1	FAIRGROVE TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION
2	2800 NORTH THOMAS ROAD FAIRGROVE, MICHIGAN
3	WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 20, 2017 7:00 P.M.
4	
5	PLANNING COMMISSION MEMBERS:
6	Carl Childs, Chairperson Brian Pike, Member
7	Anne Leen, Member
8	Marilyn Grzemkowski, Member Jeff Montei, Member
9	Doug Shannon, Member Mike Day, Member
10	Bruce Turner, Zoning Administrator
11	FOR THE TOWNSHIP:
12	David Meyer, Township Attorney
13	SPICER GROUP:
14	Alan Bean, AICP Jennifer Clawson, AICP
15	NEXTERA:
16	Erico Lopez
17	Mark Trumbauer Dan Ettinger - Attorney
18	Rich Lampeter - Epsilon
19	Bourke Thomas - Atwell
20	
21	REPORTED BY:
22	Quentina R. Snowden, Certified Shorthand Reporter-5519
23	
24	
25	

1	MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ADDRESSING THE BOARD:
2	Dave Schmidt
3	Victor Trisch
4	Corey Guza Art Bernia Joe Baranic
5	JOE BALANIC
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		AGENDA	
2			PAGE
3	1	Call to order and roll call	0 4
4	2	Approval of agenda	05
5	3	Spicer Group Presentation	05
6	4	NextEra Presentation	14
7	5	General public comment	39
8	6	Adjournment	78
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	Fairgrove, Michigan
2	Wednesday, December 20, 2017
3	7:00 p.m.
4	CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Welcome to the
5	Fairgrove Township Planning Committee meeting.
6	The purpose of this meeting is to look over the
7	application from NextEra for a wind farm called
8	Pegasus. So I'm calling this meeting to order.
9	First order is is roll call. Pike.
10	MEMBER PIKE: Here.
11	CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Leen.
12	MEMBER LEEN: Here.
13	CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Day.
14	MEMBER DAY: Here.
15	CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Grzemkowski.
16	MEMBER GRZEMKOWSKI: Here.
17	CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Shannon.
18	MEMBER SHANNON: Here.
19	CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: And Montei.
20	MEMBER MONTEI: Here.
21	CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: And myself, Carl
22	Childs.
23	All right. The members, you've seen
24	the the agenda as printed. We need to approve
25	the agenda.

MEMBER DAY: I make a motion we approve the agenda.

MEMBER LEEN: I'll second.

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: And Anne seconded the motion. Is there a discussion on the motion? All those in favor say "Aye".

(All ayes.)

2.1

"Nay". Motion carried. So at this time, the public hearing is open for utility grid energy system. We'll have a brief overview. The proposed development and tonight's process by Spicer Engineering, who is represented by Mr. Alan Bean.

MR. BEAN: Let's see what we can do here. See how much real estate we have. Hi, my name is Alan Bean, I work with Spicer Group. We are the planning and zoning consult working with the Fairgrove Township Planning Commission this evening. I'm here also with Jenny Clawson, a planner with Spicer Group. I would like to go through a short PowerPoint about why we're here tonight. Briefly, this is a special meeting of the Fairgrove Township Planning Commission to review a proposal by NextEra Energy Resources

called Pegasus Wind, which is comprised of 37 wind turbines. Over here on the screen, I apologize for the layout of the room, if everyone can just turn to the left, that's where kind of the feature presentations will be this evening. So I -- I thank you for your patience with the layout of the room.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

So, to begin, this is our agenda for tonight. I hope everybody's got a hard copy, but this is a recap of what we're going to do this evening. Right now we are on 3(b), we're going to give an overview of what we're here for and what we're going to do. NextEra will then present their application and request that they made to the Fairgrove Township Planning Commission. Then this being a public hearing, we have to open up the floor to public comments regarding specific application. And then after we've gone through that whole process we'll close the public hearing, and then there's a staff report that we at Spicer Group have written and presented to the Planning Commission, and we'll go over that. And then the Planning Commission will deliberate on all of the information presented to them, and -- regarding any questions

or concerns brought before the public hearing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And then after the Planning Commission deliberates, we'll have general public comment and then we'll adjourn. slide. Kind of a bit of a background. Fairgrove Township, utility-scaled wind energy system or utility grid wind energy system are -- is what you see out on the landscape here in this little county. The wind turbines, the wind farms, they are allowed by a special land use in the wind energy overlay district of Fairgrove Township, and that district covers the whole Township. So essentially, per the zoning ordinance, utility-scaled wind is allowed throughout. That is their zoning map and it's just kind of giving you a window into what's -- what's the zoning landscape here in Fairgrove Township.

On the next slide, currently,

Fairgrove Township is home to 37 wind turbines.

They are about 426 feet high each. They have a rate capacity of 1.7 megawatts. They have a power purchase agreement with DTE, which has been in effect since November of 2013. This is a project that was developed by NextEra Energy

Resources and extends into Akron, Gilford and Wisner Townships. So this Planning Commission has already gone through the wind energy zoning process before. Next slide, Jenny.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

So, that process in 2013, as is tonight, is a special land use process, and that's a use in a zoning district that fits -- that is determined to fit -- the question before the Planning Commission, is it a use that will fit within the overall zoning of the area. It requires an additional review, which is this public hearing, in noticing to the -- the property owners and those within 300 feet. All of these considerations and standards are described in the zoning ordinance. There are general standards and then there are specific requirements that go down to the site design of the turbine locations and other ancillary facilities. essence, if the standards are met the Planning Commission must make a decision on the special use permit request and this is stated in the Zoning Enabling Act. Next slide, Jenny.

So I had mentioned the special use has general requirements and specific

requirements. Section 706 of the Fairgrove

Township zoning ordinance lists the four

general standards. Then on the next slide

there are about 20 specific design standards

and submittal requirements that the Applicant

needs to meet, everything from their site plan,

visual impact, whether it's avian and wildlife

impact, shadow flicker, sound, safety,

electromagnetic interference, just to name a

few.

2.1

On the next slide, thinking through what's going to happen tonight, let me -- let me just take a step back and say, we are Spicer Group, we are planning and zoning consultants for Fairgrove Township, we are working for Fairgrove Township. We have been in receipt of the NextEra Energy application for over a year, and let me explain that a little bit.

In 2016, NextEra Energy Resources came before the Fairgrove Township Planning Commission to seek a special -- special land use permit approval on the Tuscola Wind III application which proposed 17 turbines. In 2016, 53 weeks ago, the Planning Commission approved that application. So tonight, NextEra is coming

before the Planning Commission with an amended special use permit application which contemplates 32 turbines within the Township.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

So, we have been working with Fairgrove Township for over a year. Just looking back at what's happened over the past year in the area, we are here today with a revised application and we have reviewed it and provided a staff report and recommendation to the Planning Commission. So we are here to help the Planning Commission, we are here to assist Fairgrove Township in furthering that role we are facilitating tonight's public hearing. there's questions, anything that comes up from the Planning Commission we're going to clarify what's in the zoning ordinance, what are the rules, what is -- what needs to be done tonight and then we're going to make sure that the developer follows the rules, that is, has NextEra Energy looked at the zoning ordinance and developed an application that is -- that fits with what's required in Fairgrove Township.

The next slide, just think of this as here we all probably have very different ideas and thoughts tonight to tonight's public hearing.

I'm asking everybody to be respectful of everybody. This is your turn to speak, we have a podium up here and a microphone. We ask that you when you approach the podium that you limit your comments to four minutes. Please state your name and address so that we can get who you are into the record. We have a Court Reporter here tonight who is transcribing the whole meeting and public hearing.

2.1

Again, be respectful. We all want to hear what you have to say. We, Spicer, will be writing down your questions and we will be relaying them to the Planning Commission after the public hearing. Just to clarify, we're here to look at the special use permit application, the big picture. That is to say, does the utility-scaled wind farm fit within this area of Fairgrove Township in terms of the site specifics, you know, where the turbine -- where are the turbines are going to be located, that sort of thing. That will be deferred to site plan review at a later date. So this is the first two steps for the Planning Commission.

Jenny, next slide.

Okay. So just to review, I

probably peppered my -- my remarks with tidbits that are already collectively placed on the So what is under consideration slides. So, the application, the Pegasus tonight? application, that version was submitted on November of this year. It is asking for 32 wind turbine locations which includes two alternates. There are three turbine heights. One is 499 feet. One is 486 feet. And one is 453 feet. Of those three heights there are two rating capacities, 2.5 and 2.3 megawatts. course they're going to -- each turbine is going to have an access road, we have to have an electrical collection system of getting the power generated from the turbines to the substation. There's a transmission line connection from the substation to the interconnected IPC.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This application for the Pegasus

proposal has been reposted on a WordPress type of

free blogging website, it's at

spicerplanning.wordpress.com. Everything has

been liked into a Dropbox link so many of you may

have already looked at that before coming

tonight, maybe not. If you want to look at it,

that's the web address. Okay. Let's see.

2.1

I think I've covered everything.

Jenny, what's on the next slide? We're just recapping the agenda. Great. Okay. So we're at 3(b), 3(c) will be the Applicant. I think I'll turn it over to Erico. With that, I do want to ask the Planning Commission before we transition to NextEra, do we have any questions or any procedural things we need to clarify before we move forward?

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHILDS: Not as far as I} \\ know.$

MR. BEAN: Okay. Great. Great.

Thank you. Thank -- thank you for listening. I
will hand this off to Erico.

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Just bring that back here.

MR. BEAN: Yep.

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Thank you. While we're waiting, I do want to state one thing, I was the one that asked for this layout of the room. Last time we were sitting in front of the screen as the Board and we could not read what was on the screen, and so that's why I asked to have it this way. Everybody can read it this

way. So, I hope it's working out well for everybody. And I think he has it up and working.

MR. LOPEZ: That almost became one of my worst dreams, or nightmares, I should say.

Thank you for your patience. So, good evening Fairgrove Township Planning
Commissioners. My name is Erico Lopez, NextEra
Energy Resources project manager for the Pegasus
Wind Energy Center. Thank you all for the
opportunity to present before you tonight. My
hope is to have a brief, but informative
presentation that walks you through our company
and its investments in Michigan, our development
projects thus far, and then an overview of
Pegasus Wind Energy Center and how it complies
with the Fairgrove Township Ordinance.

with -- with us, NextEra Energy Inc. is a premier U.S. power company primarily composed of two strong businesses, Florida Power & Light and NextEra Energy Resources LLC. Florida Power & Light is Florida's main power utility and has been in business for over 90 years and currently serves over 5 million customers. NextEra Energy Resources is the number one generator of

electricity from the wind and sun in North

America. We've been in the renewables market for

nearly 30 years and have approximately 120

projects across the United States and Canada

consisting of almost 9,000 wind turbines.

2.1

NextEra has three wind farms in Michigan. In Huron County we have Pheasant Run and in -- right here in Tuscola County we have Tuscola Bay, which was our first project we developed in Michigan. And just outside the doors of this high school you'll be able to see the Tuscola II wind turbines which was the last project we developed -- we developed here in Tuscola County.

As you can see, these projects are a significant capital investment in the State and have contributed an estimated \$6 million in annual property taxes to their respective counties, townships and schools.

This slide is here to give a quick snapshot of our development process thus far. As you can see, we're currently in the permitting stage of the process, but our initial step of the process is to measure the anticipated project area's wind capacity. As all of you know, we

have a windy area here and that's evident in why we decided to build our two projects in the area. Our next step was to identify willing landowners that wanted to partner with us in -- in our project. That's been a process that we have really enjoyed due to the amount of great people we have encountered along the way.

2.1

I would like to state that we're still very much interested in having other landowners partner with us in the project no matter the size of their parcel. We really just want as many people to benefit from the project as a whole.

Contingent upon permit approvals, we hope to start construction for the Pegasus Wind Energy Center in the spring of 2018, but we will be beginning operations of the wind farm by December of 2018.

Our team is made up of a number of development specialists from NextEra Energy along with experts in sound and flicker, health, law and construction. The Pegasus Wind Energy Center will utilize 62 GE 2.5 and 2.3 megawatt turbines that collectively will produce 156.1 megawatts. Upon operation the project will have enough capacity to power 72,000 homes. The project will

contribute an estimated 35 million in property taxes of which 2.5 million will go directly to Fairgrove Township over the life of the project. These dollars are in addition to the millions of tax dollars still being contributed from Tuscola II to the Township.

2.1

Our primary commitment to Fairgrove

Township has and always will be to be a good

neighbor. We believe we have shown this

commitment through our Tuscola II project and aim

to build upon this with the Pegasus Wind Energy

Center. We will meet all of the provisions of

the Fairgrove Township zoning ordinance.

One thing we are really striving to bring with this project is an aircraft detection lighting system. As a result of being a part of the community and attending a number of community meetings, we understand that although mandated by the FAA, the red blinking lights are not liked, which is exactly why we are proposing the system. The system will essentially allow the lights to only illuminate when an aircraft is approaching the wind farm boundary and then extinguish the lights when the aircraft has left the project boundary area. If approved by the FAA, the

Pegasus Wind Project will be the first project to utilize this system in Michigan.

2.1

This portion of our presentation will concentrate on how the Pegasus Wind Energy Center complies with the Fairgrove Township zoning ordinance standards and requirements. I would now like to introduce Bourke Thomas, environmental consultant from Atwell to further the presentation.

MR. THOMAS: Thank you Erico. Again, my name is Bourke Thomas, the environmental consultant on the project from Atwell. Brief introduction of myself, I received my Bachelor's from Michigan State University in environmental studies and I received my Master's degree in business administration from Northwood. Worked at Atwell for 12 years. I also was part of the environmental permitting studies for Tuscola Bay, Tuscola II and Pheasant Run, so I'm very familiar with the projects.

So as part of our environmental due diligence that we did for the project we went through and followed the voluntary Fish and Wildlife Service land-based guidelines, which are laid out here.

We have Tier 1 and Tier 2 studies which include desktop reviews. So all of these tiers lead to the appropriate siting to reduce the impacts on environmental -- environmental impact of the project. So we did an environmental impact assessment. We did the desktop review of the natural resources. We did a site assessment that focused on habitat assessments and habitat reviews. We reviewed the available databases from the Federal government and the Michigan State DNR pertaining to protected and endangered species, our Tier 3 studies, which include Gilford.

2.1

We've conducted three years of avian eagle migration route surveys from 2014, 2015, 2016 and now we are in 2017, continue that. We have conducted two years -- two eagle nest resource surveys within the project area and a ten-mile buffer. We are proposing our third eagle use -- or eagle nest survey within the Pegasus project and it's ten-mile buffer this winter. So we will schedule that for at the end of this winter.

We have also conducted two years of bat acoustics, which is ground-based monitoring

where we submitted a study plan to the Fish and Wildlife Service. They have approved our site plan and conducted the surveys in 2016 and 2017. We submitted our results to the Fish and Wildlife Service and they concluded that the findings of our studies, they -- they concur with our studies that there were no threatened or endangered bat species observed within the Tuscola III or Pegasus (inaudible) boundaries.

2.1

Also, as part of the Tier 3 study we conducted environmental impact analysis which was submitted as part of this special use application.

Additional studies that we completed include wetland determinations and delineations. We identified all of the wetlands within the project infrastructure where the turbines are, where the access roads are, where the transmission line is, where the collection line (inaudible) substation will go in the temporary construction lay-down yard.

In addition to that, we conducted both desktop and pedestrian surveys for cultural resources where we went to the State Historic Preservation Office, we reviewed all of their

records and then we conducted pedestrian surveys and walked (inaudible) find any potential cultural resources.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

In addition to the due diligence in the field work, we have also consulted the agencies, and as part of that -- the findings of the results, we have not identified any federally threatened or endangered species within the project boundary. We have not observed any Federal or State threatened or endangered species nesting within the project boundary. From a State-listed perspective from the migrates -- the birds that migrate through here, we did have some low concentrations of State-listed species. We have not identified or observed any bat species within the project boundary. We have not identified any bald eagle nests within the project boundary. the bald eagle use within the project area should be considered moderate and consistent with the use of the surrounding or adjacent wind farms in the area.

The risk to avian and bat species should be considered relatively low and is consistent with the other projects in the region.

This is backed up by the post-construction studies, the results of the post-construction studies that NextEra has completed at Tuscola Bay, Tuscola II and Pheasant Run.

2.1

And in addition, the agency coordination that we have undertaken, we have met with the Fish and Wildlife Service, we have met with the Department of Natural Resources and we have met with the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality to discuss avian bats and wildlife permitting. As part of that, Pegasus Wind is expected to receive our water resources permit from the DEQ in the spring of 2018.

Although the project anticipates to have a low level of impact to avian bat species, Pegasus Wind is committed to completing the final stages of the tiered process that's associated with voluntary land-based and energy guidelines set by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

As you'll remember at the beginning of my presentation, we talked about Tier 1 and Tier 2 and the desktop reviews. Tier 3 is pre-construction field work. Tier 4 and Tier 5 are post-construction. So as part of the Tier 4, where NextEra is completing its one-year

where we will identify -- we will identify the turbines that we're going to review for mortality, we'll do an annual survey for mortality around the turbines. And then Tier 5 is what the Fish and Wildlife Service considers as -- is active monitoring throughout the operations. So as part of the voluntary effort NextEra's operational team will conduct their voluntary wildlife resource reporting system, which is the internal process that they set up for the wind turbine technicians to observe the wildlife, any wildlife reporting requirements during their standard operations.

2.1

So with that said, I'd like to introduce Rich Lampeter from Epsilon to discuss sound and shadow.

MR. LAMPETER: Good evening. My name is Rich Lampeter, I'm an associate at Epsilon Associates located in Maynard, Massachusetts.

Before I get into the sound and flicker discussion for the Pegasus Wind project, I just want to give a little bit of background about myself. I've been at Epsilon Associates for over 15 years. I'm also a member of the

Institute of Noise Control Engineering, a non-profit professional organization. Since 2004 I've been involved in over 70 wind energy projects across the United States and Canada. For these projects I have worked for various developers and also done third-party reviews presenting the findings of these analyses in front of regulatory bodies and giving expert sworn testimony. These projects have involved a modeling of all sound and shadow flicker, pre-construction sound level emissions and post-construction compliance sound level evaluations.

2.1

So in Fairgrove the sound pressure levels generated by a utility grid wind energy system shall not exceed 55 dBA for more than three minutes in any hour as measured at an inhabited structure on a non-participating parcel. This sound level limit is evaluated using an Leq sound pressure level. This metric is consistent with data provided by the manufacturer and previous post-construction monitoring evaluations. There's an International Standard, it's IEC 61400, which dictates how sound levels are to be measured and reported for

wind turbines. In following this standard, sound levels are presented in technical documents which are provided through GE or developer, and the sound levels in these are Leg sound levels.

2.1

They provide a range of sound levels, so a wind turbine is not always going to operate at a consistent sound level, it depends on the wind speed and how fast the blades are spinning, so it varies. And we look at the data provided and we use from this specification sheet the maximum Leq sound level that's provided for the operation of that wind turbine and that gets input into the model. We do not use an average for the year or a typical day, we look at the maximum Leq sound level for that wind turbine. The modeling consisted of evaluating two wind turbine types, as the project is going to have a mixture of wind turbines, a GE 2.5-116 and a GE 2.3-116 rotor diameter.

The sound level modeling was conducted using the CadnaA software package. This software package incorporates another International Standard, ISO 9613-2. This standard is not specific to wind turbines, although it's used throughout the industry for predicting community

sound levels due to the operation of wind turbines. It's used as well for various other pieces of equipment for evaluating community impact. So it can be -- it can be used for traditional power, fossil fuels, other types of industries as well; anything that's going to make a sound that someone is interested what that sound level will be at a distance, at a receptor, in the U.S. this is the standard to go to for -- for modeling.

2.1

The modeling was done at discreet points and also a grid. And the modeling included a two decibel uncertainty factor from the manufacturer. So the manufacturer says there's some uncertainty when they report a sound level plus or minus two decibels. And that's the typical value you'll see for wind turbines so we added two to the sound level provided by the manufacturer to go into the model.

Based on the modeling results, the maximum model Leq sound level is 47 dBA at a non-participating home in Fairgrove Township.

Therefore, we found that the project meets the regulatory limit here of 55.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

For shadow flicker the Township requires an analysis to be conducted which shows areas where shadow flicker may affect occupants and inhabitable structures or homes and describes measures that shall be taken to eliminate or mitigate the problems. Shadow flicker can be minimized through proper siting. And what that means is shadow flicker is very directionally specific, so you'll see in the report a butterfly shape that is generated from a wind turbine. based on that shape one can move the wind turbine in small increments and see potentially a significant benefit at a home, i.e. a reduction. So with the constraints that all come into play, one cannot just move it to just eliminate or always minimize, but there is some flexibility in siting the wind turbines, taking the other constraints into account to get to a reduced amount of shadow flicker. And as part of that process, multiple layouts were evaluated here, and the modeling analysis included 409 receptors in the Township.

So as part of building a model, the model is done using the windPRO software package, this is the industry standard for modeling,

evaluating shadow flicker from wind energy projects. So we input the receptors, the wind turbine locations, specific parameters for the wind turbines, so the hub heights, the rotor diameters, terrain, the percentage of expected sunshine, along with wind speed and wind direction information to get an expected annual duration of shadow flicker at the receptors. And we found here that there were two receptors predicted to experience greater than 30 hours per This is slightly different from the earlier report from the beginning of November which had three receptors. Both of these receptors are non-participating and they're just slightly above the 30 hours per year amount, the highest being 33 hours and 21 minutes per year. This analysis also is a conservative analysis, specifically on two fronts. The modeling treats each receptor as a greenhouse, so windows on all sides of the home.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And secondly, it's a barer scenario, which means there are no obstacles or vegetation which may screen a particular home from a wind turbine and reduce the amount of actual shadow flicker that -- that the homeowner would

experience.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And now I'm going to pass it back to Erico to elaborate on the mitigation plan.

MR. LOPEZ: Thank you, Rich. part of the Township Ordinance, we will mitigate shadow flicker through our complaint resolution process, whereby if a Township resident contacts us with an issue regarding shadow flicker, we will record it in our complaint log and report it -- report all issues to the Township Board on an annual basis. We will contact the -- the Township resident within 24 to 48 hours. We'll go out and confirm the issue with the resident. And then once the issue is confirmed, we will develop a strategy with the resident to address the issue and implement it through commercially reasonable mitigation alternatives, which include the installation of awnings, blinds and vegetative screens.

I would like to report that in the five years since we developed our first project, and implemented our complaint resolution process there have been six issues related to shadow flicker, and reported. And all six have been resolved to the full satisfaction of the

landowners.

2.1

With respect to decommissioning, our decommissioning plan for this project evolved after our (inaudible) current on file for Tuscola II. The posted bond covers the Township in the unlikely scenario that NextEra goes away and the Township has to take down the turbines and return the ground to its natural state. We would like to state that there is no need to bond for the decommissioning of a single turbine. I know that that has been bantered about in certain circles, and we as a company have a responsibility to deliver a certain amount of energy to our offtaker, so when a turbine goes down, it is our contractual obligation to get that turbine back online as soon as possible.

If a turbine has to come down that is not a decommissioning of that turbine, because we are then going to be rebuilding that turbine, because we still have to develop those megawatts, or produce those megawatts, I'm sorry, into the grid. So decommissioning means taking down and not putting it back up. I just want to make that -- that clear.

Our turbines have been sited not to

interfere with communication systems, including existing microwave communications. We will address any issues related to electromagnetic interference through our complaint resolution A replacement signal will be provided to any affected parties and the interference, if occurs, has to be mitigated to the affected party's satisfaction. We are, however, required to file our turbine placements with the National Telecommunications and Information Administration The NTIA will then review our for review. turbine locations and identify if there are any telecommunications signal interference. This is a process that is very similar to the FAA's process, we submit the locations, they'll let us know if we're interfering with any telecommunication signals. Some, as you know, due to Homeland Security aren't, you know, put out there, so they'll let us know what's behind the curtain and if we have to move any turbines.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

As previously noted, we will pursue the FAA's approval for an aircraft detection lighting system. And so, again, we're really trying to make sure that this -- this aircraft detection lighting system occurs where we would

be really excited to be the first wind energy center in Michigan to have this. And, you know, it's a process where we will file with the FAA and -- and -- and hopefully get -- get approved for it so that we can -- we can install this.

One note that I'd like to make is that the aircraft detection lighting system essentially has to be installed in the wind project once it's operational. The FAA won't just approve it until the -- before the project is approved they are going to have to have the turbines operational, install the system, make sure it actually works and then sign off on it. So that's how the process actually works.

2.1

Now, we inserted a couple of slides here with regard to property values. I wanted to take a quick opportunity to touch upon the notion that wind turbines lower property values. In our industry we only rely on scientific data. We cannot rely on anecdotal remarks or studies that are not peer-reviewed. So, with that said, I'd like to quickly touch upon three studies that all -- excuse me -- three studies that all conclude that there is no statistical evidence that wind turbines have a measurable impact on

property values.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

As you can see, the first study -studies we've highlighted are the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory study from 2009 and the follow-up four years after, which both conclude that no statistical evidence that operating wind farms have any measurable impact on home sale prices. We call this -- these studies out because these studies have taken time to -- to undertake. The first study from 2009 analyzed more than 50,000 homes near 67 wind facilities and reviewed over 12,000 sales within one-mile of a turbine across nine U.S. states. The point I'm trying to make here is that these studies take time, they -- they have to use a lot of data, and it is not something that you can just say, "Oh, look, as a result of the wind turbine, nobody wants to move in this area." You know, we can't rely on information like that.

The follow-up we have the 2013
University of Rhode Island study which analyzed
over 48,000 home sales within five miles of the
turbine sites. And we also have another study,
the 2014, again produced by the Lawrence Berkeley
National Laboratory, and in conjunction with the

University of Connecticut that analyzed more than 122,000 homes. And that particular study also found that no unique impact on the rate of home sales was -- was found near wind turbines.

2.1

All right. Getting to community benefits. This is actually one of my favorites, because as we permit projects, at times, you know, it's an arduous process, we have to do a lot of work and come before -- come before planning commissions and boards and we -- we like visiting you guys, but it takes a lot of time on and it takes a lot of work from our team.

Our -- our pride and our goal, aside from developing wind energy centers, is to work with communities, and like I said, be good neighbors, be good stewards and business partners in communities. Our community benefits to Fairgrove Township and to Juniata Township are as listed right there on the screen. But we want to concentrate on a picture that you can actually see, and that is actually a picture of some Akron-Fairgrove High School teachers and Caro High School teachers that actually joined us in this very room on -- for a KidWind program.

Now, the KidWind program is actually a 1 2 program where the teachers come together for a 3 day-long seminar and we, with another science 4 teacher that runs the program, teach 5 these -- teach these instructors all about wind And the purpose of this is to bring 6 7 these lessons back to the classroom so that the 8 students, the children can actually learn about 9 wind energy. I know that when I first came to 10 Tuscola County and noticed the turbines right 11 away and, you know, it was just -- it was 12 interesting to see this -- this -- this piece of 13 machinery moving, you know, on the landscape. 14 And I'm sure that children that grow up in this 15 area have a ton of questions about these 16 turbines. And so, we as a company thought, 17 what's a better way to teach kids about wind 18 energy than to come in, have a day with the 19 teachers and essentially through that program, we 20 give the teachers a stipend so that they can go 2.1 out and buy all of the school supplies that they 22 need and then they actually bring this lesson 23 back to children. And at the end of the day, 24 the -- the kids have a scheduled tour of the wind 25 farm so that they can actually go up to a

turbine, touch it, go inside of it, see how it works, listen to it, see that it's not filled with oil like some people think they are.

And -- and can actually learn about wind energy.

The U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics just announced that the fastest growing job in the United States of America is a wind technician.

And so our hope is that one day some children from Tuscola County, Fairgrove Township can become wind technicians and can come work for us and learn all about wind energy.

2.1

So that was a really good day and teachers got to go out with Steve Gauger's team, head of operations and management, were able to let them see the turbine up close which we thought was beneficial.

So -- the project in itself will create up to 350 construction jobs, 7 to 12 full-time, high-paying jobs, and if I could just make a quick note about those jobs. You know, if you go to our operation center, you'll understand that all of our wind technicians are from Michigan. A lot of them started off working in South Dakota or Texas and other places and with the development of Tuscola Bay, Tuscola II and

Pheasant Run, they were actually able to move back home and raise their kids in the State where they grew up in and still do their job and have a high-paying job and a quality job. So we're really proud of that, that we can bring Michiganders back home. So, I won't -- I won't belabor the point with regards to the tax revenue and what have you, but, you know, we take -- we take a lot of pride in becoming a good business partner in the community.

2.1

So our proposed SLUP conditions, and I will go through these very quickly for the record, but Pegasus Wind will submit site plans for review and approval. Pegasus Wind will submit updated insurance policy certificates on an annual basis. Pegasus Wind will attain all applicable Federal, State and local permits. Pegasus Wind will update visual impact documentation. Pegasus Wind will provide a voluntary one-year post-construction mortality monitoring study. Pegasus Wind will provide a voluntary wildlife resource reporting system. Pegasus Wind will comply with the requirement of a post-construction sound measurement program, provide it to the Township within 60 days of

commercial operation. Pegasus Wind will enter into a mutually agreeable decommissioning agreement prior to construction, will propose the same form and methodology as Tuscola -- as the Tuscola II decommissioning agreement. Wind will replace any signal for any affected party and will restore reception to at least the level present before operation of the wind energy Pegasus Wind will implement a complaint system. resolution process described in its application and will provide the Township with annual Pegasus Wind will install an ADLS, once reports. approved by the FAA will provide status updates in the process as appropriate. Pegasus Wind will submit a summary chart derived from the manufacturer's Material Safety Data Sheets showing the type, quality of all materials planned to be used at the site during operation. Pegasus Wind will ensure that any damage to a public road located within the Township resulting from the project will be repaired at Pegasus Wind's expense. And Pegasus Wind will provide as-built drawings of the utility grid wind energy system.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And with that I'd just like to wrap

up with saying that the application complies with all of the requirements of the Fairgrove Township zoning ordinance and Pegasus Wind respectfully requests the Planning Commission to approve our special land use permit. Thank you again for allowing us to present tonight, we're here for any questions at the time that it's appropriate.

2.1

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Thank you, Erico. All right. At this time we're going to open up for public comment. As most of you I think have already read, anyone wishing to address the Planning Commission can do so at this time. And speakers will be limited to four minutes apiece. Individuals will be asked to state his or her name and address. And minutes can't be given to somebody else if -- and when we're all done with the first round, if it doesn't take a long time we may open it up for people that have four more minutes at the end, but starting out, you're going to be limited to four minutes. So, go ahead.

MR. SCHMIDT: Hello. My name is Dave Schmidt. I'm a property owner in Fairgrove

Township and I'm very much in favor of the wind

turbines. I think NextEra Energy does a real good job as far as being a credible company, they have a conscious, they -- they respect the -- the landowners and the people surrounding the turbines. And I think you'll see that over the years that -- that they've done a very good job. Plus to have the -- the wind turbines provide a lot of employment. They provide a good source of clean renewable energy which the entire country is looking for, and I just wanted to mention that I'm very much in favor of the new wind turbine projects.

2.1

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Thank you. Next?

MR. TRISCH: Vic Trisch, I live in

Fairgrove Township on Gilford Road. And I want

to thank you, first of all, for the hard work

you've put into coming up with an ordinance and I

do believe and hope that you have the best

interests of the residents of the Township

foremost in your mind and not personal gain;

hopefully that was a secondary issue.

I have a question for you, however. I was offered -- well, I was approached four times this summer to lease property. And the last one I was offered \$36,000 to lease my house and the

acre or two that it's on. And I wonder if every homeowner in Fairgrove Township was offered that same deal or if I for some reason was blessed with that? So that's a question I -- I'm asking. And it made me wonder if -- I'm -- I'm immediately assuming maybe that I was not the -- I was maybe the only one, that I know of, that was offered that amount of money. I'm wondering why the -- why would I be made that And the only close answer I got to that during this conversation, was that it would help in site placement due to boundaries, or something, as a participate -- participating property. But my location has nothing to do with that, so then I become more suspicious and I'm wondering if I might -- maybe am one of the two that are projected to be impacted by flicker above and beyond the ordinance that we've worked so hard to establish. I don't know if these residents have been notified that they're in the problem area or not. And I may be way off base and I may be way off the mark, but that's the only thing I can think of that they might offer me that money. Because it would kind of shut me up, you know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

So, I don't know if anyone is able to 1 2 give me an answer to that; am I one of the 3 projected? And -- and I think it's unimaginable that we would subject a resident to undo flicker 4 5 over and above what we've established as being reasonable. Knowing by their own admission that 6 they'll be impacted beyond what we've established 7 as a safe and reasonable amount. So if I am one 8 9 of the two, I guess it's down to two now, that is in that I would like to announce my objection to 10 11 a variance of our ordinance. So there's no 12 misunderstanding. So I don't know if I'll get an 13 answer tonight or not, but probably sleep better 14 if I knew what was going on.

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: All right. As we have done in the past, the answers will come after. This is not a discussion time. But, I know of one -- one that is in -- in -- over the 30 hours, but I -- I don't know of the second one. So we'll find that out.

MR. TRISCH: Okay. Appreciate that.
CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Next?

MR. GUZA: Hi. Corey Guza, 4729

Darbee Road. I want to say thank you to working and so on the radar light, very excited about

that. Very excited about that opportunity. It's a big deal. I know it's not a part of the ordinance, as it is stated and it's going to require some work on NextEra's part, but if there's anything you want, I personally can do to help, or write letters, or help the situation with the FAA, or get groups of people to help with that, I'd be very excited. But I think --very excited about that. I think that's a great -- great opportunity, the first in the State and so I applaud your effort there, that's very good. So thank you, very much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Next? CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Thank you. MR. BERNIA: I am Art Bernia. in Akron Township. I just kind of wanted to say -- kind of give a report on the effect it's had on our community, these last two projects. don't know everybody really realizes or feels it directly, but it's had a real big impact on our school district and our community. You know, when it's come to our -- to our district or our area, you know, I was a little on the fence and wasn't sure if I was for it or against it, but we are a participating landowner -- landowners, and it's been a really good thing. You know, it all

kind of started to affect the district when Akron-Fairgrove first got their first bond passed, you know, and that money kind of rolled into this district. And that school really gained traction from that. And I just talked to the Superintendent last night and we are up 41 kids this year, and that's a big accomplishment. And school districts and communities aren't all about money, but you have to get a boost to get And, you know, it all starts with that, you know, and of course it ends with parents, good parents of the community, good staff, administrative staff, teachers and the whole package, but this project, these last two projects have really helped this community and bring the school district together. We're really proud of this community coming together with this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And NextEra has been a very big part of that, you know, and like I say, we're really proud of that and, you know, it's been a big deal. And this tax revenue, you know, we see it up there on the screen and it says 25 million, it doesn't mean a lot to you, you know, but when it affects your schools and your kids, you know, it

really comes home. And I just want to make sure that we're all thinking about that.

2.1

You know, and I know that it affects some people's houses directly and shadow flicker and I understand that. You know, my dad's house has got some shadow flicker, you know, and we have dealt with it and we've gotten around it. But that impact, you know, to this community is important. You know, this school district was falling around and around itself and it's really come back and I'm just really proud of that.

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Thank you. Next?

MR. BARANIC: Hi, my name is Joe
Baranic. My address is 1350 South Ringle Road,
Caro. I live in Juniata Township. Wednesday,
June 14th, 2017, we had a house fire on Dixon
Road just west of Ringle. When they called 911,
it was 55 minutes later before the first fire
truck came. We're four-and-a-half miles from
Fairgrove. That house is totally destroyed now.
I'm looking forward to the wind turbines. Maybe
we can have more money coming in for the fire
departments so we don't have to go through this.
Juniata Township is always struggling to pay for
the Vassar and Fairgrove fire departments, the

money. And I -- I just hope you use some common sense.

2.1

I've never heard of shadow flicker
before in my life until I heard of a wind
turbine. I've been a truck driver for 42 years.
And when you go north, or south on a two-lane
road, where there's a lot of trees, and it's
sunset, or sunrise, holy smokes, there was all
kinds of shadow flicker I had. In all those
years. I never knew anything about it until now.
But I'm still alive, standing here talking to
you. Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Thank you. Next? Anyone that spoke already want to speak again?

I'll give you a couple more seconds to make up your mind and then we'll close the public comment period if nobody comes forward.

Okay. Thank you. We're going to close the public comment period at this time. I will try and answer some of the questions that were brought up. I have no idea about the \$36,000 that, Vic, you were offered. I do know that property owners, if they just own a house, are offered some money. I think it's around a thousand dollars a year if they sign up. There's

some restrictions and stuff that go along with 1 2 it, but you have to take that up with NextEra. Ι 3 do know that some people in -- in this area have signed those types of agreements with NextEra. 4 5 Do you know the two locations, two houses that are getting the shadow flicker that is over? 6 7 MR. LAMPETER: We do know those two locations. However, we don't have the exact 8 9 addresses, we know the parcels. We would have to research and address that. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: I remember seeing 12 one on there, it's on Fairgrove Road -- on Hinson Road. 13 I don't have the exact 14 MR. LAMPETER: 15 address, but I can point you to where they -those two homes are. In the latest revised 16 17 report dated November 21st of 2017, if you look 18 and draw a line between wind turbines 19 and 23, this is on Figure 3-2. 19 20 MR. BEAN: Carl, would you like me to 2.1 pull it up on the screen? 22 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: What's that? 23 MR. BEAN: Would you like me to pull 24 it up on the screen? 25

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS:

Yeah, yeah, if

I'm quite sure that Linal Baur is one 1 you can. 2 and I don't know who the other one is. MR. LAMPETER: And I have a figure 3 here, I could kind of bring it up and show 4 5 everybody exactly where it is, if that's helps as well. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: While they're 8 looking that up, as was stated in how they done 9 the modeling was just you had a greenhouse and if you don't -- some of these parcels may have a 10 tree that blocks some of that, or may not even 11 12 have a window on that side of the house. that is one of the reasons that each individual 13 14 one has to be looked at. 15 MR. BEAN: Is that the right area, generally? 16 17 That does look CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: 18 like your house, Vic. MR. TRISCH: Yep. Yep. So is there 19 20 a -- what -- with so many ordinances in place, if 2.1 there's an objection to a variance to that zoning ordinance, does it present a problem for you as a 22 23 Board to okay that location? 24 MR. LOPEZ: If I could just explain a

25

little bit.

MR. DEFENDANT: Sure.

2.1

MR. LOPEZ: And I don't want to speak out of turn, I don't know if the Board wants to go, but essentially the ordinance states that we have to identify those locations that are over 30 hours, it is not a 30-hour limit. So we have identified those two locations. It -- we -- we understand that it is, again, not a limit, we would not require -- it would not require a variance. We will work, like we have worked with every other landowner, if a landowner doesn't --

MR. TRISCH: (Inaudible) and can of black paint is not going to satisfy me. If I wanted awnings, I would have them on my house now.

MR. LOPEZ: Again, as the -- as the Chair just explained, these models that we've run all are run on bare earth mentality with the home being modeled as a greenhouse. So, we -- we essentially don't understand if the house will -- that will be getting flicker, will be flicker on a wall that doesn't have a window, will be flicker on any potential tree screening, or if -- if a flicker occurs at a moment in time when the resident is not even there. 30 hours,

or 31 hours over the course of the year is broken 1 2 into three minutes at a certain time of the day. So that's -- that's how it's broken up. 3 not 30 hours, you know, like five hours a day or 4 5 something like that. It is --MR. TRISCH: So you're saying you 6 7 really don't know what you're talking about? 8 MR. LOPEZ: Thank you. 9 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: All right. MR. TRISCH: Well, I object to a 10 11 variance in our Township Ordinance that affects 12 me over the limits that you guys have said, this 13 as far as we're going to take this thing. 14 just -- you, I would guess have to, or I think 15 you should eliminate that site. Those windmills, if it's one or two that's causing the abundance 16 of flicker, I think they should be eliminated. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: We -- we will 19 discuss that when we go into our Board meeting. 20 MR. TRISCH: Thank you. 2.1 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Because, I -- I 22 understand where you're coming from. 23 Okay. I guess the other one was 24 the flicker, like I said, we've already

The other was the fire

discussed that.

25

department. I have no idea why it took them so long to get there, but of course that is a different organization than we are. They do get money from the Township, of course. And I know that Fairgrove Township has just given them, I think it was 30 -- it was over \$30,000 to get -- get new equipment. So, hopefully they are going to be improving on those.

2.1

All right. Along the same line, I have received a letter from a resident in Juniata Township, Carol Hess and I'm just going to go over it a little bit in that she was for the windmills. I also got 22 postcards back and every one of them -- they were passed out by NextEra and every one of them of course was for the windmills. If anybody on the Board wants to read Carol's letter or any of these postcards you're more than welcome to.

All right. At this time, we're going to go to presentation of staff, and the consultant report. Before we get to Alan, though, in the introductions when we first started the meeting, I didn't introduce Bruce Turner. Bruce Turner is sitting here at the end of the table. Your right end of the table.

He is the zoning administrator, he's the one who issues the permits, the zoning permits, building -- I shouldn't say building permits.

Building permit you have to get at Caro. And I also should introduce Dave Meyer, he's the lawyer that is representing us on this project.

So do you want to talk now Dave or wait and -- MR. MEYER: I think so.

2.1

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Okay. Do you want to go to the mic or this one?

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ DAVE}\colon$\ I$$ can use -- I can use that. Put my glasses on so I can see.

Thanks, Carl. In 2013, which was the first time that we had a wind project in Fairgrove Township, we did -- the Board did several things in addition to of course the -- the -- the ordinance amendments for wind projects. The Planning Commission also had to adopt bylaws to conduct its -- its hearings. Not only in wind projects, but really for any projects.

Under -- under Michigan law, you're allowed to have a Planning Commission with a variable number of members, up to nine. In this case, of course, Fairgrove Township has

seven -- seven members. So the question is, well how do you -- how do you -- or what does it take to adopt or approve a project? The Michigan law is -- is relatively clear on this. Once you have your -- once you know the number of Planning Commission members, before you vote on a project, you need to indicate whether you have a conflict of interest. I think you all understand the four categories of situations where can you have a conflict, we have talked about that before. you have a conflict of interest you can't vote and you -- you should not vote. Unless, and there are a couple of exceptions, one of which is where we don't have -- we couldn't even function and we can't conduct business, there aren't enough members to participate in which case there is an exception, but in most cases that does not apply.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

But, what the law provides and what your bylaws provide is that before you -- before the Planning Commission votes, you as Planning Commission members need to indicate whether you have that conflict. If you have the conflict I would -- then you would abstain from voting. And normally, I -- I suggest even though the bylaws,

and that we've talked about it, you probably 1 2 abstain from comment. You just -- you're here to listen, basically. And once the -- the vote is 3 taken, we determine -- whether the action has 4 5 passed not by whether a majority of the Planning Commission members present or the total Planning 6 7 Commission members have voted in favor, but whether a majority of the people that are voting 8 9 are in favor. And so, I guess at this point what I would ask is if a Planning Commission member 10 11 does have a conflict, indicate it, just generally 12 the type of conflict, and then we'll move on. So 13 we can start with you. 14 MEMBER PIKE: I'm Brian Pike and I 15 have a conflict of interest, because I'm going to have a wind turbine. 16 17 MEMBER LEEN: I'm Anne Leen, I have no 18 conflict of interest. MEMBER DAY: Mike Day. I have a 19 20 conflict of interest, potentially, with a 2.1 windmill. 22 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Carl Childs. Ι 23 have no conflict of interest. 24 MEMBER GRZEMKOWSKI: Marilyn

Grzemkowski, no conflict of interest.

25

MEMBER SHANNON: Doug Shannon. I do have a conflict of interest as a windmill will be on my property.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MEMBER MONTEI: Jeff Montei. I do
have a conflict. I have no -- no proposed
windmill on our property, but I am a lease
landowner which will supposedly get some of the
money or the 25 percent to the people that don't
have windmills on their properties.

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Okay. Thank you. So now we'll turn it back over to Alan to give us our report from the podium up there. I think all of you have seen this book in front of us. is the application that was sent to us with all of the legal and the technical terms and -- you know, from shadow flicker to bat studies to property that had wetlands on it and all that. And so we have hired Spicer Engineering to go through that. I think all of this have read through most of the application, so to familiarize ourselves we have hired Spicer to go over it and give an official report from the engineering side.

MR. BEAN: Thank you, Carl. You all -- you all should have a copy of the staff

report, ten pages of analysis. Essentially, we summarize the projects and we focus on probably -- yeah, about 32 items. Four of the items are the general standards for special uses and 28 are the specific technical requirements. And those 28 are listed on pages 4 through 8. And then our recommendation begins on page 8 and carries over onto page 10.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And on that recommendation on page 8 we have identified 12 items that we recommend as conditions to an approval. The application's very thorough. Again, this is an amendment to the Tuscola Wind III application from 26 rechristened, the Pegasus wind application. Comprised of 32 turbines, 32 turbine sites, two of those will be alternates. So, you've been through this, you've seen this report in some ways in a very clear respect. You approved an application from NextEra for your Township last December. Of course, things have changed. Layouts change, the number of turbines have increased, the amount of land that's leased has changed, and that's all documented in the application booklet you have before you. job, Spicer's job, is to give you that

recommendation of what you should do with that.

2.1

And we're recommending approval with conditions. And those 12 conditions encompass much of what Erico had on the slide earlier.

After we wrote the staff report and gave it to you we shared it with them so that they can get an understanding of where we're going with this.

Our recommendation, just kind of go through this quickly, a laundry list. And this is all in Section 708(d), which are your specific technical requirements.

Four, for site plans, they submitted preliminary site plans in their application, but at some point we're going to want to see the finalized site plans and we're going to review them at a separate meeting. So that's a condition, to submit those site plans.

Insurance, on 708(d)(5). That was outdated in the application. Since then we have received the updated insurance. I have copies of that we can share with you. I don't know if that needs to be a condition, but it was in our staff report and so that's why it's still in there.

Certifications in 8. That is your zoning ordinance stating -- requiring the

Applicant get all of the State and Federal approvals out of the way. We would like to see where the Applicant is at the time of construction in terms of like the DEQ, Fish and Wildlife Service, MDOT, Road Commission, all of those entities matter.

2.1

We also have D(9), visual impact. The application, before you had mislabeled information, Tuscola Wind III, Erico came up to me before the meeting and showed me that. They have got it right. They have got the information for Pegasus Wind. So, whether that's a condition, probably not. I think they're at a point and follow through with that.

In D-13, the material safety data sheets, we're just asking them to provide a list of all of the materials that they're going to have on site.

The next two of the report, these pertain to the site plans. 708(d)(18)(b) through (e) and 708(d)(h) -- excuse me, the (b) through (e). Those are your setbacks from homes from not participating lines. Those are on the site plans. That's not really a condition, but we're just noting that that information needs to be

part of the overall submittal. The sound modeling report next on 18(h). This is a post-construction activity. After they build the wind farm, they need to within 60 days of construction, show that the project is not providing over 55 decibels on non-participating homes.

2.1

Next are the construction codes on D-19, this -- this comes down to ADLS as Erico and the Applicant has pointed out in the presentation, they're going to seek approval from FAA to see if they're permitted to do ADLS.

Next on D-21 visual impact. At this time, NextEra does not have any other determination of a hazard letter sent to FAA, and that's usually -- usually that's the case when an application comes before you, you have an idea of how the FAA has come down on the location. So those DNH letters will need to be submitted prior to construction.

We have noted in the report the D-23 avian and wildlife impact we understand to be the Tier 4 and Tier 5 process that NextEra will do their WRRS process and have their, you know, continual reporting internally.

Nine on D-24, electromagnetic

interference. In the application there's just basically a map showing the beam paths. We know that there's a whole variety of electromagnetic beams, microwave, cellphone, radio, television, and I believe Erico had mentioned it earlier on the NTI report, that that will be submitted. And what I'm gathering from this is that because they don't have the FAA sites established they really haven't finalized that report of the full spectrum of electromagnetic waves that will come through your community. We're going to ask for that before construction.

Decommissioning, Erico alluded to this, you've been through this process four years ago. We will work with the Applicant and the Township Board to finalize a decommissioning agreement which spells out the terms of decommissioning per turbine and what -- what is considered a decommissioning event. Part of that will also be the surety bond to back that agreement up.

D-27, the Applicant will have to define and agree their complaint resolution process.

And finally in other we're requesting 1 2 as-built drawings and the details of, you know, 3 are they PDFs, electronic location data, et cetera. That's spelled out in the report as 4 well. 5 So to restate, recommending approval 6 7 with essentially what comes down to about 12 conditions. So with that I'm ready to turn it 8 9 over to you guys, but do you have any questions for me, Jenny or Dave about what's been submitted 10 11 so far or what's in our staff report? 12 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Anybody on the Board have a question for Alan? I guess not. 13 14 Thank you, Alan. 15 MR. BEAN: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Anybody on the 16 17 Board have a question for NextEra? 18 MEMBER MONTEI: Carl. 19 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Yes? 20 MEMBER MONTEI: I'm assuming -- Mr. 2.1 Meyer said that if you have a conflict you 22 couldn't comment? 23 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: That's kind of 24 what you said, wasn't it? 25 MR. MEYER: Well, the problem -- the

1	bylaws say before you vote you need to declare
2	the conflict. You would have to abstain from
3	voting. Do you have to abstain from commenting,
4	no, there's nothing in the bylaws that talks
5	about that. And I I've had other been in
6	other Planning Commission meetings where members
7	of the Planning Commission will will
8	certainly, you know, indicate if they have
9	information that they want to share with
10	their with their fellow members they can
11	certainly do that. Where you get a little
12	bit well, where I think where there's a
13	problem is where you start advocating for or
14	against that
15	CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Right.
16	MR. MEYER: you know, decision.
17	CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Right.
18	MR. MEYER: But if you have
19	information, certainly I think you you need to
20	share it.
21	CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Okay. Well
22	MEMBER PIKE: Erico?
23	CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Go ahead.
24	MEMBER PIKE: Erico, you have two
25	alternate sites, correct?

MR. LOPEZ: Yes.

2.1

MEMBER PIKE: Would you consider moving the one that impacts Vic the most?

MR. LOPEZ: So the actual location of the turbines will all be determined at site plan review. We'll definitely -- my team has taken copious notes here tonight and we definitely understood that this is an issue with the landowner and we'll understand exactly how it affects the property, and understand how we can remedy that situation moving forward. If we have to activate an alternate we will definitely do so, but again, we still have to understand the turbine placements a little bit better and that will all happen at site plan review.

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Okay. Anybody have any questions of Erico on that? Jeff, what did you want to --

MEMBER MONTEI: That was one of the questions that I -- if I can ask some questions?

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Yes.

MEMBER MONTEI: The other one was the aircraft detection system, you said that there has not been one here in the State of Michigan.

I'm assuming just by that statement that

they're -- that there are some in other States? 1 2 MR. LOPEZ: Yes, we're actually --3 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Three -- three 4 systems. MEMBER MONTEI: 5 So it is something that is up and working? 6 7 MR. LOPEZ: Has been done. 8 MR. TRUMBAUER: Good evening members 9 of the Commission, I'm Mark Trumbauer with NextEra Energy. We're currently installing three 10 systems in North Dakota. One of them is on a 11 project that I was in charge of, it's in Oliver 12 13 and Morton County, and the other one is in our 14 Brady project, which is in Dickinson County, 15 North Dakota. And that takes a longer process to get approved. The windmills are actually up and 16 17 running right now and we're currently installing 18 the radar system as the wind farms operate. 19 So --20 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Okay. Any other 2.1 questions? Any comments or are we ready to make 22 a decision? As it turns out, it's just Anne and 23 Marilyn and myself that will be voting.

this time I will make a motion that the special

land use permit for a utility grid wind energy

24

25

system comprised of 32 windmill locations, which is including two alternates, access roads, underground electrical power collection system, overhead transmission line, project's substation and ancillary facilities as described in the Pegasus Wind application submitted by NextEra Energy results on -- resources on November 3rd, 2017, which amends the previous approval special land use permit 2016-01 for Tuscola Wind III, and satisfactorily addresses the requirements of Section 706 and 708 of Fairgrove Township zoning ordinance be approved with the following conditions. And they would be the same conditions that Alan has -- has talked about.

Prior to site plan review, submit site plans that demonstrate conformance to the Township's setback, sound pressure, shadow flicker requirements including the requirements of Section 307 site plan review, as well as the project substation details.

Prior to construction the submittal of the information and approval -- or appropriate documents to ensure conformance to certifications per Section 708(d)(8). Submittal of Pegasus Wind visual impact documentation to ensure conformance

of visual impact per Section 708(d)(9). Submittal of summary list of the type and quality -- quantity of all materials used in operation per Section 708(d)(13). Submittal of FAA documentation of no hazard letters to ensure conformance to visual impact Section 708(d)(21). Submittal of fixed broadcast, retransmission, RTK, radio, television, or wireless phone study showing the Pegasus project does not produce any interference with signal or reception. is interference, the Applicant shall provide a replacement signal to the affected party per Section 708(d)(23). Finalize security (sic) bond and decommissioning agreement Section 708(d)(26). And provide the Planning Commission companies of any Federal Aviation Administration applications and correspondence indicating implementation requirements, if any, for the aircraft detection lighting system.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

We also need an annual submission of insurance, which he said that they have done.

Annual submittal of any Pegasus Wind project complaints to ensure confirmation (sic) with complaint resolution Section 706 -- or 708(d)(27). And within 60 days after commercial

operation, submit a sound modeling report that conforms to Section 708(d)(18)(h). And lastly, within one year after commercial operation, submit as-built drawings of the utility grid wind energy system, in electronic PDF and hard copy formats, including locational data of site features and any that can be read in both CAD and GIS mapping systems.

2.1

Is there a second to my motion?

MEMBER LEEN: I'll second.

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Anne has seconded the motion. Any discussion?

MR. BEAN: Carl, if I could interject.

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Sure.

MR. BEAN: Couple things just for the record. The submittal of the fixed broadcast retransmission, I think it was read as per Section 708(d)(23); should be Section 708(d)(24). And I believe the Applicant had on the screen earlier that they would agree to a condition regarding ensuring that any damaged roads are repaired.

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Right. We will include that damaged road -- we'll include the damaged road into that.

Carl, the other item, and 1 MR. MEYER: 2 I think we did this in -- when we went with the 3 earlier project, and that was to have -- or one of the conditions was that we would enter into a 4 5 mutually acceptable community host agreement as part of the process. I don't think that was --6 7 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: I forgot that 8 one. 9 MR. MEYER: We did that one --CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Right, we did. 10 11 So that will be another condition. That will be 12 added onto the back. 13 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Dave, can you 14 explain what you're talking about. 15 MR. MEYER: I don't have a copy of that -- of the earlier agreement, the community 16 host agreement. I know we had one. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: It was to do with 19 the --20 MR. TRUMBAUER: Tax Tribunal. 2.1 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: -- the Tax 22 Tribunal. Which you guys -- yeah, I know you'll 23 drop it, but the first one, Tuscola II, you 24 appealed the -- the tax evaluation and so forth, 25 and basically that's -- the agreement was that

you wouldn't do that this time.

2.1

MR. LOPEZ: So yes, that community host agreement was as a result of the -- the litigation -- the Tax Tribunal case that was currently on -- on record. We have since dropped that litigation and will be paying the -- the taxes that have been agreed upon by the townships and by the counties. So, that essential agreement was -- was almost a make whole agreement so that, you know, during the time of the Tax Tribunal case if we were saying we would pay X and there was a difference due to the -- the difference of opinion on which -- which tables to use --

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Right.

MR. LOPEZ: -- we would then pay that difference. Now that we've dropped that, we are agreeing to pay the whole -- the whole -- to use the -- the tables that the counties have agreed upon, and so -- so that's why, you know, we believe that that host agreement is -- is kind of a moot point currently.

MR. MEYER: Well, and this was in the December of 2016. That was the first public hearing.

MR. ETTINGER: We dismissed in the interim. So it's happened in between that time and this year.

2.1

MR. MEYER: We're not -- we're not spelling out the terms of that, it would be something -- the way it reads is, "Pending legal review by the Township, consideration of the host community agreement to be signed by a corporate officer of NextEra." And what -- what would be in that agreement, is something that I think you and -- probably Dan, you and I would need to discuss. But I -- I think there are several things that we have included in the host agreements in the past. I just don't have -- I mean, I don't have the agreements.

MR. ETTINGER: Just to tie the loose ends, something about it being consideration of a mutually agreeable host community agreement or something like that?

MR. MEYER: I think that's what it was, mutually agreeable host community agreement.

MR. ETTINGER: And I assume it will be as simple as confirming the dismissal and providing the necessary paperwork or something like that? We'll have to talk.

MR. MEYER: We'll have to talk. 1 2 MR. ETTINGER: All right. 3 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: All right. everybody on the Board understand what we're 4 talking about on this? Yeah, we done it last 5 year when we approved the Tuscola III, that was 6 7 part of it. So I don't see why it shouldn't be part of this one. Because this is just an 8 9 amendment of -- of Pegasus Wind -- Tuscola III. So mutually agreeable between NextEra and our 10 11 lawyer. 12 And Anne, since we added that before you seconded it, will you second the motion with 13 that addition? 14 MEMBER LEEN: Yeah, but I think you 15 16 should read it again. I'm just kidding. Yes. 17 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: I'll give it to 18 you to read the whole thing again if you want. I 19 don't think the public wants to hear me read it 20 again. 2.1 All right. Is there any other 22 comments or questions? If not, we'll do this by 23 roll call vote. Anne Leen? 24 MEMBER LEEN: For it. 25 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Yes.

Carl Childs, yes. 1 2 MEMBER GRZEMKOWSKI: Marilyn 3 Grzemkowski, yes. CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: 4 Okav. So, the Thank you. 5 special use permit has been approved. MEMBER MONTEI: Carl, can I ask --6 7 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Yes. MEMBER MONTEI: I should have asked 8 9 this before, can you restate -- all of the small landowners have the ability to sign a -- I don't 10 know what it is, but I'm assuming it's a thousand 11 12 dollars a year or something, could you explain 13 that and make that public? 14 MR. LOPEZ: To speak to the terms of 15 the contract, we probably won't do that in the public forum, just because that's confidential. 16 17 But, what I did say in my statements was that 18 yes, no matter the size of the parcel, if one 19 would like to sign up and be a participant in the 20 process, we would more -- we would be more than 2.1 happy to -- to have that landowner sign up with 22 us and -- and benefit from the project, yes. 23 MR. BEAN: And just to clarify, when

you do that, that's not part of tonight's

approval, but there's a whole list of parcels

24

25

that are part of the application that's the official composition of Pegasus Wind in Fairgrove Township. So after the fact sign ups would not be part of the setbacks, shadow flicker-type of requirements.

2.1

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Great. All right. I think that takes care of the reason we came here. But as our agenda states, we will open this back up to the general public for comment. Anybody like to make a comment at this time?

MR. TRISCH: Can I approach?

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Sure.

MR. TRISCH: As I age, I can't hear as well as I used to and some of the comments I wasn't able to hear. What just happened here?

Did he say, as I thought, he will look into it and maybe make some adjustments? Try to do something? Or --

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Basically when we get down to site plans, we will try to make it enforceable that -- that they meet the requirements. But we're going to look into any possible -- you know, I don't know where the shadow is coming from. It might be coming from a

side of your house that doesn't have any windows 1 2 on it, I don't know. You know, we got to look into all of that. 3 Well, flicker is flicker 4 MR. TRISCH: no matter where it hits my place, right? 5 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Yes, it does. 6 7 MR. TRISCH: And I might be in the backyard, does that mean I should turn my back to 8 9 comply and stay under the minimum requirement? CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: I -- I'm not a 10 11 hundred percent sure how we're going to resolve this issue, but we definitely as a Board are 12 13 going to try and protect your interest. 14 MR. TRISCH: I appreciate that. your vote to include the 32 windmills eliminate 15 the possibility of if there's no other solution, 16 eliminating the one that is causing the problem? 17 18 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: I can't answer 19 that question. There -- there was two alternates 20 included in that --2.1 MR. TRISCH: Okay. I don't understand 22 what that means. 23 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Well, they have 24 two sites that they may not put a windmill up on, 25 there are alternates in case when -- when Tuscola

II was built, there was a conflict right out here 1 behind the school, that they could not meet and 2 3 so they put one in Wisner Township instead of putting the one back here. And that Wisner 4 5 Township was an alternate, it was listed as alternate. 6 7 MR. TRISCH: All right. They always have 8 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: 9 a couple alternate locations in case they can't meet all of the requirements. 10 11 MR. TRISCH: Okay. Which --12 MR. BEAN: NextEra has been approved for up to 32 sites, but they can only build 30 13 turbines. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Right. 16 MR. BEAN: That's what we call two 17 alternate sites. Depending on issues, they can 18 play around with that. 19 MR. TRISCH: All right. Why would 20 they not go for the whole enchilada? 2.1 CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Can't answer 22 that. 23 MR. BEAN: My experience is that it's 24 good project planning, because when you dig for

the foundation you may not have the appropriate

25

soils and ground materials that just wouldn't support a foundation. That's usually the main reason -- my understanding, is the main reason for having alternates. But, you know, maybe NextEra would like to address that more specifically.

2.1

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: And I'm sure that they are selling this electricity and so they have 30 that are going to produce electricity that they're selling. The other two they're not projected in there, they're not committed to those.

MR. TRISCH: Did I understand or hear that the landowner might be consulted in the decision-making of the placement of the --

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Yes.

MR. TRISCH: Okay. Well, FYI, I've already talked to the landowner, if I understand which windmill is the one that's going to present the major problem, and he will lose revenue to gain it elsewhere. In other words, he'll lose the farm, he will not be able to rent that farm, and he'll probably lose about the same amount of money as he would get from the placement of that windmill.

So, if you talk to him, he's probably going to see that there isn't a big financial gain and maybe an alternate would make more sense under those circumstances as well. Along with the other issues.

2.1

understand, and you know, as you stated before, we as a Board have a hard job here. We have to try and protect the health and safety of all the residents, but we're trying to protect the rights of the property owners, too. So, it -- it's a hard job for us up here and we definitely, I think all of us are committed to working with you and trying to protect your health as far as the shadow flicker.

MR. TRISCH: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: And really,
the -- the shadow flicker has been brought up
only in the houses, that's all we've ever
considered it. You know, you mentioned the
backyard. Like Mr. Baranic said, you know,
driving down the road you have that same --

MR. TRISCH: Well, he has the right to turn around and go the other direction.

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Right. I

understand. I understand.

2.1

MR. TRISCH: And (inaudible).

CHAIRPERSON CHILDS: Right. But unfortunately, we -- we can't please everybody, you know, but we're going to try to work with you.

MR. TRISCH: Well, if there's alternates I think there's a chance, and -- and again, not to belabor the point, but we've set guidelines and those were to protect us. And now if those guidelines are by their own admission going to exceed those amounts, where do we draw the line? Do we just say, well, okay, you know, next time don't do it? I think you've tried that before and seen some instances where it really doesn't work with this group. So that's all I have to say. Thank you, guys.

Other public comment? Anybody from the Board want to make comments? If not, I'll call this meeting adjourned. Thank you all for showing up. We had a nice meeting, nobody got real excited and got kicked out like they have in some other meetings.

(Meeting concluded at 8:42 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE

I, Quentina Rochelle Snowden, do
hereby certify that I have recorded
stenographically the proceedings had and public
comment taken in the meeting, at the time and
place hereinbefore set forth, and I do further
certify that the foregoing transcript, consisting
of (79) pages, is a true and correct transcript
of my said stenographic notes.

Dated: January 12, 2018

Yushin R. Showen

Quentina R. Snowden, CSR-5519
Notary Public, Genesee County, Michigan
My commission expires: 1/4/2024