

Subject: Follow-up on ATIP Request – Additional Clarifications Required Regarding Arrest on February 19, 2022 (Wellington Street, Ottawa) and Officer #57914

Dear Ms. McCulloch, Thank you for your response dated March 6, 2026, and for confirming that the RCMP has noted my request for access to all information related to my arrest on February 19, 2022, on Wellington Street, Ottawa, involving Officer #57914 and others.

I appreciate the explanations provided, but several aspects appear inconsistent with publicly available information, prior disclosures, and my own records/evidence. I would like to seek clarification on the following points to ensure all relevant records have been identified and processed under the Privacy Act.

1. Operation Name and File Number Your response states: "There is no 'Operation name and file #' under which Officer #57914 was operating on 2022-02-19. Members from across Canada were at various locations to assist with the Freedom Convoy events."

However, the RCMP's own "Project Natterjack - National After-Action Review into the RCMP's response to the 2022 Freedom Convoy" (available at https://rcmp.ca/en/corporate-information/publications-and-manuals/project-natterjack-national-after-action-review) describes a coordinated national response involving deployments from multiple divisions, command structures (e.g., Gold/Silver/Bronze), and specific operational elements like the National Capital Region Command Centre and Integrated Command Centre. It also references related codes such as "Operation Bearhug 2.0" (early reference to Freedom Convoy 2022) and Ottawa-specific records (e.g., CASE#: 22-17557 for convoy-related events).

Given this context of a structured, multi-jurisdictional response, could you please confirm whether any overarching operation name, file number, or command structure applied to Officer #57914's deployment or actions on that date? If no specific file exists for my incident, please clarify how records from such deployments are catalogued and searched for individual-related information.

Additionally, I wish to reiterate that I had no involvement with the Freedom Convoy protests. If Officer #57914 was deployed specifically in relation to those events, this raises questions about the basis for my arrest and any related records.

2. Arrest Details and Officer Involvement Officer #57914's notebook entry (as released) states at 11:00: "Escort of a prisoner handed over by a RCMP member. No verbal interaction. Handed over to the Ottawa Police for arrest and processing."

This indicates that Officer #57914 did not personally effect my arrest but received me from another unidentified RCMP member. This contradicts earlier information from LECA and CRCC, which attributed the arrest to Officer #57914. Recent confirmation from OPS via LECA (file E-202410211407481365) now affirms that the arresting officer was indeed RCMP #57914, but this does not fully resolve discrepancies in prior disclosures or the identities of all involved officers.

I have photographic and video evidence from the moment of cuffing showing helmet identifiers (A-19 and A-12) on the officers involved, with one appearing to be the one who physically placed hands on me during the arrest (corroborated by multiple angles in my footage). Additionally, my evidence shows Officer #57914 pointing a rifle at my head as the other officer advanced to effect the physical arrest and cuffing. These identifiers are essential under the law for public accountability and due process, as they allow for accurate tracing of officer actions in events like the Emergencies Act invocation. I originally requested the names and details associated with A-19 and A-12 from LECA, RCMP, IOP, CRCC, and others (including RCMP Commissioners and the OPS Chief involved in Project Natterjack), but these requests were not complied with, leading to incomplete or falsified final reports. I was aware of potential inaccuracies early on but allowed the process to proceed with the #57914 attribution to test the oversight mechanisms for accuracy and transparency.

Independent third-party recordings from the scene further corroborate this evidence. For example:

- A video recorded by Jim Kerr captured the rifle-pointing incident involving me.
- Dave from "Live from the Shed" (the 360-degree livestream on the Shed truck) reported having a weapon pointed at him as RCMP entered the area and the video titled "The Last Stand" (posted from that feed) includes audio relevant to my arrest (e.g., my verbal interactions, contradicting the notebook's "no verbal interaction" claim). Public versions of these videos were widely available at the time and may still be accessible via archives or records.

These independent sources support the need for comprehensive searches of RCMP or platforms related to use of force, deployment tactics, and any related incident reports from February 19, 2022.

Could you please conduct further searches to identify:

- The RCMP member who handed me over (the one who physically arrested me)?
- Any records, notes, or reports from that member regarding my detention/arrest?
- The full names, badge numbers, and deployment details associated with helmet identifiers A-19 and A-12 (including which, if any, corresponds to #57914)?
- Any use-of-force reports, incident logs, or command documentation related to the pointing of a firearm at my head during this encounter?
- Any internal records explaining why these identifiers, related identities, or use-of-force details were not disclosed in response to my prior requests?

I have extensive communications documenting these requests (spanning multiple RCMP Commissioners due to changes in leadership) and over 1000 photos/videos from a five-minute window around my arrest, including clear images of the two officers standing together immediately after my arrest. I can provide these upon request to support further review (while withholding certain specifics public to preserve investigative integrity).

The notebook also claims "no verbal interaction," but I have partial audio/video recordings of conversations with RCMP officers during cuffing (e.g., my requests to walk away). This may indicate incomplete records or require clarification on the "reasonable and probable grounds" for my detention.

3. Video, Body-Worn Cameras, and Surveillance Equipment My request included "files, notes, video... from Officer #57914 and attached operations." The Project Natterjack review highlights that officers were equipped with "on-site equipment: reliable communications... and surveillance equipment (for example, body worn cameras)." Public reports and footage from the events confirm many officers wore body-worn cameras or had team members recording.

I also have independent video evidence of RCMP interactions involving me, including consultations with Ottawa Police Service (visible on city cameras and private recordings). Please confirm whether Officer #57914 or any involved members were equipped with body-worn cameras or other recording devices on February 19, 2022, and if so, whether any footage exists and has been searched. If such equipment was in use during "attached operations," please explain why no video responsive to my request was located.

4. City of Ottawa Camera Footage Your response reiterates that the RCMP does not hold City of Ottawa camera footage. However, evidence from the Public Order Emergency Commission (Emergencies Act Inquiry) and related proceedings indicates RCMP had access to various cameras feeds and surveillance during the events for situational awareness. The specific camera at Wellington and Metcalfe captured preceding interactions.

Furthermore, the City of Ottawa camera (ott00029862.0001-01) was operational and recording (as corroborated by the City of Ottawa), and I physically saw it following the actions of the police at the time of my arrest (you can see me looking at it in the footage). See: https://publicorderemergencycommission.ca/exhibit/videos/ott00029862.0001-01?c=1764288927. Though this footage was absent from the Emergencies Act Inquiry when use of force was being examined, the RCMP and Retired Assistant Commissioner Eric Sinn have stated that they conducted a thorough investigation—yet they claim not to have reviewed or possessed this crucial segment?

Could you please confirm whether the RCMP accessed, referenced, or holds any copies, excerpts, logs, or reports related to this specific camera feed (ott00029862.0001-01) during Project Natterjack, the Emergencies Act Inquiry submissions, or any other internal reviews? If the RCMP did not possess or review this footage despite apparent access during the events, please explain how this aligns with claims of a comprehensive after-action review?

5. Other Information from January 25 to March 25, 2022 Your response states no other information exists about me in that period. However, any video recordings, social media monitoring (if conducted), or reports/orders related to my detention would constitute personal information under the Privacy Act. Given the handover to OPS and context, please confirm if additional searches (e.g., in Intelligence, Command, or Operational Files) were conducted for any mentions.

I have attached screenshots of relevant images, including the officer identifiers (A-19 and A-12) and a photo taken just minutes before my arrest.

Under these pictures: My arrest conversation is picked up by this mic. Where I ask to leave. I was told I was being arrested under the Emergencies ACT. Was one of the first things said by the officer. It did not matter what obstruction that was placed in the crossing part of the sidewalk where I was standing, I did not place it there. I was standing in a legally designated area of the Court backed legal protest. I have interaction videos of communicating with OPS officers and PSS saying I was legal to stand where I was. I made sure of not breaking the law as to not put in jeopardy my court case against the Saskatchewan government and Canada Life. Also my endeavors with the DOJ and OIC. Why would I put that all in jeopardy? The government was well aware that I had been featured in more than one hundred news sources around the world. Including such places like CBC, New York Times, The Canadian Press (distributed to many local news agencies), and many more. The RCMP and OPS have said they were monitoring the Social Media and Media including CBC and main stream Media. They used some of these examples in the Emergencies ACT Inquiry from sources like CBC. The RCMP are saying they have no other information about me within Jan 25 to March 2022?

This raises many more serious questions.

For example: Why after initiating investigations from the other oversight mechanisms and utilizing ATIP ACT "To this day we still do not know who arrested Dana-lee Melfi"

6. Pattern of Multiple Arrests by the Same Unidentified RCMP Officer and Implications for Disclosures and Oversight I have video evidence demonstrating that the same RCMP officer involved in my arrest on February 19, 2022, on Wellington Street also effected or directed arrests of other individuals that day in the same area. This includes Jason VanderWier (docket 22-A8408; commonly known as Jay VanderWier, the driver of the "Shed" truck struck during the Freedom Convoy events). Mr. VanderWier was arrested on February 19, 2022, directly in front of my location by this same unidentified officer (per my footage), and he was later convicted of two counts of mischief (verdict delivered March 19, 2024, with a 90-day conditional sentence imposed).

This pattern extends further: I also have footage of the same officer ordering the arrest—and subsequent handover to the Ottawa Police Service—of another peaceful individual who was reading aloud from the Canadian Bill of Rights and not engaged in any apparent unlawful activity. Regrettably, that individual has since passed away due to a heart attack, which he attributed to the stress of the events (as I understand from available information).

These multiple instances involving the same officer raise serious concerns about the accuracy of arrest identifications and handovers in official records. Discrepancies appear in Ottawa Police Service (OPS) files, court disclosures, and materials provided to oversight bodies such as the Public Order Emergency Commission (Emergencies Act Inquiry), where RCMP involvement has not been fully or correctly documented.

This is inconsistent with the Independent Office of the Police Review Director (IOP)'s January 26, 2026, characterization of similar misidentification as an "isolated administrative error" rather than evidence of systemic issues:

"Regarding the arrest report, the misidentification of an RCMP officer as an OPS officer is considered an isolated administrative error rather than evidence of a systemic failure in service delivery. This discrepancy does not meet the threshold required to demonstrate that the OPS is failing to provide adequate policing services to the community."

Given the evidence of repeated occurrences with the same officer, these cannot reasonably be viewed as isolated. They suggest potential broader problems in record-keeping, officer identification, and accurate dissemination to accused persons, courts, and review agencies—potentially impacting due process for multiple individuals, including myself and Mr. VanderWier. Could you please confirm whether the RCMP has searched for or holds any records (notes, reports, handover documentation, or other materials) related to this officer's involvement in these additional arrests on February 19, 2022? Such records may be responsive to my original request for information tied to "attached operations" and Officer #57914's activities.

I would also appreciate clarification on any steps the RCMP is taking (or recommends) to correct inaccurate disclosures provided to LECA, CRCC, or other bodies regarding my arrest, including notifying LECA of the correct arresting officer(s) and providing updated details.

7. Request to Update Criminal File with Ottawa Police Service (OPS) and Reasonable Suspicion of Criminal Offences Criminal investigation Ottawa Police Service File # 25-299498 It was suggested by the CRCC after their review that I file a criminal investigation with the Police of jurisdiction. This was done in December 2025.

I am also considering whether these facts may support additional charges under section 467.1 (participation in activities of a criminal organization/ gangsterism), given the apparent coordinated nature across agencies, though I have not yet pursued that formally.

Could you please confirm receipt of this concern, advise on any internal processes for addressing such record inaccuracies in inter-agency files, and indicate whether the RCMP will take steps to facilitate correction with OPS? This response will be forwarded to the OPS as well.

8. Final Reminder – Ongoing Public Petition for Independent Review (e-7240) For your awareness, a related e-petition (e-7240) to the Government of Canada has been pre-approved by the Clerk of the House of Commons Petitions and is currently gathering support from citizens and at least one sitting Member of Parliament.

The petition highlights concerns very similar to those raised in my request, including: omissions of RCMP involvement in arrests during the February 2022 Emergencies Act invocation in official records, court submissions, and Public Order Emergency Commission (POEC) evidence; false attribution of arrests to Ottawa Police Service officers; and the handling of complaints by oversight bodies such as LECA (E-202410211407481365), IOP (#26-46), and CRCC (2025-3732/2025-1265157).

It calls upon the Government to order an independent federal review of RCMP and Ottawa Police Service arrests and record-keeping during this period, to correct affected records and POEC submissions to accurately reflect RCMP officer involvement, and to investigate repeated failures by oversight bodies to examine credible evidence or address systemic patterns. I mention this to underscore the public interest in full transparency and accurate record-keeping regarding these events, which aligns with my ongoing efforts to obtain complete and correct information through this ATIP request. My comprehensive report on these matters is nearing completion and will be submitted for federal review soon—full RCMP cooperation here would aid in ensuring all facts are accurately represented. Should additional records or clarifications become available in light of this petition or related developments, I would appreciate being informed.

I believe these points indicate that not all responsive records may have been identified. I would appreciate a detailed response addressing each item, including any additional searches performed. If helpful, I am available for a phone discussion at your convenience (you provided 343-574-7255).

If these matters remain unresolved, I may need to file a complaint with the Office of the Privacy Commissioner of Canada, as outlined in your letter.

Thank you for your assistance in ensuring full compliance with my access rights.

Sincerely, Dana-lee Melfi

From: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Follow-up

Good morning Mr. Melfi – First, let me assure you that we have taken note of each part of your request and we are aware that you are seeking access to all information related to your arrest on February 19, 2022, on Wellington Street, Ottawa, and the involvement by Officer #57914.

Let me explain the following pertaining to each aspect of your request:

1. There is no "Operation name and file #" under which Officer #57914 was operating on 2022-02-19. Members from across Canada were at various locations to assist with the Freedom Convoy events.

2. Sgt. Mathieu Castonguy did provide the only information he has pertaining to this which was his notebook. There is no file nor did he have a video about you.

3. There are no records about a disclosure to the Ottawa Police Service (OPS). There is only the member's notebook entry.

4. As previously explained in a response to your access request A-2023-16759, the RCMP does not have the City of Ottawa camera footage.

5. The RCMP does not have any other information about you from 2022-01-25 to 2022-03-25.

Regarding the notebook entry:

- It is in fact dated February 19, 2022, and it is also confirmed with a note at the top right "Same". February 19, 2022 was in fact a Saturday.
- In English, that 11:00am entry states: Escort of a prisoner handed over by a RCMP member. No verbal interaction. Handed over to the Ottawa Police for arrest and processing.
- While you are not specifically named it was assumed that this pertains to you given the details you provided and, as such, it was felt that it was best to release that to you even though you are not explicitly identified.

Our response letter to you via LECA fulfilled all our legislative requirements under the Act in terms of advising you that we conducted the necessary searches and processed the only responsive record.

Regarding the initial correspondence you received about the time extension, this is standard notification to all requesters over the last several years due to the volume of requests coming in that surpasses the ATIP Branch's capacity. The RCMP continues to work on addressing the resource deficiencies, but extensions pursuant to section 15 of the Privacy Act are unfortunately required to offset operational impacts. As previously explained, I am one of many consultants that has been hired to assist the RCMP in responding to a large backlog of access requests. The delays in responding to your request were solely due to the significant volume of ATIP requests that the RCMP receives on a daily basis and the extensive searches that had to be conducted in an effort to locate what you were looking for.

Should you remain dissatisfied and feel that your rights under the Privacy Act have been denied, you can file a complaint with the Office of the Privacy Commissioner of Canada as per the explanation in our response letter of March 5, 2026.

By all means, should you still wish to discuss this matter by phone, you are welcome to call me directly at 343-574-7255.

Thank you / Merci, Monique McCulloch Senior ATIP Consultant / Consultante sénior d'AIPRP Access to Information and Privacy Branch / Sous-direction de l'accès à l'information et protection des renseignements personnels Royal Canadian Mounted Police / Gendarmierie royale du Canada Leinik Mailstop #61, 73 Leikin Drive / Arrêt postal #61, 73 promenade Leikin Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0R2 monique.mcculloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 2:18 PM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

Dana-lee Melfi 5477 Matawatchin Rd. Griffith, Ontario K0J 2R0 Dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com 1-613-570-8990 March 5, 2026

Monique McCulloch Access to Information and Privacy Branch Royal Canadian Mounted Police Mailstop #61 73 Leikin Drive Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0R2 Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca (mailto:Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca)

Re: Privacy Act Request P-2025-01812 – Follow-Up on Incomplete Response and Unanswered Inquiries

Dear Ms. McCulloch, Thank you for your response dated March 5, 2026, to my Privacy Act request submitted on May 14, 2025 (your file P-2025-01812). I appreciate the provision of the single notebook entry from Sgt. Mathieu Castonguy (Officer #57914). As invited in the response letter, I am contacting you to discuss this matter further, as the response is incomplete, does not address key elements of my request, and raises additional concerns about processing delays and compliance with the Act.

For clarity, my original request sought specific information related to my arrest on February 19, 2022, by Officer #57914 on Wellington Street, Ottawa:

- Operation name and file # under which Officer #57914 was operating on 2022-02-19.
- Files, notes, video regarding Dana-lee Melfi from Officer #57914 and attached operations.
- All disclosure provided to Ottawa Police for charges relating to Officer #57914's arrest of Dana-lee Melfi.
- Records related to the citizen camera footage (OTT00029875.0001-01 or similar, as referenced), which was used in the operation and is evidence in my arrest—previously claimed "unable to locate" in ATIP A-2023-16759, despite the RCMP Commissioner's sworn testimony confirming access.
- Any and all information concerning Dana-lee Melfi within RCMP records from 2022-01-25 to 2022-03-25.

The provided notebook entry (in French) appears dated February 19, 2022—not February 19, 2022—the date of my arrest. An informal English translation reads: "3:45. Ok. Hôtel's shift waiting behind the line in 11:00. Escorting handed prisoner by RCMP member [...]. Verbal interaction. Handed to Ottawa Police for arrest and process." While this document confirms RCMP involvement in arrests and handovers to Ottawa Police (aligning with broader concerns I've raised in complaints to CRCC, LECA, IOP, and OPIRD about omissions in records, POEC submissions, police files, and court disclosures), it does not pertain to my specific arrest or fulfill any of the requested items. Personal information was exempted under s.26, which I note but do not contest at this time. I accept the offer for an official translation of this entry—please provide it in English.

Critically, the response marks the request as "completed," yet the vast majority of materials were not addressed. No explanations were given for the absence of records on the other items, such as whether they do not exist, were not located despite a thorough search, or are exempt (with specific reasons). This falls short of the duty under s.4(2.1) to respond accurately and completely.

Furthermore, the processing timeline raises serious concerns:

- The initial acknowledgment (May 13, 2025, from Angie McKissock) invoked a 30-day extension under s.15(a)(i), claiming meeting the original limit would "unreasonably interfere with operations."
- On [date of your follow-up email, e.g., shortly after May 13, 2025], I emailed Mrs. McKissock requesting a "complete and accurate explanation" for how this specific request interfered with operations, citing s.4(2.1) and s.15, and noting that backlogs from mismanagement do not justify extensions. No response was ever received to this inquiry, despite the Act's requirement for timely and complete assistance.
- The final response arrived over 9 months after the extended deadline (original 30 days + 30-day extension = "July 13, 2025), totaling approximately 10 months from receipt. This exceeds statutory limits without further notified extensions or explanations.

These delays and the unanswered inquiry suggest potential non-compliance with the Act's timelines and duty to assist.

To resolve this cooperatively, I request:

- A full, item-by-item response to my original request, including all located records or detailed explanations for non-provision (e.g., non-existence, exemptions with specific sections, search steps taken). If further searches are needed, please conduct them promptly.
- An official English translation of the provided notebook entry.
- A complete explanation for the extension under s.15(a)(i), including how this request specifically interfered with operations (as previously requested and unanswered).
- Details on the overall processing timeline, including any additional (unnottified) extensions, reasons for delays, and confirmation of compliance with s.4(2.1) and s.15.
- Welcome on next steps, such as internal review or complaining to the Office of the Privacy Commissioner (as noted in your letter).

I would welcome a phone discussion to clarify these points—please contact me at [Your Phone Number] to schedule. Alternatively, provide a written response within 30 days. Thank you for your attention. I look forward to a complete resolution.

Sincerely, Dana-lee Melfi

From: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Sent: March 5, 2026 10:27 AM To: Dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com <Dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Subject: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

Good morning Mr. Melfi – The processing of your Privacy Act request has been completed and attached are the response letter and release package.

Thank you / Merci, Monique McCulloch Senior ATIP Consultant / Consultante sénior d'AIPRP Access to Information and Privacy Branch / Sous-direction de l'accès à l'information et protection des renseignements personnels Royal Canadian Mounted Police / Gendarmierie royale du Canada Leinik Mailstop #61, 73 Leikin Drive / Arrêt postal #61, 73 promenade Leikin Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0R2 monique.mcculloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana_lee_ca@hotmail.com> Sent: March 5, 2026 11:29 AM To: McCulloch, Monique (RCMP/GRC) <Monique.McCulloch@rcmp-grc.gc.ca> Subject: RE: RCMP Request P-2025-01812 - Completed

From: Dana-lee Melfi <dana