
From: David Moreno
Sent: Friday, April 10, 2020 7:38 PM
To: Eric Coomer; Barry Herron
Cc: Sheree R. Noell
Subject: RE: DuPage Co ICC alternative

Agreed with Eric, maybe another options is telling them to basically drop in person voting as much as possible and run a full Dem Suite mail ballot election, manually data entering the precinct ballots, (if for some reason they need to use Gems ballots). If not, they can use Dominion ballots for in person, with no tabulation, bring them to their office and read them also centrally.

Regards

DAVID MORENO | DIRECTOR, PRODUCT STRATEGY

DOMINION VOTING

1201 18th Street, Suite 210, DENVER, CO 80202

1.866.654.8683 | DOMINIONVOTING.COM

+1 720.257.5209 OFFICE (ext 9242)

+1 720.668.6575 MOBILE

From: Eric Coomer <eric.coomer@dominionvoting.com>
Sent: Friday, April 10, 2020 1:21 PM
To: Barry Herron <barry.herron@dominionvoting.com>; David Moreno <david.moreno@dominionvoting.com>
Cc: Sheree R. Noell <sheree.noell@dominionvoting.com>
Subject: RE: DuPage Co ICC alternative

WinEDS is a non-starter, that is off the table. Chicago/Cook use WinEDS primarily for very specific reporting. It adds a TON of complexity.

ICC's (programmed from D-suite) could easily handle their absentee ballots. Adjudication would be needed, so don't forget that.

The problem is how to "merge" results. There is no "automatic" support for importing results into either Gems (from D-suite) or D-Suite (from Gems). I think this would likely have to be handled outside either system, or somehow trying to manually data enter votes into one of the systems (ripe for errors).

I think a better plan, perhaps, would be dumping results (precinct canvass results) into excel and combining there. Not sure how "feasible" that is from a political/customer standpoint, but from an operational standpoint, it is likely the other efficacious solution.

It's not easy to combine results from two disparate systems that were never expected to work together.

IF they go VBM, and the VBM is tallied/accumulated in D-Suite, what's the likely amount of data/ballots that would be in Gems (assuming some residual election day data etc)?

ERIC D. COOMER | DIRECTOR, PRODUCT STRATEGY AND SECURITY

DOMINION VOTING

1201 18th Street, Suite 210, DENVER, CO 80202
1.866.654.8683 | DOMINIONVOTING.COM

720.201.1728 MOBILE

From: Barry Herron <barry.herron@dominionvoting.com>
Sent: Friday, April 10, 2020 1:15 PM
To: Eric Coomer <eric.coomer@dominionvoting.com>; David Moreno <david.moreno@dominionvoting.com>
Cc: Barry Herron <barry.herron@dominionvoting.com>
Subject: FW: DuPage Co ICC alternative

Gentlemen:

I am attempting to provide a central a scanning alternative to DuPage County IL. DuPage has been a Global/Diebold system user since the 90's. They are the third largest County in IL. To count absentee ballots they line as many AccuVotes as they can manage in a room full of people and ballots. The Diebold system didn't ever have an absentee scanning central solution to handle large quantities of mail in ballots.

If IL or DuPage County decide to promote absentee voting or go all mail later this year, could we install the Chicago/Cook County ICC environment in the county and tabulate Dominion mail ballots and then merge the totals into GEMS at night's end? Could WinEDS results be exported into Excel and then Excel imported into GEMS? Would the manual insertion of Results be difficult to do if that is the only way to make it work? Or could the GEMS Election Night totals be imported into WinEDS?

I am not trying to ask for any development nor am I trying to sell a complete system to DuPage. Just trying to find a way to help them if the volume of mail ballots is really large.

Is there an easy answer, or do you have a suggestion that might work knowing the version of Democracy Suite is not certified in IL for sale or install outside of Chicago.

Thanks for you time to consider.

BARRY HERRON | REGIONAL SALES MANAGER – DEALER MANAGER

DOMINION VOTING

1201 18th Street Suite 210, Denver, CO 80202
1.866.654.8683 x 9250 | DOMINIONVOTING.COM

419-350-8455 MOBILE

From: Waldeep Singh <waldeep.singh@dominionvoting.com>
Sent: Friday, April 10, 2020 11:50 AM
To: Barry Herron <barry.herron@dominionvoting.com>; Sheree R. Noell <sheree.noell@dominionvoting.com>
Cc: Nick Ikonomakis <nick.ikonomakis@dominionvoting.com>
Subject: RE: DuPage Co ICC alternative

The only configuration that would allow tally into GEMS would be to manually enter ICC results from tabulator reports. There will be no development done to accommodate this in any timeframe.

From: Barry Herron <barry.herron@dominionvoting.com>
Sent: Friday, April 10, 2020 8:47 AM
To: Waldeep Singh <waldeep.singh@dominionvoting.com>; Sheree R. Noell <sheree.noell@dominionvoting.com>
Cc: Nick Ikonomakis <nick.ikonomakis@dominionvoting.com>; Barry Herron <barry.herron@dominionvoting.com>
Subject: RE: DuPage Co ICC alternative

Thanks.

The only method the County has to tabulate absentee/early paper ballots is to line 50 AccuVotes in a large room and scan. DuPage has used his method since the 90's much like King County WA did.

My question was can we offer DuPage a mail ballot environment like is used in Chicago/Cook using multiple ICC scanning stations and exporting from WinEDS into GEMS somehow to combine with the EV and ED results run thru Accuvotes and/or TSX unloads? This would require using Dominion paper ballots for absentees or all mail ballots. Maybe even print, stuff and mail.

TSX's would be used by disabled voters, walk in early voters and voters that select to vote on TSX's on ED. I am not advocating selling DuPage a new system for this year as they just bought new poll books from KNOWiNK. They will be buying a voting system in 2021. I would like to get in tight with the County so we may win the voting system sale in 2021.

If DuPage desires to go all mail later this year, or promotes absentee voting as GA is doing, how can we help make their life easier? Would exporting results from WinEDS into GEMS require IL certification before it could be sued?

Sorry if I confused the topic from my earlier email.

Thx

Barry

BARRY HERRON | REGIONAL SALES MANAGER – DEALER MANAGER

DOMINION VOTING

1201 18th Street Suite 210, Denver, CO 80202
1.866.654.8683 x 9250 | DOMINIONVOTING.COM

419-350-8455 MOBILE

From: Waldeep Singh <waldeep.singh@dominionvoting.com>
Sent: Friday, April 10, 2020 11:08 AM
To: Barry Herron <barry.herron@dominionvoting.com>; Sheree R. Noell <sheree.noell@dominionvoting.com>
Cc: Nick Ikonomakis <nick.ikonomakis@dominionvoting.com>
Subject: RE: DuPage Co ICC alternative

Barry, the only options are the certified configuration that is utilized in Chicago/Cook which we have already discussed were they would have to install and tally into WinEDS not GEMS. There is no configuration that utilizes GEMS with Democracy Suite in IL and not part of our development roadmap. The Democracy Suite only option will not be available for certification until summer of 2021. – Deep.

From: Barry Herron <barry.herron@dominionvoting.com>
Sent: Friday, April 10, 2020 6:44 AM
To: Waldeep Singh <waldeep.singh@dominionvoting.com>; Sheree R. Noell <sheree.noell@dominionvoting.com>
Subject: DuPage Co ICC alternative

Waldeep,

Any more thought into how the IL certified ICC situation could help DuPage if they went all mail ballots? Merging with GEMS for election Night?

thanks

BARRY HERRON | REGIONAL SALES MANAGER – DEALER MANAGER

DOMINION VOTING

1201 18th Street Suite 210, Denver, CO 80202

1.866.654.8683 x 9250 | DOMINIONVOTING.COM

419-350-8455 MOBILE