SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001

September 10, 2015

Greg M. Reynolds
P.O. Box 233
Corbett, OR 97019

RE: Reynolds v. Portland States University
USCA9 No. 15-35618

Dear Mr. Reynolds:

The notice of appeal received September 10, 2015 appears to pertain to a decision of United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Your papers are herewith returned.

You may seek review of a decision only by filing a timely petition for a writ of certiorari. The filing of a notice of appeal is not a prerequisite for filing a petition for writ of certiorari and does not preserve the time for filing a petition for writ of certiorari. You must submit a petition for writ of certiorari within the 90 day time limit pursuant to Rule 13. A copy of the Rules of this Court and a sample petition are enclosed.

Sincerely,
Scott S. Harris, Clerk
By:
Michael Duggan
(202) 479-3025

Enclosures

GREG M REYNOLDS
PO BOX 333
CORBETT, OR 97019
(503) 836-7802
FAX: (971)313-0153
PACIFICGREG@GMAIL.COM
ATTORNEY PRO SE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
SUPREME COURT

GREG M REYNOLDS Plaintiff(s),	)	
	)	No. 15-35618
v.	)	
PORTLAND STATE UNIVERSITY Defendant(s)	)	Dist case. 3:14-CV-01733-MO
	)	**NOTICE OF APPEAL**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that GREG M REYNOLDS, ATTORNEY PRO SE, hereby appeals to the United State Supreme Court from:

A civil matter and order from the United States Appeals Court for the Ninth Circuit:

Imposed of Filed on 10 August 2015. Entered on the docket in this action on 30 July 2015.

A copy of said order is attached hereto.

27 August 2015

Greg M. Reynolds, Attorney Pro Se

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

GREG M. REYNOLDS, Plaintiff - Appellant, v. PORTLAND STATE UNIVERSITY, Defendant - Appellee.	No. 15-35618 D.C. No. 3:14-cv-01733-MO District of Oregon, Portland ORDER

Before: HAWKINS, WARDLAW, and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges.

A review of the record demonstrates that this court lacks jurisdiction over this appeal because the July 30, 2015 notice of appeal was not filed within 30 days after the district court's judgment entered on June 16, 2015. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2107(a); *United States v. Sadler*, 480 F.3d 932, 937 (9th Cir. 2007) (requirement of timely notice of appeal is jurisdictional). Consequently, this appeal is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

DISMISSED.

MF/Pro Se