

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

**Jeannette Porrazzo v. World Properties, Inc., et al.
Case No. 3:26-cv-00006-ART-CLB**

EXHIBIT INDEX AND PINPOINT CITATION SCHEDULE

This schedule identifies the selected filing exhibits, exact source-document pages, FAC paragraph locations, supported proposition, and limiting or contrary content. Source PDF page means the page number in the unaltered uploaded exhibit; each exhibit volume also bears a separate packet-page footer.

Ex.	Document and pinpoint	FAC location	Proposition supported	Limitation / contrary content
S-1	Signed Declaration of Jeannette Porrazzo Regarding Standing and Preventive Relief Source PDF pp. 1-6	FAC paragraphs 2-4, 7, 17, 34, 36-44, 92-93, 108, 117, 121, 126, 146, 152	Plaintiff personal knowledge: home connection, use, water interests, health concern, imminence, causation limits, and requested preventive relief.	Does not supply expert hydrology, toxicology, medical causation, appraisal, or tribal standing.
G-1	Washoe County Planning Commission Action Order Source PDF pp. 1-3	FAC paragraphs 5, 48, 54, 128	Official denial, project scale, and findings the Planning Commission could not make.	Administrative decision evidence; not independent technical proof of future injury.
G-2	Washoe County Board Staff Report - Sierra Reflections Appeal Source PDF pp. 1-5, 7-17	FAC paragraphs 5, 18, 47-58, 91, 102, 109, 128, 131-132	Official project description, entitlement history, Board authority, staff recommendation, conditions, and contrary mitigation conclusions.	Staff recommended approval and concluded conditions could address many asserted concerns.
G-4	Sierra Reflections County Staff Presentation Source PDF pp. 20, 32-34	FAC paragraphs 79, 81, 84	Reports 49 archaeological sites and proposed discovery, notice, mediation, consultation, and non-destruction conditions.	Also reports consultant conclusion that previously identified eligible resources were mitigated.
G-11	Washoe County Staff Report - St. James's Village Development Agreement Source PDF pp. 1-5	FAC paragraph 52	Documents development-agreement and institutional project history.	Historical approval record; does not independently prove present injury.
G-14	St. James's Village Conditions of Approval (2007 record) Source PDF pp. 1-11	FAC paragraph 51	Documents historical approval conditions and project controls.	Historical conditions may have been amended, superseded, satisfied, or expired.
G-28	RSIC Letter and County Proposed Tribal Conditions Source PDF pp. 1-5	FAC paragraphs 80-81, 84	Project-specific RSIC objection to lack of consultation and requests for monitoring, stop-work authority, fencing, security, confidentiality, and consultation.	RSIC requests are evidence of concerns and notice; they are not automatically binding conditions or Plaintiff-owned rights.
G-31	Planning Commission Draft Minutes - Sierra Reflections Source PDF pp. 12-22	FAC paragraphs 54, 60, 89-90, 101-102, 128, 138	Records testimony and questions regarding fire, evacuation, traffic, groundwater, transfers, wells, drawdown, safeguards, and denial findings.	Public testimony and applicant representations show notice and disputes, not expert proof.
E-4	Nevada SHPO - Washo Indians Historical Summary Source PDF pp. 1-3	FAC paragraph 78	Official cultural-history context for Washoe association with the region.	Does not prove project-specific burial locations or Plaintiff authority to assert tribal rights.
E-12	World Properties Draft Sierra Reflections Remedial Action Plan (September 2025) Source PDF pp. 5-12 (RAP pp. 1-8)	FAC paragraphs 6, 25, 62-73, 96, 104, 115, 128, 137, 148, 150	Project-specific contamination, sampling, action levels, proposed excavation/capping/reuse, deferred handling plan, creek work, wells, and NDEP discussions.	Draft plan reports variable/lower results in some zones and proposes mitigation; it is not proof that every acre is contaminated.
E-73	EPA Carson River Mercury Long-Term Sampling and Response Plan Source PDF p. 5	FAC paragraphs 75-76	Reports early-1970s USGS mercury findings and later Nevada State Health Division fish advisories including Big and Little Washoe Lakes.	Regional notice does not prove parcel-specific knowledge, unsafe domestic-well water, individual warning duty, or causation.
E-78	EPA Fifth Five-Year Review - Carson River Mercury Superfund Site Source PDF pp. 2, 9, 12, 17, 20, 24, 26, 39-40, 46-47, 54	FAC paragraphs 25, 64, 74, 104, 137	Site scope, contaminants, residential exposure pathway, institutional controls, implementation status, and communication gaps.	Regional program evidence; project-specific boundaries, exposure, and causation still require proof.
E-80	EPA Carson River Mercury Superfund Site at a Glance (November 2023) Source PDF pp. 1-3	FAC paragraph 77	Official public summary of Washoe/Pleasant Valley mill contamination, OU1 risks, residential sampling, and state fish advisory.	Summary evidence; not parcel-specific sampling or proof of Plaintiff exposure.

W-18	NDWR Pleasant Valley Hydrographic Basin 088 - 2022 Inventory Source PDF pp. 3-5 (report pp. 1-3)	FAC paragraphs 86, 88	Official basin designation, commitments, pumpage, domestic-well use, and regional surface-water setting.	Does not prove project-to-home aquifer connection or drawdown.
W-30	NDWR Washoe Valley Hydrographic Basin 089 - 2022 Inventory Source PDF pp. 3-5 (report pp. 1-3)	FAC paragraphs 87-88	Official basin commitments, estimated pumpage, domestic-well use, and regional surface-water setting.	Does not prove project-to-home aquifer connection or drawdown.
A-1	Forcing Mechanisms for the Washoe Zephyr Source PDF pp. 1-2, 5	FAC paragraphs 94-95	Peer-reviewed regional wind context relevant to dust transport and fire behavior.	Does not prove that project contaminants will become airborne or reach Plaintiff.
F-5	Nevada Declaration of Emergency - Davis Fire Source PDF pp. 1-2	FAC paragraphs 98-99	Official evidence of regional fire scale, evacuation, severe winds, and response-resource shortage.	Does not independently prove this project will cause a particular emergency failure.
F-13	Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District Station Locations Source PDF pp. 1-2	FAC paragraph 100	Official station-location context for Stations 32 and 33.	Location evidence alone does not prove response-time inadequacy.
H-3	ATSDR Arsenic Toxicity - Physiologic Effects Source PDF pp. 2-11	FAC paragraph 105	Government toxicology background regarding recognized arsenic health effects.	Does not establish Plaintiff dose, route, diagnosis, source attribution, or project-specific causation.
H-11	Arsenic Exposure and Cardiovascular Disease - Updated Systematic Review Source PDF p. 1	FAC paragraph 106	Medical-literature background regarding reported association.	General association evidence; not diagnosis or individual causation.
M-1	Military Proximity and 100-Mile Geodesic Reference Map Source PDF p. 1	FAC paragraph 110	Geographic context and stated geodesic distances to listed military installations.	Does not prove foreign control, covered transaction, agency objection, or a private claim.
M-2	31 C.F.R. Part 802 - Certain Foreign-Person Real Estate Transactions Source PDF pp. 5-14, 20-28	FAC paragraphs 111-113	Regulatory framework used only to explain the military-proximity context.	Current record does not establish foreign control, a covered transaction, or a private cause of action.

Complete collection preservation. All 221 uploaded documents remain represented in the separate Complete 221-Documents Exhibit Control Schedule. Nonselected items are not deemed irrelevant or waived; they were excluded from these filing volumes because they were cumulative, background, duplicative, less direct, oversized, or not tied closely enough to a pleaded element. They remain available for discovery, authentication, later motion practice, or trial if a specific proposition becomes material.