

SOURCE APPENDIX

Primary authorities and status notes

Supporting the Northbridge broker-dealer registration-risk white paper | Current through September 8, 2026

Classification: A = binding statute/rule; B = official guidance, proposal, recommendation, or enforcement material; C = judicial authority; D = reasoned inference. Judicial authority is controlling only in the relevant jurisdiction and on the issue decided. SEC staff no-action positions, proposals, committee recommendations, and settled orders are not rules or adjudicated findings.

01 A [15 U.S.C. § 78c\(a\)\(4\)](#)

Exchange Act broker definition: person engaged in business of effecting securities transactions for others.

02 A [15 U.S.C. § 78o\(a\)\(1\)](#)

Federal registration requirement for a broker or dealer using interstate means to effect or induce securities transactions, subject to statutory limits.

03 A [17 C.F.R. § 240.3a4-1](#)

Nonexclusive issuer-associated-person safe harbor. Includes threshold conditions and three alternative activity branches; failure does not create a presumption of violation.

04 A [15 U.S.C. § 78o\(b\)\(13\)](#)

Narrow statutory M&A broker exemption. Not a general private-capital-raising or passive-investor exemption.

05 A [15 U.S.C. § 78t](#)

Controlling-person, indirect-activity, and SEC aiding-and-abetting provisions. Each theory has separate elements and defenses.

06 A [15 U.S.C. § 78u\(d\)](#)

Civil injunction, penalty, and disgorgement authority, subject to statutory and judicial conditions.

07 A [15 U.S.C. § 78u-3](#)

Administrative cease-and-desist, accounting, disgorgement, and interest authority, subject to statutory conditions.

08 A [15 U.S.C. § 78cc\(b\)](#)

Contract consequence provision. Courts generally treat consequences as voidability subject to statutory relationship, directness, and equitable analysis.

09 A [15 U.S.C. § 78ff\(a\)](#)

Criminal provision for willful Exchange Act violations; statutory maxima and limited no-imprisonment rule/regulation defense.

10 B [SEC Guide to Broker-Dealer Registration](#)

Comprehensive Commission staff guide covering activity, transaction-related compensation, issuer personnel, private placements, separate payees, state requirements, and association.

11 B [SEC Broker-Dealers small-business page](#)

Current SEC small-business summary of risk factors and possible consequences; updated April 24, 2026.

12 B [SEC Exempt Offerings overview](#)

Current offering-exemption background. Supports separation of Securities Act offering exemption from broker analysis.

13 B [SEC Rule 506\(b\) Private Placements](#)

Current Rule 506(b) conditions, including the general-solicitation restriction and investor framework.

14 B [SEC Exempt Offerings FAQs](#)

Official state-law caveat: Rule 506 preemption does not eliminate state antifraud, notice, fee, or distinct intermediary requirements.

15 B [FINRA Rule 2040](#)

Directly governs FINRA members and associated persons making payments to unregistered persons; not an issuer safe harbor.

16 B [Brumberg, Mackey & Wall staff letter](#)

2010 staff denial involving limited introductions and percentage-of-capital compensation. Highly analogous risk signal, but fact-specific and nonbinding.

17 B [2020 proposed finders exemptive order](#)

Proposal only. It was not adopted and is not an operative exemption.

18 B [SEC staff proposed-finders comparison chart](#)

Staff chart expressly warns that the 2020 proposal could not be relied on unless adopted as a final operative order.

19 B [2026 Small Business Committee recommendation](#)

Nonbinding advisory recommendation to adopt a finders framework; confirms a framework remained a policy proposal in 2026.

20 B [SEC Chair remarks on no-action letters](#)

Official speech noting that staff no-action letters have no legal force or effect; speeches are not Commission rules.

21 B [SEC staff M&A letter withdrawal](#)

Confirms withdrawal of the earlier no-action letter after the statutory M&A exemption became effective in 2023.

22 B [Ranieri Partners press release](#)

Official summary of representative private-fund solicitation settlements, expressly noting settlement without admissions.

23 B [In re William M. Stephens](#)

Settled order describing unregistered solicitation, transaction-based compensation, and an industry bar; not an adjudicated finding against another person.

24 B [In re Ranieri Partners LLC and Donald W. Phillips](#)

Settled causing and aiding-and-abetting order with issuer-side facts, penalties, and supervisory suspension.

25 B [SEC civil penalty adjustment table](#)

Current adjusted per-violation ceilings; amounts are maximums and tiers require statutory predicates.

26 B [SEC 2026 no-adjustment release](#)

Confirms the January 2025 inflation-adjusted penalty amounts continue to apply in 2026.

27 B [SEC enforcement process](#)

Official overview of civil and administrative remedies, including injunctions, penalties, disgorgement, censures, suspensions, and bars.

28 C [SEC v. Feng, 935 F.3d 721 \(9th Cir. 2019\)](#)

Appellate broker finding based on recruitment, recommendations, negotiation/assistance, commissions, and totality. Controlling in Ninth Circuit.

29 C [SEC v. Collyard, 861 F.3d 760 \(8th Cir. 2017\)](#)

Appellate articulation of nonexclusive broker factors, including regular participation, commissions, advice, and active recruitment. Controlling in Eighth Circuit.

30 C [SEC v. Kramer, 778 F. Supp. 2d 1320 \(M.D. Fla. 2011\)](#)

District court rejected transaction-based compensation as automatically dispositive and found isolated activity insufficient on its record. Persuasive, narrow counterauthority.

31 C [EdgePoint Capital v. Apothecare Pharmacy \(1st Cir. 2021\)](#)

Success-fee contract unenforceable under Section 29(b) on M&A facts; registered affiliate did not save unregistered contracting entity. Predates statutory M&A exemption.

32 C [Regional Properties v. Financial & Real Estate Consulting \(5th Cir. 1982\)](#)

Real-estate limited-partnership syndication and unregistered consultant; Section 29(b) analysis with remand for equitable issues.

33 C [Berkeley Investment Group v. Colkitt \(3d Cir. 2006\)](#)

Section 29(b) requires a direct, inseparable relationship between prohibited conduct and contractual performance; collateral violations are insufficient.

34 C [Liu v. SEC, 591 U.S. 71 \(2020\)](#)

Supreme Court limits equitable disgorgement to net profits awarded for victims under Section 21(d)(5); later Congress added express Section 21(d)(7) authority.

35 C [United States v. Tarallo \(9th Cir. 2004/2005\)](#)

Explains willfulness in a securities-fraud prosecution and the Section 32 no-imprisonment provision. Not a broker-registration prosecution; use only for mental-state caution.

36 D [Northbridge application](#)

Severe-risk conclusion for the proposed 7% outside-solicitor model; lower-risk conclusion for a genuine internal fixed-fee scope; operational, diligence, and remediation recommendations.

Link and currentness notes

- United States Code links use the Office of the Law Revision Counsel preliminary edition available on the research date.

- The eCFR link is the current Rule 3a4-1 page. The SEC guide independently summarizes the same issuer-personnel framework. [17 C.F.R. § 240.3a4-1; SEC Guide to Broker-Dealer Registration.](#)
- Penalty amounts were checked against the SEC table and the July 1, 2026 no-adjustment release. [SEC, Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustments; SEC Release No. 33-11428 \(July 1, 2026\).](#)
- The 2020 finder material is labeled proposed in the title and throughout this package; no reliance should be placed on it as law. [SEC, Proposed Finders Exemptive Order, Exchange Act Release No. 90,112 \(Oct. 7, 2020\); SEC Small Business Capital Formation Advisory Committee, Finders Recommendation \(Apr. 14, 2026\).](#)
- OpenJurist and Justia links are used only for published judicial opinions where a stable court-hosted text was not located; the cases themselves are public judicial authorities.

Scope limit: This is a federal-primary-authority appendix with a state-law orientation source. It is not a 50-state broker/agent survey and does not determine which circuit or state governs an actual Northbridge engagement.