

TOO MANY S's. By Jack Brandt.

"S.S" (Fig. 1) used to be listed as S6420.01 but in The New Illustrated Catalogue it has been relegated to a footnote of S.S/S.S (S6810.01) saying, "Half of this perfin (i.e. S.S) may be found on QV ½d (SG 48)."

This is very probable true in that half the machine punched on the small ½d stamps. The question remains - if it was done this way intentionally, should it not still have its own number?




Fig.1.




Fig.3.




Fig.2.

"S.S/S.S" (Fig.2) is S6910.02 in the latest catalogue. The question is - is this real, or two carefully spaced punchings of S6810.01 (Fig.3)? I know it was listed because two copies were found with exact same spacing: but one still wonders. My copy is Plate 5 for the record.




Fig.4.




Fig. 5

"S.S" (Fig.4) is S6420.03. "S.S/S.S" (Fig.5) is not in the catalogue and is probably a double strike, but again, one wonders. Does anyone else have a match for it?

* * * * *