10
conditions	connection	249:9,15 259:25	172:16 180:21	·
10:5,8 11:7,12	28:2 35:2 40:19	275:1 280:18	contacting	contouring
12:14,15,17 13:2	59:19 100:18,25		200:13	139:4
' '	104:8 121:2	consistently 11:24	contained	contours
14:2 16:3,21 20:12,20 26:6,10	183:12 205:10		56:17	142:17
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	242:10 259:1,2,5	Consolidated	containers	contract
45:22 46:3 52:16,	293:15	205:3,4,12,13	80:23 129:8	189:1 192:3,4,9,18
21,24 56:12 67:13	connections	constant		194:21,22 195:9
72:9 90:25 91:8,	25:17,18 257:25	82:1	contemplate 291:25	197:11,19 198:10,
16,17,19 92:6,9,	258:14 259:19	constantly		21 213:21,23,25
13,18,20,22,24,25		67:10	contemplated	225:1,5 226:15
105:5,11,12,15	connectivity	constitutional	293:1,20	227:25 228:19
110:10,15 142:6	105:16 120:19	283:12	contemplates	contractor
157:10 158:24	208:9 257:23		290:17 291:21	192:19
161:14,17 188:22	258:10	construct	contemplating	
209:9 232:11	consequences	189:9	176:14	contracts
238:20,21 239:20,	29:14	constructed	contention	228:4,8,16
25 240:3,5 243:5,	Conservancy	170:12 188:17	296:15	contradict
8,15,16 244:16,22,	180:2	constructing		277:8
23,24 253:6 270:8	conservation	176:21	contentions 299:3	contrary
conducive	10:14,18,22 11:1,	construction		278:24 282:12
102:11	14 27:12,19 28:5	14:17 75:2 99:13	contents	298:4
conduct	32:7 34:23 39:15	169:14 170:10	140:2	contravene
14:19	57:3 58:24 66:8	186:23 187:7,25	contested	34:12 38:8 275:10
conducted	113:5 115:12	197:14 198:24	271:17	278:12
14:23 26:17	116:12 117:2	construe	context	contravened
134:10	118:6,7,12 119:18	273:7	9:25 51:8 132:25	21:11,13 26:1 29:6
confident	135:13 185:24	construed	contiguous	38:23 80:1 83:11
219:7 231:9	244:3,5,25 245:3,4	273:1 295:5	28:1,19 35:8 39:14	84:21 274:19
confidential	248:3 259:18		57:3 69:11	275:1,22 276:7
	263:22,23 297:22	consult	contingent	280:2,11,13,24
193:5 225:6	conservative	41:13	192:5 213:23	298:7
confidentiality	269:2	consultant		
192:18 194:14,20		124:7	continuation	contravenes 268:13
224:18	conserve 236:25	consultants	6:2	
confined		30:12	continue	contravening
141:3,24 143:10	considerations	consulted	9:17 18:13 21:8	25:25 38:10
145:4	251:9	117:6 247:6	34:11 35:12	195:24
		111.0 271.0		

297:21 298:23

contravention 80:2,6 275:3 contributing 33:3 control 16:7 17:10 30:2 40:24 187:10 254:22 controlled 135:3 259:6 controlling 242:14 convenience 111:7 convenient 169:7 conversations 171:6 213:16.18 214:6,7 215:22 conversion 206:14 converted 164:18 165:2 converting 54:9 164:14,24 convince 278:16 279:10 cooperatively 118:10 coordinate 34:21.22 134:5 coordinated 135:12 coordination 134:7.8 copies 170:25 171:14,15 8:1 96:9 101:22 248:12 core 106:22 208:20 Corkscrew 6:4,23 9:4 12:23 13:13,14 17:2,3 19:23 24:17,18,19, 21,25 34:6 35:18,

65:9 68:3 69:13 70:3 78:19 79:9,15 80:11 82:14 94:11, 15 95:13 99:10 100:8,9,12 103:9 104:8 107:12 115:7 120:5 121:15 127:5 132:10,23 133:5,9 138:17 152:21 154:22 164:18 172:2,10 177:25 180:20 182:15,16 183:13,19,24 189:4,6 192:20 204:24,25 205:3, 10,14,16,17,20 206:5,10 207:2 210:10 216:5 234:7 237:22 255:15,23 256:10 268:3 269:12,14 270:11 271:24,25 corner 15:11 149:10 177:11,17 correct 23:25 24:1,5 30:23 31:16 38:25 42:19 43:4,5,14 45:6 46:10 47:17,18 49:21 50:20 52:2, 18 53:6,9 54:20 55:7,12 58:3,8,19 59:3 61:8 62:17 63:16 64:25 65:3, 13 66:5,20 67:7,15 70:8,11,12 71:9, 10,12,15 72:3,4, 11,12,21 73:1,8 75:19,20 82:12,13 83:3.20.21 86:4 87:13 89:12 90:15, 21 91:1,16 93:4,7, 15,17,21 94:10,18, 19 103:18 108:21 110:17 115:15,16 117:7 118:24 119:1,5,6,9 123:12 124:16,22 125:4, 21 127:14,15 129:3,8,16,17 130:21 131:2,3,8, 12,15,18 133:4,16

135:24 147:15.19 156:11,17,24 157:9,10,16 158:10.14 159:24 160:4,5,13,14,20, 24 161:4,8,19 162:2,11,14,22,23 163:4 164:1 165:6 172:22,23 173:4 176:2 178:1,2,5,9 186:6 190:8,9,15, 16 192:7 196:23 197:12,25 199:7, 11,15 200:6,10,14, 15,22,23 201:1,2, 6,7 202:14 203:3, 11 206:24 211:4 213:4 216:19 222:1,10 223:12, 21 224:9 225:7 230:19 231:4 232:5 235:18 243:5 246:11.23 247:8,22,24 248:8, 14,18,22,23 249:1, 4,11,25 250:6,18, 25 251:6,12 260:14 261:1 263:3,18,23 299:25 corrected 257:14 correcting 257:12 corrections 243:11 correctly 18:10 37:23 50:21 109:10,13 254:25 correlation 55:19 corresponded 34:19 corridor 35:24 97:16 99:10 102:25 114:13,14, 16,23,25 115:2 116:15 117:4 120:16 121:3,8,10 122:13 130:11,16, 20,25 132:9,16,19 134:5,11,19 135:21 136:1

180:13.14.17 181:1,5,10,25 182:2 190:20 218:17 261:7,16 275:17 corridors 99:19 109:1,3 114:20 115:18 116:7,13,15 117:5 12 122:24 123:6 126:24 127:1,9 180:12 181:18 237:15 260:24 cost 121:12 184:7 185:3,11,13,15,22 186:6,23 187:7,8, 9,21 188:19 23 232:18 269:5 290:9 cost-share 137:22 costly 121:14,18 costs 121:24 184:4 185:24 186:22 187:2 190:4,7,17, 18,21,23,25 191:7 197:7,14,22 198:24 207:18 208:9 224:1,4,8 271:3 276:17 277:14 couch 64:4 counsel 41:13 74:3 78:23 79:18 80:7,25 82:3,25 84:18 85:19 93:13 96:9 101:22 111:9 146:2 163:13 181:16 193:18 214:21,25 221:15 224:25 226:8,13 227:7 254:2,3 266:8 268:22 275:20 278:19

counsel's 85:5 226:17 count 57:7,9,16,24 counting 11:5 297:1 country 43:7 126:4 289:22 119:8,21 120:4,10, 290:23 county 8:18 9:21 10:12 12:5 13:24,25 14:10 15:4 19:23 22:8 23:23 26:23 34:24 35:15,16 37:8 40:1 43:10 44:19 45:8,12,20 46:2,7,22 49:23 190:11,14 191:3,4, 55:1 56:13 58:21 8 199:5 212:14,20, 61:3,6,20 62:20 67:12,13 68:24 79:24 82:18 90:11, 18 91:20,24 92:6, 13,17,18 95:25 99:6.24 101:13 103:6 116:22 122:4 126:4 128:25 129:6 141:25 142:2,9 151:8,23 168:13, 14,16,23 173:18 174:11 175:2,14 176:19 183:15 185:10,12 187:6 188:10 190:1,3 200:4,5,8,18 203:5,8 209:14,21 213:13,16,18 214:4 215:16,25 218:1,19,23 219:14,25 220:5,8 221:3 222:17,21 223:2 225:14 230:1,21 231:4,6, 13,18,23,24 232:7, 13,16,18 233:9,20 234:1,2,15,22 235:15 236:4,7,14, 19 237:1,13,18 238:13 241:5 242:4,6,11 246:10 247:5,18 251:5 295:19 296:10 252:23 254:7,17

24 36:18 39:17

40:6,17,21,23,25

41:2 42:21 47:22,

25 59:19 62:8 64:1

Corksciew Glove vs. Tilli		Judge James Sil
255:23 258:20,23	144:7 145:23	cover
262:12,18 263:5,	146:4 148:11,18	120:16 258:9
18 264:15 268:24	155:16,18 165:5,9	covered
269:1,5,7 270:3	166:1,20 167:12,	244:6 280:3
271:12 272:9	14,18,23 168:2,3	284:23
273:3,16 274:13,	173:12 176:4	
24 276:5,14,21	178:22 179:5,9,13	covering
277:2 279:7	181:21 182:7,25	281:10
280:17,22 281:15	183:2 184:15	craft
283:9 284:12	185:3 186:14,16	266:19
285:11 289:15	190:5 192:12,21	creak
293:6 295:8,12	193:9,23,24 194:3,	71:22
296:3,17 297:14	19,23,24 195:5,10,	create
298:25	16,23 196:5,16,23	6:3 39:19 52:1
county's	197:3 198:13,18	104:25 123:5
30:8,9 148:24	203:13,19,21,23	256:19 257:5
168:15 249:16	209:11,22 210:22	258:18 259:15
255:4 256:6 257:1	214:10 215:9	created
269:1,4,8 271:4	216:14 217:15,18	12:22 22:16 2
281:11,22	219:7 221:13,14,	256:18
countywide	15,17 222:14,23	creates
61:16	224:17,21 225:2,9,	104:22
	11 226:8,16,18,19,	creating
couple 30:19 74:14 87:19	24 227:9,17 228:1,	59:18 97:17
176:12 214:6	2 230:5,10 231:5,	
235:19 268:16	17,20,22 233:3,5,8	creation
295:3	241:15 242:25	155:5
	250:13 253:4,17	creativity
courses	254:2,5,8,12	284:20
252:17	259:13 260:9	credentials
court	264:7,20,24 265:2,	116:2
6:2,24 7:1,9,12	6,9,10,20,23	credit
11:10 19:22 24:16	266:6,11,25 267:3,	61:20
38:16 39:4 40:13	9,12,15,19,21,23	CREW
41:14,22,24 63:10	268:1,2,11,15	17:4,14 28:3 3
64:19 67:19 71:17	274:22 276:7	35:7 39:18,20
73:11 74:6 79:6	278:11,20 279:10,	40:19,25 43:1
81:12 82:4 83:19	17,21,24 282:2	47:19,22,23,2
84:2 85:11,13,14	285:14,17 286:20,	48:1,3 78:19
86:13,18 89:2 90:4	23,24 294:18,20	100:4,9 104:1
94:7,21,23 95:2,18	295:18 297:11,24,	17 105:3 120::
96:18 98:2,4,13,23	25 298:2,12,13,19	132:18 242:11
101:23 102:4 106:14 107:1	299:1,10,13,17	269:12
109:25 110:3,25	300:1,3,4,6,8,11, 13	criteria
111:4,8,9,13,21,23		19:14,16,18 2
112:4 113:23	Court's	156:13 216:20
114:1 115:17	8:7 280:24 281:12	260:6
116:3 117:12	294:16 295:13	critically
119:23,24 120:10	courtesy	43:6 128:22
125:11 130:8	294:16	
132:1,5 135:8	courtroom	25:1 164:25
136:12 137:4	6:7	35:1 164:25
100.12 101.T	İ	crons

courts

272:22 276:19

12 5 236:3 34:4 0 24 3,15, :22 1 22:5 0 crops 77:17 121:20

cross 85:10 191:24 216:14 cross-exam 215:10 cross-examination 42:2 74:5 80:8 81:6 84:23 94:6 107:18 123:22 155:19 191:25 216:15 246:1 260:10 crosses 215:8 crossing 132:8 185:18 191:1 crossings 115:2 116:16 119:21 120:5 122:14 185:15 270:10 275:18 crowd 111:14 crushed 75:11 153:20 crushers 74:20 241:21 crushing 71:13 241:20 culvert 132:22,24,25 culverts 132:20 270:10,13 current 16:3 17:23 20:2,3 22:11 39:9 40:3,4 42:8,16 44:18 45:4 53:24,25 54:3 61:6 62:11,19 69:24 70:18 72:9 73:22 104:7 105:5,10,11 110:9,15 123:19 155:8 163:1 188:22,24 191:14 223:2 259:22 260:20 270:15 271:20 customers 191:8

164:16,19 165:3

cut 134:17 CV 96:8 112:21 113:19,24 138:7 D daily 154:14 damage 211:11 216:6 Daniel 7:13,24 **Daniels** 183:25 dark 23:21 97:21 223:16 darker 104:2 dash 97:21 data 54:13 65:18 99:18 108:13,23 157:21 248:7 database 148:24 date 27:4,6 150:4 168:19 173:15 211:3 235:10 dated 175:9 235:12

dates 70:9,10 174:7 **David**

136:14,15,23 178:10

day 6:10 18:8,10 26:2 31:10,12,14,19 50:24 77:14 140:14,21,22 141:5,7,14 142:4,7 147:23 150:4 256:2 269:17 270:4

day-to-day 64:2 66:11

138:9,11 139:19,

21 140:1 142:23

davs

74:14 140:13 266:24 267:7,8,21 279:14

DCA

93:23 283:6

deal

116:23 119:12 194:7 196:18 217:3 271:20 273:22 276:12 281:3 290:4 292:20

dealing

13:18 119:22 268:8 281:11

deals

13:15 298:8

dealt

119:7 178:6

debate 43:24

debating

46:8

dec

276:20

decade

180:19

decades

37:8

decent

31:13

deciding

283:8

decision

45:12,21 218:19 232:10 272:8,9 284:8 285:12 286:16,17 289:8 291:5 292:7 295:8 299:1

decisionmaking

217:2

declaratory

19:22 210:15 213:4,7,12

decline

207:7.10

declines

207:21

decrease

133:15,19 151:1

decreasing

150:24

deduct

62:20

deemed

45:5 50:1 54:16 163:3

deep

88:6 208:21 223:16 deeper

146:20

defaulted

206:8

defeats 41:10

defer

27:5,8 46:18 264:1

defined

37:13 42:25 103:14 227:6 284:18

defines

286:5

definition

57:21 274:4 284:4, 6 288:21

degrading

154:6

degree

95:23 100:11 113:2

Delisi

7:5,8,13,18,24,25 41:16 42:4 64:21 81:13 94:21 134:21 243:4,7

delivery

75:8

demand

208:4 212:24

demonstrate

14:21 225:14 285:20 287:8 292:17

demonstrated

115:22 242:20 293:13 294:8,13

demonstrates

285:24

demonstrating

220:25

denial

209:7,24 210:4,11, 14 214:14 215:18 216:7 231:8 235:17,21 261:23

denials 230:21

denied

70:6 209:17 230:1, 23 231:17 234:22 235:5

denote

144:22

denotes

144:18 dense

49:19

densities

83:8 84:22

density

14:8 19:8 28:11,13 34:13,18 35:21 40:10 42:10 49:6, 12 68:6,7,8,10 122:17 190:24 191:1 208:3,8 236:22 251:10 262:10 263:2 274:4 285:3 291:9

denuded

121:22

deny

281:16

DEO

93:24

Department

93:20,23 247:23 248:16.19.24

depending

64:15 65:14 126:16

depends

52:15 64:8 155:24 253:3

depict 109:2

depicted

121:11

depicting

105:12

depleted

31:21

deposited

55:11

depression

143:22

depth

107:7

derogation

286:12

describe

109:2 243:7 256:8 257:1 259:12

describes

279:24

describing

21:8 68:18 71:17 120:9

descriptions 243:13

design

101:14 103:15 109:7 114:15 115:1 116:7,13 117:3 165:22 172:7 175:19 176:16 179:25 201:2,23 253:3

designated

23:23 24:2 68:20 129:16 130:13

designation

250:23

designed

58:6 109:10 130:13 143:16 156:16 188:18 246:14 291:12

designing

33:7 58:13 114:19

desire 177:15

detail

11:20 73:13 102:9 263:19,24 272:16

275:7

detailed

73:16 75:7 101:17

102:13 103:14 142:17 214:6

detailing

75:13

details

84:4 180:4 288:9

detention

104:4

determination

119:19 225:19 228:2 250:5,8,14 288:15

determine

46:14 54:8 157:7 159:2 162:5 221:20

determined

50:10 54:5 67:14 89:21 157:15 158:1 159:5 160:18,21 197:21

determines

158:8

detriments 154:20

devastating

164:12 develop

29:22 30:15 39:12 189:9 199:20 202:16 208:2 262:24 290:21 292:3

developable

149:22

developed

28:17 39:9,13 80:15 124:2,5 131:1 155:7 169:13 191:9 222:15 223:1

236:10 developer

13:22 26:23 27:6 48:11 61:20 62:17 122:7 187:8.10 190:14 244:22 271:13 288:13 290:4 291:23

developer's

61:11 256:5

dovoloporo
developers
50:17 61:13 62:12,
13,15 75:23,24
76:2,3 237:8
277:18 291:12
developing
29:18 165:25
166:3 187:8
development
8:18,20,23,24
9:10,13,14,16,23
10:1,4,5,7,8,11,13,
17,19,21 11:2,17
12:8 14:15,16
-
24:23 25:23,24
26:4,10,14,25
27:2,3,14 29:9,11,
16 32:6,7 33:1,2
34:20,21,23 35:4,
14 37:2,11 39:24
42:5,7,17,19 44:7,
20 47:12 48:22,23
49:6,15,17 50:9,
12,17,22 51:3,5,9,
11 54:10 55:17
56:4,12 57:7,13,
20,21 58:7,21
59:5,9,25 60:3,6,
25 63:5 65:2,6
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
70:4 73:21 74:17
75:14 79:14 81:2,8
84:9 85:21 87:8,
18,21 88:18,19
89:19,22 96:2,23
97:5,6,15 99:12,21
101:6 103:16
107:4 114:18
115:19 116:7,18
120:11 122:3,10
123:11 125:1,25
126:18,20 127:14
130:16 131:2,6
132:21 133:22
135:2,5,15,18
136:2 138:17,24
140:19 141:12,18
145:16 147:15
152:11 155:11
156:1 160:9
161:24 162:2
166:2,16,25 169:9,
11 170:8 172:5,22
176:8,11 178:13
179:15 180:5

183:12 184:5,12 185:11,13,22 187:12,19 189:2 190:7,22,24 191:4, 22 193:3 195:17 197:24 199:12,25 202:17 203:1 218:1,6 219:21 220:19 225:15,20, 21 230:17 233:21 236:14,21 238:23 239:24 240:8,16 241:6,7 244:1 245:1,2,6,11,12, 14,19 246:14,15, 20,21 247:7,11 250:6,24 251:3,19, 24 252:10,11,25 253:10 254:20 257:16,19 258:4,7, 18 259:24 260:3 261:1,2,16 262:13, 16,25 263:8,11,17 264:10,14 271:8 277:13 279:3 282:8,19 284:1,12, 13 285:7 287:23, 25 288:7,8,12,16
245:1,2,6,11,12,
14,19 246:14,15,
20,21 247:7,11
250:6,24 251:3,19,
257:16,19 258:4,7,
16,25 263:8,11,17
264:10,14 271:8
282:8,19 284:1,12,
13 285:7 287:23,
25 288:7,8,12,16
289:14,17,19,21,
22,25 290:22,24
291:7,15,20,24 292:5,6,10 293:11,
14 294:2,10,12
development-wide 55:23
developments

C

developments 9:24 12:22 28:7 56:13 78:24 85:4,7 109:7 115:3,14 119:3,4 172:1 247:3 255:11 263:12

deviates 84:7

deviations 8:22 50:4 87:9

devoted 106:2

diagnostic 150:13

diagram 140:1

dialog 180:18 died 188:21 diesel 67:10 74:25 75:4 91:10 difference 22:17 27:16 39:11 42:9 51:2 62:2 64:6 differences 108:23 244:14 differently 145:5 difficult 84:17 dike

16:7 diligence 218:3 dime 292:14 diminished 260:25 diminishes 36:10 dire 117:11,13 direct 7:16 19:2 74:4 76:23 85:10 95:8 97:1 101:15 104:18.24 112:11 120:2 134:8 136:19 151:17 163:10,18 165:17 167:4 189:18 195:21 204:5 217:14 227:18 233:15 254:9 directed 13:12 103:8 201:8 224:20 231:19

282:15

directing 103:23 231:6 direction

15:16,18 103:1,2, 21 180:25 194:23

directions

144:15 151:15 directly

118:9 202:7 266:18 286:9 298:4,8 300:9

directs

192:21 225:11 226:16

dirt

14:18 63:24

disagree

231:15 253:13 272:7

discharge

34:8 39:17 41:9 77:10 78:15 104:17,18 105:3 153:7 189:11,19, 21 259:6

discharged

242:16

discharges 16:9,14 40:24

discharging 217:10

disclose

220:16

discuss

8:6 71:5 168:22 180:12

discussed

39:4,5 55:13 79:18 167:22 177:8,15 180:7,15

discussing

8:2 79:25 114:25 124:12 213:20

discussion

192:24 208:7 238:1

discussions

178:6 189:12 213:13 238:12 256:1

disease

164:10

disproportionate 289:5

disproving 194:25

dispute

89:15 213:14

disrupted

99:11

distance

36:12 66:12 79:9, 14 144:1

distant

65:8,10 distinct

18:6 170:7

distinctly 252:1

district

12:8 54:4 75:19 76:15,21 122:10 137:19,22,25 140:9,16 142:3 143:18 149:17 151:9 154:6,13 155:23 156:3,11, 22,24 157:6,14,25 158:8,14,17,21,23 159:20 160:1,11, 19 161:4,9,10 162:5 163:4,15 178:11 187:12 248:12 272:22

ditch

16:6 41:8 121:6

ditches 105:19

divide

140:12 division

136:25 divulge

192:20

docket 266:21

document

8:24 15:4 26:2,3,8, 9 102:1 148:6 175:14 180:22 235:11.13 275:4

documentation 119:15 248:2

documented

27:2 125:24 199:18,23 246:25

documenting

108:10.20.22 drag due easily 151:24 153:25 74:22 75:1 81:22, 165:1 33:16 72:15 101:5 154:1 163:13,20 documents 23 91:10 107:6 124:23 164:12 6:12 188:9 201:5,9 east 126:23 149:24 240:20 272:15 dragline 15:23 18:22 22:13 effects 154:6 211:13 71:23 39:14 99:9,14 71:5,18 73:14 dollar 216:6 218:3 103:10,17 120:22 108:11,20 163:14, 61:25 62:1 193:1 drain duq 121:4 172:9,11 19 179:18 256:17 229:8 102:20 155:21 178:4 183:21,23 effectuate dollars drainage 184:1,3 dulv 236:4 59:1 190:11,13 100:18,25 109:15, 7:14 95:5 112:8 eastern 198:3 208:16 18 effort 15:23 43:9 101:7 136:16 165:14 212:10 217:7 121:24 280:7 drains 204:2 233:12 103:23 104:9 287:10 222:20 224:1 100:21 253:24 126:4 151:16,19 229:16,23 270:24 efforts dramatic 172:21 276:17 296:20 dump 166:24 18:11 39:11 270:4 69:20 75:9 81:24 easy domain elaborate dramatically 269:1 296:17 Dunn 131:3 134:16 150:14,18 233:10,11,18,19 eating domestic element drastic 247:10 253:17 55:25 131:6 141:25 149:6 10:10 63:15 259:7 ecological door elements draw dust 33:20 200:15 8:17 9:19 274:1 76:22 257:4 256:18 ecologist dose 269:19 elevated dwelling 112:17 113:7 73:4 78:21 drawdown 147:12 221:21 139:3 269:9 dot elevations 31:15 77:7 141:20 dynamic ecology 270:5 142:22 143:22 147:10 212:25 153:1 215:24 112:24 127:20 dots 144:13,19,22,25 elicit **Economic** 145:1,8 256:20 149:4 215:1 Ε 93:20 247:24 270:1 double eliminate economy 259:22 289:12 drawdowns E-E-P-C-O 14:9 221:5 198:7,22 292:21 145:6.11.12 259:8 eliminated 146:14 151:14 ecosystem double-check e-mail 17:24,25 31:20 42:24 43:2 58:18 253:9 drawing 171:2,22 eliminates 269:12 107:5 doubled e-mails 208:8 educate 207:18 dressing 171:7 elimination 80:22 129:11 227:15 doubling earlier 14:3 29:8 193:17 educated 292:25 driest 133:14 141:13 221:2 222:3 187:23 161:11 147:25 153:13 doubly Elizabeth educating 298:10 drinking 240:22 257:9 95:3.4.12 129:1 284:24 154:8 dove **Embedded** education early 88:22 drive 144:17 95:19 112:23 36:12.24 80:7 99:20 154:9 Dover-kohl eminent 129:7 137:5 earth drivina 15:5 22:20 23:6 296:17 **EEPCO** 63:4 85:19,20,24 63:24 156:22 emotions 235:25 237:10,20 86:5,11 99:23 drop easel 271:19 238:3 239:14 236:6.16.17 269:8 167:20 18:11 77:22 emphasis 240:18 243:18 downstream dropped easement 113:2 244:1,2,13 246:3 102:21 176:25 27:12 109:15,18 151:2 emphasize 259:7,8,13,25 DR/GR 244:5 263:22,23 drought 260:4 281:10 14:12 24:11 42:17 easements 161:11,14,16,21 employed effect 43:10 123:11 259:18 dry 112:16 118:3 18:2,3 22:9 76:22, 152:25 208:25 easier 77:7 150:9,11 25 78:12,14 98:18 137:11,16 205:6

258:24

151:5

63:25 98:8,15

254:17 26:6 27:23 190:19 76:13 83:22.24 establish 235:24 246:4 99:25 116:14,15 86:10 112:17 employee 116:24 119:14 181:9 204:13 enhancing 124:7 129:21 17:20 established employees 179:18 201:20 126:25 127:2 176:18 enjoying 202:1,5,8,13 208:5 154:9 256:13 70:19 enacted 218:10,13 219:9 establishing 246:6 enlargement 235:24 242:21 97:4 120:14 22:25 97:11 114:7 encourage 246:4 248:24 establishment 183:5 246:15 254:16,19,23,24 121:10 enormous encourages 255:3,4,7,11 273:20 estate 283:18 284:20 256:9,11 257:2,15, 204:19,22 219:21 ensure 19 258:6 259:14 encroachment 244:22 286:24 Estero 128:1 environmentally 95:13 165:22 entail 59:2 68:17,21 end 190:7 estimate 26:2 34:2 50:24 envisioned 190:10 77:13 104:11 enter 73:22 152:11 239:22 240:12,17 213:13,21 220:3 estimated FΡΔ 241:22 256:5 31:9 185:8 187:1 entered 154:16 276:17 138:13 estimating egual 185:17 endangered entering 142:8 43:7 128:22 135:1 estimation equals 270:12 186:20 entertain 131:17 endeavor 265:10 **Estuary** equates 121:19 33:16 entire 140:13 ended 9:16 10:11 122:20 evacuation equation 239:19 240:7 128:22 142:11 183:14 162:3 215:6 ends 165:2 271:25 evaluated equipment 104:13 111:22 220:1 222:3 entirety 72:14 196:25 227:20 31:20 evaluating equipments 239:17 267:22 entitled 139:6 239:4 75:4 engine 15:4 267:5 278:1 evaluation era 74:25 277:21 291:6 295:25 278:3 82:11 engineer 296:6 evaluator erosion 95:16 106:19 entitlement 137:20 29:25 188:16 107:22 139:3 224:2 231:10,21 **Evans** erred 177:21,22 188:13 entity 95:13 231:18 engineered 12:9 206:7 286:3. event **Erwin** 155:10 10 121:7 177:12 23:9 269:10 engineering entry 188:11 escalated 95:13,23,24 96:1 254:18 events 198:24 108:3 137:9,13,17 environment 100:24 166:5 202:10 escalation 16:16 17:11,20 eventually 212:19 engines 18:2 30:5 70:20 52:4 100:9 113:7 75:5 escalator 77:4,25 135:3 187:11 212:16 enhance 246:18 examiner's **Everglades** 28:6 escalators environmental 42:24 43:1 212:17 enhanced 9:6 12:18 13:3 evervone's 13:16 17:5 19:6.15 22:11 essentially 111:6 285:9 23:10,11 24:7 25:3 49:8 53:13 120:23 enhancement evidence 26:6 27:23 28:1 121:1,5 123:2 12:18 13:3 19:6,15 94:6 96:8,20 98:1, 33:5 41:9 52:6,10 133:21 135:3 22:12 24:7 25:3

6 102:6 114:3 138:14 139:23 142:25 146:6 148:13 167:16 176:6 183:4 184:25 186:18 270:6 277:7,8,9,12 278:2,5,9,24 280:23 282:3,12 283:13 285:22 299:2

evident 189:17

exact

160:15 168:14

exactions

exaggerated 151:4

7:16 67:21 74:4 76:23 93:11 95:8 110:5 112:11 117:10,13 120:2 132:6 136:7,19 163:7 165:17

8:23 26:3 129:18 140:15 150:23

208:15

62:24

examination

195:21 204:5 217:14 233:15 254:9 264:8

examine 110:9

examined 110:14 153:25

examiner

20:25 21:4 26:18, 20 28:23 38:13,20 70:24 71:4 73:7, 13,16 75:7,12 94:17 168:16,24 172:17 173:16,18 174:5 209:4,7,17 235:2,3,4,14,16 242:3 271:15

25:14 38:13 47:7 70:2 73:3 81:20 275:6

examples 239:9 243:22 expansion

220:24 226:6

Corksciew Glove vs. Hill
excavating 75:2 256:21
excavation 153:4
excavators 71:23
exceed 107:7
exceeds 224:22 226:2
Excel 150:20
excellent 275:4
exceptions 76:2
excess 43:21 50:18 224:3 294:13
exchange 291:22
exclude 228:15
excluded 229:21
exclusively 141:12,15
Excuse 236:21
executed 198:10
executive 283:9
exercise 53:8
exhausting 149:24
exhibit 7:5 8:7 9:19 11:18 15:3 21:1 22:24 25:9 28:25 38:17 47:20 67:23 69:25 70:1,2 72:22 82:9 85:25 96:13,19 97:12,13,14,25
98:5 101:18,21

```
15,21 167:7,15,21
 171:12,14 176:3,5
 179:11 181:2
 182:1,2,13,22
 183:3 184:13,21,
 24 185:23 186:17
 209:10,20 210:21
 213:9 214:9 235:9
 274:23 275:4
exhibits
 88:15 167:23
 201:19 235:8
 277:12
exist
 75:13 93:1 114:16
 133:5 238:3
 275:17
existed
 37:6 231:25 232:9
existence
 44:8
existing
 11:7,12 14:4 16:21
 28:10,12,18 39:12
 60:25 73:19,20
 75:17 77:20 99:25
 105:15 120:23,25
 121:20 123:4
 133:22 140:6,7,25
 142:5 143:13
 146:11 148:3,6
 152:3,6,9 156:19,
 20 162:5 241:25
 250:19 258:13
 286:3 288:24
 289:3 291:4
exists
 60:12
exit
 214:1
exotic
 29:25 33:18 34:9
 55:6,10,20,25
exotics
 11:23 34:5 78:4,18
expand
 134:21 272:11
expanding
 59:17
```

expands

78:8

```
273:11
expansive
 91:8 123:2
expect
 212:4 245:6
expectation
 51:6 210:7 288:24
expectations
 210:5 211:18
expected
 211:25
expense
 122:3
expensive
 121:21 208:10
experience
 69:23 70:18 89:16
 95:20 110:21
 112:23 116:6
 121:15 137:5
 152:23 153:15
 166:2,4 295:21
experienced
 252:2
experiences
 91:3
experiencing
 87:24 101:5
expert
 43:19,25 106:7,10,
 23 118:4 214:19
expertise
 44:9 74:1 106:13,
 19,25 115:22
 118:18 164:3
 214:19 242:20
explain
 9:19 44:6 97:22
 98:17 102:9
 115:17 139:25
 143:6 166:25
 168:1 230:6 232:3
 245:9
explanation
 7:6
expressed
 262:4
extend
 79:13
```

extended
40:22
extending 17:1 60:16
extensive 14:23 152:23 282:2
extensively 297:20
extent 61:25 84:8 90:24 222:16 232:10 260:24 288:4 291:19 293:22 294:6
exterior 147:5
extra 36:2 53:1
extracted 243:17,19
extraordinary 272:19 273:9 274:9
extremely
271:18
•
271:18 F
271:18 F
271:18 F
F face 201:10 Facilitating
F face 201:10 Facilitating 137:23 facility

84:4 181:9 193:1

195:17,19 215:5

285:22
failed
285:19
fair
62:11 66:17 84:15 284:14
fairly 18:23 121:11
fairness
289:7
faith 232:17
fallen
188:14
fallow 30:4
false
287:12,21 292:8
293:2 296:8
familiar
46:11 62:23,24 96:22 113:17
116:17 138:16
166:11,19 205:15,
21,22 234:6,9,10,
24 235:23 238:5,9
239:1 255:14,22
259:10
family 230:15
farm
121:19 157:12,15 262:5 287:15
farmers
164:22
farming
54:9 108:21 164:23,25 219:10,
15 287:20 289:20
farmland
160:10
farms
18:21 39:13 56:22 63:23 69:23 72:24
77:11 101:4
103:12,17 125:24
137:24 151:20

102:5 114:2,7

121:11 130:7

142:24 144:5

138:13 139:14,22

146:5,8 148:10,12,

237:23 271:21

farther

88:12

Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill		Judge James Shenko	11/0	08/2022Index: fashionFort
fashion	FFD	216:1	164:12 165:22	focusing
174:13 281:2	13:4 24:13,21		180:3,15,18 182:3	149:15 255:2
	35:25 83:5,24	finger	204:22 207:5,15,	
fast	84:22	130:23	20 247:1,16,20	focussing
104:23		finish	248:3,11,19 250:7	96:2
fatal	fide	125:9	264:16	folks
131:18	219:20 292:7	finished		111:14 112:1
features	field	199:15	Florida's 45:6 213:1	265:2,20,23
9:12	37:17,19 110:22	Fire		266:15 267:4,16,
federal	112:24 137:6	90:17	flow	23
76:10 115:9 117:6	142:11 198:12	firm	15:16,17,18 19:1	follow
118:4 119:3	244:16,17,19	31:1 96:1 112:18	33:10 34:2 39:19	170:23 224:20
217:11 264:11	260:20	117:16 137:9,17	41:9 99:11,16,25	follow-up
276:1 292:23	fields	166:5 202:10	100:3,6,16 101:8,	88:24 171:6,19
federation	101:6 121:20	Fish	11,15 102:12,16,	food
134:6,9,13 135:15,	133:23 262:6	115:11,12 116:11,	20 103:1,4,5,13,23	111:15
18,20,25 136:9	figure	25 117:2 118:5,6,	104:6,20,21 105:4,	foot
180:3,16,19 182:4	40:24 59:22 223:8	9,11 119:16,17	11,17,23 108:21	144:12,24 146:19,
feds	224:10 229:8	130:4 133:23	139:4 143:12	22,25 147:1
276:2	figures	135:13 248:3	146:10 153:5	
	54:7,8 57:8 184:8		155:6 189:19	footage
fee	193:1	fixed	273:16	222:6
61:11,15,24,25	file	229:20	flowing	Footnote
62:5	210:17 265:13,15,	flooding	100:11 269:14	71:20 72:8,17,22
feedback	17 266:9 272:15	18:21,23,25 39:21	flows	footnotes
181:3,14	280:12	100:24 101:4	17:17 85:25 86:2	71:16
feel	filed	109:20 176:20	97:2,18 98:18	footprint
151:17	50:14 210:13,14,	177:3 178:7	102:24 103:12	48:2 147:25
fees	15,17,19 265:8	floodplain	106:3,4,18 269:11	154:23 155:3
13:12 61:13,15,22	266:7 284:24	95:17 96:5	flowway	for-profit
62:16,21,24 63:1		floodwaters	15:8,25 16:4,19	216:18
190:6 271:2	filter	176:25	97:9,14,20 99:19	foreclosed
276:17	58:15	floor	102:14,24 103:7	274:13
feet	filtration	160:8	104:1 109:6	forever
35:15,22 36:3,16	53:1	Florida	242:10 244:5	186:11 187:4,5
37:13 65:5,9 71:24	finally	11:21 43:4,11,22	257:25 258:14,19	277:16
72:15,19 73:2	283:16	44:8 54:23 65:16	259:19	
127:5,10 135:13	find	76:20 95:14,25	flowways	forget
145:1,13 147:5	88:14,16,20 172:5	107:21 108:10	97:5 107:10	254:2
151:3 199:24	201:19 232:17	113:4 115:12,24	110:14,16,22	form
222:1 238:15	278:24 285:11	116:11 117:2,21	139:4 152:25	80:24 162:7
287:24 289:25	287:2 292:23	118:1,6 119:17	153:5 155:5	206:19 250:11
292:13	298:17	122:23 123:3	170:13 188:15,16	formal
felt	finding	124:20 125:3,25	189:10 237:14	86:25 231:24
73:18 177:1	237:16	126:17 131:18	269:6,13	248:6,13,21 249:1
180:24 219:6	findings	132:12 133:16,21,	fluctuate	251:5
231:9	21:4 266:16	25 134:6,9,11,13	16:10	formally
fences	finds	135:12,14,17,19,	fluctuation	236:10
135:11,12	228:1	25 137:2,8,10,17,	150:16 151:4	formas
fencing		19 140:9,16	focus	212:2,5
134:24 188:2	fine 57:16 111:10	143:18 149:17	96:4 233:24	format
	266:22	153:20 156:16,23,		266:17
fertilizer 54:25		25 157:1 161:10	focused	Fort
34.23	finely	162:4 163:3	261:9	112:20 137:2
				112.20 137.2



204:11 270:3 forums 46:22 200:22 forward 12:10 13:23 14:12, 24 20:8 24:23 25:2 78:12 103:15 177:20 178:18 201:10 207:5 236:12 237:21 263:10 276:18 295:22 296:9 found 52:3 73:7 94:17 186:10 209:18 211:13 220:9 232:1 249:15,23 250:2 263:16,20 283:7 **Foundation** 15 fours 283:3 frame freely 261:8 55:6 front

113:6 118:7,12 **Fountain** 95:3,4,12,15 102:8 107:16 137:10,11, 46:4 157:20 161:12 163:9 framework 10:13 12:21 19:5, 19 49:25 frankly 227:18 frequency 150:14 frequently freshwater 121:23 8:8 14:25 98:9 173:17 174:10 175:2 183:8 201:23 235:8 282:14 284:5 fruit 207:16,19

full 49:23 50:5 51:5 73:4 90:24 95:10 112:13 136:21 165:19 204:7 222:16 223:1 238:3 239:14 248:25 **Fuller** 45:8,14,19,23 53:4 82:18 92:19

Fuller's 281:16 fully

38:16 48:12 107:14 198:10

funding 76:8 118:8 furnished

101:22

future 14:4,5 21:18 23:4, 7 34:15 35:21 41:4 51:22,24 62:21 68:8 132:21 249:8, 14 250:17,23 251:5 277:16

G gallery

111:15 112:1

195:3 gallons 18:8,10 31:10,12. 13,19 140:12,14, 21,22 141:5,7,14 142:7 147:23

269:17 270:3 game 29:22 284:14

garbage 128:14 garden 288:12 289:14

gave 171:2 255:18

288:14 Gee 275:11 general 10:16 33:9 42:15 81:18 93:2 122:14 127:6,15 135:21 139:1 211:6

generalized 275:25

generally 16:20 38:18 54:19 67:4 96:22 244:2 278:8

generate 203:2 217:6 generated

59:9 81:7 144:12

geographic 22:11 geographical

21:20 Geological

143:15 geologist

137:21 269:10 geology

geotechnical 137:16

giant 153:7

30:25

Ginsburg 294:25

aive 42:11 61:20 82:16 95:18 118:18 134:16 137:4

160:1 183:11 187:13 224:10 226:17 229:6 232:4 236:8 239:8 243:22 265:25

266:3,15 272:1 276:10 291:12,14 297:24 299:10

giving

175:18 272:17 291:23

glad 297:20 Glade 66:2 79:21 goal

> 18:20 30:9 36:6 262:10

goals 34:23 86:11 99:7 236:5 237:6,12 249:9.15 258:24 276:1

golly 297:16

aood 42:4 50:25 76:14 80:18 104:14 111:1,21 128:7 136:2 161:5 186:1 232:17 254:11 261:3 269:2,22 281:19 289:6 295:20 297:14

Gorda 154:7.15 aosh

269:19 governed

90:9

government 76:5,6 223:20 246:19 272:12 287:10 288:14 290:8

governmental 204:20 230:21 280:7 286:2.9

governments 76:7

grading 170:10 185:8 186:24 188:1

graduate 137:12 Graduated

95:21 grant

159:21 232:7

granted 84:9 218:18,22 286:24 288:16 299:23

granting 157:8 grants 227:3 graph 150:7,12 graphic 144:16 146:9 148:22

graphics 143:7

arass 54:23 55:2

gratuitous 227:4

gray 25:4

great 88:6,7 99:15 107:24 150:15 159:20 266:11 271:20

areater 27:22 59:18 66:1 87:15 144:18,22 146:20 147:1 297:5

greatest 88:10 areatly

199:6 241:23,24

green 9:6 57:12 104:2 114:9 120:19 130:10,11 134:2 144:20 172:9,10,

12.14 greening

164:11 207:9 Grosso

7:3 11:8 41:17,20, 23,25 42:3 63:9,11 64:18,20 67:17,24 69:22 73:9.24 75:16 79:5 81:5 84:1 85:1,12 86:7, 15,21,23 88:24 89:4 90:2,6,8 93:9 96:13,16 98:2,3 101:24 102:3 106:9,21 107:19 109:23 110:1,7 111:6,19 113:23, 25 115:21 117:11, 14 118:17 119:10 123:23 125:16 130:6,9 131:24 132:2 133:14

Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill
Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill 134:17 135:7,10 136:6 138:10 139:20 146:3 155:18,20 163:6 167:13 173:9 178:20 179:6 181:7,22 182:5 183:1 184:14 186:15 192:1,23 194:6 195:11 196:2,8,17,21,24 197:1,5,6 198:14, 19 203:12,14,17, 20 214:17 215:4 216:16 217:16,19 221:18 225:3,12 226:5,11,21 227:2, 13,19,21,22 228:11 229:12 230:9,11 233:1 241:2,9 242:19 246:2 250:16 253:5,14 260:9,11 264:5,23,25 265:5, 7 267:7,10,13,18 279:17 285:17,18
299:9,16,20 ground
14:22 53:24 groundwater 14:19 16:14 17:8 18:6,12 25:19 137:14 140:5,23 143:12 146:10 147:10 149:19,21 150:17 151:12 152:5 153:2,3 154:21 156:17 157:9,16 158:2,9 159:23 160:3,17, 20 161:3,19 163:23 237:16
groundwaters 19:13

group

115:4 189:13 190:7 202:1,9 205:17 234:7 277:11

groups

179:20 180:14

grove

6:4,23 121:1 155:7

182:15 204:25 205:11,14,16 206:10 210:10 216:5 255:15,23 268:3 271:24

groves

120:25 205:4 207:14,20

growers

223:15

growing

34:5

grows 33:20

growth 35:23 206:15

guarantee

231:4

guaranties

283:13

guess

26:15 51:2,6 66:3 92:8 225:23 236:11 245:7 282:14 299:2

guests

298:19,20

quidance

97:8,19

guidelines

168:23

guy

158:16

Н

habit 86:19

habitat

43:3,8,21 80:8,10, 14,24 81:18 113:14 114:19 115:23 116:21 117:21 118:25 119:8 122:1,14,16, 17 124:21,23 125:2,18 129:16, 23,24 131:7,9 133:12,15,19,21 134:3 181:18 208:9 258:15

260:14,16

habitats

25:20 117:24 275:8

habituated

128:19

half

34:17 87:24 141:7 144:12 164:6 182:18 185:5 208:16 212:9 262:13 276:15

halfway

187:24

hand

97:12

handed

282:24

handle

102:21 178:17 203:1

handled 200:18

happen

10:14 53:5 55:8 58:20 97:6 202:23 219:12 247:11 268:20 269:16

happened

45:3,22 46:5,6 48:16 53:7 61:2 183:20 211:5 212:20 219:10 249:3 268:5,21 273:22

happening

42:8 126:5 160:10 246:22 262:19

happy

111:11 116:1

hard

144:1 213:25 224:13,14 245:13 268:18 270:9 279:21

harm

52:13 159:2,6

harmed

158:10

Harris

13:6 50:13,14 83:4 84:3,6,10 85:8 93:19 193:19 196:11 210:16 213:11 225:13 251:2,8 255:19 263:7 268:8 271:1 272:11,20 273:8,

23 274:6,10 276:24 277:23

278:21 279:23 283:2,6,17,21 284:3,20 285:21

286:1,13 288:11 290:7,15,16 291:3,

11 292:2,4,9,16,24 293:1,20 294:4,11,

22 295:2,5 296:22 298:5

Haven 137:9

head

6:18 139:20 145:21 196:6

headquarters

157:1,4

health

26:10

healthy

77:11 102:18

hear

33:15 64:10,12 74:22 75:5 81:23 113:10 256:6 257:9,11,13 265:18 279:17 291:8

heard

48:7,8,19,20 55:13
72:25 74:11 91:14
113:13 153:13
163:11 169:11
182:10 195:2
238:1 241:11
256:3 258:17
259:7 280:10
281:20 282:2,9,19,
20 285:2 288:6,18
289:10 292:20
293:6,10,17
294:23 299:5

hearing

6:16,25 20:25 21:3 25:14 26:17,18,20, 21 28:23 38:12,13, 20 40:13 47:6,7,9 52:9 70:2.9.10.14. 23 71:1,4 73:3,6, 13,16 74:13,24 75:7,12 81:20 83:19 94:5.17 111:25 168:16,24 172:17 173:7,16, 18,20,22 174:5,9, 10,22,24 175:1 191:11 201:6 209:3,4,7,13,17 220:9 235:2,3,4, 14,16 240:6 242:3 249:22 263:16,20 264:2 271:15 275:6

11/08/2022Index: ground..high

hearings

6:15 8:4 26:22 48:10 167:4 168:6, 16 172:16 175:6 200:9,17 201:3 234:18,19 238:24 239:10,18,19 241:14 255:17 256:1 278:14 298:6

hearsay

178:21 181:8

heart

90:2,4

hedges

252:13,15

held

169:8,16 175:15

helped

154:14 159:19

helpful

30:4 144:6 201:14

helping

115:1 257:25 258:1

helps

39:20 101:1,14 150:23

Henry

296:16

HEX

231:15 234:24 235:2

high

104:23 134:3 135:11 208:22



11/08/2022Index: higher..Illinois

illustrating

97:14 image 108:25 images 15:22 imagine 54:6 268:18 270:9 immediately 68:2,23 95:24 130:25 205:19 210:13 immunity 272:23,24 295:6 impact 13:12 18:6 55:16, 21,23 56:19 61:10, 13,15,21,23,25 62:2,5,16,21,24 63:1,13,18,22 64:3,16,17 77:6 87:25 102:20 127:19 190:6 195:14 207:9,14 209:25 210:4 219:15 225:23 248:22 252:7 255:7,11 256:9 257:2 269:23 impacted 100:2 101:8 157:9 210:3 223:20 284:7 286:9 impacting 154:10 impacts 10:1 13:11 14:9.22 42:18 56:4,10,23 59:17 61:17 66:9, 10 73:18,21 76:11 77:4,8 87:15 108:4 154:6 160:19,23 161:22 164:10 219:10 241:10 256:12 261:19,21 265:18 impeded 16:4 impedes 105:22 **Imperial** 21:21 22:13,17,18

120:20 132:17 implement 76:8 implementation 236:18 implemented 187:18 237:2 implementing 53:20,22 implements 14:13 implication 193:2 important 9:24 27:21 29:13 30:7 34:3 38:5 43:2 130:2 149:13 151:13 195:12 246:7 250:5,8 258:15 260:17,19 289:16 275:19 20:20 289:6 159:15

importantly imposed impossible impression 128:6 improve 25:18 258:1 improved 13:18 78:11 263:2 improvement 58:10,12 155:11 258:22 improvements

13:14 155:13 258:9 271:5 in-stream 154:8 inaccurate 216:23 inactive 66:21.22 inappropriate

209:18 242:23 incentive 262:24 263:7 include

90:10.14 197:13 200:13 206:22 211:17,21,24 212:13,18 224:3 239:15 241:18 included

169:3,14,20 171:1 206:24 218:17 229:22 230:22 includes

58:14 186:5,24 239:16 242:13 286:6,8

including 6:14,15 30:5 96:5 107:12 228:21 259:21 272:3

inclusion 242:7 incompatibility

235:21 inconsistency 86:9

inconsistent 250:2

incorporate 52:11 178:16 179:24

incorporating 114:15 incorrect 230:22

increase 33:6 42:9 60:1,4,5 133:19.20 145:11 251:10 291:6

increased 33:11 150:18 262:7 increasing

125:20 162:20 199:10 incredibly

incumbent 228:9 independent

84:12

20:24 209:3 indication 231:8

indications 102:11

indigenous 25:20 78:7

individual 30:10 55:20 57:14 85:6,8 126:16

142:1 149:4,5 152:8 167:5 183:11 270:4

individualistic 126:12

individually 28:18 84:12

162:10 indulgences

indoor

300:6 industrial 70:3

industry 164:12 207:4,6,11

inexpensive 121:12

infestation 29:25 33:18 34:9 infighting

131:11 influence 76:11

information 6:17 157:21 168:9 171:3,4 180:24 190:1.3 194:8 195:12,15 196:13 266:13

infrastructure 35:1,3 60:5 185:6 208:9 238:18 255:5 290:1 292:14 inherited

296:5 initial 69:12 79:10 223:14 247:19

Initially 177:9 innuendo 277:7 278:8 innuendos 275:11

inordinate 88:16,18 89:23 192:25 193:4,17, 18 194:25 195:18, 25 215:2 216:6 219:22 220:10.19 221:6,10 225:16 226:3,11 227:5 288:2,21 289:9,13 290:5,18 292:19

inordinately 214:15.23 215:18 280:7 286:3 287:11 291:16

297:7,9

input 47:16 97:8,17 117:1 167:1 170:16 171:5 176:16 179:3

207:18 inquiry 119:25

install 189:21

installed 170:12,13 188:2

installing 153:7 instruction

273:6 instructs 228:2

integrate 172:7

integrated 14:19 138:2

intend 197:24

intense 49:19 121:22 168:1

intensities 72:24 intensity 49:6 293:11

intensive 149:19 164:15

intent

14:12 37:14 99:6.7 198:1 206:10 292:2 interact 115:9 129:2 153:2 interaction 56:16 133:2 158:21 179:3 interactions 176:9 interest 21:15 24:9 25:8,11 38:24 54:16 76:13 80:2,5 195:25 268:11 270:19 278:13 280:1 282:5 286:18,25 287:4 293:3,5,9 297:2 interested 170:21 173:11 180:8 interests 177:5 intermodal 68:16 internal 220:6.10.16 249:19 internalized 59:23 internalizing 59:20 internally 59:10 220:2 237:4 interplays 8:14 interpret 143:5 interpretations 292:24 interpreted 286:14 interrupt 22:22 144:3 intersection 183:19 Interstate 99:14 intervening 41:3,6

intervenor 298:2 intervenors 6:5 72:5 173:2,10 268:16 269:18 272:4,7 273:13 274:12,17 275:10 281:21 282:3 283:14 295:11 298:15,16,23 299:21 intervenors' 295:23 intervention 298:21 intraspecific 125:14,17 introduce 102:23 103:12 298:18,20 introduced 12:1 97:25 299:22 introduces 104:22 153:11 invasive 11:23 78:2,4,13 invasives 11:25 78:17,18 invested 223:7,13 289:10 investment 84:15 223:22 226:23,25 229:16 288:24 292:21 296:1 297:5 investmentbacked 210:6 invited 298:19 invokes 284:18 involved 16:12 29:3 42:12 45:10 82:10 83:5 89:13 102:19 114:23 169:3 199:17 228:13,19, 24 229:25 238:14. 16.21 241:13 276:14

involvement 26:15 247:13 involves 274:3,4 283:2 involving 96:23 114:23 189:3 274:7 **IPD** 94:11,15 Irma 207:8,16 219:12 irrigated 147:14,22,25 irrigation 14:3 139:12 140:10,18 148:2 162:1,10 185:7 259:17 island 65:23,24,25 66:14, 15,16 79:21 isolated 105:18 107:3 121:6 issuance 157:11 158:24 issue 8:4 18:21 22:6 37:24 38:1 106:22 136:9 188:19 193:19 195:22 231:23 276:9 277:25 278:21 295:19 299:22 issued 163:15 issues 37:20 72:18 73:5,6 77:20 101:2 104:25 116:8 138:23 152:24 153:10 176:20 177:3 189:17 195:22 196:11 215:11 234:1 242:22 268:16 271:21 278:11 296:18 297:6 298:17 299:22 300:1

J Jacob 175:15 280:10 **Jacobs** 175:8 **Jeff** 254:7 **Jeffrey** 6:5 job 118:8,13 233:22 255:6,10 281:9 Johnson 112:6,7,15 113:20 116:5 ioin 266:8 joint 6:3 96:19 98:5 102:5 114:2 138:13 139:22 142:24 146:5 148:12 167:15 176:5 183:3 184:24 186:17 300:2 JR 95:12 iudge 44:6 45:8,13,19,23 46:23 47:23 53:4 60:14 82:17 92:19 125:23 198:8 200:3,20 220:17, 22 221:8 223:5 232:7,15 281:16 286:20,23 judge's 20:11 58:5 judgment 19:22 213:15 iudicial 266:18 283:5,10 July 138:5 amui 286:5 iumps 149:2 150:6

June 174:7,8,9 175:1,10 iury 282:15 **Justice** 294:25 295:1 Κ K-O-H-L 15:5 Ken 71:22,25 Kevin 6:4 23:9 71:21 72:1,2 269:10 kev 8:15 9:19,23 10:10,18,23 14:7 22:15 27:18 40:18 61:24 214:21 kid 63:18 Kiker 268:25 kind 31:11 54:22 55:21 68:19 95:1 104:4



King 204:13,14,20,22, 24 205:2,6,8,10, 12,23 206:13 215:25 220:16 221:5 228:6,7,23 232:16

Kingston 27:5 81:2 96:23 97:5,15 101:14 103:10,16,24 114:18 121:18 122:3 138:17,23 140:18 141:12 143:25 145:15



items

210:13

149:11 164:17 166:16,25 167:3,7 168:5,10 169:10, 23 170:8.14 172:13 176:8 177:2,13,20 179:15 180:1,18 184:11 189:1,20 191:14 192:19 241:6 257:16,19 258:3,7,18 259:24 260:3 Kleeger 6:5 173:3,6 174:4,

17,25 175:3

knew

67:6 218:5,7,21 290:19,20,21,23 294:20

knowing

176:21 225:19

knowingly

219:3

knowledge

123:14 136:4.10 174:19 175:3 179:16 198:4 291:13

L

L-729 150:2

Labelle

66:7

laid

73:25 226:12,14

lake

13:16 58:18 104:4

lakes

9:14 57:11 58:1,6, 9,14,15,16

Lancid

177:10

land

9:21 11:2,14,22,24 19:24,25 20:3 21:18 23:4,24 28:10,12,18 29:7 30:2,4,15,24 33:10 34:12,16 35:22 40:2 41:3,6,10 43:12 51:22,24

55:15 56:25 57:20. 21 58:21 59:2 64:14 66:19 67:5 68:8,18,24 77:25 79:1 84:14,20 96:2 101:13 102:24 116:18 120:11 126:4,5 129:14 130:2 152:15

156:19 165:25 166:2,3 182:15 187:8 188:12 192:2 199:14 204:20 207:22 208:11 211:5,6,15 216:21 218:6,8,25 219:4,11 222:10, 15 223:10 224:2,3, 16 228:18 229:5

233:24 234:1 236:6,23,24,25 238:17,18 241:5, 11 242:22 249:8, 14 250:17,22,23 251:5,9,16 260:17 263:23 268:24

270:23 274:7 278:2,5,6 284:17 285:2 291:3,6,20, 21,23 292:1,3

295:21 296:22 landowner

46:7 55:20 69:23 84:11,15 89:23 195:15 228:24 251:14 252:7 262:23 263:1,9 273:3 286:2 290:19 292:21 295:8

landowners

50:12 59:3 236:11 243:18 270:25 272:13 277:3

lands

28:3 34:1 39:18 63:16 78:19 100:5, 9 103:9 104:5,13, 15,17,25 105:3 120:22 132:18 242:11 244:3,25 257:24,25 258:11 269:15

landscape

44:11 78:9 126:16 128:10 140:18 141:11 146:12 147:14 160:16 162:7 204:16 254:21 258:9

landscaped

260:23

landscaping

54:15 55:7 185:8

Lane

165:22

lanes

185:5 202:19

language

58:11 298:4

large

37:17 39:14 57:3 114:14,21 119:7 122:14 123:1,7 124:10,14,23 126:1 130:14 132:16,19,22 153:4 169:15 185:15,17 191:1 252:19 270:10 281:3 289:7

largely

172:9 larger

6:7 35:9 37:3 38:7, 9 126:23

largest

125:13

laser

23:14

Lastly

282:22

latest

46:1

laundry

284:10

law

20:3 45:6 61:19 62:20,23 68:19 156:15 157:5 225:17 247:16 249:7,14 265:8,11, 17 266:16 268:8 273:23 274:10,11 277:23 279:9,13

287:7 288:3 291:2, 3,18,19,24 292:23, 24 293:24,25

285:25 286:11.12

294:1,5,22,24 295:2,4,5,23,24 296:10 297:19

lawn

77:15 126:13 140:17 146:12 147:14 160:16 162:7

298:21 299:7

lawns

54:18.24 55:2 57:6,14,16,24

laws

82:19,22 287:18

lawsuit

276:25 283:9

lawyer

74:1 111:12 194:22 197:8

lawyers

111:25 290:14

layman

143:5

lavout

97:9 180:8 238:16

LDC

259:23 264:15

lead

205:23 247:23

leading

11:8 73:9 75:22 79:5 86:7 119:10 241:2

leads

32:2 33:18

learn

193:5

learned

164:20

leasing

211:22

leave

281:19 292:11,12

leaves

104:12 282:6

leaving

30:3 189:22 190:2

led

22:21

Lee 8:18 9:21 15:4 19:3,23 20:23 21:11 22:7 35:14, 16,19 37:12 38:19 40:3.4 43:9 44:19 46:22 49:23 54:25 58:21 61:3,6,20 68:24 73:8,15 79:25 80:6 95:25 99:6 101:13 103:6 116:22 122:4 126:4 128:25 141:25 142:2,8 148:23 151:8 168:13,14,23 173:18 176:18,19 183:15 188:10 190:1,3 200:18 215:16 218:1 221:3 222:17,21 223:2 230:21 231:3,6 232:18 233:20 234:12 236:4,7,18 237:1,

left

7:25 25:7 69:3 137:18,25 138:2 143:9 225:23 257:3 289:3

13,18 239:16

246:10 254:17

262:11 264:15

270:3 275:20

276:5 280:22

296:3 298:25

285:11 289:15

left-hand 98:25

legacy 216:22

legal

19:25 82:16 109:15 152:6,9 156:20 214:18 215:7 272:15

legislative

272:8,9 278:17 283:9 286:15,16 295:10 299:1

legislatively	157:19	138:19 171:16	182:4,17 189:21,	lots
279:8	lieu	listing	23 198:23 244:3,	44:10 80:20
legislature	156:1	12:13 184:4	20	172:12 191:8
274:2 284:15	life	lists	locationally	199:15
286:7 291:17	158:8 217:7	72:2	28:8	love
			locations	164:22
legitimate	235:21 242:2	literally		
52:5	251:17 256:24,25	74:14	170:3 173:1	lovely
Lehigh	lifestyle	litigation	189:19	265:25
17:3,17 28:3 35:7	67:4 252:1,8	19:21,23 208:14	lofty	low
36:19,23 37:4,5,7	lighting	213:2,6,12 217:9	259:21	28:11 111:12
38:6 39:20 60:11,	253:7 256:13,15	271:4 272:14	long	122:17 133:24
13,15,17 61:1,7	· ·	276:15 290:6,9,13	12:2 36:20 182:18	208:2,8 212:18
68:5,6 84:22	like-to-like		186:8 205:6	253:7
100:17,21 101:1	66:18	littoral	207:24 214:3	low-lying
102:24 148:5	lime	53:2,17,21,23	233:25	104:5
149:5,7,14,20	106:2 212:14,21,	live		
176:10,17 177:2,8,	23 241:7,11 258:7	36:10 64:8,15,22	long-term	lower
14,18,21,23,24	limestone	65:11 117:25	13:19 99:7 127:21	149:10,17 150:9
178:11,17 179:4	153:20,23	129:2 165:1	128:2,7 206:12,14	164:16
208:7 242:11	limit	176:18 252:2	207:6 210:8	lowering
271:11	26:20 31:22 35:14	lives	longer	149:21
	37:16 267:10,13	66:1 129:5	17:7 30:2 36:3	lowest
length		LLC	looked	23:19
267:10,13	limitation	136:25 205:11	20:15 21:10 22:7	
lesser	273:10		23:11 34:18 55:19	lunch 111:9
53:17 216:8,9	limited	loading	91:5 129:19	111.9
222:6	6:4,14,23 157:19	33:7 77:23		
			140.1/160.10	
letter	182:15 204:25	loads	140:17 160:10 212:6 217:4 268:4	M
	182:15 204:25 205:11,14 206:11	loads 154:15	212:6 217:4 268:4	
175:8 178:10,14,		154:15	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose	machines
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2	205:11,14 206:11		212:6 217:4 268:4 lose 276:20,23	machines 275:14
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5	154:15 lobbying 76:4	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose 276:20,23 loss	machines 275:14 made
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose 276:20,23 loss 88:8 89:17 124:23	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose 276:20,23 loss 88:8 89:17 124:23 131:9 197:18,20	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1,
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5,	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose 276:20,23 loss 88:8 89:17 124:23	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters 244:8	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting 52:6	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5, 16 246:19 249:10	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose 276:20,23 loss 88:8 89:17 124:23 131:9 197:18,20	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10 195:13 199:1
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters 244:8 level	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting 52:6 limits	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5, 16 246:19 249:10 268:9 288:14	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose 276:20,23 loss 88:8 89:17 124:23 131:9 197:18,20 211:12,13,22 lost	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10 195:13 199:1 200:12,24 218:19
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters 244:8 level 76:10 89:22	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting 52:6 limits 34:13 37:10 42:17	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5, 16 246:19 249:10 268:9 288:14 290:8	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose 276:20,23 loss 88:8 89:17 124:23 131:9 197:18,20 211:12,13,22 lost 207:15	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10 195:13 199:1 200:12,24 218:19 219:17 220:12,15
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters 244:8 level 76:10 89:22 133:20 134:3	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting 52:6 limits 34:13 37:10 42:17 149:22 218:2	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5, 16 246:19 249:10 268:9 288:14 290:8 localized	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose 276:20,23 loss 88:8 89:17 124:23 131:9 197:18,20 211:12,13,22 lost 207:15 lot	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10 195:13 199:1 200:12,24 218:19 219:17 220:12,15 225:20 226:17
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters 244:8 level 76:10 89:22 133:20 134:3 139:5 143:22	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting 52:6 limits 34:13 37:10 42:17 149:22 218:2 Lindsay	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5, 16 246:19 249:10 268:9 288:14 290:8	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose 276:20,23 loss 88:8 89:17 124:23 131:9 197:18,20 211:12,13,22 lost 207:15 lot 6:17 12:20 16:12	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10 195:13 199:1 200:12,24 218:19 219:17 220:12,15
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters 244:8 level 76:10 89:22 133:20 134:3	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting 52:6 limits 34:13 37:10 42:17 149:22 218:2	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5, 16 246:19 249:10 268:9 288:14 290:8 localized	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10 195:13 199:1 200:12,24 218:19 219:17 220:12,15 225:20 226:17
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters 244:8 level 76:10 89:22 133:20 134:3 139:5 143:22 149:13 150:4 151:1 214:19	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting 52:6 limits 34:13 37:10 42:17 149:22 218:2 Lindsay	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5, 16 246:19 249:10 268:9 288:14 290:8 localized 18:20 149:21	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10 195:13 199:1 200:12,24 218:19 219:17 220:12,15 225:20 226:17 251:10 295:8
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters 244:8 level 76:10 89:22 133:20 134:3 139:5 143:22 149:13 150:4	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting 52:6 limits 34:13 37:10 42:17 149:22 218:2 Lindsay 178:10	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5, 16 246:19 249:10 268:9 288:14 290:8 localized 18:20 149:21 locate	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10 195:13 199:1 200:12,24 218:19 219:17 220:12,15 225:20 226:17 251:10 295:8 magic 12:20 245:22
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters 244:8 level 76:10 89:22 133:20 134:3 139:5 143:22 149:13 150:4 151:1 214:19	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting 52:6 limits 34:13 37:10 42:17 149:22 218:2 Lindsay 178:10 linear 150:20,22	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5, 16 246:19 249:10 268:9 288:14 290:8 localized 18:20 149:21 locate 36:9 180:17,25 181:24	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10 195:13 199:1 200:12,24 218:19 219:17 220:12,15 225:20 226:17 251:10 295:8 magic 12:20 245:22 magnitude
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters 244:8 level 76:10 89:22 133:20 134:3 139:5 143:22 149:13 150:4 151:1 214:19 219:21 254:18 263:11 293:11	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting 52:6 limits 34:13 37:10 42:17 149:22 218:2 Lindsay 178:10 linear 150:20,22 lines	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5, 16 246:19 249:10 268:9 288:14 290:8 localized 18:20 149:21 locate 36:9 180:17,25 181:24 located	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10 195:13 199:1 200:12,24 218:19 219:17 220:12,15 225:20 226:17 251:10 295:8 magic 12:20 245:22 magnitude 18:4
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters 244:8 level 76:10 89:22 133:20 134:3 139:5 143:22 149:13 150:4 151:1 214:19 219:21 254:18 263:11 293:11 levels	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting 52:6 limits 34:13 37:10 42:17 149:22 218:2 Lindsay 178:10 linear 150:20,22 lines 74:22 75:1 91:10	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5, 16 246:19 249:10 268:9 288:14 290:8 localized 18:20 149:21 locate 36:9 180:17,25 181:24 located 21:18 36:14,16	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10 195:13 199:1 200:12,24 218:19 219:17 220:12,15 225:20 226:17 251:10 295:8 magic 12:20 245:22 magnitude 18:4 mailed
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters 244:8 level 76:10 89:22 133:20 134:3 139:5 143:22 149:13 150:4 151:1 214:19 219:21 254:18 263:11 293:11 levels 16:11 17:8 18:6	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting 52:6 limits 34:13 37:10 42:17 149:22 218:2 Lindsay 178:10 linear 150:20,22 lines 74:22 75:1 91:10 97:21 150:7	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5, 16 246:19 249:10 268:9 288:14 290:8 localized 18:20 149:21 locate 36:9 180:17,25 181:24 located 21:18 36:14,16 37:17 72:20 99:9	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10 195:13 199:1 200:12,24 218:19 219:17 220:12,15 225:20 226:17 251:10 295:8 magic 12:20 245:22 magnitude 18:4 mailed 168:8
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters 244:8 level 76:10 89:22 133:20 134:3 139:5 143:22 149:13 150:4 151:1 214:19 219:21 254:18 263:11 293:11 levels 16:11 17:8 18:6 37:11,12 77:6,7	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting 52:6 limits 34:13 37:10 42:17 149:22 218:2 Lindsay 178:10 linear 150:20,22 lines 74:22 75:1 91:10 97:21 150:7	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5, 16 246:19 249:10 268:9 288:14 290:8 localized 18:20 149:21 locate 36:9 180:17,25 181:24 located 21:18 36:14,16 37:17 72:20 99:9 100:19 137:1	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose 276:20,23 loss 88:8 89:17 124:23 131:9 197:18,20 211:12,13,22 lost 207:15 lot 6:17 12:20 16:12 17:18 20:15 21:25 24:10 25:4 26:16 43:17 44:14 45:3 47:7,10 55:19 56:11 64:9 66:8,21 74:11,12 80:14,17, 19 82:1 88:11 89:13 91:10 94:2	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10 195:13 199:1 200:12,24 218:19 219:17 220:12,15 225:20 226:17 251:10 295:8 magic 12:20 245:22 magnitude 18:4 mailed 168:8 mailing
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters 244:8 level 76:10 89:22 133:20 134:3 139:5 143:22 149:13 150:4 151:1 214:19 219:21 254:18 263:11 293:11 levels 16:11 17:8 18:6 37:11,12 77:6,7 107:6 108:16	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting 52:6 limits 34:13 37:10 42:17 149:22 218:2 Lindsay 178:10 linear 150:20,22 lines 74:22 75:1 91:10 97:21 150:7 list 50:3 167:8 168:11,	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5, 16 246:19 249:10 268:9 288:14 290:8 localized 18:20 149:21 locate 36:9 180:17,25 181:24 located 21:18 36:14,16 37:17 72:20 99:9 100:19 137:1 145:10 149:12	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10 195:13 199:1 200:12,24 218:19 219:17 220:12,15 225:20 226:17 251:10 295:8 magic 12:20 245:22 magnitude 18:4 mailed 168:8 mailing 26:24 167:8
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters 244:8 level 76:10 89:22 133:20 134:3 139:5 143:22 149:13 150:4 151:1 214:19 219:21 254:18 263:11 293:11 levels 16:11 17:8 18:6 37:11,12 77:6,7 107:6 108:16 124:22 149:22	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting 52:6 limits 34:13 37:10 42:17 149:22 218:2 Lindsay 178:10 linear 150:20,22 lines 74:22 75:1 91:10 97:21 150:7 list 50:3 167:8 168:11, 13,14,25 169:4	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5, 16 246:19 249:10 268:9 288:14 290:8 localized 18:20 149:21 locate 36:9 180:17,25 181:24 located 21:18 36:14,16 37:17 72:20 99:9 100:19 137:1 145:10 149:12 173:4 182:19	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10 195:13 199:1 200:12,24 218:19 219:17 220:12,15 225:20 226:17 251:10 295:8 magic 12:20 245:22 magnitude 18:4 mailed 168:8 mailing 26:24 167:8 168:11,13,14,25
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters 244:8 level 76:10 89:22 133:20 134:3 139:5 143:22 149:13 150:4 151:1 214:19 219:21 254:18 263:11 293:11 levels 16:11 17:8 18:6 37:11,12 77:6,7 107:6 108:16 124:22 149:22 150:17 151:2,11,	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting 52:6 limits 34:13 37:10 42:17 149:22 218:2 Lindsay 178:10 linear 150:20,22 lines 74:22 75:1 91:10 97:21 150:7 list 50:3 167:8 168:11, 13,14,25 169:4 172:8 175:17	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5, 16 246:19 249:10 268:9 288:14 290:8 localized 18:20 149:21 locate 36:9 180:17,25 181:24 located 21:18 36:14,16 37:17 72:20 99:9 100:19 137:1 145:10 149:12 173:4 182:19 241:22 244:15	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10 195:13 199:1 200:12,24 218:19 219:17 220:12,15 225:20 226:17 251:10 295:8 magic 12:20 245:22 magnitude 18:4 mailed 168:8 mailing 26:24 167:8 168:11,13,14,25 169:4 172:8
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters 244:8 level 76:10 89:22 133:20 134:3 139:5 143:22 149:13 150:4 151:1 214:19 219:21 254:18 263:11 293:11 levels 16:11 17:8 18:6 37:11,12 77:6,7 107:6 108:16 124:22 149:22 150:17 151:2,11, 12 244:11	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting 52:6 limits 34:13 37:10 42:17 149:22 218:2 Lindsay 178:10 linear 150:20,22 lines 74:22 75:1 91:10 97:21 150:7 list 50:3 167:8 168:11, 13,14,25 169:4 172:8 175:17 259:21 272:14	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5, 16 246:19 249:10 268:9 288:14 290:8 localized 18:20 149:21 locate 36:9 180:17,25 181:24 located 21:18 36:14,16 37:17 72:20 99:9 100:19 137:1 145:10 149:12 173:4 182:19 241:22 244:15 location	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10 195:13 199:1 200:12,24 218:19 219:17 220:12,15 225:20 226:17 251:10 295:8 magic 12:20 245:22 magnitude 18:4 mailed 168:8 mailing 26:24 167:8 168:11,13,14,25
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters 244:8 level 76:10 89:22 133:20 134:3 139:5 143:22 149:13 150:4 151:1 214:19 219:21 254:18 263:11 293:11 levels 16:11 17:8 18:6 37:11,12 77:6,7 107:6 108:16 124:22 149:22 150:17 151:2,11, 12 244:11 license	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting 52:6 limits 34:13 37:10 42:17 149:22 218:2 Lindsay 178:10 linear 150:20,22 lines 74:22 75:1 91:10 97:21 150:7 list 50:3 167:8 168:11, 13,14,25 169:4 172:8 175:17 259:21 272:14 284:10,22	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5, 16 246:19 249:10 268:9 288:14 290:8 localized 18:20 149:21 locate 36:9 180:17,25 181:24 located 21:18 36:14,16 37:17 72:20 99:9 100:19 137:1 145:10 149:12 173:4 182:19 241:22 244:15 location 77:10 92:7 102:23	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose 276:20,23 loss 88:8 89:17 124:23 131:9 197:18,20 211:12,13,22 lost 207:15 lot 6:17 12:20 16:12 17:18 20:15 21:25 24:10 25:4 26:16 43:17 44:14 45:3 47:7,10 55:19 56:11 64:9 66:8,21 74:11,12 80:14,17, 19 82:1 88:11 89:13 91:10 94:2 121:24 152:23 154:25 155:1,2,7 157:20 161:2 164:13,14,23 168:7 171:10	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10 195:13 199:1 200:12,24 218:19 219:17 220:12,15 225:20 226:17 251:10 295:8 magic 12:20 245:22 magnitude 18:4 mailed 168:8 mailing 26:24 167:8 168:11,13,14,25 169:4 172:8
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters 244:8 level 76:10 89:22 133:20 134:3 139:5 143:22 149:13 150:4 151:1 214:19 219:21 254:18 263:11 293:11 levels 16:11 17:8 18:6 37:11,12 77:6,7 107:6 108:16 124:22 149:22 150:17 151:2,11, 12 244:11 license 107:23	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting 52:6 limits 34:13 37:10 42:17 149:22 218:2 Lindsay 178:10 linear 150:20,22 lines 74:22 75:1 91:10 97:21 150:7 list 50:3 167:8 168:11, 13,14,25 169:4 172:8 175:17 259:21 272:14 284:10,22 listening	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5, 16 246:19 249:10 268:9 288:14 290:8 localized 18:20 149:21 locate 36:9 180:17,25 181:24 located 21:18 36:14,16 37:17 72:20 99:9 100:19 137:1 145:10 149:12 173:4 182:19 241:22 244:15 location 77:10 92:7 102:23 132:21 148:25	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose 276:20,23 loss 88:8 89:17 124:23 131:9 197:18,20 211:12,13,22 lost 207:15 lot 6:17 12:20 16:12 17:18 20:15 21:25 24:10 25:4 26:16 43:17 44:14 45:3 47:7,10 55:19 56:11 64:9 66:8,21 74:11,12 80:14,17, 19 82:1 88:11 89:13 91:10 94:2 121:24 152:23 154:25 155:1,2,7 157:20 161:2 164:13,14,23 168:7 171:10 191:8 257:22	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10 195:13 199:1 200:12,24 218:19 219:17 220:12,15 225:20 226:17 251:10 295:8 magic 12:20 245:22 magnitude 18:4 mailed 168:8 mailing 26:24 167:8 168:11,13,14,25 169:4 172:8 175:17
175:8 178:10,14, 23 201:19 202:2 210:18,19,20,24 213:11 248:7,22 296:22 letters 244:8 level 76:10 89:22 133:20 134:3 139:5 143:22 149:13 150:4 151:1 214:19 219:21 254:18 263:11 293:11 levels 16:11 17:8 18:6 37:11,12 77:6,7 107:6 108:16 124:22 149:22 150:17 151:2,11, 12 244:11 license	205:11,14 206:11 210:11 216:5 218:6 234:8 255:24 268:3 280:25 287:6 limiting 52:6 limits 34:13 37:10 42:17 149:22 218:2 Lindsay 178:10 linear 150:20,22 lines 74:22 75:1 91:10 97:21 150:7 list 50:3 167:8 168:11, 13,14,25 169:4 172:8 175:17 259:21 272:14 284:10,22	154:15 lobbying 76:4 local 14:16 76:5,6,7 157:3 167:4 169:5, 16 246:19 249:10 268:9 288:14 290:8 localized 18:20 149:21 locate 36:9 180:17,25 181:24 located 21:18 36:14,16 37:17 72:20 99:9 100:19 137:1 145:10 149:12 173:4 182:19 241:22 244:15 location 77:10 92:7 102:23	212:6 217:4 268:4 lose 276:20,23 loss 88:8 89:17 124:23 131:9 197:18,20 211:12,13,22 lost 207:15 lot 6:17 12:20 16:12 17:18 20:15 21:25 24:10 25:4 26:16 43:17 44:14 45:3 47:7,10 55:19 56:11 64:9 66:8,21 74:11,12 80:14,17, 19 82:1 88:11 89:13 91:10 94:2 121:24 152:23 154:25 155:1,2,7 157:20 161:2 164:13,14,23 168:7 171:10	machines 275:14 made 8:3 45:12 47:16 67:5 75:21 171:1, 14 173:17 180:10 195:13 199:1 200:12,24 218:19 219:17 220:12,15 225:20 226:17 251:10 295:8 magic 12:20 245:22 magnitude 18:4 mailed 168:8 mailing 26:24 167:8 168:11,13,14,25 169:4 172:8 175:17 mailings

Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill		Judge James Shenko	11,	/08/2022Index: mainmine
281:6 main 132:20 maintain 11:24 161:13 164:23,25 203:9 maintained 13:18 121:9 155:22 maintaining 155:5 maintenance 11:19 12:3 111:12 122:8 186:6,8 187:2,9,16 188:4, 7,10,23 major 9:2 68:14,16 136:2 238:2 289:25 292:13 majority 36:17 38:6 126:9 127:9 205:2 make 11:24 30:9 33:2 44:21 52:21 62:2 67:14 86:18 111:17 122:15 157:21 161:18 169:7 170:20 188:14,20 193:7 194:14 195:16 197:11,25 198:10 199:2,19,23 220:2 225:18 243:12 244:9 245:2 250:14,17 280:1 291:4 293:4,8 299:1,21 300:3 makes 59:1 98:14 208:9 250:9 260:17 271:18 277:21 making 13:17 45:20 80:4 119:18 254:25 258:13 265:3 male	mammals	Marco 65:23,24,25 66:14, 15 79:21 marked 96:10,11 97:24 101:20 113:20 138:8 139:10 167:20 markers 149:4 market 51:5 62:11 84:15 208:4 296:12 marsh 21:21 22:13,17,18 104:4 120:20 121:23 132:17 Marshal 90:17 massive 75:3 291:6 master 8:21 material 116:14 198:25 materials 156:4 274:23 math 229:4 matter 56:25 115:25 179:7 217:22 220:9 276:18 284:15 296:4 matters 298:18,20 maximize 216:19 maximum 54:10,12 149:22 154:14 250:24 Maxwell 296:16 MCP 252:18 meaning 21:25 87:8 127:7	157:14,25 164:23 198:15 262:16 meant 186:11 measured 198:2 mechanism 213:19 medical 111:16 meet 17:11 19:14 36:4, 12 51:5 79:8 100:9 156:12 158:24 164:4 178:15 237:6,12,13 meet all 76:24 77:13 meeting 34:23 168:22,24 169:1,4,6,8,25 170:1,17,18,20,24 171:8,13 173:17, 24,25 175:4,14,15, 16,20,21 177:14 297:8 meetings 168:17 174:14 179:16 181:24 281:6 meets 76:13 260:6 285:20 294:9 melaleuca 11:23 78:6 member 205:3,13 234:11 members 111:8 memo 262:6 memorandum 265:8,17 266:7 272:15 274:24 279:13 284:24 295:23 memos	7:2 25:10 78:23 80:7,25 93:13 107:11 176:12 229:25 266:14 mentions 27:4 menu 283:22 merit 84:3 297:6 met 48:11,14 64:9 75:18 76:20 160:22 177:6 Metropolis 112:19 mic 7:18,21 Michael 175:8,15 280:10 middle 6:8 103:24 261:9 mile 40:23,25 72:25 74:23 75:5 79:3 81:24 182:18 miles 17:2 79:15 185:5 million 18:7,9 31:9,12,13, 19 140:14,20,22 141:5,6,14 142:7 147:23 185:9,19 187:1,3 208:16 211:13 217:23,24 222:19 223:11 224:1,6,7,11,12,23 225:25 229:11,14, 17,18,23 268:25 269:17 270:3,18, 24 276:16,25 277:1,13,14,16 281:18 296:20 millions 190:13 198:3 mimic 104:20 mine
258:13 265:3	270:6	meaning	295:23	104:20 mine
mammal 122:14 185:15,17 191:1	maps 23:4 34:16	207:17 224:5,8 means 87:17 130:1,3	mention 18:16 271:2 277:3 mentioned	25:5 46:3 47:5 52:12,25 53:14,19 67:8,12 68:25 69:1,4,10,15,17

Corkscrew Grove vs. Hil
70:14 71:24 72:10, 15,25 73:7,17 74:13,15 90:19 92:6 94:10 108:1 109:16 153:4,6,9 155:21 156:14 157:12,15,21 158:1 159:12,13, 22 208:25 209:2 212:6 217:8 222:18 229:21 234:6 241:7,18,20 242:1,12 255:14 256:9,12,24 258:7, 12 263:25 281:25 282:1 287:14
mined 40:6 80:10 152:21 223:1 minerals
204:20
mines 52:24 53:21 67:11 73:19 74:9,11 90:12 91:3,18,20, 23 92:9,14,16,18, 21,24 94:9 108:4

153:20 208:23 232:11 241:11 255:7

minimal 88:17,19 133:25 215:20 minimize 56:18,21 minimum 50:11,19 89:22 217:8 220:2 225:21 270:18

276:25 281:17 282:10 294:14 299:23 mining

20:2,5,10,13,14

40:9,22 46:3 52:6, 9,11,16,21 53:3,9 56:25 64:9 69:12 70:4 71:5 73:14 74:18 80:13 81:4, 19 82:4,11,19,23 87:14 88:4.7 90:10,23,25 93:3 106:2,7,13,18,23,

24 107:3.4.6.25 109:19 122:17,19 123:1,7 137:9 152:23,24,25 153:15,22 155:24, 25 156:2 158:12 159:2,18 206:21, 23 207:25 208:13, 19,25 209:4,14,19, 25 210:8,12 211:12,14,16,23 212:4 214:15 215:18 216:7 217:6 219:6 221:2 222:3 230:24 231:3,12 232:1 234:18,21,23 237:17 241:14 256:19 261:19,23 262:2 271:17,20, 24 272:1,4,6 275:12 276:21 277:4 281:17 289:20 290:24 296:14,23 297:13, 15

minor 68:13

minute 122:11 216:12 233:2 280:4

minutes

41:21 82:8 176:13 229:17 261:20 267:23

mirror 53:13

mirrors 13:2 missed 133:13

missing 261:6

misunderstood 85:2

Mitch

193:13 203:24

Mitchell 204:1,9

mitigating 59:16

mitigation

184:5.12 255:4

mix

9:14 76:1

mobile

74:20 241:21

model

14:20 143:12,14, 16,19 144:12 145:5 146:10,13 150:1

modeling

19:12

models

143:16 146:12 147:13

modern 61:2

MODFLOW

143:15

modified 159:13.17 162:3

modify

159:12

moment

31:5 64:18 86:12 89:2 97:23 105:25 107:15 109:23 131:25 155:15 191:17 230:9 245:24 261:15 264:6,23

moments

265:2

money

13:11 121:13 192:8 223:6.16 232:18 268:23 269:1 271:6

289:11 292:25

monies

213:25

monitor

189:25 244:10

monitored

171:10 190:2

monitoring

13:19,20 108:14 149:13 188:9 189:21,23

month

266:21

months

177:6 198:24 214:6,7

Moore

6:21,22 7:2,17 11:11 21:1,7 28:24 29:1 41:12,15 67:19,20,22 73:12 74:3 75:15 79:7 81:10,16 84:17 85:4,15 86:8,12, 14,19 88:21 89:24 93:10,12 94:20,25 95:3,9 96:7,15,21 97:24 98:7,11,16 101:20 102:7 106:12,16,24

107:9,15 109:1 110:4,6,24 111:1, 3,12,20 112:4,6,12 113:19 114:4,5 116:1,3,4 117:9 118:20,21 119:11, 23 120:1,3 122:11, 12 123:21 125:9 132:4,7 135:6 136:8,11,14,20

138:7,12,15 139:10,13,17,24 142:18,21 143:1 144:5,8 145:22,24 146:1,7 148:5,9,

14,17,19,20 155:15,17 163:8 165:8,11,18 167:7,

11,17,19 173:10, 14 174:1,3,21,23 175:25 176:3,7

178:23 179:11,14 181:13,23 182:8, 21,24 183:5,7

184:11,17,19,22

185:1 186:13,19 191:17,19,23

192:10,14 193:8 194:1,5,9 195:4,20

196:5,14 197:17 198:11 203:15,24 204:6 209:10,12,

20.23 210:20.23 213:9,10 214:8,11,

21 215:12 216:12

217:13 221:11 224:24 225:7

226:4.10 227:7.10. 15 233:2,4 264:22 266:3,7 267:24 268:2 279:22 280:13 281:9 283:7,17 285:2 294:19,21 298:1 299:15,18 300:9, 12

morning

42:4 113:11 138:20 169:12 265:7,14

mortality

43:18 125:13 131:15

Mosaic

153:19

move

20:7 178:21 182:5

moved

242:15

movement

120:16 121:4,9 130:14 258:1 259:20

movements

256:17

moves

14:12

moving

12:9,15 13:22 14:24 24:23 25:2 66:13 69:8 103:1 235:23

mow

126:13

multiple

99:18 103:11 105:2

Myers

112:20 137:3 204:11 270:3

Ν

narrow

121:6 294:5

nation

133:16

National

298:14

native 78:6 natural 11:25 16:10,16 17:5 42:21,22 55:11 56:9 58:6 126:11 188:10 nature

77:3 196:10 300:2

necessarily 62:4 63:20 125:22

needed 12:21 50:12 51:3,5

85:16 257:13 288:4,17

needing 36:24

needle 216:2

negate 41:5

negates 41:10

negative

14:21 18:1 33:13 71:5 76:22 104:19 175:23,24 181:3, 14,17,19 261:19, 21 284:7

negligible 66:11

negotiate

293:7 295:9 **negotiated**

13:6 87:22 89:14 191:15 200:5,8 290:6

negotiation

10:11 51:17 89:21 **negotiations**

199:17 214:3 219:18

neighbor 189:18

neighbor's 63:18

neighborhood 36:7 37:11,12,18

168:21 169:1,24 172:3 175:13 176:14 251:11 neighborhoods 251:15

neighboring 203:3

neighbors

67:15 70:13 71:19 109:13 176:15 235:22 241:19

net

33:4 58:10,12 59:25 146:14

network

36:11 59:18 62:9

newer 53:20

News-press 175:18

newspaper 167:4 169:5

173:25 200:17 **NGOS** 179:17

nice 293:18

night 252:20,22

nitrogen 32:17 33:17 257:7 262:5

nods 145:21

noise

44:14 71:8,11,13 73:5 81:1,4,7,11, 17,22 82:1 90:11, 15 275:12

noisy 91:11

non-citrus 46:16

non-mining

39:10

non-statements 181:11

nonexistent 20:18

nongovernmental 179:16

nonurban 252:11 noon 111:23

normal

87:11 100:24 251:7 263:13

Judge James Shenko

north

24:25 40:21,23,25 60:21 65:7 68:2,23 69:12 80:10 98:24 99:3,4,8 100:7,12, 19 105:6,25 114:9 120:5 122:21 151:14 152:18,20 183:16 184:2 189:10,24 205:17 207:2 228:6 229:7, 9,10 271:24

north/south

15:23 35:2 182:10 183:14 271:7

northeast 103:1 177:10

northeastern 102:22

northern

34:6 144:19,25 146:21 177:25 228:14 241:22

northwest 177:17

note

9:2,9 31:18 37:21 43:8

notebook 201:18

notice

9:5 64:6 150:12 168:15 173:22,24 280:19,21 281:5 298:12

noticed

173:25 174:12 265:7

notices 174:1

notification 168:3

notifying 168:23

notion 59:6 Notwithstanding

163:24

number

10:23,25 15:12 59:6,14,15 96:10, 14 113:21 148:7 153:21 156:18 167:22 170:18.24 171:21 182:23 190:21,23 192:14, 15 193:8,10,25 202:19 208:15 212:5 214:9 215:23 220:1 224:13,14 228:20 229:20,22 235:9, 16 238:14,15 239:10 245:14,18, 22 249:24 250:2 274:23 282:7 283:25 284:1

numbered 182:21

numbers

140:13 187:14 188:1,4

numerous 108:14

nutrient

33:6,10 56:7 77:14,23 78:22 104:22

nutrients

13:19 33:17,19,21, 24 34:8 58:15 77:10,16

0

oath

7:10,15 95:5 112:8 136:16 165:14 204:2 221:13 233:12 253:24

object

81:5 106:10 178:20 192:10 214:17 250:11 268:18

objection

11:9 73:9,24 74:6 79:5 81:12 84:1,18 86:7 89:3 90:5 96:17 98:2 101:23 102:3 113:23 115:21 119:10,24 136:9 138:9.10 139:19 146:3 167:12 173:9,12 179:5,8 181:7,21 182:25 183:1 184:14 186:14,15 193:12 194:7,10, 13,15,16,17 195:7 196:7 197:3 198:11,13 215:9 221:17 224:25 225:8 241:2,9,15 242:25 253:2

objectionable 106:11 270:15

objections 192:14 298:22

objective

197:20 246:21

objectives 249:9,16 264:15

obligate 277:15

obligated 224:20 226:15

obligation 245:17

observations

observed 178:25

obtain 143:17 258:25

obtained

113:1 168:13,25 **obtaining**

113:7 262:17

occasion 176:20 261:22

occur

18:23 19:20 120:12,17 121:4,7 122:19,25 126:24 134:1 140:8,19 142:13,14 145:13 149:6 162:15

occurred 11:6



occurs 121:19 150:4
off-site
101:16
off-the-record
192:24
offer 139:17 176:3
182:22 184:12
202:6
offered
169:20,24 170:2 179:6 200:4 278:3
offering
178:25
offhand 126:2
office
137:1 157:3
170:19 171:21
180:21 199:10 204:11
officer
249:22 263:16,20
officer's 264:2
offices
137:2
official
205:9 262:6 283:1 offset
13:11 51:11,18
61:24 88:8,13
89:16,17 268:10 274:19 298:9
offsets
62:1 162:24
offsetting
61:16 62:4,5,6 162:23
offsite
27:19 28:6 77:8
oftentimes 16:14 50:23
16:14 50:23 older
53:22
Omni
298:14 one-in-10
161:11

onerous 281:9
ongoing 82:1
online 148:24
onsite 27:18 57:12
open 9:11,14,16 11:6 12:25 54:14 57:5, 7,13,17 80:18 83:1 111:6 123:2 227:16 243:24,25 244:25 259:21,22 263:13,14,18
operate 188:18
operating 188:18 256:23
operation 16:18 77:1,16 90:19 91:1,9 123:1 140:8 146:11,12 156:15 157:22 158:1 160:2,12 162:6 164:23,25 165:3 219:16
operational 224:4
operations 18:5 108:7,12 141:1 153:22 154:10 155:3 156:9 158:12 159:25 161:1 163:2 256:14,19
opinion
19:25 38:18 45:1 51:16 82:17 106:17 107:2 180:17 214:12,19 215:1,6,15 221:7 242:23 252:6 260:1
opinions
118:18 opportunities
17:19 102:17 206:14 272:12

278:15

	Judge James Snenk
	opportunity 6:11 27:25 93:20 99:15 100:16 101:3 123:7 169:25 170:2 175:5 206:17 214:1 247:24 265:14 279:9 299:18
	oppose 48:13
1	opposed 48:3 69:24 70:20 170:7 273:3
	opposing 270:9
	opposite 98:25
	opposition 47:3,5,12 48:21 73:17 74:13
1	optimal 134:3
	option 281:22 282:6
	options 17:18 20:16 126:16 237:5 283:23 285:5
	orange 100:22 144:21
	orangish 144:21
	order 10:20,21 14:16 18:9 29:9,11 50:1 77:7 120:12 156:21 164:25 195:10 213:7 219:22 231:5 240:16 245:1,11, 19 249:13 254:20 279:14 286:18 295:24 296:11 ordered
	45:8,14,19 53:4 232:7

170:7 273:3
opposing 270:9
opposite 98:25
opposition 47:3,5,12 48:21 73:17 74:13
optimal 134:3
option 281:22 282:6
options 17:18 20:16 126:16 237:5 283:23 285:5
orange 100:22 144:21
orangish 144:21
order 10:20,21 14:16 18:9 29:9,11 50:12 77:7 120:12 156:21 164:25 195:10 213:7 219:22 231:5 240:16 245:1,11, 19 249:13 254:20 279:14 286:18 295:24 296:11
ordered 45:8,14,19 53:4 232:7
orders 245:6,12,14 ordinance
54:25 249:10
Fort Myers Court Reporting the duling @fmreporting.c

ordinances 268:9,10 269:9 280:21
organization 201:20 202:5,13
organizations 179:17 181:3,15, 17
orient 98:23
originally 236:5
Orlando 137:17
oscillation 150:10
OSHA 256:15
outcome 45:16 234:21 239:22
outfall 259:6
outlay 197:23 223:22
outline 143:25
outlined 21:6 39:7 40:13 123:19 145:7 165:4
outlines 12:25
outputs 146:13
outreach 26:16 166:24 168:22 172:3 176:14 178:25 179:23 200:12 278:15
outset 282:24
overarching 35:5
overfertilize 54:21
overfertilizing

overlay
12:18 13:3 19:6,15 21:17 22:5,9,12
24:8 25:3 26:7
27:24 50:1 79:4,8,
14 129:18 130:5 218:10,14 235:24
236:3,9,10 246:5,
6,9,16 259:13,15
overplayed 287:21
overrule
74:6 81:12 89:3
173:12 198:13
241:15 242:25 278:20
Overruled
107:1 250:13
253:4
overrules 119:24 215:9
overseeing
12:9 254:23
overturn
279:11 overview
93:14
owned
182:15 189:3
205:15 230:15
owner
10:12 53:25 54:3 65:8,10 70:18
88:17 164:3
166:14 189:14
191:6 205:2 206:5
208:12 210:3,10
212:3 214:22
215:3 225:14,24
270:21 276:13,15, 16,24 277:6,15
278:22 288:5,23
289:3,4 293:16,23
295:25 296:4,15,
18 297:6,9
owner's
82:18 215:6
270:19 277:9 owners
26:24 66:10 168:8
295:22
255.22

54:23

overland 139:3

Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill		Judge James Shenko	1	1/08/2022Index: ownspe
205:16 228:5,22	258:10,16 261:3	261:6 285:1	pays	permit
owns	264:12,16 270:12	participate	12:5 62:18 122:3,6	14:15,16 33:5
48:3 192:2 205:12,	275:12,23	234:17 238:11,23	185:10,21	67:13 116:25
14 229:24	panthers'	281:4	peak	119:15 137:20
14 220.24	125:18		150:8	143:17 155:22
		participated		156:2,8,11,13,15
P	papers	255:17,25	peer	157:7,8,13,23,25
p.m.	117:20 118:22	parties	154:16	158:6,9,10,19,25
112:3 203:22	154:3	222:12 273:4	pending	159:2,11,18,21
300:14	parade	partly	45:16 195:23	160:2 162:24
package	74:15 287:19	243:16	206:20	163:15 164:5
249:1	paraphrasing	Partnership	people	231:23 232:8
	289:2	182:15 205:1,11,	26:14 36:9,11,23	298:21
pages	parcel	14 234:8 255:24	47:7,8,10 55:4,5	
28:25 30:20	40:16,17,18,20		63:24 64:9,10	permits 139:6 140:9 141:4
249:25	47:23 104:12	party 89:17 228:25	65:12,17,20 67:2,5	
paid	105:6,25 106:1		74:12 80:20,22	157:18 158:13
12:7 61:15 62:22	107:12 189:3	passage	91:14 126:13	160:12 161:10,18
187:6,7,9 191:6	205:19 271:25	132:12	129:11 130:18	202:3 230:1
217:23 271:12	273:14,15,22	Passarella	151:16 164:21	254:21 264:12
pains	274:5,8	112:18 113:8	170:2 171:10	permitted
71:5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	117:19 118:8	181:11 252:2	28:11 69:14,18
Palm	parcels	passing	261:12 271:21	77:20 82:23 140:6
157:1	120:6 122:21	280:21	293:6	141:8 142:5,21
Palmer	152:20 286:8	past	Pepperland	143:13,20 145:3,
137:1	Pardon	12:17 13:3 27:24	237:24	13 147:9,12
panther	267:12	117:5 135:20	percent	148:24 151:18
43:4,8,12,18,21	Park	211:1 261:22	10:16 27:12,14	163:24 164:7
44:9,13 80:8,10	137:1 165:22	268:21	31:8 32:1,4 56:24	165:6 209:1
113:14 115:22,23,	part	path	141:16 147:3,22,	269:19,20 270:2
24 116:21 117:21	8:24 24:11,13	39:19 206:15	24 148:1 154:24	permitting
118:25 119:8,21	26:4,11 30:19		161:12 198:25	129:22 153:19,20
122:14,15,16,23	32:24 34:25 37:23	paths 153:5 185:6	207:15 243:25	155:8 164:5
123:3 124:10,14,	42:23 48:1 50:6	100.0 100.0	244:2,5 259:16,21	perpetual
20 125:3,13,25	54:15 55:7 56:15	pattern	263:13,14	11:19
126:18 127:13,20,	77:14 86:3 87:22	60:25 100:3,7	· ·	perpetuity
25 128:7,13,16	97:4 101:13	101:8 104:21,22	percentage 32:17,19 57:8 59:8	12:4 43:14 186:11
129:16 130:3	107:13 113:16	105:4,23	· ·	persistent
131:7,18 132:8,12	114:15 115:8	patterns	perform	81:25
133:8,15,19,21,25	117:25 118:12	99:11,16,25	187:22	
134:4,12 135:1	120:21,25 121:3	116:18	performed	person 44:6
136:2 181:18	124:23 127:3	pavement	56:3	
260:13,15,18	129:5 132:16	185:7	peril	personally
261:8,12,16 275:8,	134:23,24 144:19,	pay	124:20	118:16
18 276:1	25 145:2,12,16	12:5 13:10 61:11	perimeter	persons
panthers	146:21,23 147:8	62:12,13,15 192:8	134:25	65:14
43:19,20 44:1,16	151:12 159:19	217:21 283:25	period	perspective
56:16 80:25	162:13,17 164:17	284:12 290:13	74:19 76:14 150:3	31:12 52:8 64:2
113:14,16 116:6,	178:7 191:7	paying	199:2,6	77:25 105:9,10
19 123:17 125:19	199:16 200:12	61:13 62:14 122:7	permanent	133:24 188:25
128:18 129:2	201:4 217:1,2	192:16 284:11	131:1	241:5 256:6 257:2,
131:11 133:1	219:18 227:16	285:7		15 281:11,13,23
135:4 180:11	229:18 230:2	payment	permanently	pet
247:1 256:17	246:10 248:10	13:8 61:21 62:3	288:23 289:5	55:3

252:23 255:6,10

Corksciew Giove vs. mili
petition 6:3 210:14 300:2
petitioners
265:8 287:8 294:8
96:19 98:5 102:5 114:2 138:13 139:22 142:24 146:5 148:12 167:15 176:5 183:3 184:24 186:17 285:19
petitioning 22:2
pets 128:14
phase 29:20,23,24 30:10, 13,15 31:6,25 32:1 34:20 79:13
phased 10:19 30:6
phases 29:12
phasing 10:10 244:24
phone 171:21
phonetic 177:10
phosphate 153:23
phosphorous 262:5
phosphorus 32:18 33:16 257:7
photo 175:18
physical 26:9
pick 24:16 55:4
picking 55:22 75:10
piece
84:14 130:22 156:22 236:23

piles 75:10,11
pinch
127:6,7,8
pine 121:24
pink
23:21
pit
156:7
pits 58:6
pivotal
207:7
place
25:1 35:3,23 45:2
49:15,16,19 50:10
51:21 78:24 80:22
84:19 85:3,11,14, 17 93:13 94:4
109:6 115:7
120:24 121:15
124:3,5 155:25
169:8,13,22 170:3,
4,7,13 187:18
188:5 218:12 237:22 238:4
240:4 247:3 279:3
placement
181:4
placing
39:23
plan
7:7 8:6,11,13,21,
25 9:1,20 10:6,10 11:17 12:25 13:16
19:3 20:14,23
21:11,19 22:4
23:24 24:24 28:10,
12 34:12 35:19
37:12 38:19 39:10,
12 40:3,4 42:8,17 44:19 45:4 49:24
50:2,4,5 51:13
52:1 53:18,19
56:15,18 57:5
61:3,6 63:21 73:8,
15 79:11,16,25
80:6,21 84:8 87:1,

4,9,11 97:4,16

99:6 101:3,10

104:7 105:24

123:15 129:1

planting 55:20 188:1
plantings
53:18,21,23 58:14
186:24
plants
55:7,10 56:1
170:11 188:20
play
158:20
played
74:24 158:19
212:16
pleadings
298:16
pleasant
271:18
plenty
279:9
plot
150:25
pod
130:16 180:8
245:10 261:9
pods
39:24 130:15
134:3,25 135:2,5
183:11
point
16:9 20:21 34:8
39:4 41:5,9 47:22
52:20 60:13 65:21 77:9 89:5 95:23
100:19 104:17,18,
25 120:17 127:7,8
135:20 150:9
179:11 192:17
195:20 203:7
207:7 245:8 259:6
282:16 293:4,20
298:6,11 299:21
300:5,10
pointer
23:14 132:14
pointing
63:13 130:23
points
78:15 103:11,13
105:3 127:6
282:13
policies

21:11 29:7 34:12

```
35:13 38:20,23
 80:1,3,6 83:12,14
 85:16 128:25
 195:24 249:10,16,
 24 250:2 275:24
 278:12
policy
 19:8,9,11,12
 21:16,17 25:10,22,
 25 27:11 29:8 33:5
 34:13 37:10 38:8,
 10 68:18 76:4,10
 275:9,19
pollutants
 244:11
polluted
 58:7,17
pollution
 54:19 58:3 217:11
 262:5
pollutions
 244:11
ponding
 104:3
ponds
 58:3,4
pool
 63:18 73:2
pop
 71:22 191:24
population
 36:5,25 65:11,19,
 24,25 66:1 128:20
populations
 43:17
portal
 148:25
portion
 101:1 128:20
 177:25 228:14,15
portions
 8:3 223:19
position
 113:8 136:1 196:1
positive
 18:1,3 64:17
 78:14,16 104:16
 175:23,24
possesses
```



pieces

130:2

250:22 251:15

259:3,5 292:1

25:15

Corksciew Glove vs. I III
possibility 272:6
possibly 179:24 180:25
post-hearing 265:11 266:15
post-submittals 265:12
potable 14:5 141:25 142:10 162:10,16
potential 14:4 25:16 37:19 41:4 177:1 206:18 211:22 269:25 270:18,19
potentially 92:22 131:23 263:4
pour 223:16
power 295:7,11 298:25
Powerpoint 7:4 8:2,7 9:19 18:15 21:9
practice 54:22
practicing 107:20
pre-2007 53:12 74:11 91:4, 12,23 92:10
pre-2011 94:3
precedent 84:5
predicate 73:25
predominantly 258:12
predominately 99:13
preemption 276:2
prejudice 197:4
prejudiced 196:12,14
preliminary

213:16 214:5 preparation
167:22,24
prepare 102:1 119:15 139:14 143:2 145:17 148:15 167:21 185:23 195:14
prepared 166:7,10 170:22, 25 184:4,8 199:18
preparing 79:22
present 234:19 238:25 256:2
presentation 7:5 8:3 30:19 169:16,17 170:24, 25 171:17,20 173:17 175:19 201:5 299:4
presentations 265:3
presented
19:7 170:21 200:21 201:12 216:9 274:12 282:12 283:14 287:12
200:21 201:12 216:9 274:12 282:12 283:14
200:21 201:12 216:9 274:12 282:12 283:14 287:12 preservation 9:6 11:3,13,15 12:2,18 13:3 19:6, 15 22:12 24:8 25:3 26:7 27:23 28:6,19 29:2 103:7 123:14 186:21 235:24 246:4 258:8 259:14,16 263:15 268:19 269:3,15
200:21 201:12 216:9 274:12 282:12 283:14 287:12 preservation 9:6 11:3,13,15 12:2,18 13:3 19:6, 15 22:12 24:8 25:3 26:7 27:23 28:6,19 29:2 103:7 123:14 186:21 235:24 246:4 258:8 259:14,16 263:15 268:19 269:3,15 277:15 preserve 21:22 22:14,17,18 25:18 30:15 66:15 120:20 132:17 236:25 245:17

268:22

preserving 291:21
president 138:1 165:24 204:19
presumptions 157:17 158:4
pretty 100:23 121:14 168:1 207:10 226:13 258:2 259:21 275:24 282:4 283:4 293:18
prevent 56:21 61:7 133:1 189:18 193:4 214:14 215:17 216:6 227:5 246:10 277:23 287:10
preventing 56:23 246:21
prevention 56:24
previous 99:22,24 146:9 193:20 206:7 219:7 previously
7:14 94:10 141:23 181:16 190:4
prey 135:1
price 191:15 207:18 223:6,14 224:15 225:1,5 226:1,22 227:9,11,14 228:17
primarily 233:24
primary 129:23,24,25 131:7,11 235:20 236:16 242:1,10 260:15
primitive 155:9
principal 136:24 233:23 234:4 254:16,19

print 57:16
prior 6:14,25 8:4 12:22 14:14 20:4,13,18 24:14 26:6 28:7 37:6 56:13 82:3 99:21 108:16 118:8 155:7 170:18 201:3,22 202:6 232:12
priority 23:3,19,20 24:4,11 25:6 34:14,19 129:16,17 260:13
private 59:3 220:13 237:8 272:21 277:24 294:7
pro 212:2,5
pro-panther 44:11
problem 33:12,22 36:22 37:5,7 55:24 74:3 133:16 158:18 269:20
problems 18:23 29:24 33:9 100:24 256:19
procedure 160:15
proceed 7:12 197:1 264:10 268:1
proceeding 213:3,4 268:5 271:18,19
proceedings 300:14
process 22:4,10 24:22 25:25 26:14,15,16 25 34:25 42:12 45:10,19 50:7 51:12 69:8 70:5 82:18 87:1,4,6 90:23 116:25 119:15 121:12

129:22 168:4

199:16 200:2

```
210:9 230:8 231:6
 238:3 239:1,4,11,
 14,15,21 240:6,15,
 25 247:15,16
 248:11 255:17
 265:5 270:23
processed
 79:10 231:16
 247:18
processing
 156:4,6
produce
 77:17
producing
 257:7
product
 240:17
productivity
 207:19
profession
 95:15 165:23
 204:15
professional
 95:16 105:10
 137:21
professionally
 204:16
profit
 197:9,11,23,25
 198:2,9 199:19,23
 277:19,25 293:19,
 20,22
profit's
 277:20
profits
 216:19
program
 58:22 59:1 115:19
 137:22,24 140:15
 236:18,22 254:24
 268:24
progressed
 96:1
Progressive
 136:24 138:3
prohibited
 270:8 297:10
```



prohibits 273:24 294:2

project

9:4 10:1 27:14

Corksciew Glove vs. Hil
36:2 42:23 48:25 49:9,13,20 55:6 56:5 59:10 60:13 61:17 63:14 66:4
78:20 84:5 85:3 86:24 105:2 114:15 119:4 122:22 126:22 133:20 142:12
153:20 142:12 151:13 152:4,7 158:24 159:5 167:3 168:4,5,8, 10,22 169:13
170:3,5,15 171:4 172:7,10,13,20 173:5,11 176:13, 21 177:2,13,19,20,
21 179:22 180:1, 18 187:19,20,24 188:5 197:10,14, 24 198:4 199:1 201:3,22,24 202:6,
7,23 241:23 242:13 244:15 245:15 251:3 260:22 288:7
projected 31:8 35:23 185:15 197:9 199:19
projecting 197:10 projections 212:3,13
projects 49:22 50:3 61:7

76:8 96:3,4 119:19 124:8 153:18.22 180:20 237:19 260:6 288:10

promote 105:16

promoted 254:22

promotes 102:18

prompted 108:18

prong

280:9 281:7,8

proof

175:16 285:19 288:16,19

propagate

144:14 151:15

properties

22:8 24:10 25:1 27:20 29:3,15 30:6 34:4 39:8 105:16 130:1 151:25 164:13.15 172:13 177:1 205:25 206:3,13,15 243:18 246:16

8:22 9:1 10:7,12

12:9 13:22 14:5

15:20.21 16:2.8

19:1,17 20:10

20 24:12,13,21

17:1,11,12,21 18:4

21:23 22:19 23:16,

property

25:15 26:24 27:13, 15,20 28:4,5 29:15 32:25 33:1 35:6,10 40:5 44:20 46:12, 15 47:19 49:2 50:13,20 51:4,11, 16,19,20,23 52:2, 22 53:25 58:2 60:18 63:12,20,21 64:23,24 65:8,10, 12 66:10 68:3 69:12 70:15 72:20 79:20 80:11 87:18 88:5,7,10,17 93:4 97:3 98:21 99:14 100:1,19 101:1,7, 12,14 102:11,23 103:11,24 104:10 106:18 109:3,20 120:21,25 121:18 122:20 124:11,15, 18 127:4 129:12 143:25 144:11,16, 19,25 145:2,6,8, 10,12 146:18,21, 24 147:5,6,8 149:11 155:6 156:1 161:2 164:17 167:6 169:10 177:11,18, 25 178:4 181:1 182:11 189:12,14, 20,24 191:5 192:9, 16 205:15,20 206:11,20,21

207:1,13,24 208:1, 8,18,22,23 209:25 210:2,3,7 211:22 212:1 213:3 214:16,23 215:19 217:5,10,20 218:12,16 219:13, 15 221:20,25 222:25 223:7,24 225:13,16,24 226:23 227:24 228:5,8 229:10 230:6,16,20 231:2, 21 242:8 245:8 250:6 252:15 253:11 257:3,17 260:12 262:2 269:21 271:24 272:20,21 277:5, 24 280:8 283:19 284:4,6,7,9,13,14, 16,17,18 285:1 286:4,5 287:11,14, 15,18,20 288:5,18, 22,25 289:1,2,4,11 290:3,20 291:2,10, 14,15,16 292:6,10 293:12,16,23 294:7,10,12 296:6, 7,13 297:11 300:1 proportionate

10:22.25 11:2 13:8 62:3 63:2 190:6

proposal

11:17 17:24 81:3 86:3 122:2,16 123:18 133:18 141:11 145:15,16 167:1 176:11 180:5 191:15 241:18 258:12 271:17,23

proposals

20:3 93:16

proposed

9:20 20:15 32:6 38:19 39:9 49:18 52:4 68:7,10 70:14 77:18.23 78:10 81:2,19 85:21 86:1 87:8 96:22 98:19 102:14 121:17 122:22,24 123:16 126:18,22 132:21

138:16 139:11 140:4,17 145:18 146:12,17 152:5 155:5,13,21 158:24 160:16,21 162:7,16 168:9 180:6,13 190:21 200:25 240:9 241:8,23 245:3,12 248:1,8,12,14 250:20 255:8,12, 15 256:9,24 258:7. 18 259:24 264:14 266:17 270:13 271:9 279:14 295:23 296:11

proposing

10:24 27:14 91:6, 9,11 244:4

Prospects

15:4

protect

21:14 26:10 42:18 50:19 51:4 109:20 156:16 176:25 270:14 280:6 286:18

protected

24:9 25:9,11 50:13 80:5 278:14 287:3

protecting

246:18

protection

114:19 237:14,15 248:25 272:21 275:25 277:24

protections

156:19 271:5

protects

133:8 224:19 286:24

protested

272:4

prove

179:1 196:4 215:2 226:7

proved

275:22 298:10

proven

192:25

provide

10:21 17:14,15

25:16 26:19 28:1 33:1 35:10 37:14 100:25 120:12,15, 19 121:2 126:22 132:22 158:22 186:25 216:4 221:4 232:19 244:2.8 248:21 257:23,25 258:9 260:24

provided

13:2 97:8,19 108:6 171:14,20 175:16 229:1 244:10 245:1 260:22 263:11,12 266:13 270:7 278:22

providing

20:16 34:4 37:2 41:6 244:1 258:14, 25 263:14

proving

181:19 194:25

provision

272:11 273:2 274:18 275:20 280:19,21

provisions

54:15 90:11 262:11 273:8 275:24

proximity

37:18 134:18 152:7 244:17 256:21

public

8:4 19:2 20:16 21:15 24:9 25:8,11 26:10,15,16,19 27:1 31:24 37:3 38:2,3,9,23 39:7 46:22 47:2,3,5,12, 15 54:16 58:21 73:17 80:1,5 91:3 129:1,6 163:16,20, 22 165:4 166:24 167:1,3 168:6,15 170:17 171:5 172:16 173:20,22 174:4,9,10 175:1, 5,6 179:3 191:10 193:21 195:13,23, 24 200:8,10,17,21,

240:19 re-establish 114:24 132:18 re-establishes 101:11

re-establishing 120:15 reach

173:6 176:17

6:17,24 46:20 70:23 149:18 210:24 271:16 272:24,25 297:23

7:2 8:5 20:11 62:25 92:3,4

112:4 233:7,8 267:25 real

291:16 realize 299:17 realized 187:21 reason

295:4 reasonable

204:19,22 219:20 279:21 284:4,6,9, 13,16,18 286:4,5 287:11 288:25

9:23 33:23 37:15 54:15 88:3 156:14 183:10 227:11 293:25 294:1

45:21 52:20 53:8 154:4,13 158:22 160:22 210:4

211:17 265:15

288:23

reading

reads 73:3 ready

20:24 46:7 180:1

reached

215:16 reacquisition 163:17 reactions 44:4 read

25 201:3 217:22	161:13 168:2	217:12 235:21	quick
220:7,12,15,25	193:20 237:10	242:1,21 258:2	111:17 207:10
221:4 232:19	290:7 292:2	262:8	quo
238:24 239:10,18,	293:25	quantifiable	123:10
19 242:7,9 246:7		89:16	
255:4,17 256:1	purposes 42:16 61:6 111:16		quote
257:24 263:15		quantities	25:13
268:10 273:20	246:7,9 268:7	54:11 139:12	
274:14,15,19	pursue	140:3,5,6,17	R
275:2 278:13,14,	216:11 271:3	141:8,17 142:8	rain
16 279:8 280:1	pursued	143:13,20 145:14	100:24
281:4,6 286:18,25	232:23	146:16 147:4,9,24	
287:4 289:6,7	pursuing	151:18 162:5,23	Rainbow
290:15 292:1	208:13 224:2	163:23 164:4	297:21
	272:13	165:2	raise
293:3,5,9 297:17		quantity	194:11 195:6,20,
298:9,23	purview	30:11 155:14	21 212:25
public's	298:18	160:17 165:6	raised
282:5	put	242:14,16	84:19 181:16
publish	6:20 31:11 35:22	quarter	192:16 268:16
154:3	36:10 37:3,5 45:2	164:6	
published	47:1 53:8 88:15		ran
117:20,23 118:22	92:13 96:8 97:11	quasi	143:12 146:10,11
· ·	153:4 161:17	283:10	147:13 212:5
pump	167:20 177:12	question	218:21
105:20	180:22 186:21	11:8 49:4,5 50:21	Ranch
pumped	193:10 195:4	51:1 56:9 64:21	204:13,14,21,22,
18:11	201:5,9,12,13	73:9 74:2,4 75:22	24 205:2,7,8,10,
pumping	212:16 223:23	81:7,9,15 83:1	12,23 206:13
16:12 17:7 18:5	226:20 244:12	85:2 88:25 89:11	215:25 220:16
54:3,13 77:9	270:11,13 289:24	91:13 101:24	228:6,7,23 232:16
161:15	putting	106:15,22 107:1	237:24
pumps	35:3 41:7 59:5,14	125:8 126:7 128:4,	Ranch's
64:11,12 67:3,10	208:6 221:7	12 131:5 134:17	221:5
	261:15	157:24 159:25	
Punta	201.13	163:10 164:9	range 32:2
154:7,15		196:3,10 197:13,	
purchase	Q	16 198:16,20	rankest
192:19 214:25	qualifications	202:24,25 214:22	181:8
223:6,14 224:15	95:19	215:10,13 219:1	rapidly
226:1,21 227:9,11,	qualified	220:10 221:16	207:9
14 228:17 262:25	56:8 241:10	222:4,8 223:9	rare
purchased		225:4,23 226:19,	51:7
206:11 217:25	qualify	25 227:17 228:3	rate
290:20	218:14	232:6,21 241:16	61:12 62:11
purchaser	quality	248:16 264:1	
166:16 189:1	9:12 13:17,20	265:5 272:5	rates
192:3	30:10,13,16 32:10	273:11 288:2	212:8,10
	33:1,3 37:19		ratio
purchasing	39:16,23 41:6	questioning	28:11
223:7	52:5,10,13 53:1	166:23	Ray
purely	54:1 56:7,10 58:12	questions	165:11
286:16	66:24 77:19,20	41:18 85:7 87:19	Raymond
purpose	78:11 154:6,11	90:6 133:14 171:3,	165:13,21
44.0 44.40 44	155,4 14 160,17	10 22 203:15	100.10,21



14:8 41:10,11

58:16 132:8,24

19,22 203:15

233:4 245:25

155:4,14 163:17

189:22 208:22

re-characterize

reasons 44:24 50:24.25 52:6 161:5 235:17, 20 280:12 292:2 297:14 Rebecca 253:23 254:4 rebound 17:8 147:10

207:17 recall 71:25 147:2

196:17,19 227:1 235:20 256:23

recap 6:19 8:11 receive

30:25 50:18.22 170:16 171:5 178:10 213:6 248:12,20,25

received

88:25 117:1 137:7 157:13 158:13 179:2 201:1 232:13

receiving 210:11

recently 46:1 96:3

recess

41:24 42:1 111:23 112:1,3 203:18,21, 22 300:13

Recharge

262:11

recharged 153:3

reclamation

53:19

recognize

175:10 235:10

recommend 235:3 261:22

262:1,3 recommendation

20:25 38:21 70:8, 24 73:14 201:22 209:8,17 234:24 235:14 275:6

recommended

94:14 135:20
209:7 235:4
236:17,25 240:10
260:3

recommending 235:17

reconnect 121:8

reconsidered

231:19

reconvene 111:18,24

record

7:23 24:15 27:5 88:15 89:5 95:11 112:14 136:22 150:3 165:20 174:2 179:12 186:21 193:8 195:2,12 201:9,15 204:8 217:22 254:6

recorded

108:15,23 282:25

recording

27:12 74:24

recover

296:1

recoveries 147:6

recovering

213:1

recovery

145:18 146:15,17, 20.22.24 147:4 151:10 163:17 269:16 273:19

recross

86:17

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

86:22 135:9

rectangles

172:9,11,24

red

102:16 144:21 149:3 172:24 182:17 270:5

redirect

17:16 67:21 88:23 93:11 110:3,5

132:6 136:7 163:7 264:8

reduce

59:6,15 134:25

reduced

148:1 154:13

reduces

241:23,24

reduction

31:6,8,15,17 32:1, 2,4,17,18,22 33:4 139:11 140:4,22 141:20 142:4,13, 14 147:9,22,24 151:18 162:24 163:23 193:17

Reduction/ groundwater

14:8 19:9 28:13 35:21 40:11 262:11

reductions

30:20

reestablish

99:15

refer

22:23 24:6,7 58:1 228:6

reference

163:9

referenced

99:23

referencing

71:19

referendum

163:12

referred

28:22 63:4 71:17 220:6

referring

30:22 58:2 67:24 71:21 181:2

reflect

195:12 232:10

reflected

26:7

regard

11:13 19:25 20:1, 22 27:6 39:6 71:18 79:9 83:4 94:4,7,9 106:17 112:24

118:25 119:22 122:13 133:12 134:18 153:15 154:19 164:2 181:3 182:9

115:10 116:7

187:15,16 193:16 194:20 227:8 273:2 275:8,22

278:10 295:21 regional

25:17,19 35:9 37:14,22 152:5,7 204:25 269:12

regular 99:2

regularly

18:23 124:15,18

regulate

90:11

regulated

77:12 90:16 116:22 291:22

regulates

289:17

regulation

68:19

regulations

8:23 9:21 10:7 76:25 77:1

regulatory

254:24 280:7 287:10 289:8 292:7 293:6

reiect

294:15

rejects

221:14

relate

23:2 190:5 276:6

related

82:4 115:23 116:19 138:23 166:2 190:25 191:20

relates

9:20 85:13 114:20 172:14

relating 72:24

relation

190:20

relationship

153:1 173:5 190:17 215:24

relative

160:19 185:4

relevance

84:1 170:6 173:9, 10 198:11

Relevancy 253:2

relevant 84:13 156:21

> 192:22 196:9 252:8

relief

210:15 213:7,12 214:13 215:17 216:5 272:19 273:10 274:9 276:11,12 277:6 278:1,22 280:6 281:8 286:1,24 287:9 297:8

299:23 relieve

101:4

reliever 271:10

relieving

257:16

relv

147:11

remain

33:11 126:10

remained

152:16

remains

164:9

remember

18:10 37:23 47:6 82:5 83:8,15 85:22 151:14 186:3 206:2 263:19,24

remind

7:10 remotely

292:25

removal

32:23 211:14

1,

196:16

reserved

Corkscrew Grove vs. I
remove 17:6 30:14
removed 29:11,12,23 32:6 51:19 210:1
Removing 30:3
repairs 188:20
repeat 81:14
repeating 35:6
rephrase 11:10 106:14
replaced 32:6 188:21
replacing 125:24
report 13:24 25:14 34:2 70:2 73:4 81:21 85:19,20,24 86:6 11 108:10,19 158:17 231:15 249:25 262:7 264:2 269:8 271:15 283:1
reporter 24:16 111:9 254:12
reporter's 193:9 196:6
reporting 157:20
reports 117:23
represent 15:15 23:13,17 75:24 114:12 146:20 148:21 149:5 172:11,25 173:15 229:2 230:3,7
representative 194:2
representatives 176:10 177:7

Hill	
6	representing 50:16 75:23 143:8 represents 114:13 140:1 144:12 147:21,24 150:8,11 168:2
	reprocess 276:21
22	request 209:18 210:14 require 14:2 53:21 88:19 129:1 140:20 155:22 156:2,8,10 231:22 244:24 253:6 263:22 284:25 required 62:15 79:4 82:18 89:22 116:14 188:23 193:4 195:18 202:20 215:21 216:10 220:3 225:14 231:23 243:25 244:8,10 256:15
	requirement 10:16 11:15 13:10 14:10 22:1,3 50:19 123:15 221:2 239:17 249:8,20 287:6 294:6
	requirements 13:1 53:9,10,17 57:5 75:18 77:13 79:9,12 116:18 221:3,5 244:12 249:6 259:23 263:18,22 287:1

13:1 53:9,10,17
57:5 75:18 77:13
79:9,12 116:18
221:3,5 244:12
249:6 259:23
263:18,22 287:1
requires
12:24 13:15 14:14
19:12 25:22,23
27:11 29:8 33:6
46:1 61:19 88:17
157:5 215:25
259:16,19,20
276:21 293:25
requisite
118:18

research

reserve

epresents	169:15
114:13 140:1	reservoir
144:12 147:21,24	154:8,10
150:8,11 168:2	resident
eprocess	173:23 183:21
276:21	251:15
equest	residential
209:18 210:14	28:12 36:4 42:5,7,
equire	9 53:15 54:18
14:2 53:21 88:19	55:17,20 56:19
129:1 140:20	57:1,5,16 81:8
155:22 156:2,8,10 231:22 244:24	87:15,18 88:10,11 12 119:4 122:18
253:6 263:22	126:20 131:1
284:25	169:9 172:1
equired	183:11 208:3
62:15 79:4 82:18	211:8,9 218:6
89:22 116:14	237:17 250:24
188:23 193:4	255:11 262:12
195:18 202:20	291:9
215:21 216:10	residents
220:3 225:14	72:24 73:17 74:10
231:23 243:25	81:21 83:15 167:5
244:8,10 256:15	168:24 169:3,8,16
equirement	170:19 172:14,19 175:20 184:1
10:16 11:15 13:10	200:13,16 203:3,6
14:10 22:1,3 50:19	residents'
123:15 221:2 239:17 249:8,20	252:7
287:6 294:6	resolution
equirements	89:15 209:21
13:1 53:9,10,17	284:25
57:5 75:18 77:13	resolutions
79:9,12 116:18	20:19 53:12
221:3,5 244:12	resolve
249:6 259:23	217:9 272:18
263:18,22 287:1	273:4 274:3
equires	290:13
12:24 13:15 14:14	resolving
19:12 25:22,23	213:14
27:11 29:8 33:6 46:1 61:19 88:17	resource
157:5 215:25	14:8 19:9 28:14
259:16,19,20	33:5 35:21 40:11
276:21 293:25	96:4 137:23
equisite	resources
118:18	14:10 25:19
esearch	136:24 138:4
148:25	152:6 156:17 157:9,16 158:2,9,
eserve	18 159:3,8,23
	. 5 . 55.5,5,25
l l	

160:4,20 188:10

2Index: removeretireme
190:19 237:6,14 257:21 260:21 262:17 263:14 268:19 269:4,6,10 270:1 275:16 277:14
restore 11:21 25:18 116:14 121:25 123:5 245:17
restored 11:22 86:1,2 121:2 restoring 17:19 29:19 40:2
257:22 restriction 44:24
restrictions 44:19 94:16 291:4
result 13:5 33:13 47:15 56:5 58:20 59:25 77:19 82:17 100:18 104:19 125:17 126:15 127:25 141:17 142:12 143:21 145:13 147:10 150:18 153:10 156:10 181:24 197:9 200:25 209:6 213:11 241:1 281:17
resulted 149:21 resulting
207:10 279:5 results 13:24 140:11,22
resumé 107:22
retail 38:7 retained
6:16 retention
58:4 retire 147:3
retirement

182:2

represented

97:21 181:25

141:17 151:11

roadway

Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill
retiring 142:11
revenue 216:24 218:11
revenues 211:22,25
review 6:12 86:25 87:4 93:25 94:1 235:20 248:7,11,14 249:1 253:8 254:24 255:3 274:23 275:5 280:25 282:23 283:21 299:7
reviewed 87:10 108:13 154:16 234:12 248:3 250:3 255:16 274:22
reviewer 234:15
reviewing 46:24 254:20
reviews 94:1 247:20
revised 93:3
revisit 64:21 230:12
revoke 158:10
rezoning 45:9 51:13 209:24 239:17 254:22 263:2,6
Richard 137:10,11,15
right-hand 15:11 31:6 99:1 148:23 149:10
rights 50:13,20,22 51:4, 11,20 84:11 206:22,25 210:3 211:16 214:15 215:18 221:2 222:3 225:16

7 288:16,18 290:3	
291:2,11,15,20,24	
294:8,10,12	
Rinker	
153:21	
ripples	
73:1	
rise	
255:19	
risk	
218:21 219:2,3	
277:2,3,10,17	
290:25	
River	
100:22,23 297:21	
Riverway	
204:10	
road	
9:3,4 12:23 13:13,	
14 17:1,2 19:23	
24:17,18,19,21,25	
35:2,18,24 36:11,	
16,18 40:6,17,21,	
23,25 41:2 59:15, 19 60:5,23 62:8	
63:25 64:1 65:9	
68:3,13,15,25	
69:1,13 79:15	
80:11 95:13 99:10	
100:8,12,13 103:9	
107:12 120:5	
127:5 132:10,20,	
23 133:5,9 138:17	
152:21 154:22	
164:18 172:2,10 177:25 178:3	
180:20 182:10,11,	
16,18 183:10,12,	
13,19,22,24 184:2	
185:3,4,5,20	
189:4,6 191:2	
192:20 202:15,18,	
21 203:1,4,6,8,9	
205:17,20 207:2	
212:25 244:9	
245:13 258:19,23	
269:14 270:12	
271:7,11,25	
roads 60:2 63:23,24	
125:3	
120.0	

284:1,12,13 285:3,

59:17,18 62:7 99:13 183:16 184:5,12 185:5
rock 71:13 74:20 75:10,
11 88:6 106:2 207:25 208:22,23, 25 209:2,19 212:14,21,24 217:5 219:6 222:18,20 229:21 232:11 241:7,11, 20,21 258:7
role
79:22,23 138:22 139:1 158:19 205:23 279:24 295:13,14
roll 269:4
roller 253:21
roots 77:7
roughly 17:2 18:9 141:7
206:1 208:12 212:12
route 183:14,15,23,24,
25 184:1,2
121:20 164:16,19 165:2 279:22
royalties 212:9 296:19
royalty 211:25 212:3,8,10
217:7 222:20
270:22 278:6 296:24
rule 92:3,5 193:23
194:17 196:9
225:2,9 226:18 ruled
19:22 272:22
rules 42:8 45:9,13,20
53:8,21,22 76:12,
25 77:1 90:9,18,24

91:15,25 92:7,11, samples 208:21 15,16 94:6 155:8 231:4,7,9,14,16,25 Sanctuary 232:9 276:23 17:3 34:7 39:18 286:21 287:7 47:25 78:19 288:4 289:23 sand 290:2,3,19 291:10, 153:22 13 292:18 293:24 ruling 20:11 82:17 83:2 92:19 94:6 193:6 222:22 231:17,20, 22 266:17,19 273:5 276:20 281:16 rulings Sarasota 45:23 46:1 82:4 137:2 219:8 300:3 sat run 74:13 18:21 32:2 143:19 satisfied 150:8 200:9 207:24 212:2 277:21 satisfy running 136:1 260:25 297:8 runoff saving 257:8 258:13 runs savings 21:22 109:18 274:15 144:2,11 scale rural 251:17 252:10 Scalia 289:19.21 294:25 S scattered 126:20 S-W-E-I-G-E-R-T scenario 254:14 safe 61:5 132:11 250:20 160:19 164:2,7 safely 129:2 schedule safety 26:11 239:20 sake 127:4 6:10 sale scheme 297:1 145:9 sales



227:3 230:17

236:21 246:14,15,

20 263:8 272:1,21 274:4 281:17

187:20

senior settlement 137:20 6:3 8:13,16,17 sense

44:21 265:18 sensitive 59:2 68:17,21 separate 87:6 228:16 236:11

September 82:20 206:4

septic 14:3,4 17:22,25 208:6 septics

270:5 series 10:5 21:19 23:5 34:16 139:8 166:7

served 38:24 60:2 275:2 280:2 serves 37:2,3 Service

115:12 116:11

117:1 118:5,10,11

48:4,23 49:7,18 50:6 54:14 60:7,9 63:6,14 65:4 68:7, 11 69:24 70:21 73:22 77:18,23 78:10 79:20,23 80:9,12 81:4,19 83:6,23,24 85:22 86:3 87:3,22,25 89:20 96:23 97:2,6 98:19 105:13 106:5,20 107:13 110:16 122:2,16 123:18 126:19 129:15 133:18 134:23 135:16 145:17 151:22 152:11,12 154:20

13:5 16:22 17:24

18:24 19:20 20:7

21:5,12,14 24:14,

21 26:1,5,11 27:3,

36:1 37:6 38:19,24

39:8 40:5,16 42:6

44:7 45:2,17 46:8,

21,23 47:1,13,16

13 29:10 34:16

166:17,20 167:2 168:4,9 173:19 187:1 189:2 192:5 200:2,7,9,19,20,25 201:10,12,13,15, 16 202:2 213:17 214:13 215:16 216:4,11 220:24 221:1,10 224:17 230:17 232:15,17, 24 238:5,9,11,12 239:5 240:9 243:8 244:21 247:5,8,15 249:3,23 251:2,3, 255:22,25 260:13 263:7,21 268:20 272:5,11 273:2,8 278:10 279:1,2,6 280:3,5 281:2 282:7 283:2,6 285:4.13.20.24 286:19,22 287:2,8, 13 289:11,18 290:6 293:8,15 294:9,15 295:9 299:6 83:5 89:14 220:3

settlements 283:18 284:10 settling

283:23 284:21 290:15 seven-foot 185:6

sever 153:5 severe

177:12 188:11

severs 152:25 sewer

14:11 185:7 244:9

shade 144:20 shakes

139:20 shallow 141:2

Shane 112:6,7,15

share

13:8 35:1 62:3 63:2 180:4 190:6 289:5

shared 101:12

sheer 27:22 sheet

> 11:18 27:9 104:21 171:9.11 189:19

shelves 53:2

Shenko 46:23 220:17

shopping 292:15 shorebird

113:6 short-term

206:12,16,19 210:7 shorter

36:12 shortly 113:7

shot 299:11

show 60:18 102:23,25 103:5 144:15

168:3 175:8 179:2 182:9 222:25 277:12

showed

146:9 172:15 180:9 184:16,18 300:4

showing 120:19 145:18 161:8 185:23

shown 122:20 140:10 144:10 168:7 173:24 220:7

seasons

150:11

170:1

295:12

129:23

seconds

secret

176:19

secretary

secretive

261:14

section

285:6

sections

156:18

170:22 171:2

50:8 193:21

233:24 234:4

255:2 283:22

299:9.16

secondary

second-guess

36:19 56:3 129:25

183:16 260:15

secondary-type

seats

21 232:19 256:16 258:3,21 263:15 271:14
significantly 199:11 211:7 212:24
silver 56:24
similar 13:5 24:22 39:12 53:11 72:17 86:1 92:20 106:8 121:17 169:22 170:14 176:23 220:4 239:5,8 240:1,5,6,7 243:16,23,24
similarly 91:19
similars 244:7
simply 84:23 223:18 247:10
single 125:23 159:18 201:20
single-family 123:8
single-phase 29:16
singular 104:24
sir
9:17 20:9 28:15 99:4 103:5,19 110:20,23 112:16 117:15 123:9,13 124:9 127:22 135:11 136:12 137:4 138:6 139:25 144:4,9
155:21 192:2,7 197:7 198:15,21 204:15,23 205:2, 18 209:5,16

210:25 211:2

230:25 233:6

212:5 213:5 215:14 216:17 218:4,25 220:13 227:23 229:14

246:3
252:3 sit
20:12
site
16:19 18:12
63:20
75:9 109:1
10 13
142:1
162:1 169:1
189:2
209:1
sites 108:1
sitting 45:18
45:18 218:2
situate
28:9
situati
11:13 22 53
70:20
105:1 161:1
218:2
261:5
size 27:22
66:4
253:1
slash 139:4
slate
281:1
slated 289:1
slide
12:12 25:13
slightl
9:15
146:2 240:1
smack
133:9
small
6:6 6

246:3 251:24 252:3 253:3	
sit	
20:12 46:2	
16:19 17:15,20,21 18:12 52:4 59:10 63:20 64:22 73:7 75:9 77:9 102:20 109:16,19 110:9, 10 139:7 140:6,10 142:15 143:9 162:15,16 163:2 169:14,21 180:22 189:23 190:2 209:18 254:21	
sites	
108:14	
sitting 45:18 64:2,5 160:7 218:25 situated 28:9	
situation	
11:13 16:21 19:21, 22 53:24 69:25 70:20 98:20 105:11 123:3,19 161:11 207:12,22 218:24 244:14 261:5 270:16	
size 27:22 29:2,3 31:13 66:4 202:19 253:12	
s lash 139:4	
slate	
281:12 slated 289:19	
slide	
12:12 18:14 19:4 25:13 27:4 35:11	
9:15 13:1 84:25 146:25 235:20 240:12,13	
smacked 133:9	
small 6:6 66:5 125:19	

11/0
128:20 253:12
smaller 29:14,15 282:19
smoke 276:6
so-called 181:10
So.3d 283:1
Society 180:2
sod 164:16,19 165:3
soil 29:25 188:16
solicit 242:23
solid 297:14
Solutions
138:2,3 solve 37:8
solving
177:3 sort
193:2 201:9 287:3
sorts 29:24
sought 158:13 202:3 234:7
sound 229:13
sounds 7:19 81:24
source 34:5 78:22 104:18 140:25
sources 54:19 99:18
south 16:9,17 17:2,14,21 24:17,18,20 28:3 34:2 35:17 39:18 40:6,17,23 41:1 53:15 69:11 76:20 78:20 79:15 80:11 98:25 99:5,8 100:4,7,8,12 103:8

104:6,7 105:6

2022Index: showsspea
106:1 107:12 114:10 120:6 122:21 127:4 140:9,15 143:17 149:16 152:18,20 156:25 157:1 161:9 162:4 163:3 164:18 183:16 184:3 189:6 205:19 228:7 248:11 271:25 south-southwest 103:25
southeast 15:4 35:14,16 153:23 236:4,7,18 237:1,13,18 246:10
southerly 189:12,13,18
southern 34:2 40:15,17,18, 20 104:12 113:3 127:3 145:1,11 146:23 147:7 149:9 189:3 228:15 242:8 259:3,4 277:4
southwest 43:22 103:2 118:1 137:19 156:23 180:2 207:5,15,20 213:1
sovereign 272:23,24 295:6,7, 10 298:25

Sovereign	
272:23,2	4 295:6,7
10 298:2	5

space
9:11,14,16 11:6
12:25 54:15 57:5,
7,13,17 199:10
243:24,25 244:25
259:22,23 263:13,
14,18

14,18
spacial
299:25
spatial
117:24
spatially
117:21
speak
45·5 16

Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill		Judge James Snenko	11/08/20	DZZINGEX: SPEAKERStyle
SPEAKER	spent	54:1 66:25 76:20	250:10 264:4	213:1
229:11	224:1 268:25	217:12 240:11	statements	strategic
speaking	273:21 276:16	262:8	181:11,13 266:1	35:5 68:15 102:17
76:23 99:4 194:15,	spine	standing	states	strategically
16 223:23	132:20 182:9,11,	194:16 224:24	21:17 128:23	103:13,14
speaks	18 183:10 185:3,4,	225:8	143:15 153:24	strategies
196:10 201:16	20 191:2 202:15,	standpoint	stating	236:16 262:20
specialist	18,21,25 203:4 271:7	74:9 104:15	170:19	strategy
117:17		stands	status	15:9 24:4 236:24
species	split 47:9 141:8	246:3	123:10 124:19	237:6,12 259:16
43:7 78:2,5,13		stars	247:1	262:21
114:24 127:22	spoke 9:8 134:22	252:22	statute	stratigraphic
128:3,8,22 131:12		start	50:8 58:11 272:17,	137:13
135:1,4	spoken 279:8	44:23	20 274:11 276:7	stream
specific		started	280:17 286:17	139:4
18:19 52:15 62:8 102:10 108:23	sprawl 208:7	95:24 113:4	288:22 295:14 298:7	stress
156:12 157:23		134:16 138:3		257:6 272:8
244:17 256:15	spraying 275:14	237:4 254:18,20	statutes 268:13 280:2,11,	stressed
263:19,24 274:25	_	starting 21:24 150:13	14,16,24 281:1	151:7 152:4
284:3 286:2	spread 44:10 75:10	169:25 207:7	286:13	stretches
288:25	104:21 105:3		stays	43:9
specifically	207:9	starts 63:19 179:22	11:22,24 157:22	strict
13:13 40:10	square	state	245:2	273:6 285:21
101:18 114:14,22	35:15,22 36:3,16	7:22 9:3 11:25	stemmed	287:6
115:11 116:17	37:13 65:5,9 193:6	17:1 33:4,23	23:7	strictly
119:8,14 132:13	199:24 222:1,6	36:16,18 60:23	step	272:25 273:7
153:14 168:18 187:14 192:22	238:15 287:24	61:19 62:20 63:25	21:14 94:21 98:14	286:14 295:5
193:12 205:9	289:24 292:13	68:3,13,15 76:10	110:25 136:12	strike 178:21 182:6
206:24 212:23	squiggly	77:2 86:25 87:4,10	165:9 233:5	
225:1,4 236:8	150:7	90:17 93:14 95:10	239:13 253:17	stringent 92:1,12 93:22 94:2
255:2 274:21	St	108:10 112:13	264:20	·
278:12 279:23	54:23 55:2	115:9 117:6 118:4 119:2 126:11	stewardship	structure 10:4
specifics	staff	127:16 136:21	216:22	structured
149:1 276:11	19:7 20:12 34:22	160:11 165:19	Stone	63:2,3
speculate	76:18 94:9,13,14 111:8 117:16	178:3 182:16	153:20	stubborn
199:4 224:12	118:11 237:4	183:12 204:7	stop	164:21
226:10 245:14	240:10 260:2,5	207:4 217:11	144:14	studies
speculating	261:25 262:3,15,	233:17 245:13	storage	34:7 99:22,24
201:25 229:18	18	247:20,23 249:7,	9:12 105:21	108:3 117:23
speculative	staff's	12,13 258:19,22	store 17:15 19:2 102:17	118:14 137:12
225:25	209:8	260:20 264:11 268:13 271:11	17:15 19:2 102:17	236:6 246:25
spell	stage	276:1,2 292:23,24	stored	study
254:11	240:16	293:24,25 294:1	176:25	22:20 23:6,7 63:4,
spelled	stand	stated		5 99:23 113:16
254:14	165:12	25:24 71:22	storing 58:17	125:24 134:10
spend	standard	147:25 179:21	storm	236:16,17 269:9
208:12 268:23	155:9 282:23	225:17	33:8,25 58:3,4,7,9,	stuff
277:13	290:10,11	statement	13 155:8,9,12,13	56:17 289:16
spending	standards	158:3 219:17	177:12 188:11	style

271:6

251:17

177:12 188:11

11/08/2022Index: SPEAKER..style

Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill		Judge James Shenko	11/08/20	22Index: subdividedtanks
subdivided 140:25	subtract 140:21	supplemental 297:23 298:12	43:13 90:5 179:5 181:21 221:17	Т
subdivision	suburban	supply	sustainable	
191:9	125:25 251:21,24	30:17 38:2,3	54:5 163:3	table
	252:10 261:1,16	141:25 142:10		16:11 52:14 107:8
subject 15:19,21 16:2	288:12	149:18	Sustained 11:10 73:11 79:6	140:24 141:2,6,10,
23:16,20 40:5	successful		106:14 182:7	13,16 143:9,14
68:20,24 70:15	237:3	support 48:20 154:24	217:18	146:18,23 153:11
79:20 80:11 97:3		201:14,19 248:13		171:16 279:18
98:21 102:11	successfully	299:3	Sustaining	takes
106:1 129:15	271:1		197:3	121:24 289:8
135:16 151:25	suffered	supported	Suzy	taking
208:18 209:25	164:10	48:8,17 238:17	296:5	120:18 193:9
214:15 215:19	sufficient	supporting	Swamp	274:13
230:20 251:4	36:20 94:17	248:2	17:3 34:6 39:17	takings
257:2 260:12	suggest	supports	42:21 47:25 78:19	271:2 291:2
274:6 283:20	134:10 268:24	296:10	swamped	292:23,24 294:22,
286:8 291:4 292:6	274:2,17,21 275:5	suppose	266:21	24
subjective	293:17	252:18	swapping	talk
89:8,10,11 231:11	suggested	surface	291:23	26:14 56:16 57:4
submission	274:12 275:21	14:20,22 16:11	swaps	66:19 143:20
300:10	295:1	17:10 19:12 25:19	274:7 285:2	215:5 259:7 269:9
	suggesting	88:7 104:5 105:17	291:20	271:17 285:2
submissions 265:4 266:2,15	278:20	143:23 153:1	sweetheart	talked
267:20	suggestion	154:21 156:16	276:12	17:4 23:8 30:1
	277:20	237:15	Sweigert	108:25 150:1
submit 188:9 248:6 249:1	suggestions	surficial	253:21,23 254:4,	160:6 172:19
297:19	179:24 272:17	30:21 139:5	13,15	185:18 202:15
	275:11	surprise	sworn	255:19 261:20
submits 158:17 296:11	suggests	234:20	7:14 95:5 112:8	273:19 284:4
	279:10 292:25	surround	136:16 165:14	293:3
submitted	suitable	66:10 251:15	172:18 204:2	talking
6:23 202:7 219:25	208:18	surrounding	233:12 253:24	16:22 28:2 34:15
286:19 298:11		30:5 63:16 65:8	synonymous	51:23 56:9 81:1
299:8	Suite 112:20 137:1	67:5,15 121:1	162:8	124:15 125:20
submitting	165:22 204:10	134:2 146:18	system	129:14 135:22
279:13		167:5 168:8 169:3	16:7 28:6 33:8,20	181:7 212:11
subsequent	sum 13:10	172:4 176:15	35:8 58:13 68:16	252:5,14
84:6 209:13		235:22 238:17	101:15 102:18	talks
231:17 237:23	summarize	251:11 252:7	103:8 139:6	13:7 43:18 298:15
subsequently	25:12 38:15 95:20	257:24	140:18 141:23,24	Tallahassee
55:11	112:23	surrounds	142:10 149:24	93:20
subsidiary	summarized	66:16	151:7 155:10	tallied
205:4	175:21	Survey	246:14,22 259:17	197:7
substance	summary	143:15	systems	tally
200:3	38:12 39:2 137:5	survivability	14:3 33:25 120:24	223:6
substantial	139:18 154:18	128:8	121:5,7,24 137:24	Tampa
47:3,5,11 121:13	170:22,23 171:1	survival	139:4 141:1,9	137:3
substantiated	175:16	127:22 260:18,19	142:10 143:8	tan
231:20	superimposed	suspect	153:3	9:9
substantive	15:15	281:19		tanks
10:10 00:0 10 07:0	supervise			17:22 25 200:6

19:18 22:6,16 27:2

supervise

167:24

sustain

17:23,25 208:6

target 121:25 279:1 targeted 296:7 22:19,20,23 23:2,6

24:3 25:7 tax 269:4

taxpayer 59:1 taxpayer's

203:9 taxpayers 122:4 232:18

TDR 236:18,20 246:22 262:20

TDRS 262:23

team 117:18 179:15 201:4

technical 89:9 97:8 158:20

technically 57:20 218:14

technician 113:6

telling 126:21 135:14 198:8 245:16 246:13

tells

158:17 194:19 286:11 290:11

ten 96:3 tend 55:2

Tennessee 95:22

term

68:17 78:2 157:19 158:6 163:11 273:8 284:18 286:6,7

terms

10:4 70:17,19 72:8 91:15 96:23 98:17 99:5 102:10 117:3 140:2 166:19 193:1 206:11

217:2 222:4 224:19 238:12 265:19 285:21 terrible

297:4 test

160:22 293:3,5 294:9 296:2,8

tested 158:5

testified 7:15 11:5 52:9

> 71:1 95:6 112:9 136:17 141:13,23 165:15 190:5,18 191:11 197:8 204:3 233:13 241:13 243:4

253:25 278:23 279:5 280:10

testifies 221:13 testify

70:14 129:20 270:21

testifying 74:10 123:24

193:14 testimony 6:8 23:2 26:19

71:19,21 72:23 74:12,21 79:22 81:21,25 82:10 90:1 91:2,7 110:19 113:11,13 126:17 128:3 133:13

134:22 138:19 153:13 163:10,16, 18 169:11 172:18 180:11 182:10 185:16 186:1.3

192:22 193:20 242:23 256:3 257:9 270:20 271:20 277:9

280:4 282:9,20 285:23 287:17

Texas 204:11

text 264:1 291:17 theoretically 57:23 92:23

thereto 120:8

thing 9:5 10:18 13:7

17:9 22:15 53:16 61:23 62:4 64:4 104:14,16 126:5 149:2,19 150:6 165:2 216:23 217:1 246:18 261:3 277:20,22 278:19 282:4,17

289:16 290:19

things

297:5,17

20:17 30:1 32:24 37:21 44:13 67:10 79:12 96:6 128:14 129:8 240:15 252:13 256:6 279:25 283:15,24 284:21 291:19 295:3

thinking 12:20 237:5

thinks 195:5 226:11 299:1

thought 91:21 225:3,4 227:13 278:18

thoughts 111:1

thousand 29:17,19,20 199:24

thousands 149:4 threaded 216:1

threat 125:2

threats 131:7,12,14

13:4 thrown 274:1

throw

tied 245:18 tier

23:18,19 34:14,19 129:17

tiers

23:10 34:14

tight 111:17

time

6:6,10,11,13 9:8 12:1 16:15 17:4 20:21 23:9 26:20 29:9,11,23 34:20 35:4,25 46:4 53:15 67:12 69:7 76:2,3, 14 78:21 92:17 93:15 111:1 150:11,24 157:20 161:12 175:7 199:7 207:8 208:24 211:7 213:20 217:24 218:2,5 221:12 231:7 236:12,14 237:4,7,22 240:6, 15 243:14 250:15, 17 265:16,23

266:20,22 267:24

273:21 274:17

285:9 294:23 timelines 213:24

times 42:9 150:15 183:17 188:8 228:18 229:5 291:8

timing 34:23 240:13

242:15 **Titan**

69:9,17 71:24 72:10,25 109:16, 19

233:22 **TMDLS** 154:15 today

title

6:10 40:14 45:18 46:8 51:23 61:12 62:12 64:11 66:23 91:24 123:25 124:12,16 125:23

totals 31:5

touch 282:23



uplift

uproar

258:14

Corkscrew Grove vs. Hil
touched 283:17
tour 170:3
touts 54:14
tracked 27:1
tractors 275:13
traffic 36:7 59:13,23 60:2,4 61:10,13 73:5 203:1 271:10
trained 204:16
training 110:22
transcript 6:25 7:3 8:1,5 174:21
transcripts 6:15
transfer 236:23 246:15 274:3 285:2
transferable 236:21 246:13,20 262:25 291:20,24
translated 231:12
transportation 13:11 62:9,16 244:9 248:17
trash 129:7
traveling 183:23
travels 104:6
traverse 124:11,15,18
treasury 274:15
treatment 39:23
treats 155:12
trees

tremendous 31:14,24 73:16 77:22 272:2,3
trend 150:20
trial 6:13 266:21 271:2
tripled 207:18
triply 298:10
trips 36:10 59:7,8,15,17
Troyer 25:5 69:4,15
truck 73:5 133:3,10
trucks 67:2,9 69:20 71:11 72:14 75:8,9 81:24
true 67:8 129:9 158:2 211:9 247:17 250:9 275:15
truth 179:1,7
turn 14:18 69:25 235:9 279:15 290:12 291:25
turned 64:11,12 185:12 203:5,8 223:19
tweaked 201:2
type 33:19 44:11 51:14 53:16 56:17 85:21

rue	unclear
67:8 129:9 158:2	214:18
211:9 247:17	uncontravened
250:9 275:15	282:9
ruth	uncontrolled
179:1,7	39:17
urn 14:18 69:25 235:9 279:15 290:12 291:25 urned	underground 77:5 underlying 141:3
64:11,12 185:12 203:5,8 223:19 weaked	underneath 185:20 underpass 261:7
201:2 ype 33:19 44:11 51:14 53:16 56:17 85:21 121:17 142:13 155:24,25 156:2 164:24 170:9,11 187:18,22 201:22 214:19 251:19 288:8 290:23 ypes	understand 42:16 45:16 50 51:2 56:3 76:10 84:18 88:4 126 128:21,24 140: 157:18 164:8 240:20 261:21 understanding 19:24 43:11 124:19 understands
37:16 52:24 122:1	245:5
191:7 212:7	understood
ypical	54:19 127:12
51:12 156:7	128:1
ypically	undertake
8:18 48:13 50:18	257:23
s	Fort Myers Court Re cheduling@fmreport

types

typical

typically

Judge James Shenko
65:16 105:18 116:23,25 126:10 156:5
typo 71:25
U
U.S. 115:11 116:10,25 118:5,9,10 119:16 130:4 133:23
Uh-huh 259:9
ultimate 79:19
ultimately 215:7 245:21
unable 81:6 196:21 288:23
unclear 214:18
uncontravened 282:9
uncontrolled 39:17
underground 77:5
underlying 141:3
underneath 185:20
underpass 261:7
understand

undo

undue

unit

United

225:22

288:5 293:16,2 294:14 299:24 **UNIDENTIFIED** 229:11 unintended 29:14 unique

ıncıear	153:23
214:18	units
uncontravened	10:23,25 34:17
282:9	36:2,3 59:15 65
uncontrolled	68:9 83:15,18
39:17	147:12 190:21
underground	191:12 221:21
77:5	238:14 245:18
underlying	262:13 287:23
141:3	295:15
underneath	University
185:20	95:21 113:3 137
underpass	unlimited
261:7	175:7
understand	unpack
42:16 45:16 50:21	6:18
51:2 56:3 76:10	unreasonable
84:18 88:4 126:7	289:4
128:21,24 140:4,5	unsafe
157:18 164:8	124:22
240:20 261:21	unsupported
understanding	278:8
19:24 43:11	Update
124:19	149:18
understands	upheld
245:5	283:11
understood	uphold
54:19 127:12	285:12
128:1	upland
ındertake	261:11
257-22	-

288:5 293:16,21	111:13
294:14 299:24	upstream
JNIDENTIFIED	154:10
229:11 Inintended 29:14 Inique	urban 42:18 56:4 125:1 127:14 131:6 136:2 242:22 251:22,23 292:13
9:24 52:1 85:9	urban/suburban
ı nit 13:11 28:16 44:22	290:1
49:10,16,17 56:22 57:1 65:17 80:16,	urbanized 246:11,17
17,24 83:10	user
122:18 123:11	152:8,9
126:20 191:7	users
289:20 292:15	152:7 156:20
Jnited	USGS
128:22 143:15 153:23	143:16 149:12,13 150:1,5
inits 10:23,25 34:17	utilize
36:2,3 59:15 65:15	21:17 22:4 119:18
68:9 83:15,18	141:1 203:4,6
147:12 190:21	
191:12 221:21	V
238:14 245:18	Vaguely
262:13 287:23	82:6
262:13 287:23 295:15	82:6 valid
262:13 287:23 295:15 Jniversity	82:6 valid 44:23,24 196:9
262:13 287:23 295:15 Jniversity 95:21 113:3 137:8	82:6 valid 44:23,24 196:9 246:7 292:1,7
262:13 287:23 295:15 Jniversity	82:6 valid 44:23,24 196:9
262:13 287:23 295:15 Iniversity 95:21 113:3 137:8 Inlimited 175:7 Inpack	82:6 valid 44:23,24 196:9 246:7 292:1,7 valuable
262:13 287:23 295:15 Iniversity 95:21 113:3 137:8 Inlimited 175:7	82:6 valid 44:23,24 196:9 246:7 292:1,7 valuable 51:15 88:9 260:17
262:13 287:23 295:15 Iniversity 95:21 113:3 137:8 Inlimited 175:7 Inpack 6:18 Inreasonable	82:6 valid 44:23,24 196:9 246:7 292:1,7 valuable 51:15 88:9 260:17 valuation 88:4 296:8 valued
262:13 287:23 295:15 Iniversity 95:21 113:3 137:8 Inlimited 175:7 Inpack 6:18	82:6 valid 44:23,24 196:9 246:7 292:1,7 valuable 51:15 88:9 260:17 valuation 88:4 296:8
262:13 287:23 295:15 Iniversity 95:21 113:3 137:8 Inlimited 175:7 Inpack 6:18 Inreasonable 289:4 Insafe	82:6 valid 44:23,24 196:9 246:7 292:1,7 valuable 51:15 88:9 260:17 valuation 88:4 296:8 valued 278:6 295:21 values
262:13 287:23 295:15 Iniversity 95:21 113:3 137:8 Inlimited 175:7 Inpack 6:18 Inreasonable 289:4 Insafe 124:22	82:6 valid 44:23,24 196:9 246:7 292:1,7 valuable 51:15 88:9 260:17 valuation 88:4 296:8 valued 278:6 295:21 values 139:5 150:23
262:13 287:23 295:15 Iniversity 95:21 113:3 137:8 Inlimited 175:7 Inpack 6:18 Inreasonable 289:4 Insafe 124:22 Insupported	82:6 valid 44:23,24 196:9 246:7 292:1,7 valuable 51:15 88:9 260:17 valuation 88:4 296:8 valued 278:6 295:21 values 139:5 150:23 211:6 296:22
262:13 287:23 295:15 Iniversity 95:21 113:3 137:8 Inlimited 175:7 Inpack 6:18 Inreasonable 289:4 Insafe 124:22 Insupported 278:8	82:6 valid 44:23,24 196:9 246:7 292:1,7 valuable 51:15 88:9 260:17 valuation 88:4 296:8 valued 278:6 295:21 values 139:5 150:23 211:6 296:22 valuing
262:13 287:23 295:15 Iniversity 95:21 113:3 137:8 Inlimited 175:7 Inpack 6:18 Inreasonable 289:4 Insafe 124:22 Insupported 278:8 Update	82:6 valid 44:23,24 196:9 246:7 292:1,7 valuable 51:15 88:9 260:17 valuation 88:4 296:8 valued 278:6 295:21 values 139:5 150:23 211:6 296:22 valuing 270:23
262:13 287:23 295:15 Iniversity 95:21 113:3 137:8 Inlimited 175:7 Inpack 6:18 Inreasonable 289:4 Insafe 124:22 Insupported 278:8 Ipdate 149:18	82:6 valid 44:23,24 196:9 246:7 292:1,7 valuable 51:15 88:9 260:17 valuation 88:4 296:8 valued 278:6 295:21 values 139:5 150:23 211:6 296:22 valuing 270:23 varies
262:13 287:23 295:15 Iniversity 95:21 113:3 137:8 Inlimited 175:7 Inpack 6:18 Inreasonable 289:4 Insafe 124:22 Insupported 278:8 Ipdate 149:18 Ipheld	82:6 valid 44:23,24 196:9 246:7 292:1,7 valuable 51:15 88:9 260:17 valuation 88:4 296:8 valued 278:6 295:21 values 139:5 150:23 211:6 296:22 valuing 270:23 varies 127:3
262:13 287:23 295:15 Iniversity 95:21 113:3 137:8 Inlimited 175:7 Inpack 6:18 Inreasonable 289:4 Insafe 124:22 Insupported 278:8 Ipdate 149:18 Ipheld 283:11	82:6 valid 44:23,24 196:9 246:7 292:1,7 valuable 51:15 88:9 260:17 valuation 88:4 296:8 valued 278:6 295:21 values 139:5 150:23 211:6 296:22 valuing 270:23 varies 127:3 variety
262:13 287:23 295:15 Iniversity 95:21 113:3 137:8 Inlimited 175:7 Inpack 6:18 Inreasonable 289:4 Insafe 124:22 Insupported 278:8 Ipdate 149:18 Ipheld 283:11 Iphold	82:6 valid 44:23,24 196:9 246:7 292:1,7 valuable 51:15 88:9 260:17 valuation 88:4 296:8 valued 278:6 295:21 values 139:5 150:23 211:6 296:22 valuing 270:23 varies 127:3 variety 119:15 126:15
262:13 287:23 295:15 Iniversity 95:21 113:3 137:8 Inlimited 175:7 Inpack 6:18 Inreasonable 289:4 Insafe 124:22 Insupported 278:8 Ipdate 149:18 Ipheld 283:11 Iphold 285:12	82:6 valid 44:23,24 196:9 246:7 292:1,7 valuable 51:15 88:9 260:17 valuation 88:4 296:8 valued 278:6 295:21 values 139:5 150:23 211:6 296:22 valuing 270:23 varies 127:3 variety 119:15 126:15 288:12 289:14
262:13 287:23 295:15 Iniversity 95:21 113:3 137:8 Inlimited 175:7 Inpack 6:18 Inreasonable 289:4 Insafe 124:22 Insupported 278:8 Ipdate 149:18 Ipheld 283:11 Iphold 285:12 Ipland	82:6 valid 44:23,24 196:9 246:7 292:1,7 valuable 51:15 88:9 260:17 valuation 88:4 296:8 valued 278:6 295:21 values 139:5 150:23 211:6 296:22 valuing 270:23 varies 127:3 variety 119:15 126:15 288:12 289:14 vast
262:13 287:23 295:15 Iniversity 95:21 113:3 137:8 Inlimited 175:7 Inpack 6:18 Inreasonable 289:4 Insafe 124:22 Insupported 278:8 Ipdate 149:18 Ipheld 283:11 Iphold 285:12	82:6 valid 44:23,24 196:9 246:7 292:1,7 valuable 51:15 88:9 260:17 valuation 88:4 296:8 valued 278:6 295:21 values 139:5 150:23 211:6 296:22 valuing 270:23 varies 127:3 variety 119:15 126:15 288:12 289:14

188:14

127:9 155:11 22.25 138:2.3.4 view waiting 162:16 189:21.23 45:17 274:16 208:6 270:4 102:13 268:6,17 139:5,6 140:9,16 vegetated 278:18 141:2,6,12,15 120:15 121:2 waiver west 142:3,4,5 143:9, viewed 272:24 287:7 15:23 21:21,23 vegetation 14,18,22 146:18, 288:4 294:5 295:6 36:7,24 43:2 88:12 127:20 78:7 114:25 23 149:13,17,18 101:11,13 120:20, 116:14 120:15 Village waivers 150:4 151:1,2,8,10 22 121:4 132:17 25:2 48:25 49:2,9, 132:18 286:13 153:1,10 154:5,6, 149:17 157:1 20 50:10 51:21 walk vehicle 8,11,12,21 155:4, 169:9 183:22 78:23 79:3.8 115:7 125:3 133:2 119:13 188:13 8,9,12,13,14,22,23 184:3 121:16 124:4 vehicles walking 156:2,5,8,10,11, 176:24 187:24 western 72:19 188:12 22,23 157:5,13 188:6 237:25 42:23 43:1 103:6 vehicular wall 158:7,14,18 159:3, 238:4 240:3 247:3 Westwind 59:7,8 131:15 60:16 8,20,21 160:1,2,8, violate 69:9,17 72:10 11,18,21 161:1,7, **Venture** wall-to-wall 268:12 286:21 wet 10,23 162:1,4,9,20 66:3 9:13 298:3 150:9,11 151:4 163:1,3,15,17 Verdana walls violated wetland 165:6 177:12,17 25:1 48:25 49:2,9, 252:13 249:23 101:12,15 107:3 178:17 185:7 20 50:10 51:21 wandering violates 188:9 189:22.25 120:24 121:3 78:23 79:3,8 84:19 64:5 268:12 289:15 123:4 261:10 190:1 217:12 85:2,11,13,17,18 wanted 294:2 237:15 241:24 wetlands 86:24 93:13 94:4 134:19 171:3 242:14,17,21 violating 99:25 100:3 109:7 115:7 175:14 187:17 53:25 66:24 268:9 244:9,11 248:11 102:19 105:17,21, 121:16 124:3,4 236:13 300:4 252:17 258:1,22 287:18 290:2 22 107:6 121:5 176:24 187:24 waste 262:8 269:11,17, 294:7 256:21 258:13 188:5 237:24,25 55:3 19 270:2 273:16, 238:4 240:3 247:2 violation whatsoever 19 water 279:3 84:10 217:11 283:14 288:20 9:11,12 13:17,20 waters 264:14 288:18 293:15 verdict 14:6,10,11,20 14:22 17:10 282:15 violations whereabouts 15:18 16:8,11,16 watershed 276:10 15:19 verify 17:15,16 18:11 39:21 162:21 190:13 violative wholly 19:1 28:5 30:10. 269:12 205:13 283:12 versa 11,13,16,21 31:7, wavs 240:22 virtually wholly-owned 8,12,14,16,18,23 178:16 293:8 159:18 205:4 versus 32:10 33:1,3,8,25 weave 51:4 68:10 163:16 virtue 34:2,9 37:19 38:2, wide 282:17 154:23 3 39:16,23 41:6,7, 127:2,6,11 185:6 vested weeks 252:19 261:7 8 52:5,10,13 53:1 288:25 289:3 voiced 212:23 54:1,3,4,7,9 56:7, 172:19 189:14 widening viability 10 58:3,4,7,10,12, weirs 13:13 128:2 voir 13,17 66:24 73:2 188:17 widths 117:11,13 viable 75:16,18 76:3,8,9, welfare 115:1 116:15 208:10 281:22 volume 15,20 77:5,7,9,19, 26:11 253:7 wild vibration 20 78:11 85:25 well-known 55:11 73:4 volunteer 86:2 96:4 97:2,18 100:22 226:16 Wildblue vice 98:18,20 99:8 well-taxed 237:23 238:4 138:1 204:19 100:10,11 102:12, 100:23 247:3 W 240:22 15,17 103:3,17 wells Wildcat 104:5,6,11,23 vicinities wait 14:5 17:22,25 18:4 18:21 39:13 56:22 105:4,10,17,20 135:22 89:2 202:3 37:25 38:2.3 106:3,4,17 107:5,8 63:23 69:23 72:23 vicinity waited 108:14 144:23 101:4 103:12,17 108:16,20 123:2 42:22 274:16 145:10 148:3,6 151:19 271:21 136:24 137:19,20, 149:1,5,6,25 156:6

witnesses

277:8

word

words

work

111:10 265:1

86:20 104:24

220:18,23 221:9

273:10,11 284:9

95:12,19 107:24

112:23 113:8

243:19 266:17

22:7 284:16

Corkscrew Grove vs. Hill wildlife 12:24 25:17,20 42:18 43:15 44:14 55:11,14 56:9,19, 23 78:8 80:14,19, 20 97:16 109:1,3,4 113:2 114:13,14, 20 115:2,10,11,12, 25 116:6,10,11,12 117:1,2,4,5,16,24 118:3,4,5,6,9,11, 25 119:8,16,17,20 120:4 121:9 122:13 124:11,14 ۷ ۷ W

122:13 124:11,14 130:4,25 132:9 133:24 134:5,6,9, 13,19 135:4,13,15, 17,19,21,25 180:3, 12,13,16,19,23 181:1,4,6,10,18 182:3 188:2 190:19 237:14 248:3 258:1 259:20 260:23 275:17 wildlife-vehicular 118:15	115:8,18 116:6,12 117:3,15,18 132:13 137:5,9 153:21 154:25 158:17 164:13 166:1 169:23 170:4 176:23 177:15,21 178:16 186:25 187:17,18 22 233:19,20,23 238:16 257:23 262:23 293:8 worked 48:12 76:15 115:5
William	117:4 118:10
112:7,15	153:18 166:5
windfall	202:8,10,12
291:12 293:18	254:19 255:1
297:4	262:21
Vinter 137:9	working 95:25 109:9,10,13
vise 278:19	112:25 113:5 116:10 121:15
wishes	164:21 178:18
6:19 279:19	232:17,20,23
withdraw	233:25 236:11
142:9 143:21	works
156:5,9	100:6 120:10 291:1
withdrawal	
30:21 31:7,8	worse 77:19,24 91:11
160:18 162:21 241:25	123:18
withdrawals	worst-case
31:23 146:10	161:20 250:20
151:9 163:1	worth
withdrawn	222:15
141:5,15 241:3	write 108:19 202:2
withdraws 179:9	262:6
178.8	_00
MCR	

	Judge James Shenko
9	writing 265:15 written 76:12 89:10 108:9 248:14,21 265:4 266:2 267:20 286:7 292:5 300:10 wrong 16:15
4	Υ
12	y'all 88:15 108:25 223:23 267:7 y'alls 220:18 yard
	64:6
16 18, 3	year 16:15 140:12,13 150:10 183:17,21 187:2,3 188:8 198:22 199:1 207:11 212:6
5:3	277:16
13	years 12:3 48:13 50:16 69:6 74:19 96:2,4 152:22 164:18 166:3,5,6 199:3 202:10,11 205:8 212:15,21,22 234:3 235:19 236:15 237:2 245:15 254:18 255:1 270:19 273:18 276:15 281:18 294:23 296:19 297:1
	yellow 144:1,21 150:21
	yellowish 23:22
	young 166:4
	Youngquist 53:14
	Z

9:25 20:19 53:12 206:20 219:6 228:9 230:2,3,23, 24 239:22 240:14, 15 250:15 zoology

113:2

zoning