SCHEDULE VIII-USE & AREA VARIANCE FINDINGS & DECISION WORKSHEETS

SCHEDULE VIII USE & AREA VARIANCE FINDINGS & DECISION WORKSHEETS

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS SSORT USE VARIANCE FINDINGS & DECISION SSORT

Applica	ant:	Variance No.:
Applica	ant:	Variance No.:
Addres		Zoning District:
		Notice Published:
		Notice to County:
		Hearing Held on:
ъ .	T	
-	y Location: able Section of the Zoning Law:	
Thhur	able beetion of the Zohnig Law.	
Ralan	ocina Test: No use variance will be a	ranted without a showing by the applicant that
		ave caused unnecessary hardship. The following
		allowed by zoning on the property, including uses
	ed by special use permit.	, , , , ,
1.	** *	not realize a reasonable return, provided that lack
	of return is substantial as demonstrated l	by competent financial evidence? YES NO
Droof		
11001:		ILLUSTRATIONS OF FINANCIAL EVIDENCE
		*Bill of sale for the property, present value of
		property, expenses for maintenance. **Leases, rental agreements.
		*Tax bills
		Conversion costs (for a permitted use)
		Realtor's statement of inability to rent/sell
2.	Has the applicant proved that the alleged	d hardship relating to the property in question is
	unique and does not apply to a substanti	al portion of the district or neighborhood?
	☐ YES ☐ NO	
D C		
Proof:		
		ILLUSTRATIONS OF UNIQUENESS
		★Topographic or physical features preventing
		development for a permitted use.
		*Why would it be possible to construct the applicant's proposal and not any of the permitted
		uses?
		*Board member observations of the property and
		surrounding area.
3.	Has the applicant proved that the	

Proof:			- ILLUSTRATIVE
	*. *		NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER FACTO *Board members' observations of neighborhood. *Expected effect of proposal on neighborhood, for example, change in parking patterns, noise level lighting, traffic.
4.	Has the appl	-	eged hardship was not self-created?
Proof:			SELF-CREATED HARDSHIP *What were the permitted uses at the time the property was purchased by the applicant? *Were substantial sums spent on remodeling for use not permitted by zoning?
			*Was the property received through inheritance, court order, divorce?
The Z	DETERN		court order, divorce? SASED UPON THE ABOVE FACTORS:
The Z	DETERN BA, after revi That the app	MINATION OF ZBA Be ewing the above four (4) olicant has failed to prov	court order, divorce? SASED UPON THE ABOVE FACTORS:
_	DETERM BA, after revi That the approper four (4) tests That the approper tests require to allow use that should the	ewing the above four (4) olicant has failed to prove required by the state state of the state state of the property in the m	Court order, divorce? SASED UPON THE ABOVE FACTORS: proofs, finds: e unnecessary hardship through the application atutes and the variance is denied. essary hardship through the application of the for finding such hardship, the ZBA shall grant a variance detailed below, which is the minimum variance and protect the character of the neighborhood

Adverse impact to be r						
Condition No. 2:						
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e						. 1
Adverse impact to be r	ninimized:					
Condition No. 3:						
Adverse impact to be r						
						:
Dated:		Chairn	an, Zor	ing Board of App	peals	
	e de la companya de					
	PECO	D OF VOT	<u></u>			
	∞∞∞ RECOF Member Name		<u>-</u> ∞∞∞ Aye	Nay Absent	<u>Abstain</u>	
Chair: Member: Member:	Wielinger Hame	· .				
Member: Member						

THE USE VARIANCE

∞∞∞ QUESTIONS THE ZBA WILL CONSIDER ∞∞∞

- 1. If the variance is not granted, will the applicant be deprived of all economic use or benefit from the property in question?
- 2. Has the applicant shown that he/she will suffer economic deprivation by competent financial evidence?
- 3. Is the alleged hardship unique to the property in question and has the applicant shown that it does not apply to a substantial portion of the district or neighborhood?
- 4. Has the applicant shown that if the use variance is granted, it will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood?
- 5. Is the alleged hardship self-created?
- 6. Is the variance, which may be granted, the minimum variance needed to address the unnecessary hardship proven by the applicant, while at the same time preserving and protecting the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and welfare of the community?
- 7. Does the Board wish to impose reasonable conditions directly related to the proposed use of the premises for the purpose of minimizing any adverse impact which the variance may have on the neighborhood or community?

Applicant: _	Variance No.:
Applicant:	Variance No.:
Address: _	Zoning District
	Notice Published:
-	Notice to County:
	· — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
	Hearing Held on:
Property Loc	cation:
	Section of the Zoning Law:
Bal	lancing Test: The Zoning Board of Appeals shall balance BENEFIT to
	plicant with DETRIMENT to health, safety and welfare of the community.
FACTORS	CONSIDERED: [Town Law §267-b(3) / Village Law §7-712-b(3)]
1.	Will an undesirable change be produced in the character of the neighborhood or a
4 •	detriment to nearby properties be created by the granting of the area variance?
	□ YES □ NO
Reasons:	
2	
2.	Can the benefit sought by the applicant be achieved by some method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than an area variance? \square YES \square NO
Reasons:	applicant to pursue, other than an area variance:
Ttoubonb.	
3.	Is the requested area variance substantial? \square YES \square NO
Reasons:	
4.	Will the proposed variance have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or
	environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district? YES NO
Reasons:	<u> </u>
5.	Was the alleged difficulty self-created? (This consideration shall be relevant to the
	decision of the Board of Appeals, but shall not necessarily preclude the granting of
Reasons:	the area variance) \square YES \square NO
reasons.	

DETERMINATION OF ZBA BASED ON THE ABOVE FACTORS:

The 2	ZBA, after taking	into considerat	ion the abov	e five (5)	factors,	finds th	at:	
	The benefit to the applicant DOES NOT outweigh the detriment to the neighborhood or community and therefore the variance request is denied.							
	The Benefit to the applicant DOES outweigh the detriment to the neighborhood or community and therefore the variance request is approved.							
of the	ZBA further find E Zoning Law is the character of the ne	he minimum va	riance that s	hould be g	granted i	n order 1	to preserv	e and protect
CON	DITIONS:			[Town I	.aw §267-	b(3)(c)/V	illage Law	§7-712-b(3)(c)]
	ZBA finds that the neighborhoo					r to min	imize adv	erse impacts
Cond	lition No. 1:							
Adve	erse Impact to be	Minimized:						
Cond	lition No. 2:							
Adve	erse Impact to be	Minimized:						
Date	d:	mmm.	RECORD		•	ning Boa	ard of App	peals
	Chair:		er Name:		Aye	<u>Nay</u>	Absent	Abstain
	Member: Member: Member: Member	,						

THE AREA VARIANCE

∞∞∞ QUESTIONS THE ZBA WILL CONSIDER ∞∞∞

- 1. What is the benefit to applicant, if the variance is granted, as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community?
- 2. Will an undesirable change be produced in the character of neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties created by the granting of the variance?
- 3. Can the benefit sought by the applicant be achieved by some method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than an area variance?
- 4. Is the requested variance substantial when compared to the requirements of the ordinance or Local Law?
- 5. Will the proposed variance have an adverse impact or effect on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district?
- 6. Is the alleged hardship self-created? If so, the Board may consider this factor, but it will not by itself prevent the Board from granting a variance.
- 7. Is this the minimum variance needed in this case, while at the same time, preserving and protecting the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and welfare of the community?
- 8. Does the Board wish to impose reasonable conditions directly related to the proposed use of the premises for the purpose of minimizing any adverse impact which the variance may have on the neighborhood or community?