

Re: Response to OIC Report & Orders

Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com>

Fri 2024-08-30 4:22 PM

To:

Hello Stephen,

Thank you for the information.

As per <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/A-1/page-3.html?txthl=safety+public#s-20>
Exception

(2) However, the head of a government institution referred to in paragraph (1)(c) or (d) shall not refuse under subsection (1) to disclose any record that contains information that was created by or on behalf of the head of the government institution in the course of an investigation or audit conducted by or under the authority of the head of the government institution once the investigation or audit and all related proceedings, if any, are finally concluded.

2006, c. 9, s. 1442019, c. 18, s. 41(E)

There are no other steps being taken and a final report made. Under those grounds "can not refuse under subsection (1)".

There is no information on how to appeal a Final OIC Report for insufficient and misleading information.

The Commissioner has outlined that there was no document manipulation identifiable therefore a Federal Review must be applied for.

I beg to differ and feel the Commissioner should be notifying the Federal Court for Review that the DOJ & OIC are breaking the very specific law.

Section 397(1) of the Criminal Code of Canada deals with fraud and the manipulation of written documents with the intent to deceive or defraud. This section applies to situations where a person alters or destroys documents in order to misrepresent the truth or hide important information.

I have emails where both DOJ & OIC deemed my complaints "invalid".

Now validated with a Final Review & Orders that is also insufficient and can be considered document manipulation by leaving out pertinent information "to mislead".

It does not address all the "Final submissions" I provided to Investigator Stephen Konkle.

It is definitively manipulation.

The onus to investigate further is not on the citizen with Rights being violated.

It is also a criminal matter originating from within our Department of Justice.

Breaking the ACT is breaking the law.

I suggest the Commissioner that is aware of these crimes Report to the proper authorities and notify me when this is done.

How do I appeal to the Commissioner to review the Final Report for errors?

The Commissioner expressly says "Complaints well founded" but neglected to mention the claims were "invalidated" several times. A very key and important part of the investigation.

I cannot receive proper information without communication with the Commissioner.

Thank you,
Dana-lee Melfi

From: Stephen Konkle <Stephen.Konkle@oic-ci.gc.ca>
Sent: August 30, 2024 9:59 AM
To: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com>
Cc: Maureen Brennan <Maureen.Brennan@oic-ci.gc.ca>
Subject: RE: Response to OIC Report & Orders

Hi Dana-lee,

Yes, I was the point of contact for your file, but as previously noted the file was reassigned to investigator Maureen Brennan. The investigation of the complaint is now closed.

The Commissioner does have the ability to publish Final Reports and I have noted your request that it be made publicly available. The earliest that would legally be possible, if she chooses to do so, would be 36 business days after that date of the final report – so September 26, 2024 by my calculation (pursuant to subsection 37(3.2) of the Act).

In regards to the timeline to file a review under subsection 41(1) of the Act, the timeline is a statutory timeline, set in the Act:

41 (1) A person who makes a complaint described in any of paragraphs 30(1)(a) to (e) and who receives a report under subsection 37(2) in respect of the complaint may, within 30 business days after the day on which the head of the government institution receives the report, apply to the Court for a review of the matter that is the subject of the complaint.

I am not aware of any ability to grant an extension to this statutory timeline, although you may wish to consult with a lawyer if that was something you wished to pursue. I will also note that it is my understand that this review, as noted, pertains to allegations described in paragraphs 30(1)(a) to 30(1)(e), and does not pertain to allegations that fall under paragraph 30(1)(f). If you wished to file a judicial review of allegations under 30(1)(f), it is my understanding that that would have to be done under section 18.1 of the *Federal Courts Act*, and the timelines for doing so are different – you may wish to view this document from the Federal Court regarding application for judicial review - <https://www.fct-cf.gc.ca/Content/assets/pdf/base/Federal%20Court%20-%20How%20to%20file%20an%20Application%20for%20Judicial%20Review.pdf> . As noted in that document, you may wish to seek legal advice. You may wish to also review subsection 18.1(2) of the *Federal Courts Act* for clarity on the time limitation in that process.

Stephen (Steve) Konkle

Enquêteur | Affaires économiques, sociales et culturelles
Commissariat à l'information du Canada
Stephen.Konkle@ci-oic.gc.ca / Tél : 819-790-8768

Investigator | Economic, Social and Cultural affairs
Office of the Information Commissioner of Canada
Stephen.Konkle@ci-oic.gc.ca / Tel: 819-790-8768

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com>
Sent: August 29, 2024 11:22 PM
To: Stephen Konkle <Stephen.Konkle@oic-ci.gc.ca>; _Grefe-Registry <Grefe-Registry@oic-ci.gc.ca>
Subject: Response to OIC Report & Orders

Hello Stephen,

I have responded to the OIC Report & Orders weeks ago with zero response or notification of receipt. As far as understood, you said you are the point of contact.

I wish this message to be sent please.

To whom it may concern

Thank you for this report and Orders.

First order of business for me in response is to request the Commissioner this decision, Report & Orders be made publicly available in the interest of public safety as described in the ATIP ACT. Unfortunately my pc has mysteriously broken down and cannot highlight as I usually do on a cell phone.

If the citizens cannot rely on the DOJ to operate properly on a very important request that involves the safety of every Canadian, it becomes a grave matter of interest to the public. Period.

Secondly, I intend to appeal two sections of the report.

I have \$50 left to my name in my bank accounts and now no computer is making it extremely difficult to do this properly.

On these grounds I am applying for an extension of time to appeal of 30 days beyond the 30 days.

Because of the nature of the initial request and the OIC investigation, I cannot retain any help in the legal field by lawyers choice. In order for me to do this portion of my investigation I must now retain help. I am going to require help for the Federal Court review.

The Commissioner says in the report, that she is not a criminal investigator, nor did she receive proof of no voice contact portion.

There is proof the director of ATIP & DOJ called me unsolicited. I was never asked for proof. It is meta data tracked though on a phone # though. And more. My recorded conversation with the Director proves the "Document manipulation" Charge I would like to do under Citizens arrest as described in Mt preliminary report PDF at this adress. <https://peace-man.ca/acceptable>

The recording of the Director can be found here www.Peace-Man.ca/atip

The Commissioner says she seen no document manipulation going on.

How many times was this complaint marked "invalid" by ATIP DOJ Director and OIC Investigators? Now, not only validated, A Report saying "well founded" and Orders to correct action.

This must be noted in Federal Review that Section 397(1) of the deals with fraud and the manipulation of written documents with the intent to deceive or defraud. This section applies to situations where a person alters or destroys documents in order to misrepresent the truth or hide important information.

There is many more illegal actions happening in this one request. The subject matter of the request is the root to the incorrect actions.

This ATIP is a portion of my current investigation of Government penetration you see. Everything I have done is meta data captured and on removable drives and a special device.

What is not shown in the Commissioner's final Report & Orders is the magnitude nor seriousness on time sensitive review.

Meta data from the Top ! Justin Trudeau down to the bottom of the street actors. And everything in-between.

CSIS Agents, RCMP, PLT, PIDC, OIC, PEBA, Doctors, Lawyers, and the list goes on with the data they created by interacting with me.

All of this because of this very ATIP ACT REQUEST.

In Other requests to RCMP and CITY of Ottawa they have answered illegally as well.

What does a citizen have to do to get truth?

Is the plan to throw the houses into disrepute?

I may not have gilded letters in front of my name.

I have been hired by billion dollar corporations for my set of skills until I displayed integrity.

You may know I am in a lawsuit with the government "Dana-lee VS Government of Saskatchewan & Canada Life." I should not have to do what I'm doing, but it is a necessary life and death decision for humanity and this path of deception and penetration of our Government. I believe we had a beautiful way if life and reputation the world respected.

In a very short time it has been abused, targeted and tarnished in obvious fashion and reason. I believe the system we had and written words are not being followed bringing OUR House into disrepute.

On page 16 of my Charter Challenge THE KING VS DANA-LEE I prove through case law this is happening. It is happening to even the DOJ as we see in the report in question.

Please consider,

Dana-lee Melfi

I do expect a response please.