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## NEW MEMBERS

We welcome two more members into the Society this month,
Mr J. Brandt
P. Stockton

## CHANGE OF ADDRESS

Miss Norah Wright

## APOLOGY

This issue will be rather late again due to the long light evenings having to bo used for other purposes, such as house painting and gardening. It looks as though it will be put into the mail at the end of June rather than the end of may, i.e. one month late.

Annual holidays are coming up soon, so to try to recover the end of month publication date, $I$ propose to combine the June-July issues and endeavour to mail the combined number by the end of July.

This means that we shall probably have to hold up further instalments of Mr Jennings' book until August.

## PERFIN PRICES

Following Chris Carr's suggested price list. for perfins we have received the following letter from one of our American members, Keith Misegades.

[^0]As he pointed out on the following page, the BME die is a single one indicating that there was very small demand for perfins in that company. In consequence, many collectors will feel lucky to have a single specimen. By way of contrast, the Pennsylvania Railroad in this country, had a power actuated machine accomodating sheets of 100 and requiring half the time of one man to operate. As a result, a typical mixture of U.S. perfins will run ten to the hundred of PRR. Who would say that the two perfins were of equal value on similar denominations? Obviously one of the factors of value in any perfin type is the extent of use by its owner.

It must also be recognised that the pattern of denominational use varies among companies. Formerly, the first class letter rate was usually the commonest perfin for each company. I always prefer to get a mixture made up mostly of low value stamps as it will generally show the most profitable variety. Today, many companies use meters for the daily mail but still use perfins on high values. Banks and Insurance companies are far more likely to produce large numbers of high denomination perfins than small companies. This factor must be considered in price setting.

The majority of American collectors pay only a limited amount of attention to stamp issues. I consider that I am collecting a pattern of holes held together by the stamp - any proper stamp will do. Since the ideal ccllection of American perfins is made up of covers showing the printed return address of the user as well as the perfin tied to cover, the letter rate is the inevitable perfin in such a set-up. It is unfortunate for collectors of British perfins that British firms so rarely use corner cards. We regard them as the most satisfactory type of identification of a perfin. It would be a tedious task for perfin collectors to personally got in contact with each perfin user, assuming they were all still in in business.

For specialised study of the use of a specific perfin type including breakage of pins, possession of many denominations may have value. However, for neatness of mounting, I definitely prefer the standard sized stamp to the large one such as high denomination and commemorative. I believe that this substantially reflects the views of American collectors.

I should point out that, in this country, we have nothing like the equivalent of Sloper's custom perforating service. Each perfin user has his own machine, so the possibility of two or more perfin users in different parts of the country using the same perfin type does not exist."

CONTENTS:- Two pages of general interest
Pages 103 \& 104 of the Officials Catalogue
Pages R2 \& R3 of the Identities Catalogue
Pages 72 \& 73 of the Simplified Cat.

The island was settled by the British in 1803 and was first known as Van Diemens Land. The island was renamed in 1855, but the first stamp issues of 1853-60 bear the earlier name, and it is upon these stamps that the first of the Official Perfins may be found.

## The Small Triangle

No information about this from any member. Robson Lowe says that a small triangle was perforated on the stamps of the 1853-60 period, for use on official correspondence, and that it is scarce, and rare on cover.

## The T Perforations

"In October 1902, the State Government (Tasmania) sought permission to have stamps overprinted 'TS' but, as in the case of Oueensland and South Australia, permission for overprinting was not granted. Authotity was given, however, in the same month for stamps to be punctured 'TS' but the State authorities subsequently decided to use the initial 'T' only and such punctured stamps were brought into use about the beginning of 1903. At this time Commonwealth Departments were using ordinary stamps and continued to do so until punctured 'OS' stamps were supplied, in 1905, by the Government Printer at Melbourne". (Australian Philatelic Bulletin)

The method used to perforate the stamps with a letter T was a very unusual one. Nc special die was made, but an old foot operated perforating machine was adapted by removing a number of pins so that a line of holes could be made through each stamp in one direction, then a second line was made to form the shape of a letter T . The operation did not always produce recognisable T's, instead there were perforations looking like the letter 'L' or an inverted 'L', and T's with out the cross-bar (or down stroke). However the stamps were punctured, and the problem of having to deal with stamps of different size and shape was overcome by this simple method.

According to Mr O.G.Ingles the earliest date seen on one of the T punctured stamps is 4 November 1902, and it was postmarked at Fingal.

Many examples may be found on the Tasmanian Pictorial issues of the period 1899 to 1912, especially on the 1d and 2d values. Another collector, G.R.C.Searles, told me that he handled about 1000 stamps with 'T' perforation when he had some 150,000 Pictorials to go through. This is the most interesting period because there is a variety in the number of holes making the letter T .

| $\cdots \cdots$ | $\cdots \cdots$ | $\cdots \cdots$ | $\cdots \cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $5 \times 5$ | $5 \times 6$ | $6 \times 6$ | $6 \times 8$ |

Above are some few examples of the different punctures to be found. The number of holes in the top of the T is counted first, then the number of holes in the upright stroke.
$8 \times 8$

The following is the complete list:- $4 \times 6,5 \times 45 \times 5,5 \times 6$, $6 \times 4,6 \times 5,6 \times 6,6 \times 7,6 \times 8,7 \times 6,7 \times 7,7 \times 8,8 \times 6,8 \times 7$, and $8 \times 8$. It is not always easy to be certain of the numbers unless the holes are very clear and are not overlapping, as sometimes happens.

Mr Scarles says that his examples of the $5 \times 4$ and $5 \times 5$ on the Pictorials come on the 1892-99 issue, mostly on the $1 / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ value. Mr. Ingles records only the $4 d$ value with the $4 x 6$ holes. The 6x6, 6x7, $7 \times 6$ and $7 \times 7$ seem to have been used on more vales than the other combinations.

The T perfins are known to have been used on the stamps of Tasmania as listcl below:

Queen's Head in Oval

Watermark: T A S
V over Crown Crown over A

8d \& 9d
9d
8d \& 9d

Queen's Head in Circle
Watermark: T A S 1⁄2d, 5d, 6d, 10d, 1/-,
5/-, 10/-
V over Crown 1/-
Crown over A 4d, 1/-
Pictorials
Watermark: T A S
1d, 2½d, 3d, 4d, 5d, 6d
V over Crown Crown over A

1/2d, 1d, 2d
$1 / 2 d, 1 d .2 d^{*}, 3 d^{*}, 4 d, 6 d^{*}$ ld on 2 d

* = electro and litho. printings

The puncture may also be found on Postcards.


| *245. | R\&H/Ltd | 12,12,11/7,5,7 | $412(\text { IIIa })$ | ) Ruston \& Hornsby Ltd., Grantham |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *249.1 | RH/S | 10,10/9 | $41 / 2$ | R.\& H.Strickland Ltd., Dartford, Kent. |
| 251.2 | RH/\&S | 13,12/14,10 | $4 ½(\text { III }) \varnothing 1$ | ØRuston \& Hornsby Ltd., Grantham |
| 251.3 | RH/\&S | 13,11/14,13 | 4½(III) $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ ditto. |
| *252. 1 | R.H/\&.S | 11,12/14,10 | 5(III) | R.Hovenden \& Sons Ltd., London |
| 255.1 | RH/W | 10,10/12 | $41 / 2$ | Robins Hay \& Walters, London W.C.2. |
| 278 | RICo | 11,5,8,4 | 5 | Royal Insurance Co.Ltd. |
| 280.2 | RI/Co | 12,5/8,4 | 411/2 | ditto. |
| 280.3 | RI/Co | 12,5/8,6 | $41 / 2$ | ditto. |
| 282 | R/I/Co | 10/4/7, 4 | 4 | ditto. |
| 283 | RICo/Ld | 11,5,8,4/7,7 | 41/2 | ditto. |
| 284.1 | RI/Co/Ld | 10,4/7, 4/6,6 | 41/2 | ditto. |
| 284.2 | RI/Co/Ld | 10,4/7,4/6,7 | $41 / 2$ | ditto. |
| 316.1 | RL | 10,6 | 41/2 | l.Research Laboratories of G.E.C. Ltd., Wembley <br> 2.N.F.Ramsay \& Co.Ltd., Newcastle \& Birmingham |
| 316.2 | RL | 12,7 | 5 | N.F.Ramsay \& Co.Ltd., Newcastle \& Birmingham |
| 325.1 | RLd | 10,6,6 | $41 / 2$ | Reuters Trade Service Ltd |
| *328 | RLF/\&Co/Ld | 12,7,8/12,8,6/7 | , 7 411⁄2 $\quad \varnothing$ | Robertson, Leslie, Ferguson \& Co.Ltd., Belfast |
| 329 | RL/GEC | 12,7/9, 9, 7 | 5/41/2 | Research Laboratories of G.E.C.Ltd. |
| 334.1 | RL/\&S | 11,7/12,10 | $412(\text { IIIa })$ | )Richard Lloyd \& Sons, London E.C.1. |
| *342 | R\&M | 11,12,7 | 41122 | Ray \& Miles Ltd., Liverpool |


| 151 |  | 201 | RG/\&Co | 251 | RH/\&S ++ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 152 |  | 202 | R\&G/G | 252 | R.H/\&S ++ |
| 153 |  | 203 | RG/L | 253 | RH\&S/Ld |
| 154 |  | 204 | RG/Ld | 254 | RH/SR |
| 155 |  | 205 | RG/Ltd | 255 | RH/W |
| 156 | RE ++ | 206 | RGS | 256 | R.H/\&W |
| 157 | R.E | 207 | RG/S | 257 | RH/W\&H |
| 158 | R.E. | 208 | RG/\&S/F | 258 | RH/W\&L |
| 159 | RE (in diamond) | 209 | RGS/Ld | 259 | RHH/\&S/Ld (237) |
| 160 | R/E | 210 | R\&G (197) | 260 |  |
| 161 | RE/\&Co | 211 |  | 261 |  |
| 162 | RE/HMC | 212 |  | 262 |  |
| 163 | RE/J ++ | 213 |  | 263 |  |
| 164 | RES (diagonally) | 214 |  | 264 |  |
| 165 | RE/\&/SLtd | 215 |  | 265 |  |
| 166 | RE/WR ++ | 216 | RH | 266 |  |
| 167 |  | 217 | R.H | 267 |  |
| 168 |  | 218 | R.H. | 268 |  |
| 169 |  | 219 | R/H | 269 |  |
| 170 |  | 220 | R\&H | 270 |  |
| 171 |  | 221 | R.H.A | 271 |  |
| 172 |  | 222 | R\&H.A/Ld | 272 |  |
| 173 |  | 223 | RHB | 273 |  |
| 174 |  | 224 | R.H./B | 274 |  |
| 175 |  | 225 | R/HB ++ | 275 |  |
| 176 | RF ++ | 226 | RHB/Ld | 276 | RI |
| 177 | R.F ++ | 227 | RH/B8 ++ | 277 | R.I.C ++ |
| 178 | RF/C | 228 | RHC | 278 | RICo |
| 179 | R/FC | 229 | RH/C | 279 | R.I.Co |
| 180 | RF/CL | 230 | RH/CCo | 280 | RI/Co ++ |
| 181 | R/F\&Co ++ | 231 | RH/Co | 281 | R.I. $/ C^{\circ}$. |
| 182 | RF/\&Co | 232 | RH/\&Co | 282 | R/I/Co |
| 183 | R.F/\&Co | 233 | R. H/\&Co | 283 | RICo/Ld ++ |
| 184 | R.F/\&Co. | 234 | RH/CoLd | 284 | RI/Co/Ld ++ |
| 185 | R\&F/Ld | 235 | R.H/\&/Co.Ld. | 285 | R.I.E |
| 186 | R.F./H_. | 236 | R.H/\&C ${ }^{\circ} / \mathrm{M}$. | 286 | RIGBY |
| 187 | RFS | 237 | RH/E | 287 | RIR/H |
| 188 | RF/S | 238 | R.H.I | 288 |  |
| 189 | RF/\&S | 239 | RH/\& J.P | 289 |  |
| 190 |  | 240 | R.H/L | 290 |  |
| 191 |  | 241 | RH/\&L | 291 |  |
| 192 |  | 242 | RH/Ld | 292 |  |
| 193 |  | 243 | R\&H/Ld | 293 |  |
| 194 |  | 244 | RH/LG | 294 |  |
| 195 |  | 245 | R\&H/Ltd | 295 |  |
| 196 | RG | 246 | RH/MC | 296 | RJ |
| 197 | R.G. (210) | 247 | R. $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{P}$ | 297 | RJ/CC |
| 198 | R\&G. | 248 | R\&H/P\&Co. . | 298 | R.J.Co |
| 199 | R/G | 249 | RH/S ++ | 299 | R\&J/H |
| 200 | RGC | 250 | RH\&S | 300 | R\&J/M |


| 301 | R.J/N | 351 | RM/P | 401 | R.P.C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 302 | RJ/\&N | 352 | RMS ++ | 402 | RP/C |
| 303 | RJP | 353 | RM/\&S ++ | 403 | RP/CL |
| 304 | R\&/JP ++ | 354 | RM/SC | 404 | RP/\&Co |
| 305 | RJ/PL | 355 | RM/SP ++ | 405 | RP/H |
| 306 | R.J.R/\&Co | 356 |  | 406 | RP/J |
| 307 | RJ/SC | 357 |  | 407 | RP/L |
| 308 |  | 358 |  | 408 | R\&P/Ld |
| 309 |  | 359 |  | 409 | RP |
| 310 |  | 360 |  | 410 | R.P.M |
| 311 |  | 361 |  | 411 | RP\&S |
| 312 |  | 362 |  | 412 | R.P./S. |
| 313 |  | 363 |  | 413 | RP/\&S |
| 314 |  | 364 |  | 414 | R.P/\&S |
| 315 |  | 365 |  | 415 | R.P\&S/Ltd |
| 316 | RL ++ | 366 | RN | 416 | RPU |
| 317 | RL | 367 | R.N. | 417 |  |
| 318 | R\&L | 368 | R\&N | 418 |  |
| 319 | R/L | 369 | RN/Co | 419 |  |
| 320 | R/L (Monogram) | 370 | RN/\&Co ++ | 420 |  |
| 321 | RLC ++ | 371 | RNR (Monogram) | 421 |  |
| 322 | RL/Co | 372 | R\&N/P | 422 |  |
| 323 | RL/\&Co ++ | 373 |  | 423 |  |
| 324 | R.L/\&Co. | 374 |  | 424 |  |
| 325 | RLd | 375 |  | 425 |  |
| 326 | R.Ld | 376 |  | 426 | RR |
| 327 | R.L. | 377 |  | 427 | RR |
| 328 | RLF/\&Co/Ld | 378 | R. 0 | 428 | RRA/\&S |
| 329 | RL/GEC (337) | 379 | RO/C | 429 | RR/\&B |
| 330 | RLK/N | 380 | ROCK | 430 | R/RC |
| 331 | RLK/N | 381 | RO/CoLd | 431 | R.R./C.L. |
| 332 | R/LL | 382 | RO/\&Co | 432 | RR/Co |
| 333 | RL/OC | 383 | RO/\&L | 433 | R.R/Co |
| 334 | RL/\&S ++ | 384 | R.0/Ld | 434 | R.R./Co.. |
| 335 | RL/SB | 385 | ROPNER | 435 | RR/\&Co ++ |
| 336 | RL/HH (329) | 386 | ROS | 436 | R.R/\&Co |
| 337 |  | 387 | ROSE/\&Co ++ | 437 | RR/\&Co/Ld |
| 338 |  | 388 | ROWE | 438 | RR/\&Co./Ld |
| 339 |  | 389 | ROWN/TREES | 439 | R\&R/L |
| 340 |  | 390 |  | 440 | R/R/Ld |
| 341 | RM | 391 |  | 441 | RR/Ltd |
| 342 | R\&M | 392 |  | 442 | R.R.M/\&Co |
| 343 | RMacA/\&Co | 393 |  | 443 | RR/O |
| 344 | RMC | 394 |  | 444 | RR/\&S |
| 345 | RM/C | 395 |  | 445 |  |
| 346 | RM/\&Co | 396 | RP | 446 |  |
| 347 | RM/ H | 397 | R.P | 447 |  |
| 348 | R.M.H/\&S | 398 | R\&P | 448 |  |
| 349 | RM/L ++ | 399 | RPA/Co ++ | 449 |  |
| 350 | RM/Ltd ++ | 400 | RPA/Co. | 450 |  |


[^0]:    "I must take exception to Chris Carr's method of pricing to say that all perfin dies are of equal value, fails to consider the facts of perfin life as the perfins are made.

