

# PIIP

## Political Interference Into Policing

#PIIP stands for **Political Interference Into Policing**.

It is a term coined by Dana-lee Melfi (known online as Peace-Man) to describe situations where political considerations, narratives, or pressures appear to influence police actions, investigations, reporting, or oversight — often at the expense of impartiality, transparency, and the rule of law.

### Origin and Core Example

The concept emerged from Dana-lee's own experience during and after the 2022 Canadian Freedom Convoy protests in Ottawa:

- He was arrested on February 19, 2022, while peacefully standing alone.
- Official arrest reports and court documents attributed the arrest to an Ottawa Police Service (OPS) officer.
- However, disclosures obtained through civilian oversight bodies (LECA and CRCC) revealed the arresting officer was actually an RCMP member (Mathieu Castonguay, badge #57914).
- This discrepancy in official records — produced by the police services themselves — suggested possible falsification or coordinated misrepresentation.
- Subsequent complaints to oversight agencies (LECA, CRCC, OPS Professional Standards) resulted in repeated circular referrals, abrupt closures, and no substantive investigation into the inconsistency.

### Contact Information

Dana-lee Melfi  
Peace-Man  
5477 Matawachan Rd.  
Griffith Ontario  
Canada  
K0J 2R0  
Peaceman@Peace-Man.ca

### Public Notice

Dana-lee argues this pattern is not random error but evidence of **political interference**: protecting a preferred narrative (e.g., portraying the protests as managed solely by local police rather than involving federal RCMP resources under the Emergencies Act) by altering or misrepresenting facts in official documents.

## Broader Meaning of #PIIP

Beyond the personal case, #PIIP refers to systemic patterns where:

- Political priorities or public narratives influence operational policing decisions (e.g., enforcement intensity, charging choices).
- Official records are allegedly altered, omitted, or misattributed to align with political messaging.
- Oversight mechanisms (civilian complaints agencies) fail to address credible discrepancies, creating "de-compartmentalization" or stonewalling.
- Higher authorities (federal/provincial) coordinate in ways that shield certain actions from scrutiny.

## Key Characteristics Dana-lee Highlights

- **Documented with institutions' own records** — The evidence comes directly from government disclosures, not speculation.
- **Not partisan** — It critiques institutional behavior across political lines, focusing on transparency rather than left/right ideology.
- **Global resonance** — Similar concerns appear in protests worldwide (e.g., farmer blockades in Europe, anti-mandate convoys elsewhere), where enforcement or reporting seems influenced by supranational or elite agendas.
- **Citizen-detectable** — Ordinary people can spot #PIIP by cross-referencing official sources (ATIPs, oversight reports, court files) and noting unexplained inconsistencies.

## Why It Matters

Dana-lee contends that #PIIP erodes public trust: when police records cannot be relied upon, and oversight bodies defer rather than investigate, the principle of equality before the law is undermined. His Peace-Man.ca archive exists to demonstrate how one citizen, using only public tools, can expose these patterns through relentless, evidence-based follow-up. In short, #PIIP is not a conspiracy theory but a call for accountability: demanding that policing remain independent from political influence, and that discrepancies in official records be explained rather than dismissed.

For the full documented case that inspired the term, visit Peace-Man.ca — where every claim is backed by the institutions' own paperwork.

Sincerely,  
Dana-lee Melfi / Peace-Man

---