
PIIP

Political Interference Into Policing

Contact Information

Dana-lee Melfi
Peace-Man
5477 Matawatchan Rd.
Griffith Ontario
Canada
K0J 2R0
Peaceman@Peace-Man.ca

#PIIP stands for **Political Interference Into Policing**.

It is a term coined by Dana-lee Melfi (known online as Peace-Man) to describe situations where political considerations, narratives, or pressures appear to influence police actions, investigations, reporting, or oversight — often at the expense of impartiality, transparency, and the rule of law.

Origin and Core Example

The concept emerged from Dana-lee's own experience during and after the 2022 Canadian Freedom Convoy protests in Ottawa:

- He was arrested on February 19, 2022, while peacefully standing alone.
- Official arrest reports and court documents attributed the arrest to an Ottawa Police Service (OPS) officer.
- However, disclosures obtained through civilian oversight bodies (LECA and CRCC) revealed the arresting officer was actually an RCMP member (Mathieu Castonguay, badge #57914).
- This discrepancy in official records — produced by the police services themselves — suggested possible falsification or coordinated misrepresentation.
- Subsequent complaints to oversight agencies (LECA, CRCC, OPS Professional Standards) resulted in repeated circular referrals, abrupt closures, and no substantive investigation into the inconsistency.

Public Notice

Dana-lee argues this pattern is not random error but evidence of **political interference**: protecting a preferred narrative (e.g., portraying the protests as managed solely by local police rather than involving federal RCMP resources under the Emergencies Act) by altering or misrepresenting facts in official documents.

Broader Meaning of #PIIP

Beyond the personal case, #PIIP refers to systemic patterns where:

- Political priorities or public narratives influence operational policing decisions (e.g., enforcement intensity, charging choices).
- Official records are allegedly altered, omitted, or misattributed to align with political messaging.
- Oversight mechanisms (civilian complaints agencies) fail to address credible discrepancies, creating "de-compartmentalization" or stonewalling.
- Higher authorities (federal/provincial) coordinate in ways that shield certain actions from scrutiny.

Key Characteristics Dana-lee Highlights

- **Documented with institutions' own records** — The evidence comes directly from government disclosures, not speculation.
 - **Not partisan** — It critiques institutional behavior across political lines, focusing on transparency rather than left/right ideology.
 - **Global resonance** — Similar concerns appear in protests worldwide (e.g., farmer blockades in Europe, anti-mandate convoys elsewhere), where enforcement or reporting seems influenced by supranational or elite agendas.
 - **Citizen-detectable** — Ordinary people can spot #PIIP by cross-referencing official sources (ATIPs, oversight reports, court files) and noting unexplained inconsistencies.
-

Why It Matters

Dana-lee contends that #PIIP erodes public trust: when police records cannot be relied upon, and oversight bodies defer rather than investigate, the principle of equality before the law is undermined. His Peace-Man.ca archive exists to demonstrate how one citizen, using only public tools, can expose these patterns through relentless, evidence-based follow-up. In short, #PIIP is not a conspiracy theory but a call for accountability: demanding that policing remain independent from political influence, and that discrepancies in official records be explained rather than dismissed.

For the full documented case that inspired the term, visit Peace-Man.ca — where every claim is backed by the institutions' own paperwork.

Sincerely,

Dana-lee Melfi / Peace-Man