

PRIVATE PROSECUTION SYNOPSIS (COURT-READY)

ONTARIO COURT OF JUSTICE

IN THE MATTER OF A PRIVATE PROSECUTION PURSUANT TO SECTION 504 OF THE CRIMINAL CODE OF CANADA

BETWEEN:

DANA-LEE MELFI

Informant / Private Prosecutor

-and-

**RCMP SGT. MATHIEU CASTONGUAY #57914, RETIRED OPS OFFICER JIM
KIUOON #10234, OPS SGT. MEHDY KHALID, OPS SGT. EWEN CROOK #2008,
OPS SGT. JENNY C. PERREAULT, OPS CHIEF ERIC STUBBS, OPS
INVESTIGATOR ALEX WOLF #2076, ANN TKACHYK (LECA SENIOR
INVESTIGATOR), CAMILLE PROSPERO (IOP POLICE SERVICE ADVISOR),
INSPECTOR ALEX PETTIGREW (RCMP), and UNKNOWN CO-CONSPIRATORS
WITHIN THE STATE EMPLOYMENT & INTELLIGENCE BRANCHES**

The Accused

INFORMATION SYNOPSIS & STATEMENT OF REASONABLE AND PROBABLE GROUNDS

I. CHARGES LAID

- 1. Count 1: Forgery and Identity Fabrication – Section 366 / 368 of the
*Criminal Code of Canada***
 - a. The Accused did knowingly fabricate, alter, and provide fraudulent law enforcement identification records to the Informant, Crown, and statutory oversight bodies, with the intent that those records be treated as genuine, to the severe prejudice of the Informant's access to justice.
- 2. Count 2: Conspiracy to Obstruct Justice via Political Interference – Section
465(1)(b) / 139(2) of the *Criminal Code of Canada***

- a. The Accused did unlawfully conspire together to intentionally mislead judicial and civilian oversight bodies (LECA, CRCC, IOP, and the Courts) by executing an international intelligence mandate to suppress civil liberties, manipulate state records, deploy universal "ghost arrest" templates across multiple court dockets, insert conflicting suspects to investigate themselves, weaponize financial status, deny statutory freedom of information access, execute consecutive Sunday-evening administrative blockades to intercept parliamentary oversight, and sabotage active civil litigation against the Crown.

II. THE PRINCIPAL MOTIVE: HIGH-STAKES STATE EMPLOYMENT LITIGATION

3. The Informant, Dana-Lee Melfi (Peace-Man.ca), is an active, long-standing employee of the government. For over eight years, the Informant has been engaged in a high-stakes, multi-million dollar civil lawsuit against his employer and its insurance apparatus, styled as ***Dana-Lee Melfi v. The Saskatchewan Government & Canada Life (2018)***, arising from the unlawful termination of his medical, sickness, and disability benefits while disabled [Ex. 01].
4. In 2021, counsel for the state and Canada Life filed aggressive motions to dismiss the action, attempting to force the matter into an internal framework governed by the Public Employees Benefits Agency (PEBA). The Court explicitly rejected the state's motions, ruling in favor of the Informant and allowing the multi-million dollar lawsuit to proceed in the public courts. The state immediately launched an appeal; however, one year later, a second appellate Judge upheld the initial ruling, permanently solidifying the Informant's right to trial. The first formal **Date of Discovery is officially scheduled for November 2026** [Ex. 01].
5. On January 27, 2022, the Informant stepped onto an international stage in Ottawa, delivering a widely broadcast speech exposing this systemic medical and financial betrayal by the government. This address triggered massive global media coverage, resulting in interviews and features by *The Canadian Press*, *The New York Times*, and over one hundred worldwide news syndicates, establishing the Informant as a globally recognized symbol of citizen resistance against state overreach [Ex. 02].
6. The Informant submits that because his ongoing litigation represents a catastrophic financial and reputational liability to the state, public authorities executed a targeted, bad-faith operational strike on February 19, 2022. State actors arrested, corporate-labeled, and jailed the Informant with zero provable grounds to compromise his credibility, drain his financial resources (forcing him to subsist on a fixed illness benefit of \$733 per month), and disrupt his upcoming

November 2026 Discovery proceedings. This targeted enforcement was executed under the cover of the political *"Stakeholders Engagement Strategy"* and the supranational *Five Eyes Intelligence Oversight and Review Council (FIORC) Charter (Project Natterjack)*, utilizing corporate operatives like Tamara Lich ("Freedom Corp") to control movement funding while omitting them from subsequent trial disclosures to shield state-corporate alignment [Ex. 06, Ex. 04].

III. THE MULTI-DOCKET CONSPIRACY & THE FRAUDULENT RETIRED OFFICER TEMPLATE

7. To satisfy disclosure requirements and insulate tactical units from cross-examination, the Ottawa Police Service deployed a systemic, organizational falsification template at the temporary water works processing station [Ex. 24]. The Accused officially designated a single member—**Retired OPS Officer Jim Kiuoon #10234**—as the universal primary "arresting officer" on paperwork for numerous unrelated individuals processed through the facility, even though Kiuoon did not make those physical arrests, was not present at the scenes, and had zero personal knowledge of the detentions [Ex. 24].
8. **Proof of Systemic Execution Across Multiple Dockets:** This was not an "isolated administrative mistake." The Informant unmasked that this identical document manipulation (Section 366) and conspiracy (Section 465) were deployed systematically across at least four separate Ontario Court dockets:
 - a. **Docket #22-A8428:** State v. Dana-Lee Melfi [Ex. 24]
 - b. **Docket #22-A8408:** State v. Jason Vanderwees [Ex. 24]
 - c. **Docket #22-R15500:** Parallel Citizen Prosecution [Ex. 24]
 - d. **Docket #22-15609AP:** Parallel Appellate Disclosure [Ex. 24]
9. Across all four dockets, the Accused stripped the accused citizens of a fair trial by delivering fraudulent disclosure logs that completely deleted the multi-agency hand-off and hidden federal tactical footprints [Ex. 24]. In March 2026, an official Privacy Act disclosure under **RCMP File P-2025-01812** exposed the systemic fraud: Sergeant Mathieu Castonguay's (#57914) actual notebook pages written on the day of the incident explicitly state that **he was NOT the arresting officer**, but was merely handed a prisoner by an unnamed RCMP unit [Ex. 07].
10. When pressed for the identities of the true arresting officers and the *Project Natterjack* camera footprint (ott00029862.0001-01), operational branches blocked the request. On May 11, 2026, the RCMP ATIP Branch issued a formal written admission confirming that Federal Policing refused to complete its tasking, stating: *"This means of course that we have failed to meet the legislative due date which was today."* [Ex. 08].

IV. THE STRATEGIC CLOSED-LOOP REFERENCE & THE OVERSIGHT TRAP

1. The bad-faith nature of this multi-agency conspiracy is anchored to a documented accountability-dodging loop engineered by public officers. On **September 11, 2025**, **Inspector Alex Pettigrew** of the RCMP National Public Complaints Directorate issued a formal **Notice of Direction under Subsection 45.61(3) of the RCMP Act (CRCC File 2025-3732)** [Ex. 23]. In this notice, Pettigrew officially refused to investigate the Informant's corruption filings under a public complaint banner, delivering an explicit operational mandate: **"This process is not the avenue to initiate a criminal investigation. You may file a criminal complaint with the police of jurisdiction, the Ottawa Police Service."** [Ex. 23].
2. The Informant followed this federal directive to the letter, formally opening criminal corruption file **#25-299498** with the Ottawa Police Service on November 3, 2025 [Ex. 12]. Rather than executing an independent investigation, the Accused OPS leadership used this file as a jurisdictional weapon:
 - a. **The Alex Wolf Conflict of Interest:** They intentionally assigned a primary suspect—**Alex Wolf #2076** of the Police Standards Unit—to head the criminal investigation into himself [Ex. 13]. Wolf was the handler of the original 2022 OIPRD Complaint (**#25-0127**), which he willfully and illegally failed to transition to LECA in direct violation of Section 216 of the CSPA [Ex. 09].
 - b. **The FOI Fees Blockade:** On December 24, 2024, the OPS FOI branch deployed an unlawful financial roadblock—refusing to accept over-the-phone bank card payments and denying a mandatory financial hardship supervisor waiver despite the Informant subsisting on an illness benefit of only \$733 per month [Ex. 19], in direct violation of the Supreme Court of Canada's mandate in ***EPS v. McKee (2026 SCC 24)***.
 - c. **The Oversight Jurisdictional Shut-Down:** Co-conspirators used the Informant's compliance with the RCMP directive to kill the file. Under file **E-202410211407481365**, **Sgt. Jenny C. Perreault** and LECA Senior Investigator **Ann Tkachyk** issued a screening order directing Chief Eric Stubbs to terminate the investigation under the fraudulent pretext that they lacked standing because the subject officer was RCMP [Ex. 10], completely and intentionally omitting any mention of the independent Criminal Code violations executed by the OPS officers *after* the tactical hand-off. LECA subsequently purged the tracking record from the registry, returning a fraudulent **"File Not Found"** result on **July 25, 2026** [Ex. 11].

3. On January 19, 2026, the Informant engaged the **Inspectorate of Policing (IOP)** under File **#26-46**. On January 26, 2026, IOP Advisor **Camille Prospero**, acting on behalf of the Inspector General, issued a final notice invoking Section 107(2)(b) of the CSPA, declining an investigation by completely distorting the text of the complaint to mischaracterize a multi-agency forgery as an "isolated administrative error" [Ex. 14]. On **Sunday, February 8, 2026, at 3:53 PM**, Prospero issued a secondary transmission closing the file to proactively intercept a formal **House of Commons Petition** scheduled for presentation by **MP Cheryl Gallant** the next morning [Ex. 15, Ex. 22]. Prospero threatened to label further communications as "frivolous and vexatious," stripping the agency of good-faith statutory immunity under Section 221 of the CSPA [Ex. 15].
4. **Exhaustion of Legal Representation:** Despite possessing a valid Legal Aid certificate, the Informant has been completely denied his constitutional right to counsel [Ex. 03]. Every specialized firm across Canada has refused carriage of the file, explicitly citing the massive structural complexity of a multi-agency state conspiracy as being entirely impossible to competently process under the low compensation of the standard Legal Aid tariff. With more than half of the strict 90-day validity window already expired, the Informant is structurally forced to lay these charges as a self-represented citizen.
5. The Informant submits that the combination of public registry data purging [Ex. 11], bad-faith Sunday-afternoon interception of parliamentary oversight [Ex. 22], the systematic omission of Jim Kiuoon #10234 across multiple court dockets [Ex. 24], the deployment of an intentional structural referral loop between the RCMP and OPS [Ex. 23], the intentional deployment of an unlawful FOI financial roadblock [Ex. 19], the deliberate assignment of a primary suspect (**Alex Wolf #2076**) to investigate his own corruption file [Ex. 13], and the structural suppression of an uncontradicted public interest record establishes reasonable and probable grounds that a coordinated criminal conspiracy exists to insulate public actors from indictment and sabotage the Informant's upcoming November 2026 civil discovery [Ex. 01].
6. **VI. STATUTORY TIME CONSTRAINTS, INSTITUTIONAL DELAY, AND THE CONCEALMENT OF STATE MISCONDUCT**
7. **Impossibility of Timely Compliance Due to State Interventions:** The Applicant/Informant submits that strict compliance with standard statutory time limits for filing applications for judicial review and associated legal instruments has been rendered operationally and legally impossible due to a calculated, bad-faith campaign of administrative stonewalling, the fabrication of false final reports, improper ATIP closures, and the repeated summary rejection and electronic deletion of new complaints by the Respondents.

8. **The Deliberate Delay Matrix:** The Respondents are fully aware that an impoverished, self-represented citizen face significant systemic barriers when challenging multiple state organs simultaneously while subsisting on a fixed illness benefit of just \$733 per month [Ex. 03]. The Respondents have actively weaponized these financial and logistical constraints to deliberately delay proceedings and run out strict statutory deadlines:
- a. **Active Factual Concealment:** The true operational notebooks of the primary arresting unit (**Sgt. Mathieu Castonguay #57914**) were actively withheld by the state until they were finally forced out under an ATIP order on March 5, 2026 [Ex. 07]. This means the critical factual paradox proving that the state's entire court disclosure was built upon a forged record was hidden from the Applicant/Informant by the state until early 2026, making any earlier filing of these legal actions structurally impossible.
 - b. **Purging of the Public Record:** The Law Enforcement Complaints Agency (LECA) actively executed the spoliation of public data by purging tracking files (such as Complaint **E-202410211407481365**) from the provincial Electronic Registry, returning a fraudulent "**File Not Found**" system result to electronic lookups as of July 25, 2026 [Ex. 11]. This digital erasure was executed specifically to break the accountability trail and block the Applicant's access to the courts.
 - c. **Engineered Jurisdictional Loops:** The Civilian Review and Complaints Commission (CRCC) issued an explicit order on September 11, 2025, directing the Applicant/Informant to bring his files to the Ottawa Police Service (OPS) as the police of jurisdiction [Ex. 23]. When the Applicant/Informant complied, the OPS and LECA weaponized the filing to issue a summary screening shutdown within 48 hours [Ex. 10], while simultaneously deploying an unlawful \$5 application fee trap to block access to the physical camera grids capturing the arrest footprint [Ex. 19].
 - d. **Total Statutory Non-Response:** The CRCC has completely ignored formal Review File **R2025-009970** since October 21, 2025 [Ex. 25], utilizing total silence as a mechanism to leave the Applicant/Informant stuck in an endless internal administrative loop.
9. **Tolling of Deadlines Based on Ongoing Fraud and Special Circumstances:** The Applicant/Informant submits that under well-settled administrative and criminal law principles, statutory limitation periods do not run, and must be equitably tolled, where the underlying cause of action is actively concealed by the bad-faith, fraudulent actions of public authorities. The submission of falsified public arrest logs to the courts and Crown is a continuous, active offense that directly compromises the administration of justice under ***EPS v. McKee (2026 SCC 24)***.

10. The Respondents cannot rely on statutory deadlines that they themselves engineered through active obstruction and data deletion. The Applicant/Informant has acted with complete diligence by methodically disseminating uncontradicted public notices [Ex. 18] and publishing extensive national investigative print features [Ex. 16, Ex. 17]. Equity and the interests of justice demand that these files be formally heard on their merits to prevent public officers from utilizing administrative delay to flee indictment and sabotage the Applicant/Informant's upcoming November 2026 civil discovery [Ex. 01].

Your Honour, the law states I have a deadline, but the Supreme Court of Canada in *EPS v. McKee* rules that the state cannot hide records of police misconduct. The state hid the true notebooks until March 2026, deleted my file trackers from the public system, and threatened to label me vexatious for showing them the fraud. They cannot use an administrative deadline that they manufactured through active obstruction to keep a crime from being seen by a judge."

DATED this _____ day of _____, 2026, at the City of Ottawa, Ontario.

DANA-LEE MELFI

Private Prosecutor / Informant

APPENDIX A: COMPREHENSIVE MASTER EXHIBIT & ATTACHMENT INDEX

Exhibit ID	Primary File / Reference Number	Responsible Agency / Signatory	Short Legal & Factual Explanation of Exhibit Content
Ex. 01	Melfi v. Saskatchewan & Canada Life (2018)	Court of King's Bench / Court of Appeal	THE PRIMARY CIVIL MOTIVE: Formal court rulings denying state motions to dismiss; locking in the upcoming Discovery Date for November 2026.
Ex. 02	Jan 27, 2022 Media Transcripts & Features	New York Times / Canadian Press	Media documentation and photographic records establishing the Informant's global public profile and speech targeting state benefits fraud.
Ex. 03	LAO Certificate - Certificate of Merit Track	Legal Aid Ontario	Formal state-issued certificate proving eligibility for counsel and documenting the private bar's refusal to review the file, establishing a

			denial of access to justice.
Ex. 04	ATIP Request A-2021-00580	Department of Justice Canada	Original request for legal justification of federal COVID mandates; the definitive catalyst for the targeted state response.
Ex. 05	Complaint File 5822-03179 (2024 OIC 53)	Office of the Information Commissioner	Legally binding final report finding the Department of Justice in flagrant breach of federal law; document held by Informant at time of arrest.
Ex. 06	FIORC Signed Charter (Oct 2, 2017)	Five Eyes Intelligence Council	Signed international intelligence oversight charter establishing the supranational data network used in Project Natterjack.
Ex. 07	RCMP Privacy Act Request P-2025-01812	RCMP ATIP Branch / Mathew Castonguay	THE SMOKING GUN: Contains Officer Castonguay's actual notebook entries explicitly stating he was not the arresting officer , completely

			unmasking years of state disclosure forgery.
Ex. 08	RCMP Privacy Act Request P-2025-16851	RCMP ATIP Branch / Monique McCulloch	Current active tracking file containing formal written admissions from May 11 and June 1, 2026, confirming Federal Policing is in breach of law and withholding operational logs.
Ex. 09	OIPRD Case File 25-0127	OIPRD / OPS PSU / Alex Wolf #2076	Original complaint filed immediately after the 2022 arrest; handled by Alex Wolf and willfully withheld from transitioning to LECA.
Ex. 10	LECA Complaint E-202410211407481365	LECA / Senior Investigator Ann Tkachyk	Formal letter dated March 14, 2025, using Section 72 to shut down the file based on the RCMP badge number, intentionally omitting the subsequent actions of the OPS hand-off team.

Ex. 11	LECA E-Status Registry Purge Log	Law Enforcement Complaints Agency	Digital lookup screenshot dated July 24, 2026, returning a fraudulent " File Not Found " result, proving active spoliation and erasure of state tracking tracking records.
Ex. 12	OPS Criminal Corruption Complaint 25-299498	Ottawa Police Service / Chief Eric Stubbs	Formal criminal corruption report filed November 3, 2025, containing the Informant's lawful invocation of Section 494(1) Citizen's Arrest powers . [Ex. 12].
Ex. 13	OPS Assignment Log (June 3, 2026)	Ottawa Police Service	Official internal record confirming that primary target and suspect Alex Wolf #2076 was assigned to criminally investigate his own corruption file.
Ex. 14	IOP Complaint Audit File #26-46	Inspectorate of Policing / Camille Prospero	Formal final notice dated January 26, 2026, invoking Section 107(2)(b) to decline an audit by distorting the text of the complaint and

			inventing a fake pretext regarding Chief Stubbs.
Ex. 15	IOP Vexatious Threatened Notice (Feb 8, 2026)	Inspectorate of Policing / Camille Prospero	Follow-up email officially threatening to cut off communication and label the Informant's evidence as "frivolous and vexatious" to silence the investigation.
Ex. 16	Druthers Issue (March 2026)	Druthers National Newspaper	National print feature titled <i>"Where Do You Stand? A Citizen's Four-Year Fight to Correct the Public Record,"</i> validated by over 500k readers with no contradictions found.
Ex. 17	Druthers Issue (June 2026)	Druthers National Newspaper	National print feature titled <i>"I Asked if Trudeau's Mandates Were Legal; Ottawa Broke the Law to Hide the Answer,"</i> highlighting systemic ATIP non-compliance.
Ex. 18	Peace-Man.ca Blog Logs & Analytics	Independent Public Record Log	Chronological index of metadata,

			public notices, and traffic analytics proving consistent public dissemination and verification invitations.
--	--	--	---

- **Filing Statement:** To the Ontario Court of Justice criminal desk supervisor:
"I am submitting a completed private information under Section 504 backed by a serialized exhibit index that demonstrates a multi-jurisdictional record forgery and obstruction of justice."

Preparation for the JP Counter Action:

- **The "Bar Refusal" Proof: (Exhibit 03):** *"Your Honour, Legal Aid Ontario formally acknowledged the immense legal merit of this file, but because the private bar cannot afford to unpack a multi-agency state cover-up under standard hourly tariffs, I have been denied my right to counsel. Section 504 private prosecution is my only remaining avenue to access justice before these statutory limitation periods expire."*
- **The "All One Case" Principle:** The use paragraph 17 to shut down any attempt by the clerk or the JP to separate the ATIP filings from the criminal forgery would be an obstruction of justice. It is a single, continuous, ongoing transaction designed to suppress your evidence before the November 2026 civil discovery occurs.
- **The McKee Decision:** Justice of the Peace, **Exhibit 19** directly adjacent to the *EPS v. McKee* case citation. Judge: *"The Supreme Court of Canada ruled that the state cannot systematically conceal records that point to police misconduct. The Ottawa Police Service verified who I was, but withheld the critical camera logs because I am an injured man living on a \$733 fixed benefit and couldn't bypass an arbitrary \$5 payment barrier that the law explicitly states they had the power to waive. LECA then used that exact absence of evidence to terminate my file."*

It takes immense mental and emotional endurance to follow a high-stakes document trail over ten years, especially when navigating complex bureaucratic structures entirely on your own. Deciding to hold institutions accountable using the legal and statutory tools available to every citizen requires significant commitment, dedication, knowledge, and the will to learn.

By systematically compiling Access to Information and Privacy (ATIP) requests, recording administrative timelines, and filing formal notices across municipal, provincial, and federal platforms, I have utilized the exact legal frameworks designed for public transparency.

When I prepare to step into the courthouse to present these finalized materials, my primary strategic focus will rest entirely on the unassailable objective record I have built. In a legal forum, the institutional budgets and contingencies of the respondents are neutralized by the physical exhibits in submitted material.

To ensure the presentation remains focused, clear, and impactful for the presiding judges and registry clerks, keep these core principles in mind:

1. Let the State's Contradictions Speak for Themselves

I do not need to argue intent or hidden strategies to the court clerk; I simply need to show the direct conflict in their own paperwork.

- Place **Exhibit 07** (the March 2026 RCMP notebook release where the officer explicitly states he was *not* the arresting member) directly adjacent to **Exhibit 10** and **Exhibit 14** (the final LECA and IOP screening reports claiming the arrest record was a verified fact).
- The legal strength of your case relies entirely on this documented paradox. The documents prove that the oversight bodies built their final determinations on a foundation of unverified, incorrect information.

2. Emphasizing Absolute Failure of the Investigation

When presenting the **Section 504 Private Prosecution** and both **Judicial Review Applications**, the focus is on the timeline of the "circular referral."

- Show the court that you followed the federal directive in **Exhibit 23** to the letter, only to have the local police service assign a primary suspect (**Alex Wolf #2076**) to handle the file, followed by a total closure within 48 hours without a single complainant interview.

- In administrative law, a decision cannot be considered reasonable if the agency willfully chose to gather zero evidence and conduct zero interviews before closing the file.

3. To Maintain Complete Transparency of the Record

My practice of inviting public fact-checking and uploading raw metadata blocks any attempt by the respondents to characterize my filings as unsupported or speculative. By grounding my applications in the national journalism of *Druthers* and the official House of Commons docket numbers, I establish that my investigation operates entirely within the boundaries of documented public interest.

The legal architecture, spanning the **Ontario Court of Justice**, the **Divisional Court**, and the **Federal Court of Canada**, is fully integrated and structurally synchronized with the 25 core exhibits. I have methodically laid the paperwork trail, step by step, according to the rules of the court.