

5200 S.W. Meadows Road, P.O. Box 1689, Lake Oswego, Oregon 97035-0889 (503) 620-0222 or *inside Oregon* 1-800-452-8260, Fax (503) 684-1366

August 16, 2004

(1.30

Greg M. Reynolds 35612 SE MacInnes Road Corbett, OR 97019-9657

Dear Mr. Reynolds:

The Oregon State Bar has received your recent correspondence concerning your prior conviction and your concerns that your civil rights have been violated and are not being recognized by various members of the state and federal government.

The jurisdiction of the Client Assistance Office is limited to investigating allegations that an Oregon lawyer violated the disciplinary rules governing lawyer conduct. Your concerns about your treatment with the justice system do not fall within the Bar's jurisdiction.

We are unable to provide legal advice or representation and encourage you to contact an attorney of your choice for assistance. You may also be able to get the name of an attorney to help you by calling the OSB Referral and Information Service at (503) 684-3763 or (800) 452-7636 (within Oregon). You may also want to contact the American Civil Liberties Union at PO Box 40585, Portland, OR 97240-0585 or (503) 227-3186.

I hope this information is helpful.

Sincerely,

Assistant General Counsel

Ext. 392

CLM/lr

0402461

02c



# Commission on Judicial Fitness and Disability

P.O. Box 1130, Beaverton, Oregon 97075-1130 Phone: (503) 626-6776 FAX: (503) 626-6787 E-mail: judfit@worldstar.com

August 25, 2004

### PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Greg M. Reynolds 35612 S.E. MacInnes Road Corbett, OR 97019

Re: Complaint No. 04-083 about Judge Pierre L. Van Rysselberghe

Dear Mr. Reynolds:

Your complaint was considered and reviewed in detail by the Commission on Judicial Fitness and Disability at its meeting on August 20, 2004. The Commission's mandate is to review the conduct of judges for ethical violations, and its jurisdiction only extends to the review of those violations by Oregon judges. Based upon its review of your complaint, the Commission has determined that the evidence is insufficient to conclude that ethical misconduct occurred or that further investigation or consideration is warranted. Also, the Commission has no jurisdiction over legal issues such as your disagreement with the judge's decision. In accordance with Oregon statutes which require the proceeding to be confidential, we can only report that your matter is now concluded.

Thank you for bringing this matter to our attention.

Very truly yours,

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL FITNESS AND DISABILITY

> Susan D. Isaacs Executive Director

SDI:dlr

## U.S. Department of Justice



Civil Rights Division

ANM:an:rcd DJ 144-61-0 Criminal Section - PHB 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20530

t AUG 25 2004

Mr. Greg Reynolds 35612 SE MacInnes Road Corbett, OR 97019

Dear Mr. Reynolds:

This is a response to your letter dated August 10, 2004, in which you allege that you were wrongfully convicted of a felony. Furthermore, you express dissatisfaction that various Oregon state officials have not exonerated you of this crime.

The Criminal Section of the Civil Rights Division has the responsibility of enforcing federal criminal civil rights statutes. The enforcement activity primarily involves deprivation of civil rights under color of law, generally police brutality (18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242). Remaining enforcement efforts are directed at the forcible interference of specifically enumerated federally protected activities based upon race, color, religion and national origin (18 U.S.C. §§ 245, 247 and 42 U.S.C. § 3631), plus involuntary servitude or peonage (18 U.S.C. § 1581 and 1584) and Freedom of Access to Clinic Entrances Act (18 U.S.C. § 248).

We have carefully reviewed the information which you furnished. However, we have concluded that your complaint does not involve a prosecutable violation of federal criminal civil rights statutes. This is not a judgment on the truth or merit of your complaint, it is simply to inform you that this is not the type of case that this office could prosecute. Accordingly, we are unable to assist you.

You may wish to contact private counsel or the nearest legal aid program to determine whether they may be able to assist you.

Sincerely,

Albert N. Moskowitz
Section Chief
Criminal Section
Civil Rights Division

By:

Ariel Novick
Paralegal Specialist
Criminal Section



## LANE COUNTY OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL

PUBLIC SERVICE BUILDING / 125 EAST 8<sup>TH</sup> AVENUE / EUGENE, OR 97401 / (541) 682-4442 / FAX (541) 682-3803

www.lanecounty.org

County Counsel
Stephen E. Dingle

stephen.dingle@co.lane.or.us

Asst. County Counsels
H. Andrew Clark
andy.clark@co.lane.or.us

Sebastian Newton-Tapia sebastian.newton-tapia2@co.lane.or.us

<u>Contract</u>s <u>Review Officer</u> James A. Chaney, Attorney

james.chaney@co.lane.or.us

Stephen L. Vorhes
Of Counsel

Paralegals
Tali L. Bonine
.tali.bonine@co.lane.or.us
Audra L. Stewart
audra.stewart@co.lane.or.us

Legal Assistant
Miranda C. Rollins
miranda.rollins@co.lane.or.us

Law Clerk S. Jordan Dunlap, J.D. October 9, 2013

Greg Reynolds PO Box 333 Corbett, OR 97019-0333

Re: Reynolds Personal Records Request

Dear Mr. Reynolds:

I was forwarded your request for records dated October 4, 2013. The request was very broad and would cover entities that I do not represent. I represent the Sheriff's Office, which includes the jail. I checked with the jail and they have no records of your 1993 arrest. They tell me that they have no records of you since that time either. The records they once kept might have included probable cause affidavits, booking records, and medical records. The Lane County Adult Corrections Facility purges records when permitted by statute. In this case the jail maintained these records for at least six years, but they have long since been purged.

I represent the Office of Human Resources as well. They would likely have personnel records on Mr. Pugh, but disciplinary records, if they exist, would be exempt from disclosure under ORS 192.501(12).

Records of search warrants and arrest warrants may still be maintained by the Lane County Circuit Court. You would need to appear during business hours to see if those records still exist.

Finally, I do not represent the District Attorney's Office. Although your letter appears to be intended for the District Attorney's Office, it does not appear that you sent it to anyone at the District Attorney's Office. You will need to follow-up with their office to see if they have any of your requested information.

Sincerely,

LANE COUNTY OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL

Sebastian Newton-Tapia Of Attorneys for Lane County SNT/als

## Submitting an Ethics Complaint Regarding an Oregon Lawyer

Here is a copy of the information that you have submitted. A copy has been sent to the email address you provided:



To: Greg Reynolds

1/25/2014 8:21:39 AM

Following is a record of your complaint filed with the Oregon State Bar. Please retain this for your records.

Name and Address of COMPLAINANT

Mr Greg Reynolds PO Box 333 Corbett, OR 97019

Primary Phone: 503-358-4827

Secondary Phone:

Email: reynoldsvs.PSU@gmail.com

Name and Address of ATTORNEY
Mr Dennis Michael Puch OSB 85308

Eugene , OR Primary Phone: Secondary Phone:

#### COMPLAINT

20 years ago I denied my right to legal counsel when Lane County had no evidence. I was taken into a conference room my Dennis and told if I accepted the plea I would have no jail time, 80 fee, community service and I could get it expunged in 10 years and go on with my life. The entire time his dick was in my mouth, he was stoking the back of my head assuring me, "its ok son" "youre doing the right thing boy" "its all gonna be better now". Well its not. Nancy Cozine, Governor Kitzhaber know, 2 Oregon Senators and I was expelled from PSU over it. I asked you guys to help me with an attorney day before yesterday and all you did was send out the Multnomah County Sheriffs office. Did you know the court already has the evidence of the Sheriffs department and PSU criminal activity? And now you are a witness. The cops keep coming to my home without warrants. I have been tired and conviced already of things I have not done never seen a day in court. At what point can I shoot these people for violating my rights, get protection from the courts, or aid from you? No threat there, but a very serious question. I already left a message for Judge Nan Waller about the police and your connection to trying to ensure this case doesnt see a court room. Are you guys also trying to tamper with a civil rights case? My supporting documents are all on line, available to the public if you just google civil rights for Greg Reynolds, or you can contact the Smell Cunt Whore at Portland State University for all of the latest information or contact Judge Nan Waller, or Nancy Cozine at the Oregon Public Defenders office. See you in court, one way or the other.

#### ATTACHMENTS

Oregon State Bar | 16037 SW Upper Boones Ferry Road | Tigard, Oregon 97224



February 27, 2014

Greg M. Reynolds P.O. Box 333 Corbett, OR 97019

> Re: Subject: TJW 1400136

> > D. Michael Pugh (Greg M. Reynolds)

Dear Mr. Reynolds:

In response to our letter of February 18, 2014, D. Michael Pugh has sent us the enclosed correspondence in answer to your concerns.

Please review the enclosure and provide us with any additional information you wish to have us evaluate no later than March 20, 2014. If you do not provide any additional information, we will review this case without further input.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Troy J. Wood

Assistant General Counsel

Ext. 366

TJW/jmm Enclosure

CC: 02ii D. Michael Pugh, Attorney at Law

Email submissions to: cao@osbar.org Use subject line: TJW 1400136 tave to enviante no totos stara frances se, 2004. Il you do not provide eny countranai

### **Troy Wood**

From:

PUGH Mike <Mike.PUGH@co.lane.or.us>

Sent:

Tuesday, February 25, 2014 10:50 AM

To: Cc:

Troy Wood; OSB CAO Intake

Subject:

PUGH Mike

Attachments:

TJW 1400136 Judgment.pdf

To: Troy Wood, OSB From: D M Pugh

Re: TWJ 1400136 (Complaint of Greg Reynolds)

I have received your letter dated February 18, 2014 and the enclosed correspondence from Greg Reynolds. Although I find it a bit difficult to discern his exact complaint, I can say without qualification that there is no substance, merit or truth in his allegations, as I understand them to be.

Mr. Reynolds was the defendant in a criminal prosecution in 1993 in which he pled guilty pursuant to a plea agreement. He was represented by defense counsel during this prosecution, as evidenced by the copy of the Judgment attached hereto for easy reference. During the entire course of this prosecution, I had no contact with Mr. Reynolds without the presence of his attorney. In fact, as I recall I had no contact at all with Mr. Reynolds except in open court and upon the record. A cursory review of the Judgment will also reveal additional inaccuracies of fact in his February 7, 2014 e-mail to OSB CAO.

Respectfully,

D. Michael Pugh

1. The amounts set forth in the Money Judgment section which follows, and in the manner specified, which section is hereby made a part of this judgment.

2. The amounts and in the manner designated in the terms and conditions of probation set forth in the attached Conditions of Probation.

#### IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

· D.-

- 1. Defendant is sentenced to a term of probation to the Department of Corrections of the State of Oregon for a period of 36 months upon the terms and conditions set forth in the attached Conditions of Probation which conditions are hereby made a part of this judgment.
- 2. Twenty (20) sanction units are to be served in the Lane County Jail on the road crew on Mondays and Tuesdays, beginning Monday, February 21, 1994, at 7:30 a.m.
- 3. Defendant is remanded to the custody of the Sheriff of Lane County to serve the jail term imposed in the attached Conditions of Probation.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that based upon the motion of the State of Oregon Counts 2 and 3 are hereby dismissed.

Oregon Motor Vehicles Division is directed to suspend driving privileges of defendant (License No. (unknown); address: P. O. Box 2822, White City, Oregon 97503; DOB: 08/17/73) under ORS Chapter 835, OR Laws 1991 (controlled substance).

Thereupon the court advised the defendant of the rights of appeal.

#### MONEY JUDGMENT

The State of Oregon is the judgment creditor.

Greg Michael Reynolds is the judgment debtor.

The following shall be paid in the manner indicated as part of the Money Judgment:

Unitary Assessment

\$85.00

Total Money Judgment

\$85.00

PAGE 2 - JUDGMENT - 10-93-10439

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION County: Lane Docket # 10-93-10439, Reynolds GENERAL CONDITIONS: The Court may place the defendant on probation, which shall be subject to the following General Conditions unless specifically deleted by the Court (ORS 137.540). The Probationer shall: 1. Pay supervision fees, fines, restitution or other fees ordered by the Court. Not use or possess controlled substances except pursuant to a 2. medical prescription. 3. Submit to testing of breath or urine for controlled substance or alcohol use if the probationer has a history of substance abuse or if there is a reasonable suspicion that the probationer has illegally used controlled substances. 4. Participate in a substance abuse evaluation as directed by the supervising officer and follow the recommendations of the evaluator if there are reasonable grounds to believe there is a history of substance abuse. 5. Remain in the State of Oregon until written permission to leave is granted by the Department of Corrections or a county community corrections agency. If physically able, find and maintain gainful full-time 6. employment, approved schooling, or a full-time combination of both. Any waive of this requirement must be based on a finding by the Court stating the reasons for the waiver. 7. Change neither employment nor residence without prior permission from the Department of Corrections or a county community corrections agency. 8. Permit the probation officer to visit the probationer or the probationer's residence or work site, and report as required and abide by the direction of the supervising officer. Consent to the search of person, vehicle or premises upon the request of a representative of the supervising officer if the supervising officer has reasonable grounds to believe that evidence of a violation will be found, and submit to fingerprinting or photographing, or both, when requested by the Department of Corrections or a county community corrections agency for supervision purposes. 10. Obey all laws, municipal, county, state and federal. Promptly and truthfully answer all reasonable inquiries by 11. the Department of Corrections or a county community corrections agency. 12. Not possess weapons, firearms or dangerous animals. If under supervision for, or previously convicted of, a sex 13. offense under ORS 163.305 to 163.465, and if recommended by PAGE 4 - JUDGMENT - 10-93-10439



March 27, 2014

Greg Reynolds P.O. Box 333 Corbett, OR 97019

> Re: Subject: TJW 1400136

> > D. Michael Pugh (Greg M. Reynolds)

Dear Mr. Reynolds:

You have provided no evidence that D. Michael Pugh had any contact with you outside of the presence of your own lawyer. For the bar to take any action in this matter, you must provide some form of evidence that establishes your allegations against Mr. Pugh.

This comes down to two different accounts, which the bar has no practical ability to reconcile. Mr. Pugh asserts that he has had no contact with you outside of the presence of your lawyer. You claim that Mr. Pugh coerced you to provide favors to him in return for a light sentence, and lied to you about the effect this judgment would have on your future.

Mr. Pugh has provided evidence that corroborates his version of events. To date, you have given us no evidence other than your own statements. If you have credible evidence to support your allegations, you may submit it for our review. Please be advised that we may not respond to any future submissions that merely restate the same concerns and information.

If we do not receive the additional information by April 17, 2014, we will take no further action on this matter and close our file.

Sincerely

Troy J. Wood

Assistant General Counsel

Ext. 366

TJW/jmm

cc: D. Michael Pugh, Attorney at Law

Email submissions to: cao@osbar.org Use subject line: TJW 1400136

lower to two different accounts, which the ber has no prectical ability to reconcie.

ors to him in return for a light sentence or . Hod to



February 18, 2014

D. Michael Pugh Attorney at Law Lane County DA's Office 125 E. 8th Avenue, Room 400 Eugene, OR 97401

Re: Subject: TJW 1400136

D. Michael Pugh (Greg M. Reynolds)

Dear Mr. Pugh:

The Oregon State Bar has received the enclosed correspondence from Greg Reynolds. The Client Assistance Office (CAO) is responsible for reviewing concerns regarding Oregon lawyers. Under Bar Rule of Procedure 2.5 and as resources permit, CAO determines the manner and extent of review required to determine whether there is sufficient evidence to support a reasonable belief that misconduct may have occurred warranting a referral to Disciplinary Counsel's Office. Misconduct means a violation of the rules of professional conduct and applicable statutes that govern lawyer conduct in Oregon. Mr. Reynolds' concerns may implicate the provisions of DR 1-102(a)(2) or 8.4(a)(2).

In order for me to conduct a fair and informed review, I would like to have your account of the matter on or before March 11, 2014. I am able to grant an extension of the time to respond for good cause, if requested before the deadline.

A copy of your response will be sent to Mr. Reynolds. If appropriate, I may request he comment on your response. All material submitted by the parties in the course of this review is public record and both parties will receive copies. Please limit your response and any documents you send to the ethics issues presented. I am confident I will receive your full cooperation in this matter. You should be aware, however, that if you fail to respond to this request, this matter will be referred to Disciplinary Counsel's Office for further review.

After I review all documentation and information gathered in this matter I will determine if there is sufficient evidence warranting a referral to Disciplinary Counsel's Office for further evaluation pursuant to BR 2.5(b)(2). CAO determines the manner and extent of review required for the appropriate disposition of complaints.

D. Michael Pugh Page 2

Thank you in advance for your cooperation. I look forward to a fair and expeditious review of this matter.

Sincerely,

Troy J. Wood Assistant General Counsel Ext. 366

TJW/jmm Enclosure

cc:

**Greg Reynolds** 

01

Email submissions to: cao@osbar.org

Use subject line: TJW 1400136

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

P.O. Box 193939 95 Seventh Street San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

January 20, 2004

Greg M. Reynolds 35612 S.E. MacInnes Road Corbett, OR 97019

Dear Mr. Reynolds:

This court is in receipt of your material received December 3, 2003.

This court is unable to determine the nature of your request. Since you have no appeal pending in this court your materials do not meet the requirements for filing in this court. If you are seeking judicial relief you must first file an action in the U.S. District Court. We are a court of limited jurisdiction which means in order to file a case in this court, you must demonstrate an appealable judgment or order of the U.S. District Court.

Therefore, your materials are being returned in the event you wish to pursue your case in the district court.

Sincerely, CounaDono

Corina Orozco

Deputy Clerk