

DANA-LEE MELFI

JUDICIAL REVIEW

613-570-8990
DANA_LEE_CA@HOTMAIL.COM
GRIFFITH ONT.

OBJECTIVE

1. **Certiorari:** an order that the decision under review is of no force and effect (i.e. quashed). If the Court decides that the decision cannot be upheld (for example, because the decision-maker lacks jurisdiction or there has been a breach of procedural fairness), the Court may grant an order quashing the decision. The Court may then send the matter back to the decision-maker or, in exceptional circumstances, make the decision it considers appropriate.
2. **Mandamus:** an order requiring a party to do something. If the Court determines that the decision-maker has a duty to do something, but they did not, then the Court may require the decision-maker to fulfil that duty.
3. **Prohibition:** an order preventing a decision-maker from continuing an unlawful process or action. If the Court determines that the decision-maker has no authority to do something or it would be wrong for them to do something, the Court may prevent the decision-maker from doing or continuing to do that thing.

SUMMARY OF GROUNDS FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW

Dana-lee Melfi v. Inspectorate of Policing (IOP), Law Enforcement Complaints Agency (LECA), Royal Canadian Mounted Police (ATIP), Ottawa Police Service (OPS), Department of Justice, and Office of the Information Commissioner

Applicant: Dana-lee Melfi (Peace-Man)

Date: June 2026

1. Overview

I seek judicial review of decisions, omissions, and ongoing failures by the named respondents. These have prevented correction of proven false information in official court records, Crown disclosures, Disclosures to accused and oversight complaints arising from my arrest on February 19, 2022. Because of strict time limits for filing judicial review, the respondents' stonewalling, false final reports, improper ATIP closures, and repeated rejection of new complaints have made timely compliance impossible.

2. Key Facts and Timeline

- September 23rd 2021 My ATIP to the Department of Justice (A-2021-00580) and the resulting **legally binding OIC Report and Orders (2024 OIC 53)** raised serious questions about federal mandates. I was standing peacefully with these documents when arrested, suggesting the documented pursuit of these records contributed to the targeted response. The Department of Justice found in breach of law and nothing done.
- February 19th 2022 was arrested and charged with four counts and “conditions” with zero provable grounds for arrest. Same date Ottawa Police service filled out the paperwork and later submitted to Crown Attorney, Courts, Disclosure to accused that Ottawa Police Service made the arrest.
- March 2022 Original OIPRD complaint made, never properly transitioned to LECA (CSPA s. 216). Was told “Nothing we can do until your charges are delt with and finished in the courts”.
- October 27, 2024 LECA complaint made and answered on March 14th 2025 Stating RCMP as an arresting officer #57914 and grounds to “terminate” case.
- May 14, 2025 RCMP Privacy Act Request – P-2025-01812 (EP2025_0340896) fulfilled on March 5th 2026 concluded Officer #57914 was NOT the arresting Officer. Directly contradicting OPS and RCMP statements to courts, Crown, IOP/LECA, and other bodies. Multiple admitted breaches of the *Access to Information Act* by RCMP (May 11 and June 1, 2026).
- March 10, 2026 Privacy Act request P-2025-16851 - Extension Notice Multiple admitted breaches of the *Access to Information Act* by RCMP (May 11 and June 1, 2026). All concerning the files I request to back up their oversight decisions.
- 2025 11 03 1:38 pm. OPS Criminal Complaint – Filed # 25-299498 Criminal report filed with Ottawa Police Service (per CRCC direction) regarding falsified documents and related offences. Unassigned for **eight months**; repeated calls confirm “no officer has been assigned.” Multiple official attempts to speak directly with the Chief of Police ignored. Update: June 3rd called OPS to ask one question on what officer has been assigned to 25-299498. Alex Wolf was confirmed to be the assigned Officer. Problem is Case 25-0127 from OPIRD, Alex Wolf # 2076 Police standards unit is in the criminal complaint specifically due to Original OIPRD complaint never properly transitioned to LECA (CSPA s. 216) & Obstruction of Justice. In this complaint, I formally requested a **citizen’s arrest** under **Criminal Code s. 494(1)** for individuals fleeing authorities and concealing indictable offences.
- January 26, 2026 IOP labelled the matter an “isolated administrative error” and closed the file despite new evidence.
- My ATIP to the Department of Justice (A-2021-00580) and the resulting **legally binding OIC Report and Orders (2024 OIC 53)** <https://www.oic-ci.gc.ca/en/decisions/final-reports/department-justice-canada-re-2024-oic-53> raised serious questions about federal mandates. I was standing peacefully with these documents when arrested, suggesting the documented pursuit of these records contributed to the targeted response. Now being discussed in the House of Commons Question 866 of the 45th Parlement. <https://www.ourcommons.ca/written-questions/45-1/q-866?expandquestion=true>
- Purposeful delays, improper closure of initial ATIP requests, forced resubmissions, and rejection of new complaints (“already dealt with”) have prevented timely correction of the record.
- Repeated polite requests to correct the record have received no substantive response.

3. Grounds for Judicial Review

The decisions and inactions are reviewable on the following bases:

a. Procedural Unfairness / Breach of Duty of Fairness

- Failure to “consider all things” and act fairly (CSPA and common law).
- Refusal to correct proven false information despite RCMP’s own evidence.
- Stonewalling and false “final reports” that do not follow the respective statutes have made compliance with strict judicial review time limits impossible.

b. Unreasonableness

- Labelling systemic record falsification and statutory breaches as an “isolated administrative error” is unreasonable.
- Continued delay and non-response to admitted ATIP breaches and the OPS criminal complaint (File #25-299498) are unjustified and unreasonable.
- LECA, CRCC & IOP outcomes based on incorrect information.
- It is unreasonable for these Oversight agencies to know the final reports were based on inaccurate information from RCMP and not correct the record upon request.

c. Jurisdictional Error and Failure to Exercise Statutory Mandate

- IOP publicly launched a province-wide inspection (February 9, 2026) into integrity and anti-corruption practices of all 45 municipal police services and the OPP, yet refused to investigate OPS non-compliance with CSPA, record-keeping and disclosure rules.
- Every action taken by OPS after the “hand-off” from RCMP was illegal; OPS knew or had access to know the true arresting officer yet filed falsified documents with the courts and Crown.

d. Obstruction of Access to Justice / Criminal Code Violations

- RCMP omitted required information in violation of the RCMP Act and, together with OPS, engaged in document manipulation (Criminal Code s. 366) and conspiracy (s. 465(1)(b)) to incarcerate an innocent person.
- I have invoked the **citizen’s arrest power under Criminal Code s. 494(1)** in the OPS criminal complaint for individuals fleeing authorities and hiding these indictable offences.
- Despite the legally binding OIC Report and Orders (2024 OIC 53), the RCMP has taken no action — contrary to the Commissioner’s statement that it is their duty to investigate and correct. Instead, the one person enforcing the law (myself) was jailed.
- The Department of Justice and Office of the Information Commissioner are central to the overall pattern and must also be included in Federal Court review.
- Alex Wolf # 2076 Pss@OttawaPolice.ca Police standards unit is in the criminal complaint specifically due to Original OIPRD complaint never properly transitioned to LECA under (CSPA s. 216). (A violation of CSPA) & Obstruction of Justice. Alex has now been assigned as the investigating officer to "criminal report" case 25-299498. A clear "Conflict of Interest".

4. Public Interest and Merit

This matter has generated significant public interest: hundreds of thousands of interactions across social media, email, and website; the website funded by regular Canadians for over four years dedicated to this investigation; direct donations from Canadians of a substantial 10k+ for my successful defense; Canada's largest independent newspaper with more than 500k viewers reading the articles written by Dana-lee Melfi "I asked if Trudeau's mandates were legal, Ottawa broke the law to hide the answer" & "Where Do You Stand? A Citizen's Four-Year Fight to Correct the Public Record". It has also produced OIC reports using the term "egregious," Written Question Q-866 in the House of Commons with support from my MP. Written about by Ryan Alford in the National Post "Secrecy Will Erode Trust" that has testified in Parliament many times.

Conclusion

I have a strong arguable case that the respondents have acted unreasonably, unfairly, and contrary to their statutory mandates. The June 1, 2026 communications and the full documented pattern, including my lawful invocation of Criminal Code s. 494(1) make judicial review both necessary and timely. Correction of the record is required to restore public confidence in policing oversight and the administration of justice.

Once communication is established, files will be provided.

Attachment: Key File Numbers and References
Dana-lee Melfi – Judicial Review Application
Date: June 2026

File / Reference Number	Short Explanation
OPIRD Case – 25-0127	Original OIPRD complaint filed immediately after February 19, 2022 arrest. Handled by Alex Wolf (#2076), Police Standards Unit (pss@ottawapolice.ca). Never properly transitioned to LECA under CSPA s. 216.
RCMP Privacy Act Request – P-2025-01812 (EP2025_0340896)	Processed and closed without addressing any requested items beyond the two pages from Officer #57914's notebook. Repeated questions about the incomplete closure ignored.
RCMP Privacy Act Request – P-2025-16851	Current ATIP/Privacy request (opened March 10, 2026). Multiple admitted breaches of the <i>Access to Information Act</i> (May 11 and June 1, 2026). Tasking still incomplete as of June 1, 2026.
Original DOJ ATIP Request – A-2021-00580	Request for legal justification of federal COVID mandates. Led to legally binding OIC Report and Orders 2024 OIC 53 . I was standing peacefully with these documents when arrested.
OIC Complaint File – 5822-03179 (2024 OIC 53)	Binding Office of the Information Commissioner report finding multiple breaches by Department of Justice; orders still not complied with.
OIC Report – 2024 OIC 05	Additional final report using the word “egregious” regarding government record handling.
LECA Complaints – E-202512221513039775 and E-202410211407481365	Both files abruptly terminated. LECA has failed to respond to any communication since issuing “Final Reports,” even after RCMP proof that their outcomes were based on false information. CSPA not followed in either case.
OPS Criminal Complaint – File # 25-299498	Criminal report filed with Ottawa Police Service (per CRCC direction) regarding falsified documents and related offences. Unassigned for eight months ; repeated calls confirm “no officer has been assigned.” Multiple official attempts to speak directly with the Chief of

Police ignored. Update: June 3rd called OPS to ask one question on what officer has been assigned to 25-299498. Alex Wolf was confirmed to be the assigned Officer. Problem is Case 25-0127

Alex Wolf # 2076 Pss@OttawaPolice.ca Police standards unit is in the criminal complaint specifically due to Original OIPRD complaint never properly transitioned to LECA (CSPA s. 216).

Ombudsman of Ontario – File # 474926

Complaint referred to Ombudsman; response: “While there may be no role for our office at this time, you are welcome to contact us again in the future.”

House of Commons Written Question – Q-866 (45th Parliament)

Tabled by MP Cheryl Gallant regarding DOJ ATIP handling and RCMP/OPS record issues. Monique McCulloch confirms ongoing delay and operational excuses; second admitted breach.

June 1, 2026 RCMP Email Thread

June 1, 2026 IOP Email Thread

Camille Prospero reiterates file closure and “administrative error” label despite new RCMP evidence; refuses to investigate OPS CSPA violations.

Note: All files are supported by extensive correspondence, the RCMP notebook pages (March 5, 2026) proving Officer #57914 was **not** the arresting officer, and the full paper trail showing stonewalling, false final reports, improper closures, and refusal to correct the record.

**This is a draft for explanation purposes.
I am not a lawyer.**