

**CITY COUNCIL  
AGENDA**

**March 10, 2015  
7:30 p.m.**

- 1. Opening of meeting by Mayor Steve Morris.**
- 2. Invocation by Reverend Ernie Walters, Pastor of Roberdel Baptist Church.**
- 3. Amendments and Changes to Agenda.**
- 4. Consent Agenda:**
  - A. Disposition of Minutes of the February 10, 2015 Regular Meeting.**
  - B. Tax Collector's Report. (See Pages 4-7)**

**Informational Items:**

- 1.) Monthly Collection Report**
- 2.) Uncollected Taxes as of February 28, 2015.**

**Action Items:**

- 1.) Refunds per County Assessor's Office.**
- 2.) Discovery bills added to Tax Scroll.**
- 3.) Releases per County Assessor's Office.**

- 5. Business by Planning Board.**
  - A. Set Public Hearing.**
    - 1.) UDO Text Amendment:** Set public hearing for an amendment to the City's Unified Development Ordinance to delete Article 12: Telecommunication Regulations in its entirety and replace with a revised Article 12: Telecommunication Regulations. **(See Pages 8-10 & Article 12: Telecommunication Regulations included separately.)**

**B. Hold Public Hearing.**

- 1.) Rezoning Request:** Hold public hearing for an ordinance to rezone approximately .63 acres located at 190 County Home Road and identified as Richmond County PIN # 7472-02-68-8866 from Residential Duplex (R-8) to Neighborhood Business (B-1). **(See pages 11-12 & Map Inserts)**

**C. Minutes from Various Boards (included separately)**

**6. Hold 2<sup>nd</sup> Public Hearing reference submission of CDBG application for 2015.**

- A. Summary of purpose of 2<sup>nd</sup> Hearing (See Page 13)
- B. Adopt Resolution Authorizing Filing of Application (See Page 14)
- C. Certification by Recording Officer (See Page 15)
- D. Project Maps (Sub area A and B) (See Map Inserts)

**7. Presentation of Rockingham Police Department's Annual Report for 2014 by Chief Billy Kelly.**

**8. Business by Visitors.**

**9. Business by City Clerk.**

**10. Business by City Manager.**

**11. Business by City Attorney.**

**12. Items of discussion by City Council Members.**

**13. Business by Mayor.**

**14. Closed Session in compliance with N.C.G.S 143-318.11 (a)6 to discuss a personnel matter.**

**15. Adjournment.**

**Rockingham, NC**

**City Council Agenda**

**SUPPORT DOCUMENTS**



**UNCOLLECTED TAXES**

<b>YEAR</b>	<b>AMOUNT</b>	<b>February 2015</b>
2014	170,420.72	
2013	34,340.68	
2012	25,418.76	
2011	21,077.26	
2010	16,638.31	
2009	12,388.56	
2008	9,817.12	
2007	5,943.82	
2006	4,615.75	
2005	3,999.38	
2004	3,112.25	
	170,420.72	Total Current Year
	137,351.89	Total Past Years
	307,772.61	Total All Years





**Staff Report to Planning and Zoning Board**  
**Agenda Item: IV.A**  
**March 3, 2015**

**Issue:**

UDO Text Amendment: Consideration of an amendment to the City's Unified Development Ordinance to delete Article 12: Telecommunication Regulations in its entirety and replace with a revised Article 12: Telecommunication Regulations.

**Background:**

The City's current telecommunication regulations set forth in Article 12 of the UDO were originally adopted in January of 2003. These regulations have not been amended since the original adoption. Changes in state and federal laws in combination with new technology have necessitated a comprehensive revision of the City's wireless telecommunication regulations.

City staff contracted with Cityscape Consultants, Inc. to provide assistance with revising and updating the regulations. Cityscape specializes in providing technical assistance to local governments on all telecommunication-related issues. They are not associated or affiliated with any wireless providers or private tower owners. The proposed regulations are based on a model ordinance modified to meet Rockingham's specific needs and preferences. Cityscape has drafted similar ordinances for other cities and counties in North Carolina.

**Staff Comments:**

1. A copy of the proposed regulations are included in the agenda packet for the Board's review. Identifying and discussing every minor change or adjustment will be nearly impossible; therefore, City staff will focus on identifying notable and significant changes. Although the proposed regulations are a complete and total rewrite, the net effect is not a major departure from the current regulations. The primary intent of the re-write is to bring the current regulations into compliance with changes in federal and state laws; and address new technology.
2. In terms of permitting, the proposed regulations establish four permit levels to address the various types of regulated facilities. Levels I and II permits include those type facilities approved administratively. Levels III and IV permits include those type facilities approved by the Board of Adjustment. A notable change is that collocations of wireless facilities on existing towers/structures will be approved administratively under the proposed regulations. This change is made to comply with state and federal laws. (Sections 12.14 and 12.15 define the four permit levels.)
3. The order of preferred siting for telecommunication facilities in the proposed regulations will remain essentially the same as the current regulations. The proposed regulations continue to encourage collocations on existing towers and structures as the highest preferred siting option; and the construction of new non-concealed towers as the lowest preferred siting option. Only after an applicant adequately demonstrates no collocation options are available, can new tower construction be considered. (Sections 12.16, 12.17, and 12.18 set forth the preferred siting alternatives and associated requirements.)
4. A notable change is that the proposed regulations distinguish between concealed and non-concealed towers; and allow concealed towers as a conditional use in all zones. The current regulations do not distinguish between concealed and non-concealed towers. Examples of concealed towers are church steeples, windmills, bell towers, clock towers, light standards, flagpoles and/or trees. City staff is



recommending this change as part of the proposed regulations because these type structures containing a concealed personal wireless service facility (PWSF) may be appropriate in any zoning district depending upon the specific location and the specific design/appearance. The Board of Adjustment would make the final determination as to the suitability of a specific location and the design/appearance of the concealment during the conditional use permitting process.

5. Another notable change is that non-concealed towers will be allowed in the R-20 zone (as a conditional use). The current regulations allow new towers as a conditional use in the I-1, I-2, and B-3 zones. The proposed regulations will allow non-concealed towers as a conditional use in the I-1, I-2, B-3, and R-20 zones. City staff is recommending this change because there are a number of large undeveloped tracts zoned R-20 in the ETJ where a non-concealed tower could potentially be located with minimal impact on the surrounding community. (Section 12.19 sets forth which type facilities are allowed in each zoning district and the type of permit required.)
6. The proposed regulations address amateur radio towers, which are not addressed in the current regulations. Under the proposed regulations, amateur radio towers are permitted in all zoning districts; limited to 70 feet in height; must be setback from all property lines a distance at least equal to the height of the tower; and approved administratively. (Section 12.20 sets forth the requirements for amateur radio towers.)
7. The proposed regulations address and allow for "mitigation", which is not addressed in the current regulations. Essentially, "mitigation" allows for the replacement of an existing PWSF tower as a permitted use provided such mitigation does at least one of the following: reduces the number of PWSF support structures or towers; or replaces a non-concealed tower with a PWSF concealed tower (or reduces the visual impact of a PWSF); or replaces an existing PWSF tower with a new PWSF tower to improve network functionality. (Section 12.26 sets forth the requirements for "mitigation".)
8. The proposed regulations establish requirements for distributed antenna systems (DAS), which are not addressed in the current regulations. DAS is relatively new technology. (Section 12.27 sets forth the requirements for DAS facilities.)
9. The maximum allowable tower height also increases in the proposed regulations. The maximum allowable height for a concealed PWSF tower is 150 feet. The maximum allowable height for a non-concealed PWSF tower is 199 feet. The current regulations limit the maximum allowable tower height to 140 feet. In 2006, the current 140-foot maximum height limit proved too restrictive for the only tower constructed under the current regulations. The Board of Adjustment granted a variance to allow a maximum height of 180 feet so the tower could provide adequate service and collocation opportunities. (Section 12.28 (B) and Section 12.30 (B) set forth tower height requirements.)
10. The proposed regulations address the use of "breakpoint" technology as it relates to tower design and setback requirements. Essentially, this technology insures that in the event of a structural failure of the monopole, the failure will occur at the breakpoint rather than at the base plate, anchor bolts, or any other point on the monopole. The use of this technology allows for a reduction in tower setback requirements since the risk of a collapse onto adjacent property is significantly reduced. The current regulations do not address "breakpoint" technology. (Section 12.28 (C) and Section 12.30 (C) set forth tower setbacks and the use of breakpoint technology.)
11. The requirement for all PWSF towers to be a "monopole" type construction is unchanged between the current and proposed regulations (as opposed to allowing lattice or guyed towers).
12. The proposed regulations include requirements for broadcast towers (radio and television towers), which are not addressed in the current regulations. In the proposed regulations, broadcast towers

are allowed as a conditional use in the I-1, I-2, B-3, and R-20 zones – the same as PWSF towers. The maximum height for a broadcast tower will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis with consideration given to the determination of height contained in an applicant's FCC construction permit. The minimum setback is equal to the tower height. (Section 12.32 sets forth the requirements for broadcast towers.)

13. The proposed regulations continue to allow for the retention of expert assistance in reviewing and evaluating any type facility. However, one notably change is that there is no longer a requirement for an escrow account to be set up by the applicant to cover the cost of the expert assistance. The cost of expert assistance will now be funded by requiring a one-time application fee in an amount adequate to cover the cost. City staff has entered into a contract with Cityscape to provide this expert assistance on an as-needed basis for a fixed fee depending on the type of facility.
14. As a part of this amendment, the Planning and Inspections Department Fee Schedule will need to be amended to reflect application costs for "substantial" collocations and new towers (\$6,500); and "non-substantial" collocations, modifications, and upgrades (\$1,000). The term "substantial" collocation is defined in NCGS 160A-400.51.

**Staff Recommendation:**

City staff recommends the Board review and discuss the proposed regulations. If the Board is satisfied with the proposed revisions, then City staff recommends the Board recommend the City Council amend Article 12 as proposed.

---

**Planning Board Recommendation:**

The Planning Board reviewed the request on March 3, 2015 and recommended unanimously that the City Council amend Article 12 of the UDO as proposed.

**Staff Report to Planning and Zoning Board**  
**Agenda Item: IV.A**  
**February 3, 2015**

**Issue:**

Rezoning Request: Henry R. Seals has submitted a request to rezone approximately .63 acres located at 190 County Home Road and identified as Richmond County PIN # 7472-02-68-8866 from Residential Duplex (R-8) to Neighborhood Business (B-1).

**Background:**

On January 20, 2015, Henry R. Seals submitted a request to rezone approximately .63 acres located at 190 County Home Road from Residential Duplex (R-8) to Neighborhood Business (B-1). An upholstery shop is operated by the applicant on the subject property, which is a nonconforming use in the R-8 zone. The applicant is concerned with the potential future limitations created by the legal nonconforming status of his business as currently zoned if the building were to be substantially damaged and/or ceased operations for a period of time in excess of 180 days.

As stated in Section 3.01 of the UDO, the R-8 zone is intended to provide for the orderly growth of single-family detached, semi-detached, and two-family development (excluding manufactured housing) with a moderately dense character in order to create quiet, livable neighborhoods. The B-1 zone is intended to accommodate and provide for the development of small, neighborhood-oriented commercial activities strategically located to offer goods and services to the surrounding residential areas that are typically considered non-offensive in nature and compatible with residential uses in close proximity.

**Staff Comments:**

1. A copy of a zoning map and aerial map illustrating the location of the subject property is included in the agenda packet for the Board's review.
2. The subject property is currently zoned Residential Duplex (R-8). Upholstery shops and/or similar uses are not allowed in the R-8 zone. Thus, the upholstery shop is considered a legal nonconforming use and subject to regulations set forth in Article 6 of the UDO concerning nonconforming situations. Such regulations place limits on re-establishing nonconforming uses if damaged or destroyed; re-establishing the use if discontinued for 180 days; or using the existing building for a different commercial use.
3. In addition to the upholstery shop, two single-wide manufactured homes are located on the subject property. Both manufactured homes are also legal nonconforming uses in the R-8 zone. The requested rezoning to B-1 will not affect their nonconforming status – both manufactured homes would remain legal nonconforming uses under the B-1 zoning.
4. The approval of the rezoning request will undoubtedly constitute spot zoning. As discussed in previous rezoning cases, spot zoning is not illegal if determined to be reasonable and in the public interest. Legal precedent has established the following factors for determining if a particular spot zoning is reasonable: the size of the tract; compatibility with an existing comprehensive plan; the impact of the zoning decision on the landowner, the immediate neighbors, and the surrounding community; and the relationship between the newly allowed uses in a spot rezoning and the previously allowed uses.
5. *Shaping Our Future: 2023*, the City's land use plan, mentions the importance of neighborhood-oriented commercial developments at key intersections for the purpose of providing goods and

services to the surrounding residential areas. While the subject property was not specifically identified and may not necessarily constitute a "key intersection", it is an existing commercial building in a residential area that could serve the same purpose. From this perspective, the request is consistent with the City's future land use plan.

6. The B-1 zone has been previously used in multiple instances around the City's jurisdiction to address a single commercial use located in a residential area. So, this particular rezoning request is not without precedent.

**Staff Recommendation:**

City staff recommends the Board review the request and recommend the City Council rezone the subject property from R-8 to B-1 as requested by the applicant.

---

**Planning Board Recommendation:**

The Planning Board reviewed the request on February 3, 2015 and recommended unanimously the City Council rezone the subject property from Residential Duplex (R-8) to Neighborhood Business (B-1).

# Seals Rezoning Request (R-8 to B-1)



Scale:  
 1" = 150'

- Subject Property
- Property Lines (2014)
- AE (Floodplain)
- SHADED X (Floodplain)
- AEFW (Floodway)
- Street Names
- Address Data
- City Limits
- ETJ
- Historic District
- Watershed Critical Area
- Watershed Balance
- TNCO
- THCO




# Seals Rezoning Request (R-8 to B-1)



Scale:  
 1" = 300'

- Subject Property
- Property Lines (2014)
- AE (Floodplain)
- SHADED X (Floodplain)
- AEFW (Floodway)
- Street Names
- Address Data
- City Limits
- ETJ
- Historic District
- Watershed Critical Area
- Watershed Balance
- TNCO
- THCO
- B-1 (Neighborhood Business)
- B-2 (Central Business)
- B-3 (Highway Business)
- I-1 (Light Industrial)
- I-2 (Heavy Industrial)
- O-1 (Office Institutional)
- O-S (Open Space)
- R-20 (Rural Residential)
- R-12 (Low Density Residential)
- R-9 (Moderate Density Residential)
- R-8 (Residential Duplex)
- R-7 (High Density Residential)
- R-7A (Residential Village)



## **City of Rockingham – 2nd CDBG Public Hearing Summary**

**Tuesday, March 10, 2015**

The purpose of the public hearing will be to discuss the City's intent to submit an application to the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources (NCDENR) for Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Infrastructure funding.

NCDENR expects to receive approximately \$26 million in CDBG Infrastructure funds during this fiscal year, with approximately \$13 million available in this funding round. CDBG funds may provide for water and sewer infrastructure activities and Economic Development activities, of which 100% of the CDBG funds must be used to benefit low-to-moderate income people.

The City of Rockingham is very familiar with the CDBG program, as the City has received and implemented many CDBG grants over the past several years. All of the City's CDBG projects have been successfully closed out and have provided much needed improvements to the City's low and moderate income communities.

The City of Rockingham has selected a project area in East Rockingham, which is a large area that the City has created phased projects and continues to seek funding for this community. The application will request funding in the amount of \$ 2,750,000. If funded, this project will provide public sewer improvements to approximately 80 low/moderate income families who currently experience failed or failing onsite septic systems in the East Rockingham community.

The City of Rockingham certifies they are in compliance with their Anti-Displacement and Relocation Policy for CDBG grant funding and also certifies that no one will be displaced or relocated as a result of the proposed CDBG project. The application will be available for review at Rockingham City Hall between 9:00 am and 5:00 pm, Monday – Friday.

Citizens wishing to submit a complaint or grievance may contact Monty Crump, Rockingham City Manager at 997-5546, or at 514 Rockingham Road, Rockingham, NC 28379. The City will provide a written timely response to the citizen within 15 business days when practicable.

All citizens of the City of Rockingham are invited to attend and participate in this public hearing.

*At this time, allow for public comment(s).*

**RESOLUTION BY THE CITY OF ROCKINGHAM CITY COUNCIL**

WHEREAS, Title I of the Federal Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, has established the U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program, and has authorized the making of grants to aid eligible units of government in funding the cost of construction, replacement, or rehabilitation of water and wastewater infrastructure, and that the North Carolina Department of Environment & Natural Resources (NCDENR) Division of Water Infrastructure (DWI) was delegated the authority by the state legislature to administer the water and wastewater infrastructure portion of the state grant monies received from the U.S. HUD CDBG program by Session Law 2013-360, Section 15.15(a) as amended by Section 5.3 of Session Law 2013-363, and

WHEREAS, The **City of Rockingham** has need for and intends to construct a wastewater collection system project described as the **2015 East Rockingham Sewer System Project**; and

WHEREAS, The **City of Rockingham** intends to request state grant assistance for the project in the amount of **\$2,750,000**;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKINGHAM;**

That the **City of Rockingham**, the **Applicant**, will adopt and place into effect on or before completion of the project a schedule of fees and charges and other available funds which will provide adequate funds for proper operation, maintenance, and administration of the system.

That the **Applicant** will provide for efficient operation and maintenance of the project on completion of construction thereof.

That **M. Steven Morris, Mayor and Monty Crump, City Manager**, the **Authorized Officials**, and successors so titled, is hereby authorized to execute and file an application on behalf of the **Applicant** with the State of North Carolina for a grant to aid in the construction of the project described above.

That the **Authorized Officials**, and successors so titled, is hereby authorized and directed to furnish such information as the appropriate State agency may request in connection with such application or the project; to make the assurances as contained above; and to execute such other documents as may be required in connection with the application.

That the **Applicant** has substantially complied or will substantially comply with all Federal, State, and local laws, rules, regulations, and ordinances applicable to the project and to Federal and State grants and loans pertaining thereto.

Adopted this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2015 at Rockingham, Richmond County, North Carolina.

\_\_\_\_\_  
M. Steven Morris  
Mayor

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gwendolyn F. Swinney  
City Clerk

(SEAL)

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF MOORE

I, Sharon McDuffie, a Notary Public for said County and State, do hereby certify that M. Steven Morris and Gwendolyn F. Swinney appeared before me and acknowledged the due execution of the foregoing instrument. Witness my hand and official seal this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2015.

Notary Public \_\_\_\_\_  
My commission expires 10-20-18

(Notary Seal)



**CERTIFICATION BY RECORDING OFFICER**

The undersigned duly qualified and acting **City Clerk** of the **City of Rockingham** does hereby certify: That the above/attached resolution is a true and correct copy of the resolution authorizing the filing of an application with the State of North Carolina, as regularly adopted at a legally convened meeting of the **Rockingham City Council** duly held on the **10th day of March, 2015**; and, further, that such resolution has been fully recorded in the journal of proceedings and records in my office.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this **10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2015**.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature – **Gwendolyn F. Swinney**

**Gwendolyn F. Swinney, City Clerk**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Title of Recording Officer)

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF MOORE

I, Sharon McDuffie, a Notary Public for said County and State, do hereby certify that Gwendolyn F. Swinney appeared before me and acknowledged the due execution of the foregoing instrument. Witness my hand and official seal this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2015.

Notary Public \_\_\_\_\_

My commission expires 10-20-18

(Notary Seal)




**2015 East Rockingham Sewer System  
City of Rockingham, Richmond County  
Revised 3-2-15**

Sub-Area A	
Severity of Need Documentation	
Total Homes Occupied =	22
Homes with Severe Need =	11
Severe Need Percentage Documented =	50%

**Legend**


- Homes
- Church
- Commercial
- Severe
- Proposed Sewer
- Existing Sewer
- Parcels



**2015 East Rockingham Sewer System  
City of Rockingham, Richmond County  
Revised 3-2-15**

**Legend**

- Church
- Commercial
- Homes
- Severe
- Proposed Sewer
- Existing Sewer
- Parcels



Sub-Area B	
Severity of Need Documentation	
Total Homes Occupied =	34
Homes with Severe Need =	22
Severe Need Percentage Documented =	65%

**DEPARTMENTAL  
ACTIVITY REPORTS  
for  
COUNCIL'S INFORMATION**

To: Monty Crump, City Manager  
From: Dave Davis, Recreation Director  
Date: March 3, 2015  
Subject: Activity Report

With spring right around the corner the following are just a few activities involving our department this month.

Spring Soccer- Registration has been completed and we have another strong enrollment for this spring program. Over four hundred children have enrolled for the fourth consecutive year. Practices have been scheduled and league play will begin Friday, March 6<sup>th</sup>.

Youth Baseball/Softball – Registration is currently under way and should be completed within the week. Although still a popular activity it is doubtful if it, or any program, will overtake the popularity generated by youth soccer.

Hinson Lake – As the spring and summer months approach activities and reservations for this facility increase substantially. Hinson Lake has, and will continue, to offer a nice diversification to our recreational offerings.

March is always a transitional month for our department. Weather will remain a variable, but through the years, our maintenance staff has employed various maintenance strategies to make the process as seamless as possible. We look forward to the months ahead.



**FIRE CHIEF**  
HAROLD ISLER  
**ADMIN. ASST.**  
KRISTY PLAYER

**ASST. CHIEF**  
DAVID MULLIS  
**CAPTAIN**  
VIC MCCASKILL

**February-15**

We are pleased to submit the monthly fire report on the activities undertaken by the Rockingham Fire Department during the month of February 2015.

Total Alarms:	<u>43</u>	In Town:	<u>43</u>	Out of Town:	<u>0</u>
Turn In Alarms:	<u>1</u>	Silent Alarms:	<u>42</u>	Structure:	<u>1</u>
Wrecks:	<u>20</u>	Alarm Malf:	<u>7</u>	Good Intent:	<u>7</u>
Smoke Scare:	<u>1</u>	Vehicle fire :	<u>0</u>	Trash:	<u>3</u>
Power line down:	<u>1</u>	Bomb Threat:	<u>0</u>	Gas Leak/Spill:	<u>0</u>
Illegal Burn:	<u>0</u>	Co detector:	<u>2</u>	Assist Police:	<u>0</u>
Electrical:	<u>1</u>	Assist EMS:	<u>0</u>	Mutual Aid:	<u>0</u>

**Hours Spent on Calls: 21 hours 15 minutes**

Total Property Exposed to Fire:	<u>\$235,000.00</u>
Total Property Damaged by Fire:	<u><u>\$20,000.00</u></u>
Total Property Saved:	<u><u>\$215,000.00</u></u>

During the month of February full time members of the fire department averaged 33 training hours per person; part-paid members averaged 7 hours per person for the month.

**Public Life & Safety:**

~Installed 1 car seats  
~Inspections: 113  
~Chief Isler and Assistant Chief Mullis attended the North Carolina Association of Fire Chief's Confernece in Concord, NC February 5th-8th, 2015

Respectfully Submitted,

Fire Chief  
Harold Isler



# Rockingham Police Department



W.D. Kelly, Chief of Police

To: Monty Crump

*W.D.K.* From: W. D. Kelly  
Chief of Police

Date: March 4, 2015  
Ref: February Activity Report

On behalf of the Rockingham Police Department, I am pleased to submit this report for your consideration. The following activities have been undertaken by officers of the Rockingham Police Department.

<b>Total Calls for the Month:</b>	<b><u>991</u></b>
<b>Animal Control Calls:</b>	<b><u>49</u></b>
<b>Alarm Calls</b>	<b><u>130</u></b>
<b>Escorts:</b>	<b><u>65</u></b>
<b>Unlock Vehicles:</b>	<b><u>114</u></b>

**Charges Generating Arrest:**

<b>Felonies:</b>	<b><u>37</u></b>
<b>Misdemeanors:</b>	<b><u>67</u></b>
<b>Drug Violations:</b>	<b><u>13</u></b>
<b>Juvenile:</b>	<b><u>3</u></b>
<b>Warrants Served:</b>	<b><u>95</u></b>
<b>Citations:</b>	<b><u>236</u></b>

**Accidents Reported/Investigated:**

<b>Property Damage only:</b>	<b><u>50</u></b>
<b>Personal Injury:</b>	<b><u>01</u></b>

<b>Officer Hours Spent in Court:</b>	<b><u>45</u></b>
<b>Officer Training Hours Logged</b>	<b><u>442</u></b>

**Items of Interest:**

We had some inclement weather, but all in all it went well and we had no significant problems.