

Looking for Work in a Broken Economy

A Human-Interest Case Study of Unemployment during the Great Depression

Prepared for a Macroeconomics Study Guide (DKent)

Introduction

The Great Depression is often introduced through graphs: real output fell, prices declined, banks failed, and unemployment climbed to levels that Americans had not experienced on a national scale. Those facts matter. Yet unemployment was not only a statistic. For millions of households it meant a father leaving before sunrise to stand outside factory gates, a mother stretching soup with water, children learning not to ask for things, rent going unpaid, and the family deciding whether to stay together, double up with relatives, or send someone on the road to search for work. In the language of economics, the Depression was a collapse of aggregate demand, production, credit, and employment. In the language of ordinary life, it was a collapse of security.¹

This case study uses an illustrative composite family to help you see how high unemployment worked its way through a household. The family is fictional, but the details are drawn from academic research on labor markets, family life, migration, homelessness, women's work, racial inequality, and New Deal relief. The point is not to invent a dramatic story. The point is to connect macroeconomic unemployment to the lived decisions that unemployment forced on ordinary people. During the early 1930s, many unemployed workers were not briefly between jobs; they faced long spells without work in a labor market where vacancies were scarce and every opening drew lines of desperate applicants.²

In this chapter, pay special attention to one central lesson: unemployment becomes more damaging the longer it lasts and the more broadly it spreads. A single job loss can be devastating for one family, but mass unemployment changes the whole environment. Relatives who might have helped are themselves short of money. Charities are overwhelmed. Landlords, merchants, churches, and local governments all face the same decline in income. That is why the unemployment of the Great Depression became a social crisis as well as an economic crisis.

The Parkers: A Composite Family in 1932

Imagine James Parker, age thirty-eight, a machinist in an industrial city in the Midwest. His wife, Anna, keeps the household accounts. They have three children: Ruth, age twelve; Samuel, age nine; and Clara, age four. In 1928, James worked full time. The family rented a modest house, bought coal in winter, paid the grocer regularly, attended church, and occasionally took the children to a movie. They were not wealthy, but they could imagine a better future. James believed that skill and effort would keep a man employed.

By late 1931, that confidence was broken. Orders at the shop slowed, then hours were reduced, then James was laid off. At first the family treated unemployment as temporary. James rose early, shaved, and left the house as if he were still employed. He walked from plant to plant asking whether anyone needed a machinist. He checked with former co-workers, answered newspaper advertisements, visited employment offices, and waited outside factory gates. But the problem was not James's willingness to work. The problem was that the economy no longer offered enough work for men like him. By 1933, unemployment had reached roughly one quarter of the labor force under widely used historical estimates, and measured unemployment was complicated by work-relief programs and changing definitions of who counted as unemployed.³

The Parker family's experience shows a larger economic point. In normal times, unemployment may be frictional: one job ends, another job begins, and the worker searches for a better match. During the Depression, unemployment was overwhelmingly cyclical. Aggregate demand had fallen, firms were producing less, and businesses did not hire simply because wages were lower or workers were available. For many workers, the search process became repetitive and humiliating: the same questions, the same closed doors, the same answer--"nothing today."

How Hard It Was to Find a Job

Job search during the Depression was difficult because unemployment was not isolated. When James looked for work, he was competing with skilled workers, unskilled laborers, young men entering the labor force, older men trying to hold on, and migrants arriving from other places where conditions were just as bad. In a healthy economy, a job applicant competes against a manageable number of alternatives. In a depressed economy, the applicant competes against the collapse itself. A foreman who once might have hired three men now hired none. A business owner who once promised to "check next week" might be trying to avoid bankruptcy.

Long-term unemployment was especially destructive. Robert Margo's research emphasizes that Depression-era unemployment differed across workers and places, but one common feature was its duration. In Massachusetts in 1934, a large share of the unemployed had been without work for a year or more. That fact changes the meaning of unemployment. A few weeks without pay can be handled with savings or help from relatives. A year without pay drains savings, damages confidence, weakens skills, and forces families into survival decisions that would have seemed unthinkable before.⁴

The labor market also did not treat all workers equally. Black workers suffered unemployment rates above those of white workers in many urban labor markets, partly because of occupational concentration and partly because discrimination shaped who was dismissed first and who was rehired last. William Sundstrom's work shows that racial gaps in unemployment were substantial, and for Black women the gap remained especially severe even within particular occupations.⁵ Women also faced contradictory pressures. Families often needed women's earnings, yet public opinion frequently criticized married women who worked while men were unemployed. Working-class women responded with paid work when possible, unpaid household production, boarders, barter, and other strategies that rarely appeared in official unemployment statistics.⁶

The Family Budget Turns into a Survival Plan

Once James's unemployment lasted more than a few weeks, Anna's household budget changed from planning to triage. The family first cut what seemed optional: entertainment, new clothes, church socials, replacement shoes, meat, and medical visits that could be postponed. Then the cuts reached necessities. Meals became cheaper and thinner. The children learned that leftovers were not scraps but tomorrow's lunch. Rent became a monthly anxiety. Coal was bought in smaller quantities. When cash disappeared, Anna tried to buy on credit from a grocer who was also under pressure because many customers could not pay.

Academic studies of Depression families show that economic hardship reshaped relationships inside the home. Laetitia Conard's research on family life during the 1930s described how unemployment could alter authority, routines, and emotional stability. Later life-course research by Glen Elder and his coauthors found that income loss affected children through changes in household labor, parental stress, and father-child relationships. In plain English, unemployment entered the home not simply as a smaller paycheck but as worry, conflict, silence, and changed expectations.⁷

For James, the loss of work was also a loss of role. In the early twentieth-century family economy, many men understood themselves as breadwinners. Unemployment therefore attacked not only income but identity.

A man could be willing to work, physically able to work, and morally committed to supporting his family, yet still unable to find employment. That gap between responsibility and opportunity produced shame. Some men withdrew emotionally; others became irritable; some left home to look for work elsewhere, sometimes hoping to send money back and sometimes unable to return with good news.

For Anna, unemployment meant more work, not less. She stretched food, mended clothing, managed credit, took in laundry if she could, exchanged favors with neighbors, and tried to protect the children from fear. The family's economic survival depended on unpaid labor that did not count as employment. This is important for students of macroeconomics: official unemployment measures tell you how many people lack paid jobs, but they do not fully show the unpaid household labor required to keep families alive during a labor-market collapse.

Where People Lived When Work Disappeared

Housing insecurity followed job loss. If the Parkers could not pay rent, they had several options, none of them good. They could ask relatives to take them in, crowding two families into one home. They could move to a cheaper room, sell furniture, or take in boarders. They could fall behind and hope the landlord waited. If evicted, James might enter a lodging house or temporary shelter, while Anna and the children might seek help from relatives, churches, or public relief.

Some unemployed people became transient. Men rode trains, walked highways, or moved from city to city seeking seasonal work, day labor, or relief. Others built makeshift dwellings in shantytowns popularly known as Hoovervilles. These settlements varied widely. Some were rough collections of scrap wood, cardboard, tin, and salvaged materials; some developed rules and informal leaders; some were mostly men; others included families. Academic work on Depression homelessness reminds us that homelessness was not simply the absence of a roof. It was the loss of a recognized place in the social order. People who had once paid rent and worked regular jobs were suddenly treated as vagrants, dependents, or public problems.⁸

Women's homelessness was especially hidden. Elaine Abelson's study of women without male support shows that Depression homelessness was shaped by gender assumptions. Relief agencies, police, and reformers often imagined the unemployed person as a male breadwinner. A woman alone, a deserted wife, or a mother without a husband could be seen as morally suspect rather than economically displaced. The same labor-market collapse therefore produced different forms of hardship depending on gender, race, age, and family structure.⁹

Children, School, and the Meaning of Scarcity

For the Parker children, unemployment changed childhood. Ruth may have stopped asking for school supplies because she knew the family could not afford them. Samuel may have felt embarrassed by worn shoes. Clara may have sensed fear without understanding its cause. Children often absorbed the emotional climate of the household. They heard arguments about bills, watched parents negotiate with landlords, and learned to distinguish between hunger and appetite.

Economic hardship affected children through several channels. First, family income fell, limiting food, clothing, health care, and school materials. Second, parents under pressure had less emotional energy. Third, children often took on work or household responsibilities earlier than they otherwise would have. Elder, Nguyen, and Caspi's research is especially useful because it shows that the effects of economic loss were mediated through family adaptation and parental behavior. Depression hardship did not affect every child in the same way, but it placed pressure on the relationships that children depended on.¹⁰

At the same time, survival often depended on family solidarity. Older children watched younger ones. Relatives shared meals. Neighbors exchanged goods and services. Churches, ethnic associations, women's

groups, and local charities provided food, clothing, and moral support. These networks mattered, but they were not enough to solve mass unemployment. When hardship became widespread, private charity could not replace lost national income.

Migration: Leaving Was a Strategy, Not a Guarantee

When local work disappeared, migration looked like hope. A family might sell possessions, load a car, and leave for a city rumored to have jobs. A young man might ride freight trains west. A farm family facing drought, foreclosure, or collapsing crop prices might leave the Plains for California or another destination. Yet migration was risky because other families were doing the same thing. Moving could place workers in another crowded labor market, where newcomers were blamed for taking relief, lowering wages, or increasing competition for scarce jobs.

Research on internal migration during the 1930s shows that migration was shaped by both economic and environmental shocks. The Dust Bowl became the most famous migration story, but scholars have shown that 1930s migration was broader than a single region or a single route. Migrants moved from places hit by drought, agricultural distress, and weak labor demand; they moved toward places where relief, seasonal work, or rumor suggested possibility. Yet in-migration into cities could reduce work opportunities and access to relief for existing residents, showing how unemployment turned mobility into both a survival strategy and a source of local tension.¹¹

Relief, Work Relief, and the Cost of Survival

Before the New Deal, much relief was local, private, and inadequate for a national depression. Churches, charities, city governments, and neighbors tried to help, but their resources fell as need rose. Relief often came with investigation and stigma. Families might have to prove need, accept home visits, or show that they were morally deserving. In the Parker household, accepting relief could feel like failure even when the failure belonged to the economy rather than the family.

The New Deal changed the scale of public responsibility. Relief and public works programs did not end unemployment immediately, but they gave millions of households income, work, and a measure of dignity. Work relief mattered because it recognized that many unemployed people wanted employment, not charity. Price Fishback's review of New Deal research finds that public works and relief spending increased consumption activity, produced income multipliers around one in state-level studies, and was associated with reductions in several harmful social outcomes. Related research by Fishback, Haines, and Kantor found that relief spending contributed to reductions in infant mortality, suicide rates, and some other causes of death.¹²

Still, relief was not costless in social or emotional terms. The Parker family might have survived because of public assistance, but they would also have carried the memory of needing it. The cost of unemployment included lost income, lost savings, lost schooling opportunities, postponed marriages, foreclosed farms, broken businesses, and strained trust in economic institutions. It also included a political cost: Americans increasingly concluded that unemployment on this scale could not be understood as an individual failure. It required national policy.

When the Economy Began to Recover

The Depression did not end for every family at the same moment. Some people found work through New Deal projects, some through private recovery, some through migration, and many only later through the industrial mobilization associated with World War II. Even when employment returned, the memory of the Depression remained. Families that had sold furniture, lost homes, pulled children from school, or depended

on relief could not simply reset their lives. The experience changed attitudes toward savings, debt, government, labor unions, and economic security.

For students of macroeconomics, the Great Depression shows why unemployment deserves special attention. Inflation reduces purchasing power, but unemployment can remove purchasing power altogether. A recession reduces output, but prolonged unemployment also damages human capital, family stability, and community life. A labor-market collapse can make people doubt the fairness of the economic system itself. The Depression also changed how economists and policymakers measured unemployment. As David Card explains, the modern definition of unemployment developed in the late 1930s through work by the WPA and the Census Bureau, showing that even the measurement of joblessness was shaped by the crisis.¹³

Summary of Consequences

High unemployment during the Great Depression affected Americans in layers. At the individual level, it meant a job search with few real openings, long spells without wages, and a painful loss of identity for workers who wanted to support themselves. At the family level, it meant reduced food quality, unpaid rent, postponed medical care, children taking on adult worries, and marriages strained by economic pressure. At the community level, it meant overwhelmed charities, crowded housing, transient workers, shantytowns, conflict over relief, and migration into already weak labor markets.

The Depression also revealed unequal vulnerability. Black workers, many women workers, migrants, and homeless people often faced harsher treatment than the unemployed white male breadwinner who dominated public sympathy. A complete human-interest case study must therefore see both the common hardship of mass unemployment and the unequal ways that hardship was distributed.

The broad lesson is clear: when unemployment becomes massive and persistent, it is not merely a private problem for workers who lack jobs. It becomes a national problem that reaches family life, housing, health, migration, childhood, race relations, gender roles, and public policy. The Parker family's story is a composite, but its lesson is historically real. The Great Depression taught Americans that a modern economy can fail willing workers, and when it does, the cost is measured not only in lost output but in altered lives.

Endnotes

1. Christina D. Romer, "The Nation in Depression," *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 7, no. 2 (Spring 1993): 19–39; Robert A. Margo, "Employment and Unemployment in the 1930s," *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 7, no. 2 (Spring 1993): 41–59.
2. Margo, "Employment and Unemployment in the 1930s," 41–59; Robert A. Margo, "The Microeconomics of Depression Unemployment," *Journal of Economic History* 51, no. 2 (1991): 333–41.
3. Margo, "Employment and Unemployment in the 1930s," 41–59; David Card, "Origins of the Unemployment Rate: The Lasting Legacy of Measurement without Theory," *American Economic Review* 101, no. 3 (2011): 552–57.
4. Margo, "The Microeconomics of Depression Unemployment," 333–41; Margo, "Employment and Unemployment in the 1930s," 41–59.
5. William A. Sundstrom, "Last Hired, First Fired? Unemployment and Urban Black Workers During the Great Depression," *Journal of Economic History* 52, no. 2 (1992): 415–29.
6. Lois Rita Helmbold, "Beyond the Family Economy: Black and White Working-Class Women During the Great Depression," *Feminist Studies* 13, no. 3 (1987): 629–55.
7. Laetitia M. Conard, "Some Effects of the Depression on Family Life," *Social Forces* 15, no. 1 (1936): 76–81; Glen H. Elder Jr., Tri Van Nguyen, and Avshalom Caspi, "Linking Family Hardship to Children's Lives," *Child Development* 56, no. 2 (1985): 361–75.
8. Elaine S. Abelson, "'Women Who Have No Men to Work for Them': Gender and Homelessness in the Great Depression, 1930–1934," *Feminist Studies* 29, no. 1 (2003): 105–27; Meighen S. Katz, "'Only the Most Morbid Among the Rich Will Find It Entertaining': Interpreting 1930s Urban Homelessness in Museums," *Journal of Urban History* 44, no. 2 (2018): 278–97.

9. Abelson, ““Women Who Have No Men to Work for Them,”” 105–27.
10. Elder, Nguyen, and Caspi, “Linking Family Hardship to Children’s Lives,” 361–75.
11. Myron P. Gutmann et al., “Migration in the 1930s: Beyond the Dust Bowl,” *Social Science History* 40, no. 4 (2016): 707–40; Leah Platt Boustan, Price V. Fishback, and Shawn Kantor, “The Effect of Internal Migration on Local Labor Markets: American Cities During the Great Depression,” *Journal of Labor Economics* 28, no. 4 (2010): 719–46.
12. Price V. Fishback, “How Successful Was the New Deal? The Microeconomic Impact of New Deal Spending and Lending Policies in the 1930s,” *Journal of Economic Literature* 55, no. 4 (2017): 1435–85; Price V. Fishback, Michael R. Haines, and Shawn Kantor, “Births, Deaths, and New Deal Relief During the Great Depression,” *Review of Economics and Statistics* 89, no. 1 (2007): 1–14.
13. Card, “Origins of the Unemployment Rate,” 552–57.

Bibliography

- Abelson, Elaine S. ““Women Who Have No Men to Work for Them’: Gender and Homelessness in the Great Depression, 1930–1934.” *Feminist Studies* 29, no. 1 (2003): 105–27.
- Boustan, Leah Platt, Price V. Fishback, and Shawn Kantor. “The Effect of Internal Migration on Local Labor Markets: American Cities During the Great Depression.” *Journal of Labor Economics* 28, no. 4 (2010): 719–46. <https://doi.org/10.1086/653488>.
- Card, David. “Origins of the Unemployment Rate: The Lasting Legacy of Measurement without Theory.” *American Economic Review* 101, no. 3 (2011): 552–57. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.101.3.552>.
- Conard, Laetitia M. “Some Effects of the Depression on Family Life.” *Social Forces* 15, no. 1 (1936): 76–81. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2570471>.
- Elder, Glen H., Jr., Tri Van Nguyen, and Avshalom Caspi. “Linking Family Hardship to Children’s Lives.” *Child Development* 56, no. 2 (1985): 361–75.
- Fishback, Price V. “How Successful Was the New Deal? The Microeconomic Impact of New Deal Spending and Lending Policies in the 1930s.” *Journal of Economic Literature* 55, no. 4 (2017): 1435–85. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.20161054>.
- Fishback, Price V., Michael R. Haines, and Shawn Kantor. “Births, Deaths, and New Deal Relief During the Great Depression.” *Review of Economics and Statistics* 89, no. 1 (2007): 1–14.
- Gutmann, Myron P., Daniel Brown, Angela R. Cunningham, James Dykes, Susan Hautaniemi Leonard, Jani Little, Jeremy Mikecz, Paul W. Rhode, Seth Spielman, and Kenneth M. Sylvester. “Migration in the 1930s: Beyond the Dust Bowl.” *Social Science History* 40, no. 4 (2016): 707–40. <https://doi.org/10.1017/ssh.2016.28>.
- Helmbold, Lois Rita. “Beyond the Family Economy: Black and White Working-Class Women During the Great Depression.” *Feminist Studies* 13, no. 3 (1987): 629–55.
- Katz, Meighen S. ““Only the Most Morbid Among the Rich Will Find It Entertaining’: Interpreting 1930s Urban Homelessness in Museums.” *Journal of Urban History* 44, no. 2 (2018): 278–97. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0096144217696986>.
- Margo, Robert A. “Employment and Unemployment in the 1930s.” *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 7, no. 2 (Spring 1993): 41–59. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.7.2.41>.
- Margo, Robert A. “The Microeconomics of Depression Unemployment.” *Journal of Economic History* 51, no. 2 (1991): 333–41.
- Romer, Christina D. “The Nation in Depression.” *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 7, no. 2 (Spring 1993): 19–39. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.7.2.19>.
- Sundstrom, William A. “Last Hired, First Fired? Unemployment and Urban Black Workers During the Great Depression.” *Journal of Economic History* 52, no. 2 (1992): 415–29. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022050700010834>.