

With all due respect,

I acknowledge receipt of your correspondence.

I never made a complaint at LECA nor RCMP. This is where your error takes place. I made criminal allegations at the places I was told to make these allegations. When I went to The OPS headquarters they said the only way to make CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS WAS TO GO THROUGH LECA. (a brand new agency) I contacted OPIRD in 2022 to lay charges on these OPS that falsified documents to courts. Never heard back from them and they never informed the leca of my complaint (I wonder how many people this was done to that just gave up?), because of the decompartmentalization you are trying to do with LECA,OPS,RCMP,OPP.

The OPS Officers that falsified documents and placed unconstitutional restrictions on me, refused my medications while in custody, illegally searched, seized and detained me after the RCMP made the false arrest are all forgotten? The LECA did not give a determination of misconduct or no misconduct and that investigation abruptly closed. As far as I am concerned the LECA has not fulfilled it's mandate on one of the first cases brought to them. This will show the public their house is already in disrepute.

I have given the Commissioner hard evidence of these falsified documents from both RCMP and OPS. In the Commissioners report to me it is stated that an investigation into the criminal activity could be ordered by the Commissioner. It was halted and the RCMP ACT used in error to try and further conceal the criminal acts done to me. The Commissioner stated that "having regard to all circumstances" and is ok with the falsified documents to the courts, the EA Inquiry and the Project NATTERJACK final report?

The Commissioner is showing bias because of the many implications to the RCMP and OPS involvement. Nowhere in the RCMP or Policing ACT's does it allow the RCMP and OPS to falsify documents given to the courts. Your office must take the "responsibility" to investigate such serious accusations backed with hard evidence.

Not once did any of you actually talk to the victim, a dereliction of duty hiding behind a misinterpretation of the said ACT's. You are trying to force me into a court situation you know I cannot afford.

As a citizen I have never shown to break a law or falsify documentation. As RCMP and OPS it can be shown in many more cases then mine, it is a regular occurrence at the RCMP and OPS. The credibility is on MY SIDE in these circumstances.

I recorded on video going to the OPS twice to file my criminal accusations and was literally discriminated against and thrown out of the police station under threat of arrest. Next I will be showing up at the RCMP headquarters with the evidence and media. If I have to stand for a month or two standing in peace in front of the RCMP how much public interest do you think I can garner?

I live in a very rural area and do not have a vehicle. So when I make the trip into my Police service buildings and RCMP, I expect the respect of taking the submission and acting.

I stood merely in peace. Making sure to not break any laws.

After all this new information from LECA and RCMP I will be submitting a new report and criminal allegations that does not separate the two agencies working in conspiracy to commit fraud upon Dana-lee Melfi and other citizens.

The Commissioners office better be very careful on how you proceed. It will be part of a larger criminal investigation with file numbers: #'s **DL0001-RCMP**, DL0002OPS, DL0003LECA, DL0004OPP These file numbers are subsections to the main investigation DL1000-PIIP (Political interference into policing)

The Emergencies ACT was implemented "illegally" as found in courts. The protest found in courts to be lawful. With the illegal invocation of the Emergencies ACT there have been illegal practices done by the RCMP, OPS, OPP and even CSIS. Possibly even interference by Five Eyes.

You all arrested the wrong man and I have the right to demand accountability with respect and attention, and without all the ACT's being used in error to hide these malfeasances.

In the Commissioners decision and "having regard to all circumstances", what if this happened to you as a person? Was this taken into account?

Read the last paragraph. "In order for justice to be done, it must be seen"
And lastly, The Officer #57914 aimed his rifle right at my head, at my face, while I was unarmed and showed no threat. This vision etched in my memory.

- ✓ Freedom of Religion and Conscience
- ✓ Freedom of Expression and Opinion
- ✓ Freedom of Thought and Belief
- ✓ Freedom of the Press
- ✓ Freedom of Peaceful Assembly
- ✓ Freedom of Association
- ✓ Equality Before and Under the Law

News

Secrecy will erode trust

Police / Démission / Human Rights / Society / U.S. News / Canada News / Federal Court

10/02/2022 16:55:00: RYANALFORD

Half a year has gone by since the Trudeau government took the unprecedented step of invoking the Emergencies Act to deal with protesters in Ottawa and at several border crossings. Yet the question of whether the government's use of emergency powers was an unconstitutional power grab seems no closer to being answered — and likely won't be, so long as Ottawa continues to hide behind a veil of secrecy.

At both the special joint committee on the declaration of the emergency and in Federal Court, the government has repeatedly invoked cabinet confidentiality to withhold the information that the Incident Response Group (IRG) allegedly relied on to conclude that the Freedom Convoy was a threat to the security of Canada linked to ideologically motivated violent extremism, which is the essential precondition for the lawful use of emergency powers.

If the IRG had no reasonable basis for concluding that a threat of this nature existed, the government expanded its own powers contrary to both law and the Constitution. This would be the most serious assault on the rule of law imaginable. Yet it increasingly appears as though the government's conclusion had been tenuous at best.

Public Safety Minister Marco Mendicino has stated repeatedly that the

claiming."

The Public Order Emergency Commission is well aware of this problem: in its rules, it states that it "expects the government to take a considered, proportionate and reasonable approach in making assertions of NSC."

National security confidentiality is dangerous to an inquiry because it can be wielded not only as a shield, but as a sword. It allows for extensive redactions of intelligence submitted to bolster its case, but the government can also deploy it to place dubious material before commissioner Paul Rouleau, in a manner that bypasses the challenges that are necessary to determine its reliability. Witnesses may testify outside of the presence of the public and the parties, and documents may be admitted but never seen by anyone other than the commissioner and his staff.

The inquiry's ultimate conclusion about whether the government engaged in a grossly unconstitutional abuse of power will likely turn on its findings about the links between the Freedom Convoy and ideologically motivated violent extremists. The protesters have pointed to the efforts they took to exclude anyone advocating violence and will likely testify to that effect and be cross-examined vigorously.

In the absence of any contradictory evidence that they were influenced by extremists, the government will likely shift to a different claim: that the protests have emboldened extremists. Indeed, related "threat highlighter" from the Integrated Terrorism Assessment Centre have



When it comes to the judgment of whether the Trudeau government abused its emergency powers, justice must not only be done, it must also be seen to be done. Findings in favour of the government on the basis of secret evidence that might never be seen will never be trusted. The preservation of the rule of law requires, to use the Public Order Emergency Commission's words, a "transparent and thorough review of the circumstances that led to the declaration of a public order emergency."

National Post

📰

Heritage

1 month

This article should be on the front page of every newspaper in Canada

turbist approach to investigating and reporting on extremism led to harsh condemnation by the Federal Court, which criticized it for demonstrating "a degree of institutional disregard for — or, at the very least, a cavalier institutional approach to — the duty of candour and respectably the rule of law."

An official conclusion that the government had a reasonable basis to conclude that the Freedom Convoy represented a threat to the security of Canada predicated on secret intelligence detailing vague links between those engaged in peaceful protests and extremists would only deepen the public cynicism that Justice O'Connor warned about, especially since we have had so many other warnings from eminent jurists of the government's propensity to exaggerate such claims.

Regards,
Dana-lee Melfi

From: Commissioner / Commissaire (RCMP-GRC) <RCMPCommissioner-Commissaire.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Sent: September 22, 2025 2:37 PM
To: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com>; Veilleux, Jeffrey (RCMP/GRC) <Jeffrey.Veilleux@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>; Jenny Perreault <perreaultj@ottawapolice.ca>; NCR Prof Responsibility / Responsabilite Prof RCN (RCMP/GRC) <RCMPProfessionalResponsibility-ResponsabiliteProf.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>; Duheme, Michael (RCMP/GRC) <Michael.Duheme@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>; JUS-G-MAG-LECA Complaints (MAG) <lecaclaims@ontario.ca>
Subject: RE: Public Complaint - CRCC: 2025-3732, Our File: 2025-1265157

Dear Mr. Melfi,

I acknowledge receipt of your correspondence.

Regarding the closure of your complaints, the first was closed as it was a public complaint investigation by the Law Enforcement Complaints Agency of Ontario (LECA), which closed the complaint as LECA does not have jurisdiction over the RCMP. The second was a public complaint investigation under the RCMP Act, which was closed as you indicated that you wanted a criminal investigation into your allegations, not a public complaint investigation. The RCMP provided you a Notice of Direction to that effect.

Criminal investigations are undertaken by the police service of local jurisdiction; in this case, the local police service is the Ottawa Police Service (OPS). You may wish to contact the OPS and request a criminal investigation into your allegations.

Commissioner's Office

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com>
Sent: September 17, 2025 11:06 AM
To: Veilleux, Jeffrey (RCMP/GRC) <Jeffrey.Veilleux@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>; Jenny Perreault <perreaultj@ottawapolice.ca>; NCR Prof Responsibility / Responsabilite Prof RCN (RCMP/GRC) <RCMPProfessionalResponsibility-ResponsabiliteProf.GRC@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>; Duheme, Michael (RCMP/GRC) <Michael.Duheme@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>; JUS-G-MAG-LECA Complaints (MAG) <lecaclaims@ontario.ca>
Subject: Re: Public Complaint - CRCC: 2025-3732, Our File: 2025-1265157

Hello sir,

I have alerted you Jeffrey Veilleux of RCMP and Sgt. Jenny Perrault of Ottawa Police service of crimes being committed within these two organizations against the public. The LECA and the RCMP Commissioner have both abruptly stopped both investigations despite the very detailed evidence.

It is your duty as Officers to question even your own authority and act on the information under your oaths. "Equality before and under the law"

The evidence even comes from their own documentation starting with the falsified documents they made me sign the day of arrest. It continues in the disclosures to courts, Crown Attorney and the accused lawyer. It proceeded to be validated by LECA decision where they give the badge #57914 with no name in that decision.

It is illegal to alter and provide inaccurate information in police files and to courts. Both Ottawa Police Service and RCMP have done this. This is clear that both RCMP Mathieu Castonguay #57914 and OPS Jim Kiuon #10234 have done these acts by way of LECA Decision on file # E-202410211407481365 and RCMP Complaint 2025-1265157 that finally provided a name to # 57914.

In order for the RCMP and Commissioner to quote the RCMP ACT to me and say they have taken all in "having regard to all circumstances" then the ACT must be followed. In no way are any RCMP and OPS officers allowed to commit fraud under the RCMP ACT or the Police ACT for special constables. In this regard the Commissioners decision based on the RCMP ACT is moot. And quite frankly biased to create more hoops to the victim.

I have reported these crimes to the Ottawa Police of jurisdiction and is exactly why I had to contact the higher authority of RCMP. In both cases the LECA and RCMP STOPPED investigations before any additional evidence was submitted. Enough evidence was submitted to Sgt.J.Perreault and also forwarded to Chief Stubs and RCMP Michael Duheme.

These Officers did not act alone. The command structure is obviously aware of the manipulation. Project NATTERJACK review was not honest about the collusion against citizens. This information I have researched and provided would be most interesting in the appeal to the findings that the Emergencies ACT was illegal and unconstitutional. The protest was found in courts to be legal.

So what do I do if the Ottawa Police refuse to properly investigate 366, 465(1)(b) that is apparent by their own records?

I was never able to speak one word in court nor able to have one fair investigation thereafter. Again my voice and accusations buried. So I have followed the processes starting with OPIRD, then LECA then RCMP. How can I expect these organisations to investigate themselves fairly? I had faith in our systems, I still have faith in good policing.

This is the list of accused.
I do not want to add your name to the list Mr. Veilleux. I want to see an officer stand to their oath. So do what you must. I put all my life in jeopardy to stand for my country, will you?

To give you probable cause and articulate suspicion to see these Unlawful ACTS and how I as the victim, Dana-lee Melfi am requesting Citizen Arrest on

(1) Officer #57914 of RCMP on Several Charges. (And Command)

(2) Sgt. Jim Kiuon #10234 Several Charges. (and command)

(3) Officer Blondie of PLT (Police Liaison Team) and superior Staff Sergeant Isobel Granger Several Charges

(4) Police Chief Eric Stubbs Eric.stubbs@ottawapolice.ca Several Charges

(5) Sargent J Perrault Perreaultj@ottawapolice.ca Several Charges.

(6) Michael Duheme RCMP Several Charges.

This list is to start with that I have proof of these crimes.
I was told by LECA and SGT.J.Perrault that they cannot do a "Blanket complaint". I told her that this is a criminal investigation with many layers that the evidence will make the case, not I.

366 Fraud "Document Manipulation" "Forgery"
465(1)(b) "Conspiracy" As the main provable offences. Many more to be identified.

Dana-lee Melfi

From: Veilleux, Jeffrey (RCMP/GRC) <Jeffrey.Veilleux@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Sent: September 9, 2025 2:37 PM
To: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com>; Duheme, Michael (RCMP/GRC) <Michael.Duheme@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>; JUS-G-MAG-LECA Complaints (MAG) <lecaclaims@ontario.ca>
Subject: Re: Public Complaint - CRCC: 2025-3732, Our File: 2025-1265157

Good day,

I acknowledge I have received your email.

Regards,

Cpl. Jeffrey Veilleux
Professional Responsibility Unit / Unité de la responsabilité professionnelle
RCMP National Headquarters / Direction générale de la GRC
73 Leikin Dr., Mailstop 29
Ottawa, ON
K1A0R2
Email / Courriel: Jeffrey.Veilleux@rcmp-grc.gc.ca

 **Royal Canadian Mounted Police / Gendarmerie royale du Canada**

*** N'hésitez pas à répondre dans la langue officielle de votre choix.
*** Please do not hesitate to reply in the official language of your choice.

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com>
Sent: September 8, 2025 9:44 AM
To: Veilleux, Jeffrey (RCMP/GRC) <Jeffrey.Veilleux@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>; Duheme, Michael (RCMP/GRC) <Michael.Duheme@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>; JUS-G-MAG-LECA Complaints (MAG) <lecaclaims@ontario.ca>
Subject: Re: Public Complaint - CRCC: 2025-3732, Our File: 2025-1265157

Mr. Veilleux, Mr. Duheme,

I want you to speak very clearly about this. I know for a fact that no laws were broken by me. Equality before and under the law.

The first thing I did when being illegally arrested, detained, searched, my belongings seized and unconstitutional restrictions placed on me was to call Ottawa Police and ask to lay charges on the two officers that did these crimes. It took six months for OPIRD to contact me and tell me there can be nothing done until my trial was over. That would be the only time they ever contacted me. They never notified the LECA on a ongoing claim. In the meantime the LECA was created and I was one of the first to ask the same of them as I was told at OPS "the LECA is the only way to press charges on a police officer."

Now when the disclosure was given to my lawyer they said I could not have a copy. I want a copy please. I did not sit idle. I started doing ATIP requests for the footage of the Public safety and tourism camera. This is where I learned that there is a conspiracy going on. The LECA findings and abrupt stop to the criminal investigation cemented that claim when they divulged that the arresting officer was not even an OPS officer. Then they abruptly stopped communication against their mandate. I did not see in the Project Natterjack review where RCMP and OPS were premeditatedly withholding information from documentation and courts. And then just my case. I have always trusted and worked with police and RCMP in the past. I even taught many of them Logic and computer science years ago late 80's and early 90's. I put my own brother in jail.

Why, you to email me with this as a public complaint where nothing happens without even talking to me. I can see it will be just like the LECA white wash. I am not done with them yet either. For, because the conspiracy is getting larger with every step I take. I believe our systems work, but not with this political interference into policing. By not acting on my request under the Citizens arrest act with reasonable articulate suspicion and evidence, trying to label it as a complaint is bringing YOUR house into disrepute. That is not what I am seeking.

I am not looking for compensation through courts. I am looking at holding accountability so this does not happen again to citizens like myself with the built in accountability measures available to the public. I was going to make a video testimony and send you, so you can see the seriousness on my face. Then I thought you would not even watch.

I have an officer of the LAW. You are above the Ottawa Police and have a duty to investigate my claims and make arrests on my behalf. Just as I was restricted for years before my trial (where no Judge showed up) so should (at the minimum) the Officers that broke many laws and tried to cover it up. I was merely standing in peace on documentation provided where the Department of Justice breaking laws in an official report by OIC. I am not a career or regular protester. I back up what I say through official documentation. I have listed the crimes like 366, 465(1)(b) and the people that perpetrated these crimes.

Starting with the officer that laid hands on me and the OPS officer that said make the arrest. So lets start there. If you can discount that basic claim with reasonable explanation then I have no claim. But you can't, because it is clear they manipulated documents, as clear as day. Premeditated . The senior officers listed were well aware of the manipulation to the courts.

Here's "premeditatedly" in a sentence: "The crime was carried out premeditatedly, with a detailed plan and weeks of careful thought before the execution."
After reviewing hundreds of hours of video I can clearly see Officer #57914 (of no name provided) ordering the arrests to OPS. This type of decompartmentalization was in the premeditated plan. One would have to be very vigilant and focused to see this in action. Any "Complaints" would be handled and dismissed. That is why I have never made a complaint.

I have logged my criminal accusations at OPS, OPP, RCMP and have the metadata to prove this.

Emails and reports like the LECA are meant to intimidate me into dropping my investigation and accusations. Not once did LECA contact me and ask for any clarification or questions. Nor did you before trying to label my criminal report a "complaint". Nor did OPS after Mr. Stubbs appointed a Sgt to appoint an investigator. (They quashed that very quickly)

I have been in the RCMP headquarters many times and at this stage and time, am requesting a interview on camera as the victim to give testimony under oath. This endeavor I am on will not only show my arrest illegal, but a much larger case I am working on as well. If you do your job properly then the investigation will carry itself.

If the investigation and my claims are further white washed, I will take further appropriate actions. If you think this lone voice does not hold any weight, think again.

I will be waiting for my appointment details.

Thank you,
Dana-lee Melfi
16135708990 (I live in a rural area where seldom do I have a cell signal)
Alternate # My parents landline to leave a message 16133335277
Dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com

From: Veilleux, Jeffrey (RCMP/GRC) <Jeffrey.Veilleux@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Sent: September 4, 2025 12:38 PM
To: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com>; Duheme, Michael (RCMP/GRC) <Michael.Duheme@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Subject: Re: Public Complaint - CRCC: 2025-3732, Our File: 2025-1265157

Good day,

I am Corporal Jeffrey Veilleux of the RCMP Professional Responsibility Unit in Ottawa. I have been assigned to investigate the Public Complaint that you lodged to the office of the Commissioner of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. The purpose of my email today is to first provide you with information regarding the public complaint process.

Who it applies to: Public Complaints are concerning the conduct, in the performance of duty or function, of RCMP Regular Members, Civilian Members and other persons appointed or employed under Part I of the RCMP Act. It does not include Public Service Employees or employees of other police services and other governmental departments/agencies.

Outcomes: The public complaint process is remedial, not disciplinary. If the RCMP supports an allegation, the steps they may take include: an apology, provide extra operational guidance, mentoring, training, etc. The public complaint process does not result in monetary compensation.

Initial steps: I will start by reviewing the documentation related to your complaint which includes the emails you sent to the RCMP. Once this is completed, I will then reach out to you to set a date/time to discuss your complaint. This is an important step as I will need to identify and understand the allegations and what you wish to accomplish via the public complaint process.

Please note that as per the letter sent to you on August 14, 2025, you will be notified of the status of the investigation monthly.

Regards,

Cpl. Jeffrey Veilleux
Professional Responsibility Unit / Unité de la responsabilité professionnelle
RCMP National Headquarters / Direction générale de la GRC
73 Leikin Dr., Mailstop 29
Ottawa, ON
K1A0R2
Email / Courriel: Jeffrey.Veilleux@rcmp-grc.gc.ca

 **Royal Canadian Mounted Police / Gendarmerie royale du Canada**

*** N'hésitez pas à répondre dans la langue officielle de votre choix.
*** Please do not hesitate to reply in the official language of your choice.

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com>
Sent: September 3, 2025 5:25 PM
To: Veilleux, Jeffrey (RCMP/GRC) <Jeffrey.Veilleux@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>; Duheme, Michael (RCMP/GRC) <Michael.Duheme@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Subject: Re: Public Complaint - CRCC: 2025-3732, Our File: 2025-1265157

Hello Mr. Veilleux,

I am a citizen wanting to press charges for illegal actions of RCMP members and OPS while on duty. This is not a complaint, it is a criminal investigation. If you look at it any other way, then you are breaking the law by not following the Charter, Policing ACTS, and Citizen arrest ACT. The collusion between RCMP and OPS working in premeditated conspiracy must be taken seriously.

I will be awaiting your response.

Dana-lee Melfi

From: Veilleux, Jeffrey (RCMP/GRC) <Jeffrey.Veilleux@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, September 03, 2025 8:54 AM
To: dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com>
Subject: Public Complaint - CRCC: 2025-3732, Our File: 2025-1265157

Good day,

I am Corporal Jeffrey Veilleux of the RCMP Professional Responsibility Unit in Ottawa. I have been assigned to investigate the Public Complaint that you lodged to the office of the Commissioner of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. The purpose of my email today is to first provide you with information regarding the public complaint process.

Who it applies to: Public Complaints are concerning the conduct, in the performance of duty or function, of RCMP Regular Members, Civilian Members and other persons appointed or employed under Part I of the RCMP Act. It does not include Public Service Employees or employees of other police services and other governmental departments/agencies.

Outcomes: The public complaint process is remedial, not disciplinary. If the RCMP supports an allegation, the steps they may take include: an apology, provide extra operational guidance, mentoring, training, etc. The public complaint process does not result in monetary compensation.

Initial steps: I will start by reviewing the documentation related to your complaint which includes the emails you sent to the RCMP. Once this is completed, I will then reach out to you to set a date/time to discuss your complaint. This is an important step as I will need to identify and understand the allegations and what you wish to accomplish via the public complaint process.

Please note that as per the letter sent to you on August 14, 2025, you will be notified of the status of the investigation monthly.

Regards,

Cpl. Jeffrey Veilleux
Professional Responsibility Unit / Unité de la responsabilité professionnelle
RCMP National Headquarters / Direction générale de la GRC
73 Leikin Dr., Mailstop 29
Ottawa, ON
K1A0R2
Email / Courriel: Jeffrey.Veilleux@rcmp-grc.gc.ca

 **Royal Canadian Mounted Police / Gendarmerie royale du Canada**

*** N'hésitez pas à répondre dans la langue officielle de votre choix.
*** Please do not hesitate to reply in the official language of your choice.

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com>
Sent: September 3, 2025 5:25 PM
To: Veilleux, Jeffrey (RCMP/GRC) <Jeffrey.Veilleux@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>; Duheme, Michael (RCMP/GRC) <Michael.Duheme@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Subject: Re: Public Complaint - CRCC: 2025-3732, Our File: 2025-1265157

Hello Mr. Veilleux,

I am a citizen wanting to press charges for illegal actions of RCMP members and OPS while on duty. This is not a complaint, it is a criminal investigation. If you look at it any other way, then you are breaking the law by not following the Charter, Policing ACTS, and Citizen arrest ACT. The collusion between RCMP and OPS working in premeditated conspiracy must be taken seriously.

I will be awaiting your response.

Dana-lee Melfi

From: Veilleux, Jeffrey (RCMP/GRC) <Jeffrey.Veilleux@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, September 03, 2025 8:54 AM
To: dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com>
Subject: Public Complaint - CRCC: 2025-3732, Our File: 2025-1265157

Good day,

I am Corporal Jeffrey Veilleux of the RCMP Professional Responsibility Unit in Ottawa. I have been assigned to investigate the Public Complaint that you lodged to the office of the Commissioner of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. The purpose of my email today is to first provide you with information regarding the public complaint process.

Who it applies to: Public Complaints are concerning the conduct, in the performance of duty or function, of RCMP Regular Members, Civilian Members and other persons appointed or employed under Part I of the RCMP Act. It does not include Public Service Employees or employees of other police services and other governmental departments/agencies.

Outcomes: The public complaint process is remedial, not disciplinary. If the RCMP supports an allegation, the steps they may take include: an apology, provide extra operational guidance, mentoring, training, etc. The public complaint process does not result in monetary compensation.

Initial steps: I will start by reviewing the documentation related to your complaint which includes the emails you sent to the RCMP. Once this is completed, I will then reach out to you to set a date/time to discuss your complaint. This is an important step as I will need to identify and understand the allegations and what you wish to accomplish via the public complaint process.

Please note that as per the letter sent to you on August 14, 2025, you will be notified of the status of the investigation monthly.

Regards,

Cpl. Jeffrey Veilleux
Professional Responsibility Unit / Unité de la responsabilité professionnelle
RCMP National Headquarters / Direction générale de la GRC
73 Leikin Dr., Mailstop 29
Ottawa, ON
K1A0R2
Email / Courriel: Jeffrey.Veilleux@rcmp-grc.gc.ca

 **Royal Canadian Mounted Police / Gendarmerie royale du Canada**

*** N'hésitez pas à répondre dans la langue officielle de votre choix.
*** Please do not hesitate to reply in the official language of your choice.

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com>
Sent: September 3, 2025 5:25 PM
To: Veilleux, Jeffrey (RCMP/GRC) <Jeffrey.Veilleux@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>; Duheme, Michael (RCMP/GRC) <Michael.Duheme@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Subject: Re: Public Complaint - CRCC: 2025-3732, Our File: 2025-1265157

Hello Mr. Veilleux,

I am a citizen wanting to press charges for illegal actions of RCMP members and OPS while on duty. This is not a complaint, it is a criminal investigation. If you look at it any other way, then you are breaking the law by not following the Charter, Policing ACTS, and Citizen arrest ACT. The collusion between RCMP and OPS working in premeditated conspiracy must be taken seriously.

I will be awaiting your response.

Dana-lee Melfi

From: Veilleux, Jeffrey (RCMP/GRC) <Jeffrey.Veilleux@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, September 03, 2025 8:54 AM
To: dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com>
Subject: Public Complaint - CRCC: 2025-3732, Our File: 2025-1265157

Good day,

I am Corporal Jeffrey Veilleux of the RCMP Professional Responsibility Unit in Ottawa. I have been assigned to investigate the Public Complaint that you lodged to the office of the Commissioner of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. The purpose of my email today is to first provide you with information regarding the public complaint process.

Who it applies to: Public Complaints are concerning the conduct, in the performance of duty or function, of RCMP Regular Members, Civilian Members and other persons appointed or employed under Part I of the RCMP Act. It does not include Public Service Employees or employees of other police services and other governmental departments/agencies.

Outcomes: The public complaint process is remedial, not disciplinary. If the RCMP supports an allegation, the steps they may take include: an apology, provide extra operational guidance, mentoring, training, etc. The public complaint process does not result in monetary compensation.

Initial steps: I will start by reviewing the documentation related to your complaint which includes the emails you sent to the RCMP. Once this is completed, I will then reach out to you to set a date/time to discuss your complaint. This is an important step as I will need to identify and understand the allegations and what you wish to accomplish via the public complaint process.

Please note that as per the letter sent to you on August 14, 2025, you will be notified of the status of the investigation monthly.

Regards,

Cpl. Jeffrey Veilleux
Professional Responsibility Unit / Unité de la responsabilité professionnelle
RCMP National Headquarters / Direction générale de la GRC
73 Leikin Dr., Mailstop 29
Ottawa, ON
K1A0R2
Email / Courriel: Jeffrey.Veilleux@rcmp-grc.gc.ca

 Royal Canadian Mounted Police / Gendarmerie royale du Canada

*** N'hésitez pas à répondre dans la langue officielle de votre choix.
*** Please do not hesitate to reply in the official language of your choice.

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com>
Sent: September 3, 2025 5:25 PM
To: Veilleux, Jeffrey (RCMP/GRC) <Jeffrey.Veilleux@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>; Duheme, Michael (RCMP/GRC) <Michael.Duheme@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Subject: Re: Public Complaint - CRCC: 2025-3732, Our File: 2025-1265157

Hello Mr. Veilleux,

I am a citizen wanting to press charges for illegal actions of RCMP members and OPS while on duty. This is not a complaint, it is a criminal investigation. If you look at it any other way, then you are breaking the law by not following the Charter, Policing ACTS, and Citizen arrest ACT. The collusion between RCMP and OPS working in premeditated conspiracy must be taken seriously.

I will be awaiting your response.

Dana-lee Melfi

From: Veilleux, Jeffrey (RCMP/GRC) <Jeffrey.Veilleux@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, September 03, 2025 8:54 AM
To: dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com>
Subject: Public Complaint - CRCC: 2025-3732, Our File: 2025-1265157

Good day,

I am Corporal Jeffrey Veilleux of the RCMP Professional Responsibility Unit in Ottawa. I have been assigned to investigate the Public Complaint that you lodged to the office of the Commissioner of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. The purpose of my email today is to first provide you with information regarding the public complaint process.

Who it applies to: Public Complaints are concerning the conduct, in the performance of duty or function, of RCMP Regular Members, Civilian Members and other persons appointed or employed under Part I of the RCMP Act. It does not include Public Service Employees or employees of other police services and other governmental departments/agencies.